


Organic & Biomolecular Chemistry



Accepted Manuscript

This article can be cited before page numbers have been issued, to do this please use: A. Ciupa, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2025, DOI: 10.1039/D5OB01684C.



This is an Accepted Manuscript, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about Accepted Manuscripts in the <u>Information for Authors</u>.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this Accepted Manuscript or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.



View Article Online DOI: 10.1039/D5OB01684C

ARTICLE

High-throughput DoE synthesis of chalcones and pyrazolines for fluorescent sensing

Received 00th January 20xx, Accepted 00th January 20xx

DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

Alexander Ciupa*

Pyrazolines are attractive scaffolds for fluorescent sensors due to their unique photophysical properties and the ease of their synthesis from chalcone precursors. While both chalcones and pyrazolines have been widely explored, a systematic optimization of their synthesis using design of experiments (DoE) has yet to be reported. Here, we apply a data-driven DoE strategy, evaluating multiple variables across more than 105 datapoints, to establish highly optimized conditions for the Claisen-Schmidt condensation of chalcones and their subsequent conversion to pyrazolines. High-throughput screening of the resulting library revealed pyrazoline **P10** with unusual "turn on" fluorescence at 590 nm in the presence of Zn²⁺ only. This integrated DoE–HTS workflow demonstrates a powerful approach for accelerating sensor discovery.

Introduction

The integration of modern data-driven methodology into synthetic organic chemistry is streamlining synthetic method development.1 Among these, design of experiments (DoE) enables the systematic identification of optimal parameters with a minimal number of experiments, offering a powerful alternative to the traditional one-factor-at-a-time (OFAT) strategy.² For instance, recent examples include the use of DoE in Heck-Suzuki reactions,3 B(OCH2CF3)3-mediated direct amidation,4 and solvent selection for multicomponent reactions.⁵ In parallel, high-throughput screening (HTS) platforms are accelerating the discovery process, with improvements reported in drug development,6 enhanced catalyst screening,7 and solar cell optimisation.8 Both DoE and HTS approaches benefit from modular, easily accessible chemical scaffolds. Chalcones are privileged structures⁹ with a diverse range of pharmacological properties, including anticancer, 10 anti-inflammatory, 11 and anti-infective properties. 12 Typically, chalcones are prepared via a Claisen-Schmidt condensation¹³ from commercially available aromatic ketone and aldehyde starting materials (Fig. 1A). The diverse range of inexpensive, commercially available starting materials enables large modular libraries of chalcones to be synthesized and screened. Interest in Claisen-Schmidt condensations has grown considerably over the past sixty years (Fig. 1B). Importantly, while useful in themselves, chalcones can also serve as valuable precursors for pyrazolines (blue in Fig. 1A), another privileged structure with multiple medicinal properties. 14 Pyrazolines with nanomolar activities for cancer cell lines¹⁵ and COX inhibitory activity have been reported.¹⁶ Pyrazolines can be aromatised to pyrazoles¹⁷ (red in Fig. 1A), providing access to another highly attractive privileged structure. 18 Despite the wealth of applications chalcones and pyrazolines provide, no DoE study has optimised access to these scaffolds. This study addresses

this research gap, providing efficient access to chalcone and pyrazolines *via* DoE while exploring HTS platforms in pursuit of novel fluorescent sensors.





Fig. 1. Access to pyrazoline and pyrazoles *via* the chalcone scaffold (panel A). Claisen-Schmidt condensations reported between 1960 and 2025 *via* the Reaxys database (panel B).

Materials Innovation Factory, University of Liverpool, 51 Oxford Street, Liverpool L7 3NY, UK. E-mail: ciupa@liverpool.ac.uk

Fig. 2. Fluorescent sensors for toxic metals. This study incorporated design of experiments (DoE) and high throughput screening (HTS) for pyrazoline sensor development (inset).

