


Organic & Biomolecular Chemistry

Accepted Manuscript

This article can be cited before page numbers have been issued, to do this please use: Y. Shi, I. Yoshikawa, A. Sakama and K. Kudo, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2025, DOI: 10.1039/D5OB01966D.



This is an Accepted Manuscript, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about Accepted Manuscripts in the [Information for Authors](#).

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard [Terms & Conditions](#) and the [Ethical guidelines](#) still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this Accepted Manuscript or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.

Synthesis of α -chloro- β -ketoesters via ytterbium(III) triflate-mediated decarboxylative Claisen-type condensation

View Article Online
DOI: 10.1039/D5OB01966D

Yihao Shi^a, Isao Yoshikawa^a, Akihiro Sakama^a, Kazuaki Kudo^{a*}

^a Institute of Industrial Science, The University of Tokyo, 4-6-1 Komaba, Meguro-ku, Tokyo 1538505, Japan.

Abstract

A Yb(OTf)₃-mediated decarboxylative Claisen-type condensation is established to access α -chloro- β -ketoesters. By proceeding under mild conditions, this method delivers products in 78–95% yield while circumventing the functional-group incompatibility and side reactions associated with conventional electrophilic chlorination of β -ketoesters. The synthetic utility of this method is further demonstrated by the synthesis of chlorinated 2-pyrones and asperphenylpyrone analogue, highlighting its potential for constructing structurally diverse and bioactive molecules.

Introduction

α -Chloro- β -ketoesters are widely used intermediates and building blocks in organic synthesis owing to their high reactivity. They serve as key precursors to bioactive chlorine-containing compounds, such as 3-chlorocoumarins,¹ 4-chloro-1,2-dithiole-3-thiones,² and 5-chloropyrimidines.³ Thanks to the high reactivity of chlorine towards substitution, they have also been used for constructing aromatic heterocycles, including thiazoles,⁴ imidazoles,⁵ 1,2,4-triazoles,⁶ furans,⁷ and imidazopyridines.⁸

The synthesis of α -chloro- β -ketoesters is typically achieved through direct chlorination of β -ketoesters using electrophilic chlorinating reagents (Scheme 1a), such as SO₂Cl₂,⁹ *N*-chlorosuccinimide (NCS),¹⁰ 1,3-dichloro-5,5-dimethylhydantoin,¹¹ trichloroisocyanuric acid,¹² NH₄Cl/O₃,¹³ HCl/PhIO,¹⁴ and HCl/Cu(OTf)₂.¹⁵ However, these methods often suffer from poor selectivity for α -monochlorination, affording mixtures of α -mono- and α,α -dichlorinated products, and exhibit limited functional-group compatibility under the required harsh acidic or oxidizing conditions. Consequently, there remains a clear need for the development of an efficient and versatile synthetic route to α -chloro- β -ketoesters.

One feasible alternative strategy involves the Claisen condensation, employing chloroacetates or their equivalents. However, this approach has rarely been explored, not only due to the challenges posed by undesired self-condensation, but also complications induced by the α -chlorine under typical Claisen condensation conditions, such as dechlorination.¹⁶ In the 1980s, the Claisen condensation approach was first applied by Tanabe and Mukaiyama, to obtain a specific α -chloro- β -ketoester.^{17,18} Despite the low yield of 45%, they successfully prevented the loss of the base-sensitive α -chlorine by using Lewis acid TiCl₂(OTf)₂. In 2023, Presset and co-workers reported a Grignard reagent-mediated decarboxylative Claisen-type condensation method to access α -substituted- β -ketoesters (Scheme 1b).¹⁹ By employing magnesium enolates of substituted malonic acid half oxyesters,²⁰ they demonstrated the synthesis of a variety of α -substituted β -ketoesters, including one α -chloro- β -ketoester obtained in 91% yield. Nevertheless, the method also exhibits



inherent limitations, particularly its poor functional-group compatibility and the tendency to induce halogen loss, arising from the harsh conditions provided by Grignard reagent.

