



Cite this: *Nanoscale*, 2025, **17**, 10219

Contrast-enhanced imaging of carbon fiber composites using hafnium oxide nanocrystals†

Eline Goossens,^{a,b} Ives De Baere,^c Yuriy Sinchuk,^d Evert Dhaene,^a John De Vos,^a Pauline Rooms,^a Matthieu N. Boone,^e Jonathan De Roo,^b Isabel Van Driessche,^a Wim Van Paepegem^c and Klaartje De Buysser^{a*}

Carbon fiber-reinforced polymers (CFRPs) are of utmost importance in high-performance structural applications due to their unique combination of light-weight, yet high-strength behaviour. However, their behaviour and damage mechanisms are not fully understood. Damage propagation models need to take into account the type, geometry and orientation of a multitude of failure mechanisms and defects. Micro-Computed Tomography (micro-CT) data could provide the necessary input for these models, but the poor contrast between the carbon fibers and the polymer matrix does not allow automatic geometry extraction needed as input. To overcome this issue, hafnium oxide nanocrystals (HfO₂ NCs) were introduced as CT contrast agents to the polymer matrix to provide the required contrast. To ensure a homogeneous and stable dispersion of the NCs in the epoxy resin, a bisphosphonic acid ligand consisting of ethylene glycol oligomer segments was used. The NCs did not significantly alter important parameters such as matrix stiffness, viscosity, glass transition temperature and curing time, enabling them to be implemented without having to alter current composite resin infusion methods. NC-doped CFRPs with 5 and 10 m% of HfO₂ NCs added showed a drastic improvement in CT contrast, allowing for segmentation of the carbon fiber tows and visualization of micrometer-scale cracks. The contrast-enhanced NC-doped composites thereby enable the validation of damage models by accurate micro-CT data.

Received 31st October 2024,
Accepted 28th February 2025

DOI: 10.1039/d4nr04561k

rsc.li/nanoscale

Introduction

Fiber-reinforced polymers (FRPs) are the dominant composite material used in applications such as aerospace,^{1,2} automotive,^{3,4} wind energy^{5,6} and more.^{7–9} Their high corrosion resistance and specific strength and stiffness make them of interest for weight-critical structural applications. In structural applications, FRPs typically consist of a thermoset (cross-linked) polymer matrix because of its improved thermal and chemical stability, as well as fiber bonding properties, compared with thermoplastic (non-crosslinked) matrices.^{10,11} The

polymer is reinforced with micrometer-sized fibers, typically carbon (CFRPs) or glass fibers (GFRPs). The resulting material is heterogeneous and anisotropic in nature, making its behaviour different from traditional structural materials such as steel and convoluting the prediction of damage. The type, geometry and orientation of a multitude of failure mechanisms and defects (*i.e.* delamination, matrix cracking, fiber failure, fiber-matrix debonding, inclusions and voids)^{12,13} need to be taken into account. Furthermore, the interactions between these defects are of interest, as they often lay the basis for final composite failure.

Micro-Computed Tomography (micro-CT) has become paramount in the development of damage and failure prediction models, as it is the only non-destructive evaluation technique that gives a high-resolution three-dimensional (3D) representation of the scanned object with a minimum of sample preparation.^{14–17} By sending X-rays through the sample, its internal structure is revealed with (sub-)micrometer resolution. Micro-CT analysis is therefore used in composite research for the analysis and identification of damage and microstructures.¹² It has been applied for crack visualization,^{18,19} damage due to loading,^{20–22} impact,^{23,24} fatigue^{25,26} and to determine manufacturing defects such as porosity^{27,28} and fiber misalignment.^{29,30} With time-lapse 3D imaging even the

^aDepartment of Chemistry, Ghent University, 9000 Ghent, Belgium.

E-mail: Klaartje.DeBuysser@UGent.be

^bDepartment of Chemistry, University of Basel, 4058 Basel, Switzerland

^cDepartment of Materials, Textiles and Chemical Engineering, Ghent University, 9052 Zwijnaarde, Belgium

^dLaboratory of Mechanics Paris-Saclay, 91192 Gif-sur-Yvette Cedex, France

^eCenter for X-ray Tomography, Department of Physics and Astronomy, Ghent University, 9000 Ghent, Belgium

†Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Additional NMR, DLS, TEM, SEM, TGA and micro-CT data, characterization of synthesized bisPA-mPEG[2] and bisPA-mPEG[6] ligands, deep learning segmentation process, precursor characterization, schematics, tables and calculations. See DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1039/d4nr04561k>



in situ propagation of damage effects during loading can be visualized.^{18,31} CT relies on differences in X-ray attenuation to generate a tomographic image. The aforementioned studies are thus limited to metal and ceramic matrix composites or synchrotron sources.¹² Synchrotron CT scans can obtain better contrast-to-noise and are therefore often used, but come at the downside of large and expensive facilities with limited accessibility. Crucial research is therefore slowed down or restricted because synchrotron sources are time-consuming and can only scan small samples. For lab-based sources, the current state-of-the-art to visualize cracks in polymer matrices utilizes iodine-based dye penetrants. However, this approach can only visualize the cracks that are exposed to the penetrant, *i.e.* those that are directly connected to the external surface.^{12,15,32} Dye penetrants also affect the growth of cracks and significantly stiffen the tows, which makes the mechanical performance after staining unreliable.^{32–34}

Simulations of composite behavior and its damage propagation are primarily done *via* finite element modelling (FEM), which subdivides a highly complex system into a mesh of smaller, manageable elements whose behaviour is more readily understood.³⁵ Significant improvements have been obtained with models based on micro-CT images,^{36–41} but the quality of the final model strongly depends on the input CT image quality.⁴² The image-based mesh is constructed from the micro-CT scan *via* segmentation, a post-processing technique which virtually separates different elements based on their difference in greyscale value (*i.e.* contrast).¹⁷ The segmentation efficiency is mainly dependent on signal-to-noise and contrast,⁴³ and the latter is largely material-dependent. CT analysis of GFRPs can readily extract the glass fibers from the polymer matrix. CFRPs on the other hand have poor contrast between carbon fibers and the polymer matrix, making automatic segmentation of single fibers or tows from the undoped matrix a challenging problem. CFRPs thus rely on contrast enhancement for segmentation of CT images. Besides the aforementioned dye penetrants to visualize surface-accessible cracks, contrast enhancement of the boundaries between tows and resin has been done using nanometer-sized coatings on the tows, typically gold or copper, or using resin additives.^{32,44} The addition of high-density particles which improve the contrast of the matrix is the only option allowing visualization of cracks throughout the complete matrix and making automatic segmentation possible even to the individual fiber level. Djukic *et al.* introduced Al₂O₃ and BaSO₄ nano- and microparticles to epoxy; however, the particles were not uniformly distributed and did not result in a stable nanocomposite mixture.⁴⁴ An unstable particle-based contrast agent creates problematic local artefacts in micro-CT, rather than providing homogeneous contrast enhancement. Furthermore, agglomerated particles have a large, typically negative, effect on the properties of the matrix, such as an increase in viscosity of the resin which complicates the manufacturing process. The issues with gravitational sinking and/or agglomeration of the nanoparticles mean that nanoparticle-based contrast agents in polymer nanocomposites are limited and there are no homo-

geneous dispersions available for fiber-reinforced polymers. High-atomic number nanoparticles (NPs) such as Au,^{45,46} TaO_x,^{47,48} WO_x,^{49,50} Bi₂S₃^{51,52} and HfO₂^{53–56} have been used in clinical settings as CT contrast agents. Recently, we used HfO₂ nanocrystals (NCs) as CT contrast agents in a polymethylmethacrylate polymer resin for the casting of biological specimens.⁵⁷ In this study, we demonstrate the potential of HfO₂ NCs as CT contrast agents for CFRPs. By careful surface chemistry design, we obtain a homogeneous and stable dispersion in the epoxy resin using of a bidentate phosphonic acid ligand. The HfO₂ NCs remain stable during and after curing, and significantly improve the CT contrast of the composites. Because of the homogeneous distribution, mechanical properties of the NC-doped matrix, such as matrix stiffness, viscosity, glass transition temperature and curing time, are not negatively affected. Current existing resin infusion methods can be used without adaptation. The NC-doped CFRPs demonstrate significant contrast enhancement, which increases with increasing HfO₂ NCs added. The CT contrast allows for straightforward and accurate segmentation of the carbon fiber tows, opening the door to modeling of carbon fiber composites with automatic segmentation using lab-based CT. These damage and behaviour models lead to a better understanding of composite behaviour, an important step towards the elimination of unexpected composite failure.

Results and discussion

Synthesis of HfO₂ nanocrystals

Hafnium oxide nanocrystals were synthesized *via* a microwave-assisted solvothermal synthesis, starting from HfCl₄·2THF in benzyl alcohol as shown in Fig. 1A.^{58,59} We recently scaled up this reaction, resulting in multiple grams of HfO₂ NCs per synthesis,⁵⁷ and we have shown that the reaction time can be reduced to 1 hour.⁶⁰ The bottleneck for large-scale NC production is currently the post-synthetic purification, which requires multiple time-consuming centrifugation steps. We present a work-up protocol optimized for gram-scale syntheses, without the need for centrifugation. After synthesis the reaction mixture is split up into an aqueous phase containing the NCs and an organic phase, which can be separated using a separation funnel. From the observation that particles agglomerate to clusters larger than 1 μm when an appropriate non-solvent is added, further purification of excess chloride and ligands is possible using por5 fritted filters. The filtrate is mostly NC-free, while the agglomerated NCs remain on the filter. The resulting NCs are ellipsoidal, with a major axis of 8.5 ± 5.5 nm and a minor axis of 5.2 ± 2.5 nm ($\mu \pm 3\sigma$) as determined *via* transmission electron microscopy (TEM) (Fig. 1B). Powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) confirmed their monoclinic (*P2₁/c*) crystal structure (Fig. 1C). After synthesis, the resulting particles are de-aggregated using a mixture of fatty acid in the presence of a base to functionalize the surface.⁵⁸ Typically the NC surface is functionalized with oleic acid, providing excellent stability in nonpolar solvents. The final NC stability is not affected by the filter steps, as evidenced by dynamic light scat-






Fig. 1 (A) Synthesis reaction scheme of HfO₂ NCs starting from HfCl₄·2THF in benzyl alcohol. (B) TEM image of the synthesized NCs. The size distribution and a zoom of a single NC is shown in the top and bottom left corner, respectively. (C) XRD spectrum (grey) with a monoclinic reference spectrum (black, COD ID 9013470). (D) Volume size distribution DLS measurement of HfO₂/oleate in chloroform.

tering (DLS). In chloroform, the suspension of HfO₂/oleate has a Z-average value of 17 ± 6 nm ($\mu \pm 3\sigma$) (Fig. 1D). The appropriate non-solvent is used to precipitate the NCs and wash them on the fritted filter. The NCs are washed with the same non-solvent in order to remove all excess (free) ligand. Broadened ¹H nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) peaks are indicative of bound ligands and show good purification (Fig. S1†).^{61–63}

