



Cite this: *Nanoscale Adv.*, 2025, 7, 242

# Optimized nano-scaled drain- and gate-engineered Schottky barrier MOSFET with improved ambipolarity and RF characteristics

Faisal Bashir, \* Ali S. Alzahrani and Furqan Zahoor 

In this work, a novel Schottky Barrier MOSFET (SB-MOSFET) structure is presented. The proposed device consists of a dual-material gate and electrostatically doped drain, and the device is denoted as Gate and Drain Engineered Schottky Barrier MOSFET (GDE-SBMOSFET). The use of a dual-material gate and electrostatically doped drain enhances the ON state performance and short channel performance, respectively, in comparison to state-of-the-art devices. By optimizing the gate and drain metal workfunction, the proposed device technology node can be scaled below 22 nm without exhibiting performance degradation. The optimized values of the metal workfunction of the drain and tunnel gate (TG) improve the parameters, such as the ON current and ON/OFF current ratio, which have been increased 26- and 10-fold in comparison to conventional SBMOSFETs. Additionally, a substantial enhancement of 28% and 4% in the SS of the proposed GDE-SBMOSFET has been achieved in comparison to that of the DSL and conventional SB-MOSFET, respectively. The ac investigation has shown that the cut-off frequency ( $f_T$ ) in the GDE-SBMOSFET ( $\sim 510$  GHz) has increased 51 and 2 times as compared to that of the conventional SB-MOSFET ( $\sim 10$  GHz). Further, the GDE-SBMOSFET has higher scalability and reduced ambipolarity, and doping-related issues caused by doped regions are absent in the proposed device.

Received 8th May 2024  
Accepted 17th October 2024

DOI: 10.1039/d4na00386a

rsc.li/nanoscale-advances

## 1. Introduction

The performance enhancement of MOSFETs depends heavily on their dimensions. Reducing the size of MOSFETs has led to significant advancements in terms of speed, packaging density, power dissipation, and cost. However, scaling these devices below 32 nm becomes challenging due to issues such as gate oxide tunneling, drain tunneling, doping-related problems, parasitic effects, and short channel effects (SCE).<sup>1–3</sup> Various methods have been proposed by researchers to address these challenges,<sup>4–11</sup> with ultra-thin junctions and heavily doped source/drain (S/D) regions being considered effective solutions. SB-MOSFETs (Schottky Barrier MOSFETs) combine these properties,<sup>12</sup> making them an essential approach to tackling SCEs and reducing large the RSD (source drain resistance). Moreover, SB-MOSFET offers additional benefits, including better control over off-state current, lower parasitic capacitance, increased channel mobility due to undoped channel doping, simpler and more cost-effective S/D realization process, scalability, rejection of parasitic bipolar effects, and a comparatively straightforward fabrication procedure.<sup>13,14</sup>

In spite of these advantages, Schottky barriers have drawbacks. In the off-state, leakage current primarily occurs due to thermal emission, which requires a high Schottky barrier to suppress it. Conversely, a zero-height barrier is preferable for achieving significant on-current during the on-state. Therefore, there is always a trade-off between these two requirements. A low Schottky barrier improves the on-state tunneling current but reduces the  $I_{ON}/I_{OFF}$  ratio due to increased off-state leakage current.<sup>15,16</sup> Researchers have proposed different approaches for enhancing the  $I_{ON}/I_{OFF}$  ratio through the reduction of the Schottky barrier height. One successful method discussed in ref. 10, 17 and 18 involves employing a dopant segregation layer to modulate the barrier. However, this technique is laborious and increases the complexity and thermal requirements during device fabrication. Another proposed solution is the utilization of nano-scaled SB-MOSFETs, in which shorter spacer lengths are utilized to amplify the fringing fields on the barrier. This, in turn, improves the device's driving capability, as mentioned in ref. 19. Additionally, the performance of SB-MOSFETs can be improved by implementing an ultrathin silicon-on-insulator (SOI) substrate, which enhances the carrier injection capability of the SB, as demonstrated in ref. 20–22. An alternative approach presented in my previous work<sup>9,10</sup> involves the use of an extended source, but its fabrication becomes considerably challenging at sub-10 nm scales. In addition to this, there is an ambipolarity issue in SB-FETs, which deteriorates the

Department of Computer Engineering, College of Computer Sciences and Information Technology, King Faisal University, Al-ahsa, Saudi Arabia. E-mail: famed@kfu.edu.sa; aalzahrani@kfu.edu.sa



performance of the SB-FETs. Several studies have addressed this issue, and some solutions, such as 2D material-based devices, have been proposed in the literature.<sup>23–26</sup> However, the mass fabrication of 2D materials is still in its infancy.