View Article Online

DOI: 10.1039/D5OB01966D

Given these precedents, we envisioned establishing an efficient synthetic method for α -chloro- β -ketoesters through decarboxylative Claisen-type condensation under mild Lewis acidic conditions. In our previous work, the superior compatibility and reactivity of Lewis acid $\text{Mg}(\text{OTf})_2$ compared to Grignard reagents have been demonstrated for the construction of β -keto thioesters via decarboxylative Claisen-type condensation of malonic acid half thioester (MAHT).²¹ Iterative application of this method further enabled the construction of various naturally occurring polyketides, including 2-pyrone derivatives (Scheme 1c). On the other hand, a preliminary result reported by Presset and co-workers on the synthesis of α -substituted β -ketoesters showed that $\text{Mg}(\text{OTf})_2$ is ineffective in mediating the decarboxylative Claisen-type condensation of substituted malonic acid half oxyesters.¹⁹

In this study, we present a comprehensive investigation on Lewis acid-mediated decarboxylative Claisen-type condensation of chlorinated malonic acid half oxyester (Cl-MAHO) (Scheme 1d). This approach represents, to our knowledge, the first versatile alternative to electrophilic α -chlorination for the synthesis of α -chloro- β -ketoesters. Furthermore, we showcase the utility of this method and α -chloro- β -ketoesters by synthesizing chlorinated 2-pyrones and asperphenylpyrone analogue.

Results and discussion

Our study initially focused on the reaction between Cl-MAHO **1a** and 3-phenylpropionic acid.²² As Claisen acceptors, combinations of carboxylic acids and coupling reagents have proven effective in our previous work and related decarboxylative Claisen-type condensation reactions.^{21,23–26} However, in the present case, none of the coupling reagents examined afforded the α -chloro- β -ketoester **3a** in yields exceeding 35%, even mediated by Grignard reagent (Table S1). This poor reactivity is presumably attributable to the steric and inductive effects of the α -chlorine substituent, which reduce the nucleophilicity of **1a** toward the Claisen acceptor.^{27,28}

We then altered the Claisen acceptor with 3-phenylpropionyl chloride (**2a**). The decarboxylative Claisen-type condensation between **1a** and **2a**, mediated by Grignard reagent $i\text{PrMgBr}$, afforded **3a** in 73 % yield (Table 1, Entry 1). Subsequently, studies regarding Lewis acid were performed. Instead of the Grignard reagent, Lewis acid and external base were employed in order to generate a metal-ester enolate complex from **1a**, which was expected to further react with **2a** to afford **3a**. We screened several magnesium salts as Lewis acids for their effectiveness in the reaction. Compared to $i\text{PrMgBr}$, the combinations of $\text{Mg}(\text{OTf})_2$, MgCl_2 , or MgBr_2 with *N,N*-diisopropylethylamine (DIPEA) showed reduced reactivity, giving **3a** in 48%, 55%, and 62% yields, respectively (entries 2–4). Moreover, reactions employing other Lewis acids did not proceed (entries 5–9), and the acyl chloride **2a** was recovered as the corresponding carboxylic acid after aqueous workup. The ineffectiveness of common metal salts prompted us to explore lanthanoid Lewis acids.²⁹ Indeed, several lanthanoid triflates effectively mediated the reaction (entries 10–13), among which $\text{Yb}(\text{OTf})_3$ afforded the highest yield of **3a** (81%, entry 12). This enhanced performance likely reflects the optimal balance of ionic radius, Lewis acidity, and oxophilicity of the ytterbium(III) ion in promoting this reaction.^{30,31}



Subsequently, we evaluated the effects of other reaction parameters. The investigation on a series of solvents revealed the essentialness of 1,2-dimethoxyethane (DME), as other common solvents diminished the yield significantly (entries 14–17). We speculate that DME functions not only as a solvent but also as a bidentate ligand that forms five-membered chelate rings with $\text{Yb}(\text{OTf})_3$.^{32,33} Such chelation may restrict excessive substrate coordination and suppress unproductive *trans*-coordination between reaction partners on the ytterbium center, thereby driving the reaction toward product formation. The use of other bases such as 4-(dimethylamino)pyridine (DMAP) (entry 18) and 1,8-diazabicyclo[5.4.0]-7-undecene (DBU) (entry 19) instead of DIPEA showed no significant effect on yield. Further investigation of reaction stoichiometry (Table S2) showed that using two equivalents of the metal–ester enolate complex (the combination of Cl-MAHO, $\text{Yb}(\text{OTf})_3$, and DIPEA) increased the yield to 89% (entry 20).