Influence of the surface chemistry

High-resolution (micro-) CT can obtain resolutions in the sub-micrometer range.^{64,65} The HfO₂ NCs should therefore be homogeneously dispersed and remain stable in the composite resin during curing. Micrometer-sized NC agglomerates are detected in the micro-CT scans, complicating or even eliminating the possibility of automatic segmentation. The most common thermoset matrices for FRPs are epoxy, polyester, vinyl ester, phenolic and polyurethane.¹⁰ Though our approach can be expanded to different resins by optimizing the surface chemistry to match the resin of choice, we chose to focus on epoxy as it is considered the most widely utilized resin for high-performance structural applications.⁶⁶ Although it is more expensive, epoxy outperforms other resins in terms of mechanical properties (*e.g.* tensile strength) and durability (corrosion and moisture resistance).⁶⁶ We used a commercially available epoxy (Epikote RIMR135) with a diamine curing agent (Epikure RIMH137) widely used for composite synthesis.^{67–71} The stability of NCs is largely determined by the ligands capping the NC surface,^{72,73} especially in challenging environments such as polymer resins.^{74–76} Matrix-compatible ligands improve the enthalpic compatibility and have been shown to give great colloidal stability.^{77,78} To assess the influ-

ence of ligand binding groups and tails on the stability in the resin, three different binding groups with a high binding affinity for the metal oxide surface were chosen: carboxylic acids,^{79–81} phosphonic acids^{82–86} and bisphosphonic acids^{87–89} (Fig. 2A). The colloidal stability of the ligand-functionalized NCs in the resin was determined by DLS measurements. Lower solvodynamic diameters indicate well-dispersed NCs. An overview of all ¹H NMR spectra confirming the successful exchange and the corresponding volume distributions in the resin according to DLS is given in Fig. S2.† A selection of those volume distributions in Fig. 2B highlights two noticeable trends. First, carboxylic acids perform worse overall than phosphonic acids and bisphosphonic acids, indicating that the binding group strength plays a role in the overall colloidal stability. Second, the polarity of the ligand tail is the determining factor for the colloidal stability in the resin. Ligands with repeating polyethyleneglycol (PEG) units have better stability than aliphatic chains. The best colloidal stability in the resin, confirmed by DLS, was obtained with (bis)phosphonic acids having PEG-units as organic tail. Based on the Z-average value, which describes the intensity-weighted average particle size and is most sensitive to agglomeration, bisPA-mPEG[6] ($\mu \pm 3\sigma = 23 \pm 6$ nm) and PA-mPEG[2] (24 ± 3 nm) have superior stability to the other ligands. Selected mixed ligand shells, combining polar and nonpolar tails, that were tested do not outperform a fully (bis)phosphonic acid shell (Fig. S2†).

Solely based on DLS data one might expect that the bisphosphonic acid only slightly outperforms the phosphonic acid in terms of stability in the resin. After curing, however, clear visual turbidity in the phosphonic samples could be observed whereas this was completely absent in the bisphosphonic acid






Fig. 2 (A) Overview of the ligand library separated by binding group and polarity. (B) Volume size distribution DLS data of HfO₂ NCs characterized with several ligands. (C) TEM slice of 5 m% HfO₂/BisPA-mPEG[6] in epoxy shows the homogeneous distribution.

samples, shown in Fig. S3A.† The turbidity is linked to the presence of large NC agglomerates, evidenced by TEM images of the nanocomposite samples (Fig. S3D†). The epoxy resin is poly(bisphenol-A-co-epichlorhydrin)-based and crosslinks upon addition of a combination of diamine curing agents. We found that the latter reacts with the phosphonic acid on the NC surface and strips it during curing, leaving the NCs destabilized in the resin. ³¹P NMR spectra of the phosphonic acid ligand-functionalized NCs originally showed a broad peak, indicative of bound ligands. After addition of the curing agent, a sharp peak of free ligand appeared within minutes, proving that ligands are actively stripped from the surface (Fig. S3B†). Bisphosphonic acids on the other hand have a higher binding affinity to the HfO₂ surface due to their multidentate binding mode (evidenced by the lower ligand density, see ESI†) and do not get stripped from the surface during curing. A control experiment using an excess of curing agent revealed that ligand removal for the bisphosphonic acid was only observed after 48 h, but as the epoxy resin itself will be cured in a few hours, the particles are set in place before they can agglomerate. TEM slices of cured composites showed that no agglomerations larger than 50 nm were present in the nanocomposite sample of HfO₂/bisPA-mPEG (Fig. 2C). In addition, the NCs were stable in the uncured resin mixture up to a week, demonstrated using DLS in Fig. S4.† Bisphosphonic acids will therefore be the preferred ligand for other resins which contain materials that could compete with the surface ligands, e.g. polyesters or vinylsters.

Ligand synthesis and exchange

In the application of CT contrast-enhanced CFRPs, the NC dispersion is required to be both homogeneous and stable to create homogeneous contrast and have a minimal influence on the mechanical properties. After evaluating the surface chemistry, the bisphosphonic acid bisPA-mPEG[6] was shown to result in such a dispersion. Having thus found the optimum ligand, we sought to improve its synthesis and post-synthetic ligand exchange. We based our synthesis of bisPA-mPEG[6] on the synthetic strategy for alkylbisphosphonic

acids published by Egorov *et al.*⁹⁰ This one-pot synthesis started from the corresponding acid (Fig. 3A) and received higher purity (97% based on ³¹P NMR) than the commercially available bisPA-mPEG[6]. The final ligand was fully characterized with MS and NMR spectroscopy, see Fig. S5–8.† The synthesized bisPA-mPEG[6] could be successfully exchanged on the HfO₂ NC surface as confirmed in Fig. 3B by the broadened ¹H and ³¹P NMR resonances. The functionalized NCs had a comparable ligand density (1.26 ligands per nm²) to the commercial equivalent (1.28 ligands per nm²) as determined *via* thermogravimetric analysis (TGA),⁵⁷ see Fig. 3C. Their stability in the epoxy resin slightly outperformed the NCs functionalized with the commercial equivalent (Fig. S9†), likely due the higher quality compared with the commercial product. The synthesis can also be applied starting from more economical carboxylic acids with shorter PEG-chains and thus allows for the creation of bisphosphonic acid ligands with a variety of ligand tail lengths or types better suited for other resins. Shorter bisphosphonic acids provide also good colloidal stability in epoxy (Fig. S2†). To demonstrate this, we synthesized bisPA-mPEG[2], again with higher purity (96% based on ³¹P NMR) than the commercially available alternative, and fully characterized with MS and NMR spectroscopy, shown in Fig. S10–S13.† The synthesized HfO₂/bisPA-mPEG[2] NC stability in the resin was also slightly better than the commercial ligand, see Fig. S14.†

The NC surface is typically stabilized by oleate ligands after synthesis (Fig. S1†). Bisphosphonic acids can be exchanged on the metal oxide surface from oleate in an X-for-X ligand exchange⁹¹ due to their higher binding affinity.⁸⁸ Following our previously reported protocol,⁵⁷ we simplified the post-synthetic surface modification. The bisphosphonic acid was added directly after synthesis, eliminating the need for the oleate in-between step. Fig. S15† shows the reaction scheme for the indirect and direct exchange. The direct exchange was achieved using triethyl amine as a base to drive the reaction equilibrium to a full exchange, see Fig. S16.† A photometric chloride test confirmed the removal of all adsorbed chloride on the NC surface after the exchange (calculations in ESI†).






Fig. 3 (A) Reaction scheme of one-pot synthesis of bisPA-mPEG[6] starting from the carboxylic acid. (B) ¹H NMR spectrum of HfO₂/BisPA-mPEG[6] NCs in CDCl₃. The inset shows the ³¹P NMR. (C) TGA data show the mass loss of the ligands attached to the surface. Measurement was done from 25 °C to 800 °C, followed by a 30-minute isothermal step at 800 °C.

NC-doped epoxy mechanical properties

In order to construct accurate damage propagation models, the presence of the NCs should not negatively affect the composite's mechanical properties, which typically takes place when large agglomerates are present. NPs influence the local stress state of the surrounding polymer matrix, creating a radial zone which substantially differs from the properties of the bulk material.^{92–94} An uneven dispersion results in heterogeneity and structural defects.^{81,95,96} Furthermore, examples with carbon nanotubes (CNTs) are known to cause drastic viscosity increases when mixing them in a polymer resin,^{97–100} thereby limiting their application potential. Using HfO₂/bisPA-mPEG[6], we achieved a homogeneous and stable dispersion in the resin and could evaluate nanocomposite samples with 0, 5 and 10 m% (ligand weight subtracted) of NCs added. To ensure that matrix is barely affected by the presence of the NCs, we measured a set of properties which influence polymer performance: matrix stiffness, insoluble fraction, water absorption, degradation temperature, curing time, viscosity and glass transition temperature.

The Young's modulus was calculated from the stress–strain curve measured by a tensile test, shown in Fig. 4A. With increasing mass percentage of NCs added, the modulus increased, as has been observed before with several (metal oxide) nanoparticles.^{93,95,101} Minor improvements in ultimate force and ultimate stress were observed as well (values in Table S1†). Due to their brittle nature, epoxies have a low elongation at break.⁶⁶ The presence of the NCs slightly improves the total elongation; similar effects have often been attributed to crack bridging and deflection, though typically for larger (>200 nm) NPs, or increased flexibility (necking) of the NCs.^{102,103} As the carbon fibers are the main load-bearing constituents, this minor matrix improvement has a negligible

effect on the overall strength of the structure. Fig. 4B shows the evolution in insoluble fraction, the fraction of material that has formed a three-dimensional crosslinked network. The reference (0 m% NCs) sample was completely cured ($\mu \pm \sigma = 100 \pm 0\%$). While the insoluble fraction remains high, it decreased with m% of NCs added (97.3 \pm 1.8% for 5 m% and 96.1 \pm 0.4% for 10 m%). We hypothesize this was caused by the ligands on the NC surface interacting with the diamine curing agent, lowering the crosslink density, *i.e.* the insoluble fraction.

Water absorption is an issue in high-performance materials. Specifically in epoxies, it has a plasticizing effect (reduced T_g and tensile properties) and leads to polymer chain scission.^{104–108} These drawbacks are also cause for concern in epoxy/(carbon) fiber composites, even leading to debonding of the polymer from the fibers.^{106,109,110} Addition of nanofillers (nanoclay, nanotubes, nanoparticles) has been shown to slightly reduce the water uptake with increasing mass percentage, often accredited to the tortuosity path created by the nanofillers,^{105,111,112} though concerns have been raised about some nanofillers increasing the water absorption.^{113,114} For our samples, we found a small decrease in water absorption with increasing mass percentage of HfO₂ NCs added (Fig. 4C).

The maximum temperature at which a polymer can be used should not be significantly affected by the NCs. This degradation temperature, defined as the temperature at which 5 m% mass is lost, was determined *via* TGA measurements (Fig. 4D). It was largely unaffected by the presence of the NCs and decreased a few degrees Celsius with increasing mass percentage. Similarly, the NC-doped resin should not have an accelerated curing time. The curing times of the three formulations were determined by the crossover of the storage modulus G' and loss modulus G'' at 80 °C, see Fig. 4E. For all samples this crossover occurred around *ca.* 7 kPa, indicating similar homogeneities of all the mixed resins (Fig. S17†).






Fig. 4 Nanocomposite properties of epoxy with 0, 5 and 10 m% HfO₂/BisPA-mpPEG[6] added. (A) Stress–strain measurements; the inset shows the Young's modulus calculated from the data. An example of necking on a dogbone-shaped sample is drawn. (B) The insoluble fractions and (C) water absorption after 7 days. (D) TGA data shown as mass loss in function of temperature. The degradation temperatures (defined at 5 m% sample loss) are given. (E) The curing time defined by the crossover of the storage modulus G' and loss modulus G'' . Moduli are measured at 80 °C in function of time. (F) Viscosity (average of 0.1–100 Hz) and viscosity in function of increasing temperature. (G) DSC data plotted as heat flow in function of temperature; the glass transition temperatures are indicated.

A slightly faster (4 min) or slower (5 min) gelation was observed for 10 m% and 5 m%, respectively, compared with the commercial formulation. As the curing process is >24 h, no significant differences are expected.

