


Cite this: *Ind. Chem. Mater.*, 2025, 3, 440

Tuning the band gap energy of $\text{Cu}_x\text{In}_y\text{S}$ for superior photothermocatalytic CO_2 conversion to C_2H_4 †

Longlong Wang,^{ab} Ruirui Wang,^{*b} Shuang Wei,^b Kexin Li,^b Hasnain Nawaz,^b Bin He,^b Mengyue Li^b and Ruixia Liu ^{*abc}

Photothermal catalysis significantly enhances the efficiency of photocatalytic CO_2 reduction, offering a promising strategy for accelerated CO_2 resource utilization. Herein, a series of $\text{Cu}_x\text{In}_y\text{S}$ photocatalysts were synthesized, exhibiting tunable band gap energy by varying the Cu/In/S atomic ratios for photothermocatalytic CO_2 conversion to C_2H_4 . The typical CuInS_2 catalyst demonstrates a more negative conduction band, significantly enhancing the electron reduction ability and facilitating the multi-electron reduction of CO_2 to C_2H_4 . Additionally, the abundant sulfur vacancies in CuInS_2 generate additional active sites, enhance charge separation efficiency, and consequently improve catalytic activity. The generation rate of ethylene reaches $45.7 \mu\text{mol g}^{-1} \text{h}^{-1}$ with a selectivity of 79.7%. This study provides a new avenue for producing ethylene in photothermal catalysis, as well as highlighting the superiorities of the CuInS_2 catalyst.

Keywords: Photothermal catalysis; CO_2 reduction; Ethylene; Metal sulfides; Band gap energy.Received 25th January 2025,
Accepted 9th April 2025

DOI: 10.1039/d5im00015g

rsc.li/icm

1 Introduction

The excessive use of fossil fuels has led to excessive emissions of carbon dioxide (CO_2) into the atmosphere, causing severe climate change.^{1–4} Photocatalytic conversion of CO_2 into hydrocarbons, artificial photosynthesis based on H_2O as the electron transfer medium and proton source, is a technology with environmental sustainability values.⁵ Nevertheless, the efficiency of traditional photocatalytic CO_2 reduction remains hindered by the sluggish multi-electron transfer kinetics and suboptimal photon utilization efficiency.⁶ Recent research reveals that the photothermal synergistic catalysis strategy can accelerate the photocatalytic conversion of CO_2 , significantly enhancing the efficiency of CO_2 conversion and product selectivity.^{7,8}

Photocatalytic efficiency of CO_2 conversion remains unsatisfactory, primarily because of the high thermodynamic stability of CO_2 molecules ($\Delta G_{298 \text{ K}} = -394.36 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1}$)⁹ and

the limited photon utilization.¹⁰ To date, the primary products of CO_2 photothermal conversion have been C_1 compounds such as carbon monoxide, methane and formic acid.^{11–14} C_2H_4 , as an important C_2 chemical, has widespread applications in the synthesis of fibers, rubbers, plastics, and alcohols.¹⁵ C–C coupling to produce C_2H_4 remains a significant challenge.¹⁶ Theoretically, the selective photoreduction of CO_2 to C_2 products is primarily hindered by the difficulties associated with C–C coupling of key intermediates such as $^*\text{CO}$ and $^*\text{COOH}$, which requires a high kinetic barrier.¹⁷ To achieve efficient photothermal catalysis for the reduction of CO_2 to C_2H_4 , the catalyst should possess several critical properties: efficient electron transfer and utilization, favorable adsorption of $^*\text{CO}$ intermediates,¹⁸ and low energy barrier for the formation of $^*\text{OCCO}$.^{19,20} Accordingly, various catalytic strategies to enhance the photothermal catalytic CO_2 reduction capability have been investigated.

The band gap width is a pivotal factor influencing the performance of photocatalysts. Significant photogenerated electron–hole pairs can only be generated when the photon energy matches or exceeds the band gap energy. Concurrently, the conduction band (CB) potential must be more negative than the surface electron acceptor potential to ensure effective photocatalytic CO_2 reduction.²¹ Bai *et al.*²² synthesized a series of $\text{Zn}_m\text{In}_2\text{S}_{3+m}$ catalysts with tailored bandgap energies and constructed $\text{Zn}_2\text{In}_2\text{S}_5/\text{BiVO}_4$ heterojunctions, which improve the separation of charge carriers and the photocatalytic performance. Chai *et al.*²³ synthesized various metal sulfides and demonstrated CuInSnS_4 as having the most negative

^a Henan Institute of Advanced Technology, Zhengzhou University, Zhengzhou, 450001, P. R. China

^b Beijing Key Laboratory of Solid State Battery and Energy Storage Process, CAS Key Laboratory of Green Process and Engineering, State Key Laboratory of Mesoscience and Engineering, Institute of Process Engineering, Innovation Academy for Green Manufacture, CAS, Beijing 100190, P. R. China. E-mail: wangruirui@ipe.ac.cn, rxliu@ipe.ac.cn

^c Longzihu New Energy Laboratory, Zhengzhou Institute of Emerging Industrial Technology, Henan University, Zhengzhou 450000, P. R. China

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1039/d5im00015g>



conduction band potential, achieving exceptional photocatalytic activity and selectivity for multi-electron CO₂ reduction. Therefore, tuning the band gap provides an effective strategy for enhancing the selectivity of photocatalytic CO₂ conversion to C₂H₄.

Metal sulfides have garnered extensive attention due to their structural tunability and broad light absorption capabilities.²⁴ Among these, Cu-based catalysts are highly efficient for CO₂ to C₂H₄ conversion due to their unique electronic structure and surface properties, which stabilize CO intermediates and promote C–C coupling.²⁵ Furthermore, In-based materials, as high-performance semiconductors, offer a favorable band structure for efficient electron–hole separation, enhancing photothermal catalytic performance. Gao *et al.*²⁶ reported CuInP₂S₆ nanosheets achieving 56.4% selectivity for the photocatalytic reduction of CO₂ to C₂H₄. The In sites facilitated the reduction of CO₂ to *CO, and the C–C coupling reaction occurred on the Cu sites. Furthermore, sulfur vacancies are frequently employed as a modulation strategy in metal sulfides. These defects significantly influence catalyst reactivity, modulate the electronic structure, facilitate charge transport, and effectively lower the kinetic barrier.^{27,28} Yan *et al.*²⁹ designed a Bi₂S₃@In₂S₃ catalyst, with the In–S_v–Bi active center composed of adjacent Bi and In sites accompanied by abundant S_v defects, which reduces the energy barrier of CO₂ activation and C–C coupling, achieving a C₂H₄ generation rate of 11.81 μmol g^{−1} h^{−1}, with a selectivity of approximately 90%. Therefore, regulating the band structure and defect sites of the Cu_xIn_yS photocatalyst holds significant prospects for enhancing the reduction of CO₂ to C₂H₄.