Based on literature precedents,^{27,34–36} a plausible mechanism for this reaction (Scheme 2) is proposed to proceed via initial formation of the $\text{Yb}(\text{III})$ –Cl-MAHO carboxylate **A**, followed by deprotonation to generate a nucleophilic species that reacts with acyl chloride **2** to afford intermediate **B**. Subsequent decarboxylation is envisaged to occur after formation of the C(Cl)–C bond, and aqueous workup finally furnishes the α -chloro- β -ketoester **3**. Notably, a total of 3 equivalents of DIPEA was employed (Table S2, entry 7), which may serve to deprotonate the carboxylic acid moiety of Cl-MAHO (**1a**→**A**), and to scavenge the HCl generated during the C(Cl)–C bond formation step (**A**→**B**).

With the optimal conditions in hand, we then investigated the scope and generality of acyl chloride **2** in this reaction. As shown in Table 2, a scale-up experiment was performed with 5 mmol of **2a**, which provided the product **3a** (0.98 g) in 82% yield. A series of benzoyl chlorides bearing various functional groups, such as nitro,¹⁹ chloro, bromo, trifluoromethyl, or methoxy group³⁷ at the *m*-/*p*-positions smoothly afforded the corresponding α -chloro- β -ketoesters **3b–h** in good yields, ranging from 80–95%. In contrast, sterically demanding acyl chlorides, such as *o*-methylbenzoyl chloride and 1-naphthoyl chloride, provided the desired products **3i** and **3j** only in 1% and 10% yield, respectively. We speculate that the key ytterbium complex intermediate is highly sterically demanding, such that acyl chlorides with substituents near the reaction center are likely to hinder the C–C bond formation with **1a**. By comparison, this steric effect was much less pronounced in the magnesium-based Lewis acid-mediated reaction. Replacing $\text{Yb}(\text{OTf})_3$ with MgCl_2 furnished **3i** and **3j** in 51% and 58% yield, respectively. This result is consistent with the lower coordination number and less sterically congested coordination environment typically associated with the magnesium(II) ion.^{38,39}

We next applied this method to the synthesis of α -chloro- β -ketoesters that are considered to be challenging to access under previously reported acidic/oxidizing conditions. Heteroaryl-substituted products, such as **3k** and **3l**, were obtained in 94% and 80% yield, respectively. Cinnamoyl chloride, which bears a conjugated double bond, was also compatible, affording the unsaturated α -chloro- β -ketoester **3m** in 81% yield. The oxidation-sensitive *S*-dodecyl-Cl-MAHT (**1b**) gave the α -chloro- β -ketothioester **3n** in 87% yield.⁴⁰ Moreover, this method is amenable to iterative application, allowing sequential extension of the α -chlorocarbonyl chain. For example, a second decarboxylative



Claisen-type condensation using α -chloroacyl chloride **2o**, prepared from **3a**, afforded the α,β -dichloro- β -ketoester **3o** in 78% yield. The successful synthesis of these compounds demonstrates the broad compatibility and distinct advantage of our approach. Notably, no halogen loss was observed for the α -halogenated aliphatic substrate **2o**, nor for aromatic substrates bearing halogen substituents (Br: **2g**; Cl: **2d** and **2h**), in sharp contrast to Grignard reagent-mediated reactions.¹⁹

View Article Online
DOI: 10.1039/D5OB01966D

Encouraged by the success of this method in the synthesis of α -chloro- β -ketoesters, we also explored its feasibility with monomethyl bromomalonate (Br-MAHO, **1c**) (Table S3 and S4). By extending the reaction time to 24 h, α -bromo- β -ketoester **3p** was synthesized in 56% yield from benzoyl bromide **2p**.

Next, the synthetic versatility of our method was showcased by transforming the α -chloro- β -ketoester **3l** into synthetically challenging biheteroaryl compounds.^{41,42} As shown in Scheme 3, the Pechmann condensation of **3l** with *m*-cresol afforded the coumarin derivative **4** in 79% yield.⁴³ In addition, reaction of **3l** with thiobenzamide furnished the thiazole derivative **5** in 76% yield.⁴⁴ These transformations highlight a straightforward route to valuable, functionalized pharmacophore scaffolds.^{45–48}