This paper investigates the performance of a new type of SB-MOSFETs (Schottky Barrier MOSFETs) compared to that of conventional ones through a detailed comparative analysis. The proposed device utilizes a metal silicide ( $\text{ErSi}_{1.4}$ ) in the source/drain region and an undoped silicon material in the channel. The use of silicides is advantageous, as they can be easily realized at low temperatures,<sup>27</sup> and their low resistance makes them appropriate for nodes of less than  $<10$  nm. The proposed device incorporates gate and drain engineering, which enhances its resistance to SCEs (short channel effects). Furthermore, the top gate of the device uses two metal workfunctions and has a low metal workfunction gate near the source channel junction. Various performance parameters, including  $I_{\text{ON}}$ ,  $I_{\text{ON}}/I_{\text{OFF}}$ , transconductance ( $g_m$ ), and other analog/RF application parameters, have been calculated. The results indicate that the proposed device outperforms both conventional devices and the current state-of-the-art devices.

This paper is divided into four sections. Section II discusses device structures and simulation parameters of the conventional and the proposed devices. Section III discusses results. Section IV concludes the paper.

## 2. Structural description and simulation parameters

Atlas Silvaco TCAD<sup>28</sup> was used to carry out simulations of the conventional, DSL-SBMOSFET and proposed GDE-SBMOSFET device structure. For accurate comparative analysis, various models were used in the TCAD simulations, namely, *srh*, *fermi*, *consrh*, *drift diffusion*, *conmob*, *fldmob* and *ust*. The transport of carriers in the channel is mainly governed by the drift diffusion model. The tunneling across the metal semiconductor junction is captured by the Schottky tunneling model (*ust*), whereas *conmob* and *fldmob* mobility models were used to capture the field- and concentration-dependent mobility. In addition to this, the band-to-band tunneling model (BTBT) was used in the proposed device to check the tunneling at the drain channel interface.

The schematics of the conventional, dopant segregation layer (DSL) and proposed Gate and Drain Engineered (GDE) Schottky Barrier MOSFET devices studied in this work are shown in Fig. 1. In the calibration of the model, the device structure, including its dimensions and other parameters, remained consistent with those utilized in ref. 19 for generating simulation data. Fig. 1d demonstrates the remarkable agreement between the simulated results and the experimental data reported in ref. 19. In the proposed GDE-SBMOSFET structure, the drain has been realized electrostatically using the optimized metal workfunction and the gate consists of two types of material, denoted as the Tunnel Gate (TG) and Main Gate (MG). The TG has a low metal workfunction and is responsible for modulating the barrier width at the Source Channel interface in




Fig. 1 Schematics of (a) conventional SBMOSFET, (b) DSL SBMOSFET and (c) proposed GDE-SBMOSFET. (d) Model Calibration using experimental data.<sup>19</sup>

Table 1 Structural parameters of proposed and conventional devices

Parameter	Conv. SBMOSFET	DSL-SBMOSFET	Proposed GDE-SBMOSFET
Gate length	20 nm	20 nm	20 nm
Oxide thickness	1 nm	1 nm	1 nm
Undoped Si thickness	8 nm	8 nm	8 nm
Length of device	100 nm	100 nm	100 nm
Gate work function	4.72 eV	4.72 eV	4.9 eV and 4.65 eV
DSL doping conc	NA	$6 \times 10^{19} \text{ cm}^{-3}$	NA
Drain metal workfunction	4.5 eV	4.5 eV	3.9 eV
Source metal workfunction	4.5 eV	4.5 eV	4.5 eV
Width of tunnel gate	NA	NA	2 nm
Work of tunnel gate	NA	NA	3.7 eV
$L_{\text{Gap, Drain}}$	NA	NA	4 nm

the proposed device. The summarized device design parameters for all the devices can be found in Table 1. Upon conducting a comprehensive analysis of the device, it is evident that the GDE-SB-MOSFET exhibits a noteworthy band bending effect.