A drastic increase in resin viscosity would impede the infusion process of the carbon fiber fabric. However, contrary to the large viscosity increases observed with CNTs, the HfO₂ NCs only showed a minimal viscosity increase which did not affect the ability for resin infusion. If needed, the viscosity increase can also be combatted by a small temperature increase ($\Delta T \approx 5$ °C), rapidly dropping even below the value for 0 m% at room temperature, as demonstrated in Fig. 4F. Finally, the glass transition temperature (T_g) was determined by DSC measurements, and is the temperature at which the materials' physical properties will start to change. A small, but non-significant decrease in T_g was found, attributed to the plasticizing effect of the NCs (Fig. 4G). Overall the NCs had a slightly positive to negligible effect on the final nanocomposite's mechanical properties. Importantly, the NCs can no longer migrate or agglomerate after curing. The minimal impact on the mechanical properties is therefore maintained even under varying environmental conditions, as confirmed in Fig. S18.†

Proof-of-concept CT

Contrast enhancement using the HfO₂ NCs was evaluated first by doping the epoxy resin, ranging from 0 to 10 m% NCs added (mass does not include the ligands), and adding a single carbon fiber bundle to each sample. Small fragments were cut after curing and analyzed *via* micro-CT using a peak tube potential of 90 kV and a $17^3 \mu\text{m}^3$ voxel size.

A signal-to-noise plot, shown in Fig. S19,† was constructed by comparing the ratio of the attenuation values of the background with those of the polymer matrix. The plot shows a linear increase of CT contrast with m% NCs added. Nonetheless, limited contrast improvement was observed between 0, 1 and 2.5 m%, as can be seen in Fig. 5A. This was attributed to the difference in X-ray attenuation of the carbon fibers and the polymer matrix. The latter attenuated slightly less than the carbon fibers when no NCs were added, as can be seen in Fig. 5B which plots the linear attenuation coefficients. Upon improving the attenuation of the polymer matrix by adding the HfO₂ NCs, the contrast between matrix and fibers decreased before the attenuation of the matrix overtook the fibers and the contrast improved. The attenuation coeffi-






Fig. 5 Contrast enhancement of HfO₂ NC-doped CFRPs with increasing m% of NCs added. (A) Axial view of slices containing one fiber bundle, (B) linear attenuation coefficient of the fibers and the polymer matrix shows the cross-over in contrast, (C) 3D volume renders of samples with increasing m%. From 5 m% on it was possible to automatically extract the fiber bundle from the polymer matrix.

cient of the fibers appeared to increase as well with increasing m% NCs; this artefact is due to the partial volume effect averaging the greyscales of the fibers with the polymer within voxels, *i.e.* the actual attenuation difference will be higher than depicted here.

From 5 m% on, the carbon fiber bundle was both visually clearly distinguishable and could be automatically extracted, Fig. 5C. We opted for seeded region-growing based segmentation for its speed, high accuracy and minimal manual input needed.^{11,5} The segmentation starts from a manually placed seed and grows automatically to regions of the same contrast, continuously evaluating neighbouring voxels's greyscales. When a user pre-determined contrast difference is encountered it is indicated as the edge of this region.^{16,17} In the range of 5 to 10 m% concentration the obtained segmentation was more exact and detailed with increasing HfO₂ NCs. No agglomerations were found in any of the samples throughout the entire 3D volume due to the stability provided by the bisPA-mPEG[6] ligand. Fig. S20† shows the importance of choosing a well-suited contrast agent by comparing the X-ray contrast of HfO₂ (high *Z* and high density) and ZrO₂ NCs (lower *Z* and density). To the contrary, the negligible difference in tensile curves indicates that the material type is of lesser importance for the mechanical properties.

Contrast-enhanced CT visualization of CFRPs

Having determined the minimum amount of NCs needed for automatic extraction of the fiber bundles in the previous section, NC-doped CFRPs were manufactured with 5 and 10 m% of HfO₂/BisPA-mPEG[6]. Laminates consisting of six layers of woven carbon fiber fabric were made using vacuum-assisted resin infusion (VARI). Note that since the presence of

the NCs does not influence the resin properties, composite manufacturing techniques can be used without having to adapt them. This method can thus be expanded to other (non-)continuous FRPs and processes such as hand lay-up, resin transfer molding, pultrusion, *etc.* The infusion is not hindered after addition of the NCs due to the minimal viscosity increase (Fig. 4F). Gravimetric analysis and radiography of the cured nanocomposite present in the inlet and outlet tube connected to the infusion setup showed that the amount of NCs entering and exiting the fiber stack was equal, confirming the absence of any possible filtering of the NCs caused by the densely packed carbon fibers (Fig. S21†). For woven fabrics, mesoscopic finite element modelling (FEM) starts from the representative unit cell (RUC), a small and repeatable volume which statistically represents the structure. The whole fabric is reconstructed by in-plane translations of this RUC.⁴² Small samples (3 × 3 mm) were diamond cut from the cured laminate and used as RUC. Fig. 6A shows a schematic of the RUC which contains fibers traversing in- and out-of-plane. The small sample size allows for high-resolution CT scans and samples were scanned using a tube potential of 90 kV and a voxel size of 3.5³ μm³. Fig. 6B shows a cross-section slice of the NC-doped CFRPs compared with an undoped reference sample (videos going through the complete sample are supplied in the ESI†). The contrast between fibers and polymer matrix was significantly higher with the addition of the HfO₂ NCs. The contrast-enhanced CFRPs allowed for a clear distinction between fiber bundles and the direction in- or out-of-plane. The corresponding greyscale histograms also show an increasing shift between the larger peak associated with the fibers and those with the matrix, as well as a shift away from the background values.






Fig. 6 (A) Schematic showing the point-of-view of the micro-CT scan slices on the woven fabric. Weft and warp directions are indicated. (B) Cross-section slices of micro-CT scans demonstrate the CT contrast improvement of the CFRPs with, from left to right, 0, 5 and 10 m% $\text{HfO}_2/\text{BisPA-mPEG}[6]$ NCs added to the polymer matrix. (C) 3D volume renders of the 5 and 10 m% samples. The segmented matrix is highlighted in blue. (D) The automatic segmentation on the micro-CT scans is increasingly more accurate with HfO_2 NCs added. (E) Greyscale histograms with Gaussians fitted to the fibers and polymer matrix contributions. For the 0 m% sample the matrix cannot be distinguished from the fibers.

Using the same region-growing approach as described above, we attempted to automatically segment the fiber bundles from the polymer matrix. In Fig. 6C and D the segmented region is highlighted. For the undoped reference CFRP, the method failed to segment the tows region. With 5 m% of NCs added, the automatic segmentation could extract the polymer-rich zones between fiber bundles and differentiate the polymer from the fibers. Increasing the amount of NCs to 10 m% made the segmentation even more detailed. It could detect the different fiber bundles both in- and out-of-plane. A more accurate segmentation was achieved using a deep learning approach, which is comparatively more resource-intensive in terms of computational resources and requires manual annotation. Deep learning models, a subset of machine learning, are trained by labeled data sets and use neural networks to understand complex patterns.

We constructed a training set by preprocessing and manually annotating the 5 m% and 10 m% scans. The training dataset included information from only 20 slices of each CT image (10 warp and 10 weft). This is about 1.3% of the total number of inferred slices. The resulting annotation was converted into the boundary surface mesh of tows, which was

then voxelized, *i.e.* converted into images, as shown in Fig. S22.† For woven composites, the multiclass segmentation needs to distinguish between the different directions of the fibers (warp or weft, Fig. 6A) and the matrix. This was successfully converted to a binary segmentation using the identification of the tow cross-section regions (*is* or *is not* a cross-section). Once trained, the neural network yielded highly accurate results in both the warp and weft direction, achieving voxel-wise accuracies of >96% for all samples (Table 1).

Table 1 Voxel-wise accuracy (in %) obtained with deep learning segmentation of the micro-CT scans of 5 m% and 10 m% HfO_2 NC-doped CFRPs. Segmentation was performed both with the original resolution dataset (top) and a reduced resolution dataset (bottom). The distinction is made between the ability to recognize tows from matrix and the more complicated identification of warp and weft directions within the tows

	Tows/matrix		Warp/weft/matrix	
	5 m%	10 m%	5 m%	10 m%
Original	97.0	98.4	95.7	96.3
Reduced	97.2	98.4	96.0	96.7






Fig. 7 Deep learning segmentation results on NC-doped fibres with 5 m% (top) and 10 m% (bottom) NCs added. (A) Input images and (B) manual segmentation compared with the (C) reduced and (D) original resolution datasets.




Fig. 8 Increasingly accurate segmentation of microcracks in NC-doped CFRPs with, from top to bottom, 0, 5 and 10 m% of HfO₂ NCs added. (A) 3D volume render of the micro-CT scans of the damaged samples. (B) SEM images confirming the presence of microcracks. (C) Cross-section slices with region growing based segmentation (highlighted in blue). A selection of cracks are measured and indicated.



col below. 2-Ethylhexyl phosphonic acid (EHPA) was synthesized according to De Roo *et al.*⁸⁴ Oleylphosphonic acid (OPA) was synthesized according to Dhaene *et al.*⁸⁵ Marbocote 227 CEE release agent was purchased from Fatol-Kunststoffen. Epikote™ resin MGS RIMR135 and Epikure™ curing agent MGS RIMH137 were purchased from Hexion. Chemlease® 41-90 EZ was purchased from Chem-Trend. Wrightlon® 7400 nylon vacuum bagging film, Wrightlon® 5200 high-performance fluoropolymer release film and ultraweave® 606 medium weight nylon breather/bleeder were purchased from Airtech Europe SARL. Aerofilm® PP180 economy polyester peel ply and ST150 vacuum bagging gum sealant tape were purchased from EasyComposites. Solvents used for synthesis were purchased from Chemlab or Sigma-Aldrich. All deuterated solvents (CDCl₃ and CD₃OD) were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich or Eurisotop and stored over sieves. All manipulations were performed in air unless otherwise indicated. All chemicals were used as received unless otherwise mentioned. When required, organic solvents were dried according to the procedure described by Williams *et al.*¹¹⁸ making use of 20% m/v freshly activated 3 Å sieves for a minimum of 120 h.

Synthesis of bisPA-mPEG[6]

The synthesis was inspired by Egorov *et al.*⁹⁰ Tris(trimethylsilyl)phosphite (P(OSiMe₃)₃) and catecholborane were stored in a glovebox under an inert atmosphere; the latter was stored in a freezer (−18 °C) in a glovebox. mPEG₆CH₂COOH was stored in a freezer (−18 °C) outside of a glovebox. 0.218 g mPEG₆CH₂COOH (1 eq., 0.615 mmol) was allowed to reach room temperature, after which it was weighed and dissolved in 0.5 mL THF. A two-neck flask was equipped with a septum and vacuum adapter and flushed three times with argon. The carboxylic acid was added to the flask under argon flow. In a glovebox under an inert atmosphere, a 1 mol L^{−1} solution of catecholborane (1.1 eq., 0.8 mmol, 0.096 g) in anhydrous THF (0.8 mL) was made. The solution was taken out of the glovebox and 0.675 mL was added to the flask, creating a solution with a yellow hue. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 3 hours or until there was no evolution of gas. Note that hydrogen gas was being formed in this step. 0.770 g P(OSiMe₃)₃ (4.2 eq., 2.58 mmol, 0.862 mL) was removed from the glovebox and added to the mixture, which was left to stir for 16 hours. The mixture was hydrolyzed by adding 4 mL of dry methanol and left to stir for 4 hours. Solvents were evaporated under reduced pressure. The resulting oil was purified by dispersing in a minimal amount of methanol (*ca.* 0.5 mL) and precipitating with an excess of diethyl ether (*ca.* 10 mL) by centrifugation (8000 rcf for 4 min). This purification step was repeated five times to remove all side products. The final product was a transparent, viscous oil with 49% yield.

