


 Cite this: *RSC Adv.*, 2025, **15**, 10574

Catalyst-free synthesis of 1,2,3-triazole-N-oxide derivatives using *tert*-butyl nitrite: a novel strategy and synthetic applications†

 Karuppaiah Perumal,^a Markabandhu Shanthi,^a Vijayakumar Hemamalini,^a Bhaskaran Shankar^b and Subburethinam Ramesh^b*^a

 Received 24th February 2025
 Accepted 26th March 2025

DOI: 10.1039/d5ra01327e

rsc.li/rsc-advances

A simple, metal-free method has been developed to synthesize novel 1,2,3-triazole-N-oxide derivatives. In this reaction, *t*-BuONO serves as a NO source, with environmentally friendly solvents such as EtOH and H₂O employed as additives. Control experiments provided valuable insights into the reaction mechanism. Furthermore, 1,2,3-triazole-N-oxides demonstrated versatility in synthetic transformations.

Nitrogen-containing heterocyclic compounds such as furazan, triazole, and tetrazine have been explored as high-energy materials replacing conventional detonators.¹ Energetic materials are an essential class of compounds widely used in various industries, most notably the military and space technology.² The NO functional group is replacing the typical nitro-energetic group to improve the energy density and oxygen balance. Wang *et al.* demonstrated the disturbance in the symmetry of the compound; that the presence of an N–O bond in a system reduces the length of the C–C bond, increases the C–N bond length in the ring, and facilitates a compound to be an energy material.³ The N–O bond in heterocyclic N-oxides confers unique electronic properties, making the nitrogen centre electron-rich and highly polarizable. This dual electron-donating and accepting capacity enables a wide range of synthetic transformations, making N-oxide derivatives indispensable in organic synthesis.⁴ 1,2,3-Triazoles are significant heterocyclic compounds.⁵ They are widely utilized in pharmaceuticals and agrochemicals. Triazoles have been shown to serve a variety of biological activities in Fig. 1.⁶ Heterocyclic N-oxides' biological activity is related to their distinct electronic characteristics, which allow these molecules to interact efficiently with biological targets. These compounds have proven noteworthy therapeutic promise, with activities that include anticancer,⁷ antibacterial,⁸ antihypertensive,⁹ antiparasitic,¹⁰ anti-HIV,¹¹ and anti-inflammatory properties.¹² To introduce an N–O bond in a nitrogen heterocycle, one must utilize

appropriate oxidizing agents, which must provide proper chemo and regioselectivity, which is very difficult to control when multiple nitrogens are available in a system. On the other hand, these oxidizing agents produce unwanted by-products. Designing a methodology that generates an N–O bond during the construction of the ring would avoid these problems. In this context, *tert*-butyl nitrite (TBN), a metal-free reagent, has lately emerged as an efficient reagent in various processes for constructing N-containing complex compounds.¹³ We focused on TBN, which, in general, is utilized for *in situ* generation of diazonium salt and limitedly utilized as a NO source.

If a structure design facilitates capturing the NO group from TBN and following cyclization with an electrophilic nitrogen atom in NO, we could achieve the heterocyclic N-oxide derivative in one pot. While several techniques are available to synthesize heterocyclic N-oxides, triazole N-oxide synthesis has received little attention.

Ethanol has emerged as an effective and sustainable green solvent for various organic processes, driven by growing global environmental concerns and principles of green chemistry.¹⁴ When compared to traditional solvents, ethanol stands out due to its affordability, environmental benignity, and renewable nature, making it a preferred choice in many applications. Additionally, water is often used as an additive, further enhancing the eco-friendliness of the process. On the other hand, catalysts, while often essential in facilitating chemical reactions, can present significant challenges. They tend to be expensive and environmentally hazardous, and metal catalysts are often toxic. Additionally, their removal from reaction mixtures can be difficult, especially in large-scale industrial processes. The use of catalysts can also lead to the formation of harmful by-products, which complicates the overall sustainability of the process. In contrast, catalyst-free reactions have

^aDepartment of Chemistry, School of Chemical and Biotechnology, SASTRA Deemed University, Thanjavur, 613 401, Tamil Nadu, India. E-mail: rameshsbdu@gmail.com

^bDepartment of Chemistry, Thiagarajar College of Engineering, Madurai, 625 015, Tamil Nadu, India

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. CCDC 2409286. For ESI and crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1039/d5ra01327e>








Fig. 1 Biologically active N-oxide and triazole compounds.