## 3. Process flow for the GDE-SBMOSFET

This band bending plays a crucial role in enhancing various parameters such as  $I_{\text{ON}}$ ,  $I_{\text{ON}}/I_{\text{OFF}}$  ratio, SS,  $g_m$ ,  $f_T$  and other related parameters.

The possible steps for the fabrication for the proposed GDESB-FET are shown in Fig. 2. The device would be fabricated on a lightly doped ( $10^{16} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ ) p-type silicon substrate, followed by metal silicidation. In order to achieve precise control over depth and ensure high smoothness with less than 1 nm roughness on the vertical walls and edges, lithography was performed, followed by  $\text{CF}_4$  plasma oxide etching at






Fig. 2 Process flow of the proposed device.

a temperature of  $-20\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ .<sup>29</sup> The deposition of the tunnel gate and main gate electrodes would be carried out using a metalization process. To lower the deposition temperature, a method called plasma-enhanced chemical vapor deposition (PECVD) would be employed. Alternatively, low-pressure chemical vapor deposition (LPCVD) could also be utilized to attain higher purity, uniformity, and reduced chemical contamination.<sup>30,31</sup>

## 4. Results and discussion

### 4.1. DC characteristics

The band diagrams (BD) of DSL-SBMOSFET and GDE-SBMOSFET in the ON- and OFF-states are shown in Fig. 3.

It is clear from the Fig. 2 that barrier width at the source and channel interface has been modulated by using the DSL and tunnel gate (TG) in the DSL-SBMOSFET and GDE-SBMOSFET respectively, and the modulation of the barrier width is more effective in the GDE-SBMOSFET. Additionally, the barrier height in the channel is higher in the case of GDE-SBMOSFET; therefore, the proposed device is less susceptible to short channel effects compared to the DSL and Conventional SBMOSFET. The enhancement in performance characteristics of the GDE-SBMOSFET as compared to the conventional devices can be due to the efficient use of the electrostatically doped drain and gate engineering concepts.

Fig. 4 shows the effect of the drain metal workfunction on the energy bands and electron concentration in the GDE-SBMOSFET. It was observed that lowering the metal workfunction increases the electron concentration and lowers the energy bands. The device profile of the GDE-SBMOSFET for 3.9 eV drain metal workfunction is shown in Fig. 4(b), where the formation of charge plasma is visible.




Fig. 3 Energy band diagram of the conventional and proposed device in the (a) OFF state ( $V_{DS} = 0.5\text{ V}$ ,  $V_{GS} = 0\text{ V}$ ) and (b) ON state ( $V_{DS} = V_{GS} = 0.5\text{ V}$ ).

The device characteristics of all the device structures as calculated at  $V_{DS} = V_{GS} = 0.5\text{ V}$  are shown in Fig. 5. The  $I_{ON}$  of the GDE-SBMOSFET is  $26\text{ }\mu\text{A }\mu\text{m}^{-1}$ , while those of the DSL-SBMOSFET and conventional SBMOSFET are  $20\text{ }\mu\text{A }\mu\text{m}^{-1}$  and  $1\text{ }\mu\text{A }\mu\text{m}^{-1}$ , respectively. The  $I_{ON}/I_{OFF}$  ratio of the GDE-SBMOSFET ( $1 \times 10^4$ ) is enhanced by about  $\sim 100$  and 10 times compared to those of the DSL-SBMOSFET ( $2 \times 10^2$ ) and conventional SBMOSFET ( $1 \times 10^3$ ) counterparts, respectively. This outstanding performance in the GDE-SBMOSFET can be attributed to the band bending in the channel of the GDE-SBMOSFET, as is evident from the EBD in Fig. 3. There is a 28% and 4% improvement in the subthreshold swing in the GDE-SBMOSFET ( $93\text{ mV dec}^{-1}$ ) compared to that of the DSL-SBMOSFET ( $130\text{ mV dec}^{-1}$ ) and conventional SBMOSFET ( $97\text{ mV dec}^{-1}$ ).

