



Cite this: *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2025, **23**, 992

Received 29th October 2024,
Accepted 3rd December 2024

DOI: 10.1039/d4ob01746c

rsc.li/obc

Recent advances in asymmetric synthesis via cyclopropanol intermediates

Marharyta Laktsevich-Iskryk, ^a Alaksiej Hurski, ^{b,c} Maksim Ošeka ^a and Dzmitry Kananovich ^{*a}

Cyclopropanols have attracted significant attention in organic synthesis as versatile three-carbon synthons, as this readily available class of donor-activated cyclopropanes undergoes miscellaneous transformations, either *via* ring-opening or with retention of the cyclopropane ring. This review summarizes stereoselective and stereoretentive transformations suitable for asymmetric synthesis. The utility of cyclopropanols is discussed for two main strategies: (i) substrate-controlled transformations using enantiomerically enriched cyclopropanol intermediates through a traditional approach, and (ii) the use of nonchiral or racemic cyclopropanols, where asymmetric induction is achieved through a chiral catalyst, representing a direction that has recently emerged.

1. Introduction

Cyclopropanols and related oxocyclopropanes are versatile intermediates and valuable C₃ building blocks in organic syn-

^aDepartment of Chemistry and Biotechnology, Tallinn University of Technology, Akadeemia tee 15, 12618 Tallinn, Estonia. E-mail: dzmitry.kananovich@taltech.ee

^bRepublican Scientific Center of Human Issues, Belarusian State University, Minsk 220064, Belarus

^cScientific Testing Center Campilab Ltd., Dynaraūka 222202, Belarus



Marharyta Laktsevich-Iskryk

Marharyta Laktsevich-Iskryk earned her bachelor's degree from Belarusian State University in 2016. During her undergraduate studies, she joined Dr Alaksiej Hurski's group in the Laboratory of Steroidal Chemistry at the Institute of Bioorganic Chemistry (Minsk, Belarus), where she conducted research on transition metal catalysis and photoredox-catalysed transformations of cyclopropanols. After obtaining her master's degree, she visited the Tallinn University of Technology (TalTech), where she explored the enantioselective synthesis of cyclopropanols with Dr Dzmitry Kananovich. In 2023, Marharyta began her PhD studies at TalTech under the supervision of Professor Maksim Ošeka, focusing on enantioselective electrochemical synthesis.


thesis, attracting significant interest in recent decades.^{1–8} The popularity of these cyclopropane derivatives stems from ease of preparation^{1,9,10} and diverse reactivity, primarily in ring cleavage reactions. The latter occur under mild conditions, driven by the release of the cyclopropane ring strain (28 kcal mol^{−1}) and facilitated by virtue of an electron-donating oxy-substituent (Scheme 1a).¹¹ This unique combination of structural features makes cyclopropanols **1** (Y = H) and their derivatives (Y ≠ H) particularly sensitive to electrophilic reagents and single electron oxidants, which induce either heterolytic or



Alaksiej Hurski

Alaksiej Hurski graduated from Belarusian State University (Minsk, Belarus) in 2005. In 2011, he received his Ph.D. under the supervision of Professor Oleg Kulinkovich. His current research focuses on the photochemical reactions of titanium alkoxides, the reactions of cyclopropanols, and the synthesis of natural products.





Scheme 1 (a) Overview of cyclopropanol chemistry. (b) Cyclopropanols as intermediates in asymmetric synthesis.

homolytic cleavage of the cyclopropane C–C bonds adjacent to oxygen and produce metal homoenolates **A** (path a) or β -keto radicals **B** (path b) as transient species, respectively.^{1–8} Additional ring-opening opportunities are offered by cationic cyclopropyl-allyl rearrangement (path c), which delivers transient allylic cations **C**, and by ring expansion *via* semipinacol rearrangement, resulting in cyclobutanones **2** (path d). Beyond these mainstream ring cleavage processes, cyclopropanols can serve as precursors to other cyclopropane derivatives, notably through the formal substitution of an oxy substituent (path e) and very recently emerging examples of C–H functionalization methodologies (path f).



Maksim Ošeka

Maksim Ošeka is an Assistant Professor at the Tallinn University of Technology (TalTech), Estonia, where he focuses on advancing organic synthesis, including photochemistry, electrochemistry, asymmetric organocatalysis, and flow chemistry. He earned his PhD from TalTech in 2017 under the supervision of Professor Tõnis Kanger, specializing in asymmetric organocatalysis. As a visiting PhD student with the Melchiorre group at ICIQ in Spain, he contributed to developing a new photocatalytic enantioselective alkylation reaction. Maksim then pursued postdoctoral research under Professor Timothy Noël at the Eindhoven University of Technology, the Netherlands, working on electrochemical flow methods, before returning to TalTech in 2021 to launch his independent career.

The aim of this review is to illuminate the diversity of stereoselective and stereoretentive transformations of cyclopropanols, suitable for asymmetric synthesis. We focused primarily, but not exclusively, on developments from the past decade, especially those not covered in previous reviews.^{1–8,12–14} The reported transformations have been categorized into two main strategies (Scheme 1b). In the first part (section 2), we review transformations in which the stereochemical integrity of the original stereocenters in the starting cyclopropanols is preserved in the products. This group of traditional methods was the first to emerge historically and it employs enantiomerically enriched cyclopropanol intermediates, available from the



Dzmitry Kananovich

Dzmitry Kananovich obtained his doctoral degree in 2008 under the guidance of Professor Oleg Kulinkovich at Belarusian State University (BSU), Minsk, Belarus. He continued working with Professor Kulinkovich on the asymmetric synthesis of cyclopropanols, first at BSU and later at the Tallinn University of Technology (TalTech) from 2011, in collaboration with Professor Margus Lopp. In 2015, he began his independent career, focusing on cyclopropanol and cyclopropylamine chemistry. His current research interests include organometallic chemistry, cyclopropane chemistry, and the use of mechanochemistry as a green technique in organic synthesis, developed within the Supramolecular Chemistry group at TalTech (with Professor Riina Aav).



chiral pool or through asymmetric synthesis. The second strategy (section 3) appeared very recently and it uses readily available nonchiral or racemic cyclopropanols to generate prochiral intermediates, with asymmetric induction provided by a chiral catalyst.

2. Enantioenriched cyclopropanol intermediates: substrate-controlled transformations

2.1. Enantioselective synthesis of cyclopropanols

Within this strategy, the stereochemical outcome is governed by the cyclopropanol substrate itself, necessitating the preservation of the original stereocenters and enantiomeric purity. Consequently, the synthesis of enantiomerically pure cyclopropanols is essential for this approach and represents the main challenge. Here, we only briefly outline the methods for preparing enantiomerically enriched cyclopropanols and discuss current limitations. A comprehensive description is outside the scope of this review. Recent focused review articles can be recommended for a more detailed overview of the topic.^{10,15}

The primary strategies for the preparation of enantioenriched cyclopropanols are presented in Scheme 2. These include functional group interconversion in cyclopropane precursors (method a), cyclopropanation of esters with alkoxytitanacyclop propane reagents (the Kulinkovich reaction, method b),¹⁶ cyclopropanation of alkenes with carbenes and carbenoids (method c; *e.g.*, the Simmons–Smith reaction),¹⁷ addition reactions to cyclopropenes (method d),^{18,19} and intramolecular 1,3-cyclization of homoenolates²⁰ and similar 1,3-dipolar synthons (method e).^{21,22} Finally, given the easy access to racemic cyclopropanols, kinetic resolution (method f) is a compelling addition to synthetic methods a–e.


As a rule, the preparation of enantioenriched cyclopropanols from the chiral pool by means of substrate-controlled

asymmetric induction is a relatively straightforward task by using all these approaches and has been extensively applied in the synthesis of natural and bioactive products *via* cyclopropanol intermediates.^{5,21,23}

In the functional group interconversion methods, Baeyer–Villiger oxidation of cyclopropyl ketones 2 is historically the first method²⁴ used to prepare enantiopure cyclopropanols (Scheme 3a). This method preserves the configuration and enantiomeric purity of the starting cyclopropyl ketone. Recently, this transformation was applied to the synthesis of cyclopropoxy building block 4 for the preparation of the anti-viral drug grazoprevir.²⁵


Another general and widely applied method following the same strategy is based on the oxidation of readily available organoelement derivatives of cyclopropane (M = B, Si, Cu, Mg, Li) with peroxide-type oxidants (Scheme 3b). This oxidation generally occurs under mild conditions with retention of configuration and enantiomeric purity. Most commonly, enantiopure organoboron derivatives of cyclopropane are used as precursors.²⁶ Marek and co-workers showed that organocopper and organomagnesium derivatives (*e.g.*, 6), which are available *via* chiral phosphine ligand-controlled carbocupration²⁷ or carbomagnesiation¹⁹ of cyclopropenes (5), could be used similarly. Notably, the carbomagnesiation method¹⁹ can also operate as a catalytic asymmetric reaction, affording the respective cyclopropanol products with 80–98% ee and excellent diastereoselectivity. Another notable general methodology was developed recently by Lindsay and co-workers.²⁸ They demonstrated that diverse enantioenriched (94–96% ee) 1,2-disubstituted cyclopropanols 1 could be obtained from optically active sulfonylcyclopropanes 7 by oxidation of cyclopropyllithium derivatives 8 followed by treatment of intermediate 1-sulfonylcyclopropanols 9 with Grignard reagents (Scheme 3b).

By contrast to the chiral pool approaches, asymmetric synthesis enabled by a chiral catalyst can be challenging due to a lack of enantioselectivity, substrate scope limitations, or the total absence of an asymmetric variant. For example, the Kulinkovich reaction is predominantly used in the synthesis of nonchiral or racemic cyclopropanols, and can be equally successful for substrate-controlled cyclopropanation of chiral esters and/or alkenes.^{5,29,30} However, its asymmetric variant, based on the use of titanium(IV) TADDOLate complexes,³¹ is much less frequently utilized. This is because it may deliver variable yields and enantioselectivities depending on the cyclopropanol product, and achieving high 84–87% ee values requires stoichiometric amounts (1–2 equiv.) of chiral titanium alkoxide (Scheme 4).^{32,33} The catalytic version (10–20 mol% of the titanium catalyst) results in decreased ee values,³⁴ likely due to the degradation of pentacoordinated titanium “ate-type” intermediates into less sterically hindered tetrahedral species.³³ The mechanism-driven design of chiral ligands that prevent this degradation and stabilize or mimic the spatial structure of the ate complex intermediates may be crucial for developing a robust and highly enantioselective catalytic method.




Scheme 2 Strategies (a–f) for the preparation of enantioenriched cyclopropanols. Approaches b–d and f for which asymmetric catalytic versions are known, are marked with a green highlight.






