Natural Product Reports

REVIEW

Check for updates

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/d5np00017c

Advances in the discovery and study of *Trichoderma* natural products for biological control applications

Sophie Jin 🕩 and Fabrizio Alberti 🕩 *b

Covering: up to 2025

Reducing the prevalence of phytopathogens and their impact on crops is essential to reach sustainable agriculture goals. Synthetic pesticides have been commonly used to control crop disease but are now strongly linked to disease resistance, environmental pollution, depletion of soil biodiversity, and bioaccumulation, leading to adverse effects on human health. As a alternative, the prolific Trichoderma genus has been studied for its biocontrol properties, as well as its ability to promote plant growth and increase nutrient uptake. This is done through various mechanisms, one of which is the production of bioactive natural products with high chemical diversity. These include terpenoids, alkaloids, non-ribosomal peptides, polyketides and RiPPs. One of the most studied examples is 6-pentyl-2H-pyran-2-one, a volatile organic polyketide, which induces systemic acquired resistance, morphogenesis, and natural product biosynthesis in plants. Methods for culturing Trichoderma spp., isolating and characterising unique bioactive metabolites are discussed here, with an emphasis on dereplication strategies using metabolomics to optimise discovery. In addition, the role of genome mining for the study of natural product biosynthesis in Trichoderma, and more generally, filamentous fungi is discussed. Examples of bioinformatics tools available to date are listed here with applications in Trichoderma and other ascomycetes. New advances in genome engineering in Trichoderma are also detailed, providing insights into available strategies for the validation of biosynthetic gene clusters identified using genome mining. Finally, the use of a combination of omics approaches, namely metabologenomics, is presented as a growing field for natural product discovery in fungi.

rsc.li/npr

1

Received 20th March 2025

DOI: 10.1039/d5np00017c

Introduction

- 2 Trichoderma spp. as a solution for crop management
- 3 Specialised metabolism of *Trichoderma* spp.
- 3.1 Chemical diversity of natural products in *Trichoderma* 4.2 spp.
- 3.1.1 Volatile organic compounds and terpenoids
- 3.1.2 Alkaloids and peptides
- 3.1.3 Polyketides
- 3.2 Traditional methods for natural product discovery and examples in *Trichoderma* spp.
- 3.2.1 "One strain many compounds": an effective approach for unlocking metabolic pathways
- 3.2.2 Importance of dereplication

- The role of omics in the study of specialised metabolites biosynthesis in *Trichoderma* spp.
- Sequencing efforts towards Trichoderma genomes
- Advances in genome mining tools and applications in *Trichoderma* spp.
- 4.3 Innovations in resistance-guided genome mining in fungi
- 4.4 Recent progress in machine learning for BGC prediction
- 4.5 Metabologenomics: a framework for natural product discovery
 - Genetic manipulation in *Trichoderma* for the study of natural product biosynthesis

Nat. Prod. Rep

- 5.1 Engineering in the host organism
- 5.1.1 Untargeted regulation of BGCs
- 5.1.2 Targeted regulation of BGCs
- 5.2 Heterologous expression in model organisms
- 6 Conclusions

4

4.1

5

7

Data availability

View Article Online View Journal



^aLeibniz Institute for Natural Product Research and Infection Biology – Hans Knöll Institute (HKI), Beutenbergstraße 11a, 07745 Jena, Germany. E-mail: sophie.jin@ leibniz-hki.de; Tel: +49 3641 532-1740

^bSchool of Life Sciences, University of Warwick, Coventry CV4 7AL, UK. E-mail: F. Alberti@warwick.ac.uk; Tel: +44 (0) 24 765 23516

- 8 Conflicts of interest
- 9 Acknowledgements
- 10 Notes and references

1 Introduction

Reducing the prevalence of phytopathogens and their impact on crops is essential to reach sustainable agriculture. Synthetic pesticides have been commonly used to control crop disease but are not sustainable. Indeed, they are linked to disease resistance, environmental pollution, depletion of soil biodiversity, and bioaccumulation linked to adverse effects on human health.^{1,2} Many chemical pesticides are now banned in the EU due to their concerning effects on environmental and human health.³ Instead, biocontrol agents (BCA) or biopesticides are getting increasing traction for their environmentally friendly characteristics. Examples of BCA include *Streptomyces griseoviridis* strain K61 (Mycostop®),⁴ *Pythium oligandrum* strain M1/ ATCC 38472 (Polyversum®, commercialised by De Sangosse), and *Trichoderma asperellum* strain TV1 (Xedavir®, commercialised by Xeda Italia S.r.l).

Trichoderma is a well-known genus of filamentous ascomycetes from the Hypocreaceae family and is widely distributed around the world, colonising a variety of ecological habitats such as soil, decaying wood, living plants (within the rizhosphere or as endophytes) and marine ecosystems.^{5,6} To date, the genus contains over 400 species spanning over several clades,⁷ of which the Harzianum clade includes most of the biocontrol agents used in agriculture.⁸ *Trichoderma* spp. display biocontrol properties against plant pathogens through various mechanisms such as antibiosis, competition, or mycoparasitism.⁹⁻¹² Aside from biotic stresses, *Trichoderma* spp. can also protect plants from abiotic stresses such as drought or salt stress *via* transcriptional activation of defence responses resulting in inhibition of seed germination and plant development, as well as stomatal regulation.^{13–15} In addition to biocontrol potential, *Trichoderma* spp. can also enhance plant development by improving nutrient uptake, photosynthesis and overall plant growth.^{16–18}

2 *Trichoderma* spp. as a solution for crop management

One of the most used and researched genus of BCA is Trichoderma and a list of commercially available Trichoderma-based formulations can be found in the recent review by Martinez et al.53 and Woo et al.54 Species such as T. asperellum, T. atroviride, T. gamsii, T. hamatum, T. polysporum, T. virens, T. viride and T. harzianum are commercialised in Europe, the US, Canada, Australia, New Zealand, South Africa, Vietnam and India as biological control agents. One example of product available in Europe is ASPERELLO® T34 Biocontrol (Trichoderma asperellum T34), a fungicide sold as a powder to be mixed with the substrate before transplantation.54 Another strain which is available in Europe and the US is T. harzianum Rifai T-22 (T-22™ HC, BioWorks®), a formulated preventative fungicide which can be applied to seeds, soil and other propagative parts, protecting the plants from root pathogens. Other products utilising T. harzianum include AkTRIvator® (Canna International BV, Breda, the Netherlands) Trichosan® (Vitalin Pflanzengesundheit GmbH, Ober-Ramstadt, Germany) and Promot® WP (IH Biotech Inc., Ventura, California).

Many *Trichoderma* species exhibit an antagonistic effect on plant pathogens through antibiosis, competition or mycoparasitism¹¹ and can thus improve productivity,^{10,55} and this review will focus mainly on antibiosis as a mode of action for plant disease suppression. Antibiosis is a common phenomenon seen in *Trichoderma* spp. and can be defined as the interaction between microorganisms through the production of specialised metabolites and resulting in toxicity or growth inhibition for





Sophie Jin

Sophie Jin earned her MSc in Engineering from AgroParisTech (France) in 2020 and her PhD in Microbiology from the University of Warwick under the supervision of Dr Fabrizio Alberti and Dr Lijiang Song in 2025. Her PhD work focused on fungal natural product discovery for biocontrol of plant disease. She is now a Postdoctoral researcher at the Leibniz-HKI, in the group of Dr Jethro Hemmann. Her research focuses now

on natural products from the moss microbiome, specifically on the discovery of natural products using metagenomics and untargeted metabolomics.



Fabrizio Alberti

Fabrizio Alberti completed his PhD in 2015 at the University of Bristol, where he investigated biosynthesis of fungal the natural products under the supervision of Prof. Gary Foster and Dr Andy Bailey. He then joined the University of Warwick as a postdoctoral researcher in Prof. Christophe Corre's group, focusing on the discovery of natural products from Streptomyces bacteria. In 2018, he established his own research

group at the same institution, initially supported by a Leverhulme Trust Early Career Fellowship, followed by a UKRI Future Leaders Fellowship. Now an Associate Professor, Fabrizio leads research on the discovery and biosynthesis of fungal natural products, alongside the development of tools for fungal engineering.

Review

one of the microorganism.⁵⁶ Many recent studies have explored the potential of *Trichoderma* spp. as biocontrol agents against pathogenic fungi and bacteria of plants^{34,35,38,43,45,50,51} and the subject has been extensively reviewed.^{54,57-59} Examples of species of *Trichoderma* which have shown antagonism against plant pathogens and their mechanisms are detailed in Table 1. An exhaustive list of interactions between *Trichoderma* spp. and plants can be found in the review by Sood *et al.*⁵⁹ Reports of *Trichoderma* spp. as an insect pests BCA have also been reviewed by Poveda *et al.*⁶⁰

3 Specialised metabolism of *Trichoderma* spp.

Trichoderma species are talented producers of specialised metabolites. With over 200 isolated compounds reported

recently, the potential of Trichoderma spp. still remains untapped.^{61,62} Not only are those compounds chemically diverse, but they also exhibit a broad spectrum of bioactivity, with a wide range of applications. The vast majority of these specialised metabolites are synthesised by biosynthetic gene clusters (BGC). Genes in a BGC encode for specific steps in the biosynthesis pathway of metabolites and are co-localised in the genome, which is thought to be due to evolutionary pressure (mainly coinheritance and coregulation).63 In fungi, a typical BGC contains genes encoding one or more core enzymes which catalyse the synthesis of the backbone of the final product, several accessory enzymes (hydrolases, epimerases, oxidoreductases, and methyltransferases amongst others) which further modify the backbone, regulatory proteins, transportrelated proteins and in some cases, proteins related to resistance mechanisms.64

 Table 1
 List of Trichoderma species exhibiting antifungal and/or antibacterial activity against plant pathogens from recent studies

Species	Mode of action	Pathogens	Reference
Trichoderma viride	Specialised metabolites and volatile organic compounds (VOCs)	Fusarium oxysporum, Pythium aphanidermatum, Rhizoctonia solani, Sclerotium rolfsii, Candida albicans, Pythium ultimum, Nigrospora oryzae	19-21
Trichoderma atroviride	Cell wall degrading enzymes, antibiosis (polyketides against <i>R. solani</i>), VOCs	Verticillium dahlia, Rhizoctonia solani, Botrytis cinerea, Phytophthora capsica, Plasmopara viticola, Nigrospora oryzae	21-25
Trichoderma harzianum	Cell wall degrading enzymes, VOCs, specialised metabolites (gliotoxin, peptaibols, antrhaquinones, methyl dihydrojasmonate)	Sclerotinia sclerotiorum, Rhizoctonia solani, Plasmopara viticola, Pythium aphanidermatum, Fusarium oxysporum	19 and 25-29
Trichoderma koningii	Parasitism and specialised metabolites: trichokonins	Sclerotinia sclerotiorum	30 and 31
Trichoderma pseudokoningii	Specialised metabolites: peptaibols and trichokonins	Fusarium oxysporum	32
Trichoderma koningiopsis	Specialised metabolites: trichodermin, azetidine, 2-phenylethanol, and ethyl hexadecanoate, polyketides, VOCs	Pyricularia oryzae, Aspergillus fumigatus, Botrytis cinera, Colletotrichum gloeosporioides, Fusarium oxysporum, Verticillium dabliae	33–37
Trichoderma asperellum	VOCs, chitinases	Fusarium incarnatum, Plasmopara viticola, Nigrospora orvzae	21, 25 and 38-40
Trichoderma virens	Gliotoxin and trichodermamides	Rhizoctonia solani	23 and 41
Trichoderma	Parasitism through cell wall degrading	Magnaporthionsis maydis.	42-44
longibrachiatum	enzymes against nematodes, peptaibol	Meloidogyne incognita, Heterodera avenae. Pseudomonas svringae	
Trichoderma reesei	Cell wall degrading enzymes and secretion of phenols, and antifungal compounds (peptaibols, anthocyanins, β-caryophyllens)	Rhizoctonia solani, Fusarium oxysporum	23 and 45
Trichoderma lignorum	Specialised metabolites: gliotoxin	Rhizoctonia solani, Sclerotinia americana	46
Trichoderma	Cell wall degrading enzymes, secretion of	Fusarium oxysporum	47 and 48
brevicompactum	indole acetic acid, trichodermin		
Trichoderma carraovejensis	Uncharacterised antagonism	Phaeoacremonium minimum, Phaeomoniella chlamydospora, Diplodia seriata	49
Trichoderma spp.	Spore adhesion and niche exclusion, stimulating gene expression involved in plant-disease resistance, (±)-trichodermatrione A production	Phaeoacremonium minimum, Fusarium oxysporum, Xanthomonas oryzae	50-52

3.1 Chemical diversity of natural products in *Trichoderma* spp.

Reviews of specialised metabolites from the *Trichoderma* genus have been published in 2016,⁶⁵ 2021⁶² and most recently in 2023.⁶⁶ The most recent review on specialised metabolites from *Trichoderma* spp. focused on marine strains and listed the isolation of 445 specialised metabolites over the past 30 years, some of which presented new carbon skeletons.⁶⁷ As this subject has been extensively investigated, this section will focus on the most relevant natural product classes with a discussion on some of their corresponding examples. Structures of each example can be found in Fig. 1.

3.1.1 Volatile organic compounds and terpenoids. In the context of biocontrol, volatile organic compounds (VOCs) represent one of the major classes of specialised metabolites from Trichoderma as many play a role in modulating plant defence response against biotic and abiotic stresses. VOCs are low molecular weight molecules with low boiling point, high hydrophobicity and usually a fragrant odour.68 An extensive review of VOCs from Trichoderma has recently been published by Jiménez et al.,69 and detailed their involvement in plant growth and pathogen defence response. One of the most potent and widespread volatiles is the polyketide 6-pentyl-2H-pyran-2one (6-PP, 1). It was found in many species of Trichoderma, notably T. harzianum, T. viride, T. atroviride, T. koningii, T. asperellum, T. longibrachiatum, and T. pseudokoningii.⁵⁹ It has been described as an antifungal,70-73 an elicitor of systemic acquired resistance mechanisms and morphogenesis as well as an activator of antimicrobial natural product biosynthesis in

plants.^{74,75} Other volatiles include monoterpenes, sesquiterpenes, small alkenes, alcohols and ketones.⁶⁹

In the class of terpenoids, trichothecenes and their derivatives are mycotoxins which have been reported in many Trichoderma species like T. brevicompactum, T. harzianum, T. viride, T. longibrachiatum, T. atroviride, T. erinaceum, T. citrinoviride⁷⁶ and more broadly in species of Fusarium, Cryptomela, Spicellum, Myrothecium, Stachybotyrs, Cephalosporium and Trichothecium.⁷⁷ Trichothecenes are sesquiterpene epoxides with a tricyclic 12,13-epoxytrichothec-9-ene (EPT) core and differ from the substitution found on the EPT, classifying them into 4 types (A, B, C, D).⁷⁸ While the epoxide is essential for bioactivity, the nature of the activity can vary based on the substitutions found on the EPT.^{79,80} For example, trichodermin (2) inhibits protein synthesis whereas harzianum A (3) acts as a powerful herbicide.81-84 The biosynthetic gene cluster (TRI) for both compounds has been established in Fusarium species⁸⁵ and orthologs were found in Trichoderma.86 Briefly, the gene cluster for trichothecene biosynthesis in Fusarium species is composed of 15 genes, spread over 3 chromosomes, and is initiated by the trichodiene synthase Tri5, which catalyses the cyclisation of farnesyl pyrophosphate to trichodiene. Tri1, Tri3, Tri4, Tri8, Tri11, and Tri10 catalyse the other steps of the biosynthesis.87-89

A comprehensive list of other terpenoids produced by *Trichoderma* can be found in the reviews by Zhang *et al.*,⁶² and most recently by Bai *et al.*⁹⁰ and Guo *et al.*⁶⁶

3.1.2 Alkaloids and peptides. Most nitrogen-containing SMs found in *Trichoderma* are alkaloids and non-ribosomal peptides. Examples of alkaloids with bioactivity include



Fig. 1 Snapshot of the chemical diversity of terpenoids and polyketides from *Trichoderma*. 6-Pentyl-2*H*-pyran-2-one (1); trichodermin (2); harzianum A (3); 5-hydroxy-2,3-dimethyl-7-methoxychromone (4); microsphaeropsisin B (5); methyllasiodiplodin (6); harziaphilic acid (7); harzianolic acid A (8); harzianone E (9); 3,7,11-trihydroxy-cycloneran (10); 2-((4aR,5R,8aS,E)-3,3,5-trimethyloctahydronaphthalen-1(2*H*)-ylidene) propan-1-ol (11); trichobrasinelol (12); trichodermol (13).