Fig. 6 shows the ambipolarity behavior of all three devices under consideration. It was observed that GDE-SBMOSFET exhibits almost no ambipolar current; therefore, circuits designed using GDE-SBMOSFET can have low short-circuit power dissipation. The lower ambipolar conduction in the GDE-SBMOSFET can be attributed to the electrostatically designed drain in the GDE-SBMOSFET. The use of an optimized workfunction at the drain electrode controls the ambipolar current.

Fig. 7 shows the effect of the gate drain gap ( $L_{\text{Gap, Drain}}$ ) and drain metal workfunction on the performance of the proposed device.

It was observed that as the gap and workfunction increase, the leakage decreases. The increase in the gap and workfunction widens the energy band at the drain channel interface and thus improves the leakage.






Fig. 4 Proposed GDE-SBMOSFET: (a) energy bands with different drain metal workfunctions; (b) device profile; (c) electron concentration with and without biasing; (d) electron concentration with different drain metal workfunctions.

Fig. 8 shows the influence of the temperature on the transfer characteristics of the GDE-SBMOSFET and DSL-SBMOSFET. It was observed that the GDE-SBMOSFET shows a weak dependence in the ON state as compared to the conventional device. This weak dependence can be attributed to the tunnel gate and electrostatically doped drain. The charge concentration created beneath the tunnel gate varies with the depth, whereas the DSL shows constant variation with depth, which results in a variable Schottky barrier width in proposed device.

#### 4.2. AC analysis

Fig. 9 shows the plot of the cutoff frequency against the gate voltage at a constant drain voltage. It was found that a higher value of  $f_T$  was observed in GDE-SBMOSFET.




Fig. 5 Transfer characteristics of GDE-SBMOSFET, DSL-SBMOSFET and conventional SBMOSFET.




Fig. 6 Transfer characteristics showing ambipolar behavior in all three devices under study.

The higher value of  $f_T$  may be due to the higher transconductance and lower capacitance in the proposed device in comparison to the conventional devices. Fig. 10 shows the transconductance generation factor ( $g_m/I_D$ ) of all three devices. It was observed that the  $g_m/I_D$  is higher in the GDE-SBMOSFET over the entire range of gate voltages.

It was observed that the  $g_m/I_D$  of the proposed device was much higher for entire  $V_{GS}$  range. The higher value of  $g_m/I_D$  for the proposed device may be due to the higher driving and transconductance in the proposed device.

#### 4.3. Impact of gate length scaling

The influence of different gate length dimensions on the performance-measuring parameters is shown in Fig. 11. The effect of the gate length on threshold voltage ( $V_{th}$ ) and subthreshold swing (SS) of the GDE-SB-MOSFET and DSL-SB-MOSFET is shown in Fig. 10a. It was found that the GDE-SB-MOSFET technology node can be scaled well below 12 nm without any performance loss as compared to DSL-SB-MOSFET.






Fig. 7 Impact of (a) gate drain gap ( $L_{\text{Gap,Drain}}$ ) and (b) drain metal workfunction ( $W.F_{\text{Drain}}$ ) on the performance of the proposed device.




Fig. 8 Effect of temperature on (a) GDE-SBMOSFET (b) DSL-SBMOSFET.




Fig. 9 Cutoff frequency ( $f_T$ ) comparison of all three devices.




Fig. 10 Transconductance generation factors of all three devices under study.

The leakage current is significantly lower in GDE-SB-MOSFET as compared to DSL-SB-MOSFET for all gate lengths, as shown in Fig. 11b. Fig. 11c presents the variation in the cut-off frequency ( $f_T$ ) and ON current with respect to gate length. Both the  $f_T$  and ON current are higher in the GDE-SB-MOSFET as compared to DSL-SB-MOSFET.