Scheme 3 Preparation of enantiomerically pure cyclopropanols via functional group interconversion and through addition to cyclopropenes. General methods and examples of recent developments. TFFA = trifluoroacetic anhydride.



Scheme 4 Recent examples of the asymmetric construction of cyclopropanols via enantioselective Kulinkovich reaction.

Kinetic resolution of cyclopropyl acetate esters *via* enzymatic hydrolysis with *Candida antarctica* B lipase (CAL-B) was first demonstrated by Pietruszka and co-workers in the late 1990s.³⁵ Following this seminal work, non-enzymatic methods remained unexploited until very recently, when Yoshikai and co-workers reported the first examples.^{36,37} Thus, enantiomerically enriched cyclopropanol (S,S)-1a was obtained in 32% yield and 84% ee *via* kinetic resolution through a ring-opening reaction using diethyl zinc and amino alcohol (1R,2S)-14 as




Scheme 5 Kinetic resolution of cyclopropanol 1a by Yoshikai and co-workers.

the catalytic system (Scheme 5).³⁷ However, the theoretical maximum yield of 50% represents a significant limitation of the kinetic resolution approach. Consequently, the development of dynamic kinetic resolution methods is highly appealing. Observations that cyclopropanols can undergo reversible ring-opening and ring-closure through the respective metal homoenolates,³⁸ the configurational lability of stereocenters in organometallic compounds,³⁹ and the generation of a single cyclopropanol diastereomer by intramolecular cyclization of diastereomeric β -metalloketone intermediates²⁰ suggest the feasibility of such methods, which are currently lacking.

2.2. Stereo-retentive ring opening into β -functionalized carbonyl compounds

The ring-opening of enantioenriched cyclopropanols is the most commonly applied method. This approach dates back to the 1960s with the works of DePuy and co-workers,²⁴ who performed stereochemical studies on the ring-opening reactions of an optically active cyclopropanol 1b to elucidate the mechanism of ring cleavage (Scheme 6). They demonstrated that the




Scheme 6 (a) Early results of DePuy and co-workers on the stereochemistry of ring-opening reactions of optically active cyclopropanol **1b**. (b) Current approaches (i)–(iii) for the synthesis of α -alkyl ketones by regioselective C1–C3 ring opening of cyclopropanols.

ring opening of **1b** occurred at the most substituted C1–C2 bond with inversion and retention of configuration at the C-2 stereocenter in aqueous base and acid, respectively.²⁴ Subsequently, the same group provided evidence for the inversion of configuration at the point of electrophilic attack upon the action of electrophilic halogens⁴⁰ and mercury(II) acetate.⁴¹ Importantly, the reaction of **1b** with the latter predominantly occurred *via* cleavage of the C1–C3 bond without affecting the stereocenter at C-2. These seminal results have paved the way for creating stereocenters in acyclic systems *via* the ring-opening reactions of chiral cyclopropanol intermediates, particularly in the synthesis of chiral α -alkyl ketones. It is crucial to note that carbonyl compounds with an α -stereocenter are prone to racemization under acidic or basic conditions. Hence, the reaction conditions become essential for preserving the C-2 stereocenter intact. Known transformations that meet this criterion are detailed in this section below. Unfortunately, despite the relatively large number of known ring-opening transformations of cyclopropanols into functionalized carbonyl compounds, data on product epimerization and the behaviour of 1,2-disubstituted cyclopropanols are still lacking for many newly discovered reactions. We believe that the stereochemical aspects of such newly discovered transformations should be rigorously investigated whenever possible. It is essential to include 1,2-disubstituted cyclopropanols, preferably in enantiomerically enriched form, in the substrate scope.

C1–C3 regioselectivity of the ring-opening is usually observed in the catalytic isomerization of 1,2-dialkylsubstituted cyclopropanols to α -methyl ketones **19** (Scheme 6b, path i), reactions with halogen or carbon-centered electrophiles (path ii), and transition metal-promoted generation of homoenolates **A** (path iii). 2-Aryl- or heteroaryl-substituted cyclopropanols (e.g. **1b**) may not follow the same regioselectivity rule

favouring C1–C2 cleavage due to electrophilic attack at the activated benzylic C-2 carbon.

Isomerization to α -methyl ketones can be easily performed by heating 1,2-disubstituted cyclopropanols in the presence of potassium hydroxide.⁴² However, strongly basic conditions are detrimental to the epimerization-sensitive α -stereocenter. Therefore, alternative catalytic systems, including $[\text{Pt}(\text{C}_2\text{H}_4)\text{Cl}_2]_2$ (Zeise's dimer),^{43,44} Pd/C ,^{45,46} EtZnCH_2I ⁴⁷ and $\text{Mg}(\text{OMe})_2$,²⁹ are shown to be suitable for the task (Scheme 7). Mechanistically, these reactions likely involve the generation of homoenolate (β -metallocyclobutane) intermediates, followed by a protodemettalation step. For example, the application of a platinum catalyst was first reported by Hoberg and Jennings,⁴³ followed by Funk and co-workers⁴⁴ who additionally demonstrated similar catalytic properties of a dimeric iridium complex, $[\text{Cp}^*\text{IrCl}_2]_2$. The reaction with the Pt complex was proposed to occur *via* the insertion of Pt into the C1–C3 bond of the cyclopropane ring following by rearrangement of the metallocyclobutane intermediate **A** into the corresponding Pt homoenolate **B** (Scheme 7a).⁴³ Although the original articles described the preparation of racemic ketones (e.g., **19a** and **19b**), Cha and co-workers demonstrated stereo-retentive isomerization using a platinum catalyst to prepare α -methyl ketone **19c**, a key intermediate in the synthesis of the ingenol core.⁴⁸ Recently, Newhouse and co-workers successfully



Scheme 7 Stereo-retentive isomerization of cyclopropanols into α -methyl ketones (cp = cyclopropanol).




employed similar conditions for the isomerization of a chiral cyclopropanol intermediate in the final stage of the total synthesis of (–)-xylogranatopyridine B.⁴⁹


Hurski and co-workers discovered magnesium methylate, $Mg(OMe)_2$, as a non-precious metal catalyst for the stereo-retentive isomerization of a broad range of 1,2-disubstituted cyclopropanols,²⁹ including enantiomerically pure steroid-derived substrates.⁵⁰ The respective α -methyl ketone products (e.g., **19d** and **19e**, Scheme 7b) were obtained in good yields with negligible epimerization. The reagent acts as a mild base, and the magnesium cation is likely coordinating to the cyclopropanol hydroxyl group during the reaction. However, notable epimerization (degradation of ee up to 20–40%) was noted for ketone (*R*)-**19f** with a free hydroxy group in the side chain.³³ By contrast, epimerization was absent in structurally similar silyl-protected products (e.g., **19d**). These results suggest that the use hydroxyl-protecting groups for the side-chain hydroxyls might be crucial for preserving enantiomeric purity in this method.

Ring-opening with electrophilic halogenating reagents (e.g., Br_2 , NBS, or t -BuOBr as synthetic equivalents of Br^{+})⁴⁰ also proceeds in a stereo-retentive fashion if it occurs along the C1–C3 bond. The halogenating agent can be conveniently generated *in situ* by oxidizing a halide anion with CAN⁵¹ or through electrolysis.⁵² β -Halogenated ketones, especially bromine and iodine derivatives with α -hydrogens, are prone to dehydrohalogenation to form α -methylene ketones. Nevertheless, β -halogenated ketones, for which the elimination process is impossible or sluggish due to the absence of α -hydrogens or conformational restrictions, can be isolated and used in various C–C bond-forming reactions. Among recent examples, ring-opening bromination with NBS was applied by Abad and co-workers in the synthesis of atisene diterpene for the construction of its C4 quaternary stereocenter (Scheme 8a).⁵³ Hurski and co-workers developed an electrochemical protocol for the ring-opening bromination and iodination of 1,2-disubstituted cyclopropanols, demonstrating that diastereomerically pure β -bromoketone **22b** can be used in nickel-catalysed cross-electrophile coupling with 2-bromopropene to produce an α -substituted ketone **23**.⁵² No epimerization was observed during the ring-opening and cross-coupling steps. Moreover, a number of other copper- and nickel-catalysed C–C coupling methods behaved similarly.

For C–C bond forming reactions, regioselective C1–C3 ring-opening of trimethylsiloxy cyclopropanes through intramolecular alkylation with a pendant carbon-centered electrophile (oxocarbenium ion) was reported by Cha and co-workers (Scheme 9).⁵⁴ The reaction enabled the stereoselective preparation of all-*cis*-2,3,6-trisubstituted tetrahydropyranes (e.g., **25**) and occurred without epimerization of the α -stereocenter adjacent to the carbonyl group. This work represents a rare example of stereoselective metal-free ring-opening transformation of cyclopropanols yielding a C–C bond. However, the majority of known methods rely on the generation of metal homoenolate (β -metalloketone) intermediates.^{3,7}




(a) NBS, application in the synthesis of an atisene diterpene:



(b) $MgBr_2$ or MgI_2 , electrolysis (graphite electrodes, undivided cell), MeCN, H_2O , r.t., 0.5–1h



Scheme 8 Stereo-retentive ring-opening of cyclopropanols into β -bromoketones.



Scheme 9 Stereoselective synthesis of 2,4,6-trisubstituted tetrahydropyrans reported by Cha and co-workers.