Fig. 2 Examples of alkaloids and peptides from *Trichoderma*. Gliotoxin (14); gliovirin (15); alamethicin (16); harzianin A (17); trichokonin V (18); trichogin GA IV (19); pentadecaibin (20).

epipolythiodioxopiperazines (ETP) and other specialised metabolites containing a diketopiperazine ring. The two toxins gliotoxin (14) and gliovirin (15) are two well-known examples of ETP with strong antimicrobial properties⁹¹ (Fig. 2). The biosynthesis of gliotoxin was first elucidated in *Aspergillus fumigatus* and was found to depend on 13 genes,⁹² with GliP encoding an essential non-ribosomal peptide synthetase (NRPS)

responsible for the formation of the diketopiperazine scaffold.⁹³ A list of other alkaloids and their bioactivities can be found in the review by Bai *et al.*⁹⁰

NRPSs are multi-domain enzymes with a multi-modular architecture described in Fig. 3. NRPSs are composed of an adenylation (A), a condensation (C), a peptidyl carrier protein (PCP) and a thioesterase (TE) domain organised in modules where each module usually contains one instance of those domains. Despite this modular organisation, it is still challenging to predict the structure of these peptides due to module skipping.⁹⁴ Indeed, it was reported that Tex2, an NRPS from *T. virens*, produces two classes of peptaibols with either 11 or 14 residues.⁹⁴

In *Trichoderma*, peptide specialised metabolites are predominantly peptaibols. These peptides range from 5–20 amino acids and are characterised by their high content of 2-aminoisobutyric acid, their acetylated (peptaibols) or acylated (peptaibiotics) N-terminus and their C-terminal amino alcohol.⁹⁵ Some examples of peptaibols include alamethicin (16), harzianins (17), trichokonins (18), and lipopeptaibols like trichogin GA IV (19) as shown in Fig. 2. Their antimicrobial activity has been linked to their helical structure and amphipathic nature. Due to those properties, they can form ion channels in the lipid membranes causing permeabilisation of the cells.^{96,97} Over 440 peptaibols and peptaibiotics containing



Fig. 3 Mechanism of type I iterative PKS and NRPS in fungi. (A) A type I iterative PKS is composed of the essential ketosynthase (KS), acyltransferase (AT), and thioesterase (TE) domains. Additional domains include ketoreductase (KR), enoylreductase (ER) and dehydratase (DH) domains. The biosynthesis starts by the transthiolesterification of an acyl-CoA on the active site thiol of the KS. In parallel, a malonyl-CoA unit is also transferred to the thiol of the phosphopantetheine (PP) prosthetic group of the acyl carrier protein (ACP) group. These two reactions can often be catalysed by the AT. The KS catalyses decarboxylative Claisen condensation to form a β -ketoacyl thioester. The KR then reduces the keto group at the β -carbon into a hydroxyl, the DH further catalyses the formation of a double bond by dehydration of the hydroxyl group, and the ER reduces the double bond. The chain can then be loaded back onto the active site thiol of the KS, and the cycle can continue to then finally be released by the TE domain in the case of non-reducing and partially-reducing PKSs, and a *trans*-acting hydrolase in the case of highlyreducing PKSs. (B) An NRPS is composed of repeating units of adenylation (A), peptidyl carrier protein (PCP), and condensation (C) domains organised in modules. The number of elongation modules can vary and will dictate the size of the final peptide. The biosynthesis starts with the activation of an amino acid catalysed by the A domain. The A domain binding site sequence will dictate the amino acid to be incorporated into the peptide chain. The activated amino acid is then transferred to the thiol unit of the PP group of the PCP. The C domain then catalyses the formation of a peptide bond between this amino acid and the subsequent amino acid attached to the PCP of the next module, and so on until reaching the termination module. The TE domain then catalyses the release of the peptide.

details of their biological source, activity, 3D structure and accompanying bibliographical data can be accessed or down-loaded for research purposes.⁹⁷⁻¹⁰⁰

Another class of peptides include ribosomally synthesised and post-translationally modified peptides (RiPP) but scarce research is found on that class of specialised metabolites in *Trichoderma*. What is known is through genome mining using tools like RIPPMiner,¹⁰¹ RRE-Finder¹⁰² or RiPPER¹⁰³ and rely heavily on known BGC structures of RiPPs like ustiloxins.¹⁰⁴ This limits the number of identifiable RiPPs as novel classes of RiPPs can be missed. Recently, a combined genomic and transcriptomics approach along with careful manual curation enabled the discovery of a series of potential new RiPP gene clusters in *Trichoderma*, missed by other traditional methods like antiSMASH.^{105,106}

3.1.3 Polyketides. Polyketides are a class of specialised metabolites with high structural diversity and vast range of bioactivity.¹⁰⁷ They represent one of the major types of specialised metabolites in *Trichoderma*, with over 20 predicted BGCs per genome on average, second after NRPS BGCs.¹⁰⁸ Polyketides are assembled by polyketide synthases (PKS) through multiple rounds of decarboxylative Claisen condensation reactions (Fig. 3). PKSs are multi-domain enzymes containing acyl-transferase (AT), ketosynthase (KS) and thioesterase (TE) units for non-reducing and partially-reducing PKSs.¹⁰⁹ In the case of highly-reducing PKSs, the release is catalysed by a *trans*-acting hydrolase, acyl transferase or in rare occasions a PLP-dependent domain.¹¹⁰

In fungi, polyketides are classified in two categories: aromatic and aliphatic compounds, due to the domain structure of fungal PKSs.¹¹¹ These structures range from nonreducing (no reductive steps) to highly reducing (varied levels of reduction) based on their domain composition. Most known fungal PKSs fall into the type I iterative PKSs and resemble mammalian fatty acid synthases¹¹² but type III PKSs also exist.^{113,114} In type I iterative PKSs, the same module is used over cycles of elongation to produce the final product as opposed to bacterial type I PKSs. Most recently, a review on highly reducing fungal PKSs (hr-PKSs) was published by Cox¹¹⁰ detailing the catalytic activities of each domain involved in hr-PKS biosynthesis as well as their stereoselectivity.

Examples of polyketides from Trichoderma are shown in Fig. 4 and include sorbicillinoids (sorbicillin 21), anthraquinones (emodin 22), cyclopentones (trichoderone 23), naphthopyrones (hypochromin A 24) and koninginins (koninginin A 25).67 Sorbicillinoids have been isolated in many species of Trichoderma and are responsible for the yellow pigmentation observed in cultures. They hold a wide range of bioactivity including antioxidant115 and antimicrobial.116 The gene cluster for their biosynthesis has been described in T. reesei and contains 8 genes, two of them being transcription regulators.117,118 Briefly, Sor1 forms the polyketide chain, which is then further elongated and methylated by Sor2. The released aldehyde undergoes spontaneous cylcisation to form sorbicillin. The rest of the tailoring enzymes like Sor3 (FAD-dependent monooxygenase) and Sor4 (FAD/flavin mononucleotidecontaining dehydrogenase) are responsible for the formation of the key intermediate sorbicillinol.119 Emodin (22) corresponds to a type of anthraquinone from Trichoderma with antifungal, antibacterial, and antioxidative properties which have been linked to the antagonistic activity against phytopathogens.120-122 Koninginins were found in multiple species of Trichoderma, most notably in T. koningii where the first koninginin (koninginin A 25) was isolated,123 which disactivity and plant growth regulating played cytotxic properties.123,124

3.2 Traditional methods for natural product discovery and examples in *Trichoderma* spp.

For the longest time, bioactive natural products were discovered in cultivable microorganisms using activity-guided methods, for which penicillin is a prime example.¹²⁵ However, due to the complexity of the environments harbouring those



Fig. 4 Examples of polyketides from *Trichoderma*. sorbicillin (21); emodin (22); trichoderone (23); hypochromin (24); koninginin A (25); chaunopyran A (26); tricholignan A (27).

Review

microorganisms, the number of specialised metabolites which can be isolated from microbial cultures is limited. One traditional approach to promote the isolation of new natural products is the "One Strain Many Compounds" (OSMAC) technique, a concept that has been first introduced by Schiewe *et al.*,¹²⁶ 25 years ago.

3.2.1 "One strain many compounds": an effective approach for unlocking metabolic pathways. The concept of OSMAC is to modulate culture conditions to induce production of new specialised metabolites. These changes include modification of temperature, pH, or nutrient sources. This method has been demonstrated in multiple species of fungi, leading to the discovery of new metabolites.¹²⁷ Indeed, when Penicillium sp. F23-2 was grown in a shaking incubator in YPG medium, 5 new sorbicillinoids were discovered, namely, sorbicillamines A-E.128 In Dothideomycete sp. CRI7, 6 polyketides were isolated and characterised, 2 of them showing cytotoxicity.129 Similar studies in Hypomontagnella monticulosa Zg15SU yielded a new briarian diterpene named brianthein W, which showed anticancer activity.¹³⁰ In Aspergillus carneus, the addition of sea salt to modified Czapeck medium lead to the isolation of 3 new namely, isopropylchaetominine, compounds. isoterrelumamide A and 5'-epi-averufanin.131 Finally, in Trichoderma harzianum M10, by modulating the culture conditions, the new compound 5-hydroxy-2,3-dimethyl-7methoxychromone (4) was isolated and demonstrated antifungal activity against R. solani.132 More instances of new specialised metabolites using OSMAC in fungi can be found in the review by Pan et al.,133 and Pinedo et al.134

However, the traditional OSMAC approach has limitations due to low ability to reproduce the natural habitats of isolates within laboratory settings. This method has then included other techniques such as co-cultures and epigenetic modifications to circumvent those limitations.

In the first instance, co-cultivation of Trichoderma spp. with either bacteria or fungi has proven to induce production of metabolites as demonstrated by the discovery of two new sesquiterpenes microsphaeropsisin B/C (5) along with two new methyllasiodiplodins (6) from co-cultures of Trichoderma sp. strain 307 and Acenitobacter jonhsonii strain B2.135 Similarly, cocultures of T. atroviride SG343 and B. subtilis 22 were found to inhibit the growth of Fusarium graminearum, when monocultures failed to show any antifungal activity.136 Similar findings were observed in co-cultures of B. amyloliquefaciens ACCC11060 and T. asperellum GDFS1009, where increase antimicrobial production was detected compared to monocultures.137 Fungal-fungal co-cultures have also displayed their potential for natural product discovery in Trichoderma spp. Indeed, co-cultivation of T. harzianum M10 with Talaromyces pinophilus F36CF was found to induce production of harziaphilic acid (7),¹³⁸ whereas co-cultivation of *Chaunopycnis* sp. (CMB-MF028) and T. hamatum (CMB-MF030) activated production of chaunopyran A (26), identified as a broadspectrum antifungal.¹³⁹ More recently, efforts have been directed at optimisation of Trichoderma consortia for plant growth promotion as exemplified in studies on cucumber plants.140,141 In one study, researchers found that simultenaous

inoculation of *Trichoderma* strains promoted better growth of cucumber seedlings and fermentation of 96 h to 120 h yielded the best production of growth promoting metabolites.¹⁴⁰ Another study focused on optimising the combination of *Trichoderma* strains and found that co-cultures with *T. asperellum* GDSF1009, *T. asperelloides* Z4-1, *T. harzianum* 10 569, and *T. asperellum* 10 264 yielded the best results for both seed germination and antagonistic activity against *F. oxysporum*.¹⁴¹

The second one relies on epigenetic modification as its role in regulating gene expression involved in secondary metabolism is well-known and was proven for the first time in Aspergillus.142-144 Indeed it was shown that deletion of a histone deacetylase (HDAC) resulted in overexpression of three biosynthetic gene clusters involved in the synthesis of penicillin, sterigmatocystin and terraquinone A.142 However, examples of the use of chemical epigenetic modifiers in Trichoderma spp. for natural product discovery remain scarce.145,146 In T. harzianum XS-20090075, the use of 10 µM sodium butyrate, a known histone deacetylase inhibitor, induced expression of genes involved in terpenoid biosynthesis and led to the isolation of harzianolic acid A (8), harzianone E (9), and 3,7,11-trihydroxycycloneran (10).146 In T. atroviride, application of the histone deacetylase inhibitor TSA1 induced specialised metabolism, with expression of genes related to peptaibol and terpene biosynthesis and linked to increased inhibitory activity against R. solani.145

Another method is ribosome engineering, which relies on the appearance of random mutations in RNA polymerase or ribosomes when organisms are exposed to antibiotics which target ribosomes. This approach has been proven to increase yield and even enable the production of new specialised metabolites. It has been used successfully in Actinomycetes with the activation of cryptic BGCs in Streptomyces species, amongst others.147-150 However, in fungi, examples of this approach have only been reported in *Penicillium* and *Aspergillus* species. In Penicillium purpurogenum G59, gentamycin induced the production of janthinone, fructigenine A, aspterric acid methyl ester and citrinin, while neomycin induced the production of curvularin, citrinin, penicitrinone A, erythro-23-O-methylneocyclocitrinol and 22E-7a-methoxy-5a, 6a-epoxyergosta-8(14),22-dien-3β-ol in selected resistant mutants.^{151,152} In Aspergillus versicolor ZBY-3, neomycin-resistant mutants were shown to produce six peptides with antitumor activity, absent in the wild type extracts.¹⁵³ Most recently, a study in Actinomadura sp. used random mutagenesis methods including ribosome engineering with streptomycin to increase the yield of pentostatin, an antitumor drug, by close to 34%.154

3.2.2 Importance of dereplication. While OSMAC approaches and new cultivation systems like iChip have enabled the discovery of new compounds, this was only achieved by exploiting metabolomics and dereplication techniques. Indeed, dereplication is essential to ensure purification efforts are not directed towards the re-discovery of known compounds. Currently, this is mostly done using ultra high-pressure chromatography (UHPLC), high resolution mass spectrometry (HR-MS), HR-MS/MS, and nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectroscopy, then linking it to existing databases like the

Dictionary of Natural Products,¹⁵⁵ the Natural Product Atlas,¹⁵⁶ REAXYS®, METLIN,157 COCONUT158 and many others. A full list of all databases available up to date can be found in the review by Sorokina et al.159 This subject has now been extensively reviewed¹⁶⁰⁻¹⁶⁴ and most recently by Gaudêncio et al.¹⁶⁵ Dereplication softwares have been constructed to facilitate the processing of the increasingly large amount of spectral data being generated. One well-known platform is the Global Natural Products Social Molecular Networking (GNPS) which uses MS/ MS fragmentation data to group compounds based on structure similarity and searches available databases for corresponding natural products.¹⁶⁶ A newer tool for molecular networking developed in 2019 is SIRIUS 4.167 This software establishes the molecular fingerprint of any known or unknown compound from its multi-level fragmentation and mass spectrum, to then link it to the molecular fingerprint of any compound from public databases like PubChem, leading to structural prediction. Authors report a rate of over 70% correct structure identification on two metabolomics datasets of skin and faecal origin.167 The use of NMR spectroscopy has now also been described for dereplication.¹⁶⁵ MADByTE uses 2D NMR spectra to identify structural similarities between compounds within complex mixtures. This study showed the application of MADByTE on fungal natural products and demonstrated its potential with the discovery of three new palmarumycins.¹⁶⁸ However, no reports of this method were found applied to Trichoderma spp.