¹H NMR (400.1 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 3.34–3.38 (s, 3H), δ 3.52–3.58 (m, 2H), δ 3.60–3.73 (s, 20H), δ 3.74–3.82 (s, 2H), δ 4.00–4.15 (m, 2H), δ 7.40–8.30 (s, 5H). ¹³C APT NMR (100.6 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 59.18 (pos), δ 70.45 (neg), δ 70.53 (neg), δ 72.02 (neg), δ 74.72 (neg). ³¹P NMR (162.0 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 17.10 (s). LC-MS calculated 500.1 for [M], found 499.1 for [M – H][−].

Synthesis of bisPA-mPEG[2]

The synthesis was inspired by Egorov *et al.*⁹⁰ Tris(trimethylsilyl)phosphite (P(OSiMe₃)₃) and catecholborane were stored in a glovebox under an inert atmosphere; the latter was stored in a freezer (−18 °C). MEEAA was stored at room temperature outside of a glovebox. 0.219 g MEEAA (1 eq., 1.23 mmol) was allowed to reach room temperature, after which it was weighed and dissolved in 0.5 mL THF. A two-neck flask was equipped with a septum and vacuum adapter and flushed three times with argon. The carboxylic acid was added to the flask under argon flow. In a glovebox, a 1 mol L^{−1} solution of catecholborane (1.1 eq., 1.5 mmol, 0.180 g) in anhydrous THF (1.5 mL) was made. The solution was taken out of the glovebox and 1.35 mL was added to the flask, creating a solution with a yellow hue. The mixture was stirred at room temperature for 3 hours or until there was no evolution of gas. Note that hydrogen gas was being formed in this step. 1.543 g P(OSiMe₃)₃ (4.2 eq., 5.17 mmol, 1.728 mL) was removed from the glovebox and added to the mixture, which was left to stir for 16 hours. The mixture was hydrolyzed by adding 5 mL of dry methanol and left to stir for 4 hours. Solvents were evaporated under reduced pressure. The resulting oil was purified by dispersing in a minimal amount of methanol (*ca.* 0.5 mL) and precipitating with an excess of diethyl ether (*ca.* 10 mL) by centrifugation (8000 rcf for 4 min). This purification step was repeated five times to remove all side products. The final product was a transparent, viscous oil with 48% yield.

¹H NMR (400.1 MHz, CD₃OD): δ 3.35–3.38 (s, 3H), δ 3.55–3.58 (m, 2H), δ 3.64–3.74 (m, 6H), δ 3.96–4.05 (t, 2H). ¹³C APT NMR (100.6 MHz, CD₃OD): δ 59.12 (pos), δ 71.24 (neg), δ 72.09 (neg), δ 72.74 (neg), δ 75.87 (neg). ³¹P NMR (162.0 MHz, CD₃OD): δ 17.8 (s). LC-MS calculated 324.0 for [M], found 323.0 for [M – H][−].

HfCl₄·2THF synthesis

The procedure was adapted from Manxzer *et al.*¹¹⁹ and upscaled more than four times. In a glovebox under an inert atmosphere, 22 g of HfCl₄ (1 eq., 0.069 mol) was added to 330 mL anhydrous dichloromethane, only partly dissolving, thereby rendering a turbid solution. Next, 22 mL (3.95 eq., 0.271 mol, 19.56 g) of anhydrous tetrahydrofuran (THF) was added in a dropwise manner and under vigorous stirring. The HfCl₄ dissolved completely during addition of the THF. 220 mL anhydrous pentane was added, carefully pouring along the sides, and the solution was left in the freezer (−18 °C) for 2 hours to recrystallize. The resulting powder was filtered over a por 4 filter funnel and washed with pentane. The free-flowing white powder was dried under vacuum, resulting in a chemical yield of 89%. The complex was characterized by FTIR and ¹H NMR (Fig. S27†).

Gram-scale microwave-assisted HfO₂ nanocrystal synthesis

The microwave synthesis was executed using a CEM Mars 6 operating at a frequency of 2.45 GHz and equipped with EasyPrep Plus vessels. Precursor preparation was executed in a



glovebox under an inert atmosphere. 40 mL anhydrous benzyl alcohol (38 eq., 0.38 mol, 41.6 g) was added to the liner of the EasyPrep Plus vessel. 4.65 g $\text{HfCl}_4 \cdot 2\text{THF}$ (1 eq., 0.01 mol) was carefully and slowly added to the liner under vigorous stirring. The edges of the liner were rinsed with the solution *via* a syringe to remove any powder stuck to the edge. Up to two or three liners could be added simultaneously to the microwave before reaching the microwave power limit required to reach 220 °C. The solution was stirred for 5 minutes, resulting in a transparent solution. The mixtures were subjected to microwave heating for 5 minutes at 80 °C (10 minutes ramp, power = 200 W), followed by 1 hour at 220 °C (20 minutes ramp, power = 800 W).

Microwave-assisted ZrO_2 nanocrystal synthesis

The microwave procedure was conducted using a CEM Discover SP with autosampler operating at a frequency of 2.45 GHz. Synthesis preparation was executed in a nitrogen-filled glovebox. 0.377 g (1 eq., 1 mmol) of $\text{ZrCl}_4 \cdot 2\text{THF}$ was added to a 10 mL microwave vial with stirring bar. Under vigorous stirring, 4 mL (38 eq. or 48 eq., 38 mmol, 4.16 g) of anhydrous benzyl alcohol was added to the microwave vial. The microwave vial was capped, and the solution was then exited from the glovebox and stirred for 5 minutes, resulting in a transparent solution. The mixture was subjected to microwave heating for 5 minutes at 80 °C (30 W), followed by 4 hours at 220 °C (300 W) at medium stirring and PowerMax off. After synthesis, the mixture was transferred to a 15 mL plastic centrifuge tube using a Pasteur pipette. The microwave vial was rinsed with 3 mL of diethyl ether, in order to maximize the yield, after which this was also added to the centrifuge tube. After mild centrifugation (720 rcf, 2 min), three phases were observed: a transparent organic (top) phase, an aqueous, milky (middle) phase and sometimes a solid (bottom) phase of insolubles. The transparent (top) phase was removed and the milky phase was separated from the solid phase using a Pasteur pipette in a separate plastic centrifuge tube. The solid phase was discarded. Ethanol was added to the milky phase, yielding 2 mL of translucent suspension. 5 mL of diethyl ether was added and the particles were precipitated (4500 rcf, 2 min), resulting in ZrO_2 nanocrystals capped with HCl.

Optimized work-up protocol

The workup-protocol using centrifugation and the direct exchange was described in Goossens *et al.*⁵⁷ The following procedure is an optimized workup protocol, described for one liner. The amount of solvents and ligands was scaled equivalently (double or triple) when two or three liners were used, respectively.

After synthesis, the liners were opened and 5 mL water was added together with 200 μL aqueous HCl (37 w/w%) and left to stir for 15 minutes. The content was transferred to a separating funnel. Each liner was rinsed with 30 mL diethyl ether, which was also added to the funnel. The mixture was shaken and allowed to separate for 15 minutes. The bottom (aqueous) phase was collected in a flask and 10 mL ethanol was added to

the solution. 25 mL diethyl ether was added and the precipitated NCs were filtered over a por 5 filter under vacuum with a grade 1 filter paper added. To stabilize the particles with oleate, particles were redispersed in 5 mL chloroform. Under stirring, 750 μL oleic acid was added, followed by 625 μL oleylamine. The mixture was subjected to sonication for 30 minutes. In some cases the mixture remained turbid after addition of the ligands, but would become transparent upon redispersion after the washing step. 25 mL of acetone was added and the particles were filtered over a por 5 filter with vacuum paper. Additional acetone was used to wash the particles. Chloroform can be used to remove all particles from the filter.

Ligand exchange from oleate

Ligand exchange from oleate to the bisphosphonic acids (bisPA-mPEG[*x*] with *x* = 2, 3, 6 or 15) and the phosphonic acids (PA-mPEG, PA-hex-mPEG, OPA and EHPA) was done as follows:

The amount of oleate surface ligand was determined *via* the digital ERETIC method,¹²⁰ based on the alkene resonance located around 5.3 ppm and the methyl resonance located around 0.9 ppm in dCDCl_3 for ^1H NMR measurements. For bisphosphonic acids and phosphonic acids, 0.5 and 1.2 equivalents were added to the nanocrystal solution, respectively, using a 100 mmol L^{-1} stock solution in chloroform. BisPA-mPEG[2] was added using a 100 mmol L^{-1} stock solution in methanol. For the bisPA-mPEG[6]/OA mixed shell only 0.25 equivalents of bisPA-mPEG[6] were added. The mixture was subjected to sonication for 30 minutes. BisPA-mPEG[*x*], PA-mPEG and PA-hex-mPEG were precipitated by addition of hexane (mixture of isomers), typically in a 1 : 5 volume ratio. OPA and EHPA were precipitated by addition of acetone, typically in a 1 : 5 volume ratio. After centrifugation (4500 rcf, 4 min), the particles were redispersed in a minimal volume of chloroform (*ca.* 100 mg mL^{-1}). This purification procedure was repeated three times.

For the OPA/PA-mPEG mixed shell, 0.5 equivalents of OPA were added to the nanocrystal solution using a 100 mmol L^{-1} stock solution in chloroform, followed by sonication for 30 minutes. The nanocrystals were precipitated by addition of acetone, typically in a 1 : 5 volume ratio. After centrifugation (4500 rcf, 4 min), the particles were redispersed in 1 mL of chloroform. Next, 0.5 equivalents of PA-mPEG were added to the nanocrystal solution using a 100 mmol L^{-1} stock solution in chloroform, followed by sonication for 30 minutes. The particles were purified two more times by addition of acetone, typically in a 1 : 5 volume ratio, centrifugation (4500 rcf, 4 min) and redispersion in a minimal volume of chloroform using sonication.

Ligand exchange from oleate to the carboxylic acid MEEAA was done by determining the amount of oleate surface ligand as described above. 2 equivalents of carboxylic acid were added to the nanocrystal solution, followed by 30 minutes of sonication. The nanocrystals were precipitated once with hexane (mixture of isomers), typically in a 1 : 5 volume ratio. After centrifugation (4500 rcf, 4 min), the particles were redis-



persed in a minimal volume of chloroform and another 2 equivalents of carboxylic were added. This procedure was repeated three times. For the last step, the purification procedure was repeated three times.

Ligand exchange from chloride to bisPA-mPEG[6]

Direct exchange after synthesis to bisPA-mPEG[6] was done as follows:

HfO₂ NCs were synthesized *via* a small-scale microwave-assisted synthesis, starting from 0.6 mmol HfCl₄·2THF in 4 mL benzyl alcohol. After synthesis, the particles were precipitated with 3 diethyl ether (720 rcf, 2 min) and redispersed in 2 mL of ethanol. 100 μmol of bisPA-mPEG[6] was added *via* a 500 mmol L⁻¹ stock solution in ethanol (200 μL), followed by 90 mmol of triethylamine using a 1 mol L⁻¹ stock solution in ethanol (90 μL). The mixture was subjected to sonication for 30 minutes, after which the mixture was transparent. The nanocrystals were precipitated by addition of diethyl ether and hexane, typically in a 1:0.5:5 volume ratio. After centrifugation (4500 rcf, 4 min), the particles were redispersed in a minimal volume of chloroform. This purification procedure was repeated two more times, but by redispersing the particles in ethanol using sonication.