Pyrazolines display unique fluorescence properties for the design of fluorescent sensors for toxic metals such as Zn2+, Cu2+, and Hg²⁺.¹⁹ The modular nature of the pyrazolines scaffold enables fine-tuning of photophysical properties, a useful feature for sensor development. The use of pyrazoline²⁰ and pyrazole²¹ sensors for detecting toxic metals in biological environments represents a growing area of interest. Group 12 sensors, which selectively detect and distinguish Zn2+, Cd2+, and Hg2+, are particularly challenging. Zinc, the second most abundant transition metal in the human body, is vital for life,²² cadmium is highly toxic and linked to numerous cancers,²³ and mercury displays potent neurotoxicity.²⁴ Few fluorescent sensors can differentiate between these metals at different fluorescence wavelengths (λ_{em}) .²⁵ Simple pyrazoline **A** is a highly selective "turn on" sensor for Zn2+ and Cd2+ in MeCN (Fig. 2).26 Further studies revealed pyrazole **B** with improved selectivity for Zn²⁺/ Cd²⁺ (Fig. 2).²⁷ Pyrazoline **C** is a "turn on" sensor for Fe $^{3+}$ / Fe $^{2+}$ with yellow $\lambda_{\text{em}}.^{28}$ Substitution of the pyrazoline N1 methyl for phenyl reversed the fluorescent response from "turn on" to "turn off" (D in Fig. 2).28 The hydrazone functional group is commonly incorporated into multi-analyte fluorescent sensors.²⁹ A recent breakthrough reported first-in-class hydrazone-pyrazolines sensors distinguishing Zn^{2+} at 560 nm, Cd^{2+} at 510 nm, and Hg^{2+} at 460 nm λ_{em} in aqueous environments (E in Fig. 2).30 Typical approaches to chalcones involve the use of polar protic solvents, such as ethanol and methanol, accounting for 50% and 20% of all Claisen-Schmidt condensations reported between 1960-2025. (see ESI S1 for literature survey). Researchers often use previous synthetic methodologies with little to no experimental optimisation reported. This study addresses this research need using a data-driven design of experiments (DoE) approach. A large-scale chalcone library (>1g scale) enabled a second DoE study focusing on pyrazoline synthesis. A pyrazoline library was generated, screened across multiple analytes using a HTS platform. This workflow significantly accelerated the discovery process, providing a valuable case study on incorporating data-driven methodology in synthetic organic chemistry.



standard

2-Acetylpyridine

Fig. 3. Claisen—Schmidt condensation for **C1** monitored *via* LC-MS, starting materials 2-acetylpyridine and benzaldehyde to chalcone **C1** with acetaminophen used as an internal standard.

Time (min)

LC-MS method to monitor the Claisen-Schmidt An condensation reaction was established (Fig. 3). An internal standard (acetaminophen) was added to all reaction aliquots ensuring injection consistency, and external calibration curves were generated (see ESI S3 for full details). A typical chromatogram is displayed in Fig. 3 demonstrating the conversion of 2-acetylpyridine and benzaldehyde to C1. A screening study to confirm the suitability of the LC-MS method and determine the main DoE parameters was performed (ESI S4). Solvent, consisting of the bulk of the reaction mixture, had a significant impact on chalcone yield. The equivalent of NaOH, temperature, and time were also identified as significant factors and selected for DoE analysis. While this one factor at a time (OFAT) approach identified suitable conditions, it did not identify interconnected variables or provide a system-wide analysis. A DoE workflow was established (Fig. 4) to screen multiple variables; each performed in triplicate. This experimental design provided 45 datapoints to determine the optimum conditions for the Claisen-Schmidt condensation reaction¹³ for chalcone synthesis using a system-wide approach.




Fig. 4. DoE workflow for optimum chalcone synthesis using 45 individual datapoints.

Journal Name ARTICLE




Fig. 5. DoE summary, optimal parameters are displayed in red (panel A). An excellent predictive model (R^2 = 0.97) was generated with confidence interval displayed in red (panel C).