Furthermore, we applied the present method to the construction of chlorinated 2-pyrones and their derivatives. Chlorinated 2-pyrones have recently attracted great interest for their potential bioactivities,^{49,50} and synthetic utilities.^{51–53} Despite extensive research on 3-chloro-2-pyrones,^{54,55} both the synthesis and application of 5-chloro-2-pyrones remain unexplored, likely owing to the inherently higher reactivity of the C-3 position of 2-pyrones toward electrophilic chlorination. On the basis of our previously reported strategy for polyketide synthesis, we envisioned that 5-chloro-2-pyrones can be accessed by integrating the present method into the iterative chain-elongation sequence.^{25,26}

The synthesis commenced from α -chloro- β -ketoester **3a** (Scheme 4). To construct the triketide-derived 2-pyrone core, an additional iteration of the decarboxylative condensation was required. Treatment of **3a** with (trimethylsilyl)diazomethane (TMSCHN₂) smoothly afforded a mixture of *E*- and *Z*-isomers of methyl enol ether **6**, which was then subjected to the ester hydrolysis.^{56–59} Recrystallization of the crude hydrolysis product provided the corresponding *Z*-configured carboxylic acid **7** in 55% yield. Subsequent decarboxylative condensation of **7** with *S*-dodecyl-MAHT furnished the triketide linear precursor **8**. Acidic hydrolysis of the methyl enol ether followed by DBU-promoted Claisen-type lactonization generated the unstable intermediate 5-chloro-4-hydroxy-2-pyrone **9**. Final methylation of the 4-hydroxy group of **9** yielded 5-chlorinated dihydro-5,6-dehydrokavain (DDK) **10**. In total, starting from α -chloro- β -ketoester **3a**, this five-step procedure succeeded in the synthesis of 5-chlorinated DDK **10** with an overall yield of 34%.

Then, a series of derivatization reactions of **10** were investigated (Scheme 5). First, we did a closer look on the reaction conditions for the Suzuki–Miyaura coupling between **10** and (4-methoxyphenyl)boronic acid. It was found that Buchwald phosphine ligand XPhos shows its efficiency to this reaction, which proceeded to provide 5-aryl DDK **11** in 89%.⁶⁰ Next, we attempted a modification of the C-3 position of **10**. In the presence of catalytic amount of trifluoroacetic acid



(TFA), treating **10** with *N*-bromosuccinimide (NBS) smoothly yielded 3-bromo-5-chlorinated DDK **12b** in 91%. Likewise, 3,5-dichlorinated DDK **12a** was obtained in 96% yield using NCS. Finally, sequential Suzuki–Miyaura couplings were performed on the different halogen-substituted DDK **12b**, giving asperphenylpyrone analogue **14** in 64% yield.⁶¹

Conclusions

In summary, we have developed an efficient method for synthesizing α -chloro- β -ketoesters through Yb(OTf)₃-mediated decarboxylative Claisen-type condensation of Cl-MAHO. This strategy exhibits broad compatibility with a variety of functional groups and delivers yields of up to 95%. A comprehensive investigation of the reaction conditions revealed that both Yb(OTf)₃ and DME are crucial for this transformation. The synthetic utilities of this strategy and the resulting α -chloro- β -ketoesters were demonstrated by the synthesis of coumarin and thiazole derivatives, 5-chloro-2-pyrone DDK and its derivatives. Overall, the present strategy circumvents the challenges of electrophilic chlorination, providing a complementary approach to existing methods and expanding the synthetic toolbox for the construction of chlorine-containing molecules.

Acknowledgments

This work was partially supported by a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research (C) from Japan Society for the Promotion of Science (20K05487 for K. K.). We thank Dr. Kengo Akagawa for his advice and discussion. We appreciate Mr. Kohsaku Okuyama for his experimental assistance. MS spectral data were obtained at Komaba Analysis Core, Institute of Industrial Science, The University of Tokyo. X-ray single crystallographic analysis was supported by “Advanced Research Infrastructure for Materials and Nanotechnology in Japan (ARIM)” of the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology (MEXT), Grant Number JPMXP1223UT0002.