It was observed that optimization of the drain metal workfunction and tunnel gate metal workfunction played a major role in obtaining significantly improved performance in the measured parameters of the GDE-SB-MOSFET.

The performance of the proposed device was compared with those reported in the previously published works on SB-MOSFETs and is summarized in Table 2. From the table, it can be seen that the proposed device presents comparatively very good performance considering the employed gate length and supply voltages. Additionally, the proposed device suppresses the ambipolar current, which is an important concern with SB-MOSFETs. The ambipolarity issue was not addressed by most of the works presented in the table.

#### 4.4. Circuit level implementation

The circuit-level analysis of the devices involved designing inverters and examining the transient response of inverters based on the GDE-SBMOSFET and conventional SB-MOSFET, as illustrated in Fig. 12. The findings indicated that the inverter circuit utilizing the GDE-SBMOSFET exhibits shorter OFF and ON delays compared to the one employing the







Fig. 11 Impact of gate length scaling on (a) threshold voltage, sub-threshold swing and (b) leakage current of the GDE-SBMOSFET and DSL-SBMOSFET.


Table 2 Comparison of our work with the previously published works

Ref.	$L_G$ (nm)	$V_{DS}/V_{GS}$ (V/V)	$f_T$ (GHz)	$I_{ON}/I_{OFF}$	SS (mV dec <sup>-1</sup> )
9	50	0.5/0.5	200	$2.6 \times 10^5$	72.53
10	50	0.5/0.5	290	$10^6$	77.76
16	30	-1.1/-2.6	280	1870	117
17	50	0.5/0.5	230	$9.3 \times 10^4$	74.5
20	50	1.0/2.0	—	$3.19 \times 10^6$	125
WSDE <sup>32</sup>	20	0.6/1.4	—	$5.6 \times 10^8$	96
<b>This work</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>0.5/0.5</b>	<b>510</b>	<b><math>1 \times 10^4</math></b>	<b>93</b>

conventional SBMOSFET. The calculated percentage decrease in the ON delay is 98%, indicating that the SB-MOSFET-based inverter has a lower delay. Similarly, the OFF delay of the inverter using GDE-SBMOSFET is reduced, with a percentage decrease of 50%. This reduction in both the ON and OFF delays results in the circuit designed with GDESB-MOSFET being faster, effectively reducing the average delay of the circuit.



(a)



(b)

Fig. 12 (a) SB-MOSFET-based inverter circuit. (b) Transient analysis of the proposed and conventional SB-MOSFET.

## 5. Conclusion

A novel Schottky Barrier MOSFET structure with an engineered drain and gate has been presented in this study. The proposed device outperforms the conventional devices in terms of various performance measurement parameters, such as the ON current and ON/OFF current ratio, which were increased 26- and 10-fold in comparison to the conventional SBMOSFETs. Additionally, considerable improvements in the SS of 28% and 4% have been achieved by the proposed GDE-SBMOSFET in to the comparison to DSL and conventional SB-MOSFET, respectively. The cut-off frequency ( $f_T$ ) in the GDE-SBMOSFET ( $\sim 510$  GHz) has increased 51 and 2 times as compared to that of the conventional SB-MOSFETs. The TG modulates the barrier width of the source and channel interface and controls the ON-state performance measurement parameters, whereas the optimized value of the drain metal workfunction controls the OFF-state performance and SCEs. It has been observed that proposed device is less prone to SCEs and possesses no ambipolar behavior.



## Data availability

Information regarding the data may be available upon request, subject to confidentiality agreements.

## Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

## Acknowledgements

This work was funded and supported by King Faisal University, Eastern Region, Al-Ahsa, Saudi Arabia under grant number KF0242271. We would like to express our sincere gratitude to the funding organization that supported this research project. Their financial support was instrumental in the successful completion of this study.