4 The role of omics in the study of specialised metabolites biosynthesis in *Trichoderma* spp.

Along with analytical chemistry techniques, the advent of next generation sequencing has enabled the use of genome mining as a tool for dereplication. Using genome sequences to predict BGCs and prioritise candidates with unknown products has recently been the driving force for natural product discovery.¹⁶⁹

4.1 Sequencing efforts towards Trichoderma genomes

Efforts in isolation and identification of Trichoderma species are continuously reported on the International Commission on Trichoderma Taxonomy (ICTT) platform.¹⁷⁰ Guidelines for molecular taxonomy of Trichoderma were established in 2020 by Cai et al.,170 and an inventory of over 450 unique species was drawn out. Methods for identification now focus on DNA-based techniques like barcoding.170,171 In 2008, the Joint Genome Institute (JGI) published the first full genome sequence for Trichoderma reesei.172 This major achievement paved the way for many other species of Trichoderma to follow.173,174 Now, over 150 genomes of Trichoderma can be found on NCBI, and 9 reference genomes are available as RefSeq annotations.175 These annotations include T. reesei, T. atroviride, T. asperellum, T. breve, T. harzianum, T. aggressivum, T. virens, T. citrinoviride, and T. gamsii. More efforts by the JGI community are underway to increase the number of available Trichoderma genomes.173 In a comparative genomic study on 12 species of Trichoderma,

Kubicek *et al.*¹⁰⁸ found that the number of PKS BGCs are similar to the numbers in *Aspergillus* spp. but that *Aspergillus* NRPS and terpenoid BGCs are significantly outnumbered by the ones in *Trichoderma*. However, the relationship between those BGCs and their metabolites remains elusive.

4.2 Advances in genome mining tools and applications in *Trichoderma* spp.

The number of tools for automated BGC prediction and analysis based on genomic data has been increasing ever since the first iteration of antiSMASH in 2011,176 now reaching its 7th version.177 This pipeline uses a rule-based approach to find BGCs in bacteria, fungi and plant genomes based on available information on BGCs in public databases like MiBIG.178 Anti-SMASH can now identify up to 81 cluster types with its latest update, which also includes improvements in substrate specificity for PKS and NRPS genes, prediction of RiPP clusters, and prediction of transcription factor binding sites. Following on this work, other tools were developed like PRISM, MIDDAS-M and DeepBGC for BGC detection;179-181 BiG-SCAPE/CORASON, Big-SLICE, MultiGeneBlast, and EvoMining for BGC clustering and phylogenetic analyses.^{182–185} The amount of interest towards gene cluster families (GCF) based research led to the generation of BiG-FAM, a dedicated database created in 2021.185 Recent reviews on bioinformatics tools for BGC mining are numerous^{106,169,186-191} with the most recent one by Cano-Prieto et al. 192

Tools specific for fungal natural products mining like TOUCAN, 193 FunOrder, 194 CO-OCCUR, 195 CLOCI196 and FunGeneClusterS197 have also been reported. The first software, alike to DeepBGC, uses supervised learning to predict BGCs but uses amino acid sequences to do so. Compared to fungiSMASH, DeepBGC, TOUCAN provided better performance in BGC prediction and identification of core enzymes in both A. niger and A. nidulans.193 FunOrder performs co-evolution analysis to identify essential genes in BGCs and prioritises them for further studies. Using CO-OCCUR, Gluck et al.195 found over 3000 putative BGC and 719 unique GCFs within the Dothideomycetes fungal lineage using co-occurrence frequency of gene pairs. Of those, known BGCs and their respective compounds include aflatoxin-like dothistromin,198 dimethylcoprogen,199 alternapyrone,²⁰⁰ and chaetoglobosins.²⁰¹ Similarly to FunOrder, CLOCI identified gene clusters based on co-evolution, outperforming antiSMASH.¹⁹⁶ Lastly, FunGeneClusterS uses a combination of genomic and transcriptomic data to predict BGC based on co-regulation patterns.¹⁹⁷ Using transcriptomics to guide natural product discovery has been done in A. niger. Indeed, based on 283 transcriptomes, Kwon et al. 202 generated co-expression networks and identified six transcription factors which regulate specialised metabolism in A. niger. The metabolome of the strains overexpressing those transcription factors displayed over 140 more metabolites compared to the control strain, some of which were associated with known gene clusters such as the alkylcitrates BGC.202,203

In *Trichoderma*, genome mining has uncovered new specialised metabolites with great chemical diversity including

Review

polyketides, terpenes, non-ribosomal peptides, and RiPPs. Indeed, Yan et al.²⁰⁴ found a novel class of hybrid polyketides with a terpene-like structure and a D-glucose esterified core in Trichoderma afroharzianum T-22, namely treconorin (28). In the same fungus, genome mining of PKS-NRPS hybrid clusters combined with heterologous expression in A. nidulans yielded six new tetronate SMs, trihazones A-F (29).205 In T. viride, genome mining enabled the isolation of a novel 5/6 bicyclic sesquiterpene (Fig. 1, 11) and its esterified derivative.²⁰⁶ In the class of non-ribosomal peptides, genome sequencing of Trichoderma spp. MMS1255 led to the discovery of a set of 5 unique 15-residue peptaibols, subsequently named pentadecaibins I-V (20).²⁰⁷ Finally, using whole-genome sequencing and transcriptomics, Vignolle et al.¹⁰⁶ developed a new approach for mining RiPP clusters which revealed over 600 potential RiPP BGCs across 4 Trichoderma genomes. This work still requires molecular validation to confirm the accuracy of the framework.

4.3 Innovations in resistance-guided genome mining in fungi

Another approach to mine for bioactive natural products is to exploit the presence of resistance genes.^{208,209} One of the first examples of antibiotic discovery using self-resistance-based genome mining was the discovery of a set of thiotetronic acid derivatives from Salinispora bacteria.210 Research on this topic has historically been applied to bacteria but growing interest has been shown to fungi recently. One of the first pioneering works in this field was done in Penicillium brevicompactum. By looking for a BGC containing a IMPDH homolog (target of mycophenolic acid), a 25-kb BGC was identified and linked to the biosynthesis of mycophenolic acid.211 Work in A. nidulans followed, where the presence of a proteasome inhibitor (inpE) in a cryptic BGC was hypothesised to be involved in selfresistance. Using serial promoter exchanges to activate the cluster, the elucidation of fellutamide B biosynthesis²¹² was achieved. These findings illustrated the potential of resistanceguided genome mining in fungi. Following this study, the same approach was applied to T. afroharzianum to elucidate the biosynthesis of harzianic acid, where a acetohydroxyacid synthase homolog was found within the BGC and proven to be a target of harzianic acid.²¹³ Similarly, the BGC for restricticin in A. nomius was identified based on co-localisation of its target, a lanosterol 14a-demethylase (CYP51) paralog, displaying unique mutations and less susceptible to inhibition.²¹⁴

Subsequently, more automated methods were used to facilitate discovery. Currently, 3 frameworks exist for computeraided discovery using resistance genes in fungi. In 2019, the FRIGG pipeline was developed based on 50 *Aspergillus* genomes and was able to predict over 70 unique clusters containing putative resistance genes. It was further validated with the correct identification of the previously characterised fellutamide B cluster.²¹⁵ Later on, Jenkinson *et al.*²¹⁶ developed a Python script to query the MycoCosm genome database (https://mycocosm.JGI.doe.gov/mycocosm/home) for SMs targeting either the proteasome $\beta 6$ subunit or the HMG-CoA reductase. This resulted in the identification of putatively novel inhibitors of HMG-CoA reductase in *Aspergillus* genomes.²¹⁶ However, both aforementioned tools required bioinformatics skills for correct usage. FunARTS ("fungal bioactive compound resistant target seeker") was then created by Yılmaz *et al.*,²¹⁷ and made publicly available at https:// funarts.ziemertlab.com as a user-friendly web tool. This workflow built on their bacterial version ARTS and extended their search to fungal genomes.^{218,219} FunARTS uses Hidden Markov Models to identify core genes of a BGC and co-localised known resistance genes to prioritise novel BGCs. It can then be linked to BiG-SCAPE¹⁸⁴ to perform gene cluster network analysis.

4.4 Recent progress in machine learning for BGC prediction

Novel approaches using machine learning for BGC prediction have now seen the light with ClusterFinder²²⁰ or DeepBGC¹⁸¹ as prime examples. Specifically for fungi, a model using reinforcement learning was built and tested on A. niger and A. nidulans. The model, relying on protein domains and functional annotation, outperformed other software like fungiSMASH, TOUCAN and DeepBGC in cluster prediction.²²¹ Other machine learning programs also focus on prediction of bioactivity. In antiSMASH, the core structure of polyketides or non-ribosomal peptides can be roughly predicted thanks to the integration of NRPSPredictor2²²² which uses support vector machines, and methods from Minowa et al.²²³ and Starcevic et al.²²⁴ However, the accuracy of prediction remains subpar and based on bacterial-generated data. More recently, Walker et al.225 built a machine learning program to predict SM antibacterial, antifungal and cytotoxic activities directly from gene sequences. They achieved accuracy of up to 80% using the PFAM, MiBIG and Resistance Gene Identifier databases. As the model is trained prior to predictions, it can be applied to any wellcurated database, including fungal ones. Finally, a fungispecific platform for bioactivity prediction was created by Riedling et al.,²²⁶ but its performance is still lacking accuracy, as trained models were only able to reach scores of up to 68%. Bigger databases with well-curated data are crucial to advance the field of machine learning-based discovery of natural products, one of the main points of the extensive review by Mullowney et al.227 on the use of artificial intelligence in natural product discovery. Careful usage of those tools remains the golden rule and thorough reflection is needed when it comes to choosing algorithms. Towards the curation of better repositories for fungal genomes, Robey et al.228 constructed an atlas of 1037 fungal genomes with their respective biosynthetic content, paving the way for improved genome mining strategies.

4.5 Metabologenomics: a framework for natural product discovery

Metabologenomics is a concept that was first introduced in 2016 by the Kelleher lab.²²⁹ When dealing with large datasets housing hundreds if not thousands or different strains, the use of patternbased genome mining coupled with molecular networking has proven to be game-changing. One significant study on this topic described the investigation of *Salinispora* genomes and enabled the characterisation of retimycin A, a quinomycin-like

depsipeptide.²³⁰ Most recently, the NegMDF strategy was created to standardise this approach for the discovery of novel polyketides in bacteria.²³¹ In this study, the authors employ a BGCguided mass defect filtering (MDF) approach in parallel with negative mode MS scans to screen for novelty and use targeted MS/MS and NMR for validation. The MDF approach showed great advantages for the detection and prediction of bacterial PKSs as it does not rely on MS/MS fragmentation to infer product ions, offering limited bias towards abundant ions. Using this method, Liu et al.²³¹ were able to identify novel polyketides in Streptomyces cattleya NRRL 8057, namely cattleyatetronates. Additional studies on bacteria and reviews on the subject attest of the rising interest in this approach.^{162,229,232–239} A community resource was created in 2021, the Paired Omics Data Platform, to offer scientists a database which facilitates the study of natural product biosynthesis based on both metabolomics and genomics data, with over 4800 genome-metabolome links with attached metadata.²⁴⁰ Recently, it was applied in 110 ascomycetes and was able to link more than 200 specialised metabolites to gene cluster families, within which the biosynthesis of pestalamides was uncovered.241 This workflow was then linked to bioactivity to further improve prioritisation of SM discovery, leading to the isolation of three novel stemphones, 19-acetylstemphones G, B and E.242 However, most studies using this approach focus on bacterial natural products, leaving fungal metabolites under-represented in this area of natural product research. More interest needs to be given to this highly promising approach for discovery.

5 Genetic manipulation in *Trichoderma* for the study of natural product biosynthesis

After prioritising BGCs using all aforementioned methods, experimental validation is necessary to link the novel natural products to the BGCs. This can be done using various strategies for fungal organisms, depending on their cultivability, genetic tractability and genetic engineering tools available for the fungal host. A decision tree to establish a workflow was designed in the review by Kjærbølling *et al.*²¹⁵

5.1 Engineering in the host organism

If the fungal strain is cultivable and genetically tractable, investigation using the native host can be used and transformation protocols have been established for *Trichoderma* spp.^{243–245} Strategies to activate silent clusters through cultivation have already been detailed previously. Using genetic engineering approaches like transcription factor (TF) overexpression and promoter replacement or heterologous expression can also trigger and/or increase expression.

5.1.1 Untargeted regulation of BGCs. Global regulators can be modulated for general activation of BGCs if no cluster-specific TF can be found. The concept was first applied to *Aspergillus* species, exemplified by the effects of LaeA on SM production including lovastatin, penicillin, and ster-igmatocystin.²⁴⁶ In *Trichoderma*, the MAPkinases Tmk1 and Tmk3 were shown to impact expression of cellulase genes, as

well as sorbicillinoid production.247 Focusing on T. reesei Rut-C-30, Yang et al.²⁴⁸ found that the TF Ypr1 was involved in the regulation of cellulase production and secondary metabolism. Indeed, BGCs for specific polyketides and non-ribosomal peptides were found to be activated upon Ypr1 gene deletion. Additionally, in Trichoderma virens, Vel1 mutants displayed decreased levels of SM genes, including 3 NRPSs, 2 PKSs and abolished production of gliotoxin.249 A Vel1 orthologue was later found in T. reesei, 250 T. atroviride 251 and T. asperellum 252 amongst others.²⁵² Similarly, the LaeA protein has been shown to positively regulate specialised metabolism in Trichoderma. Overepxression of Talae1 in T. afroharzianum led to the discovery of two new sorbicillin-like polyketides, one of them showing strong antifungal activity against both B. cinerea and F. oxysporum.²⁵³ Similarly, in T. longibrachiatum SMF2, constitutive expression of Tllae1 doubled the production of peptaibols.254 In T. atroviride, it was found that LAE1 contributes to the formation of antifungal compounds active against R. solani, B. cinerea and A. alternata, as the overexpressing strain displayed improved inhibition against those fungal pathogens, linked to the expression of cell wall degrading enzymes and polyketide synthases but no specific compounds were characterised.255

5.1.2 Targeted regulation of BGCs. However, manipulation of global regulators is well-known to be unpredictable and hard to control or reproduce. When cluster-specific TFs can be found, targeted manipulation can result in activation of the cluster. Most of the work on this topic has been done in Aspergillus or Fusarium. In A. nidulans, the structure and BGC for aspyridones A and B were identified by expressing the cluster-specific TF using an inducible promoter.256,257 In F. fujikuroi, the overexpression of the TF and PKS within the BGC led to the discovery of four new metabolites, namely fujikurins A-D.²⁵⁸ However, studies in Trichoderma have historically focused on improving cellulase production for industrial purposes.248,259-262 In the broader context of natural products, studies are scarcer. One example is in T. afroharzianum T-22 where overexpression of the tlnl TF in the tricholignan A BGC led to the overexpression of many novel specialised metabolites.263 When tested, tricholignan A (27) displayed plant growth promoting properties due to its redox activity.