Data availability


The data supporting this article have been included as part of the ESI.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.




a) Current electrophilic chlorinating strategies

View Article Online
DOI: 10.1039/D5OB01966D

Challenges

- Poor selectivity for α -monochlorination
- Incompatible with functional groups


b) Grignard reagent-mediated decarboxylative condensation (ref. 19)




Challenges

- Poor functional group compatibility
- Competitive magnesium-halide exchange reaction

c) Lewis acid-mediated decarboxylative condensation of MAHT (ref. 21)




d) Lewis acid-mediated decarboxylative condensation of Cl-MAHO (This work)



- ✓ Chlorinating reagent-free
- ✓ Mild conditions
- ✓ Broad functional group compatibility
- ✓ Easily applied to synthesis of bioactive compounds

Scheme 1 Strategies for the synthesis of α -chloro- β -ketoesters and decarboxylative Claisen-type condensation reaction.




Table 1. Optimization of reaction conditions.

Entry	Lewis acid	Solvent	Yield [%] ^a
1	<i>i</i> PrMgBr	DME	73
2	Mg(OTf) ₂	DME	48
3	MgCl ₂	DME	55
4	MgBr ₂	DME	62
5	LiCl	DME	3
6	ZnCl ₂	DME	n.r. ^b
7	Cu(OTf) ₂	DME	n.r.
8	Sc(OTf) ₃	DME	trace
9	CeCl ₃	DME	trace
10	La(OTf) ₃	DME	79
11	Eu(OTf) ₃	DME	77
12	Yb(OTf)₃	DME	81
13	Lu(OTf) ₃	DME	62
14	Yb(OTf) ₃	DCM	3
15	Yb(OTf) ₃	MeCN	32
16	Yb(OTf) ₃	THF	43
17	Yb(OTf) ₃	dioxane	42
18 ^c	Yb(OTf) ₃	DME	72
19 ^d	Yb(OTf) ₃	DME	79
20^e	Yb(OTf)₃	DME	89 (86)^f


^a Yields were determined by ¹H NMR using 1,4-dimethoxybenzene as an internal standard. ^b n.r.: no reaction. ^c DMAP was used instead of DIPEA. ^d DBU was used instead of DIPEA. ^e Yb(OTf)₃ (2 equiv.), **1a** (2 equiv.), and DIPEA (2 equiv. + 1 equiv.) were used. ^f Isolated yield.






Scheme 2. Mechanistic hypothesis for the formation of the products.





Table 2. Substrate scope of the reaction.^a

^a Unless otherwise specified, all reactions were carried out on a 0.1 mmol scale, and isolated yields are provided. ^b The reaction was performed on a 5 mmol scale of **2a**. ^c ¹H NMR yield (determined using 1,4-dimethoxybenzene as an internal standard). ^d MgCl_2 was used instead of $\text{Yb}(\text{OTf})_3$. ^e *S*-dodecyl-Cl-MAHT **1b** was used instead of Cl-MAHO **1a**. ^f Br-MAHO **1c** and benzoyl bromide were used instead of Cl-MAHO **1a** and benzoyl chloride. The reaction time was 24 h.





Scheme 3. Synthesis of coumarin and thiazole derivatives.

Scheme 4. Synthesis of 5-chloro-2-pyrone **10**.

Scheme 5. Derivatization of 5-chloro-2-pyrone **10** and synthesis of asperphenylpyrone analogue