A least squares fit DoE model was generated with an R2 value of 0.97 and a P-value of < 0.001, indicating good statistical modelling (Fig. 5C). This model was then used to predict various reaction conditions (Fig. 5A). Solvent was confirmed as influencing the reaction yield, with the polar protic solvents MeOH, EtOH, and IPA providing the best yields. Polar aprotic solvent MeCN and chlorinated solvent dichloromethane should be avoided. Interestingly, the use of 0.2 equivalent NaOH was the most efficient alongside 4°C reaction temperature. Further increases in catalyst and temperature were detrimental to reaction yield. Time had a minor influence on reaction yield, with 4 hrs and 8 hrs the optimal. Using the above model and the 12 principles of green chemistry,31 particularly atom economy and energy efficiency, the following conditions were selected: EtOH, 0.2 equiv. NaOH, 4°C and 8 hrs. These reaction conditions were then used to synthesise a structurally diverse library of 27 chalcones in excellent yield. Performing these reactions on a 10 mmol scale enabled gram-scale purification of chalcones directly via recrystallization preventing the requirement for time-consuming column chromatography.

Scheme 1 DoE optimised chalcone synthesis.

200		9	64
R ₂	€ N		
10	C1	C10	C19
	83%	72%	72%
p ^d Q	C2	C11	C20
	72%	73%	76%
100	C3	C12	C21
	86%	77%	79%
pd ONO2	C4	C13	C22
	60%	84%	72%
p ^d OMe	C5	C14	C23
	75%	60%	70%
graf OMe	C6	C15	C24
	89%	65%	89%
oMe	C7	C16	C25
OMe	87%	74%	95%
	C8	C17	C26
	92%	84%	76%
	C9	C18	C27
	77%	73%	75%

Table 1. Chalcone library using DoE derived conditions.

The high yield for chalcones **C1-C27** provides further confirmation of the predictive properties of the DoE model and validates the chosen reaction conditions (Table 1). These reaction conditions are likely to be suitable for future chalcone research beyond sensing, for example medicinal chemistry. These chalcones then served as precursors for a pyrazoline synthesis DoE and, in turn, a pyrazoline library. A suitable DoE approach was developed to determine the optimal reaction conditions for the conversion of chalcone **C1** to pyrazoline **P1**. The same LC-MS method was utilized to monitor the conversion of **C1** to **P1** with a DoE workflow established to screen solvent, phenylhydrazine equivalent, temperature, and time (Fig. 6).

This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 3.0 Unported Licence.

Open Access Article. Published on 23 December 2025. Downloaded on 12/24/2025 9:20:57 PM.

Solvent MeOH/EtOH/IPA Hydrazine 2.0/3.0/4.0 equivalents Temperature 4 °C/20 °C/50 °C/80 °C Time 4 hrs/8 hrs/24 hrs 12 Principles of Green Chemistry P1 P2 P3 Optimum parameters for pyrazoline library Optimum parameters for pyrazoline formation

Fig. 6. DoE workflow for optimum pyrazoline synthesis using 60 individual datapoints.




Fig. 7. DoE summary, optimal parameters are displayed in red (panel A). A good predictive model (R^2 = 0.93) was generated with confidence interval displayed in red (panel C).

This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 3.0 Unported Licence


Open Access Article. Published on 23 December 2025. Downloaded on 12/24/2025 9:20:57 PM

Journal Name

ARTICLE

A least squares fit DoE model was generated with EtOH identified as the optimal medium for the reaction (Fig. 7). Interestingly, temperature exerted only a minor influence on pyrazoline formation, with 4 °C providing the best outcome when used in combination with 3.0 equivalents of hydrazine.

and P9) for a highly electronegative nitro group profoundly modified the response to Zn2+ and Cd2+Dahid Warrants FUHTHER investigation. With a library of 11 pyrazolines in hand, a highthroughput screening (HTS) assay was developed using a standard laboratory fluorescence plate reader using a 96-well format. The responses to eleven different metal ions (250 μM)



EtOH 4°C 3.0 equiv. hydrazine 24 hrs

Scheme 2 DoE optimised pyrazoline synthesis.