In order to manipulate TFs effectively, the use of promoter engineering is needed. Reports of promoter engineering in actinomycetes and other bacteria are now abundant,²⁶⁴ but examples of implementations in Trichoderma are scarcer, with most studies focusing on T. reesei and overproduction of cellulases.^{265–268} In *Trichoderma*, a list of promoters and their use for overproduction of cellulase-encoding genes was established by Adnan et al.²⁶⁷ Most recently, a study in Aspergillus nidulans uncovered 93 promoter sequences from 454 TFs from transcriptome data and tested them for relative transcriptional strength using a single cell flow cytometry-based quantification method. From those, two strong promoters were chosen and introduced into A. fumigatus to drive transcription of the NRPS gene Afpes1. This led to the isolation and identification of fumiganins A and B.269 This work establishes a more comprehensive set of promoter sequences and applies them to other fungal species for natural product discovery. However, the use

of promoter exchange is commonly labour intensive and requires a robust method for homologous recombination in the host.

Another approach involves the use of CRISPR/Cas technologies (Clustered Regularly Interspaced Short Palindormic Repeats). The clustered regularly interspaced short palindromic repeats and associated Cas9 nuclease (CRISPR-Cas9) have revolutionised the field of genetic engineering for its versatility and preciseness.²⁷⁰ In this system, the endonuclease Cas9 is guided by a single guide RNA (sgRNA) to a target locus in the genome and performs a double-strand break. The break can then be repaired via the Non-Homologous End Joining pathway which introduces mutations (insertions, deletions), or homologous recombination if provided with donor DNA. This is now wellestablished in model organisms such as Escherichia coli,271 Saccharomyces cerevisiae,272 and Streptomyces spp.273 CRISPR-Cas9 systems have also been developed for filamentous fungi, including Aspergillus spp.,²⁷⁴⁻²⁷⁶ Fusarium spp.²⁷⁷⁻²⁷⁹ and Trichoderma spp.²⁸⁰ A recent extensive review on the different tools available for CRISPR-mediated editing in filamentous fungi has been published by Woodcraft et al.,281 but specific studies of applications in Trichoderma spp. are scarce, with only two recent examples. Indeed, in a study by Wang et al.,282 researchers were able to activate the silent ilicicolin H (30) BGC in T. reesei using a new quinic acid-inducible Cas9. In the same species, Fang et al.²⁸³ were able to transform the 32.7 kb sorbicillinoids BGC, split into 10 fragments, into the clr2 locus using CRISPR/Cas9 and in a single transformation step. However, no production of sorbicillinoids was observed due to the presence of three point mutations in the biosynthetic genes. Nevertheless, this method called simultaneous in vivo assembly and targeted genome integration of multiple DNA fragments (SATIMD), opens new avenues for the use of CRISPR/Cas9 in Trichoderma for the heterologous expression of BGCs from Trichoderma spp.283

5.2 Heterologous expression in model organisms

When the fungal host is not genetically tractable or cultivable, researchers will rely on heterologous expression. The choice of host is crucial and highly dependent on the nature of the cryptic BGC. Escherichia coli is a powerful host due to its fast growth, simple cultivation and easy and highly efficient transformation. It is generally used to express a single gene from the cluster of interest and coupled with in vitro experiments to study enzymatic structure and function.285 This was done in T. atroviride and led to the isolation of a new sesquiterpene alcohol trichobrasinelol (12). The sesquiterpene cyclase was cloned into E. coli BL21 and the expressed protein was purified and characterised for substrate specificity.286 However, the use of E. coli as a host for fungal BGCs usually shows limitations due to the presence of introns, codon bias, the lack of post-translational modifications, the potential toxicity of the products, and the availability of the precursors.285

Saccharomyces cerevisiae is one of the preferred hosts for the heterologous expression of fungal BGCs due to its low specialised metabolism background, its fast growth for a eukaryotic

organism, its well-curated genetic engineering tools, its high homologous recombination rates, and its ability to correctly synthesise and fold fungal proteins, being a fungal organism.²⁸⁷⁻²⁸⁹ In the case of Trichoderma spp., S. cerevisiae has been used as heterologous host to reconstitute the biosynthetic pathway of several trichothecenes.86,88,290 Indeed, the final product trichodermol (13) was successfully biosynthesised heterologously in yeast using a codon optimised trichodiene synthase gene, coupled with a multicopy integration plasmid targeting the repetitive chromosomal rDNA.²⁹⁰ More recently, a heterologous expression platform (HEx) was developed to enable expression of fungal BGCs into S. cerevisiae.291 Using this platform, 41 BGCs from various ascomycetes, and basidiomycetes were integrated into yeast and of those, 54% produced specialised metabolites novel to yeast, including a PKS BGC from T. virens. This strategy enables high-throughput expression of a multitude of BGCs from various origins.

However, for Trichoderma natural products, the use of filamentous fungi remains the most used, with A. oryzae,292 A. niger (ATNT system),²⁹³ A. nidulans²⁹⁴ and more recently T. reesei²⁹⁵⁻²⁹⁷ as heterologous hosts. Examples of studies using A. oryzae or A. nidulans as host have been described previously and can be found in the review by Shenouda et al.,298 with the ilicicolin H BGC as a recent case. In A. niger, a heterologous expression strain with altered NHEJ and altered pigmentation, has now been optimised for expression of long biosynthetic genes (over 20 kb) from ascomycetes, basidiomycetes and early diverging fungi.²⁹⁹ However, the main advantages of using T. reesei as a heterologous host for investigating Trichoderma BGCs is its phylogenetic closeness with other Trichoderma species and its ability to grow on cellulosic biomass, enabling the valorisation of waste material. This is the rationale behind the work by Shenouda et al.,296 where T. reesei was grown on peels from various fruits, used coffee grounds or barley straw to produce



Fig. 5 Examples of hybrid molecules from *Trichoderma*. Treconorin (28); trihazone A (29); ilicicolin H (30); pretenellin A (31).



Fig. 6 Strategies for natural product discovery in fungi. The OSMAC ("One Strain Many Compounds") approach which includes modulating cultivation conditions or using molecular approaches like epigenetic modifications or ribosome engineering. Dereplication is essential to ensure novelty and is exemplified by the discovery of scopularide H from *Scopulariopsis* sp. CMB-F115 using GNPS.²⁸⁴ If the product can be detected, a combination of genomics, transcriptomics and metabolomics can be used to isolate the target SM, identify its structure, and link it to a gene cluster using several genome mining tools. This is the concept behind metabologenomics where the use of pattern-based genome mining coupled with molecular networking can provide insight into which clusters to prioritise for BGC-SM discovery. Validation of the link can then be done *via* a variety of different genetic engineering strategies such as TF or promoter engineering, heterologous expression or CRISPR/Cas technologies. This was exemplified by the discovery of pestalamide B along with its BGC from the native host *Aspergillus brasiliensis* and heterologously expressed in *A. nidulans.*²⁴¹

several specialised metabolites like pretenellin A (Fig. 5, **31**) in a newly engineered strain, designed for SM production. This strain was provided a cleaner SM background by knocking out its sorbicillin BGC, and transformed with a vector containing the pretenellin A PKS-NRPS megasynthase with its *trans*-acting ER under the control of 2 constitutive promoters.²⁹⁶

However, heterologous expression can still prove challenging. This is exemplified by Hang *et al.*³⁰⁰ and their studies on the PKS Tv6-931 from *T. virens*. Their first attempt at heterologous expression of the PKS, as well as the entire BGC, failed to produce a new compound in *S. cerevisiae* and *A. nidulans*. They were only able to isolate new tetraketide products when a proper offloading substrate was added to the reaction. This shows that availability of substrates can impose limitations in various heterologous hosts.

6 Conclusions

To conclude, a combination of culture-based approaches and molecular approaches should be used to explore the biosynthetic potential of *Trichoderma* species, guided by genome mining strategies. The advances in this field and the increasingly available tools for genome engineering in *Trichoderma* and other filamentous fungi are tremendously helping discovery rates. Alongside, the development of tools for metabolomics are becoming indispensable for dereplication and prioritisation of compounds to prevent re-discovery of known SMs. Finally, an integrated platform combining omics strategies is now proving highly efficient in selecting cryptic BGCs to focus efforts on the discovery of new bioactive metabolites (Fig. 6).

7 Data availability

No primary research results, software or code have been included and no new data were generated or analysed as part of this review.

8 Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

9 Acknowledgements

F. A. was supported by a UKRI Future Leaders Fellowship award (MR/V022334/1). S. J. was supported by a BBSRC Midlands Integrative Biosciences Training Partnership (BB/T00746X/1) scholarship. The authors would also like to acknowledge support from BBSRC through an International Partnering Award (BB/X018369/1).

10 Notes and references

- 1 L. Rani, K. Thapa, N. Kanojia, N. Sharma, S. Singh, A. S. Grewal, A. L. Srivastav and J. Kaushal, *J. Cleaner Prod.*, 2021, 283, 124657.
- 2 V. M. Pathak, V. K. Verma, B. S. Rawat, B. Kaur, N. Babu,
 A. Sharma, S. Dewali, M. Yadav, R. Kumari, S. Singh,
 A. Mohapatra, V. Pandey, N. Rana and J. M. Cunill, *Front. Microbiol.*, 2022, 13, 962619.
- 3 N. Donley, Environ. Health, 2019, 18, 44.
- 4 M.-L. Lahdenperä, E. Simon and J. Uoti, *Developments in Agricultural and Managed Forest Ecology*, Elsevier, 1991, vol. 23, pp. 258–263.
- 5 M. Xue, R. Wang, C. Zhang, W. Wang, F. Zhang, D. Chen, S. Ren, Z. Manman, J. Hou and T. Liu, *BioMed Res. Int.*, 2021, **2021**, 7913950.
- 6 I. Gal-Hemed, L. Atanasova, M. Komon-Zelazowska,
 I. S. Druzhinina, A. Viterbo and O. Yarden, *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, 2011, 77, 5100–5109.
- 7 N. Wijayawardene, Mycosphere, 2020, 11, 1060-1456.
- 8 P. Chaverri, F. Branco-Rocha, W. Jaklitsch, R. Gazis, T. Degenkolb and G. J. Samuels, *Mycologia*, 2015, **107**, 558–590.
- 9 I. S. Druzhinina, V. Seidl-Seiboth, A. Herrera-Estrella,
 B. A. Horwitz, C. M. Kenerley, E. Monte, P. K. Mukherjee,
 S. Zeilinger, I. V. Grigoriev and C. P. Kubicek, *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.*, 2011, 9, 749–759.
- 10 R. Hermosa, A. Viterbo, I. Chet and E. Monte, *Microbiology*, 2012, **158**, 17–25.
- 11 A. Viterbo and B. A. Horwitz, *Cellular and Molecular Biology* of *Filamentous Fungi*, ASM Press, Washington, DC, USA, 2014, pp. 676–693.
- 12 A. K. Singh, H. K. Rana and A. K. Pandey, *Recent Advancement in White Biotechnology Through Fungi: Volume 2: Perspective for Value-Added Products and Environments*, Springer International Publishing, Cham, 2019, pp. 229– 248.
- 13 N. W. Zaidi, M. H. Dar, S. Singh and U. S. Singh, *Biotechnology and Biology of Trichoderma*, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2014, pp. 515–525.
- S. A. Mona, A. Hashem, E. F. Abd Allah, A. A. Alqarawi, D. W. K. Soliman, S. Wirth and D. Egamberdieva, *J. Integr. Agric.*, 2017, 16, 1751–1757.
- 15 C. Yu, X. Jiang, H. Xu and G. Ding, J. Fungi, 2023, 9, 694.
- 16 W. Asghar and R. Kataoka, *Arch. Microbiol.*, 2021, **203**, 4281–4291.
- 17 R. Abdenaceur, B.-t. Farida, D. Mourad, H. Rima, O. Zahia and S.-H. Fatma, *Int. Microbiol.*, 2022, **25**, 817–829.

- 18 R. A. A. Khan, S. Najeeb, J. Chen, R. Wang, J. Zhang, J. Hou and T. Liu, *Physiol. Plant.*, 2023, **175**, e14133.
- 19 R. P. John, R. Tyagi, D. Prévost, S. K. Brar, S. Pouleur and R. Surampalli, *Crop Prot.*, 2010, **29**, 1452–1459.
- 20 N. E. Awad, H. A. Kassem, M. A. Hamed, A. M. El-Feky, M. A. A. Elnaggar, K. Mahmoud and M. A. Ali, *Mycology*, 2018, **9**, 70–80.
- 21 J. Han, Z. Lu, H. Zhang, S. Ji, B. Liu, N. Kong, Y. Yang,
 B. Xing and Z. Liu, *Physiol. Plant.*, 2024, **176**, e14556.
- 22 B. Reithner, E. Ibarra-Laclette, R. L. Mach and A. Herrera-Estrella, *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, 2011, 77, 4361–4370.
- 23 L. Atanasova, S. L. Crom, S. Gruber, F. Coulpier, V. Seidl-Seiboth, C. P. Kubicek and I. S. Druzhinina, *BMC Genom.*, 2013, 14, 121.
- 24 M. E. Morán-Diez, I. Carrero-Carrón, M. B. Rubio, R. M. Jiménez-Díaz, E. Monte and R. Hermosa, *Front. Microbiol.*, 2019, **10**, 1120.
- 25 V. Lazazzara, B. Vicelli, C. Bueschl, A. Parich, I. Pertot, R. Schuhmacher and M. Perazzolli, *Physiol. Plant.*, 2021, 172, 1950–1965.
- 26 S. Vázquez-Garcidueñas, C. A. Leal-Morales and A. Herrera-Estrella, *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, 1998, **64**, 1442–1446.
- 27 R. F. Troian, A. S. Steindorff, M. H. S. Ramada, W. Arruda and C. J. Ulhoa, *Biotechnol. Lett.*, 2014, **36**, 2095–2101.
- 28 G. Hernández, A. Ponce de la Cal, Y. Louis, Y. Baró Robaina,
 Y. Coll, I. Spengler and Y. Mirabal-Gallardo, *J. Fungi*, 2024,
 10, 547.
- 29 I. Verma, S. K. Soni and P. C. Singh, *Plant Physiol. Biochem.*, 2024, **215**, 108953.
- 30 P. Trutmann and P. Keane, *Soil Biol. Biochem.*, 1990, **22**, 43–50.
- 31 S. Xiao-Yan, S. Qing-Tao, X. Shu-Tao, C. Xiu-Lan, S. Cai-Yun and Z. Yu-Zhong, *FEMS Microbiol. Lett.*, 2006, 260, 119–125.
- 32 M. Shi, L. Chen, X.-W. Wang, T. Zhang, P.-B. Zhao, X.-Y. Song, C.-Y. Sun, X.-L. Chen, B.-C. Zhou and Y.-Z. Zhang, *Microbiology*, 2012, **158**, 166–175.
- 33 K. Liu, Y.-B. Yang, J.-L. Chen, C.-P. Miao, Q. Wang, H. Zhou, Y.-W. Chen, Y.-Q. Li, Z.-T. Ding and L.-X. Zhao, *Nat. Prod. Bioprospect.*, 2016, 6, 49–55.
- 34 S. Leylaie and D. Zafari, Front. Microbiol., 2018, 9, 1484.
- 35 O.-U. Ruangwong, C. Pornsuriya, K. Pitija and A. Sunpapao, *J. Fungi*, 2021, 7, 276.
- 36 J. You, G. Li, C. Li, L. Zhu, H. Yang, R. Song and W. Gu, *J. Fungi*, 2022, **8**, 131.
- 37 W.-L. Kong, H. Ni, W.-Y. Wang and X.-Q. Wu, Front. Microbiol., 2022, 13, 1–13.
- 38 W. Intana, S. Kheawleng and A. Sunpapao, *J. Fungi*, 2021, 7, 46.
- 39 C. Shanmugaraj, D. Kamil, R. Parimalan, P. K. Singh,
 P. R. Shashank, M. A. Iquebal, Z. Hussain, A. Das,
 R. Gogoi and K. Nishmitha, *3 Biotech*, 2024, 14, 210.
- 40 F. Berini, A. Montali, R. Liguori, G. Venturini, M. Bonelli, L. Shaltiel-Harpaz, M. Reguzzoni, M. Siti, F. Marinelli, M. Casartelli and G. Tettamanti, *Pest Manage. Sci.*, 2024, 80, 3401–3411.
- 41 E. Garo, C. M. Starks, P. R. Jensen, W. Fenical, E. Lobkovsky and J. Clardy, *J. Nat. Prod.*, 2003, **66**, 423–426.