View Article Online

DOI: 10.1039/D5OB01966D

References

- G. Li, D. Wang, M. Sun, G. Li, J. Hu, Y. Zhang, Y. Yuan, H. Ji, N. Chen and G. Liu, *J. Med. Chem.*, 2010, **53**, 1741.
- D. A. Brown, S. Betharia, J. H. Yen, Q. Tran, H. Mistry and K. Smith, *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.*, 2014, **24**, 5829.
- L. Li, C. Zhou, M. Liu, P. Zhang, N. Zhang, J. Li, T. Li, X. Liu, S. Cheng, Q. Li and A. Liu, *J. Heterocycl. Chem.*, 2019, **56**, 3206.
- J. Ann, H. S. Kim, S. A. Thorat, H. Kim, H. J. Ha, K. Choi, Y. H. Kim, M. Kim, S. W. Hwang, L. V. Pearce, T. E. Esch, N. A. Turcios, P. M. Blumberg and J. Lee, *J. Med. Chem.*, 2020, **63**, 418.
- J. Dong, S. Chen, R. Li, W. Cui, H. Jiang, Y. Ling, Z. Yang and W. Hu, *Eur. J. Med. Chem.*, 2016, **108**, 605.
- F. Bi, D. Song, Y. Qin, X. Liu, Y. Teng, N. Zhang, P. Zhang, N. Zhang and S. Ma, *Bioorg. Med. Chem.*, 2019, **27**, 3179.
- A. Martin-Kohler, J. Widmer, G. Bold, T. Meyer, U. Séquin and P. Traxler, *Helv. Chim. Acta*, 2004, **87**, 956.
- A. Vakalopoulos, F. Wunder, I. V. Hartung, G. Redlich, R. Jautelat, P. Buchgraber, J. Hassfeld, A. V. Gromov, N. Lindner, D. Bierer, J. Gries, W. Kroh, H. Paulsen, J. Mittendorf, D. Lang, E. Becker-Pelster, D. Brockschnieder, V. Geiss, V. Li, A. Straub, A. Knorr, T. Mondritzki, H. Trübel, M. Raschke, M. Schaefer, D. Thomas, P. Sandner, J. P. Stasch and M. Follmann, *J. Med. Chem.*, 2023, **66**, 7280.
- T. L. Gilchrist, O. A. S. Romero and R. C. Wasson, *J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1*, 1989, **2**, 353.
- Y. Mei, P. A. Bentley and J. Du, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2008, **49**, 3802.
- Z. Chen, B. Zhou, H. Cai, W. Zhu and X. Zou, *Green Chem.*, 2009, **11**, 275.
- A. K. Mishra, H. Nagarajiah and J. N. Moorthy, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 2015, 2733.
- P. Swamy, M. A. Kumar, M. M. Reddy and N. Narender, *Chem. Lett.*, 2012, **41**, 432.
- T. Kitamura, Y. Tazawa, M. H. Morshed and S. Kobayashi, *Synthesis*, 2012, **44**, 1159.
- K. Tsuchida, T. Kochi and F. Kakiuchi, *Asian J. Org. Chem.*, 2013, **2**, 935.
- M. Yamaguchi, K. Shibato, H. Nakashima and T. Minami, *Tetrahedron*, 1988, **44**, 4767.
- Y. Tanabe and T. Mukaiyama, *Chem. Lett.*, 1986, **15**, 1813.
- Y. Tanabe, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*, 1989, **62**, 1917.
- T. Xavier, P. Tran, A. Gautreau, E. Le Gall and M. Pisset, *Synthesis*, 2023, **55**, 598.
- R. E. Ireland and J. A. Marshall, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1959, **81**, 2907.
- Y. Takeuchi, K. Akagawa and K. Kudo, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2021, **86**, 17307.
- T. Xavier, S. Condon, C. Pichon, E. Le Gall and M. Pisset, *Org. Lett.*, 2019, **21**, 6135.
- C. Doebelin, Y. He and T. M. Kamenecka, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2016, **57**, 5658.
- T. Hanari, N. Shimada, Y. Kurosaki, N. Thrimurtulu, H. Nambu, M. Anada and S. Hashimoto, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2015, **21**, 11671.
- K. Akagawa and Kazuaki. Kudo, *Chem. Commun.*, 2017, **53**, 8645.
- Y. Takeuchi, S. Kawasaki, K. Akagawa and K. Kudo, *RSC Adv.*, 2022, **12**, 5275.
- S. P. Bew, G. R. Stephenson, J. Rouden, J. Godemert, H. Seylani and L. A. Martinez-Lozano, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2017, **23**, 4557.
- D. W. Brooks, L. D. -L Lu and S. Masamune, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 1979, **18**, 72.
- F. Berrué, S. Antoniotti, O. P. Thomas and P. Amade, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 2007, 1743.
- K. Mikami, M. Terada and H. Matsuzawa, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2002, **41**, 3554.
- K. P. Kepp, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2016, **55**, 9461.
- T. E. Shaw, T. J. Diethrich, C. L. Stern, B. L. Scott, T. Jurca, T. M. Gilbert and A. P. Sattelberger, *Dalton Trans.*, 2022, **51**, 7856.
- C. Vinas, R. Benakki, F. Teixidor and J. Casabo, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1995, **34**, 3844.
- K. C. Fortner and M. D. Shair, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2007, **129**, 1032.
- N. Blaquiere, D. G. Shore, S. Rousseaux and K. Fagnou, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2009, **74**, 6190.



