

RESEARCH ARTICLE

View Article Online
View Journal | View IssueCite this: *Org. Chem. Front.*, 2025, 12, 856TBN-promoted regioselective C–C bond cleavage: a new strategy for the synthesis of unsymmetrically substituted *N*-aryl oxalamides†Guiqin Liu,^a Zheyang Zhang,^a Huifeng Wang,^{*a} Ruiling Chen,^{*b} Haiying Tian^{*b} and Xiuling Chen[†]Received 22nd October 2024,
Accepted 28th November 2024

DOI: 10.1039/d4qo01984a

rsc.li/frontiers-organic

Intermolecular regioselective C–C and C–O bond cleavage and amination were accomplished using a CuCl₂–TBN system under mild reaction conditions. This protocol represents a simple, efficient and highly functional group compatible method for the synthesis of unsymmetrically substituted *N*-aryl oxalamides. The present reaction opens an alternative path using H₂O as the source of oxygen for the preparation of *N*-aryl oxalamides via regioselective C–C and C–O bond cleavage and the formation of two new C–N bonds.


Introduction

C–C bonds are prevalent in organic compounds, and direct C–C functionalization has attracted great interest from chemists because the strategy provides a direct chemical transformation to reorganize complex carbon skeletons.^{1,2} However, C–C bond activation remains a technical challenge due to the high bond energy (average 90 kcal mol⁻¹) and the difficulty of selective cleavage in molecules with multiple C–C bonds.³

Recently, very important advances have sought to address the challenge of C–C σ bond activation.⁴ In this case, transition-metal-catalyzed C–C σ bond activation and transformation with alkynes, alkenes, carbenoids, imines, CO and other substrates have been successively developed.^{5–11} However, the activation of C–C σ bonds and their conversion to C–N bonds has been rarely reported. For example, the Zuo group employed CeCl₃/visible-light-induced amination of cycloalkanol with di-*tert*-butyl azodicarboxylate (DBAD) to achieve C–C σ bond cleavage and transformation (Scheme 1a).¹² The Jiao group revealed a significant breakthrough by demonstrating unstrained linear C–C bond cleavage and amination of alkylarenes for the construction of new C–N bonds with sodium azides utilizing DDQ as an oxidant (Scheme 1b).¹³ The Kwon group employed ozonolysis and copper catalysis to enable alkene C–C σ bond cleavage for new C–N bond formation (Scheme 1c).¹⁴ Despite these advances, C–C σ bond activation

and amination are basically limited to construct C(sp³)-N bonds. In comparison, the direct amination of unstrained arylketone Csp²-Csp² σ bonds to construct C–N bonds remains challenging. Because of their higher thermodynamic stability likely due to the π - π conjugation effect and the uncertainty of the N-source substitution during the amination process, selective C–C bond cleavage becomes difficult. Recently, the Zeng group reported Rh(III)-catalyzed activation of unstrained arylketone Csp²-Csp² σ bonds to construct C–N bonds (Scheme 3a).¹⁵ Although the transformations are attractive, they are associated with rare metal catalysts; the nitrogen source is also limited to azides. In view of the difficulty in using noble metal catalysts for C–C bond cleavage and amination, we need to develop inexpensive metal catalysts and mild reaction conditions for C–N bond construction.

Among many C–N compounds, *N*-aryl oxalamides are widely used in blood clotting, ambenonium (a cholinesterase inhibitor), IDO-1 inhibitors, antimalarial agents, and entry

Scheme 1 Unstrained sp³ C–C bond activation to the C–N bond.^aSchool of Pharmacy, Hubei University of Science and Technology, Xianning 437100, China^bSchool of Pharmacy, Changzhi Medical College, Changzhi, 046000, China.