Previous work



Present Work



Scheme 1 Various synthetic methodology of triazole-N-Oxide.



gained significant attention as a viable alternative, offering a more cost-effective and environmentally friendly approach for synthesizing organic molecules. These reactions typically feature reduced sensitivity to air and moisture, minimize side reactions, and promote cleaner, more efficient product separation. Furthermore, the simplicity of operating catalyst-free systems enhances their appeal, making them a promising option for green, large-scale synthesis with minimal environmental impact.¹⁵

In this context, some heterocyclic N-oxides have been reported using TBN, metal, and high temperature.¹⁶ A synthesis using an electrochemical method was published in the Green Chemistry journal.¹⁷ Muthu Krishnan *et al.* reported N-oxide synthesis.¹⁸ However, they utilize acetoacetate under oxidative conditions using copper metal-based transformation for the synthesis of triazole N-oxide only (Scheme 1). In addition, our paper demonstrated triazole-N-oxide synthesis without additional catalysts or any metal under mild reaction conditions.

Results and discussion

The investigation on synthesizing our desired compound **12a** began with optimization of the reaction conditions using phenylhydrazine hydrochloride (**8a**), and 3-

aminocrotononitrile (**11a**), as the model substrate. Initially, we used *tert*-butyl nitrite as a NO source, H₂O (2 mmol) as an additive, and DMSO as the solvent, under open-air conditions. The expected triazole-N-oxide product **12a** was obtained in 18% of the yield (Table 1, entry 1). ¹H, ¹³C NMR, HRMS and X-ray crystallographic method analysis confirmed the structure of the compound **12b**.¹⁹

The yield of product **12a** increased when the reaction was performed in closed conditions (Table 1, entry 2). Notable conversion and yield were achieved while using EtOH as a solvent. Other solvents like 1,4-dioxane, *n*-propanol, MeOH, ACN, DMF, and CH₃NO₂ did not improve the yield (Table 1, entries 3–10). Different ratios of starting materials, **8a** and **11a**, were tested. However, the yield did not improve (Table 1, entries 11–13). When the *tert*-butyl nitrite ratio was changed, the yield did not improve and without *tert*-butyl nitrite the product was not formed (Table 1, entries 14–16). Among various nitrating agents such as *tert*-butyl nitrite, isoamyl nitrite, and *n*-butyl nitrite, *tert*-butyl nitrite was superior (Table 1, entries 17 and 18). Water is used as an additive. Changing the water equivalence in the reaction prevented the desired product from being obtained (Table 1, entries 20 and 21). Additionally, increasing the water equivalence led to a decrease in product yield (Table 1, entry 19). With the optimized reaction conditions in hand, we next investigated the substrate scope utilizing different phenylhydrazine hydrochloride derivatives (**8a–8s**) and 3-aminocrotononitrile (**11a**) to synthesize various 5-cyano-4-methyl-2-phenyl-2*H*-1,2,3-triazole 1-oxide derivatives (**12a–12s**). In Scheme 2, the electron-withdrawing groups such as *p*-Br (**8b**), *p*-Cl (**8c**), and *p*-F (**8d**) in the para position provided the desired products in 78% (**12b**), 49% (**12c**), and 58% (**12d**) yields, respectively. The electron-donating group's *p*-CH₃ (**9e**) and *p*-OCH₃ (**9f**) yield 71% (**12e**) and 49% (**12f**), respectively. The electron-donating group by resonance effect like *p*-OCH₃ resulted in a lower yield than *p*-CH₃. However, strong electron-withdrawing groups like *p*-NO₂ (**8g**) and *p*-CN (**8h**) yield 71% (**12g**) and 66% (**12h**), respectively. *Meta*-position having substitutions like *m*-CH₃ (**8k**), *m*-Cl (**8n**), and *m*-Br (**8m**) provided good yields of 54% (**12k**), 54% (**12j**), and 68% (**12i**). Similarly, ortho substitution has yielded 78% to 45% yield. Hydrazine having aliphatic and heterocyclic substitutions is incompatible with the reaction condition. Next, we examined the reaction condition with phenylhydrazine hydrochloride (**8a**) and various aminocrotononitrile (**11b–11e**) derivatives.