Reaction time showed a linear correlation with product yield, and 24 hours was determined to be optimal. Guided by this optimization model and the 12 Principles of Green Chemistry,31 the final reaction conditions were selected as EtOH, 3.0 equiv. hydrazine, 4 °C, and 24 h (Scheme 2). Under these conditions, a structurally diverse pyrazoline library comprising 11 potential sensors was synthesized in good to excellent yields (Fig. 8). Reactions performed on a 2 mmol scale generated sufficient material for purification via recrystallization, eliminating the need for time-consuming column chromatography. This approach was essential for achieving rapid synthesis and enabling high-throughput screening for desirable sensing properties. A preliminary fluorescence screen was performed to identify promising sensors in the presence of Zn²⁺ and Cd²⁺ (Fig. 8). Pyrazoline P1-P3, all containing a phenyl-substituted pyrazoline, displayed negligible changes in fluorescence emission with Zn2+ and Cd2+. Interestingly, the pyridinesubstituted analogues P7-P9 did display a slight preference for Zn²⁺ over Cd²⁺ with green fluorescence emission. This observation suggested that the addition of nitrogen may be beneficial to group 12 metal selectivity. Pyrazoline P11 displayed a "turn off" response, indicating the naphthyl unit is impacting the fluorescence response. The lead sensor was identified as P10, displaying both a nitro-substituted ring and pyridyl units. A highly unusual yellow fluorescence emission was observed in the presence of Zn2+, but not with Cd2+. The substitution of an electronegative halogen (as observed in P8

across each pyrazoline (50 μM) were measured in duplicate in acetonitrile.

Fig. 8. Pyrazoline library with initial Zn2+ and Cd2+ screening using a 100W 365nm lamp.




Fig. 9. High-throughput screening (HTS) of pyrazoline P1-P11 using a fluorescence plate reader, λ_{ex} 360 nm, each result is average spectra from duplicate measurements. Colour indicates change in fluorescence, red represents an increase, blue a decrease and green no change in λ_{em} .

This approach was designed to rapidly screen for fluorescence sensing properties in the 380-650 nm range using 360 nm excitation. (See ESI for full analysis, see Fig. 9 for heatmap summary). Pyrazoline P1, P3-5, P7-P8, and P10 all displayed a "turn on" response to a range of group 12 metals. The paramagnetic metals Fe³⁺, Ru³⁺, and Cu²⁺ displayed a "turn off" response across all pyrazolines; this is commonly observed in the literature.32 The biological metals Na+, Li+, and Mg2+ did not alter the fluorescence response, and therefore these sensors are unsuitable for monitoring these analytes. The metal screen

ARTICLE Journal Name

for **P10** is of particular interest as there is a "turn on" response only for Zn^{2+} , not Cd^{2+} or Hg^{2+} . The Stokes shift for **P10** is also unusually large, >240 nm and this is advantageous for sensing applications. This suggests **P10** could be a highly useful Zn^{2+} specific sensor and will be explored in future work. In summary, a combined DoE and HTS workflow has been established to rapidly synthesise a pyrazoline library from chalcone precursors in high yield. These sensors were rapidly screened for desirable sensing properties, resulting in the unexpected discovery of sensor **P10** with future potential.

Conclusion

This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 3.0 Unported Licence

Open Access Article. Published on 23 December 2025. Downloaded on 12/24/2025 9:20:57 PM

The optimised synthetic conditions for chalcone and pyrazoline formation has been determined using a data-driven design of experiments (DoE) approach (Fig 10). These conditions (EtOH, 0.2 equiv. NaOH, 4°C and 8 hrs) provided a diverse chalcone library of 27 compounds in good to excellent yield (60-93%, Table 1). The optimal conditions for pyrazoline formation (EtOH, 3.0 equiv. hydrazine, 4 °C, and 24 h) were determined and used to generate a pyrazoline library also in good to excellent yield (11-94%, Fig. 8).