E-mail: thy77@163.com, cxl828800@163.com; Fax: (+) 86-715-8338007


† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1039/d4qo01984a>

inhibitors that target the CD₄-binding site of HIV-1.^{16,17} They are also utilized as flavoring agents in food processing and have been identified as effective ligands for combining with Cu complexes for the formation of potent catalytic systems that facilitate coupling reactions involving C–O/C–N bond formation.¹⁸ Thus, the development of new and effective methods for the preparation of oxalamides is of utmost importance and has garnered significant attention in the field. Traditional synthetic strategies for *N*-aryl oxalamides require expensive metal catalysts or harsh reaction substrates (Scheme 2).¹⁹ As a result, the pursuit of atom-economical and environmentally friendly techniques for the efficient synthesis of oxalamides is considered crucial and a priority for the chemical and pharmaceutical sectors. We were interested in Cu-catalyzed C–C bond cleavage and amination for their structural diversity, commercial availability, and nontoxicity.²⁰ Therefore, we envisage to use cheap metal catalysts, oxygen sources, and widely available C–C bond compounds to achieve the synthesis of *N*-aryl oxalamides by C–C bond cleavage. To confirm our hypothesis, we need to select compounds that contain a variety of C–C bonds to realize regioselective C–C bond cleavage and amination for the production *N*-aryl oxalamides.


Substituted 2-oxo-2-phenylethyl acetate compounds were synthesized *via* a simple step and used as substrates, [Cu] was used as the catalyst, and green H₂O and TBN were used as the source of oxygen. To our delight, the desired *N*-aryl oxalamide products were obtained (Scheme 3b). This strategy features the following: the cheaper [Cu] as the catalyst and TBN as the oxidant; TBN and H₂O as the oxygen source; regioselective C–C and C–O bond cleavage; double C–N bond formation in one pot; and a novel approach for the synthesis of diverse unsymmetrical oxalamide derivatives.

Results and discussion

To identify the suitable reaction conditions for the synthesis of oxalamides from substituted 2-oxo-2-phenylethyl acetate and primary amines, initial optimization studies were performed with 2-oxo-2-phenylethyl acetate **1a** and *n*-propylamine **2a** as the model substrates in the presence of *tert*-butyl nitrite (TBN) and H₂O, and the results are summarized in Table 1. Gratifyingly, *N*¹-phenyl-*N*²-propyloxalamide **3a** was obtained in



Scheme 2 The route towards the synthesis of *N*-aryl oxalamides.



Scheme 3 Unstrained sp² C–C bond activation to the C–N bond.

Table 1 Optimization of the model reaction conditions^a

| Entry | Catalyst | Solvent | 3a ^b |
|-----------------|---|-------------------------------------|------------------------|
| 1 | CuI | C ₂ H ₅ OH | 35% |
| 2 | Cu(CH ₃ COO) ₂ | C ₂ H ₅ OH | 65% |
| 3 | Cu ₂ O | C ₂ H ₅ OH | 47% |
| 4 | Cu(CO ₂ CH ₃) ₂ ·H ₂ O | C ₂ H ₅ OH | 45% |
| 5 | CuSO ₄ | C ₂ H ₅ OH | 54% |
| 6 | CuCl ₂ ·2H ₂ O | C ₂ H ₅ OH | 60% |
| 7 | CuCl₂ | C₂H₅OH | 78% |
| 8 | CuCl ₂ | 1,4-Dioxane | Trace |
| 9 | CuCl ₂ | Chlorobenzene | Trace |
| 10 | CuCl ₂ | DCE | Trace |
| 11 | CuCl ₂ | Acetonitrile | 40% |
| 12 | CuCl ₂ | DMF | 55% |
| 13 | CuCl ₂ | Dimethylsulfoxide | 60% |
| 14 | CuCl ₂ | Isopropanol | 63% |
| 15 | CuCl ₂ | Methanol | 70% |
| 16 | FeCl ₃ | C ₂ H ₅ OH | — |
| 17 | Ni(CH ₃ COO) ₂ ·4H ₂ O | C ₂ H ₅ OH | 30% |
| 18 | CuCl ₂ (30%) | C ₂ H ₅ OH | 30% |
| 19 | CuCl ₂ (50%) | C ₂ H ₅ OH | 18% |
| 20 | CuCl ₂ (100%) | C ₂ H ₅ OH | — |
| 21 | — | C ₂ H ₅ OH | — |
| 22 ^c | CuCl ₂ | C ₂ H ₅ OH | 58% |
| 23 ^d | CuCl ₂ | C ₂ H ₅ OH | 72% |
| 24 ^e | CuCl ₂ | C ₂ H ₅ OH | 65% |
| 25 ^f | CuCl ₂ | C ₂ H ₅ OH | 70% |

^a Reaction conditions: 2-oxo-2-phenylethyl acetate **1a** (0.2 mmol), *n*-propylamine **2a** (0.26 mmol), TBN (0.44 mmol), catalyst (0.02 mmol), solvent (2 mL, H₂O 0.2 mmol), N₂ in a 25 mL Schlenk tube, 120 °C, 12 h. ^b Isolated yield. ^c TBN (0.6 mmol). ^d TBN (0.4 mmol). ^e 100 °C. ^f 140 °C.