Herein, we have successfully synthesized a series of metal sulfides, including CuInS₂, CuIn₂S₄, CuIn₅S₈, CuS and In₂S₃ by a simple hydrothermal method. Among these, the CuInS₂ catalyst demonstrated superior performance in photothermal catalytic CO₂ reduction, utilizing H₂O as a proton donor. The generation rate of ethylene reached 45.7 μmol g^{−1} h^{−1} with a selectivity of 79.7%. The high performance was attributed to the photothermal effect, the high amount of sulfur vacancies and the narrow band gap of the CuInS₂ catalyst, where the

abundant sulfur vacancies create additional active sites, promoting CO₂ activation, and a more negative conduction band significantly enhancing the electron reduction ability and facilitating the selectivity of CO₂ conversion to C₂H₄.

2 Results and discussion

X-ray diffraction (XRD) analysis was conducted to investigate the crystal structures and compositions of the synthesized samples.³⁰ As illustrated in Fig. 1a, the diffraction peaks observed at 27.9°, 46.5°, and 55.1° correspond to the (112), (220), and (312) crystal planes of the CuInS₂ phase, respectively. Additionally, diffraction peaks at 14.3°, 27.7°, 33.5°, 44.0°, and 48.1° are attributable to the (111), (311), (400), (511), and (440) crystal planes of CuIn₂S₄ and CuIn₅S₈ phases, respectively. In Fig. 1b, the XRD patterns further reveal the successful synthesis of monometallic sulfides, specifically CuS (PDF#79-2321) and In₂S₃ (PDF#73-1366). The diffraction peaks at 29.3°, 31.8°, 32.9°, 48.0°, 52.8°, and 59.4° are assigned to the (102), (103), (006), (110), (108), and (116) crystal planes of CuS, respectively. Meanwhile, peaks at 14.2°, 27.4°, 33.2°, 43.6°, and 47.7° correspond to the (103), (109), (00 12), (309), and (22 12) crystal planes of In₂S₃, respectively.

The microstructure and structural characteristics of the samples were carefully studied using scanning electron microscopy (SEM). The SEM comparisons of different samples under identical magnifications are shown in Fig. 2a–e. CuS exhibits a basic nanoparticle morphology, whereas CuIn₂S₄, CuIn₅S₈, In₂S₃ and CuInS₂ display a microsphere morphology self-assembled by nanosheets. The thicknesses of the catalyst flakes for CuS, CuIn₂S₄, CuIn₅S₈, In₂S₃ and CuInS₂ were measured to be 53 nm, 26 nm, 25 nm, 38 nm, and 20 nm, respectively. Notably, CuInS₂ demonstrates an ultrathin nanosheet morphology offering significant advantages for photocatalytic applications. The reduced thickness effectively shortens the charge transport distance, thereby minimizing the probability of charge recombination, ultimately enhancing the quantum efficiency of the photocatalytic process.³¹




Fig. 1 (a) XRD patterns of CuInS₂, CuIn₂S₄ and CuIn₅S₈ samples; (b) XRD patterns of CuS and In₂S₃ samples.






Fig. 2 SEM images of (a) CuS, (b) CuIn_2S_4 , (c) CuIn_5S_8 , (d) In_2S_3 and (e) CuInS_2 ; (f) TEM image, (g) HRTEM image, and (h) EDS mapping of CuInS_2 ; (i) static water contact-angle of $\text{Cu}_x\text{In}_y\text{S}_z$.

The TEM analysis confirms that CuInS_2 consists of nanoflakes (Fig. 2f). Due to the low surface activity of CuInS_2 nanosheets, it is easy to agglomerate together, which forms the microsphere morphology observed in the SEM diagram. The high-resolution TEM image (Fig. 2g) reveals an exposed crystal plane of CuInS_2 with a lattice spacing of 0.167 nm, which corresponds to the (312) crystal face. Additionally, the elemental mapping in Fig. 2h demonstrates a uniform distribution of Cu, In, and S elements across the CuInS_2 nanoparticles. The composition of the CuInS_2 sample was analyzed by energy dispersive spectroscopy (EDS), as presented in Table S1.† The EDS results indicate that the atomic ratio of Cu, In and S is approximately 1:1:2, closely matching the stoichiometric composition of the CuInS_2 compound, indicating the high purity of the CuInS_2 nanocrystal. Furthermore, inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) was employed to determine the metal element content in the CuInS_2 sample, with the results detailed in Table S2.† The Cu and In atomic ratio was found to be approximately 1:1, corroborating the theoretical stoichiometric value of CuInS_2 . Collectively, these results confirm the successful preparation of the CuInS_2 nanocrystal with high-quality exposed (312) crystal faces. The hydrophilicity and hydrophobicity of the catalyst were

examined, as depicted in Fig. 2i, and all the samples demonstrated hydrophilic characteristics, with contact angle measurements following the order: $\text{CuS} > \text{CuInS}_2 > \text{CuIn}_2\text{S}_4 > \text{CuIn}_5\text{S}_8 > \text{In}_2\text{S}_3$. This implies that a certain degree of hydrophilicity is beneficial to the adsorption of water on their surfaces, hence possibly aiding subsequent proton transfer to participate in the CO_2 reduction reactions.³²

X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) was employed to investigate the electronic states of the synthesized samples.³⁰ The high-resolution XPS spectra (Fig. 3a) reveal that the Cu $2p_{1/2}$ and Cu $2p_{3/2}$ binding energies for the CuInS_2 sample are 951.6 eV and 931.8 eV, respectively. Importantly, the Cu 2p binding energy of CuInS_2 is 0.3 eV lower than that observed for CuS. This shift can be attributed to changes in electron distribution and chemical bond strength arising from the interaction between Cu and In, resulting in a reduction in binding energy.³³ Further analysis of the In 3d region (Fig. 3b) shows that the binding energies of In $3d_{3/2}$ and In $3d_{5/2}$ in the CuInS_2 sample are 452.1 eV and 444.6 eV, respectively. Compared with In_2S_3 , the In 3d binding energies of CuInS_2 uniformly shift to lower binding energy. This shift is attributed to the distinct coordination environments of In atoms in CuInS_2 and In_2S_3 because the partial In atom in In_2S_3 exists in the state of $[\text{InS}_4]$ tetrahedron.³⁴ For S 2p, the






