



Cite this: *Sustainable Food Technol.*,  
2025, 3, 1275

## The gastronomic art of edible insects: cooking methods and food innovation

Guoqiang Zhang,<sup>a</sup> Shaopu Liu,<sup>b</sup> Feiyu An<sup>c</sup> and Rina Wu<sup>c</sup>

Edible insects are considered a promising and valuable food source with high potential nutritional value and environmental benefits. Better inclusion of edible insects into the daily diet could reduce the environmental burden and address food insecurity. Nevertheless, consumers often lack adequate knowledge and familiarity with insects, leading to low acceptance and negative attitudes towards insects. Therefore, this review focuses on the nutritional value of edible insects, cooking methods, and current applications of edible insect-based foods, aiming to help consumers and the food industry learn and understand the utilisation knowledge of edible insects and better introduce them into dishes, diets, and food products, thereby improving the gastronomic experience and consumer acceptance. Overall, this review could provide useful information to support further innovation in the culinary use and gastronomic development of edible insects, as well as ways to promote the utilisation of edible insects in the daily diet.

Received 26th June 2025  
Accepted 18th July 2025

DOI: 10.1039/d5fb00314h

rsc.li/susfoodtech

### Sustainability spotlight

Edible insects are considered a promising and valuable food source that has high potential to address food insecurity. However, consumers have low acceptance and negative attitudes toward edible insects due to a lack of knowledge of edible insects and their cooking methods, which leads to challenges in introducing them into daily diets. To this end, this review is expected to provide the knowledge and utilisation methods of edible insects and support the development of insect-based food products and promote inclusion of edible insects in daily diets, contributing to SDG 2 (zero hunger), SDG 12 (ensure sustainable consumption and production), and SDG 13 (climate action).

## 1 Introduction

The increasing world population is driving the search for novel food sources to meet the growing food demand.<sup>1</sup> The nutritional properties and environmental benefits of edible insects make them a promising and valuable food source and are seen as part of a global strategy to address food insecurity and reduce the environmental burden, contributing to the achievement of sustainable development goals (SDG), *i.e.* SDG 2 (zero hunger), SDG 12 (ensure sustainable consumption and production), and SDG 13 (climate action).<sup>2,3</sup>

Despite the advantages of edible insects as a promising food source, many consumers have low acceptance and negative attitudes toward insects because they are regarded as dirty, dangerous, and primitive food.<sup>4,5</sup> Besides that, consumers often lack adequate understanding of cooking methods and practical knowledge related to the use of edible insects, leading to challenges in incorporating them into daily dishes.<sup>5,6</sup> Subsequently, edible insects are still regarded as an unfamiliar food source which could cause fear and food neophobia.<sup>7</sup> These factors

make the utilisation of edible insects as a food source in the daily diet still a significant challenge. In this context, providing and promoting the cooking knowledge of edible insects to consumers is a key to improving their acceptability and popularisation, helping to reduce neophobia towards edible insects.<sup>8,9</sup> Furthermore, cooking can contribute to the safety and sensory qualities of the final products, thereby improving the overall gastronomic experience for consumers and increasing the acceptance of insect products.<sup>10–12</sup> On the other hand, several studies have shown that the incorporation of edible insect flours into familiar food products is a useful strategy that could reduce food neophobia and increase consumer acceptance by making insects invisible.<sup>13,14</sup> Considering the nutritional value and environmental benefits of edible insects, the reformulation of food products with edible insects could potentially improve their nutritional quality and sustainability and offer opportunities to introduce edible insects into the daily diet.<sup>15–18</sup>

Therefore, this review focuses on the nutritional value of edible insects, cooking methods, and current applications of edible insect-based foods, aiming to help consumers and the food industry learn and understand the utilisation knowledge of edible insects and better introduce them into dishes, diets, and food products, thereby improving the gastronomic experience and consumer acceptability. Overall, this review could

<sup>a</sup>School of Science, Coventry University, Coventry, UK. E-mail: ae4214@coventry.ac.uk

<sup>b</sup>Department of Food Science and Technology, National University of Singapore, 2 Science Drive 2, Singapore 117542, Singapore

<sup>c</sup>College of Food Science, Shenyang Agricultural University, Shenyang 110866, China



provide useful information to promote the utilisation of edible insects in the diet and food product development, thereby increasing familiarity and acceptance of edible insects.