Nanocrystal-doped resin formation

The appropriate amount of HfO₂/bisPA-mPEG[6] was weighed, taking into account a ligand weight of 12 m%, and dispersed in acetone to yield a solution of 100 mg mL⁻¹ (ligand weight subtracted). The nanocrystal solution was mixed manually with the epoxy resin and all acetone was evaporated using a rotary evaporator, yielding a translucent nanocomposite resin. The mixture was subjected to sonication for 30 minutes at 40 °C to fully disperse all particles.

Nanocrystal-doped carbon fiber-reinforced polymer formation

Laminates were made using vacuum-assisted resin infusion (VARI). The laminate set-up is illustrated in Fig. S28.† On a clean 15 × 25 cm glass plate, a section of 6.5 × 13.5 cm was taped off and three layers of release agent (Chemlease®) were applied with a 10-minute wait time between each layer, after which the tape marking was removed. Six layers of 5 × 8 cm carbon fiber woven fabric were cut and stacked on the section coated with release agent. A peel ply fabric (5 × 10 cm) and semi-perforated release film (5 × 10 cm) was placed on top and the stacking was taped down. An inlet (*ca.* 30 cm) and outlet (*ca.* 90 cm) tube was added with plastic T-sections wrapped in bleeder fabric. A vacuum bag of 20 × 30 cm with vacuum tape along the edges was added over the stacking, not on the release agent section. Small folds above and below the inlet and outlet tubes were used. The inlet tube was clamped and the outlet tube applied to vacuum. The outlet tube was clamped as well. If this held for 24 hours without losing vacuum, the set-up was infused. The nanocrystal-doped resin was prepared as described above. For 5 m% and 10 m%, 2 and 4 grams of HfO₂/bisPA-mPEG[6] (ligand weight subtracted), respectively, were mixed with 29.3 g of Epikote™ resin. 8.7 g of

Epikure™ curing agent was thoroughly mixed with the resin and heated to 35 °C to counteract any viscosity increase. Next, the mixture was degassed. The outlet tube was connected to the vacuum through a resin trap and the inlet tube added to the nanocrystal-resin mixture. The set-up was infused under vacuum. After clamping the inlet and outlet tubes, the laminate was cured for 24 h at room temperature, followed by 15 h at 80 °C in a Naberthem P330 oven. After curing, the vacuum bag, tubes, peel ply and release film were removed and the laminated was released from the glass plate.

Tensile testing

Stress-strain measurements were performed according to the ASTM D638 standard. A custom metal dogbone mould was made using the following dimensions (Fig. S29†): width of narrow section (*W*) = 6 mm, length of narrow section (*L*) = 57 mm, width overall (*WO*) = 19 mm, length overall (*LO*) = 195 mm, gauge length (*G*) = 50 mm, distance between grips (*D*) = 135 mm, radius of fillet (*R*) = 76 mm and thickness (*T*) = 3 mm. The mould was coated using a release agent before adding the resin. Resins were made as described above and added to the mould using a syringe and avoiding introducing air, together with a small grip to facilitate removal of the sample after curing. Samples were cured for 24 hours at room temperature and 15 hours at 80 °C.

Stress-strain measurements were performed using a Tinius Olsen H10KT equipped with a 5 kN load cell and processed using Horizon software. The thickness was set as 3 mm, width as 5.85 mm and gage length as 65 mm. The tensile measurements were performed using a preload of 0.05 N and a pulling speed of 10 mm min⁻¹ until sample failure. Tests were performed minimum in duplicate.

Water absorption

Water absorption was determined according to the ASTM D570 standard. Nanocomposite samples of approximately 50 mg (three different ones per mass%) were dried under vacuum at 100 °C for 24 hours. Afterwards they were placed in a desiccator to cool and weighed immediately upon cooling. The material was then immersed in water at room temperature for 7 days. They were removed from the water, patted dry with a lint-free cloth and weighed. The water absorption was determined according to eqn (1) where *m*_{begin} is the mass after drying and *m*_{end} is the mass after submersion in water:

$$w\% = \frac{m_{\text{end}}}{m_{\text{begin}}} \times 100\% \quad (1)$$

Insoluble fraction

To determine the appropriate solvent, samples were first submerged in different solvents and weighed before and after. The swelling degree was calculated according to eqn (1). Values are given in Table S2.† The nanocomposite samples swelled well in dichloromethane, moderately in tetrahydrofuran and barely in ethyl acetate. Therefore the first was the solvent of choice to determine the insoluble fractions.



Insoluble fractions were determined by submerging the samples in dichloromethane for 24 hours. During this 24 hours the solvent was refreshed multiple times. Afterwards the samples were removed from the solvent and dried overnight in a vacuum oven at room temperature, followed by 3 hours at 150 °C. The samples were weighed again and the insoluble fraction was determined using eqn (1), where m_{begin} is the mass before submerging the sample and m_{end} is the mass after drying.

DSC

Differential scanning calorimetry was performed using *ca.* 10 mg of nanocomposite for each measurement on a Mettler Toledo 1/700 instrument. Measurements were performed under a nitrogen atmosphere from −50 °C to 180 °C with a cooling rate of 40 °C min^{−1} and a heating rate of 10 °C min^{−1} and a 15 min stabilization step at −100 °C before measuring. The first heating run was performed to remove the thermal history of the polymer; DSC curves shown correspond to the second heating curve.

Rheology

Viscosity measurements were performed on an Anton Paar rheometer equipped with a convection oven (CTD600). Samples were brought between 25 mm diameter parallel plates and the viscosity was measured from 0–100 s^{−1}. The curing time, defined as the crossover between the storage (G') and loss (G'') moduli, was determined by measuring G' and G'' over time at 80 °C for 90 min on an Anton Paar MCR302 Rheometer equipped with a convection oven (CTD450). Samples are typically cured for 24 h at room temperature before heating to 80 °C for 15 h. Here the samples were heated immediately to 80 °C to save instrument measurement time. The samples were brought between 25 mm diameter parallel plates, with an initial gap of 1 mm and the instrument set to maintain an axial force of 0 ± 0.1 N. The samples were trimmed and a strain of 0.05% was applied onto all samples at a frequency of 10 rad s^{−1}.

TGA analysis

Thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) was done with a Netzch STA 449F3 Jupiter instrument. Powder samples were heated from 25 °C to 1200 °C at 10 °C min^{−1} under air flow with an isothermal step at the end of 30 min at 1200 °C. Nanocomposite samples were heated from 25 °C to 800 °C at 5 °C min^{−1} under airflow with an isothermal step at the end of 30 min at 800 °C. Measurements were performed in triplicate. Correction files were recorded using the same conditions as the corresponding measurement. TGA data processing was performed using the Netzch Proteus Analysis software. The lack of filtering was determined by TGA on a TA instruments TGA5500 by heating from 25 °C to 800 °C at 5 °C min^{−1} with an isothermal step at the end of 15 min at 800 °C.

Micro-CT scans

Micro-computed tomography was done at the Ghent University Centre for X-ray Tomography (UGCT) using the HECTOR⁶⁴

scanner. Samples were scanned in cone-beam mode. OCTOPUS reconstruction software version 8.9 was used to obtain a stack of reconstructed TIFF images. The proof-of-concept concentration series was scanned at a voxel size of 17³ μm³, the composite laminates at a voxel size of 3.5³ μm³. All were scanned at 90 kV tube potential and a power of 10 W. No hardware filter was applied. 2400 projections were taken using 1000 ms exposure time per projection.

CT scan postprocessing and region growing segmentation

Datasets were processed using the VGStudio Max software. CT scans were imported as an image stack. The fibers were segmented from the surrounding matrix by creating a selection using the automatic region growing (flooding) algorithm. A seed point was manually placed in any of the 2D views after which the algorithm grew the selection, continuously expanding as long as the neighbouring voxels were within the user-specified tolerance relative to the seed. The region growing algorithm was used in static mode, *i.e.* voxels were added to the selection if they were connected to the region and if their greyvalues did not deviate by more than the chosen tolerance from the greyvalue of the seed point. No other constraints (such as max. radius) were applied to algorithm. The tolerance value and seed point were changed until an optimal selection was obtained. For some data, the Opening/Closing mode was applied, which eliminated gaps in the selection that was grown by expanding the selection with the user-specified number of voxels and then immediately contracting. Finally, the selection was extracted from the volume as a separate region-of-interest (ROI). The 3D volume render was exported as an image as isosurface render, displaying the surface of the selected ROI as defined by the manually set iso-level.

Deep learning segmentation

The micro-CT images were preprocessed through rotation and cropping operations. The 5 m% sample had a cropped size of 672 × 768 × 768 voxels, the 10 m% sample had a cropped size of 704 × 672 × 800 voxels. Both had a voxel size of 3.5³ μm³ and a greyscale depth of 16 bit. The images were annotated for the formation of the training dataset and subsequent result evaluation. Sets of tow cross-sections were manually segmented using ImageJ/Fiji.¹²¹ There were 21 tows for 5 m% and 15 tows for 10 m%. In total, cross-sections on 36 slices were manually annotated, resulting in an average of about 9 cross-sections per tow. The tow boundary mesh was generated using the interpolation technique described by Sinchuk *et al.*³⁶ The meshes were converted into images (voxelized) with sizes identical to their input. Neural network training was simplified by converting the problem to binary segmentation, focusing only on identifying the tow cross-section regions. Slices transverse to the tow direction were used for training. For each image, 10 slices in the warp direction and 10 slices in the weft direction were selected for neural network training. 8 out of these 10 slices were used to form the training dataset, while the remaining 2 were used for the validation dataset. The sizes of the training and validation datasets were 32 and 8 samples,



respectively. Prior to training, the source images were normalized to have a mean greyscale value of zero and a unit standard deviation. A deep learning approach based on convolutional neural networks with a 2D U-net architecture¹²² was employed as the segmentation method. The training process was stopped after 30 epochs 'patience' without a decrease in validation loss. The reduced dataset was achieved by downscaling the input images and their annotations four times in-plane and two times through the thickness, resulting in a size of $336 \times 192 \times 192$ voxels for the 5 m% sample and $352 \times 168 \times 200$ voxels for the 10 m% sample. The reduced training was conducted on a laptop with only 4GB of GPU memory. Table S3† summarizes the training performances for both datasets. The neural network, trained only once, was used to predict the 5 m% and 10 m% images in both the warp and weft directions (four inferences in total). The inference results were probability images with voxel values ranging from 0 to 1. A voxel was assigned to the matrix class if the sum of its warp and weft probabilities was <0.5 , otherwise it was classified as a tow voxel. The warp/weft classification of the tow voxels was determined by selecting the class with the higher probability. As a postprocessing step, small isolated regions were removed followed by median filter smoothing. The volume thresholds for the small regions were set at 15k and 500 voxels for the original and reduced resolution images, respectively. The median filter kernels used were $5 \times 9 \times 9$ for the original and $3 \times 5 \times 5$ for the reduced resolution images. The resulting deep learning segmentations were evaluated against manual segmentations, Fig. S22.†

TEM analysis

High-resolution transmission electron microscopy (HRTEM) was performed on a JEOL JEM-2200FS TEM with Cs corrector operating at 200 kV. Nanocrystals in solvents were made by drop-cast suspension on the grids. The NC-doped epoxy samples were made by microtome cutting using a Leica EM UC7 Microtome. The TEM grids used were Holey-Carbon – Cu (C200-CU) with 50 micron hole size (200 mesh). NC sizes were determined by measuring 200 particles using the polygon selection tool of ImageJ, with measurements set to fit ellipse.

SEM analysis

Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) was performed using a JEOL FEG SEM JSM-7600F at an accelerating voltage of 10 kV in secondary electron mode. The samples were gold sputtered before imaging using a Bal-Tec SCD 005 Cool Sputter Coater with a sputter current of 25 mA for 40 seconds.