## References

- 1 R. H. Dennard, F. H. Gaensslen, H.-N. Yu, V. L. Rideout, E. Bassous and A. R. Leblanc, Design of ion-implanted MOSFETs with very small physical dimensions, *IEEE J. Solid-State Circuits*, 1974, **SSC-9**(5), 256–268.
- 2 S. A. Loan, S. Qureshi and S. S. K. Iyer, A novel partial ground plane based MOSFET on selective buried oxide: 2D simulation study, *IEEE Trans. Electron Devices*, 2010, **57**, 671–680.
- 3 M. Ostling, J. Luo, V. Gudmundsson, P. E. Hellström and B. G. Malm, Nanoscaling of MOSFETs and the implementation of Schottky barrier S/D contacts, *Proc 27th Int Conf Microelectron*, 2010, pp. 9–13.
- 4 G. Larrieu, D. A. Yarekha, E. Dubois, N. Breil and O. Faynot, Arsenic-segregated rare-earth silicide junctions: Reduction of Schottky barrier and integration in metallic n-MOSFETs on SOI, *IEEE Electron Device Lett.*, 2009, **30**(12), 1266–1268.
- 5 R. Vega and T. J. K. Liu, Dopant-segregated Schottky junction tuning with fluorine pre-silicidation ion implant, *IEEE Trans. Electron Devices*, 2010, **57**(5), 1084–1092.
- 6 J. P. Snyder, *The Physics and Technology of Platinum Silicide Source and Drain Field Effect Transistors [dissertation]*, Stanford, CA, Stanford Univ., 1996.
- 7 G. Larrieu and E. Dubois, Schottky-barrier source/drain MOSFETs on ultrathin SOI body with a tungsten metallic midgap gate, *IEEE Electron Device Lett.*, 2004, **25**(12), 801–803.
- 8 S. A. Loan, F. Bashir, M. Rafat, A. R. M. Alamoud and S. A. Abbasi, A high performance double gate dopingless metal oxide semiconductor field effect transistor, *Proc IEEE 20th Int Conf Ion Implant Technol (IIT)*, 2014.
- 9 F. Bashir, S. A. Loan, M. Rafat, A. R. M. Alamoud and S. A. Abbasi, A high-performance source engineered charge plasma-based Schottky MOSFET on SOI, *IEEE Trans. Electron Devices*, 2015, **62**(10), 3357–3364.
- 10 F. Bashir, A. G. Alharbi and S. A. Loan, Electrostatically Doped DSL Schottky Barrier MOSFET on SOI for Low Power Applications, *IEEE J. Electron Devices Soc.*, 2017, **6**, 19–25.
- 11 F. Bashir, A. M. Murshid, F. A. Khanday and M. T. Bandy, Impact of Pocket Doping On the Performance of Planar SOI Junctionless Transistor, *Silicon*, 2021, **13**, 1771–1776.
- 12 M. P. Lepselter and S. M. Sze, SB-IGFET: an insulated-gate field effect transistor using Schottky barrier contacts for source and drain, *Proc. IEEE*, 1968, **56**(8), 1400–1402.
- 13 R. Vega and T. J. K. Liu, Dopant-segregated Schottky junction tuning with fluorine pre-silicidation ion implant, *IEEE Trans. Electron Devices*, 2010, **57**(5), 1084–1092.
- 14 J. P. Snyder, *The Physics and Technology of Platinum Silicide Source and Drain Field Effect Transistors [dissertation]*, Stanford, CA, Stanford Univ., 1996.
- 15 G. Larrieu and E. Dubois, Schottky-barrier source/drain MOSFETs on ultrathin SOI body with a tungsten metallic midgap gate, *IEEE Electron Device Lett.*, 2004, **25**(12), 801–803.
- 16 M. Fritze, C. L. Chen, S. Calawa, D. Yost, B. Wheeler, P. Wyatt, *et al.*, High-speed Schottky-barrier pMOSFET with  $f_{sub T} = 280$  GHz, *IEEE Electron Device Lett.*, 2004, **25**, 220–222.
- 17 G. C. Patil and S. Qureshi, A novel  $\delta$ -doped partially insulated dopant segregated Schottky barrier SOI MOSFET for analog/RF applications, *Semicond. Sci. Technol.*, 2011, **26**(8), 085002.
- 18 G. Larrieu and E. Dubois, CMOS inverter based on Schottky source–drain MOS technology with low-temperature dopant segregation, *IEEE Electron Device Lett.*, 2011, **32**(6), 728–730.
- 19 R. Jhaveri, V. Nagavarapu and J. C. S. Woo, Asymmetric Schottky Tunneling Source SOI MOSFET Design for Mixed-Mode Applications, *IEEE Trans. Electron Devices*, 2009, **56**(1).
- 20 Y. K. Chin, K. L. Pey, N. Singh, G. Q. Lo, K. H. Tan, C. Y. Ong, *et al.*, Dopant-segregated Schottky silicon-nanowire MOSFETs with gate-all-around channels, *IEEE Electron Device Lett.*, 2009, **30**, 843–845.
- 21 M. Zhang, J. Knoch, J. Appenzeller and S. Mantl, Improved carrier injection in ultrathin-body SOI Schottky-barrier MOSFETs, *IEEE Electron Device Lett.*, 2007, **28**(3), 223–225.
- 22 S. Zhu, H. Y. Yu, J. D. Chen, S. J. Whang, J. H. Chen, C. Shen, *et al.*, Low temperature MOSFET technology with Schottky barrier source/drain, high-K gate dielectric and metal gate electrode, *Solid-State Electron.*, 2004, **48**(10–11), 1987–1992.
- 23 Z. Q. Fan, Z. H. Zhang and S. Y. Yang, High-performance 5.1 nm in-plane Janus WSeTe Schottky barrier field effect transistors, *Nanoscale*, 2020, **12**, 21750–21756.
- 24 Q. Liu, J. J. Li, D. Wu, X. Q. Deng, Z. H. Zhang, Z. Q. Fan and K. Q. Chen, Gate-controlled reversible rectifying behavior investigated in a two-dimensional MoS<sub>2</sub> diode, *Phys. Rev. B*, 2021, **104**, 045412.
- 25 X. D. Huang, *et al.*, Transport Properties of 5-nm Tunnel Field-Effect Transistor for High-Performance Switches Decorated With Blue Phosphorene and Transition Metals, *IEEE Trans. Electron Devices*, 2023, **70**(10), 5462–5468.
- 26 F. Zahoor, M. Hanif, U. I. Bature, S. Bodapati, A. Chattopadhyay, F. A. Hussin, H. Abbas, F. Merchant and F. Bashir, Carbon nanotube field effect transistors: an