Fig. 3 XPS spectra of $\text{Cu}_x\text{In}_y\text{S}$: (a) Cu 2p, (b) In 3d and (c) S 2p; (d) EPR spectra of $\text{Cu}_x\text{In}_y\text{S}$.

binding energies of S 2p_{1/2} and S 2p_{3/2} are measured at 162.9 eV and 161.7 eV, respectively (Fig. 3c). Due to the fact that the average bond length between sulfur and metal atoms in CuInS₂ is slightly longer than that of monometallic sulfides, the S atom in CuInS₂ exhibits the highest binding energy. Notably, an additional peak at 164.5 eV is observed in both CuInS₂ and CuS samples, which has been attributed to sulfur vacancies as reported in the literature.³⁵ The higher binding energy of S atoms in CuInS₂ relative to monometallic sulfides suggests that the S atoms in CuInS₂ exist in an electron-deficient state. To provide further insights into the formation of sulfur vacancies, the $g = 2.003$ signal observed in the room-temperature EPR spectra was attributed to sulfur defects (Fig. 3d).³⁶ The presence of the sulfur vacancy has the potential to act as a reaction site to enhance the ability of CO₂ adsorption and subsequent activation and promote C–C coupling to generate C₂H₄.^{23,29} Subsequent photo-thermal catalytic CO₂ reduction experiments also confirmed that the catalytic activity is positively correlated with the sulfur vacancy content, with CuInS₂, which has the highest sulfur vacancy concentration, exhibiting the best catalytic performance.

The photothermal catalytic performance of the sample for CO₂ reduction was evaluated using a flow fixed-bed reactor

(Fig. S1†). In this gas–solid reaction system, CO₂ and H₂O vapor were continuously introduced. Under illumination from a 300 W xenon lamp with full-spectrum output, the reaction temperature was maintained at 130 °C. After 6 hours of reaction, the only detectable gaseous products were C₂H₄ and CO, while no liquid-phase products were observed. To address the limitation of recombination of electron and hole pairs, triethanolamine (TEOA) was introduced as a sacrificial agent to effectively consume photogenerated holes formed during the photocatalytic reaction. To preliminarily exclude the impact of TEOA on the products of the CO₂ reduction reaction, its decomposition behavior was investigated under the N₂ atmosphere. As shown in Table S3,† at temperature of 130 °C, neither C₂H₄ nor CO was detected. Further, the thermal stability of TEOA was evaluated (Fig. S2†). Results show no mass loss at 130 °C, confirming the thermal stability of TEOA at this temperature. These findings collectively indicate that TEOA does not decompose to produce C₂H₄ or CO at the reaction temperature of 130 °C. Consequently, the influence of TEOA on the photothermal catalytic CO₂ reduction products can be preliminarily excluded.

The photothermal catalytic CO₂ reduction performance of the sample under reaction conditions of 0.5 MPa and 5 mL min⁻¹ is shown in Fig. 4a. The CuInS₂ sample demonstrates






Fig. 4 (a) Photothermal catalytic CO₂ reduction performance of Cu_xIn_yS₂; photothermal catalytic CO₂ reduction performance of CuInS₂ catalysts (b) under different flow rates and (c) reaction pressures; (d) the C₂H₄ production rates and selectivity of different catalysts.

exceptional performance in photothermal catalytic CO₂ reduction, producing C₂H₄ and CO as the primary products. The generation rate of C₂H₄ was 28.6 μmol g⁻¹ h⁻¹, while the CO generation rate was 14.1 μmol g⁻¹ h⁻¹. The selectivity to C₂H₄ was calculated to be 80.2%, based on the carbon-containing product contents. In contrast, monometallic sulfides such as CuS and In₂S₃ predominantly produce CO as the main product. This variation in product selectivity suggests that bimetallic sulfides and monometallic sulfides differ in their CO₂ reduction mechanisms or exhibit distinct active sites.²³ Thermogravimetric analysis (Fig. S3†) was performed to investigate the thermal stability of the sample. The analysis reveals a degree of mass loss at approximately 130 °C, likely attributable to the presence of trace amounts of H₂O in the sample. As the temperature increases, the volatilization of H₂O results in the observed weight loss. The reaction conditions were further explored. The photothermal catalytic CO₂ reduction performance of the CuInS₂ sample under 0.3 MPa pressure and varying flow rates is evaluated in Fig. 4b, with superior photothermal catalytic performance demonstrated at a flow rate of 5 mL min⁻¹. This may be because the moderate flow rate enhances the supply of reactants, thereby increasing reaction rates, whereas excessive flow reduces catalyst interaction time, ultimately lowering efficiency.³⁷ The photothermal catalytic CO₂ reduction performance of the CuInS₂ sample under a flow rate of 5 mL min⁻¹ and varying pressures is evaluated in Fig. 4c. The results demonstrate excellent photothermal catalytic performance at 0.3 MPa. Within a certain range, an increase

in pressure allows the active sites on the catalyst surface to interact more effectively with reactants, thereby improving catalyst activity.³⁷ Comparative analysis reveals that at a pressure of 0.3 MPa and a flow rate of 5 mL min⁻¹, the CuInS₂ sample exhibits optimal activity. Under these conditions, the rate of C₂H₄ generation reaches 45.7 μmol g⁻¹ h⁻¹, with a C₂H₄ selectivity of 79.7%. Notably, compared with previously reported photothermal catalysts, the prepared CuInS₂ catalyst demonstrates superior rate and selectivity for C₂H₄ production (Fig. 4d, Table S4†).