35% yield (Table 1, entry 1). We next conducted a survey of several catalysts (Table 1, entries 2–7); to our delight, the yield of **3a** could be slightly increased to 78% using CuCl₂ as the catalyst (Table 1, entry 7). Solvent screening showed that most of the tested solvents including 1,4-dioxane, chlorobenzene, DCE, acetonitrile, DMF, dimethylsulfoxide, isopropanol and methanol could not increase the yield of this transformation (Table 1, entries 8–15). We investigated the catalyst scope of the reaction, including Fe and Ni, and the desired product **3a**



was not detected when using FeCl_3 as the catalyst (Table 1, entry 16). With 10% $\text{Ni}(\text{CH}_3\text{COO})_2 \cdot 4\text{H}_2\text{O}$ as the catalyst, the reaction proceeded to form product **3a** in 30% yield (Table 1, entry 17). The loading of CuCl_2 catalysts was screened, and the results showed that with the increase of catalytic loading, the yield of the desired product **3a** gradually decreased and even disappeared (Table 1, entries 18–20). Compound **3a** was not obtained in the absence of the catalyst CuCl_2 , which demonstrated that the catalyst CuCl_2 played a key role in this transformation (Table 1, entry 21). We then switched our attention to changing the amount of TBN, and it turned out that when 3.0 equiv. of TBN were used, the yield of **3a** decreased significantly and the by-products increased, while when 2.0 equiv. of TBN were used, the yield of **3a** decreased and the raw material **1a** did not react completely (Table 1, entries 22 and 23). The effect of temperature on product formation was also studied subsequently. It was observed that the yield of **3a** decreased when the reaction temperature was reduced to 100 °C or increased to 140 °C (Table 1, entries 24 and 25). Thus, the optimized conditions for the synthesis of **3a** can be defined as follows: 2-oxo-2-phenylethyl acetate **1a** (0.2 mmol), *n*-propylamine **2a** (0.26 mmol), TBN (0.44 mmol) and CuCl_2 , at 120 °C for 12 h.

Having established the optimal conditions for this tandem reaction (Table 1, entry 7), the substrate scope of a wide variety of primary amines and substituted 2-oxo-2-phenylethyl acetate compounds was explored (Schemes 4 and 5). Under the optimized conditions, a wide range of aliphatic primary amines **2a–2q** were competent in this reaction, indicating that the reaction is generally applicable to produce unsymmetrically substituted *N*-aryl oxalamides *via* C–C and C–O bond cleavage and amination, as summarized in Scheme 4. Firstly, we found that primary amines, secondary amines and tertiary amines **2a–2e** could readily react with 2-oxo-2-phenylethyl acetate to give the corresponding oxalamides in 76–78% yields (**3a–3e**). Then, the substitution effect on the aliphatic amine chain was examined. The results disclosed that both electron-donating (–OMe, –Ph) and electron-withdrawing (–OH) groups were suitable and afforded the corresponding oxalamide products in moderate to good yields (**3f–3h**). Moreover, diverse substituted benzylamines were tested in this reaction, and the results showed that the substrates bearing different substituents on the phenyl ring including –Me, –OMe, halogens (–Cl, –Br), and –CF₃ were well tolerated and resulted in the target products in 72–79% yields (**3i–3n**). Heteroaryl benzylamine **2o** was also compatible and converted to the corresponding oxalamide **3o** in 84% yield. Disappointingly, secondary amines were not tolerated to give the desired product **3o1** under the present reaction conditions, and the phenomenon can be explained by the following mechanism: only primary amines are compatible due to the large steric hindrance during the addition process. Aromatic amines were not tolerated to produce the desired product **3o2**, which may be attributed to the weak electrophilicity of aromatic amines due to the strong conjugation effect between the electrons of the N atom and the benzene ring.