PXRD analysis

Powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD) was performed on a Bruker D8 Advance with motorized anti-scatter screen and Autochanger and Bragg-Brentano θ - 2θ geometry (goniometer radius 280 mm). The instrument uses the Cu K α radiation ($\lambda = 1.54184 \text{ \AA}$) with no K β filter. The detector was a LynxEye XE-T Silicon strip Line detector with 192 channels. Samples were made by drop-cast suspension on a glass plate. The measure-

ment was performed in the θ - 2θ 15–60° range at a step size of 0.02° and a scan rate of 0.5° min⁻¹.

DLS analysis

Dynamic Light Scattering (DLS) measurements were recorded on a Malvern Zetasizer ZS instrument in backscattering mode (173°) at a temperature of 20 °C using a 60-second equilibration time. Nanocrystals in solvents as dispersant were measured in glass cuvettes, and nanocrystals in the epoxy Epikote RIMR135 resin as dispersant were measured in disposable plastic cuvettes. For the Epikote RIMR135 resin as dispersant, the dispersant refractive index was set as 1.550 and the viscosity as 1500 mPa s. Measurements were repeated 6 times. DLS data processing was performed using the Malvern ZS Explorer software using the general purpose analysis model.

NMR analysis

Nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectra were recorded at 298.15 K on a Bruker 300 instrument at 400 MHz, a Bruker Avance III spectrometer operating at a ¹H frequency of 500.08 MHz and featuring a BBI probe and a Bruker UltraShield 500 spectrometer operating at a ¹H frequency of 500.13 MHz. Chemical shifts (δ) are given in parts per million (ppm) and the residual solvent peak was used as an internal standard (CDCl₃: δ H = 7.24 ppm, δ C = 77.16, CD₃OD: δ H = 3.31 ppm, δ C = 49.00). The signal multiplicity is denoted as follows: s (singlet), d (doublet), t (triplet), quad (quadruplet), quin (quintet) and m (multiplet). All resonances were background corrected. Coupling constants are reported in Hertz (Hz). ¹H, ¹³C and ³¹P spectra were acquired using the standard pulse sequences from the Bruker library: zg30, jmod (APT = attached proton test) and zgpg30 (proton decoupled), respectively. In the APT, the carbon resonances resulting from a –CH₂– and quaternary –C_q– are in-phase (orientated up, denoted as pos), whereas the carbon resonances resulting from a –CH₃– or –CH– are out-of-phase (orientated down, denoted as neg). Chemical shifts for ³¹P NMR spectra were referenced indirectly to the ¹H NMR frequency of the sample with the *xiref*-macro in Bruker. ³¹P NMR spectra of NCs were processed with a line broadening to reduce noise. For the quantitative 1D ¹H measurements, 64k data points were sampled with the spectral width set to 16 ppm and a relaxation delay of 30 s. Quantification was done using the Digital ERETIC method.¹²⁰

UV-Vis analysis

UV-Vis spectra were recorded on a PerkinElmer Lambda 365 measuring the absorbance (A) from 400 to 500 nm with a slit width of 1 nm and scan speed of 240 nm min⁻¹.

FTIR analysis

Fourier-Transform Infrared Spectroscopy (FTIR) was performed on a PerkinElmer spectrum 2 ATR-FTIR with a diamond crystal measuring 8 scans from 450 to 4000 cm⁻¹ and using background subtraction.



Data availability

The data supporting this article have been included as part of the ESI.†

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

The authors gratefully acknowledge the Research Foundation-Flanders (Project No. 1S11721N, 1163125N and 1S28818N), Flanders Innovation & Entrepreneurship (Project No. HBC.2022.0682), Ghent University and the University of Basel for financial support. The special research fund of the Ghent University (BOF-UGent) is acknowledged for the financial support of the UGCT Core Facility (BOF.COR.2022.008) and the Concerted Research Actions project (BOF2015/GOA/007). TEM measurements were performed at the UGent TEM Core Facility. We thank M. De Bruyne for the microtome TEM sample preparation, J. Goeman for LC-MS measurement, L. Van Bossele for PXRD measurement, H. Rijckaert for STEM measurements, J. P. Mathew for TGA measurements, T. Maiheu for assistance with the tensile tests, S. Engelen and D. Van den Eynden for assistance with the rheology measurements, I. Josipovic for micro-CT measurements, L. Sioen for preliminary experiments and E. Picavet for the inkscape fiber schematic. The TOC graphic was partly created using BioRender.com.

References

- C. Soutis, Fibre reinforced composites in aircraft construction, *Prog. Aerosp. Sci.*, 2005, **41**, 143–151.
- S. Kesarwani, *et al.*, Polymer composites in aviation sector, *Int. J. Eng. Res.*, 2017, **6**, 518–525.
- H. Ahmad, A. Markina, M. Porotnikov and F. Ahmad, in *IOP Conference Series: Materials Science and Engineering*, 2020, pp. 032011.
- A. Wazeer, A. Das, C. Abeykoon, A. Sinha and A. Karmakar, Composites for electric vehicles and automotive sector: a review, *Green Energy Intell. Transp.*, 2022, 100043.
- N. Hiremath, S. Young, H. Ghossein, D. Penumadu, U. Vaidya and M. Theodore, Low cost textile-grade carbon-fiber epoxy composites for automotive and wind energy applications, *Composites, Part B*, 2020, **198**, 108156.
- K. Luo, L. Chen and W. Liang, Structural health monitoring of carbon fiber reinforced polymer composite laminates for offshore wind turbine blades based on dual maximum correlation coefficient method, *Renewable Energy*, 2022, **201**, 1163–1175.
- M. F. Petrou, D. Parler, K. A. Harries and D. C. Rizos, Strengthening of reinforced concrete bridge decks using carbon fiber-reinforced polymer composite materials, *J. Bridge Eng.*, 2008, **13**, 455–467.
- Y. Liu, B. Zwingmann and M. Schlaich, Carbon fiber reinforced polymer for cable structures—A review, *Polymers*, 2015, **7**, 2078–2099.
- C. He, R. Yu, H. Sun and Z. Chen, Lightweight multilayer composite structure for hydrogen storage tank, *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy*, 2016, **41**, 15812–15816.
- J. Qureshi, A review of fibre reinforced polymer structures, *Fibers*, 2022, **10**, 27.
- K. Yu, Q. Shi, M. L. Dunn, T. Wang and H. J. Qi, Carbon fiber reinforced thermoset composite with near 100% recyclability, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2016, **26**, 6098–6106.
- P. J. Schilling, B. R. Karedla, A. K. Tatiparthi, M. A. Verges and P. D. Herrington, X-ray computed microtomography of internal damage in fiber reinforced polymer matrix composites, *Compos. Sci. Technol.*, 2005, **65**, 2071–2078.
- H. Zrida, P. Fernberg, Z. Ayadi and J. Varna, Microcracking in thermally cycled and aged Carbon fibre/polyimide laminates, *Int. J. Fatigue*, 2017, **94**, 121–130.
- S. Stock, X-ray microtomography of materials, *Int. Mater. Rev.*, 1999, **44**, 141–164.
- B. Yu, R. Bradley, C. Soutis and P. Withers, A comparison of different approaches for imaging cracks in composites by X-ray microtomography, *Philos. Trans. R. Soc., A*, 2016, **374**, 20160037.
- S. Carmignato, W. Dewulf and R. Leach, *Industrial X-ray computed tomography*, Springer, 2018, vol. 10.
- K. Orhan, *et al.*, *Micro-computed Tomography (micro-CT) in Medicine and Engineering*, Springer technical report, 2020.
- S. Garcea, Y. Wang and P. Withers, X-ray computed tomography of polymer composites, *Compos. Sci. Technol.*, 2018, **156**, 305–319.
- P. J. Withers and M. Preuss, Fatigue and damage in structural materials studied by X-ray tomography, *Annu. Rev. Mater. Res.*, 2012, **42**, 81–103.
- A. Moffat, P. Wright, J.-Y. Buffière, I. Sinclair and S. M. Spearing, Micromechanisms of damage in 0 splits in a [90/0] s composite material using synchrotron radiation computed tomography, *Scr. Mater.*, 2008, **59**, 1043–1046.
- A. Scott, M. Mavrogordato, P. Wright, I. Sinclair and S. Spearing, In situ fibre fracture measurement in carbon-epoxy laminates using high resolution computed tomography, *Compos. Sci. Technol.*, 2011, **71**, 1471–1477.
- Y. Wang, T. L. Burnett, Y. Chai, C. Soutis, P. J. Hogg and P. J. Withers, X-ray computed tomography study of kink bands in unidirectional composites, *Compos. Struct.*, 2017, **160**, 917–924.
- D. Bull, S. Spearing, I. Sinclair and L. Helfen, Three-dimensional assessment of low velocity impact damage in particle toughened composite laminates using micro-focus X-ray computed tomography and synchrotron radiation laminography, *Composites, Part A*, 2013, **52**, 62–69.