The stereoselective metal-mediated processes usually proceed *via* heterolytic C1–C3 bond cleavage that retains the C2 stereocenter, followed by carbon–carbon or carbon–heteroatom cross-coupling reactions. Typically, this mode of ring opening occurs with electrophilic metal species that do not exhibit single-electron oxidant properties. Examples include transition metals with a single preferred oxidation state (e.g., Hg^{II} , Zn^{II}) or low-valent derivatives of noble metals (e.g., Pd^{II} , Ru^{II}) that react in a two-electron transfer mode. In contrast, this mode of reactivity is less common for the first-row transition metals, which tend to react *via* single-electron transfer (SET). Conversely, single-electron oxidation of cyclopropanols triggers homolytic C1–C2 bond cleavage, leading to racemization at C2 due to the formation of secondary β -keto radicals, a reaction typically observed with Fe^{III} or Mn^{III} .^{55,56} Nevertheless, pro-chiral β -keto radicals can still be employed in catalyst- or substrate-controlled enantioselective transformations (as described in section 3). For certain first-row transition metals (e.g., Ni, Cu), the preferred mechanism of ring-opening can be influenced by factors such as the oxidation




state of the metal, ligand properties, substrate structure, solvent, and temperature. These factors can result in the generation of either C1–C3 or C1–C2 ring opening products or their mixtures.⁵⁷

Stereoselective copper-catalysed ring-opening cross-coupling of enantiomerically enriched zinc cyclopropoxides **1**–Zn (Scheme 10) with allylic and propargylic bromides was demonstrated by Nomura and Matsubara,²² who generated these species by the reactions of optically active α,β -epoxy ketones or α -sulfonyloxy ketones with bis(iodozincio)methane. Subsequently, similar reactions were thoroughly investigated by Cha and co-workers.^{58–61} Cyclopropanols were converted into zinc cyclopropoxides by the reaction with diethylzinc and further engaged in copper-catalysed carbon–carbon bond-forming reactions with allyl, propargyl, alkynyl halides, phosphates, sulfonates, or allenenes.⁶¹ The ring-opening cross-coupling with acyl chlorides was achieved under palladium catalysis.⁵⁹ The reactions were shown to be suitable for the enantioselective preparation of α -substituted ketones. For example, the densely functionalized ketone **28** was obtained using this approach.⁵⁸

Palladium(II) complexes catalyse ring-opening cross-coupling of cyclopropanols with aryl, vinyl, benzyl halides, triflates, arenes, *N*-acyl-glutarimides, alkynes, and carbon monoxide (Scheme 11).^{3,4,62} Arylation, alkenylation, and carbonylation occur in a stereo-retentive fashion. Thus, Cheng and Walsh reported ring-opening arylation of enantiomerically enriched cyclopropanol **1c** to prepare α -benzyloctanal (*S*)-**29** in 65% yield without noticeable loss of enantiomeric purity.⁶³ More recently, Dai and co-workers described a carbonylative ring-opening lactonization of 1,2-disubstituted cyclopropanols to prepare fused bicyclic lactones.⁶⁴ The reaction proceeds *via* diastereoselective generation of an organopalladium hemiacetal **B** from the respective homoenolate **A**, followed by carbon monoxide insertion and lactonization. This method was



Scheme 11 Stereo-retentive cross-couplings *via* palladium(II) homoenolates.



Scheme 10 Stereo-retentive cross-couplings *via* zinc homoenolates.


applied in the synthesis of the natural products α -levantanolide⁶⁵ and paeonilide.⁶⁴

Ru(II)-catalysed ring opening of 1-allenyl- and 1-alkynylcyclopropanols occurred along the C1–C3 bond, followed by cyclization of the respective Ru(II) homoenolates into cyclopentenones and cyclobutanones (Scheme 12a).⁶⁶ However, since only racemic cyclopropanols have been tested in this reaction, the preservation of stereochemistry remains uncertain.


Chang and co-workers developed a Rh-catalysed amidative ring-opening of 1,2-disubstituted cyclopropanols by reacting them with dioxazolones **32** (Scheme 12b).⁶⁷ The method delivers β -acylaminoketones (*e.g.*, **33**) *via* stereo-retentive ring opening along the C1–C3 bond, which generates a nitrenoid rhodium homoenolate complex **A**. This is followed by migratory nitrene insertion to form the carbon–nitrogen bond.

As recently reported by Yoshikai and co-workers, nickel-catalysed ring-opening allylation is notable as a rare example of regioselective C1–C3 bond cleavage reactions mediated by non-precious metals (Scheme 13a).⁶⁸ The reaction presumably occurs *via* a Ni(II)-homoenolate intermediate. However, only racemic cyclopropanols were tested and the preservation of stereochemistry at C2 was not confirmed.





Scheme 12 (a) Ru-catalysed ring expansion of 1-allenylcyclopropanols into cyclopentenones reported by Cha and co-workers. (b) Rh-catalysed ring-opening amidation of cyclopropanols reported by Chang and co-workers. NFTB = nonafluoro-*tert*-butyl alcohol.




Scheme 13 (a) Regioselective Ni-catalysed ring-opening allylation reported by Yoshikai and co-workers. (b) Stereoselective Cu-catalysed ring-opening cyclization to tetrahydrofurans and tetrahydropyrans developed by Dai and co-workers.

Dai and co-workers described a regioselective and stereoselective copper-catalysed ring-opening cyclization of diastereomerically pure cyclopropanols **1**, bearing a secondary alcohol side chain (Scheme 13b). The reaction produced tetrahydrofurans **36** in up to 91% yield and tetrahydropyrans **37**, albeit with reduced efficiency (up to 41% yield).⁶⁹ The respect-

ive cyclic ethers were produced as single diastereomers, with the stereochemical configuration determined by the configuration of the cyclopropanol precursor. Tetrahydrofuran **36h** was also produced in enantioenriched form (92% ee) from the corresponding enantioenriched homoallylic alcohol **1h** (92% ee). The stereochemistry of the secondary alcohol side chain was found to affect the yields of the diastereomeric tetrahydrofuran products (e.g., **1h** vs. **1i**), thus highlighting its crucial role in determining the cyclopropanol ring-opening pathways. These observations were rationalized by assuming the generation of copper(II) chelate complex **A** from **1h**, with the phenyl group residing in the less sterically hindered pseudo-equatorial position and avoiding steric repulsion with the methylene group of the cyclopropane ring that is observed in complex **A'**, which is generated from **1i**. Subsequent regioselective C1–C3 bond cleavage of the cyclopropane affords copper(II) homoenolate **B**, which in turn produces **36h** after oxidation to Cu^{III} followed by reductive elimination of Cu^{I} to form the C–O bond. The method was applied to the diastereoselective synthesis of racemic hyperiones **A** and **B**.

2.3. Stereospecific ring expansion into cyclobutanones and β -lactams

Lindsay and co-workers established a general methodology to access various enantioenriched 1-substituted cyclopropanol derivatives **38** by reacting 1-sulfonylcyclopropanols **9**, acting as cyclopropanone surrogates, with carbon or nitrogen nucleophiles (Scheme 14).^{28,70,71} Generation of an electron-deficient center in the α -position to the cyclopropane ring by treatment of **38** with an electrophilic reagent or a Lewis acid results in a semipinacol rearrangement, leading to ring expansion into cyclobutanones. Notably, the reaction occurs with preservation of the enantiomeric purity of the starting cyclopropanol **9** or with only negligible erosion. Following this general strategy, enantiomerically pure (>99% ee) β -lactam **40** was prepared by the isomerization of hemiaminal **39** triggered by aluminum triflate as a Lewis acid (Scheme 14a).²⁸ The ring expansion was achieved in two steps without isolating the intermediate **39**. Similarly, 1-vinyl-substituted cyclopropanols **41**, obtained by the reaction of **9** with vinyl magnesium bromides, undergo ring expansion to enantioenriched (96–99% ee) α -methylene cyclobutanones **42** upon treatment with electrophilic bromine (Scheme 14b).⁷¹ Strong protic acid (HCl) or



Scheme 14 Stereospecific ring expansion of enantioenriched cyclopropanol derivatives into cyclobutanones, γ -lactones and β -lactams.


treatment with electrophilic oxygen (mCPBA) resulted in analogous ring expansion reactions of **41**, yielding the respective enantioenriched cyclobutanone products **43**, **44** or γ -lactone **45**. As another example, spiro[3.3]heptan-1-one **46** was obtained in 63% yield by an AlCl₃-mediated strain-relocating semipinacol rearrangement of cyclopropanol intermediate **47** (Scheme 14c).⁷⁰ A high enantiomeric purity of 96% ee was achieved, evidencing only insignificant degradation of the enantiomeric purity of the starting 1-sulfonylcyclopropanol **9a**.

2.4. Miscellaneous ring-opening reactions

Only a few examples of unconventional (non-homoenolate-type) transition-metal-mediated stereoselective ring-opening reactions of cyclopropanols to carbonyl compounds have been reported.

Stereoselective one-pot generation of enantioenriched copper cyclopropoxides **50** and their transformation into α,α -disubstituted β,γ -unsaturated aldehydes **51** was described by Marek and co-workers (Scheme 15).²⁷ The carbocupration of cyclopropene **48** was completely *syn*-stereoselective due to the directing properties of the acetoxyethyl substituent. Oxidation of organocupper derivative **49** occurred with retention of configuration, followed by a ring-opening process in **50** facilitated by the presence of the acetoxy leaving group at C2. As a result, aldehydes **51** with a quaternary α -stereocenter were obtained with 86% ee, without degradation of the enantiomeric purity of the starting material.

Shortly thereafter, the same group reported the preparation of similar aldehyde products *via* a palladium-catalysed ring-opening cross-coupling of ω -ene cyclopropanols




Scheme 15 Stereoselective one-pot formation of aldehydes **51** from cyclopropene **48** via generation and ring-opening of copper cyclopropoxide **50**.

(e.g., **1j** in Scheme 16).⁷² The reaction mechanism is notably distinct from the mainstream Pd-homoenolate rearrangement and begins with the Heck arylation of the terminal alkene moiety, triggering ‘metal walking’ of the palladium atom along the alkyl side chain. At the terminal point, cyclopropane ring fragmentation and elimination of $H[Pd]X$ result in aldehydes **52** with a quaternary α -stereocenter, obtained with the same enantiomeric ratios as the starting cyclopropanol **1j**.

The same group employed a transition metal ‘chain-walking’ strategy to enable iridium-catalysed double bond migration in *cis*-1,2-disubstituted cyclopropanols **53**, leading







Scheme 16 Pd-catalysed remote ring-opening of ω -ene cyclopropanols reported by Marek and co-workers.

to the *in situ* generation of 1,2-divinylcyclopropanes 54 (Scheme 17).⁷³ A subsequent Cope rearrangement of 54 produced bicyclic cycloheptanones 55, a common motif in natural products, in 34–77% yield and with high diastereoselectivity ($>20:1$ dr). Although this reaction may be suitable for preparing enantiomerically pure products from non-racemic substrates, enantiomerically enriched cyclopropanols were not validated.

Jeon and co-workers described an unprecedented rhodium-catalysed transformation of cyclopropyl acetates 55 into dioxasilolanes 57 (Scheme 18).⁷⁴ First, iridium-catalysed hydrosilylation of the acetate group was performed, producing hemiacetals 56 with a Si–H moiety. The subsequent rhodium-catalysed ring-opening reaction led to atypical functionalization at the C1 carbon, rather than at C2 or C3, *via* highly regioselective cleavage of the less substituted C1–C3 bond, facilitated by the application of a tris(pyrazolyl)borate [Tp(CF₃)₂Na(THF)] ligand. The reaction of 1,2-disubstituted cyclopropanols proceeded in a highly diastereoselective manner, presumably due to π -coordination between the rhodium atom and the C1 aryl substituent in intermediate 59, which resulted in a tightly organized geometry. Despite the high diastereoselectivity and preservation of the C2 stereocenter, enantioenriched cyclopropanol substrates have not been tested to confirm the preservation of enantiomeric purity in this transformation.