- 42 S. Zhang, Y. Gan and B. Xu, Appl. Soil Ecol., 2015, 94, 21–29.
- 43 O. Degani and S. Dor, J. Fungi, 2021, 7, 315.
- 44 N. Luo, Y. Jiao, J. Ling, Z. Li, W. Zhang, J. Zhao, Y. Li, Z. Mao, H. Li and B. Xie, *J. Agric. Food Chem.*, 2024, 72, 20763–20774.
- 45 T. Damodaran, S. Rajan, M. Muthukumar, R. Gopal, K. Yadav, S. Kumar, I. Ahmad, N. Kumari, V. K. Mishra and S. K. Jha, *Front. Microbiol.*, 2020, **11**, 595845.
- 46 R. Weindling and O. H. Emerson, *Phytopathology*, 1936, 26, 1068–1070.
- 47 L. Tian, X. Zhu, Y. Guo, Q. Zhou, L. Wang and W. Li, *Front. Microbiol.*, 2024, **15**, 1454670.
- 48 X. Yao, J. Xie, Y. Qi, B. Wang, W. Fang, G. Tao and X. Jiang, Shengwu Gongcheng Xuebao, 2024, 40, 211–225.
- 49 L. Zanfaño, G. Carro-Huerga, Á. Rodríguez-González,
 S. Mayo-Prieto, R. E. Cardoza, S. Gutiérrez and
 P. A. Casquero, *Front. Plant Sci.*, 2024, 15, 1–15.
- 50 G. Carro-Huerga, S. Compant, M. Gorfer, R. E. Cardoza, M. Schmoll, S. Gutiérrez and P. A. Casquero, *Front. Plant Sci.*, 2020, **11**, 1170.
- 51 J. Chen, L. Zhou, I. U. Din, Y. Arafat, Q. Li, J. Wang, T. Wu, L. Wu, H. Wu, X. Qin, G. R. Pokhrel, S. Lin and W. Lin, *Front. Microbiol.*, 2021, **12**, 579920.
- 52 W. Yan, W. Ji, C. Ping, T. Zhang, Y. Li, B. Wang, T. Chen, B. He and Y. Ye, *Phytochemistry*, 2022, **196**, 1–13.
- 53 Y. Martinez, J. Ribera, F. W. M. R. Schwarze and K. D. France, *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.*, 2023, **107**, 55–95.
- 54 S. L. Woo, M. Ruocco, F. Vinale, M. Nigro, R. Marra, N. Lombardi, A. Pascale, S. Lanzuise, G. Manganiello and M. Lorito, *Open Mycol. J.*, 2014, 8, 71–126.
- 55 G. E. Harman, C. R. Howell, A. Viterbo, I. Chet and M. Lorito, *Nat. Rev. Microbiol.*, 2004, **2**, 43–56.
- 56 B. A. Bailey, H. Bae, M. D. Strem, J. Crozier, S. E. Thomas, G. J. Samuels, B. T. Vinyard and K. A. Holmes, *Biol. Control*, 2008, 46, 24–35.
- 57 M. Verma, S. K. Brar, R. D. Tyagi, R. Y. Surampalli and J. R. Valéro, *Biochem. Eng. J.*, 2007, **37**, 1–20.
- 58 Savita and A. Sharma, *Biofertilizers for Sustainable Agriculture and Environment*, Springer International Publishing, Cham, 2019, pp. 395–411.
- 59 M. Sood, D. Kapoor, V. Kumar, M. S. Sheteiwy, M. Ramakrishnan, M. Landi, F. Araniti and A. Sharma, *Plants*, 2020, **9**, 762.
- 60 J. Poveda, Biol. Control, 2021, 159, 104634.
- 61 V. K. Gupta, M. Schmoll, A. Herrera-Estrella, R. S. Upadhyay, I. Druzhinina and M. G. Tuohy, *Biotechnology and Biology of Trichoderma*, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2014, pp. 125–137.
- 62 J.-L. Zhang, W.-L. Tang, Q.-R. Huang, Y.-Z. Li, M.-L. Wei, L.-L. Jiang, C. Liu, X. Yu, H.-W. Zhu, G.-Z. Chen and X.-X. Zhang, *Front. Microbiol.*, 2021, 12, 723828.
- 63 M. A. Fischbach, C. T. Walsh and J. Clardy, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2008, **105**, 4601–4608.
- 64 N. P. Keller, Nat. Rev. Microbiol., 2019, 17, 167-180.
- 65 S. Zeilinger, S. Gruber, R. Bansal and P. K. Mukherjee, *Fungal Biol. Rev.*, 2016, **30**, 74–90.

- 66 Q. Guo, L. Shi, X. Wang, D. Li, Z. Yin, J. Zhang, G. Ding and L. Chen, J. Agric. Food Chem., 2023, 71, 13612–13632.
- 67 Y.-P. Song and N.-Y. Ji, Nat. Prod. Bioprospect., 2024, 14, 14.
- 68 A. Herrmann, *The Chemistry and Biology of Volatiles*, John Wiley & Sons, 2011.
- 69 J. F. Jiménez-Bremont, E. González-Pérez, M. A. Ortega-Amaro, S. Madrigal-Ortiz, A. Duque-Ortiz and A. Mendoza-Mendoza, *Sci. Hortic.*, 2024, 325, 112656.
- 70 R. Scarselletti and J. L. Faull, *Mycol. Res.*, 1994, **98**, 1207–1209.
- 71 C. Mutawila, F. Vinale, F. Halleen, M. Lorito and L. Mostert, *Plant Pathol.*, 2016, **65**, 104–113.
- 72 Y. Wu, X. Li, L. Dong, T. Liu, Z. Tang, R. Lin, J. Norvienyeku and M. Xing, *J. Fungi*, 2023, **9**, 863.
- 73 M. Xing, J. Zhao, J. Zhang, Y. Wu, R. A. A. Khan, X. Li, R. Wang, T. Li and T. Liu, *J. Agric. Food Chem.*, 2023, 71, 19488–19500.
- 74 A. Garnica-Vergara, S. Barrera-Ortiz, E. Muñoz-Parra, J. Raya-González, A. Méndez-Bravo, L. Macías-Rodríguez, L. F. Ruiz-Herrera and J. López-Bucio, *New Phytol.*, 2016, 209, 1496–1512.
- 75 A. Mendoza-Mendoza, E. U. Esquivel-Naranjo, S. Soth, H. Whelan, H. Alizadeh, J. F. Echaide-Aquino, D. Kandula and J. G. Hampton, *Front. Plant Sci.*, 2024, **15**, 1420068.
- 76 K. F. Nielsen, T. Gräfenhan, D. Zafari and U. Thrane, J. Agric. Food Chem., 2005, 53, 8190–8196.
- 77 R. H. Proctor, S. P. McCormick, H.-S. Kim, R. E. Cardoza,
 A. M. Stanley, L. Lindo, A. Kelly, D. W. Brown, T. Lee,
 M. M. Vaughan, N. J. Alexander, M. Busman and
 S. Gutiérrez, *PLoS Pathog.*, 2018, 14, e1006946.
- 78 S. P. McCormick, A. M. Stanley, N. A. Stover and N. J. Alexander, *Toxins*, 2011, 3, 802.
- 79 E. Cundliffe, M. Cannon and J. Davies, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* U. S. A., 1974, 71, 30–34.
- 80 W. Wang, Y. Zhu, N. Abraham, X.-Z. Li, M. Kimber and T. Zhou, *Int. J. Mol. Sci.*, 2021, 22, 1604.
- 81 L. Carrasco, M. Barbacid and D. Vazquez, *Biochim. Biophys. Acta*, 1973, **312**, 368–376.
- 82 A. E. Desjardins, T. M. Hohn and S. P. McCormick, *Microbiol. Rev.*, 1993, 57, 595–604.
- 83 Q. Wu, V. Dohnal, K. Kuca and Z. Yuan, *Curr. Drug Metab.*, 2013, 14, 641–660.
- 84 M. Yin, O. E. Fasoyin, C. Wang, Q. Yue, Y. Zhang, B. Dun, Y. Xu and L. Zhang, *AMB Express*, 2020, **10**, 118.
- 85 D. W. Brown, R. B. Dyer, S. P. McCormick, D. F. Kendra and R. D. Plattner, *Fungal Genet. Biol.*, 2004, **41**, 454–462.
- 86 R. E. Cardoza, M. G. Malmierca, M. R. Hermosa, N. J. Alexander, S. P. McCormick, R. H. Proctor, A. M. Tijerino, A. Rumbero, E. Monte and S. Gutiérrez, *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, 2011, 77, 4867–4877.
- 87 M. Kimura, T. Tokai, K. O'Donnell, T. J. Ward, M. Fujimura,
 H. Hamamoto, T. Shibata and I. Yamaguchi, *FEBS Lett.*,
 2003, 539, 105–110.
- 88 T. Tokai, H. Koshino, N. Takahashi-Ando, M. Sato, M. Fujimura and M. Kimura, *Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun.*, 2007, 353, 412–417.

- 89 Y. Chen, H. C. Kistler and Z. Ma, Annu. Rev. Phytopathol., 2019, 57, 15-39.
- 90 B. Bai, C. Liu, C. Zhang, X. He, H. Wang, W. Peng and C. Zheng, J. Adv. Res., 2023, 49, 81-102.
- 91 A. M. A. El-Bondkly, Biotechnology and Biology of Trichoderma, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2014, pp. 377-392.
- 92 D. M. Gardiner and B. J. Howlett, FEMS Microbiol. Lett., 2005, 248, 241-248.
- 93 C. J. Balibar and C. T. Walsh, Biochemistry, 2006, 45, 15029-15038.
- 94 P. K. Mukherjee, A. Wiest, N. Ruiz, A. Keightley, M. E. Moran-Diez, K. McCluskey, Y. F. Pouchus and C. M. Kenerley, J. Biol. Chem., 2011, 286, 4544-4554.
- 95 J. F. d. S. Daniel and E. R. Filho, Nat. Prod. Rep., 2007, 24, 1128-1141.
- 96 J. K. Chugh and B. A. Wallace, Biochem. Soc. Trans., 2001, 29, 565-570.
- 97 C. d. l. Fuente-Núñez, L. Whitmore and B. A. Wallace, Handbook of Biologically Active Peptides, Academic Press, Boston, 2nd edn, 2013, pp. 150-156.
- 98 L. Whitmore and B. A. Wallace, Nucleic Acids Res., 2004, 32, D593.
- 99 N. Stoppacher, N. K. N. Neumann, L. Burgstaller, S. Zeilinger, T. Degenkolb, H. Brückner and R. Schuhmacher, Chem. Biodiversity, 2013, 10, 734-743.
- 100 N. K. N. Neumann, N. Stoppacher, S. Zeilinger, T. Degenkolb, H. Brückner and R. Schuhmacher, Chem. Biodiversity, 2015, 12, 743-751.
- 101 P. Agrawal, S. Khater, M. Gupta, N. Sain and D. Mohanty, Nucleic Acids Res., 2017, 45, W80-W88.
- 102 A. M. Kloosterman, K. E. Shelton, G. P. van Wezel, M. H. Medema and D. A. Mitchell, mSystems, 2020, 5, e00267-20.
- 103 A. D. Moffat, J. Santos-Aberturas, G. Chandra and A. W. Truman, Methods Mol. Biol., 2021, 2296, 227-247.
- 104 R. E. Ford, G. D. Foster and A. M. Bailey, Fungal Biol. Biotechnol., 2022, 9, 12.
- 105 K. Blin, S. Shaw, K. Steinke, R. Villebro, N. Ziemert, S. Y. Lee, M. H. Medema and T. Weber, Nucleic Acids Res., 2019, 47, W81-W87.
- 106 G. A. Vignolle, R. L. Mach, A. R. Mach-Aigner and C. Derntl, BMC Genom., 2020, 21, 258.
- 107 Y. A. Chan, A. M. Podevels, B. M. Kevany and M. G. Thomas, Nat. Prod. Rep., 2009, 26, 90.
- 108 C. P. Kubicek, A. S. Steindorff, K. Chenthamara, G. Manganiello, B. Henrissat, J. Zhang, F. Cai, A. G. Kopchinskiy, E. M. Kubicek, A. Kuo, R. Baroncelli, S. Sarrocco, E. F. Noronha, G. Vannacci, Q. Shen, I. V. Grigoriev and I. S. Druzhinina, BMC Genom., 2019, 20, 485.
- 109 J. Wang, R. Zhang, X. Chen, X. Sun, Y. Yan, X. Shen and Q. Yuan, Microb. Cell Fact., 2020, 19, 110.
- 110 R. J. Cox, Nat. Prod. Rep., 2023, 40, 9-27.
- 111 R. J. Cox, E. Skellam and K. Williams, Physiology and Genetics: Selected Basic and Applied Aspects, Springer International Publishing, Cham, 2018, pp. 385-412.