- 24 D. D. Symons, Characterisation of indentation damage in 0/90 lay-up T300/914 CFRP, *Compos. Sci. Technol.*, 2000, **60**, 391–401.
- 25 B. Yu, R. Bradley, C. Soutis, P. Hogg and P. Withers, 2D and 3D imaging of fatigue failure mechanisms of 3D woven composites, *Composites, Part A*, 2015, **77**, 37–49.
- 26 F. Cosmi and A. Bernasconi, Micro-CT investigation on fatigue damage evolution in short fibre reinforced polymers, *Compos. Sci. Technol.*, 2013, **79**, 70–76.
- 27 S. M. Sisodia, S. Garcea, A. George, D. Fullwood, S. Spearing and E. Gamstedt, High-resolution computed tomography in resin infused woven carbon fibre composites with voids, *Compos. Sci. Technol.*, 2016, **131**, 12–21.
- 28 J. Vilà, F. Sket, F. Wilde, G. Requena, C. González and J. Llorca, An in situ investigation of microscopic infusion and void transport during vacuum-assisted infiltration by means of X-ray computed tomography, *Compos. Sci. Technol.*, 2015, **119**, 12–19.
- 29 A. Jumahat, C. Soutis, F. Jones and A. Hodzic, Fracture mechanisms and failure analysis of carbon fibre/toughened epoxy composites subjected to compressive loading, *Compos. Struct.*, 2010, **92**, 295–305.
- 30 G. Requena, G. Fiedler, B. Seiser, P. Degischer, M. Di Michiel and T. Buslaps, 3D-Quantification of the distribution of continuous fibres in unidirectionally reinforced composites, *Composites, Part A*, 2009, **40**, 152–163.
- 31 Y. Wang, S. C. Garcea and P. J. Withers, *7.6 Computed tomography of composites*, 2018.
- 32 L. P. Djukic, G. M. Pearce, I. Herszberg, M. K. Bannister and D. H. Mollenhauer, Contrast enhancement of microCT scans to aid 3D modelling of carbon fibre fabric composites, *Appl. Compos. Mater.*, 2013, **20**, 1215–1230.
- 33 S. M. Spearing and P. W. Beaumont, Fatigue damage mechanics of composite materials. I: Experimental measurement of damage and post-fatigue properties, *Compos. Sci. Technol.*, 1992, **44**, 159–168.
- 34 S. Garcea, I. Sinclair and S. Spearing, In situ synchrotron tomographic evaluation of the effect of toughening strategies on fatigue micromechanisms in carbon fibre reinforced polymers, *Compos. Sci. Technol.*, 2015, **109**, 32–39.
- 35 O. C. Zienkiewicz, R. L. Taylor and J. Z. Zhu, *The finite element method: its basis and fundamentals*, Elsevier, 2005.
- 36 Y. Sinchuk, P. Kibleur, J. Aelterman, M. N. Boone and W. Van Paepegem, Variational and deep learning segmentation of very-low-contrast X-ray computed tomography images of carbon/epoxy woven composites, *Materials*, 2020, **13**, 936.
- 37 A. J. Thompson, B. El Said, D. Ivanov, J. P. Belnoue and S. R. Hallett, High fidelity modelling of the compression behaviour of 2D woven fabrics, *Int. J. Solids Struct.*, 2018, **154**, 104–113.
- 38 G. Nikishkov, Y. Nikishkov and A. Makeev, Finite element mesh generation for composites with ply waviness based on X-ray computed tomography, *Adv. Eng. Softw.*, 2013, **58**, 35–44.
- 39 P. Badel, E. Vidal-Sallé, E. Maire and P. Boisse, Simulation and tomography analysis of textile composite reinforcement deformation at the mesoscopic scale, *Compos. Sci. Technol.*, 2008, **68**, 2433–2440.
- 40 Y. Li, B. Sun and B. Gu, Impact shear damage characterizations of 3D braided composite with X-ray micro-computed tomography and numerical methodologies, *Compos. Struct.*, 2017, **176**, 43–54.
- 41 J. Ali, J. K. Farooqi, D. Buckthorpe, A. Cheyne and P. Mummery, Comparative study of predictive FE methods for mechanical properties of nuclear composites, *J. Nucl. Mater.*, 2009, **383**, 247–253.
- 42 N. Naouar, E. Vidal-Salle, J. Schneider, E. Maire and P. Boisse, 3D composite reinforcement meso FE analyses based on X-ray computed tomography, *Compos. Struct.*, 2015, **132**, 1094–1104.
- 43 R. Böhm, J. Stiller, T. Behnisch, M. Zscheyge, R. Protz, S. Radloff, M. Gude and W. Hufenbach, A quantitative comparison of the capabilities of in situ computed tomography and conventional computed tomography for damage analysis of composites, *Compos. Sci. Technol.*, 2015, **110**, 62–68.
- 44 L. P. Djukic, I. Herszberg, W. R. Walsh, G. A. Schoeppner, B. G. Prusty and D. W. Kelly, Contrast enhancement in visualisation of woven composite tow architecture using a MicroCT Scanner. Part 1: Fabric coating and resin additives, *Composites, Part A*, 2009, **40**, 553–565.
- 45 J. Hainfeld, D. Slatkin, T. Focella and H. Smilowitz, Gold nanoparticles: a new X-ray contrast agent, *Br. J. Radiol.*, 2006, **79**, 248–253.
- 46 J. Cai, X. Ge, S. Lu, Y. Wang, H. Cui, R. Zhan, S. Su, W. Shan, Z. Cai, C. Wu, *et al.*, Au/FeNiPO₄-Based Multiple Spectra Optoacoustic Tomography/CT Dual-Mode Nanoprobe for Systemic Screening of Atherosclerotic Vulnerable Plaque, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2024, 2406192.
- 47 P. J. Bonitatibus Jr., A. S. Torres, G. D. Goddard, P. F. FitzGerald and A. M. Kulkarni, Synthesis, characterization, and computed tomography imaging of a tantalum oxide nanoparticle imaging agent, *Chem. Commun.*, 2010, **46**, 8956–8958.
- 48 M. H. Oh, N. Lee, H. Kim, S. P. Park, Y. Piao, J. Lee, S. W. Jun, W. K. Moon, S. H. Choi and T. Hyeon, Large-scale synthesis of bioinert tantalum oxide nanoparticles for X-ray computed tomography imaging and bimodal image-guided sentinel lymph node mapping, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2011, **133**, 5508–5515.
- 49 A. Jakhmola, N. Anton, H. Anton, N. Messaddeq, F. Hallouard, A. Klymchenko, Y. Mely and T. F. Vandamme, Poly-ε-caprolactone tungsten oxide nanoparticles as a contrast agent for X-ray computed tomography, *Biomaterials*, 2014, **35**, 2981–2986.
- 50 L. Wen, L. Chen, S. Zheng, J. Zeng, G. Duan, Y. Wang, G. Wang, Z. Chai, Z. Li and M. Gao, Ultrasmall biocompatible WO_{3-x} nanodots for multi-modality imaging and combined therapy of cancers, *Adv. Mater.*, 2016, **28**, 5072–5079.



- 51 O. Rabin, J. Manuel Perez, J. Grimm, G. Wojtkiewicz and R. Weissleder, An X-ray computed tomography imaging agent based on long-circulating bismuth sulphide nanoparticles, *Nat. Mater.*, 2006, **5**, 118–122.
- 52 K. Ai, Y. Liu, J. Liu, Q. Yuan, Y. He and L. Lu, Large-Scale Synthesis of Bi₂S₃ Nanodots as a Contrast Agent for In Vivo X-ray Computed Tomography Imaging, *Adv. Mater.*, 2011, **42**, 4886–4891.
- 53 T. L. McGinnity, O. Dominguez, T. E. Curtis, P. D. Nallathamby, A. J. Hoffman and R. K. Roeder, Hafnia (HfO₂) nanoparticles as an X-ray contrast agent and mid-infrared biosensor, *Nanoscale*, 2016, **8**, 13627–13637.
- 54 F. Ostadhosseini, P. Moitra, N. Gunaseelan, M. Nelappana, C. Lowe, M. Moghiseh, A. Butler, N. de Ruyter, H. Mandalika, I. Tripathi, *et al.*, Hitchhiking probiotic vectors to deliver ultra-small hafnia nanoparticles for ‘Color’ gastrointestinal tract photon counting X-ray imaging, *Nanoscale Horiz.*, 2022, **7**, 533–542.
- 55 Y. Li, Y. Qi, H. Zhang, Z. Xia, T. Xie, W. Li, D. Zhong, H. Zhu and M. Zhou, Gram-scale synthesis of highly biocompatible and intravenous injectable hafnium oxide nanocrystal with enhanced radiotherapy efficacy for cancer theranostic, *Biomaterials*, 2020, **226**, 119538.
- 56 L. Deblock, B. Descamps, I. Goemaere, E. Goossens, G. Vergauwen, J. Debacker, P. Tummers, K. Remaut, I. Van Driessche, K. De Buysser, *et al.*, Dual-Modality Hafnium Oxide Nanocrystals for in Vivo Computed Tomography and Fluorescence Imaging of Sentinel Lymph Nodes, *Chem. Mater.*, 2023, **35**, 8883–8896.
- 57 E. Goossens, L. Deblock, L. Caboor, D. V. d. Eynden, I. Josipovic, P. R. Isaacura, E. Maksimova, M. Van Impe, A. Bonnin, P. Segers, *et al.*, From Corrosion Casting to Virtual Dissection: Contrast-Enhanced Vascular Imaging using Hafnium Oxide Nanocrystals, *Small Methods*, 2024, 2301499.
- 58 J. De Roo, F. Van den Broeck, K. De Keukeleere, J. C. Martins, I. Van Driessche and Z. Hens, Unravelling the surface chemistry of metal oxide nanocrystals, the role of acids and bases, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2014, **136**, 9650–9657.
- 59 D. Van den Eynden, R. Pokratath and J. De Roo, Nonaqueous Chemistry of Group 4 Oxo Clusters and Colloidal Metal Oxide Nanocrystals, *Chem. Rev.*, 2022, **122**, 10538–10572.
- 60 E. Goossens, O. Aalling-Frederiksen, P. Tack, D. Van den Eynden, Z. Walsh-Korb, K. M. Jensen, K. De Buysser and J. De Roo, From Gel to Crystal: Mechanism of HfO₂ and ZrO₂ Nanocrystal Synthesis in Benzyl Alcohol, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2024, **146**(15), 10723–10734.
- 61 Z. Hens and J. C. Martins, A solution NMR toolbox for characterizing the surface chemistry of colloidal nanocrystals, *Chem. Mater.*, 2013, **25**, 1211–1221.
- 62 J. De Roo, N. Yazdani, E. Drijvers, A. Lauria, J. Maes, J. S. Owen, I. Van Driessche, M. Niederberger, V. Wood, J. C. Martins, *et al.*, Probing solvent–ligand interactions in colloidal nanocrystals by the NMR line broadening, *Chem. Mater.*, 2018, **30**, 5485–5492.
- 63 Z. Hens, Ligands on Nanocrystal Surfaces, the ¹H Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Fingerprint, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2023, 4290–4301.
- 64 B. Masschaele, M. Dierick, D. Van Loo, M. N. Boone, L. Brabant, E. Pauwels, V. Cnudde and L. Van Hoorebeke, *J. Phys.: Conf. Ser.*, 2013, 012012.
- 65 O. Brunke, E. Neuser and A. Suppes, *Internal Symposium on Digital Industrial Radiology and Computed Tomography*, Berlin, Germany, 2011, pp. 20–22.
- 66 J. Bai, *Advanced fibre-reinforced polymer (FRP) composites for structural applications*, Woodhead Publishing, 2022.
- 67 M. Nikforooz, O. Verschate, L. Daelemans, K. De Clerck and W. Van Paepegem, Experimental method for *in situ* real-time measurement of mixed mode fibre/matrix interface debonding and comparison of fibre sizings in single short fibre composites, *Compos. Sci. Technol.*, 2024, 110488.
- 68 A. T. Akinola, *Fatigue performance of glass/epoxy nanocomposites for wind turbine blades*, 2010.
- 69 E. Dümichen, M. Javdanitehran, M. Erdmann, V. Trappe, H. Sturm, U. Braun and G. Ziegmann, Analyzing the network formation and curing kinetics of epoxy resins by in situ near-infrared measurements with variable heating rates, *Thermochim. Acta*, 2015, **616**, 49–60.
- 70 C. Buggisch, D. Gibhardt, N. Felmet, Y. Tetzner and B. Fiedler, Strain sensing in GFRP via fully integrated carbon nanotube epoxy film sensors, *Composites, Part C*, 2021, **6**, 100191.
- 71 S. van der Heijden, L. Daelemans, B. De Schoenmaker, I. De Baere, H. Rahier, W. Van Paepegem and K. De Clerck, Interlaminar toughening of resin transfer moulded glass fibre epoxy laminates by polycaprolactone electrospun nanofibres, *Compos. Sci. Technol.*, 2014, **104**, 66–73.
- 72 M. V. Kovalenko, L. Manna, A. Cabot, Z. Hens, D. V. Talapin, C. R. Kagan, V. I. Klimov, A. L. Rogach, P. Reiss, D. J. Milliron, *et al.*, Prospects of nanoscience with nanocrystals, *ACS Nano*, 2015, **9**, 1012–1057.
- 73 S. Yamashita, T. Sudo, H. Kamiya and Y. Okada, Colloidal Stability of TiO₂ Nanoparticles: the roles of phosphonate ligand length and solution temperature, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2022, **28**, e202201560.
- 74 S. Kango, S. Kalia, A. Celli, J. Njuguna, Y. Habibi and R. Kumar, Surface modification of inorganic nanoparticles for development of organic–inorganic nanocomposites—A review, *Prog. Polym. Sci.*, 2013, **38**, 1232–1261.
- 75 S. Fu, Z. Sun, P. Huang, Y. Li and N. Hu, Some basic aspects of polymer nanocomposites: A critical review, *Nano Mater. Sci.*, 2019, **1**, 2–30.
- 76 E. Reynaud, T. Jouen, C. Gauthier, G. Vigier and J. Varlet, Nanofillers in polymeric matrix: a study on silica reinforced PA6, *Polymer*, 2001, **42**, 8759–8768.