Scheme 17 Diastereoselective synthesis of bicyclic cycloheptanones reported by Marek and co-workers. cod = cyclooctadiene, Ar^F = 3,5-bis(trifluoromethyl)phenyl.



Scheme 18 Ring-opening silylation of cyclopropanols reported by Jeon and co-workers (coe = cyclooctene, nbd = norbornadiene, nbe = norbornene).

Xu, Doyle, and co-workers reported the synthesis of chiral spiroketals 64 *via* a one-pot sequence starting with rhodium-catalysed asymmetric cyclopropanation of 2-aryl- or alkyl-substituted 4,4-dimethyl-5-methylene-4,5-dihydrooxazoles 61 with TBS-protected enoldiazoacetates 62, followed by synchronous ring-opening and intramolecular cyclization of the intermediate donor-acceptor cyclopropanes 63 (Scheme 19).⁷⁵ The ring-opening process was triggered by tetrabutylammonium fluoride and completed within a few minutes. Spiroketals 64 were obtained in 53–86% yield over two steps with 95–99% ee. The presence of the silylated enol unit in 62 was crucial for achieving high enantioselectivity. When an alkene was reacted with



Scheme 19 Enantioselective synthesis of spiroketals 64 reported by Xu, Doyle and co-workers. S-TCPTTL = (S)-4,5,6,7-tetrachloro-alpha-(1,1-dimethylethyl)-1,3-dihydro-1,3-dioxo-2H-isoindole-2-acetato ligand, TBAF = tetrabutylammonium fluoride.

diaoacetoacetate, product **64** formed directly, but its enantioselectivity was only 69% ee, presumably because the isomerization of the intermediate donor-acceptor cyclopropane proceeded *via* a stepwise, rather than synchronous, mechanism.


2.5. Transformations with preservation of the cyclopropane ring

Interconversion to other cyclopropane derivatives *via* displacement of oxy substituents is much less common than ring-opening reactions but is certainly not a less important aspect of cyclopropanol reactivity. The uncommon nature of these transformations stems from the specific bonding properties of cyclopropane and its high ring strain, which result in disrotatory ring opening to an allyl cation after the heterolytic breaking of the carbon–oxygen bond, unless cyclopropyl-allyl rearrangement is prohibited by steric constraints or conjugation with donor substituents.^{1,76,77} Consequently, the majority of known nucleophilic displacement reactions proceed *via* alternative mechanistic pathways, such as elimin-


ation–addition,^{8,77,78} including the generation of transition metal π -complexes as intermediates.⁷⁹ Importantly, the substitution reactions occur in a stereoselective manner, allowing for the asymmetric synthesis of cyclopropane derivatives.^{78b,79}

Recently, the Rousseaux group introduced several new opportunities to achieve formal substitution of the hydroxyl group in cyclopropanols while preserving the cyclopropane ring. The conversion of readily available secondary cyclopropanols (e.g., **1k** in Scheme 20) into pharmaceutically relevant cyclopropylamines (e.g., **65a**) was accomplished by utilizing the electrophilic properties of the carbonyl group in Zn homoenolates (e.g., **66**), obtained through the ring-opening reaction.⁸⁰ The homoenolate intermediates readily reacted with secondary amines, producing the corresponding iminium intermediates (e.g., **67**), which underwent facile ring closure resulting in the formation of cyclopropylamines. While the described transformation necessitates the disruption of the three-membered ring, it proceeds in a highly diastereoselective manner, resulting in the almost exclusive formation of *trans*-cyclopropylamine products, even from *cis*-cyclopropanol substrates. This phenomenon was ascribed to minimization of 1,3-allylic strain in the iminium intermediate during the ring-closure step. Even more importantly, enantioenriched cyclopropanol **1k** (99% ee) yielded cyclopropylamines **65** with a high level of enantioselectivity (88–92% ee), evidencing only insignificant degradation of the enantioselectivity of the starting material.

Homolytic cleavage of the carbon–oxygen bond offers an additional workaround to circumvent ring opening and access substitution products through the functionalization of cyclopropyl radical intermediate **A** (Scheme 21). This concept was pioneered by Rousseaux and co-workers,⁸¹ who achieved Ni-catalysed C(sp³)-O arylation of cyclopropanols *via* installation of a redox-active thiocarbamate leaving group. Besides the unique reactivity, complete diastereoselectivity was observed, with the relative *cis*- and *trans*-configuration of **66** preserved (>20 : 1 dr) in arylation products **67**. Since cyclopropyl radicals may undergo rapid configurational inversion ($k \sim 10^8$ s⁻¹),⁸² the preservation of stereochemistry evidences fast recombina-



Scheme 20 Enantiospecific conversion of cyclopropanols into cyclopropylamines *via* a ring-opening and ring-closure sequence.



Scheme 21 Stereoselective conversion of tertiary cyclopropanol thiocarbamates **66** into aryl-substituted cyclopropanes **67** and cyclopropanethiol carbamates **68**.



tion of radical **A** with Ni(II) in a solvent cage. The synthetic utility of the approach was further expanded by the same group, demonstrating iridium-photocatalysed rearrangement of **66** into cyclopropanethiol carbamates (e.g., **68**).⁸³ Interestingly, the diastereomeric ratio of *trans*-**68** varied based on the diastereomer of the starting material **66** subjected to the reaction conditions, which aligns with the configurational inversion of intermediate cyclopropyl radicals. Although these transformations are only favourable for cyclopropanol substrates capable of producing resonance-stabilized cyclopropyl radicals (e.g., by an α -aryl substituent), the unconventional reactivity marks a significant milestone in cyclopropanol chemistry. The diastereoselective nature of these transformations and intact C2 stereocenter imply that the use of enantiopure cyclopropanol substrates should proceed with the preservation of enantiomeric purity.

3. Cyclopropanols as prochiral intermediates: catalyst-controlled asymmetric transformations

3.1. Transition-metal-induced ring-opening reactions

The use of readily available racemic or nonchiral cyclopropanols as prochiral building blocks offers an appealing alternative to the strategy described above, avoiding the necessity to prepare enantiomerically pure cyclopropanol intermediates. However, it introduces another challenge inherent to asymmetric catalysis: the identification of an appropriate chiral catalyst that enables a high level of asymmetric induction. This research direction is conceptually novel to cyclopropanol chemistry, having gained significant attention only in the last decade. This surge of interest is undoubtedly inspired by the tremendous progress and breakthroughs in asymmetric catalysis achieved since 2000. Although the number of known examples of these transformations is currently relatively small, the field holds great promise for further developments.

Mechanistically, most of the reported methods involve the generation of metal homoenolates from cyclopropanols by utilizing cobalt, copper, nickel, and zinc complexes. The process can occur directly through a ring-opening reaction with a transition metal complex, or *via* the generation of β -keto radicals, followed by recombination with a metal. The subsequent coupling reaction forms a carbon–carbon bond with a new stereogenic centre, with asymmetric induction enabled by a chiral ligand coordinated to the metal. Importantly, the process can be performed in a catalytic manner.

In 2018, Yoshikai and co-workers reported the first example of enantioselective cobalt-catalysed ring opening of nonchiral 1-phenylcyclopropanol (**1**, R = Ph, Scheme 22a).⁸⁴ The group developed the synthesis of cyclopentenols (e.g., **69**) by reacting cyclopropanols with alkynes, catalysed by Co–phosphine complexes. The ring opening of tertiary cyclopropanols was achieved using a catalytic Co(I) species, generated by reducing CoBr₂ with Zn in the presence of diphosphine ligands, with


DABCO serving as a proton shuttle. It was demonstrated that cyclopentenol **69** could be prepared with 93% ee and 28% yield when the reaction was performed in the presence of the chiral phosphine ligand (*R,R*)-QuinoxP*.

Subsequently, the Yoshikai and Meng groups reported that the cyclopropanol-derived Co homoenolates **A** could also participate in the carbometallation of strained alkenes, with the reactions proceeding enantioselectively in the presence of chiral phosphine ligands. The Yoshikai group further developed a chemodivergent and enantioselective ring-opening addition of cyclopropanols to oxabicyclic alkenes (Scheme 22b).⁸⁵ Depending on the nature of the Co-precatalyst counterion, the reaction furnishes either ring-opening products **70** (with CoCl₂) or hydroalkylation products **71** [with Co(OAc)₂]. The reaction proceeded efficiently for a wide range of substrates, delivering the corresponding products in up to 99% yield and up to 94% ee, with excellent enantioselectivity enabled by the (*R,R*)-bdpp ligand.

Following the same approach, the Meng group developed a diastereo- and enantioselective hydroalkylation of cyclopropanes (Scheme 22c).⁸⁶ This transformation, promoted by a cobalt complex with the (*R,R*)-Et-DUPHOS ligand, was effective across a wide range of cyclopropanol and cyclopropene substrates. The acetate counterion acted as a hydrogen-atom abstractor, facilitating the initial coordination of the cyclopropanol to the metal centre, thereby eliminating the need for a stoichiometric amount of base (Scheme 22c, conditions I). An exception was observed with 1-alkoxycarbonyl-substituted cyclopropanols, where the electron-withdrawing nature of the alkoxycarbonyl group reduced the ability to coordinate to the metal centre, resulting in diminished reactivity. In this case, better results were achieved in the presence of DABCO and MeOH as promoters (Scheme 22c, conditions II), though with somewhat lower yields (36–59%) and enantioselectivities (46–90%) compared to those obtained with 1-aryl- and alkenyl-substituted cyclopropanols (59–99% yields, 72–96% ee).