With the increase in reaction time, the rates of C₂H₄ and CO exhibited a noticeable upward trend. Notably, after 6 hours of reaction, the rate of C₂H₄ using the CuInS₂ catalyst was significantly higher compared to the other samples (Fig. S4 and S5†). The durability and stability of the CuInS₂ catalyst were further assessed through cyclic experiments illustrated³⁸ in Fig. 5a, over five consecutive cycles (6 hours per cycle); the C₂H₄ production rate remained above 85% of the baseline activity, with the activity decay mainly attributed to catalyst poisoning by CO.^{39–41} The XRD patterns of the CuInS₂ catalyst before and after the cyclic reaction (Fig. S6†) revealed no discernible changes in the crystal structure, confirming the structural integrity of the material post-reaction. Furthermore, the XPS results of the CuInS₂ catalyst after the reaction, shown in Fig. S7–S9,† indicated that the binding energies of Cu, In, and S in the sample remained relatively unchanged. These findings collectively suggest that the CuInS₂ catalyst maintains robust stability during the photothermal catalytic CO₂ reduction process. To confirm the






Fig. 5 (a) Stability test of CuInS₂; (b) control experiments of the CuInS₂ sample under different reaction conditions; GC-MS spectra of the produced (c) C₂H₄ and (d) CO from the photothermocatalytic reduction of ¹³CO₂ or ¹²CO₂ on CuInS₂.

occurrence of CO₂ reduction on CuInS₂, blank control experiments under various conditions were conducted. As shown in Fig. 5b, no product was detected in the absence of the catalyst, indicating its essential role in the reaction. When the reaction was performed at 130 °C without light, the rate of C₂H₄ generation was only 0.6 μmol g⁻¹ h⁻¹, highlighting the fundamental importance of light as a driving force in the photothermal catalytic system. Similarly, under light irradiation but without external heating, the rate of C₂H₄ generation was merely 0.5 μmol g⁻¹ h⁻¹. In contrast, under normal photothermal conditions (0.3 MPa, 5 mL min⁻¹, 130 °C, 300 W xenon lamp), the rate of C₂H₄ generation reached 45.7 μmol g⁻¹ h⁻¹, demonstrating that thermal energy significantly enhances the production of C₂H₄.⁴² The efficiency of photothermal conversion of CO₂ to C₂H₄ was notably higher than that achieved by photocatalysis or thermal catalysis alone. This underscores the indispensable roles of both light and heat in the photothermal reaction system. Importantly, the rate of C₂H₄ increased nearly tenfold upon the addition of the sacrificial agent TEOA. Control experiments conducted with N₂ instead of CO₂ yielded only trace amounts of C₂H₄ and CO (Table S5†), directly confirming that the source of the C₂H₄ and CO products was CO₂. To further verify the carbon source of C₂H₄ and CO in the products, isotope tracer experiments using ¹³CO₂ were performed.⁴³ The products were analyzed by gas chromatography–mass spectrometry (GC-MS). As

shown in Fig. 5c and d, the mass spectral fragment ion distributions of C₂H₄ and CO were systematically characterized. The comparison experiments show that the fragment peaks of C₂H₄ ($m/z = 28 \rightarrow 30$) and CO ($m/z = 29 \rightarrow 30$) were obviously shifted when ¹³CO₂ is involved in the reaction. This isotopic shift exclusively confirms that the carbon in C₂H₄ and CO originates from CO₂, rather than carbonaceous components of the catalyst or decomposition of organic sacrificial agents.

The light absorption capacity and charge separation efficiency of catalysts are critical factors influencing the experimental results of photothermal synergistic catalysis. The optical absorption abilities of the CuInS₂, CuIn₂S₄, CuIn₅S₈, CuS, and In₂S₃ samples were investigated using UV-vis diffuse reflectance spectroscopy (UV-vis DRS), as shown in Fig. S10.† Notably, the absorption edges of these materials are not distinct, reflecting full-spectrum absorption characteristics. The broad absorption range of these photocatalysts is advantageous for capturing photons, thereby enhancing photocatalytic reactions.⁴⁴ CuInS₂ exhibits strong light absorption across the spectral range, demonstrating promising potential for photocatalytic activity. Photoluminescence spectroscopy (PL) serves as an effective tool to assess the electron–hole recombination capability in photocatalysts. Lower recombination rates enhance charge utilization efficiency, thereby improving reactivity. As shown in Fig. 6a, the CuInS₂ sample exhibits the weakest PL signal,






Fig. 6 (a) Steady-state fluorescence spectra, (b) transient fluorescence spectra, (c) transient photocurrent response curves and (d) electrochemical impedance spectra of $\text{Cu}_x\text{In}_y\text{S}_z$.

indicative of the most effective charge separation. Furthermore, the time-resolved photoluminescence (TRPL) spectrum presented in Fig. 6b reveals that the CuInS_2 sample has an average carrier lifetime of 10.98 ns, which is markedly higher than that of the other samples. This extended carrier lifetime suggests a significant suppression of charge recombination. Additional photoelectrochemical tests corroborated these findings, further elucidating the electron-hole pair separation efficiency of the representative photocatalyst samples. These results underscore the importance of the CuInS_2 sample's superior charge separation characteristics in promoting photothermal synergistic catalysis.

Transient photocurrent measurements (Fig. 6c) were conducted to investigate the photocurrent response of the as-prepared samples under optical switching conditions. Among the tested samples, the CuInS_2 sample exhibited the highest photocurrent response, signifying superior separation efficiency of photogenerated electron-hole pairs under illumination conditions.^{45,46} These results are consistent with previous studies. Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) was employed to gain deeper insights into the electron transfer capabilities of the samples. As illustrated in Fig. 6d, the Nyquist plot for CuInS_2 demonstrates a smaller semicircle radius compared to the other samples, indicating

a lower charge transfer resistance and enhanced interfacial charge transfer efficiency.⁴⁷ Overall, these results suggest that CuInS_2 exhibits outstanding activity in photothermal catalytic CO_2 reduction reactions due to its excellent charge separation and electron transport properties.

To elucidate the factors governing the divergent selectivity patterns in catalytic reactions, we conducted a comprehensive analysis of the band gap properties for each candidate catalyst. The conduction band (CB) positions of the samples were evaluated through Mott-Schottky (M-S) analysis,⁴⁸ with CB potentials measured as -1.19 eV, -1.01 eV, -0.96 eV, -1.07 eV, and -0.74 eV (V vs. NHE) for CuInS_2 , CuIn_2S_4 , CuIn_5S_8 , CuS and In_2S_3 , respectively (Fig. 7a-e). The positive slopes observed in the M-S curves at multiple frequencies (2000, 3000, and 4000 Hz) confirm that CuInS_2 is an n-type semiconductor. As revealed by XPS valence band spectra⁴⁹ (Fig. 7f), the valence band (VB) potentials were calculated to be 0.14 eV, 0.49 eV, 0.45 eV, 0.08 eV, and 1.64 eV (V vs. NHE) for CuInS_2 , CuIn_2S_4 , CuIn_5S_8 , CuS and In_2S_3 , respectively. Based on the formula $E_g = E_{\text{VB}} - E_{\text{CB}}$,⁴⁴ the E_g values for CuInS_2 , CuIn_2S_4 , CuIn_5S_8 , CuS and In_2S_3 were determined to be 1.33 eV, 1.50 eV, 1.41 eV, 1.15 eV, and 2.38 eV, respectively.