- 112 J. M. Crawford and C. A. Townsend, Nat. Rev. Microbiol., 2010. 8. 879.
- 113 M. B. Austin and J. P. Noel, Nat. Prod. Rep., 2003, 20, 79-110.
- 114 Y. Seshime, P. R. Juvvadi, I. Fujii and K. Kitamoto, Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun., 2005, 331, 253-260.
- 115 N. Abe and A. Hirota, Chem. Commun., 2002, 662-663.
- 116 Z. Yang, Y. Qiao, J. Li, F.-G. Wu and F. Lin, Langmuir, 2020, 36, 13227-13235.
- 117 C. Derntl, A. Rassinger, E. Srebotnik, R. L. Mach and A. R. Mach-Aigner, Appl. Environ. Microbiol., 2016, 82, 6247-6257.
- 118 I. S. Druzhinina, E. M. Kubicek and C. P. Kubicek, BMC Evol. Biol., 2016, 16, 269.
- 119 C. Derntl, F. Guzmán-Chávez, T. M. Mello-de Sousa, H.-J. Busse, A. J. M. Driessen, R. L. Mach and A. R. Mach-Aigner, Front. Microbiol., 2017, 8, 1-12.
- 120 D. M. X. Donnelly and M. H. Sheridan, Phytochemistry, 1986, 25, 2303-2304.
- 121 Y.-R. Lin, C.-T. Lo, S.-Y. Liu and K.-C. Peng, J. Agric. Food Chem., 2012, 60, 2123-2128.
- 122 X. Zhan, R. Wang, M. Zhang, Y. Li, T. Sun, J. Chen, J. Li and T. Liu, Pest Manage. Sci., 2024, 80, 1039-1052.
- 123 H. G. Cutler, D. S. Himmelsbach, R. F. Arrendale, P. D. Cole and R. H. Cox, Agric. Biol. Chem., 1989, 53, 2605-2611.
- 124 G. d. C. Ramos, I. N. d. F. Ramos, L. A. Watanabe, L. A. W. Castro, A. J. G. de Moraes, G. R. dos Santos, J. E. d. S. Siqueira, A. S. Khayat, A. M. d. R. Marinho and P. S. B. Marinho, Molecules, 2024, 29, 5278.
- 125 A. Fleming, Br. J. Exp. Pathol., 1929, 10, 226.
- 126 H.-J. Schiewe and A. Zeeck, J. Antibiot., 1999, 52, 635-642.
- 127 G. Bills, G. Platas, A. Fillola, M. Jiménez, J. Collado, F. Vicente, J. Martín, A. González, J. Bur-Zimmermann, J. Tormo and F. Peláez, J. Appl. Microbiol., 2008, 104, 1644-1658.
- 128 W. Guo, J. Peng, T. Zhu, Q. Gu, R. A. Keyzers and D. Li, J. Nat. Prod., 2013, 76, 2106-2112.
- 129 R. T. Hewage, T. Aree, C. Mahidol, S. Ruchirawat and P. Kittakoop, Phytochemistry, 2014, 108, 87-94.
- 130 A. Lutfia, E. Munir, Y. Yurnaliza, M. Basyuni and H. Oku, Curr. Res. Microb. Sci., 2024, 7, 100288.
- 131 F. C. Özkaya, W. Ebrahim, M. El-Neketi, T. Tansel Tanrıkul, R. Kalscheuer, W. E. G. Müller, Z. Guo, K. Zou, Z. Liu and P. Proksch, Fitoterapia, 2018, 131, 9-14.
- 132 A. Staropoli, G. Iacomino, P. De Cicco, S. L. Woo, L. Di Costanzo and F. Vinale, Chem. Biol. Technol. Agric., 2023, 10, 28.
- 133 R. Pan, X. Bai, J. Chen, H. Zhang and H. Wang, Front. Microbiol., 2019, 10, 1-20.
- 134 C. Pinedo-Rivilla, J. Aleu and R. Durán-Patrón, Mar. Drugs, 2022, 20, 84.
- 135 L. Zhang, S. I. Niaz, D. Khan, Z. Wang, Y. Zhu, H. Zhou, Y. Lin, J. Li and L. Liu, Mar. Drugs, 2017, 15, 35.
- 136 T. Li, J. Tang, V. Karuppiah, Y. Li, N. Xu and J. Chen, Biol. Control, 2020, 140, 104122.
- 137 Q. Wu, M. Ni, K. Dou, J. Tang, J. Ren, C. Yu and J. Chen, Microb. Cell Factories, 2018, 17, 155.

- 138 F. Vinale, R. Nicoletti, F. Borrelli, A. Mangoni, O. A. Parisi, R. Marra, N. Lombardi, F. Lacatena, L. Grauso, S. Finizio, M. Lorito and S. L. Woo, *Sci. Rep.*, 2017, 7, 14330.
- 139 Z. Shang, A. A. Salim and R. J. Capon, *J. Nat. Prod.*, 2017, **80**, 1167–1172.
- 140 H. Liu, D. Hao, Y. Li, X. Wang and J. Chen, *Front. Microbiol.*, 2022, **13**, 1–18.
- 141 D. Hao, B. Lang, Y. Wang, X. Wang, T. Liu and J. Chen, *Microb. Cell Factories*, 2022, **21**, 234.
- 142 J. W. Bok, Y.-M. Chiang, E. Szewczyk, Y. Reyes-Dominguez, A. D. Davidson, J. F. Sanchez, H.-C. Lo, K. Watanabe, J. Strauss, B. R. Oakley, C. C. C. Wang and N. P. Keller, *Nat. Chem. Biol.*, 2009, 5, 462–464.
- 143 J. Collemare and M. F. Seidl, *FEMS Microbiol. Rev.*, 2019, **43**, 591–607.
- 144 B. T. Pfannenstiel and N. P. Keller, *Biotechnol. Adv.*, 2019, 37, 107345.
- 145 E. Y. Gómez-Rodríguez, E. E. Uresti-Rivera, O. A. Patrón-Soberano, M. A. Islas-Osuna, A. Flores-Martínez, L. Riego-Ruiz, M. T. Rosales-Saavedra and S. Casas-Flores, *PLoS One*, 2018, **13**, e0193872.
- 146 T. Shi, C.-L. Shao, Y. Liu, D.-L. Zhao, F. Cao, X.-M. Fu, J.-Y. Yu, J.-S. Wu, Z.-K. Zhang and C.-Y. Wang, *Front. Microbiol.*, 2020, **11**, 1–12.
- 147 Y. Tanaka, K. Kasahara, Y. Hirose, K. Murakami, R. Kugimiya and K. Ochi, *J. Bacteriol.*, 2013, **195**, 2959–2970.
- 148 R. H. Baltz, J. Antibiot., 2014, 67, 619-624.
- 149 X. Shentu, N. Liu, G. Tang, Y. Tanaka, K. Ochi, J. Xu and X. Yu, *J. Antibiot.*, 2016, **69**, 406–410.
- 150 S. Zhu, Y. Duan and Y. Huang, Antibiotics, 2019, 8, 133.
- 151 Y.-J. Chai, C.-B. Cui, C.-W. Li, C.-J. Wu, C.-K. Tian and W. Hua, *Mar. Drugs*, 2012, **10**, 559–582.
- 152 C.-J. Wu, L. Yi, C.-B. Cui, C.-W. Li, N. Wang and X. Han, *Mar. Drugs*, 2015, **13**, 2465–2487.
- 153 Y. Dong, C.-B. Cui, C.-W. Li, W. Hua, C.-J. Wu, T.-J. Zhu and Q.-Q. Gu, *Mar. Drugs*, 2014, **12**, 4326–4352.
- 154 H. Zhang, D. Zhang, R. Liu, T. Lou, R. Tan and S. Wang, Fermentation, 2023, 9, 398.
- 155 CHEMnetBASE, https://dnp.chemnetbase.com/chemical/ ChemicalSearch.xhtml?dswid=-6137.
- 156 J. A. van Santen, G. Jacob, A. L. Singh, V. Aniebok, M. J. Balunas, D. Bunsko, F. C. Neto, L. Castaño-Espriu, C. Chang, T. N. Clark, J. L. Cleary Little, D. A. Delgadillo, P. C. Dorrestein, K. R. Duncan, J. M. Egan, M. M. Galey, F. J. Haeckl, A. Hua, A. H. Hughes, D. Iskakova, A. Khadilkar, J.-H. Lee, S. Lee, N. LeGrow, D. Y. Liu, J. M. Macho, C. S. McCaughey, M. H. Medema, R. P. Neupane, T. J. O'Donnell, J. S. Paula, L. M. Sanchez, A. F. Shaikh, S. Soldatou, B. R. Terlouw, T. A. Tran, M. Valentine, J. J. J. van der Hooft, D. A. Vo, M. Wang, D. Wilson, K. E. Zink and R. G. Linington, *ACS Cent. Sci.*, 2019, 5, 1824–1833.
- 157 C. Guijas, J. R. Montenegro-Burke, X. Domingo-Almenara, A. Palermo, B. Warth, G. Hermann, G. Koellensperger, T. Huan, W. Uritboonthai, A. E. Aisporna, D. W. Wolan, M. E. Spilker, H. P. Benton and G. Siuzdak, *Anal. Chem.*, 2018, **90**, 3156.

- 158 M. Sorokina, P. Merseburger, K. Rajan, M. A. Yirik and C. Steinbeck, *J. Cheminf.*, 2021, **13**, 2.
- 159 M. Sorokina and C. Steinbeck, J. Cheminf., 2020, 12, 20.
- 160 A. F. Tawfike, C. Viegelmann and R. Edrada-Ebel, *Methods Mol. Biol.*, 2013, **1055**, 227–244.
- 161 K. F. Nielsen and T. O. Larsen, *Front. Microbiol.*, 2015, **6**, 1–15.
- 162 T. Hautbergue, E. L. Jamin, L. Debrauwer, O. Puel and I. P. Oswald, *Nat. Prod. Rep.*, 2018, **35**, 147–173.
- 163 H. Mohimani, A. Gurevich, A. Shlemov, A. Mikheenko,
 A. Korobeynikov, L. Cao, E. Shcherbin, L.-F. Nothias,
 P. C. Dorrestein and P. A. Pevzner, *Nat. Commun.*, 2018, 9, 4035.
- 164 G. Li, T. Jian, X. Liu, Q. Lv, G. Zhang and J. Ling, *Molecules*, 2022, 27, 7365.
- 165 S. P. Gaudêncio, E. Bayram, L. Lukić Bilela, M. Cueto,
 A. R. Díaz-Marrero, B. Z. Haznedaroglu, C. Jimenez,
 M. Mandalakis, F. Pereira, F. Reyes and D. Tasdemir, *Mar. Drugs*, 2023, 21, 308.
- 166 M. Wang, J. J. Carver, V. V. Phelan, L. M. Sanchez, N. Garg, Y. Peng, D. D. Nguyen, J. Watrous, C. A. Kapono, T. Luzzatto-Knaan, C. Porto, A. Bouslimani, A. V. Melnik, M. J. Meehan, W.-T. Liu, M. Crüsemann, P. D. Boudreau, E. Esquenazi, M. Sandoval-Calderón, R. D. Kersten, L. A. Pace, R. A. Quinn, K. R. Duncan, C.-C. Hsu, D. J. Floros, R. G. Gavilan, K. Kleigrewe, T. Northen, R. J. Dutton, D. Parrot, E. E. Carlson, B. Aigle, C. F. Michelsen, L. Jelsbak, C. Sohlenkamp, P. Pevzner, A. Edlund, J. McLean, J. Piel, B. T. Murphy, L. Gerwick, C.-C. Liaw, Y.-L. Yang, H.-U. Humpf, M. Maansson, Keyzers, A. C. Sims, A. R. Johnson, R. A. A. M. Sidebottom, B. E. Sedio, A. Klitgaard, C. B. Larson, C. A. P. Boya, D. Torres-Mendoza, D. J. Gonzalez, D. B. Silva, L. M. Marques, D. P. Demarque, E. Pociute, E. C. O'Neill, E. Briand, E. J. N. Helfrich, E. A. Granatosky, E. Glukhov, F. Ryffel, H. Houson, H. Mohimani, J. J. Kharbush, Y. Zeng, J. A. Vorholt, K. L. Kurita, P. Charusanti, K. L. McPhail, K. F. Nielsen, L. Vuong, M. Elfeki, M. F. Traxler, N. Engene, N. Koyama, O. B. Vining, R. Baric, R. R. Silva, S. J. Mascuch, S. Tomasi, S. Jenkins, V. Macherla, T. Hoffman, V. Agarwal, P. G. Williams, J. Dai, R. Neupane, J. Gurr, A. M. C. Rodríguez, A. Lamsa, C. Zhang, K. Dorrestein, B. M. Duggan, J. Almaliti, P.-M. Allard, P. Phapale, L.-F. Nothias, T. Alexandrov, M. Litaudon, J.-L. Wolfender, J. E. Kyle, T. O. Metz, T. Peryea, D.-T. Nguyen, D. VanLeer, P. Shinn, A. Jadhav, R. Müller, K. M. Waters, W. Shi, X. Liu, L. Zhang, R. Knight, P. R. Jensen, B. Palsson, K. Pogliano, R. G. Linington, M. Gutiérrez, N. P. Lopes, W. H. Gerwick, B. S. Moore, P. C. Dorrestein and N. Bandeira, Nat. Biotechnol., 2016, 34, 828-837.
- 167 K. Dührkop, M. Fleischauer, M. Ludwig, A. A. Aksenov,A. V. Melnik, M. Meusel, P. C. Dorrestein, J. Rousu andS. Böcker, *Nat. Methods*, 2019, 16, 299–302.
- 168 L. Flores-Bocanegra, Z. Y. Al Subeh, J. M. Egan, T. El-Elimat, H. A. Raja, J. E. Burdette, C. J. Pearce, R. G. Linington and N. H. Oberlies, *J. Nat. Prod.*, 2022, 85, 614–624.