The scope of substituted 2-oxo-2-phenylethyl acetate compounds was examined with *n*-propylamine under the opti-



Scheme 4 Scope of amine substrates. Reaction conditions: 2-oxo-2-phenylethyl acetate **1a** (0.2 mmol), amines **2a–2q** (0.26 mmol), TBN (0.44 mmol), CuCl_2 (0.02 mmol), $\text{C}_2\text{H}_5\text{OH}$ (2 mL, H_2O 0.2 mmol), N_2 in a 25 mL Schlenk tube, 120 °C, 12 h, isolated yield.

mized reaction conditions, as summarized in Scheme 5. A wide range of substituted 2-oxo-2-phenylethyl acetate compounds were tolerated in this reaction. For the synthesis of substituted *N*-aryl oxalamides, variation of the substituent group in terms of position and electronic character noticeably






Scheme 5 Scope of substituted 2-oxo-2-phenylethyl acetate compounds. Reaction conditions: substituted 2-oxo-2-phenylethyl acetate **1a-1p** (0.2 mmol), *n*-propylamine **2a** (0.26 mmol), TBN (0.44 mmol), CuCl_2 (0.02 mmol), $\text{C}_2\text{H}_5\text{OH}$ (2 mL, H_2O 0.2 mmol), N_2 in a 25 mL Schlenk tube, 120 °C, 12 h, isolated yield.

affected the reaction efficiency. Notably, the aromatic ring bearing *para*-substituted groups including $-\text{Me}$, $-\text{OMe}$, $-\text{OH}$ and halogens ($-\text{F}$, $-\text{Cl}$, $-\text{Br}$) did not have an obvious steric hindrance effect, and resulted in the target products in 70–81% yields. Moreover, 2-oxo-2-phenylethyl acetate compounds with *ortho*- and *meta*-substituted groups ($-\text{Br}$, Cl) were also suitable


substrates under standard reaction conditions to give the corresponding oxalamides **3v-3x** in 70–72% yields. In another respect, when 2-(2,6-dichlorophenyl)-2-oxoethyl acetate and 2-mesityl-2-oxoethyl acetate were used as substrates, the corresponding oxalamides **3y** and **3y1** were not detected, which is ascribed to the large steric hindrance and strong electronic effect at the *ortho* position limiting the rearrangement reaction. Interestingly, the reaction of the naphthalene-containing substrate **2l** proceeded smoothly to form *N*-aryl oxalamide **3z** in a yield of 77%. Heteroaryl 2-oxo-2-phenylethyl acetate also afforded the *N*-aryl oxalamide **3z1** in 81% yield *via* regioselective C–C and C–O bond cleavage. However, when 2-oxo-2-phenylethyl acetate compounds substituted with strong electron-withdrawing groups $-\text{CF}_3$ and $-\text{NO}_2$ were used as substrates, the corresponding oxalamides were not produced under standard reaction conditions, while amides **4a** and **4b** were obtained *via* $\text{Csp}^2\text{-Csp}^3$ bond cleavage. In general, the Beckmann rearrangement is affected by the electronic effect, and the substrates with electron-withdrawing groups showed poor reaction efficiency.

To illustrate a probable reaction pathway for this one-pot synthesis of unsymmetrically substituted *N*-aryl oxalamides, some control experiments were carried out, as shown in Scheme 6. When acetophenone **1o**, 2-bromoacetophenone **1p**, or 2-hydroxy-1-phenylethan-1-one **1q** replaced 2-oxo-2-phenylethyl acetate as the substrate, the corresponding oxalamide **3a** was not detected (Scheme 6(1)), suggesting that this is not an interim process in the present reaction system. When the radical scavenger 2,2,6,6-tetramethylpiperidinyloxy (TEMPO, 2 equiv.) or butylated hydroxytoluene (BHT, 2 equiv.) was added to the reaction mixture, the desired product **3a** was obtained in 15% or 18% yield (Scheme 6(2)), respectively, which suggested that a radical pathway is probably involved in the procedure. To obtain the source of the oxygen atoms, since the reaction did not proceed under oxygen conditions, we added H_2O^{18} to the reaction system under otherwise identical conditions (all raw materials and solvents were treated *via* standard anhydrous procedures), and the ^{18}O labelled product [^{18}O]-**3a** was generated in 75% yield (Scheme 6(3)), as deter-



Scheme 6 Control experiments.