When aminocrotononitrile having substitutions like *p*-Cl (**11c**), and *p*-F (**11d**) in the phenyl ring resulted in good yields of 57% (**12u**), and 83% (**12v**), and heterocyclic compound (**12w**) is not compatible with the reaction condition (Scheme 3).


Triazole-N-oxides **12t–12v** were obtained in good yields. In Scheme 4, when hydroxylamine hydrochloride (**10a**) was used

Table 1 Optimization of reaction condition

S. no.	Nitrating source	Solvent	Yield ^a (%)
1 ^b	TBN, 3 equiv.	DMSO	18
2 ^c	TBN, 3 equiv.	DMSO	39
3	TBN, 3 equiv.	1,4-Dioxane	38
4	TBN, 3 equiv.	<i>n</i> -propanol	49
5	TBN, 3 equiv.	MeOH	45
6	TBN, 3 equiv.	DCM	31
7	TBN, 3 equiv.	DMF	45
8	TBN, 3 equiv.	CH ₃ NO ₂	47
9	TBN, 3 equiv.	ACN	40
10	TBN, 3 equiv.	EtOH	85
11 ^d	TBN, 3 equiv.	EtOH	30
12 ^e	TBN, 3 equiv.	EtOH	ND
13 ^f	TBN, 3 equiv.	EtOH	ND
14	TBN, 2 equiv.	EtOH	29
15	TBN, 4 equiv.	EtOH	63
16 ^g	TBN, 3 equiv.	EtOH	ND
17	^t BuONO, 3 equiv.	EtOH	50
18	ⁱ AmylONO, 3 equiv.	EtOH	50
19 ^h	TBN, 3 equiv.	EtOH	74
20 ⁱ	TBN, 3 equiv.	EtOH	ND
21 ^j	TBN, 3 equiv.	EtOH	ND


^a Reaction condition: Isolated yield. ^b Open condition. ^c Closed condition. ^d 1 : 1. ^e 1 : 2. ^f 2 : 1. ^g Without ^tBuONO. ^h H₂O (3 mmol). ⁱ H₂O (1 mmol). ^j H₂O (4 mmol).





Scheme 2 Synthesis of various 5-cyano-4-methyl-2-phenyl-2H-1,2,3-triazole 1-oxide (**12a-12s**) using various phenylhydrazine hydrochloride (**8a-8s**). ^a Reaction condition: **8a** (1 mmol), **11a** (1.5 mmol), ^bBuONO (3 mmol), H₂O (2 mmol), EtOH, 50 °C heating. Isolated yields are provided.





Scheme 3 Synthesis of various 5-cyano-4-methyl-2-phenyl-2H-1,2,3-triazole 1-oxide (**12t–12w**) using various 3-aminocrotononitrile (**11b–11f**) and phenylhydrazine hydrochloride (**8a**). ^aReaction condition: **8a** (1 mmol), **11** (1.5 mmol), ^tBuONO (3 mmol), H₂O (2 mmol), EtOH, 50 °C heating. Isolated yields are provided.


instead of phenylhydrazine hydrochloride (**8a**), compound **13c** was formed and confirmed by ¹H NMR, ¹³C NMR, and HRMS.

To predict the mechanism of the reaction, we conducted several control experiments.

When the reaction was carried out in O₂ atm, it resulted in a low product yield. In the case of N₂ atm, the product formation was completely inhibited (Scheme 5, eqn (1) and (2)). Thus, the closed condition favours product formation because, under aerobic conditions, NO radicals are transformed into NO₂ radicals. An intermediate was identified when a reaction was carried out with **8a** and **11a** at 50 °C heating (Scheme 5, eqn (3)). The intermediate was isolated after the reaction was carried out under the optimized


conditions, resulting in product formation with a yield of 82%, confirming that the product was formed exclusively *via* the intermediate (Scheme 5, eqn (4)). In addition, the product formation was completely inhibited when the reaction was carried with radical scavenger-TEMPO. Thus, the radical mechanism involved in the reaction is confirmed (Scheme 5, eqn (5)).

In Scheme 6, deoxygenation of N-oxide is demonstrated employing Zn and 30% NH₄Cl solution and THF as a solvent. We used various N-oxide **14a–14e** substrates for deoxygenation to form a triazole compound, resulting in a moderate to good yield of 98% to 78%.