Based on the aforementioned results, an electronic band diagram relative to the standard hydrogen electrode was






Fig. 7 Mott-Schottky curves of (a) CuInS_2 , (b) CuIn_2S_4 , (c) CuIn_5S_8 , (d) CuS and (e) In_2S_3 ; (f) XPS valence band spectra of $\text{Cu}_x\text{In}_y\text{S}$; (g) the optical band gap energy of $\text{Cu}_x\text{In}_y\text{S}$.

constructed (Fig. 7g), which critically determine the driving force for redox reactions. All the samples demonstrated the capability to reduce CO_2 to C_2H_4 and CO . CuInS_2 , in particular, has a band gap energy that aligns closely with the energy required for CO_2 reduction to C_2H_4 , and its more negative CB position endows the electrons with stronger reducing power,²³ facilitating multi-electron CO_2 reduction pathways to C_2H_4 . These findings underscore the potential of CuInS_2 as a highly efficient photocatalyst for CO_2 reduction, supported by its advantageous band structure and strong optical absorption properties.

To gain deeper insight into the band gap structure of $\text{Cu}_x\text{In}_y\text{S}$, projected density of states (PDOS) calculations were performed using density functional theory (DFT),⁵⁰ as shown in Fig. 8. The results indicate that the band gap energies of CuS , CuInS_2 and In_2S_3 follow the order: $\text{CuS} < \text{CuInS}_2 < \text{In}_2\text{S}_3$. The band gap of CuInS_2 lies between that of CuS and

In_2S_3 , enabling efficient visible-light absorption while avoiding the rapid carrier recombination caused by the excessively narrow band gap of CuS and the reduced light absorption efficiency resulting from the overly wide band gap of In_2S_3 .⁵¹ The PDOS for CuInS_2 reveals that the Cu 3d orbitals are the most active, contributing significantly to the electronic states near the Fermi level.³⁰ This suggests that during photoexcitation, electrons from the Cu 3p orbitals transition from the valence band maximum (VBM) to the conduction band minimum (CBM), playing a crucial role in the photocatalytic CO_2 reduction reaction.

3 Conclusion

In summary, this study has successfully synthesized a series of $\text{Cu}_x\text{In}_y\text{S}$ photocatalysts modulating the Cu/In/S atomic ratios by a simple hydrothermal method. The CuInS_2 catalyst






Fig. 8 Structural model diagram of (a) CuS, (b) CuInS₂ and (c) In₂S₃; PDOS of (d) CuS, (e) CuInS₂ and (f) In₂S₃.

demonstrated a remarkable rate of 45.7 $\mu\text{mol g}^{-1} \text{h}^{-1}$ for photothermal CO₂ reduction with H₂O to C₂H₄, achieving a selectivity of 79.7% and excellent cycle stability. Control experiments conclusively proved the photothermal synergistic catalytic process, which significantly enhanced the efficiency of the reaction, addressing the limitations of single-mode photocatalysis and thermal catalysis. Electrochemical measurements, along with PL and TRPL analyses, confirmed the superior charge transport and separation efficiency demonstrated by the CuInS₂ catalyst. Furthermore, the abundant sulfur vacancies create additional active sites, promoting CO₂ activation, and the more negative conduction band of the CuInS₂ catalyst facilitates the reduction of CO₂ to C₂H₄. This work offers valuable insights into the design of metal sulfide catalysts for the photocatalytic conversion of CO₂ into C₂₊ products.

4 Experimental section

4.1 Chemicals and materials

All chemicals were used as received without further purification. Cupric chloride dihydrate (CuCl₂·2H₂O), indium chloride tetrahydrate (InCl₃·4H₂O, 99.9%), thioacetamide (TAA, ≥98.0%), deionized water and anhydrous ethanol (C₂H₅OH, 99.5%) were purchased from Aladdin Reagent Company (Shanghai, China).

4.2 Preparation of CuInS₂

1.8 mmol of CuCl₂·2H₂O, 1.8 mmol of InCl₃·4H₂O and 3.6 mmol of TAA were dissolved in 80 mL deionized water and 80 mL ethanol, and reacted under hydrothermal conditions of 160 °C for 12 hours. After the reaction, the product was collected and washed with deionized water and ethanol, and

dried under vacuum at 60 °C for 12 hours; the synthesized sample was denoted as CuInS₂.

The synthesis methods for CuIn₂S₄ and CuIn₅S₈ are consistent with the described procedure, with the only modification being the variation in the molar ratios of CuCl₂·2H₂O, InCl₃·4H₂O, and TAA.

4.3 Preparation of CuS

1.8 mmol of CuCl₂·2H₂O and 3.6 mmol of TAA were dissolved in 80 mL deionized water and 80 mL ethanol, and reacted under hydrothermal conditions of 160 °C for 12 hours. After the reaction, the product was collected and washed with deionized water and ethanol, and dried under vacuum at 60 °C for 12 hours; the synthesized sample was denoted as CuS.

4.4 Preparation of In₂S₃

1.8 mmol of InCl₃·4H₂O and 3.6 mmol of TAA were dissolved in 80 mL deionized water and 80 mL ethanol, and reacted under hydrothermal conditions of 160 °C for 12 hours. After the reaction, the product was collected and washed with deionized water and ethanol, and dried under vacuum at 60 °C for 12 hours; the synthesized sample was denoted as In₂S₃.

4.5 Photothermal catalytic reduction of CO₂

For CO₂ photothermal conversion, 0.2 g catalyst and 0.1 mL TEOA were filled into the reaction tube. Subsequently, the flow fixed-bed reactor was filled with high-purity CO₂ gas to reach the reaction pressure. In the reaction system, deionized water was used as the proton source and a 300 W xenon lamp was used as the light source (Fig. S1†). The reaction products were analyzed in real time online by gas chromatography (GC 9790 II, FuLi, FID and TCD detector). The carbon-based



products of CO and C₂H₄ were quantified with a flame ionization detector (FID).