This methodology was further extended to achieve the enantioselective hydroalkylation of 1-alkoxycarbonyl-substituted cyclobutenes **75** (Scheme 22d, conditions I).⁸⁷ The relatively lower olefinic strain (1.9 kcal mol⁻¹) compared to cyclopropanes (27.7 kcal mol⁻¹) resulted in the reduced reactivity of cyclobutenes and required elevated temperatures for the reaction. The addition of metallic zinc was essential for the reduction of Co^{II} to Co^I which possessed higher affinity to the alkene functionality and promoted its coordination. While electron-rich ligands proved to be inefficient, the highest yield of 84% for product **73** (R = 4-MeOC₆H₄) with 86% ee was achieved using the phosphine–oxazoline ligand **L1**. Further examples of cyclopropanol substrates provided the corresponding hydroalkylation products **73** in yields of 55–99% and 86–90% ee. In contrast, the enantioselective hydroalkylation of succinimide-fused cyclobutene **76** gave the best outcome (89% yield, 80% ee) in the presence of phosphine ligand **L2** and under different reaction conditions (Scheme 22d, conditions II). The hydroalkylation reactions could potentially be accompanied by a competitive process of β -H elimination in **A**,






Scheme 22 Enantioselective cobalt-catalysed ring opening reactions of 1-substituted cyclopropanols via generation of cobalt homoenolates.

followed by the conjugate addition of cobalt hydride to enone complex **B**, forming enolate **C**. Although this process was completely suppressed in the hydroalkylation of cyclopropenes and cyclobutenes, the Meng group later demonstrated its utility in enantioselective ring-opening coupling of cyclopropanols with Ts-protected aldimines (Scheme 22e).⁸⁸ The optimal outcome was observed with oxazoline ligand **L3**, where steric repulsion with the bulky tosyl group of the aldimine substrate directed the formation of the major diastereomers of β -amino ketones **77**, obtained in 41–95% yield, 62–96% ee, and up to 92:8 diastereoselectivity.

The enantioselective catalytic conjugate addition of zinc homoenolates, generated from 1-substituted cyclopropanols **1**, to α,β -unsaturated ketones **78** was first demonstrated by Yoshikai and co-workers (Scheme 23).³⁶ Importantly, this reaction was promoted by substoichiometric amounts of a zinc complex, formed *in situ* from diethylzinc and the chiral amino-alcohol **14**. The reaction likely proceeds *via* zinc homoenolate species **A**, which further coordinates with enone **78** to form a bimetallic associate complex **B**. The 1,4-addition to the enone




Scheme 23 Enantioselective conjugate addition of catalytically generated zinc homoenolates.

in complex **B**, followed by proton transfer, yields product **79** and regenerates the catalyst. The resulting 1,6-diketones **79** undergo aldol-type cyclization, furnishing cyclopentene products **80** with an asymmetric centre. Aryl-, alkenyl-, and alkyl-substituted cyclopropanol substrates were successfully employed in this reaction, delivering carbocycles **80** in 34–93% yield and 24–94% ee.

Later, the same research group discovered an unprecedented transformation of zinc cyclopropoxides **1-Zn** into bimetallic zinc species **B**, formed as a result of the deprotonation of homoenolate **A** with diethylzinc (Scheme 24a).⁸⁹ Bimetallic species **B** was capable of reacting with electrophiles similarly to allylzinc reagents. The reactions of **B** with aldehydes **81** proceeded with high γ -regioselectivity, producing 1,2-diols with diastereoselectivities of up to $>20:1$ in favour of the *anti*-diol products (Scheme 24b). To rationalize the *anti*-selectivity, DFT calculations revealed that the transition state leading to the *anti*-1,2-diol (*anti*-TS) was 1.0 kcal mol⁻¹ lower in energy than the *syn*-TS. In the chair-like 6-membered transition state, the coordination of the aldehyde to both the enolate and homoenolate zinc atoms favours the pseudoaxial orientation of the phenyl group in *anti*-TS over the pseudoequatorial orientation in *syn*-TS, due to two *gauche* interactions involving the aldehyde substituent in the latter. Bimetallic zinc species **B** also showed promise for use in asymmetric synthesis: when cinchonidine (2.2 equiv.) was added as a chiral inducer, the reaction produced 1,2-diol **82a** with a moderate 68% ee.

Very recently, Kanemoto and Yoshikai applied the bimetallic zinc species generated from 1-aryl-substituted cyclopropanols **1** to the enantioselective hydroxyallylation of cyclopropenes **83** (Scheme 25).⁹⁰ In this reaction, cycloalkene **83** underwent carbometallation on the less sterically hindered




Scheme 25 Enantioselective hydroxyallylation of cyclopropenes with cyclopropanols.

side. The reaction was promoted by chiral aminoalcohol-derived NHC ligand **84**, yielding hydroxyallylation products **85** in 47–76% yield, 82–88% ee, and high diastereomeric purity ($>20:1$ dr).


Enantioselective copper-catalysed reactions *via* the generation of copper(i) homoenolates were demonstrated in the ring-opening allylation of tertiary cyclopropanols **1** with allylic phosphates by Yin, Trost and Sawamura groups (Scheme 26).^{91–93} Yin and co-workers introduced a methodology utilizing a Cu(i) catalyst ligated with chiral NHC ligands derived from imidazolium salts (*R,R*)-**L4** and (*R,R*)-**L5** (Scheme 26a).⁹¹ The latter ligand was found to be more suitable for constructing challenging quaternary carbon stereocenters in compounds (*S*)-**87b**. Following this methodology, a wide range of allylation products (*S*)-**87a,b** were obtained in yields of 63–98% and enantioselectivities of 80–97% ee.

Trost and co-workers described a similar methodology utilizing a bimetallic Cu/Zn complex generated *in situ* from the NHC-ProPhenol ligand **L6** (Scheme 26b).⁹² The reaction of cyclopropanols with ZnEt₂ delivered Zn-homoenolates, which in turn produced the corresponding Cu(i) derivatives through transmetalation. The subsequent reaction with allylic phosphates resulted in the predominant formation of *S*-configured γ -vinyl ketone products **87c**, in 51–87% yield and 70–92% ee, including the assembly of quaternary carbon stereocenters. DFT studies supported the preferred nucleophilic attack of the formed Cu(i) homoenolate at the *Si* face of the allyl phosphate, as the opposite *Re*-face attack was unfavourable due to significant steric repulsion between the allyl fragment and phenyl groups of the prolinol moiety. The synthetic utility of this method was demonstrated through the asymmetric synthesis of the fish deterrent (+)-sporochnol A.

Sawamura and co-workers explored a methodology based on the use of a chiral NHC ligand for copper(i), derived from the imidazolium salt (*S,S*)-**L7** (Scheme 26c).⁹³ The lithium ion, introduced with *t*-BuOLi base, was shown to be crucial for achieving a high 80% yield and 89% ee for the allylation product (*R*)-**87d**. Cooperative bimetallic Li–Cu activation, assisting in the generation of the homoenolate, was demonstrated through a DFT study. Enantioselectivity in the *syn*-*S_N2'* attack of the Cu-homoenolate on the allyl phosphate was gov-



Scheme 24 Dia- and enantioselective reactions of cyclopropanols through enolized zinc homoenolates.



Scheme 26 Enantioselective copper-catalysed ring-opening cross-coupling of cyclopropanols with allylic phosphates *via* generation of transient copper(I) homoenolates.

erned by C–H/π interactions between the allyl phosphate and the *N*-naphthoxy group of the chiral catalyst.


Single electron oxidation of cyclopropanols to generate β-keto radicals provides an alternative strategy. Until recently, an asymmetric version of this transformation remained elusive. In 2020, the Liu group reported the first examples, utilizing copper catalysts ligated with chiral bisoxazoline (BOX) ligands L8–L10 (Scheme 27a).⁹⁴ Enantioselective ring-opening cyanation was achieved with TMSCN by trapping β-keto radicals B with copper dicyanide species, generated through oxidation of a Cu^I-complex with benzoyl peroxide for cyclopropanols 1, or *N*-fluorobenzenesulfonimide (NFSI) for cyclopropanone acetals 88. For the ring opening of cyclopropanols 1 (R = Me, Et, *n*-Pr), the ligand (1*R*,2*S*)-L8 provided β-cyanoketones 89 in 40–91% yield and 85–95% ee, with the *R*-configuration of the stereogenic centre confirmed by comparison of the specific rotation of selected compound with the literature values. Conversely, the ring-opening reaction of cyclopropanone acetals 88 (R = Me, Et, *i*-Pr, Bn) produced β-cyanoesters 90 as *S*-enantiomers in 42–75% yield and 87–97% ee in the presence of (1*S*,2*R*)-L9. The preparative value was demonstrated through the 2-step synthesis of the GABA receptor agonist (*R*)-baclofen. However, the substrate scope is limited to 2-aryl-substituted cyclopropanols, which produce resonance-stabilized benzyl-type β-keto radicals.

The same group developed an enantioselective version of copper-catalysed ring-opening trifluoromethylation of cyclopropanols using Togni-I reagent as both an oxidant and a CF₃ source (Scheme 27b).⁹⁵ The non-asymmetric version of this


reaction yields a mixture of isomeric β-CF₃ ketone products when applied to 1,2-disubstituted cyclopropanols, except for 2-aryl-substituted substrates.⁹⁶ These 2-aryl-substituted substrates were also employed in the asymmetric version, producing the respective β-CF₃ ketones (S)-91 in 42–74% yield and 59–95% ee, with asymmetric induction facilitated by the quinolinyl-containing bisoxazoline ligand (S,S)-L10 for the copper catalyst. The electrophilic nature of the trifluoromethylation reagent played a crucial role in achieving high enantioselectivity. The use of a nucleophilic CF₃ source, such as TMSCF₃, led to competitive coordination of the CF₃ anion with the copper centre, resulting in the decomposition of the chiral copper complex and consequently poor enantioselectivity. The developed protocol was applied in the 3-step synthesis of an (*R*)-CF₃-modified analogue of the calcimimetic agent cinacalcet.

The first example of an asymmetric nickel-catalysed ring-opening cross-coupling reaction of cyclopropanols with bromoarenes was reported by Wang and Li in 2022.⁹⁷ This process combines photoredox and Ni catalysis to generate secondary β-keto radicals B from cyclopropanols 11 (Scheme 28) *via* proton-coupled electron transfer (PCET) from a photoexcited iridium complex, with collidine acting as a base. The recombination of the β-keto radical with a chiral Ni complex results in the corresponding Ni homoenolate A, which subsequently participates in cross-coupling with a bromoarene. The substrate scope included 1,2-disubstituted cyclopropanols 11 bearing a silyl-protected hydroxymethyl group, delivering the respective β-arylated ketones (S)-92 in 50–83% yield and 76–90% ee, with





Scheme 27 Enantioselective copper-catalysed ring-opening cyanation and trifluoromethylation of cyclopropanols.




Scheme 28 Enantioselective nickel-catalysed ring-opening cross-coupling of cyclopropanols with bromoarenes.

asymmetric induction facilitated by the adamantyl-substituted oxazoline ligand **(S)-L11**. The silyl-protected hydroxymethyl group in **11** was essential for achieving high enantioselectivity, as 2-methyl- or 2-benzyl-substituted cyclopropanols yielded β -arylated products with reduced enantioselectivities of 36–40% ee. This protocol was demonstrated to be suitable for late-stage functionalization of complex bioactive molecules, such as fenofibrate, lithocholic acid, and isoxepac. It was also

applied in a concise synthesis of an analogue of the marine natural product *ent*-calyxolane B.