Scheme 7 Plausible reaction pathway for the synthesis of unsymmetrically substituted *N*-aryl oxalamides.

mined by LC-MS (see the ESI[†]). Incorporation of the ¹⁸O-labeled product suggested that the oxygen from water is the possible source of oxygen in the *N*-aryl oxalamide product and the other oxygen originates from TBN. To confirm the by-products during the reaction process, 2-oxo-2-phenylethyl 2-phenylacetate **1r** was used as the substrate; **3a** was obtained in 73% yield (Scheme 6(4)) and phenylacetic acid was detected in 71% yield, proving that C–O bond cleavage is really involved in the present reaction system.

According to the reported literature^{20,21} and the control experiments above, the possible TBN-promoted regioselective C–C and C–O bond cleavage pathway for the synthesis of substituted *N*-aryl oxalamides is proposed as shown in Scheme 7. The copper catalyst with the amine forms the iminium-type intermediate **2M**.²⁰ *t*-BuONO easily decomposes to generate the *t*-butyloxy radical and nitric oxide radical, or *tert*-butyl nitrite reacts with water to afford the desired free radicals of NO and NO₂.^{21a} The reaction of NO radicals with *t*-Bu-OH forms the active HNO species, and the addition of HNO to CO in **1aa** yields **1ab**.^{21b} Compound **1ac** is formed through thermal dehydration, and the addition of **2M** to **1ac** forms **1ad**.^{21c} This process is affected by steric hindrance and subsequent release of AcOH as a leaving group leads to the formation of **1ae**,^{21e} which transforms into intermediate **1ai** via a Beckmann rearrangement.^{21d} The substituted *N*-aryl oxalamide **TM** is obtained through oxidation of the C–H bond using TBN as an oxidant and CuCl₂ as a catalyst.^{21f}

Conclusions

In summary, we report a novel strategy via CuCl₂–TBN-mediated regioselective C–C and C–O bond cleavage for the construction of new C–N bonds. The protocol provides an efficient approach for the synthesis of unsymmetrically substituted *N*-aryl oxala-

mides in moderate to good yields under mild reaction conditions. The reaction has a satisfactory substrate scope and functional group compatibility, and features good reaction efficiency to provide a novel route towards the synthesis of *N*-aryl oxalamides via regioselective C–C and C–O bond cleavage.

Data availability

All data supporting the results of this study are available within the article and its ESI.[†] Source data are provided with this paper.

Conflicts of interest

We declare that we have no competing financial interests.

Acknowledgements


We thank Dr Long Liu of Hainan University for the assistance with the plausible reaction pathway, discussions and advice. Financial support was provided by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (22378102) and the special fund for the Key Laboratory of Hubei Province (2022ZX04).

References

- (a) S. Xiaoqin, C. Jiali and W. Zhengwei, Ni-catalyzed carbon–carbon bonds cleavage of mixed polyolefin plastics waste, *J. Energy Chem.*, 2023, **8**, 562–569; (b) T. Satoh and M. Miura, Catalytic processes involving β-carbon elimination, *Top. Organomet. Chem.*, 2005, **14**, 1–20; (c) D. Necas and M. Kotora, Rhodium-catalyzed C–C bond cleavage reactions, *Curr. Org. Chem.*, 2007, **11**, 1566–1591; (d) A. Korotvicka, D. Necas and M. Kotora, Rhodium-catalyzed C–C bond cleavage reactions—an update, *Curr. Org. Chem.*, 2012, **16**, 1170–1214; (e) T. Seiser, T. Saget, D. N. Tran and N. Cramer, Cyclobutanes in catalysis, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2011, **50**, 7740–7752.
- (a) L. Jiao and Z. X. Yu, Vinylcyclopropane derivatives in transition-metal-catalyzed cycloadditions for the synthesis of carbocyclic compounds, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2013, **78**, 6842–6848; (b) A. Dermenci, J. W. Coe and G. Dong, Direct activation of relatively unstrained carbon–carbon bonds in homogeneous systems, *Org. Chem. Front.*, 2014, **1**, 567–581; (c) A. Dermenci and G. Dong, Decarbonylative C–C bond forming reactions mediated by transition metals, *Sci. China: Chem.*, 2013, **56**, 685–701; (d) F. Chen, T. Wang and N. Jiao, Recent advances in transition-metal-catalyzed functionalization of unstrained carbon-carbon bonds, *Chem. Rev.*, 2014, **114**, 8613–8661.
- J. Zhu, Y. Xu and R. Zhang, Catalytic activation of unstrained C (aryl)–C (alkyl) bonds in 2,2'-methylene-diphenols, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2022, **14**, 3242–3249.