Fig 10. All DoE factors evaluated, with the best conditions in bold.




Fig. 11. Future workflow incorporating design of experiments (DoE), high-throughput screen (HTS), and intermediate (ML) to accelerate the discovery process (panel A). Potential outputs include ML-derived sensors for specific metals and the discovery of pyrazoline-based molecular logic gates (panel B).

The establishment of an HTS assay enabled the rapid identification of fluorescent properties to a range of metals, resulting in the highly expected discovery of P10 with highly unusual yellow fluorescent emission in the presence of Zn²⁺ only. This workflow demonstrates the significant advantages of incorporating modern data-driven methodology into synthetic organic chemistry. This approach is ideally suited to machine learning (ML) applications in which a large, structurally diverse, and modular chemical library is synthesized and screened, resulting in large datasets of consistent data.33 This dataset can then be used to train ML models to predict and design novel compounds with specific properties (Fig. 11A). These, in turn, are generated and screened, and the resulting data used to improve the model further. A key requirement for this strategy is easily accessible scaffolds that are highly modular, using inexpensive reagents. As highlighted within, pyrazolines are ideally suited to this approach. Potential applications of such workflows include the generation of ML-derived sensors for specific metals and the development of molecular logic gates (Fig. 10B).34 Numerous recent pyrazolines have been reported as logic gates,³⁵ and the application of ML will accelerate development further. This is future work and will be reported in due course.

Acknowledgements

Krzysztof Pawlak is acknowledged for assistance with development of the high throughput screening assay and Steven Robinson for assistance with time-of-flight high resolution mass spectrometry. This work made use of shared equipment located at the Materials Innovation Factory, created as part of the UK Research Partnership Innovation Fund (Research England) and co-funded by the Sir Henry Royce Institute.

Author contributions

Open Access

Journal Name ARTICLE

Alexander Ciupa designed, synthesized, characterised all compounds, and authored the manuscript.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Data availability