- overview of device structure, modeling, fabrication and applications, *Phys. Scr.*, 2023, **98**, 082003.
- 27 S. Zhu, H. Y. Yu, J. D. Chen, S. J. Whang, J. H. Chen, C. Shen, *et al.*, Low temperature MOSFET technology with Schottky barrier source/drain, high-K gate dielectric and metal gate electrode, *Solid-State Electron.*, 2004, **48**(10–11), 1987–1992.
- 28 *ATLAS Device Simulation Software*, Silvaco Int., Santa Clara, CA, USA, 2018.
- 29 M. Guilmain, A. Jaouad, S. Ecoffey and D. Drouin, SiO<sub>2</sub> shallow nanostructures ICP etching using ZEP electroresist, *Microelectron. Eng.*, 2011, **88**(8), 2505–2508.
- 30 X. Y. Zhang, C. H. Hsu, S. Y. Lien, S. Y. Chen, W. Huang, C. H. Yang, *et al.*, Surface passivation of silicon using HfO<sub>2</sub> thin films deposited by remote plasma atomic layer deposition system, *Nanoscale Res. Lett.*, 2017, **12**(1), 1–7.
- 31 N. Alfaraj and N. A. Rasheedi, *Fabrication Simulation of a Flexible Metal–Oxide–Semiconductor Field-Effect Transistor*, 2018, DOI: [10.13140/RG.2.2.32243.12324/1](https://doi.org/10.13140/RG.2.2.32243.12324/1).
- 32 P. Kumar and B. Bhowmick, Source-Drain Junction Engineering Schottky Barrier MOSFETs and their Mixed Mode Application, *Silicon*, 2020, **12**(4), 821–830.