- 169 E. Kenshole, M. Herisse, M. Michael and S. J. Pidot, *Curr. Opin. Chem. Biol.*, 2021, **60**, 47–54.
- 170 F. Cai and I. S. Druzhinina, *Fungal Diversity*, 2021, **107**, 1–69.
- 171 I. S. Druzhinina, A. G. Kopchinskiy, M. Komoń, J. Bissett,
 G. Szakacs and C. P. Kubicek, *Fungal Genet. Biol.*, 2005,
 42, 813–828.
- 172 D. Martinez, R. M. Berka, B. Henrissat, M. Saloheimo, M. Arvas, S. E. Baker, J. Chapman, O. Chertkov, P. M. Coutinho, D. Cullen, E. G. J. Danchin, I. V. Grigoriev, P. Harris, M. Jackson, C. P. Kubicek, C. S. Han, I. Ho, L. F. Larrondo, A. L. de Leon, J. K. Magnuson, S. Merino, M. Misra, B. Nelson, N. Putnam, B. Robbertse, A. A. Salamov, M. Schmoll, Thaver, Westerholm-Parvinen, A. Terry, N. A. C. L. Schoch, J. Yao, R. Barabote, M. A. Nelson, C. Detter, D. Bruce, C. R. Kuske, G. Xie, P. Richardson, D. S. Rokhsar, S. M. Lucas, E. M. Rubin, N. Dunn-Coleman, M. Ward and T. S. Brettin, Nat. Biotechnol., 2008, 26, 553-560.
- 173 M. Schalamun and M. Schmoll, *Front. Fungal Biol.*, 2022, **3**, 1–22.
- 174 A.-A. Tamizi, N. Mat-Amin, J. A. Weaver, R. T. Olumakaiye, M. A. Akbar, S. Jin, H. Bunawan and F. Alberti, *J. Fungi*, 2022, 8, 246.
- 175 N. A. O'Leary, M. W. Wright, J. R. Brister, S. Ciufo, D. Haddad, R. McVeigh, B. Rajput, B. Robbertse, B. Smith-White, D. Ako-Adjei, A. Astashyn, A. Badretdin, Y. Bao, O. Blinkova, V. Brover, V. Chetvernin, J. Choi, E. Cox, O. Ermolaeva, C. M. Farrell, T. Goldfarb, T. Gupta, D. Haft, E. Hatcher, W. Hlavina, V. S. Joardar, V. K. Kodali, W. Li, D. Maglott, P. Masterson, K. M. McGarvey, M. R. Murphy, K. O'Neill, S. Pujar, S. H. Rangwala, D. Rausch, L. D. Riddick, C. Schoch, A. Shkeda, S. S. Storz, H. Sun, F. Thibaud-Nissen, I. Tolstoy, R. E. Tully, A. R. Vatsan, C. Wallin, D. Webb, W. Wu, M. J. Landrum, A. Kimchi, T. Tatusova, M. DiCuccio, P. Kitts, T. D. Murphy and K. D. Pruitt, *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 2016, 44, D733–D745.
- 176 M. H. Medema, K. Blin, P. Cimermancic, V. de Jager, P. Zakrzewski, M. A. Fischbach, T. Weber, E. Takano and R. Breitling, *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 2011, **39**, W339–W346.
- 177 K. Blin, S. Shaw, H. E. Augustijn, Z. L. Reitz, F. Biermann, M. Alanjary, A. Fetter, B. R. Terlouw, W. W. Metcalf, E. J. N. Helfrich, G. P. van Wezel, M. H. Medema and T. Weber, *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 2023, 51, W46–W50.
- 178 B. R. Terlouw, K. Blin, J. C. Navarro-Muñoz, N. E. Avalon, M. G. Chevrette, S. Egbert, S. Lee, D. Meijer, M. J. J. Recchia, Z. L. Reitz, J. A. van Santen, N. Selem-Mojica, T. Tørring, L. Zaroubi, M. Alanjary, G. Aleti, C. Aguilar, S. A. A. Al-Salihi, H. E. Augustijn, J. A. Avelar-Rivas, L. A. Avitia-Domínguez, F. Barona-Gómez, J. Bernaldo-Agüero, V. A. Bielinski, F. Biermann, T. J. Booth, V. J. Carrion Bravo, R. Castelo-Branco, F. O. Chagas, P. Cruz-Morales, C. Du, K. R. Duncan, A. Gavriilidou, D. Gayrard, K. Gutiérrez-García, K. Haslinger, E. J. N. Helfrich, J. J. Van der Hooft,

A. P. Jati, E. Kalkreuter, N. Kalyvas, K. B. Kang, S. Kautsar,
W. Kim, A. M. Kunjapur, Y.-X. Li, G.-M. Lin, C. Loureiro,
J. J. R. Louwen, N. L. L. Louwen, G. Lund, J. Parra,
B. Philmus, B. Pourmohsenin, L. J. U. Pronk, A. Rego,
D. A. B. Rex, S. Robinson, L. R. Rosas-Becerra,
E. T. Roxborough, M. A. Schorn, D. J. Scobie, K. S. Singh,
N. Sokolova, X. Tang, D. Udwary, A. Vigneshwari, K. Vind,
S. P. J. M. Vromans, V. Waschulin, S. E. Williams,
J. M. Winter, T. E. Witte, H. Xie, D. Yang, J. Yu, M. Zdouc,
Z. Zhong, J. Collemare, R. G. Linington, T. Weber and
M. H. Medema, *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 2023, 51, D603–D610.

- 179 M. Umemura, H. Koike, N. Nagano, T. Ishii, J. Kawano, N. Yamane, I. Kozone, K. Horimoto, K. Shin-ya, K. Asai, J. Yu, J. W. Bennett and M. Machida, *PLoS One*, 2013, 8, e84028.
- 180 M. A. Skinnider, C. A. Dejong, P. N. Rees, C. W. Johnston, H. Li, A. L. H. Webster, M. A. Wyatt and N. A. Magarvey, *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 2015, 43, 9645–9662.
- 181 G. D. Hannigan, D. Prihoda, A. Palicka, J. Soukup,
 O. Klempir, L. Rampula, J. Durcak, M. Wurst, J. Kotowski,
 D. Chang, R. Wang, G. Piizzi, G. Temesi, D. J. Hazuda,
 C. H. Woelk and D. A. Bitton, *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 2019, 47, e110.
- 182 M. H. Medema, E. Takano and R. Breitling, *Mol. Biol. Evol.*, 2013, **30**, 1218.
- 183 N. Sélem-Mojica, C. Aguilar, K. Gutiérrez-García, C. E. Martínez-Guerrero and F. Barona-Gómez, *Microb. Genomics*, 2019, 5, e000260.
- 184 J. C. Navarro-Muñoz, N. Selem-Mojica, M. W. Mullowney, S. A. Kautsar, J. H. Tryon, E. I. Parkinson, E. L. C. De Los Santos, M. Yeong, P. Cruz-Morales, S. Abubucker, A. Roeters, W. Lokhorst, A. Fernandez-Guerra, L. T. D. Cappelini, A. W. Goering, R. J. Thomson, W. W. Metcalf, N. L. Kelleher, F. Barona-Gomez and M. H. Medema, *Nat. Chem. Biol.*, 2020, 16, 60–68.
- 185 S. A. Kautsar, K. Blin, S. Shaw, T. Weber and M. H. Medema, *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 2021, **49**, D490–D497.
- 186 L. Foulston, Curr. Opin. Microbiol., 2019, 51, 1-8.
- 187 L. Albarano, R. Esposito, N. Ruocco and M. Costantini, *Mar. Drugs*, 2020, **18**, 199.
- 188 L. Chu, J. Huang, M. Muhammad, Z. Deng and J. Gao, *Crit. Rev. Biotechnol.*, 2020, **40**, 571–589.
- 189 K. D. Bauman, K. S. Butler, B. S. Moore and J. R. Chekan, *Nat. Prod. Rep.*, 2021, **38**, 2100.
- 190 E. Pereira-Flores, M. Medema, P. L. Buttigieg, P. Meinicke, F. O. Glöckner and A. Fernández-Guerra, Mining metagenomes for natural product biosynthetic gene clusters: unlocking new potential with ultrafast techniques, 2021, https://www.biorxiv.org/content/ 10.1101/2021.01.20.427441v1.
- 191 S. C. Kessler and Y.-H. Chooi, *Nat. Prod. Rep.*, 2022, **39**, 222–230.
- 192 C. Cano-Prieto, A. Undabarrena, A. C. d. Carvalho, J. D. Keasling and P. Cruz-Morales, *Annu. Rev. Biochem.*, 2024, 93, 411–445.
- 193 H. Almeida, S. Palys, A. Tsang and A. B. Diallo, NAR: Genomics Bioinf., 2020, 2, lqaa098.

- 194 G. A. Vignolle, D. Schaffer, L. Zehetner, R. L. Mach, A. R. Mach-Aigner and C. Derntl, *PLoS Comput. Biol.*, 2021, 17, e1009372.
- 195 E. Gluck-Thaler, S. Haridas, M. Binder, I. V. Grigoriev, P. W. Crous, J. W. Spatafora, K. Bushley and J. C. Slot, *Mol. Biol. Evol.*, 2020, 37, 2838–2856.
- 196 Z. Konkel, L. Kubatko and J. C. Slot, *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 2024, 52, e75.
- 197 T. C. Vesth, J. Brandl and M. R. Andersen, *Synth. Syst. Biotechnol.*, 2016, **1**, 122–129.
- 198 R. E. Bradshaw, J. C. Slot, G. G. Moore, P. Chettri, P. J. G. M. de Wit, K. C. Ehrlich, A. R. D. Ganley, M. A. Olson, A. Rokas, I. Carbone and M. P. Cox, *New Phytol.*, 2013, **198**, 525–535.
- 199 S. Oide, W. Moeder, S. Krasnoff, D. Gibson, H. Haas, K. Yoshioka and B. G. Turgeon, *Plant Cell*, 2006, 18, 2836–2853.
- 200 I. Fujii, N. Yoshida, S. Shimomaki, H. Oikawa and Y. Ebizuka, *Chem. Biol.*, 2005, **12**, 1301–1309.
- 201 M. Xue, Q. Zhang, J.-M. Gao, H. Li, J.-M. Tian and G. Pescitelli, *Chirality*, 2012, **24**, 668–674.
- 202 M. J. Kwon, C. Steiniger, T. C. Cairns, J. H. Wisecaver, A. L. Lind, C. Pohl, C. Regner, A. Rokas and V. Meyer, *Microbiol. Spectr.*, 2021, 9, e0089821.
- 203 S. Palys, T. T. M. Pham and A. Tsang, *Front. Microbiol.*, 2020, **11**, 1–13.
- 204 C. Yan, W. Han, Q. Zhou, K. Niwa, M. J. Tang, J. E. Burch,
 Y. Zhang, D. A. Delgadillo, Z. Sun, Z. Wu, S. E. Jacobsen,
 H. Nelson, K. N. Houk and Y. Tang, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*,
 2023, 145, 25080–25085.
- 205 Y. Zhu, J. Wang, P. Mou, Y. Yan, M. Chen and Y. Tang, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2021, **19**, 1985–1990.
- 206 X. Sun, Y.-S. Cai, Y. Yuan, G. Bian, Z. Ye, Z. Deng and T. Liu, *Beilstein J. Org. Chem.*, 2019, **15**, 2052.
- 207 A.-I. van Bohemen, N. Ruiz, A. Zalouk-Vergnoux, A. Michaud, T. Robiou du Pont, I. Druzhinina, L. Atanasova, S. Prado, B. Bodo, L. Meslet-Cladiere, B. Cochereau, F. Bastide, C. Maslard, M. Marchi, T. Guillemette and Y. F. Pouchus, *J. Nat. Prod.*, 2021, 84, 1271–1282.
- 208 Y. Yan, N. Liu and Y. Tang, *Nat. Prod. Rep.*, 2020, **37**, 879–892.
- 209 C. Hobson, A. N. Chan and G. D. Wright, *Chem. Rev.*, 2021, **121**, 3464–3494.
- 210 X. Tang, J. Li, N. Millán-Aguiñaga, J. J. Zhang, E. C. O'Neill, J. A. Ugalde, P. R. Jensen, S. M. Mantovani and B. S. Moore, *ACS Chem. Biol.*, 2015, **10**, 2841–2849.
- 211 T. B. Regueira, K. R. Kildegaard, B. G. Hansen,
 U. H. Mortensen, C. Hertweck and J. Nielsen, *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, 2011, 77, 3035–3043.
- 212 H.-H. Yeh, M. Ahuja, Y.-M. Chiang, C. E. Oakley, S. Moore, O. Yoon, H. Hajovsky, J.-W. Bok, N. P. Keller, C. C. C. Wang and B. R. Oakley, ACS Chem. Biol., 2016, 11, 2275–2284.
- 213 L. Xie, X. Zang, W. Cheng, Z. Zhang, J. Zhou, M. Chen and Y. Tang, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2021, **143**, 9575–9584.

- 214 N. Liu, E. D. Abramyan, W. Cheng, B. Perlatti,
 C. J. B. Harvey, G. F. Bills and Y. Tang, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2021, 143, 6043–6047.
- 215 I. Kjærbølling, T. Vesth and M. R. Andersen, *mSystems*, 2019, 4, e00085.
- 216 C. B. Jenkinson, A. R. Podgorny, C. Zhong and B. R. Oakley, J. Ind. Microbiol. Biotechnol., 2023, 50, kuad045.
- 217 T. M. Yılmaz, M. D. Mungan, A. Berasategui and N. Ziemert, *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 2023, **51**, W191.
- 218 M. Alanjary, B. Kronmiller, M. Adamek, K. Blin, T. Weber, D. Huson, B. Philmus and N. Ziemert, *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 2017, 45, W42.
- 219 M. D. Mungan, M. Alanjary, K. Blin, T. Weber, M. H. Medema and N. Ziemert, *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 2020, 48, W546–W552.
- 220 A. S. Anker, U. Friis-Jensen, F. L. Johansen, S. J. L. Billinge and K. M. Jensen, Acta Crystallogr., Sect. A:Found. Adv., 2024, 80, 213.
- 221 H. Almeida, A. Tsang and A. B. Diallo, *Bioinformatics*, 2022, **38**, 3984–3991.
- 222 M. Röttig, M. H. Medema, K. Blin, T. Weber, C. Rausch and O. Kohlbacher, *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 2011, **39**, W362.
- 223 Y. Minowa, M. Araki and M. Kanehisa, *J. Mol. Biol.*, 2007, **368**, 1500–1517.
- 224 A. Starcevic, J. Zucko, J. Simunkovic, P. F. Long, J. Cullum and D. Hranueli, *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 2008, **36**, 6882.
- 225 A. S. Walker and J. Clardy, J. Chem. Inf. Model., 2021, 61, 2560–2571.
- 226 O. Riedling, A. S. Walker and A. Rokas, *Microbiol. Spectrum*, 2024, **12**, e03400–e03423.
- 227 M. W. Mullowney, K. R. Duncan, S. S. Elsayed, N. Garg, J. J. J. Van Der Hooft, N. I. Martin, D. Meijer, B. R. Terlouw, F. Biermann, K. Blin, J. Durairaj, M. Gorostiola González, E. J. N. Helfrich, F. Huber, S. Leopold-Messer, K. Rajan, T. De Rond, J. A. Van Santen, M. Sorokina, M. J. Balunas, M. A. Beniddir, D. A. Van Bergeijk, L. M. Carroll, C. M. Clark, D.-A. Clevert, C. A. Dejong, C. Du, S. Ferrinho, F. Grisoni, A. Hofstetter, W. Jespers, O. V. Kalinina, S. A. Kautsar, H. Kim, T. F. Leao, J. Masschelein, E. R. Rees, R. Reher, D. Reker, P. Schwaller, M. Segler, M. A. Skinnider, A. S. Walker, E. L. Willighagen, B. Zdrazil, N. Ziemert, R. J. M. Goss, P. Guyomard, A. Volkamer, W. H. Gerwick, H. U. Kim, R. Müller, G. P. Van Wezel, G. J. P. Van Westen, A. K. H. Hirsch, R. G. Linington, S. L. Robinson and M. H. Medema, Nat. Rev. Drug Discov., 2023, 22, 895-916.
- 228 M. T. Robey, L. K. Caesar, M. T. Drott, N. P. Keller and N. L. Kelleher, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2021, **118**, e2020230118.
- A. W. Goering, R. A. McClure, J. R. Doroghazi, J. C. Albright,
 N. A. Haverland, Y. Zhang, K.-S. Ju, R. J. Thomson,
 W. W. Metcalf and N. L. Kelleher, *ACS Cent. Sci.*, 2016, 2, 99–108.
- 230 K. R. Duncan, M. Crüsemann, A. Lechner, A. Sarkar, J. Li, N. Ziemert, M. Wang, N. Bandeira, B. S. Moore,