The data supporting this article have been included as part of the ESI.†

- Selected examples (a) A. F. de Almeida, R. Moreira and T. Rodrigues, Nat. Rev Chem., 2019, 3, 589; (b) L. Himanen, A. Geurts, A. S. Foster and P. Rinke, Adv. Sci., 2019, 6, 1900808; (c) J. Y. Peng, D. Schwalbe-Koda, K. Akkiraju, T. Xie, L. Giordano, Y. Yu, C. J. Eom, J. R. Lunger, D. J. Zheng, R. R. Rao, S. Muy, J. C. Grossman, K. Reuter, R. Gómez-Bombarelli and Y. Shao-Horn, Nat. Rev. Mater., 2022, 7, 991.
- 2 K. Telford, Johns Hopkins APL Tech. Dig., 2007, 27, 224.
- 3 A. Ekebergh, C. Lingblom, P. Sandin, C. Wennerås and J. Mårtensson, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2015, **13**, 3382.
- V. Karaluka, R. M. Lanigan, P. M. Murray, M. Badland and T. D. Sheppard, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2015, 13, 10888.
- 5 P. M. Murray, F. Bellany, L. Benhamou, D.-K. Bučar, A. B. Tabor and T. D. Sheppard, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2016, 14, 2373.
- 6 J. R. Broach and J. Thorner, *Nature*, 1996, **384**, 14.
- 7 S. M. Senkan, Nature, 1998, 394, 350.
- 8 X. Rodríguez-Martínez, E. Pascual-San-José and M. Campoy-Quiles, Energy Environ. Sci., 2021, 14, 3301.
- P. Singh, A. Anand and V. Kumar, Eur. J. Med. Chem., 2014, 85, 758
- 10 Selected examples (a) C. Karthikeyan, N. S. H. Narayana Moorthy, S. Ramasamy, U. Vanam, E. Manivannan, D. Karunagaran and P. Trivedi, Recent Pat. Anti-Cancer Drug Discovery, 2015, 10, 97; (b) S. Ducki, R. Forrest, J. A. Hadfield, A. Kendall, N. J. Lawrence, A. T. McGown and D. Rennison, Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett., 1998, 8, 1051; (c) A. Ciupa, N. J. Griffiths, S. K. Light, P. J. Wood and L. Caggiano, Med. Chem. Commun., 2011, 2, 1011.
- 11 Selected examples (a) Z. Nowakowska, Eur. J. Med. Chem., 2007, 42, 125; (b) A. Yadav, V. Sharma and G. Singh, ChemistrySelect, 2024, 9, e202401321.
- 12 D. K. Mahapatra, S. K. Bharti and V. Asati, Eur. J. Med. Chem., 2015, 101, 496.
- 13 Selected examples (a) L. Claisen and A. Claparède, Ber. Dtsch. Chem. Ges., 1881, 14, 2460; (b) J. G. Schmidt, Ber. Dtsch. Chem. Ges., 1881, 14, 1459; (c) D. Coutinho, H. G. Machado, V. H. Carvalho-Silva and W. A. da Silva, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 2021, 23, 6738; (d) C. L. Perrin and J. Woo, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 2022, 24, 18978.
- 14 B. Varghese, S. N. Al-Busafi, F. O. Suliman and S. M. Z. Al-Kindy, RSC Adv., 2017, 7, 46999.
- 15 D. Matiadis and M. Sagnou, *Int. J. Mol. Sci.*, 2020, **21**, 5507.
- 16 M. Mantzanidou, E. Pontiki, D. Hadjipavlou-Litina, Molecules, 2021, 26, 3439.
- 17 Selected examples (a) S. Hofmann , M. Linden , J. Neuner , F. N. Weber and S. R. Waldvogel , Org. Biomol. Chem., 2023, 21, 4694; (b) S. V. Gamapwar, N. P. Tale and N. N. Karade, Synth. Commun., 2012, 42, 2617; (c) A. Ciupa, New J. Chem., 2024, 48, 13900.