Besides radical and homoenolate chemistry, transition metal catalysis was shown to be effective in enantioselective ring expansion reactions of cyclopropanols. In 2017, Voituriez described a gold-promoted enantioselective transformation of 1-vinyl-1-ethoxycyclopropanes **96** into cyclobutanones **97** (Scheme 29).⁹⁸ Previously, only a



Scheme 29 Enantioselective gold-catalysed ring expansion of vinyl-substituted cyclopropanol ethers.


diastereoselective version of the ring-expansion cyclization was reported by Echavarren.⁹⁹ The transformation was catalysed by the [(*R*)-MeO-DTBM-BIPHEP-(AuCl)] complex. The developed protocol was applied for the construction of the tricyclic backbone of the natural product russujaponol D.

3.2. Asymmetric organocatalytic transformations involving ring opening of cyclopropanols

Over the last decades, organocatalysis has proved to be an efficient tool for the synthesis of enantiomerically enriched compounds as an alternative to metal and enzyme-mediated catalysis.¹⁰⁰ Various activation modes and reaction types can

be employed and generally the developed methods do not require an inert atmosphere or harsh reaction conditions.

The Alexakis group developed a series of enantioselective halogenative semipinacol rearrangements catalysed by chiral phosphoric acids (Scheme 30a).¹⁰¹ While both substrate **99** and halogenating agent **100** are simultaneously activated by chiral catalyst **102**, the reaction is induced by stereoselective electrophilic halogenation of the vinylic moiety, triggering a [1,2]-alkyl shift. The reaction is driven by strain-releasing ring-expansion and yields spirocyclobutanones **101** with a high level of stereocontrol (80–94% ee). Recently, an alternative to the halogenation concept was introduced by Jacobsen and co-workers.¹⁰² The described protio-semipinacol rearrangement



Scheme 30 Enantioselective organocatalytic semipinacol rearrangements of cyclopropanols.




reaction is based on co-catalysis between a strong Brønsted acid and chiral hydrogen-bond donor **104**. The method provides access to highly enantioenriched cyclobutanones **105** obtained with 88–94% ee (Scheme 30b). Through a series of mechanistic studies, the authors concluded that the reaction proceeded through a stepwise pathway where protonation of the alkene was the rate-determining step. Nevertheless, the concerted pathway cannot be ruled out completely. Chen, Tu

and Bao have also demonstrated an enantioselective sulfonylation/semipinacol rearrangement on the only example of 1-vinyl-substituted cyclopropanol by using a chiral Lewis base and a chiral Brønsted acid as cocatalysts.¹⁰³


An example of enantioselective sulfonylation promoted by quinine-derived squaramide catalyst **107** was presented by Ye and Wu (Scheme 31).¹⁰⁴ It was shown previously by the authors that γ -keto sulfinate intermediate **A** could be generated *in situ* by the reaction of cyclopropanols with sulfur dioxide and subsequently trapped by a variety of electrophiles.¹⁰⁵ Thus, nucleophilic addition of **A** to allene intermediate **B**, formed from naphthalene-2-ols in a presence of chiral base **107**, produced axially chiral (*S*)-(*E*)-1-((1-alkylsulfonyl)-2-arylvinylnaphthalen-2-ols **108** in 36–87% yield and 80–98% ee.

A covalent catalysis strategy was reported by Lupton and co-workers.¹⁰⁶ In their study, chiral N-heterocyclic carbene (NHC) organocatalyst **111A** was employed to activate acyl fluoride **110** by generating the corresponding acyl azonium intermediate **B** and releasing a fluorine anion, which was responsible for *O*-TMS deprotection of donor–acceptor cyclopropane **109** (Scheme 32). TMS-deprotected cyclopropanoxide **C** readily undergoes the ring-opening reaction leading to the formation of enolate **D**. Nucleophilic 1,2-addition of the enolate to the acyl azonium intermediate was followed by Claisen rearrangement. In the final steps, an intramolecular aldol reaction provides a five-member carbocycle with three adjacent stereogenic centres. The catalytic cycle is closed by β -lactonization of the cyclic intermediate to yield product **112** as a single diastereomer (in most cases) with moderate to excellent enantiomeric purity (55–98% ee).

Merging organocatalysis with other activation modes involving the formation of highly reactive radical species, such as photo- and electrochemistry, provided access to reaction path-




Scheme 31 Asymmetric sulfonylation of naphthalene-2-ols by cyclopropanol-derived γ -keto sulfinates.



Scheme 32 Enantioselective [3 + 2] annulation of α,β -unsaturated acyl azoniums and donor–acceptor cyclopropanes.

ways, which were otherwise impossible to reach under classical thermochemical conditions.¹⁰⁷ The method reported by the Melchiorre group is a great example of such a synergistic approach, which employs cleavage of the cyclopropanol ring (Scheme 33).¹⁰⁸ The described transformation relies on the oxidative properties of the photoexcited iminium ion A. This ion forms through the condensation of cinnamyl aldehyde with the chiral L-proline-derived catalyst 114, followed by activation with visible light. Being a strong oxidant, the excited iminium ion abstracts an electron from electron-rich cyclopropanol leading to cleavage of the cyclopropyl ring and formation of β -keto radical cation C. Subsequent radical–radical coupling is




Scheme 33 Enantioselective photochemical organocascade catalysis to enable formal [3 + 2] cycloaddition of cyclopropanols and cinnamyl aldehydes.

followed by an aldol reaction and hydrolysis provides enantioselectively enriched product 115 and regenerates the catalyst. It is worth noting that the catalyst used in this study is a modified version of the Jørgensen–Hayashi catalyst, with fluorine substituents in the pyrrolidine ring, which enhance its redox stability under the reaction conditions. Under the optimal reaction conditions, formal [3 + 2] cycloaddition products 115 were isolated in 43–89% yield and 90–99% ee.

In addition to generating transient prochiral intermediates from cyclopropanols, stable prochiral intermediates can also be formed. This alternative strategy provides a foundation for developing one-pot tandem or cascade enantioselective transformations and presents an opportunity to integrate various catalytic approaches. In 2017, Kananovich and co-workers reported a route to enantioselectively enriched (R)-epoxy ketones 116 through prochiral 1,2-dioxolane intermediates 117a (equilibrating with β -peroxyketones 117b) generated by Mn(III)-catalysed aerobic oxidation of tertiary cyclopropanols (Scheme 34).¹⁰⁹ The formation of (R)-116 in 58–84% yield and 80–97% ee was achieved by treatment of 117 with a strong organic base (1,8-diazabicyclo[5.4.0]undec-7-ene, DBU) in the presence of the poly-L-leucine (PLL) catalyst immobilized on silica gel. The method was subsequently applied in a short synthesis of chlamydocin, a natural histone deacetylase inhibitor with an (S)-epoxy ketone warhead, by using the poly-D-leucine (PDL) catalyst.¹¹⁰

3.3. Asymmetric transformations with preservation of the cyclopropane ring

The unique bonding properties and increased acidity of C–H bonds in cyclopropane ($pK_a \approx 46$)² present an opportunity for C–H functionalization. Until very recently, transition-metal-mediated C–H activation methodologies remained elusive for oxo-substituted cyclopropanes, although corresponding stereo- and regioselective reactions are well established for other cyclopropane derivates, including cyclopropylamines.¹¹¹ This limitation arises from the high susceptibility of electron-rich C–C bonds in cyclopropanol derivates to cleavage by electron-deficient transition metals. An alternative approach could involve directed metalation with organolithium bases.¹¹² While this method occurs stereoselectively, no asymmetric versions have yet been reported.




Scheme 34 Enantioselective one-pot synthesis of α,β -epoxy ketones via aerobic oxidation of cyclopropanols.





In 2023, Gao and Xu reported the first and currently only example of an asymmetric transition-metal-mediated C–H functionalization methodology for cyclopropanol derivatives (Scheme 35).¹¹³ The authors developed a general protocol for iridium-catalysed site- and stereoselective borylation of cycloalkanols, using carbamates as the directing group. The reaction of 1-substituted cyclopropyl carbamates **117** led to their facile desymmetrization, delivering borylated products **118** with 85–95% ee, with asymmetric induction enabled by chiral bidentate boryl ligand **L12** (Scheme 35a). Racemic *trans*-2-substituted cyclopropyl carbamates (\pm)-**119** underwent kinetic resolution under similar conditions, producing borylated cyclopropanes **120** with high enantiomeric purity (90–95% ee), while the enantiomerically enriched starting cyclopropyl carbamates **119** were recovered with 60–89% ee (Scheme 35b).

Another elegant solution to the challenging C–H functionalization problem was reported by Sekiguchi and Yoshikai, who demonstrated a formal C–H allylation of cyclopropanols **1** *via* ring opening to generate enolized homoenolate species **A**, acting as a latent α,β -bisnucleophile (Scheme 36).³⁷ The addition of Morita–Baylis–Hillman carbonate **121** to the enolate unit in **A** produces zinc homoenolate **B**, which undergoes ring closure to restore the cyclopropane ring in cyclopropanoxide **C**, eventually yielding cyclopropyl-fused α -alkylidene- δ -valerolactones **122** in a diastereoselective fashion. Several chiral amino alcohol ligands were screened,



Scheme 36 Zinc-catalysed β -functionalization of cyclopropanols *via* enolized homoenolate.

with the highest efficiency (up to 75% yield) achieved using the azetidine derivative **(S)-L13**. However, only minor asymmetric induction (up to 18% ee) was observed with **L13** and similar amino alcohol ligands (*e.g.*, **L14**). Despite the low ee, the process establishes a unique and highly diastereoselective approach to access densely functionalized cyclopropanol derivatives *via* a ring-opening/ring-closing sequence.



Scheme 35 Enantioselective iridium-catalysed C–H borylation of cyclopropyl carbamates.

4. Conclusions and perspectives

The chemistry of cyclopropanols has proved to be essential in addressing intricate synthetic challenges, offering elegant shortcuts to access a diverse array of valuable compounds. In this review, we presented the current landscape of transformations suitable for asymmetric synthesis *via* cyclopropanol intermediates, pinpointing areas that warrant further exploration.

Enantioenriched cyclopropanols are powerful synthetic intermediates in stereoretentive ring-opening reactions, offering straightforward routes to branched skeletons and quaternary stereocenters that are challenging to construct by other means. However, the limited availability of enantioenriched cyclopropanols (unless derived from a chiral pool) remains a significant bottleneck. To address this challenge, further advances in the catalytic asymmetric synthesis of enantiomerically pure cyclopropanols are required, while currently unknown dynamic kinetic resolution strategies could offer a valuable complementary approach. Additionally, stereochemical outcomes should be systematically studied in new transformations using enantiomerically enriched cyclopropanol substrates.