- 36 M. Pinaud, T. Xavier, L. Lin, E. Le Gall and M. Presset, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 2025, **28**, e202500457. New Article Online
DOI: 10.1039/D5OB01966D
- 37 W. Yang, Y. Cao, H. Cheng, Q. Sun and M. Ma, *Lett. Org. Chem.*, 2020, **17**, 788.
- 38 C. E. Holloway and M. Melnik, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 1994, **465**, 1.
- 39 G. L. Tripodi, T. C. Corraera, C. F. F. Angolini, B. R. V. Ferreira, P. Maître, M. N. Eberlin and J. Roithová, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 2019, 3560.
- 40 R. Borrmann, D. Zetschok and H. Wennemers, *Org. Lett.*, 2022, **24**, 8683.
- 41 J. Chen, W. Liu, L. Zhou and Y. Zhao, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2018, **59**, 2526.
- 42 A. Reichelt, J. M. Bailis, M. D. Bartberger, G. Yao, H. Shu, M. R. Kaller, J. G. Allen, M. F. Weidner, K. S. Keegan and J. H. Dao, *Eur. J. Med. Chem.*, 2014, **80**, 364.
- 43 C. Dubiella, H. Cui and M. Groll, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2016, **55**, 13330.
- 44 F. Denonne, F. Atienzar, S. Célanire, B. Christophe, F. Delannois, C. Delaunoy, M. L. Delporte, V. Durieu, M. Gillard, B. Lallemand, Y. Lamberty, G. Lorent, A. Vanbellinthen, N. Van Houtvin, V. Verbois and L. Provins, *ChemMedChem*, 2010, **5**, 206.
- 45 P. Mutai, G. Breuzard, A. Pagano, D. Allegro, V. Peyrot and K. Chibale, *Bioorg. Med. Chem.*, 2017, **25**, 1652.
- 46 T. Kawate, N. Iwase, M. Shimizu, S. A. Stanley, S. Wellington, E. Kazysanskaya and D. T. Hung, *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.*, 2013, **23**, 6052.
- 47 S. T. Dhumal, A. R. Deshmukh, M. R. Bhosle, V. M. Khedkar, L. U. Nawale, D. Sarkar and R. A. Mane, *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.*, 2016, **26**, 3646.
- 48 P. C. Sharma, K. K. Bansal, A. Sharma, D. Sharma and A. Deep, *Eur. J. Med. Chem.*, 2020, **188**, 112016.
- 49 Z. S. Bhat, M. A. Rather, M. Maqbool, H. U. Lah, S. K. Yousuf and Z. Ahmad, *Biomed. Pharmacother.*, 2017, **91**, 265.
- 50 L. M. Deck, M. L. Baca, S. L. Salas, L. A. Hunsaker and D. L. Vander Jagt, *J. Med. Chem.*, 1999, **42**, 4250.
- 51 F. Bellina, A. Carpita, L. Mannocci and R. Rossi, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 2004, 2610.
- 52 I. J. S. Fairlamb, C. T. O'Brien, Z. Lin and K. C. Lam, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2006, **4**, 1213.
- 53 P. Shah, M. D. Santana, J. García, J. L. Serrano, M. Naik, S. Pednekar and A. R. Kapdi, *Tetrahedron*, 2013, **69**, 1446.
- 54 A. M. Prendergast and G. P. McGlacken, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 2017, 4827.
- 55 M. T. Nolan, L. M. Pardo, A. M. Prendergast and G. P. McGlacken, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2015, **80**, 10904.
- 56 A. Clerici, N. Pastori and O. Porta, *Tetrahedron*, 2001, **57**, 217.
- 57 H. O. House and G. H. Rasmusson, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1963, **28**, 27.
- 58 C. R. Harris, S. D. Kuduk, A. Balog, K. Šavin, P. W. Glunz and S. J. Danishefsky, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1999, **121**, 7050.
- 59 T. Aoyama, S. Terasawa, K. Sudo and T. Shioiri, *Chem. Pharm. Bull.*, 1984, **32**, 3759.
- 60 R. Martin and S. L. Buchwald, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2008, **41**, 1461.
- 61 S. Niu, L. Yang, T. Chen, B. Hong, S. Pei, Z. Shao and G. Zhang, *Mar. Drugs*, 2020, **18**, 561.



Data Availability Statement

The data supporting this article have been included as part of the ESI.