- 77 S. Lee, H.-J. Shin, S.-M. Yoon, D. K. Yi, J.-Y. Choi and U. Paik, Refractive index engineering of transparent ZrO₂-polydimethylsiloxane nanocomposites, *J. Mater. Chem.*, 2008, **18**, 1751–1755.
- 78 M. Sabzi, S. Mirabedini, J. Zohuriaan-Mehr and M. Atai, Surface modification of TiO₂ nano-particles with silane coupling agent and investigation of its effect on the properties of polyurethane composite coating, *Prog. Org. Coat.*, 2009, **65**, 222–228.
- 79 B. Fritzing, R. K. Capek, K. Lambert, J. C. Martins and Z. Hens, Utilizing self-exchange to address the binding of carboxylic acid ligands to CdSe quantum dots, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2010, **132**, 10195–10201.
- 80 J. De Roo, Y. Justo, K. De Keukeleere, F. Van den Broeck, J. C. Martins, I. Van Driessche and Z. Hens, Carboxylic-Acid-Passivated Metal Oxide Nanocrystals: Ligand Exchange Characteristics of a New Binding Motif, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2015, **54**, 6488–6491.
- 81 G. Garnweitner, L. M. Goldenberg, O. V. Sakhno, M. Antonietti, M. Niederberger and J. Stumpe, Large-Scale Synthesis of Organophilic Zirconia Nanoparticles and their Application in Organic-Inorganic Nanocomposites for Efficient Volume Holography, *Small*, 2007, **3**, 1626–1632.
- 82 L. Deblock, E. Goossens, R. Pokratath, K. De Buysser and J. De Roo, Mapping out the aqueous surface chemistry of metal oxide nanocrystals: Carboxylate, phosphonate, and catecholate ligands, *JACS Au*, 2022, **2**, 711–722.
- 83 R. Gomes, A. Hassinen, A. Szczygiel, Q. Zhao, A. Vantomme, J. C. Martins and Z. Hens, Binding of phosphonic acids to CdSe quantum dots: A solution NMR study, *J. Phys. Chem. Lett.*, 2011, **2**, 145–152.
- 84 J. De Roo, Z. Zhou, J. Wang, L. Deblock, A. J. Crosby, J. S. Owen and S. S. Nonnenmann, Synthesis of phosphonic acid ligands for nanocrystal surface functionalization and solution processed memristors, *Chem. Mater.*, 2018, **30**, 8034–8039.
- 85 E. Dhaene, S. Coppenolle, L. Deblock, K. De Buysser and J. De Roo, Binding Affinity of Monoalkyl Phosphinic Acid Ligands toward Nanocrystal Surfaces, *Chem. Mater.*, 2023, **35**, 558–569.
- 86 Y. Okada, H. Asama, N. Koike, S. Yamashita, N. Maeta, A. Uesaka and H. Kamiya, Direct Ordering of Anchoring Events at the Surface of Iron Oxide Nanoparticles Enabled by A Stepwise Phase-Transfer Strategy, *ChemistrySelect*, 2018, **3**, 8458–8461.
- 87 E. Guénin, Y. Lalatonne, J. Bolley, I. Milosevic, C. Platas-Iglesias and L. Motte, Catechol versus bisphosphonate ligand exchange at the surface of iron oxide nanoparticles: towards multi-functionalization, *J. Nanopart. Res.*, 2014, **16**, 1–13.
- 88 K. Hossain, L. Florean, A. Del Tedesco, E. Cattaruzza, M. Geppi, S. Borsacchi, P. Canton, A. Benedetti, P. Riello and A. Scarso, Modification of Amorphous Mesoporous Zirconia Nanoparticles with Bisphosphonic Acids: A Straightforward Approach for Tailoring the Surface Properties of the Nanoparticles, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2021, **27**, 17941–17951.
- 89 H. Rijckaert, J. De Roo, M. Van Zele, S. Banerjee, H. Huhtinen, P. Paturi, J. Bennewitz, S. J. Billinge, M. Bäcker, K. De Buysser, *et al.*, Pair distribution function analysis of ZrO₂ nanocrystals and insights in the formation of ZrO₂-YBa₂Cu₃O₇ nanocomposites, *Materials*, 2018, **11**, 1066.
- 90 M. Egorov, S. Aoun, M. Padrines, F. Redini, D. Heymann, J. Lebreton and M. Mathé-Allainmat, *A One-Pot Synthesis of 1-Hydroxy-1, 1-bis (phosphonic acid) s Starting from the Corresponding Carboxylic Acids*, 2011.
- 91 J. De Roo, K. De Keukeleere, Z. Hens and I. Van Driessche, From ligands to binding motifs and beyond; the enhanced versatility of nanocrystal surfaces, *Dalton Trans.*, 2016, **45**, 13277–13283.
- 92 F. Ondreas, P. Lepcio, M. Zboncak, K. Zarybnicka, L. E. Govaert and J. Jancar, Effect of nanoparticle organization on molecular mobility and mechanical properties of polymer nanocomposites, *Macromolecules*, 2019, **52**, 6250–6259.
- 93 B. J. Ash, D. F. Rogers, C. J. Wiegand, L. S. Schadler, R. W. Siegel, B. C. Benicewicz and T. Apple, Mechanical properties of Al₂O₃/polymethylmethacrylate nanocomposites, *Polym. Compos.*, 2002, **23**, 1014–1025.
- 94 N. Jouault, F. Dalmas, F. Boué and J. Jestin, Multiscale characterization of filler dispersion and origins of mechanical reinforcement in model nanocomposites, *Polymer*, 2012, **53**, 761–775.
- 95 Y. Lv, B. Lu, S. Zhang, J. Li, G. Lu, H. Sun, S. Liang and Z. Liu, Mechanical enhancement of amine-functionalized TiO₂ reinforced polyimine composites, *J. Appl. Polym. Sci.*, 2018, **135**, 46446.
- 96 B. Zewde, P. Pitliya and D. Raghavan, The role of surface modified TiO₂ nanoparticles on the mechanical and thermal properties of CTBN toughened epoxy nanocomposite, *J. Mater. Sci.*, 2016, **51**, 9314–9329.
- 97 P. Pötschke, A. R. Bhattacharyya, A. Janke and H. Goering, Melt mixing of polycarbonate/multi-wall carbon nanotube composites, *Compos. Interfaces*, 2003, **10**, 389–404.
- 98 J. N. Coleman, U. Khan, W. J. Blau and Y. K. Gun'ko, Small but strong: a review of the mechanical properties of carbon nanotube-polymer composites, *Carbon*, 2006, **44**, 1624–1652.
- 99 Z. Wang, Z. Liang, B. Wang, C. Zhang and L. Kramer, Processing and property investigation of single-walled carbon nanotube (SWNT) buckypaper/epoxy resin matrix nanocomposites, *Composites, Part A*, 2004, **35**, 1225–1232.
- 100 O. Bianchi, J. A. Cruz, L. Paim, A. Lavoratti, Z. Al-Maqdasi, S. C. Amico, P. Fernberg and R. Joffe, Rheology, curing and time-dependent behavior of epoxy/carbon nanoparticles systems, *J. Appl. Polym. Sci.*, 2024, **141**, e54821.
- 101 S. Halder, S. Ahemad, S. Das and J. Wang, Epoxy/glass fiber laminated composites integrated with amino functionalized ZrO₂ for advanced structural applications, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2016, **8**, 1695–1706.



- 102 M. Shokrieh, S. Ghoreishi and M. Esmkhani, *Toughening mechanisms in composite materials*, Elsevier, 2015, pp. 295–320.
- 103 S. K. Kumar and R. Krishnamoorti, Nanocomposites: structure, phase behavior, and properties, *Annu. Rev. Chem. Biomol. Eng.*, 2010, **1**, 37–58.
- 104 B. De'Nève and M. Shanahan, Water absorption by an epoxy resin and its effect on the mechanical properties and infra-red spectra, *Polymer*, 1993, **34**, 5099–5105.
- 105 H. Zhao and R. K. Li, Effect of water absorption on the mechanical and dielectric properties of nano-alumina filled epoxy nanocomposites, *Composites, Part A*, 2008, **39**, 602–611.
- 106 O. Becker, R. J. Varley and G. P. Simon, Thermal stability and water uptake of high performance epoxy layered silicate nanocomposites, *Eur. Polym. J.*, 2004, **40**, 187–195.
- 107 P. Nogueira, C. Ramirez, A. Torres, M. Abad, J. Cano, J. Lopez, I. López-Bueno and L. Barral, Effect of water sorption on the structure and mechanical properties of an epoxy resin system, *J. Appl. Polym. Sci.*, 2001, **80**, 71–80.
- 108 C. Ng, B. Ash, L. Schadler and R. Siegel, A study of the mechanical and permeability properties of nano- and micron-TiO₂ filled epoxy composites, *Adv. Compos. Lett.*, 2001, **10**, 096369350101000301.
- 109 H. Choi, K. Ahn, J.-D. Nam and H. Chun, Hygroscopic aspects of epoxy/carbon fiber composite laminates in aircraft environments, *Composites, Part A*, 2001, **32**, 709–720.
- 110 D.-W. Suh, M.-K. Ku, J.-D. Nam, B.-S. Kim and S.-C. Yoon, Equilibrium water uptake of epoxy/carbon fiber composites in hygrothermal environmental conditions, *J. Compos. Mater.*, 2001, **35**, 264–278.
- 111 H. Alamri and I. M. Low, Effect of water absorption on the mechanical properties of nano-filler reinforced epoxy nanocomposites, *Mater. Des.*, 2012, **42**, 214–222.
- 112 W. Liu, S. V. Hoa and M. Pugh, Fracture toughness and water uptake of high-performance epoxy/nanoclay nanocomposites, *Compos. Sci. Technol.*, 2005, **65**, 2364–2373.
- 113 G. E. Guloglu and M. C. Altan, Moisture absorption of carbon/epoxy nanocomposites, *J. Compos. Sci.*, 2020, **4**, 21.
- 114 C. Zou, J. C. Fothergill and S. W. Rowe, The effect of water absorption on the dielectric properties of epoxy nanocomposites, *IEEE Trans. Dielectr. Electr. Insul.*, 2008, **15**, 106–117.
- 115 R. Adams and L. Bischof, Seeded region growing, *IEEE Trans. Pattern Anal. Mach. Intell.*, 1994, **16**, 641–647.
- 116 H. Toda, S. Masuda, R. Batres, M. Kobayashi, S. Aoyama, M. Onodera, R. Furusawa, K. Uesugi, A. Takeuchi and Y. Suzuki, Statistical assessment of fatigue crack initiation from sub-surface hydrogen micropores in high-quality die-cast aluminum, *Acta Mater.*, 2011, **59**, 4990–4998.
- 117 V. Le Saux, Y. Marco, S. Calloch and P. Charrier, Evaluation of the fatigue defect population in an elastomer using X-ray computed micro-tomography, *Polym. Eng. Sci.*, 2011, **51**, 1253–1263.
- 118 D. B. G. Williams and M. Lawton, Drying of organic solvents: quantitative evaluation of the efficiency of several desiccants, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2010, **75**, 8351–8354.
- 119 L. Manxzer, J. Deaton, P. Sharp and R. Schrock, 31. Tetragtdrfuran Complexes of Selected Early Transition Metals, *Inorg. Synth.*, 1982, **21**, 135–140.
- 120 S. Akoka, L. Barantin and M. Trierweiler, Concentration measurement by proton NMR using the ERETIC method, *Anal. Chem.*, 1999, **71**, 2554–2557.
- 121 J. Schindelin, I. Arganda-Carreras, E. Frise, V. Kaynig, M. Longair, T. Pietzsch, S. Preibisch, C. Rueden, S. Saalfeld, B. Schmid, *et al.*, Fiji: an open-source platform for biological-image analysis, *Nat. Methods*, 2012, **9**, 676–682.
- 122 O. Ronneberger, P. Fischer and T. Brox, in *Medical image computing and computer-assisted intervention–MICCAI 2015: 18th international conference*, Munich, Germany, October 5–9, 2015, proceedings, part III 18, 2015, pp. 234–241.