- 4 (a) Y. Xue and G. Dong, Deconstructive synthesis of bridged and fused rings via transition-metal-catalyzed “cut-and-sew” reactions of benzocyclobutenones and cyclobutanones, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2022, **55**, 2341–2354; (b) F. Song, B. Wang and Z. J. Shi, Transition-metal-catalyzed C–C bond formation from C–C activation, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2023, **56**, 2867–2886; (c) M. D. R. Lutz Marius and B. Morandi, Metal-catalyzed carbon–carbon bond cleavage of unstrained alcohols, *Chem. Rev.*, 2021, **121**, 300–326.
- 5 (a) Q. Chen, J. You and T. Tian, Nickel-catalyzed decarbonylative reductive alkylation of aroyl fluorides with alkyl bromides, *Org. Lett.*, 2022, **24**, 9259–9263; (b) Z. Zhu, X. Li, S. Chen, P. H. Chen, B. A. Billett, Z. Huang and G. Dong, Cobalt-catalyzed intramolecular alkyne/benzocyclobutene coupling: C–C bond cleavage via a tetrahedral dicobalt intermediate, *ACS Catal.*, 2018, **8**, 845–849; (c) L. Qi, S. Da and Y. Zhang, Ethene hydroformylation catalyzed by rhodium dispersed with zinc or cobalt in silanol nests of dealuminated zeolite beta, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2023, **145**, 2911–2929; (d) D. K. Wan and L. B. Li, Fe-catalyzed selective formal insertion of diazo compounds into C (sp)–C (sp³) bonds of propargyl alcohols: access to alkyne-substituted all-carbon quaternary centers, *ACS Cent. Sci.*, 2022, **8**, 1028–1034; (e) J. Yan, L. Dong and Y. Yang, DFT insight into a strain-release mechanism in bicyclo [1.1.0] butanes via concerted activation of central and lateral C–C bonds with Rh (III) catalysis, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2024, **6**, 8879–8888; (f) W. J. Teo, J. Esteve Guasch and L. Jian, Rh-catalyzed enantioselective single-carbon insertion of alkenes, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2024, **146**, 21837–22184; (g) G. W. Wang and J. F. Bower, Modular access to azepines by directed carbonylative C–C bond activation of aminocyclopropanes, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2018, **140**, 2743–2747; (h) F. Song, T. Gou, B. Q. Wang and Z. J. Shi, Catalytic activations of unstrained C–C bond involving organometallic intermediates, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2018, **47**, 7078–7115; (i) O. P. Dash, D. Garg and C. M. R. Volla, Rh (III)-catalyzed aldehydic and aryl C–H alkylation with cyclopropanols via C–H/C–C bond activation, *Chem. Commun.*, 2024, **60**, 10576–10579; (j) M. D. R. Lut and B. Morandi, Metal-catalyzed carbon–carbon bond cleavage of unstrained alcohols, *Chem. Rev.*, 2020, **121**, 300–326; (k) Z. Zhongyi, G. Hui and Z. Zhi, Intermolecular redox-neutral carboamination of C–C multiple bonds initiated by transition-metal-catalyzed C–H activation, *ACS Catal.*, 2022, **12**, 14754–14772; (l) P. H. Chen, B. A. Billett, T. Tsukamoto and G. Dong, “Cut and sew” transformations via transition-metal-catalyzed carbon–carbon bond activation, *ACS Catal.*, 2017, **7**, 1340–1360.
- 6 C. H. Jun, C. W. Moon and D. Y. Lee, Chelation-assisted carbon–hydrogen and carbon–carbon bond activation by transition metal catalysts, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2002, **8**, 2422–2428.