The photothermocatalytic CO and C₂H₄ production amounts and the rate could be calculated as follows:

$$Y = \frac{V}{22.4 \times 1000} \quad (1)$$

$$v = \frac{Y}{m \times t} \quad (2)$$

where Y represents CO and C₂H₄ production (μmol), V is the volume of CO and C₂H₄ (mL), v represents the CO and C₂H₄ production rate (μmol g⁻¹ h⁻¹), m is the mass of sample (g), and t is the reaction time (h).

The selectivity for the CO₂ reduction products of CO and C₂H₄ was calculated using the following equation:

$$\text{CO selectivity (\%)} = \frac{n(\text{CO})}{n(\text{CO}) + 2n(\text{C}_2\text{H}_4)} \times 100\% \quad (3)$$

$$\text{C}_2\text{H}_4 \text{ selectivity (\%)} = \frac{2n(\text{C}_2\text{H}_4)}{n(\text{CO}) + 2n(\text{C}_2\text{H}_4)} \times 100\% \quad (4)$$

where $n(\text{CO})$ and $n(\text{C}_2\text{H}_4)$ are the molar yields of generated CO and C₂H₄ products, respectively.

4.6 Electrochemical measurements

The preparation of the working electrode was as follows. 5 mg photocatalyst was dispersed in a mixed solution of 350 μL C₃H₈O, 50 μL Nafion and 100 μL H₂O, and sonicated for 1 h. Then the mixed liquid was dispersed on FTO glass as a photoelectrode. The transient photocurrent response and electrochemical impedance spectra (EIS) were determined by using a CHI760E electrochemical workstation. 0.5 M Na₂SO₄ solution was used as the electrolyte and a 300 W xenon lamp was used as the light source. The sample photoelectrode, platinum foil and Ag/AgCl served as the working electrode, counter electrode and reference electrode, respectively.

4.7 Characterization

X-ray diffraction (XRD) was carried out using an X-ray diffractometer (Smartlab (9)) with the 2θ range from 5° to 90°. The morphology and sizes were examined by field-emission transmission electron microscopy (TEM) and field-emission scanning electron microscopy (SEM) using a JEOL F200 and JSM-7800 (Prime), respectively. X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) analyzing the chemical compositions was conducted with an AXIS SUPRA+. The electron paramagnetic resonance (EPR) was measured on a Bruker EMX-Plus ESR spectrometer. The UV-vis diffuse reflectance spectra (DRS) were obtained with a Hitachi UH4150 spectrophotometer using BaSO₄ as the reflectance standard. Steady-state photoluminescence (PL) spectra of the as-prepared samples were detected with a Hitachi F-4700. Time-resolved photoluminescence (TRPL) spectra of the as-prepared samples were detected with an Edinburgh Instruments 980.

Data availability

The data that support the findings of this study are available from the corresponding author upon reasonable request.

Author contributions

Longlong Wang: investigation, confirmation, conceptualization, methodology, data analysis and writing – original draft. Ruirui Wang: supervision, formal analysis, data curation and writing – review & editing. Shuang Wei: investigation, confirmation, conceptualization. Kexin Li: methodology, data analysis. Hasnain Nawaz: conceptualization, methodology. Bin He: writing – review & editing. Mengyue Li: investigation. Ruixia Liu: supervision, resources, project administration, funding acquisition, writing – review & editing.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Note added after first publication

This article replaces the version published on 17th April 2025, which inadvertently omitted the keywords from the Abstract.

Acknowledgements

This work was financially supported by the National Science Fund for Excellent Young Scholars (22222813), the National Key Research and Development Program of China (2023YFA1506803), the National Natural Science Foundation of China (22078338), the Young Scientists Fund of the National Natural Science Foundation of China (No.22408376) and the Postdoctoral Fellowship Program of CPSF (GZC20232700).

References

- 1 X. Li, J. Yu, M. Jaroniec and X. Chen, Cocatalysts for selective photoreduction of CO₂ into solar fuels, *Chem. Rev.*, 2019, **119**, 3962–4179.
- 2 P. Chen, P. Zhang, X. Kang, L. Zheng, G. Mo, R. Wu, J. Tai and B. Han, Efficient electrocatalytic reduction of CO₂ to ethane over nitrogen-doped Fe₂O₃, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2022, **144**, 14769–14777.
- 3 Y. Li, F. Li, A. Laaksonen, C. Wang, P. Cobden, P. Boden, Y. Liu, X. Zhang and X. Ji, Electrochemical CO₂ reduction with ionic liquids: Review and evaluation, *Ind. Chem. Mater.*, 2023, **1**, 410–430.
- 4 X. Jiao, Z. Hu, L. Li, Y. Wu, K. Zheng, Y. Sun and Y. Xie, Progress and perspectives for engineering and recognizing active sites of two-dimensional materials in CO₂ electroreduction, *Sci. China: Chem.*, 2022, **65**, 428–440.
- 5 L. Zhang, G. Kong, Y. Meng, J. Tian, L. Zhang, S. Wan, J. Lin and Y. Wang, Direct coupling of thermo and photocatalysis