- 18 Selected examples (a) M. A. Alam, Future Med. Chew Mice 2023 15, 2011; (b) T. Sarwar, G. Mustafa, Wo Zafar, രൂ. ക്രാ പ്രാദ്യമാരുക്കാരുന്നു. H. Sumrra and A. Asif, J. Mol. Struc., 2025, 1348, 143523.
- 19 Selected examples (a) M. Z. Alam, S. Ahmad, S. A. Khan, J. Fluoresc., 2025, 35, 1241; (b) M. Mohasin and S. A. Khan, J. Fluoresc., 2025, 35, 2553; (c) M. Z. Alam, M. Mohasin, S. Ahmad, U. Salma and S. A. Khan, J. Coord. Chem., 2025, 78, 367.
- Selected examples (a) Z. Zhang, F. W. Wang, S. Q. Wang, F. Ge, B. X. Zhao and J. Y. Miao, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2012, 10, 8640; (b) R. Manjunath and P. Kannan, New J. Chem., 2018, 42, 10891; (c) Y. S. Yang, F. N. Wang, Y. P. Zhang, F. Yang and J. J. Xue, J. Fluoresc., 2024, 34, 159; (d) Y. P. Zhang, Y. Y. Dong, Y. S. Yang, H. C. Guo, B. X. Cao and S. Q. Sun, Spectrochim. Acta, Part A, 2017, 177, 147; (e) T. T. Zhang, F. W. Wang, M. M. Li, J. T. Liu, J. Y. Miao and B. X. Zhao, Sens. Actuators, B, 2013, 186, 755.
- 21 Selected examples (a) S. Gond, P. Yadav, A. Singh, S. Garai, A. Shekher, S. C. Gupta and V. P. Singh, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2023, 21, 4482; (b) A. Tigreros and J. Portilla, Curr. Chin. Sci., 2021, 1, 197; (c) S. Saha, A. De, A. Ghosh, A. Ghosh, K. Bera, K. S. Das, S. Akhtar, N. C. Maiti, A. K. Das, B. B. Das and R. Mondal, RSC Adv., 2021, 11, 10094; (d) A. Dhara, N. Guchhait, I. Mukherjee, A. Mukherjee and S. Chandra Bhattacharya, RSC Adv., 2016, 6, 105930.
- 22 C. T. Chasapis, C. A. Spiliopoulou, A. C. Loutsidou and M. E. Stefanidou, *Arch. Toxicol.*, 2012, **86**, 521.
- 23 G. Genchi, S. M. Sinicropi, G. Lauria, A. Carocci and A. Catalano, *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health*, 2020, 17, 3782.
- 24 Y.S. Wu, A.I. Osman, M. Hosny, A. M. Elgarahy, A. S. Eltaweil, D. W. Rooney, Z. Chen, N. S. Rahim, M. Sekar, S. C. B. Gopinath, N. N. Mat Rani, K. Batumalaie, P. S. Yap, ACS Omega 2024, 9, 5100.
- 25 Selected examples: (a) Z. Xu, K.-H. Baek, H. N. Kim, J. Cui, X. Qian, D. R. Spring, I. Shin and J. Yoon, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2010, 132, 601; (b) Y. Tan, J. Gao, J. Yu, Z. Wang, Y. Cui, Y. Yang and G. Qian, Dalton Trans., 2013, 42, 11465; (c) G. Tian, Y.-Z. Han and Q. Yang, J. Mol. Struct., 2023, 1273, 134341.
- 26 A. Ciupa, M. F. Mahon, P. A. De Bank and L. Caggiano, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2012, **10**, 8753.
- 27 A. Ciupa, RSC Adv., 2024, **14**, 3519.
- 28 A. Ciupa, RSC Adv., 2024, 14, 34918.
- 29 A. Ciupa, RSC Adv., 2025, 15, 3465.
- 30 A. Ciupa, Analytical Methods, 2025, **17**, 5782.
- 31 Selected examples (a) P. Anastas and N. Eghbali, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2010, 39, 301 (b) R. A. Sheldon, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2012, 41, 1437; (c) R. A. Sheldon, Chem. Commun., 2008, 3352.
- 32 Selected examples (a) Z. Zhang, F.-W. Wang, S.-Q. Wang, F. Ge, B.-X. Zhao and J.-Y. Miao, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2012, **10**, 8640; (b) Z. L. Gong, F. Ge and B.-X. Zhao, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2011, **159**, 148; (c) M. M. Li, F. Wu, X. Y. Wang, T. T. Zhang, Y. Wu, Y. Xiao, J. Y. Miao and B.-X. Zhao, *Anal. Chim. Acta*, 2014, **826**, 77.
- 33 J. Vamathevan, D. Clark, P. Czodrowski, I. Dunham, E. Ferran, G. Lee, B. Li, A. Madabhushi, P. Shah, M. Spitzer and S. R. Zhao, Nat. Rev. Drug Discovery, 2019, 18, 463.
- 34 A. Ciupa, RSC Adv., 2025, 15, 10565.
- 35 Selected examples (a) D. C. Magri and A. A. Camilleri, Chem. Commun., 2023, 59, 4459; (b) D. Sammut, N. Bugeja, K. Szaciłowski and D. C. Magri, New J. Chem., 2022, 46, 15042; (c) N. Zerafa, M. Cini and D. C. Magri, Mol. Syst. Des. Eng., 2021, 6, 93.

Data Availability Statement

View Article Online DOI: 10.1039/D5OB01684C

The data supporting this article have been included as part of the of ESI