- 7 (a) L. Hong, Y. Tianyang and X. Pengfei, Selective decarbonylation via transition-metal-catalyzed carbon–carbon bond cleavage, *Chem. Rev.*, 2020, **121**, 365–411; (b) F. Sheng, M. Fanyang, X. Ying and L. Zhenxing, Rhodium(I)-catalyzed C–C bond activation of siloxyvinylcyclopropanes with diazoesters, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2016, **55**, 15401–15405.
- 8 (a) Z. Tiantian, X. Wenhua and Z. Zhaojing, Directed decarbonylation of unstrained aryl ketones via nickel-catalyzed C–C bond cleavage, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2018, **140**, 586–589; (b) Y. Xia, J. Wang and G. Dong, Suzuki-miyaura coupling of simple ketones via activation of unstrained carbon–carbon bonds, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2018, **140**, 5347–5351.
- 9 A. M. Dreis and C. J. Douglas, Catalytic carbon–carbon σ bond activation: an intramolecular carbo–acylation reaction with acylquinolines, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2009, **131**, 412–413.
- 10 (a) H. Li, Y. Li, X. S. Zhang, K. Chen, X. Wang and Z. J. Shi, Pyridinyl directed alkenylation with olefins via Rh(III)-catalyzed C–C bond cleavage of secondary arylmethanols, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2011, **133**, 15244–15247; (b) Z. Q. Lei, F. Pan, H. Li, Y. Li, X. S. Zhang, K. Chen, X. Wang, Y. X. Li, J. Sun and Z. J. Shi, Group exchange between ketones and carboxylic acids through directing group assisted Rh-catalyzed reorganization of carbon skeletons, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2015, **137**, 5012–5020.
- 11 (a) M. Moselage, J. Li, F. Kramm and L. Ackermann, Ruthenium(II)-catalyzed C–C arylations and alkylations: decarbonylative C–C functionalizations, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2017, **56**, 5341–5344; (b) J. P. Lutz, C. M. Rathbun, S. M. Stevenson, B. M. Powell, T. S. Boman, C. E. Baxter, J. M. Zona and J. B. Johnson, Rate-limiting step of the Rh-catalyzed carboacylation of alkenes: C–C bond activation or migratory insertion, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2012, **134**, 715–722; (c) S. Onodera, S. Ishikawa, T. Kochi and F. Kakiuchi, Direct alkenylation of allylbenzenes via chelation-assisted C–C bond cleavage, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2018, **140**, 9788–9792.
- 12 J. J. Guo, A. Hu, Y. Chen, J. Sun, H. Tang and Z. Zuo, Photocatalytic C–C bond cleavage and amination of cycloalkanols by cerium(III) chloride complex, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2016, **55**, 15319–15322.
- 13 J. Liu, X. Qiu, X. Huang, X. Luo, C. Zhang, J. Wei, J. Pan, Y. Liang, Y. Zhu, Q. Qin, S. Song and N. Jiao, From alkylarenes to anilines via site-directed carbon–carbon amination, *Nat. Chem.*, 2019, **11**, 71–77.
- 14 H. Zhiqi, M. A. Jose, S. Manisha, W. Jason and K. Ohyun, Aminodealkenylation: ozonolysis and copper catalysis convert C(sp³)–C(sp²) bonds to C(sp³)–N bonds, *Science*, 2023, **381**, 877–888.
- 15 X. Hu, Y. Shao, H. Xie, X. Chen, F. Chen, Z. Ke, H. Jiang and W. Zeng, Direct carbon–carbon σ bond amination of unstrained arylalkylketones, *ACS Catal.*, 2020, **10**, 8402–8408.
- 16 Z. Xingyong, C. Liping, Z. Zhijian and P. Wan, Design, synthesis and biological evaluation of oxalamide derivatives as potent neuraminidase inhibitors, *New J. Chem.*, 2022, **46**, 13533–13539.
- 17 (a) F. Curreli, S. Choudhury, I. Pyatkin, V. P. Zagorodnikov, A. K. Bulay, A. Altieri, Y. D. Kwon, P. D. Kwong and A. K. Debnath, Design, synthesis, and antiviral activity of entry inhibitors that target the CD4-binding site of HIV-1,