Enantioselective catalytic transformations of nonchiral cyclopropanols as prochiral substrates or racemic cyclopropanols in stereoablative reactions are conceptually novel synthetic strategies and their emergence marks a significant milestone in the field. This approach, though still in its infancy, offers promising new avenues for asymmetric catalysis, particularly in the formation of challenging carbon–carbon and carbon–heteroatom bonds with high stereocontrol. However, it is crucial to expand it further beyond the mainstream use of cyclopropanols as synthetic equivalents of homoenolates to other reactivity modes, yet unexplored in the asymmetric domain (e.g., cationic cyclopropyl–allyl rearrangement). Mechanistic studies that shed light on the structure of reactive intermediates and the origin of asymmetric induction in these transformations are required as a prerequisite for their rational development.

Due to their challenging nature, asymmetric transformations that preserve the cyclopropane ring remain underexplored. In this area, recent studies have established new stereoselective reaction pathways, such as ring-opening followed by ring closure and the generation of cyclopropyl radicals *via* homolytic cleavage of the C–O bond.

Continued exploration of both established and novel reactivity patterns, coupled with the development of more accessible and highly enantioselective methods grounded in mechanistic understanding, will be essential to further unlock the synthetic potential of cyclopropanols in asymmetric synthesis. We hope that the overview of methods summarized in this article will serve as a useful guide for asymmetric synthesis based on cyclopropanols, provide a “triangulation strategy”² for planning the total synthesis of natural products, and promote further developments in the area.

Author contributions

M. L. I.: writing – original draft, editing, literature search and analysis (section 3). A. H.: writing – original draft, writing – review and editing, literature search and analysis (section 2). M. O.: writing – original draft, literature search and analysis (section 3.2). D. K.: conceptualisation, writing – original draft, writing – review and editing, literature search and analysis, project supervision.

Data availability

No primary research results, software or code have been included and no new data were generated or analysed as part of this review.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

The research by M. L. I. and M. O. was funded by the Estonian Research Council grant (PSG828). D. K. acknowledges funding from the Centre of Excellence in Molecular Cell Engineering (Grant 2014–2020.4.01.15-0013) and Tallinn University of Technology (Grant No. B58) for supporting the cyclopropane research project in 2016–2020. M. L. I., M. O. and D. K. acknowledge the funding provided by the Ministry of Education and Research of Estonia through the Centre of Excellence in Circular Economy for Strategic Mineral and Carbon Resources (SOURCES, TK228, 01.01.2024–31.12.2030). D. K. would like to thank his colleagues and collaborators at TalTech, especially Prof. Margus Lopp and Prof. Riina Aav, for fostering a friendly and supportive environment within the department, making research both rewarding and enjoyable.

References

- 1 O. G. Kulinkovich, *Chem. Rev.*, 2003, **103**, 2597–2632.
- 2 O. Kulinkovich, *Cyclopropanes in Organic Synthesis*, John Wiley & Sons, Inc., 2015.
- 3 A. Nikolaev and A. Orellana, *Synthesis*, 2016, 1741–1768.
- 4 J. Le Bras and J. Muzart, *Tetrahedron*, 2020, **76**, 130879.
- 5 X. Cai, W. Liang and M. Dai, *Tetrahedron*, 2019, **75**, 193–208.
- 6 T. R. McDonald, L. R. Mills, M. S. West and S. A. L. Rousseaux, *Chem. Rev.*, 2021, **121**, 3–79.
- 7 Y. Sekiguchi and N. Yoshikai, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*, 2021, **94**, 265–280.
- 8 Y. Jang, R. Machín-Rivera and V. N. G. Lindsay, *Synthesis*, 2021, 3909–3934.
- 9 J. K. Cha and O. G. Kulinkovich, *Org. React.*, 2012, **77**, 1–160.
- 10 Q. Liu, B. You, G. Xie and X. Wang, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2020, **18**, 191–204.
- 11 A. de Meijere, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.*, 1979, **18**, 809–826.
- 12 V. Pirenne, B. Muriel and J. Waser, *Chem. Rev.*, 2021, **121**, 227–263.
- 13 Y. Cohen, A. Cohen and I. Marek, *Chem. Rev.*, 2021, **121**, 140–161.
- 14 H. Yan, G. S. Smith and F.-E. Chen, *Green Synth. Catal.*, 2022, **3**, 219–226.
- 15 H. Pellissier, A. Lattanzi and R. Dalpozzo, Asymmetric Cyclopropanation, in *Asymmetric Synthesis of Three-Membered Rings*, Wiley–VCH, 2017, pp. 1–204.
- 16 Y. A. Konik and D. G. Kananovich, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2020, **61**, 152036.
- 17 (a) T. Sugimura, T. Futagawa, M. Yoshikawa, T. Katagiri, R. Miyashige, M. Mizuguchi, S. Nagano, S. Sugimori, A. Tai, T. Tei and T. Okuyama, *Tetrahedron*, 2001, **57**, 7495–7499; (b) H. Du, J. Long and Y. Shi, *Org. Lett.*, 2006, **8**, 2827–2829; (c) M. G. Siriboe, D. A. Vargas and R. Fasan,



J. Org. Chem., 2023, **88**, 7630–7640; (d) M. Puriň and J. Waser, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2022, **61**, e202113925.

18 (a) B. K. Alnasleh, M. Rubina and M. Rubin, *Chem. Commun.*, 2016, **52**, 7494–7496; (b) P. Ryabchuk, J. P. Matheny, M. Rubina and M. Rubin, *Org. Lett.*, 2016, **18**, 6272–6275; (c) P. Yamanushkin, M. Lu-Diaz, A. Edwards, N. A. Aksенов, M. Rubina and M. Rubin, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2017, **15**, 8153–8165; (d) V. A. Maslivetc, D. N. Turner, K. N. McNair, L. Frolova, S. Rogelj, A. A. Maslivetc, N. A. Aksenov, M. Rubina and M. Rubin, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2018, **83**, 5650–5664; (e) H. Straub, P. Ryabchuk, M. Rubina and M. Rubin, *Molecules*, 2022, **27**, 7069.

19 M. Simaan and I. Marek, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2018, **57**, 1543–1546.

20 D. M. Zubrytski, D. G. Kananovich and O. G. Kulinkovich, *Tetrahedron*, 2014, **70**, 2944–2950.

21 S. Kawamura, H. Chu, J. Felding and P. S. Baran, *Nature*, 2016, **532**, 90–93.

22 K. Nomura and S. Matsubara, *Chem. – Asian J.*, 2010, **5**, 147–152.

23 (a) A. Watanabe, M. Nagatomo, A. Hirose, Y. Hikone, N. Kishimoto, S. Miura, T. Yasutake, T. Abe, S. Misumi and M. Inoue, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2024, **146**, 8746–8756; (b) W. Zhang, P.-C. Yu, C.-Y. Feng and C.-C. Li, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2024, **146**, 2928–2932.

24 C. H. DePuy, F. W. Breitbeil and K. R. DeBruin, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1966, **88**, 3347–3354.

25 F. Xu, Y.-L. Zhong, H. Li, J. Qi, R. Desmond, Z. J. Song, J. Park, T. Wang, M. Truppo, G. R. Humphrey and R. T. Ruck, *Org. Lett.*, 2017, **19**, 5880–5883.

26 (a) M. Montesinos-Magrainer, M. Costantini, R. Ramírez-Contreras, M. E. Muratore, M. J. Johansson and A. Mendoza, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2019, **58**, 5930–5935; (b) Á. Gutiérrez-Bonet, S. Popov, M. H. Emmert, J. M. E. Hughes, A. F. Nolting, S. Ruccolo and Y. Wang, *Org. Lett.*, 2022, **24**, 3455–3460; (c) J. Altarejos, D. Sucunza, J. J. Vaquero and J. Carreras, *Org. Lett.*, 2021, **23**, 6174–6178; (d) H. Iwamoto, Y. Ozawa, Y. Hayashi, T. Imamoto and H. Ito, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2022, **144**, 10483–10494; (e) T. Xie, L. Chen, Z. Shen and S. Xu, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2023, **62**, e202300199; (f) V. Martín-Heras, A. Parra and M. Tortosa, *Synthesis*, 2018, 470–484; (g) Y. Shi, Q. Gao and S. Xu, *Synlett*, 2019, 2107–2112.

27 M. Simaan, P.-O. Delaye, M. Shi and I. Marek, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2015, **54**, 12345–12348.

28 C. M. Poteat, Y. Jang, M. Jung, J. D. Johnson, R. G. Williams and V. N. G. Lindsay, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2020, **59**, 18655–18661.

29 M. V. Barysevich, V. V. Kazlova, A. G. Kukel, A. I. Liubina, A. L. Hurski, V. N. Zhabinskii and V. A. Khripach, *Chem. Commun.*, 2018, **54**, 2800–2803.

30 D. Astashko, J. K. Cha, N. N. Rao and B. B. Parida, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 2014, 181–187.

31 E. J. Corey, S. A. Rao and M. C. Noe, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1994, **116**, 9345–9346.

32 O. G. Kulinkovich, D. G. Kananovich, M. Lopp and V. Snieckus, *Adv. Synth. Catal.*, 2014, **356**, 3615–3626.

33 M. Iskryk, M. Barysevich, M. Ošeka, J. Adamson and D. Kananovich, *Synthesis*, 2019, 1935–1948.

34 Y. A. Konik, D. G. Kananovich and O. G. Kulinkovich, *Tetrahedron*, 2013, **69**, 6673–6678.

35 (a) J. Pietruszka, A. C. M. Rieche, T. Wilhelm and A. Witt, *Adv. Synth. Catal.*, 2003, **345**, 1273–1286; (b) J. Pietruszka, T. Wilhelm and A. Witt, *Synlett*, 1999, 1981–1983.

36 Y. Sekiguchi and N. Yoshikai, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2021, **143**, 4775–4781.

37 Y. Sekiguchi and N. Yoshikai, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2021, **143**, 18400–18405.

38 C. P. Casey and N. A. Strotman, *Can. J. Chem.*, 2006, **84**, 1208–1217.

39 (a) R. W. Hoffmann, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2003, **32**, 225–230; (b) A. Guijarro and R. D. Rieke, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2000, **39**, 1475–1479.

40 C. H. DePuy, W. C. Arney Jr. and D. H. Gibson, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1968, **90**, 1830–1840.

41 A. DeBoer and C. H. DePuy, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1970, **92**, 4008–4013.

42 O. L. Epstein and O. G. Kulinkovich, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2001, **42**, 3757–3758.

43 J. O. Hoberg and P. W. Jennings, *Organometallics*, 1996, **15**, 3902–3904.

44 D. T. Ziegler, A. M. Steffens and T. W. Funk, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2010, **51**, 6726–6729.