P. C. Dorrestein and P. R. Jensen, *Chem. Biol.*, 2015, 22, 460–471.

- 231 R.-Z. Liu, Z. Zhang, M. Li and L. Zhang, *Chem. Sci.*, 2025, **16**, 1696–1706.
- 232 J. R. Doroghazi, J. C. Albright, A. W. Goering, K.-S. Ju,
 R. R. Haines, K. A. Tchalukov, D. P. Labeda,
 N. L. Kelleher and W. W. Metcalf, *Nat. Chem. Biol.*, 2014, 10, 963–968.
- 233 J. J. J. v. d. Hooft, H. Mohimani, A. Bauermeister, P. C. Dorrestein, K. R. Duncan and M. H. Medema, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2020, 49, 3297–3314.
- 234 S. Soldatou, G. H. Eldjárn, A. Ramsay, J. J. J. v. d. Hooft,A. H. Hughes, S. Rogers and K. R. Duncan, *Mar. Drugs*, 2021, 19, 103.
- 235 Y. Qi, K. K. Nepal and J. A. V. Blodgett, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* U. S. A., 2021, **118**, e2103515118.
- 236 L. K. Caesar, R. Montaser, N. P. Keller and N. L. Kelleher, *Nat. Prod. Rep.*, 2021, **38**, 2041.
- 237 N. M. Maimone, M. C. P. Junior, L. F. P. de Oliveira, D. Rojas-Villalta, S. P. de Lira, L. Barrientos and K. Núñez-Montero, *Front. Microbiol.*, 2023, 14, 1–13.
- 238 G. A. Vitale, G. G. January, E. Oppong-Danquah, G. Della Sala, F. Palma Esposito, D. Tasdemir and D. de Pascale, *PNAS Nexus*, 2023, **2**, pgad221.
- 239 C. E. Yancey, L. Hart, S. Hefferan, O. G. Mohamed,S. A. Newmister, A. Tripathi, D. H. Sherman andG. J. Dick, *mSystems*, 2024, 9, e0033424.
- 240 M. A. Schorn, S. Verhoeven, L. Ridder, F. Huber, D. D. Acharya, A. A. Aksenov, G. Aleti, J. A. Moghaddam, A. T. Aron, S. Aziz, A. Bauermeister, K. D. Bauman, Baunach, C. Beemelmanns, J. M. Beman, M. M. V. Berlanga-Clavero, A. A. Blacutt, H. B. Bode, A. Boullie, A. Brejnrod, T. S. Bugni, A. Calteau, L. Cao, V. J. Carrión, R. Castelo-Branco, S. Chanana, A. B. Chase, M. G. Chevrette, L. V. Costa-Lotufo, J. M. Crawford, R. Currie, B. Cuypers, T. Dang, T. de Rond, С. M. Demko, E. Dittmann, C. Du, C. Drozd, A. J.-C. Dujardin, R. J. Dutton, A. Edlund, D. P. Fewer, N. Garg, J. M. Gauglitz, E. C. Gentry, L. Gerwick, E. Glukhov, H. Gross, M. Gugger, D. G. Guillén Matus, E. J. N. Helfrich, B.-F. Hempel, J.-S. Hur, M. Iorio, P. R. Jensen, K. B. Kang, L. Kaysser, N. L. Kelleher, C. S. Kim, K. H. Kim, I. Koester, G. M. König, T. Leao, S. R. Lee, Y.-Y. Lee, X. Li, J. C. Little, K. N. Maloney, D. Männle, C. H. Martin, A. C. McAvoy, W. W. Metcalf, H. Mohimani, C. Molina-Santiago, B. S. Moore, M. W. Mullowney, M. Muskat, L.-F. Nothias, E. C. O'Neill, E. I. Parkinson, D. Petras, J. Piel, E. C. Pierce, K. Pires, R. Reher, D. Romero, M. C. Roper, M. Rust, H. Saad, C. Saenz, L. M. Sanchez, S. J. Sørensen, M. Sosio, R. D. Süssmuth, D. Sweeney, K. Tahlan, R. J. Thomson, N. J. Tobias, A. E. Trindade-Silva, G. P. van Wezel, M. Wang, K. C. Weldon, F. Zhang, N. Ziemert, K. R. Duncan, M. Crüsemann, S. Rogers, P. C. Dorrestein, M. H. Medema and J. J. J. van der Hooft, Nat. Chem. Biol., 2021, 17, 363-368.

- 241 L. K. Caesar, F. A. Butun, M. T. Robey, N. J. Ayon, R. Gupta,
 D. Dainko, J. W. Bok, G. Nickles, R. J. Stankey, D. Johnson,
 D. Mead, K. B. Cank, C. E. Earp, H. A. Raja, N. H. Oberlies,
 N. P. Keller and N. L. Kelleher, *Nat. Chem. Biol.*, 2023, 19, 846.
- 242 N. J. Ayon, C. E. Earp, R. Gupta, F. A. Butun, A. E. Clements,
 A. G. Lee, D. Dainko, M. T. Robey, M. Khin, L. Mardiana,
 A. Longcake, M. Rangel-Grimaldo, M. J. Hall,
 M. R. Probert, J. E. Burdette, N. P. Keller, H. A. Raja,
 N. H. Oberlies, N. L. Kelleher and L. K. Caesar, Metabolomics, 2024, 20, 90.
- 243 M. G. Malmierca, R. E. Cardoza and S. Gutiérrez, *Genetic Transformation Systems in Fungi*, Springer International Publishing, Cham, 2015, vol. 1, pp. 41-48.
- 244 F. Cai, C. P. Kubicek and I. S. Druzhinina, *Biofuels and Biodiesel*, Springer US, New York, NY, 2021, pp. 171–185.
- 245 S. Jin and F. Alberti, STAR Protoc., 2025, 6, 103668.
- 246 J. W. Bok and N. P. Keller, Eukaryotic Cell, 2004, 3, 527-535.
- 247 M. Schalamun, S. Beier, W. Hinterdobler, N. Wanko, J. Schinnerl, L. Brecker, D. E. Engl and M. Schmoll, *Sci. Rep.*, 2023, 13, 1912.
- 248 J. Yang, J.-X. Li, F. Zhang and X.-Q. Zhao, *Eng. Microbiol.*, 2023, **3**, 100065.
- 249 P. K. Mukherjee and C. M. Kenerley, *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, 2010, **76**, 2345.
- 250 R. Karimi Aghcheh, Z. Németh, L. Atanasova, E. Fekete, M. Paholcsek, E. Sándor, B. Aquino, I. S. Druzhinina, L. Karaffa and C. P. Kubicek, *PLoS One*, 2014, 9, e112799.
- 251 V. Karuppiah, Y. Li, J. Sun, M. Vallikkannu and J. Chen, *Biol. Control*, 2020, **151**, 104374.
- 252 V. Karuppiah, L. Zhixiang, H. Liu, M. Vallikkannu and J. Chen, *Microb. Cell Factories*, 2021, **20**, 57.
- Z. Ding, X. Wang, F.-D. Kong, H.-M. Huang, Y.-N. Zhao,
 M. Liu, Z.-P. Wang and J. Han, *Front. Microbiol.*, 2020, 11, 1–8.
- 254 J.-C. Shi, W.-L. Shi, Y.-R. Zhou, X.-L. Chen, Y.-Z. Zhang, X. Zhang, W.-X. Zhang and X.-Y. Song, *Front. Microbiol.*, 2020, **11**, 1–13.
- 255 R. K. Aghcheh, I. S. Druzhinina and C. P. Kubicek, *PLoS One*, 2013, **8**, e67144.
- 256 S. Bergmann, J. Schümann, K. Scherlach, C. Lange, A. A. Brakhage and C. Hertweck, *Nat. Chem. Biol.*, 2007, **3**, 213–217.
- 257 S. Bergmann, A. N. Funk, K. Scherlach, V. Schroeckh, E. Shelest, U. Horn, C. Hertweck and A. A. Brakhage, *Appl. Environ. Microbiol.*, 2010, **76**, 8143–8149.
- 258 S. Janevska and B. Tudzynski, *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.*, 2018, **102**, 615–630.
- 259 C. Derntl, R. L. Mach and A. R. Mach-Aigner, *Biotechnol. Biofuels*, 2019, **12**, 231.
- 260 F. Wang, R. Zhang, L. Han, W. Guo, Z. Du, K. Niu, Y. Liu, C. Jia and X. Fang, *Biotechnol. Biofuels*, 2019, **12**, 244.
- 261 L. Han, Y. Tan, W. Ma, K. Niu, S. Hou, W. Guo, Y. Liu and X. Fang, *Front. Bioeng. Biotechnol.*, 2020, **8**, 1–9.
- 262 Q.-S. Meng, F. Zhang, W. Wang, C.-G. Liu, X.-Q. Zhao and F.-W. Bai, *Front. Bioeng. Biotechnol.*, 2020, **8**, 1–9.

- 263 M. Chen, Q. Liu, S.-S. Gao, A. E. Young, S. E. Jacobsen and Y. Tang, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2019, **116**, 5499–5504.
- 264 H. Ren, B. Wang and H. Zhao, *Curr. Opin. Biotechnol.*, 2017, **48**, 21–27.
- 265 G. Zou, S. Shi, Y. Jiang, J. van den Brink, R. P. de Vries,L. Chen, J. Zhang, L. Ma, C. Wang and Z. Zhou, *Microb. Cell Fact.*, 2012, 11, 21.
- 266 E. Fitz, F. Wanka and B. Seiboth, *Front. Bioeng. Biotechnol.*, 2018, **6**, 1–15.
- 267 M. Adnan, X. Ma, S. Olsson, J. Wang and G. Liu, *Microbiol. Res.*, 2022, **259**, 127011.
- 268 M. Adnan and G. Liu, *Synthetic Promoters: Methods and Protocols*, Springer US, New York, NY, 2024, pp. 47–68.
- 269 P.-L. Wei, J. Fan, J. Yu, Z. Ma, X. Guo, N. P. Keller, E. Li, C. Lou and W.-B. Yin, *Sci. China Life Sci.*, 2023, **66**, 848–860.
- 270 M. Jinek, K. Chylinski, I. Fonfara, M. Hauer, J. A. Doudna and E. Charpentier, *Science*, 2012, **337**, 816–821.
- 271 W. Jiang, D. Bikard, D. Cox, F. Zhang and L. A. Marraffini, *Nat. Biotechnol.*, 2013, **31**, 233–239.
- 272 J. E. DiCarlo, J. E. Norville, P. Mali, X. Rios, J. Aach and G. M. Church, *Nucleic Acids Res.*, 2013, **41**, 4336–4343.
- 273 R. E. Cobb, Y. Wang and H. Zhao, *ACS Synth. Biol.*, 2015, 4, 723–728.
- 274 K. K. Fuller, S. Chen, J. J. Loros and J. C. Dunlap, *Eukaryotic Cell*, 2015, **14**, 1073–1080.
- 275 T. Katayama, Y. Tanaka, T. Okabe, H. Nakamura, W. Fujii, K. Kitamoto and J.-I. Maruyama, *Biotechnol. Lett.*, 2016, **38**, 637–642.
- 276 S.-Y. Shih, U. H. Mortensen, F.-R. Chang and H. Tsai, *Synth. Biol.*, 2022, 7, ysac031.
- 277 D. M. Gardiner and K. Kazan, *Fungal Biol.*, 2018, **122**, 131–137.
- 278 Q. Wang, P. A. Cobine and J. J. Coleman, *Fungal Genet. Biol.*, 2018, **117**, 21–29.
- 279 M. Ferrara, M. Haidukowski, A. F. Logrieco, J. F. Leslie and G. Mulè, *Sci. Rep.*, 2019, **9**, 19836.
- 280 Y. Wang, H. Chen, L. Ma, M. Gong, Y. Wu, D. Bao and G. Zou, *Microb. Biotechnol.*, 2022, **15**, 2521–2532.
- 281 C. Woodcraft, Y.-H. Chooi and I. Roux, *Nat. Prod. Rep.*, 2023, **40**, 158–173.
- 282 L. Wang, J. Liu, J. Tang, Y. Dang, L. Sun, B. Liu, H. Li, X. He, Q. Shuai, Z. Peng, T. Huang, Y. Sun, Y. Feng and J. Xie, *Int. J. Biol. Macromol.*, 2024, **279**, 135339.
- 283 Y. Fang, X. Meng, L. Liu, Z. Li, K. Jia and W. Liu, *ACS Synth. Biol.*, 2025, **14**, 575–584.

- 284 X. Wang, K. Subko, S. Kildgaard, J. C. Frisvad and T. O. Larsen, *Front. Fungal Biol.*, 2021, 2, 1–13.
- 285 F. Alberti, G. D. Foster and A. M. Bailey, *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.*, 2017, **101**, 493–500.
- 286 K. Murai, L. Lauterbach, K. Teramoto, Z. Quan, L. Barra, T. Yamamoto, K. Nonaka, K. Shiomi, M. Nishiyama, T. Kuzuyama and J. S. Dickschat, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2019, **58**, 15046–15050.
- 287 M. S. Siddiqui, K. Thodey, I. Trenchard and C. D. Smolke, *FEMS Yeast Res.*, 2012, **12**, 144–170.
- 288 K. Ishiuchi, T. Nakazawa, T. Ookuma, S. Sugimoto, M. Sato,
 Y. Tsunematsu, N. Ishikawa, H. Noguchi, K. Hotta,
 H. Moriya and K. Watanabe, *ChemBioChem*, 2012, 13, 846–854.
- 289 C. Bond, Y. Tang and L. Li, Fungal Genet. Biol., 2016, 89, 52-61.
- 290 J. Liu, Y. Zhai, Y. Zhang, S. Zhu, G. Liu and Y. Che, Front. Microbiol., 2018, 9, year.
- 291 C. J. B. Harvey, M. Tang, U. Schlecht, J. Horecka, C. R. Fischer, H.-C. Lin, J. Li, B. Naughton, J. Cherry, M. Miranda, Y. F. Li, A. M. Chu, J. R. Hennessy, G. A. Vandova, D. Inglis, R. S. Aiyar, L. M. Steinmetz, R. W. Davis, M. H. Medema, E. Sattely, C. Khosla, R. P. S. Onge, Y. Tang and M. E. Hillenmeyer, *Sci. Adv.*, 2018, 4, eaar5459.
- 292 C. M. Lazarus, K. Williams and A. M. Bailey, *Nat. Prod. Rep.*, 2014, **31**, 1339–1347.
- 293 E. Geib and M. Brock, Fungal Biol. Biotechnol., 2017, 4, 13.
- 294 Y.-M. Chiang, C. E. Oakley, M. Ahuja, R. Entwistle, A. Schultz, S.-L. Chang, C. T. Sung, C. C. C. Wang and B. R. Oakley, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135**, 7720–7731.
- 295 I. Tomico-Cuenca, R. L. Mach, A. R. Mach-Aigner and C. Derntl, *Fungal Biol. Biotechnol.*, 2021, **8**, 11.
- 296 M. L. Shenouda, M. Ambilika, E. Skellam and R. J. Cox, *J. Fungi*, 2022, **8**, 355.
- 297 H. Mathis, D. Naquin, A. Margeot and F. Bidard, *Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol.*, 2024, **108**, 470.
- 298 M. L. Shenouda and R. J. Cox, *RSC Adv.*, 2021, **11**, 3622–3635.
- 299 L. Kirchgaessner, J. M. Wurlitzer, P. S. Seibold, M. Rakhmanov and M. Gressler, *Fungal Biol. Biotechnol.*, 2023, 10, 1–16.
- 300 L. Hang, M.-C. Tang, C. J. B. Harvey, C. G. Page, J. Li, Y.-S. Hung, N. Liu, M. E. Hillenmeyer and Y. Tang, *Angew. Chem.*, 2017, **129**, 9684–9688.