Scheme 4 Synthesis of (1Z,2Z)-2-(4-chlorophenyl)-N-hydroxy-2-(hydroxyimino)acetimidoyl cyanide (**13c**) using aminocrotononitrile **11c** and hydroxylamine hydrochloride (**10a**). ^a Reaction condition: **10a** (1 mmol), **11** (1.2 mmol), ^tBuONO (3 mmol), H₂O (2 mmol), EtOH, RT. Isolated yields are provided.






Scheme 5 Control experiment.

We demonstrated synthetic transformations using triazole-N-oxide functional group transformation. We executed the reaction to convert nitrile to acid *via* the nitrile hydrolysis reaction (Scheme 7, eqn (1)). In addition, the target compound **16** was formally synthesized in one step from acid derivatives (Scheme 7, eqn (1)).^{6a}


In Scheme 8, we explained possible reaction mechanisms based on the control experiments. The aza-Michael addition reaction of phenylhydrazine hydrochloride (**8a**) in 3-aminocrotonitrile (**11a**) forms hydrazone intermediate **17**. The *tert*-butylnitrite (**A**) is degraded to form *tert*-butoxy (**B**) and NO (**C**) radicals. The *tert*-butoxy radical (**B**) removes hydrogen from the intermediate **17** to form a radical intermediate **18**. The radical intermediate **18** combined with NO radical to form compound **20**. Subsequent cyclization involving a nucleophilic nitrogen atom in NO led to the formation of triazole-N-oxide **12a**.

In conclusion, we developed an efficient method for synthesizing 5-cyano-4-methyl-2-phenyl-2H-1,2,3-triazole 1-oxide under mild reaction conditions. *Tert*-butyl nitrite was successfully employed as a nitric oxide (NO) source, with phenylhydrazine hydrochloride and 3-aminocrotonitrile serving as readily available starting materials. The procedure utilizes environmentally friendly and easily accessible components, demonstrating a broad substrate scope and versatile synthetic transformations. Additionally, control experiments provided insight into a plausible reaction mechanism. This method enabled the synthesis of various triazole-N-oxide derivatives with moderate to good yields.




Scheme 6 Deoxygenation of various N-oxides. ^a Reaction condition: **12** (1 mmol), Zn (6 mmol), 30% aqueous NH₄Cl solution, THF, room temperature. Isolated yields are provided.





Scheme 7 Synthetic transformations.



Scheme 8 Possible reaction mechanism.



Data availability

^1H , $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$ NMR and HRMS spectra of all known and unknown compounds, ORTEP table of compounds **12b**, included in the ESI.†

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

S. R. sincerely thanks SERB, Government of India, New Delhi, for financial support under the SERB-Research Scientist Program (Grant No. SB/SRS/2022-23/78/CS) and thanks SASTRA Deemed University for Professor TRR research grant (Grant No. SASTRA-TRR-SCBT-3-25012025). The authors gratefully acknowledge the DST-FIST grant (SR/FST/CS-1/2018/62) to SCBT, SASTRA Deemed University, for the NMR facility. K. P. thanks SASTRA Deemed University for providing the Teaching Assistantship.