45 M. Shan and G. A. O'Doherty, *Org. Lett.*, 2008, **10**, 3381–3384.

46 M. Shan and G. A. O'Doherty, *Synthesis*, 2008, 3171–3179.

47 P. P. Das, B. B. Parida and J. K. Cha, *ARKIVOC*, 2012, **2012**, 74–84.

48 O. L. Epstein and J. K. Cha, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2005, **44**, 121–123.

49 A. W. Schuppe, D. Huang, Y. Chen and T. R. Newhouse, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2018, **140**, 2062–2066.

50 M. V. Barysevich, M. V. Laktsevich-Iskryk, A. M. Scherbakov, D. I. Salnikova, O. E. Andreeva, D. V. Sorokin, Y. Y. Shchegolev, A. L. Hurski, V. N. Zhabinskii and V. A. Khripach, *Steroids*, 2022, **188**, 109135.

51 J. Jiao, L. X. Nguyen, D. R. Patterson and R. A. Flowers, *Org. Lett.*, 2007, **9**, 1323–1326.

52 M. V. Barysevich, Y. M. Aniskevich and A. L. Hurski, *Synlett*, 2021, 1934–1938.

53 A. Abad, C. Agulló, A. C. Cuñat, I. de Alfonso Marzal, A. Gris, I. Navarro and C. Ramírez de Arellano, *Tetrahedron*, 2007, **63**, 1664–1679.

54 H. G. Lee, I. L. Lysenko and J. K. Cha, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2007, **46**, 3326–3328.

55 N. Jha, S. Mondal and M. Kapur, *Chem. Commun.*, 2023, **59**, 12491–12494.

56 T. Feng, C. Liu, Z. Wu, X. Wu and C. Zhu, *Chem. Sci.*, 2022, **13**, 2669–2673.

57 (a) N. Varabyeva, M. Barysevich, Y. Aniskevich and A. Hurski, *Org. Lett.*, 2021, **23**, 5452–5456;



(b) E. Hasegawa, K. Nemoto, R. Nagumo, E. Tayama and H. Iwamoto, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2016, **81**, 2692–2703.

58 P. P. Das, K. Belmore and J. K. Cha, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2012, **51**, 9517–9520.

59 B. B. Parida, P. P. Das, M. Niocel and J. K. Cha, *Org. Lett.*, 2013, **15**, 1780–1783.

60 R. V. N. S. Murali, N. N. Rao and J. K. Cha, *Org. Lett.*, 2015, **17**, 3854–3856.

61 N. Tumma, E. Gyanchander and J. K. Cha, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2017, **82**, 4379–4385.

62 L. Fang, S. Jia, S. Fan and J. Zhu, *Chem. Commun.*, 2023, **59**, 10392–10395.

63 K. Cheng and P. J. Walsh, *Org. Lett.*, 2013, **15**, 2298–2301.

64 X. Cai, W. Liang, M. Liu, X. Li and M. Dai, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2020, **142**, 13677–13682.

65 D. C. Davis, K. L. Walker, C. Hu, R. N. Zare, R. M. Waymouth and M. Dai, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2016, **138**, 10693–10699.

66 E. Gyanchander, S. Ydhyam, N. Tumma, K. Belmore and J. K. Cha, *Org. Lett.*, 2016, **18**, 6098–6101.

67 M. Lee, J. Heo, D. Kim and S. Chang, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2022, **144**, 3667–3675.

68 Y. Sekiguchi, Y. Y. Lee and N. Yoshikai, *Org. Lett.*, 2021, **23**, 5993–5997.

69 W. Liang, X. Cai and M. Dai, *Chem. Sci.*, 2021, **12**, 1311–1316.

70 M. Jung, J. E. Muir and V. N. G. Lindsay, *Tetrahedron*, 2023, **134**, 133296.

71 C. M. Poteat and V. N. G. Lindsay, *Org. Lett.*, 2021, **23**, 6482–6487.

72 S. Singh, M. Simaan and I. Marek, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2018, **24**, 8553–8557.

73 H. Sommer, T. Weissbrod and I. Marek, *ACS Catal.*, 2019, **9**, 2400–2406.

74 T. Avullala, P. Asgari, Y. Hua, A. Bokka, S. G. Ridlen, K. Yum, H. V. R. Dias and J. Jeon, *ACS Catal.*, 2019, **9**, 402–408.

75 K. Dong, R. Gurung, X. Xu and M. P. Doyle, *Org. Lett.*, 2021, **23**, 3955–3959.

76 D. H. Gibson and C. H. DePuy, *Chem. Rev.*, 1974, **74**, 605–623.

77 J. Salaun, *Chem. Rev.*, 1983, **83**, 619–632.

78 (a) M. V. Raiman, N. A. Il'ina and O. G. Kulinkovich, *Synlett*, 1999, 1053–1054; (b) A. Fadel and A. Khesrani, *Tetrahedron: Asymmetry*, 1998, **9**, 305–320.

79 J. Salaün, *Chem. Rev.*, 2005, **105**, 285–312.

80 L. R. Mills, L. M. Barrera Arbelaez and S. A. L. Rousseaux, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2017, **139**, 11357–11360.

81 L. R. Mills, J. J. Monteith, G. dos Passos Gomes, A. Aspuru-Guzik and S. A. L. Rousseaux, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2020, **142**, 13246–13254.

82 H. M. Walborsky, *Tetrahedron*, 1981, **37**, 1625–1651.

83 J. J. Monteith, J. W. Pearson and S. A. L. Rousseaux, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2024, **63**, e202402912.

84 J. Yang, Y. Shen, Y. J. Lim and N. Yoshikai, *Chem. Sci.*, 2018, **9**, 6928–6934.

85 J. Yang, Y. Sekiguchi and N. Yoshikai, *ACS Catal.*, 2019, **9**, 5638–5644.

86 W. Huang and F. Meng, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2021, **60**, 2694–2698.

87 Z. Liang, L. Wang, Y. Wang, L. Wang, Q. Chong and F. Meng, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2023, **145**, 3588–3598.

88 Z. Liang, Q. Chong and F. Meng, *Sci. China: Chem.*, 2021, **64**, 1750–1755.

89 Y. Sekiguchi and N. Yoshikai, *Org. Lett.*, 2022, **24**, 960–965.

90 K. Tsukiji, A. Matsumoto, K. Kanemoto and N. Yoshikai, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2024, e202412456.

91 Q. Zhang, S.-W. Zhou, C.-Y. Shi and L. Yin, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2021, **60**, 26351–26356.

92 B. M. Trost, G. Zhang, M. Xu and X. Qi, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2022, **28**, e202104268.

93 A. Kitabayashi, S. Mizushima, K. Higashida, Y. Yasuda, Y. Shimizu and M. Sawamura, *Adv. Synth. Catal.*, 2022, **364**, 1855–1862.

94 L. Wu, L. Wang, P. Chen, Y.-L. Guo and G. Liu, *Adv. Synth. Catal.*, 2020, **362**, 2189–2194.

95 C. Jiang, L. Wang, H. Zhang, P. Chen, Y.-L. Guo and G. Liu, *Chem.*, 2020, **6**, 2407–2419.

96 (a) D. G. Kananovich, Y. A. Konik, D. M. Zubrytski, I. Järving and M. Lopp, *Chem. Commun.*, 2015, **51**, 8349–8352; (b) Y. Li, Z. Ye, T. M. Bellman, T. Chi and M. Dai, *Org. Lett.*, 2015, **17**, 2186–2189.

97 J. Wang and X. Li, *Chem. Sci.*, 2022, **13**, 3020–3026.

98 Z. Wu, D. Lebœuf, P. Retailleau, V. Gandon, A. Marinetti and A. Voituriez, *Chem. Commun.*, 2017, **53**, 7026–7029.

99 E. Jiménez-Núñez, C. K. Claverie, C. Nieto-Oberhuber and A. M. Echavarren, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2006, **45**, 5452–5455.

100 (a) F. Giacalone, M. Gruttaduria, P. Agrigento and R. Noto, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2012, **41**, 2406–2447; (b) B. Han, X.-H. He, Y.-Q. Liu, G. He, C. Peng and J.-L. Li, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2021, **50**, 1522–1586.

101 (a) F. Romanov-Michailidis, L. Guénée and A. Alexakis, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2013, **52**, 9266–9270; (b) F. Romanov-Michailidis, L. Guénée and A. Alexakis, *Org. Lett.*, 2013, **15**, 5890–5893; (c) F. Romanov-Michailidis, M. Pupier, L. Guénée and A. Alexakis, *Chem. Commun.*, 2014, **50**, 13461–13464; (d) F. Romanov-Michailidis, M. Romanova-Michaelides, M. Pupier and A. Alexakis, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2015, **21**, 5561–5583.

102 M. A. S. Blackburn, C. C. Wagen, M. R. Bodrogean, P. M. Tadross, A. J. Bendelsmith, D. A. Kutateladze and E. N. Jacobsen, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2023, **145**, 15036–15042.

103 Y.-Y. Xie, Z.-M. Chen, H.-Y. Luo, H. Shao, Y.-Q. Tu, X. Bao, R.-F. Cao, S.-Y. Zhang and J.-M. Tian, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2019, **58**, 12491–12496.

104 C. Zhang, S. Ye and J. Wu, *Org. Lett.*, 2024, **26**, 3321–3325.

105 C. Zhang, J. Huang, S. Ye, J. Tang and J. Wu, *Chem. Commun.*, 2020, **56**, 13852–13855.



106 L. Candish, C. M. Forsyth and D. W. Lupton, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2013, **52**, 9149–9152.

107 S.-H. Xiang and B. Tan, *Nat. Commun.*, 2020, **11**, 3786.

108 Ł. Woźniak, G. Magagnano and P. Melchiorre, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2018, **57**, 1068–1072.

109 G. Z. Elek, V. Borovkov, M. Lopp and D. G. Kananovich, *Org. Lett.*, 2017, **19**, 3544–3547.

110 G. Z. Elek, K. Koppel, D. M. Zubrytski, N. Konrad, I. Järving, M. Lopp and D. G. Kananovich, *Org. Lett.*, 2019, **21**, 8473–8478.

111 L. Dian and I. Marek, *Chem. Rev.*, 2018, **118**, 8415–8434.

112 V. D. Gvozdev, K. N. Shavrin, A. A. Ageshina and O. M. Nefedov, *Russ. Chem. Bull.*, 2017, **66**, 862–866.

113 Q. Gao and S. Xu, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2023, **62**, e202218025.