- for conversion of CO₂-H₂O into fuels, *ChemSusChem*, 2017, **10**, 4709–4714.
- 6 Y. Zheng, L. L. Zhang, Y. K. Li, Y. Y. Wang, J. L. Chen, B. Z. Lin, Y. Z. Zheng, L. Cheng, S. B. Wang and Y. L. Chen, Triptycene incorporated carbon nitride based donor-acceptor conjugated polymers with superior visible-light photocatalytic activities, *J. Colloid Interface Sci.*, 2022, **622**, 675–689.
 - 7 M. Y. Sun, B. H. Zhao, F. P. Chen, C. B. Liu, S. Y. Lu, Y. F. Yu and B. Zhang, Thermally-assisted photocatalytic CO₂ reduction to fuels, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2021, **408**, 127280.
 - 8 D. Wu, K. Deng, B. Hu, Q. Lu, G. Liu and X. Hong, Plasmon-assisted photothermal catalysis of low-pressure CO₂ hydrogenation to methanol over Pd/ZnO catalyst, *ChemCatChem*, 2019, **11**, 1598–1601.
 - 9 X. Chang, T. Wang and J. Gong, CO₂ photo-reduction: Insights into CO₂ activation and reaction on surfaces of photocatalysts, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2016, **9**, 2177–2196.
 - 10 L. Xue, Q. Y. Fan, Y. Zhao, Y. Liu, H. Zhang, M. Sun, Y. Wang and S. Zeng, Ultralow Ag-assisted carbon-carbon coupling mechanism on Cu-based catalysts for electrocatalytic CO₂ reduction, *J. Energy Chem.*, 2023, **82**, 414–422.
 - 11 S. Akrami, Y. Murakami, M. Watanabe, T. Ishihara, M. Arita, M. Fuji and K. Edalati, Defective high-entropy oxide photocatalyst with high activity for CO₂ conversion, *Appl. Catal., B*, 2022, **303**, 120896.
 - 12 Q. Zhang, M. Mao, Y. Li, Y. Yang, H. Huang, Z. Jiang, Q. Hu, S. Wu and X. Zhao, Novel photoactivation promoted light-driven CO₂ reduction by CH₄ on Ni/CeO₂ nanocomposite with high light-to-fuel efficiency and enhanced stability, *Appl. Catal., B*, 2018, **239**, 555–564.
 - 13 Y. Li, C. Wang, M. Song, D. Li, X. Zhang and Y. Liu, TiO_{2-x}/CoO_x photocatalyst sparkles in photothermocatalytic reduction of CO₂ with H₂O steam, *Appl. Catal., B*, 2019, **243**, 760–770.
 - 14 J. Xiong, P. Song, J. Di and H. Li, Ultrathin structured photocatalysts: A versatile platform for CO₂ reduction, *Appl. Catal., B*, 2019, **256**, 117788.
 - 15 M. H. Zhang and Y. Z. Yu, Dehydration of ethanol to ethylene, *Ind. Eng. Chem. Res.*, 2013, **52**, 9505–9514.
 - 16 Q. Zhang, J. Wang, F. Guo, G. He, X. Yang, W. Li, J. Xu and Z. Yin, Nitrogen cold plasma treatment stabilizes Cu⁰/Cu⁺ electrocatalysts to enhance CO₂ to C₂ conversion, *J. Energy Chem.*, 2023, **84**, 321–328.
 - 17 K. Das, R. Das, M. Riyaz, A. Parui, D. Bagchi, A. K. Singh, A. K. Singh, C. P. Vinod and S. C. Peter, Intrinsic charge polarization in Bi₁₉S₂₇Cl₃ nanorods promotes selective C-C coupling reaction during photoreduction of CO₂ to ethanol, *Adv. Mater.*, 2023, **35**, 2205994.
 - 18 S. Rozas, F. C. Gennari, M. Atilhan, A. Bol and S. Aparicio, Theoretical investigation of carbon dioxide adsorption on MgH₂ with a cobalt catalyst, *Ind. Chem. Mater.*, 2024, **2**, 587–599.
 - 19 H. Shi, H. Wang, Y. Zhou, J. Li, P. Zhai, X. Li, G. G. Gurzadyan, J. Hou, H. Yang and X. Guo, Atomically dispersed Indium-copper dual-metal active sites promoting C-C coupling for CO₂ photoreduction to ethanol, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2022, **134**, e202208904.
 - 20 J. Albero, Y. Peng and H. García, Photocatalytic CO₂ reduction to C₂₊ products, *ACS Catal.*, 2020, **10**, 5734–5749.
 - 21 B. Y. Lan and H. F. Shi, Review of systems for photocatalytic conversion of CO₂ to hydrocarbon fuels, *Acta Phys.-Chim. Sin.*, 2014, **30**, 2177–2196.
 - 22 P. Bai, P. Wang, Y. Wu, X. Pang, M. Song, C. Du and Y. Su, Junction of Zn_mIn₂S_{3+m} and bismuth vanadate as Z-scheme photocatalyst for enhanced hydrogen evolution activity: The role of interfacial interactions, *J. Colloid Interface Sci.*, 2022, **628**, 488–499.
 - 23 Y. Chai, Y. Kong, M. Lin, W. Lin, J. Shen, J. Long, R. Yuan, W. Dai, X. Wang and Z. Zhang, Metal to non-metal sites of metallic sulfides switching products from CO to CH₄ for photocatalytic CO₂ reduction, *Nat. Commun.*, 2023, **14**, 6168.
 - 24 Y. Chen, C. L. Tan, J. Y. Li, M. Y. Qi, Z. R. Tang and Y. J. Xu, Cocatalyst-modified In₂S₃ photocatalysts for C-N coupling of amines integrated with H₂ evolution, *Ind. Chem. Mater.*, 2024, **2**, 289–299.
 - 25 S. You, J. Xiao, S. Liang, W. Xie, T. Zhang, M. Li, Z. Zhong, Q. Wang and H. He, Doping engineering of Cu-based catalysts for electrocatalytic CO₂ reduction to multi-carbon products, *Energy Environ. Sci.*, 2024, **17**, 5795–5818.
 - 26 W. Gao, L. Shi, W. T. Hou, C. Ding, Q. Liu, R. Long, H. Q. Chi, Y. C. Zhang, X. Y. Xu, X. Y. Ma, Z. Tang, Y. Yang, X. Y. Wang, Q. Shen, Y. J. Xiong, J. L. Wang, Z. G. Zou and Y. Zhou, Tandem synergistic effect of Cu-In dual sites confined on the edge of monolayer CuInP₂S₆ toward selective photoreduction of CO₂ into multi-carbon solar fuels, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2024, **63**, e202317852.
 - 27 X. Pan, M. Q. Yang, X. Fu, N. Zhang and Y. J. Xu, Defective TiO₂ with oxygen vacancies: Synthesis, properties and photocatalytic applications, *Nanoscale*, 2013, **5**, 3601–3614.
 - 28 Y. Ji and Y. Luo, New mechanism for photocatalytic reduction of CO₂ on the anatase TiO₂(101) surface: The essential role of oxygen vacancy, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2016, **138**, 15896–15902.
 - 29 K. Yan, D. Wu, T. Wang, C. Chen, S. Liu, Y. Hu, C. Gao, H. Chen and B. Li, Highly selective ethylene production from solar-driven CO₂ reduction on the Bi₂S₃@In₂S₃ catalyst with In-S_v-Bi active sites, *ACS Catal.*, 2023, **13**, 2302–2312.
 - 30 J. Wang, C. Yang, L. Mao, X. Cai, Z. Geng, H. Zhang, J. Zhang, X. Tan, J. Ye and T. Yu, Regulating the metallic Cu-Ga bond by S vacancy for improved photocatalytic CO₂ reduction to C₂H₄, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2023, **33**, 2213901.
 - 31 C. Bie, J. Fu, B. Cheng and L. Zhang, Ultrathin CdS nanosheets with tunable thickness and efficient photocatalytic hydrogen generation, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 2018, **462**, 606–614.
 - 32 J. R. Adleman, D. A. Boyd, D. G. Goodwin and D. Psaltis, Heterogenous catalysis mediated by plasmon heating, *Nano Lett.*, 2009, **9**, 4417–4423.
 - 33 Z. Zhang, X. Ma, Y. Li, N. Ma, M. Wang, W. Liu, J. Peng, Y. Liu and Y. Li, Heterovalent metal pair sites on metal-organic