Notes and references

- (a) H. Gao and J. M. Shreeve, *Chem. Rev.*, 2011, **111**, 7377–7436; (b) D. Badgular, M. Talawar, S. Asthana and P. Mahulikar, *J. Hazard. Mater.*, 2007, **151**, 289–305; (c) Y. Wang, Y. Liu, S. Song, Z. Yang, X. Qi, K. Wang, Y. Liu, Q. Zhang and Y. Tian, *Nat. Commun.*, 2018, **9**(1), 2444; (d) Y. Tang, D. Kumar and J. M. Shreeve, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2017, **139**, 13684–13687; (e) L. Zhai, F. Bi, H. Huo, Y. Luo, X. Li, S. Chen and B. Wang, *Front. Chem.*, 2019, **7**, 559.
- (a) J. Zhou, J. Zhang, B. Wang, L. Qiu, R. Xu and A. B. Sheremetev, *FirePhysChem*, 2021, **2**, 83–139; (b) D. Badgular, *Cent. Eur. J. Energ. Mater.*, 2017, **14**, 821–843.
- (a) Y. V. Vishnevskiy, D. S. Tikhonov, J. Schwabedissen, H. Stammler, R. Moll, B. Krumm, T. M. Klapotke and N. W. Mitzel, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2017, **56**, 9619–9623; (b) J. Yuan, X. Long and C. Zhang, *J. Phys. Chem.*, 2016, **120**, 9446–9457; (c) P. Politzer, P. Lane and J. S. Murray, *Struct. Chem.*, 2013, **24**, 1965–1974.
- W. Lai, P. Lian, Z. Ge, Y. Liu, T. Yu and J. Lv, *J. Mol. Modell.*, 2016, **22**, 83.
- K. A. Dekorver, H. Li, A. G. Lohse, R. Hayashi, Z. Lu, Y. Zhang and R. P. Hsung, *Chem. Rev.*, 2010, **110**, 5064–5106.
- (a) X. Yin, X. Liu, X. Wu, X. Liu, Q. Tian, Q. Luo and Y. Li, *J. Agric. Food Chem.*, 2024, **72**, 12415–12424; (b) R. Moumne, V. Larue, B. Seijo, T. Lecourt, L. Micouin and C. Tisne, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2010, **8**, 1154; (c) L. Wei, X. Yi, F. Zhijin, Q. Fanqi, W. Qiongyou and P. Ling, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2008, **49**, 2804–2809.
- (a) A. M. Mfuh and O. V. Larionov, *Curr. Med. Chem.*, 2015, **22**, 2819–2857; (b) R. F. Anderson, S. S. Shinde, M. P. Hay, S. A. Gamage and W. A. Denny, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2003, **125**, 748–756.
- H. Ibrahim, A. Furiga, E. Najahi, C. Pigasse Henocq, J. P. Nallet, C. Roques, A. Aubouy, M. Sauvain, P. Constant, M. Daffe and F. Nepveu, *J. Antibiot.*, 2012, **65**(10), 499–504.
- A. E. Buhl, D. J. Waldon, C. A. Baker, G. A. Johnson and J. Invest, *Dermatol.*, 1990, **95**, 553–557.
- R. H. Bisby, *Biochem. Pharmacol.*, 1990, **39**, 2051–2055.
- K. Vermeire, D. Schols and T. W. Bell, *Curr. Pharm. Des.*, 2004, **10**, 1795–1803.
- L. Fang, Y. Zhang, J. Lehmann, Y. Wang, H. Ji and D. Ding, *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.*, 2007, **17**, 1062–1066.
- (a) N. G. Khaligh, *Mini-Rev. Org. Chem.*, 2018, **17**, 3–25; (b) A. Dahiya, A. K. Sahoo, T. Alam and B. K. Patel, *Chem.–Asian J.*, 2019, **14**, 4454–4492.
- (a) D. Prat, J. Hayler and J. A. Wells, *Green Chem.*, 2014, **16**(10), 4546–4551; (b) K. Tekin, N. Hao, S. Karagoz and A. J. Ragauskas, *ChemSusChem*, 2018, **11**(20), 3559–3575; (c) M. O. Simon and C. J. Li, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2011, **41**(4), 1415–1427.
- B. Baruah and M. L. Deb, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2021, **19**(6), 1191–1229.
- (a) J. Winter, T. Prenzel, T. Wirtanen, D. Schollmeyer and S. R. Waldvogel, *Chem.–Eur. J.*, 2022, **29**(12), e202203319; (ab) F. Chen, X. Huang, X. Li, T. Shen, M. Zou and N. Jiao, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2014, **53**(39), 10495–10499; (ac) M. S. Singh, G. Kumar, S. Ray and G. Shukla, *Synthesis*, 2023, **55**(23), 3981–3990; (ad) M. Begtrup and J. Holm, *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1*, 1981, 503–513.
- K. Titenkova, A. D. Shuvaev, F. E. Teslenko, E. S. Zhilin and L. L. Fershtat, *Green Chem.*, 2023, **25**, 6686–6693.
- M. Mujahid, V. Vara, U. Arshad, R. K. Gamidi and M. Muthukrishnan, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2024, **89**(23), 16990–16998.
- The CCDC deposition number for **12b** = 2409286;† Unit Cell Parameters: a. 12.7279(5) b 11.0272(5) c 7.6786(4) P21/c.