- J. Med. Chem.*, 2012, **55**, 4764–4775; (b) F. Curreli, Y. D. Kwon, H. Zhang, Y. Yang, D. Scacalossi, P. D. Kwong and A. K. Debnath, Binding mode characterization of NBD series CD4-mimetic HIV-1 entry inhibitors by X-Ray structure and resistance study, *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.*, 2014, **58**, 5478–5491.
- 18 (a) Z. Chen, Y. Jiang, L. Zhang, Y. Guo and D. Ma, Oxalic diamides and tert-butoxide: two types of ligands enabling practical access to alkyl aryl ethers via Cu-catalyzed coupling reaction, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2019, **141**, 3541–3549; (b) W. Zhou, M. Fan, J. Yin, Y. Jiang and D. Ma, CuI/oxalic diamide catalyzed coupling reaction of (hetero) aryl chlorides and amines, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2015, **137**, 11942–11945; (c) S. De, J. Yin and D. Ma, Copper-catalyzed coupling reaction of (hetero) aryl chlorides and amides, *Org. Lett.*, 2017, **19**, 4864–4867; (d) M. Fan, W. Zhou, Y. Jiang and D. Ma, Assembly of primary (hetero) arylamines via CuI/oxalic diamide-catalyzed coupling of aryl chlorides and ammonia, *Org. Lett.*, 2015, **17**, 5934–5937; (e) G. G. Pawar, H. Wu, S. De and D. Ma, Copper(I) oxide/*N,N'*-Bis[(2-furyl)methyl] oxalamide-catalyzed coupling of (hetero) aryl halides and nitrogen heterocycles at low catalytic loading, *Adv. Synth. Catal.*, 2017, **359**, 1631–1636; (f) V. S. Chan, S. W. Krabbe, C. Li, L. Sun, Y. Liu and A. J. Nett, Identification of an oxalamide ligand for copper-catalyzed C–O couplings from a pharmaceutical compound library, *ChemCatChem*, 2019, **11**, 5748–5753; (g) D. V. Morarji and K. K. Gurjar, Theoretical and experimental studies: Cu(I)/Cu(II) catalytic cycle in CuI/oxalamide-promoted C–N bond formation, *Organometallics*, 2019, **38**, 2502–2511.
- 19 (a) K. Dong, S. Elangovan and R. San, Selective catalytic two-step process for ethylene glycol from carbon monoxide, *Nat. Commun.*, 2016, **7**, 12075; (b) Z. Youquan, Z. Quanquan and Y. Diskin-Posner, Synthesis of oxalamides by acceptorless dehydrogenative coupling of ethylene glycol and amines and the reverse hydrogenation catalyzed by ruthenium, *Chem. Sci.*, 2020, **11**, 7188–7193; (c) A. Jayaram, V. T. Seenivasa and K. Govindan, Base-promoted triple cleavage of CCl₂Br: a direct one-pot synthesis of unsymmetrical oxalamide derivatives, *Chem. Commun.*, 2024, **60**, 3079–3082.
- 20 C. Xiuling, C. Tieqiao, L. Qiang, Z. Yongbo, H. Libiao and Y. Shuangfeng, Copper-catalyzed aerobic oxidative inert C–C and C–N bond cleavage: a new strategy for the synthesis of tertiary amides, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2014, **20**, 1–6.
- 21 (a) C. Fei, Z. Nengneng, Z. Junlong, H. Bing and Y. Wei, Tert-butyl nitrite-mediated vicinal sulfoximation of alkenes with sulfinic acids: a highly efficient approach toward α -sulfonyl ketoximes, *Org. Chem. Front.*, 2017, **4**, 135–139; (b) J. Liu, C. Zhang, Z. Zhang, X. Wen, X. Dou, J. Wei, X. Qiu, S. Song and N. Jiao, Nitromethane as a nitrogen donor in Schmidt-type formation of amides and nitriles, *Science*, 2020, **367**, 281–285; (c) R. Y. Liu and S. L. Buchwald, CuH-catalyzed olefin functionalization: from hydroamination to carbonyl addition, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2020, **53**(6), 1229–1243; (d) H. Keng, H. Genna, O. Naoki, K. Kazuma and U. Kingo, Direct and catalytic amide synthesis from ketones via transoximation and beckmann rearrangement under mild conditions, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2018, **83**(21), 13080–13087; (e) B. M. Trost, D. A. Thaisrivongs and M. M. Hansmann, Tandem palladium(0) and palladium(II)-catalyzed allylic alkylation through complementary redox cycles, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2012, **51**, 11522–11526; (f) P. F. Dai, X. S. Ning, H. Wang, X. C. Cui, J. Liu, J. P. Qu and Y. B. Kang, Cleavage of C(aryl)–CH₃ bonds in the absence of directing groups under transition metal free conditions, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2019, **58**, 5392–5395.