- framework ordered macropores for multimolecular Co-activation, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2024, **146**, 8425–8434.
- 34 F. Horani and E. Lifshitz, Unraveling the growth mechanism forming stable γ -In₂S₃ and β -In₂S₃ colloidal nanoplatelets, *Chem. Mater.*, 2019, **31**, 1784–1793.
- 35 L. Fan, S. Lei, H. M. K. Sari, L. Zhong, A. Kakimov, J. Wang, J. Chen, D. Liu, L. Huang, J. Hu, L. Lin and X. Li, Controllable S-vacancies of monolayered Mo-S nanocrystals for highly harvesting lithium storage, *Nano Energy*, 2020, **78**, 105235.
- 36 J. Wang, T. Bo, B. Shao, Y. Zhang, L. Jia, X. Tan, W. Zhou and T. Yu, Effect of S vacancy in Cu₃SnS₄ on high selectivity and activity of photocatalytic CO₂ reduction, *Appl. Catal., B*, 2021, **297**, 120498.
- 37 R. R. Ratnakar, S. Shankar, R. Agrawal and B. Dindoruk, Modeling and experimental study on CO₂ adsorption in fixed-bed columns: Applications to carbon capture and utilization, *J. Nat. Gas Sci. Eng.*, 2021, **94**, 104111.
- 38 C. Liao, Z. He, F. Wang, Y. Liu and L. Guo, Anti-site defect-induced cascaded sub-band transition in CuInS₂ enables infrared light-driven CO₂ reduction, *ACS Nano*, 2024, **18**, 35480–35489.
- 39 L. Falbo, C. G. Visconti, L. Lietti and J. Szanyi, The effect of CO on CO₂ methanation over Ru/Al₂O₃ catalysts: A combined steady-state reactivity and transient DRIFT spectroscopy study, *Appl. Catal., B*, 2019, **256**, 117791.
- 40 K. Sun, C. Shen, R. Zou and C. J. Liu, Highly active Pt/In₂O₃-ZrO₂ catalyst for CO₂ hydrogenation to methanol with enhanced CO tolerance: The effects of ZrO₂, *Appl. Catal., B*, 2023, **320**, 122018.
- 41 S. Xu, S. Chansai, S. Xu, C. E. Stere, Y. Jiao, S. Yang, C. Hardacre and X. Fan, CO poisoning of Ru catalysts in CO₂ hydrogenation under thermal and plasma conditions: A combined kinetic and diffuse reflectance infrared fourier transform spectroscopy-mass spectrometry study, *ACS Catal.*, 2020, **10**, 12828–12840.
- 42 X. Zhang, Y. Yang, Y. Hu, L. Xiong, T. Wang, P. Li and J. Shen, Photothermal catalytic C-C coupling to ethylene from CO₂ with high efficiency by synergistic cooperation of oxygen vacancy and half-metallic WTe₂, *J. Energy Chem.*, 2024, **93**, 547–556.
- 43 W. Wang, C. Deng, S. Xie, Y. Li, W. Zhang, H. Sheng, C. Chen and J. Zhao, Photocatalytic C-C coupling from carbon dioxide reduction on copper oxide with mixed-valence Copper(I)/Copper(II), *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2021, **143**, 2984–2993.
- 44 W. Yang, G. Ma, Y. Fu, K. Peng, H. Yang, X. Zhan, W. Yang, L. Wang and H. Hou, Rationally designed Ti₃C₂ MXene@TiO₂/CuInS₂ Schottky/S-scheme integrated heterojunction for enhanced photocatalytic hydrogen evolution, *Chem. Eng. J.*, 2022, **429**, 132381.
- 45 N. Xiao, S. Li, X. Li, L. Ge, Y. Gao and N. Li, The roles and mechanism of cocatalysts in photocatalytic water splitting to produce hydrogen, *Chin. J. Catal.*, 2020, **41**, 642–671.
- 46 Y. Gao, G. Yang, Y. Dai, X. Li, J. Gao, N. Li, P. Qiu and L. Ge, Electrodeposited Co-substituted LaFeO₃ for enhancing the photoelectrochemical activity of BiVO₄, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2020, **12**, 17364–17375.
- 47 Y. X. Pan, Y. You, S. Xin, Y. T. Li, G. T. Fu, Z. M. Cui, Y. L. Men, F. F. Cao, S. H. Yu and J. B. Goodenough, Photocatalytic CO₂ reduction by carbon-coated Indium-oxide nanobelts, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2017, **139**, 4123–4129.
- 48 Y. Sun, K. Lai, N. Li, Y. Gao and L. Ge, Efficient photocatalytic CO₂ reduction to CH₄ via electric field-regulated d-band center on Ga₂S₃/CuS S-type heterojunction interface structures, *Appl. Catal., B*, 2024, **357**, 124302.
- 49 X. Li, Y. Sun, J. Xu, Y. Shao, J. Wu, X. Xu, Y. Pan, H. Ju, J. Zhu and Y. Xie, Selective visible-light-driven photocatalytic CO₂ reduction to CH₄ mediated by atomically thin CuIn₅S₈ layers, *Nat. Energy*, 2019, **4**, 690–699.
- 50 T. Huang, Z. Huang, X. Yang, S. Yang, Q. Gao, X. Cai, Y. Liu, Y. Fang, S. Zhang and S. Zhang, Green and regulable synthesis of CdNCN on CdS semiconductor: Atomic-level heterostructures for enhanced photocatalytic hydrogen evolution, *Adv. Powder Mater.*, 2024, **3**, 100242.
- 51 D. Yang, Y. Li, R. Chen, X. Wang, Z. Li, T. Xing, L. Wei, S. Xu, P. Dai and M. Wu, Flower-like superstructure of boron carbon nitride nanosheets with adjustable band gaps for photocatalytic hydrogen peroxide production, *J. Mater. Sci. Technol.*, 2024, **183**, 23–31.