## 2 Nutritional potential of edible insects

Edible insects contain diverse essential nutrients for human growth and health, such as protein, oil, fibre, minerals, vitamins, and bioactive compounds, and have been considered a sustainable and highly nutritious food source.<sup>19–21</sup> Notably, the nutritional composition of edible insects varies depending on multiple factors, such as species, developmental stages, rearing conditions, gender, and origin.<sup>22–25</sup>

The protein content (based on dry matter) of edible insects has been reported to range from 32.9% to 76.0% w/w.<sup>26–29</sup> In addition, Köhler *et al.*<sup>30</sup> analysed the amino acid profile of four types of edible insects commonly consumed in Thailand, including Bombay locust (*Patanga succincta* L.), scarab beetle (*Holotrichia* sp.), house cricket (*Acheta domesticus*), and mulberry silkworm (*Bombyx mori* L.). The results showed that all reported edible insects contained 9 essential amino acids, with leucine and lysine being the most abundant. In terms of oil content, the oil content of edible insects ranges from 8.0% to 50.9% w/w.<sup>31,32</sup> Additionally, the oil fraction of edible insects contains high levels of unsaturated fatty acids, such as oleic acid (C18:1), linoleic acid (C18:2), and arachidonic acid (C20:4), which are important for human health and growth.<sup>33–35</sup> Perez-Santaescolastica *et al.*<sup>36</sup> analysed the fatty acid profile of 7 edible insects, namely house cricket (*Acheta domesticus*), lesser mealworm (*Alphitobius diaperinus*), orange-spotted roach (*Blaptica dubia*), honeycomb moth (*Galleria mellonella*), migratory locust (*Locusta migratoria*), mealworm (*Tenebrio molitor*), and kingworm (*Zophobas morio*). The results showed that all analysed edible insects contained high levels of oleic acid (C18:1, 23.30–54.26%) and linoleic acid (C18:2, 15.66–36.67%).

In addition to being rich sources of protein and oil, edible insects, such as mealworm and cricket, contain significant amounts of essential minerals, including potassium (287–1540 mg/100 g), calcium (176–265 mg/100 g), iron (4.2–6.4 mg/100 g), and zinc (10.0–26.3 mg/100 g).<sup>37,38</sup> Besides that, edible insects contain abundant bioactive compounds and vitamins. Jiang *et al.*<sup>39</sup> evaluated bioactive compounds in 6 types of edible insect oils, including *Tenebrio molitor*, *Protaetia brevitarsis*, *Teleogryllus mitratus*, *Locusta migratoria manilensis*, *Cryptotympana atrata*, and *Clanis bilineata tingtauca*. The results showed that all analysed edible insect oils contained high levels of squalene (25.10–1344 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> of oil) and tocopherols (2.46–160.24 mg kg<sup>-1</sup> of oil), with *Cryptotympana atrata* having the highest content of squalene and tocopherols. In addition, Gangopadhyay *et al.*<sup>40</sup> evaluated the vitamin content of eri silkworm pupae (*Samia ricini*) and found that they contained vitamin A (0.58–0.67 mg/100 g), vitamin E (36.88–41.35 mg/100 g), vitamin C (4.97–5.24 mg/100 g), vitamin B<sub>1</sub> (1.32–1.47 mg/100 g), vitamin B<sub>2</sub> (3.55–3.84 mg/100 g), vitamin B<sub>3</sub> (13.86–16.73 mg/100 g), vitamin B<sub>6</sub> (0.37–0.53 mg/100 g), and vitamin B<sub>9</sub> (1.95–

2.33 mg/100 g). Schmidt *et al.*<sup>41</sup> quantitatively determined the vitamin B<sub>12</sub> content in mealworm (*Tenebrio molitor*), grasshopper (*Locusta migratoria*), cricket (*Gryllus assimilis*), and cockroach (*Shelfordella lateralis*) using ultra-high performance liquid chromatography (UHPLC), and the contents were 1.08 (µg/100 g), 0.84 (µg/100 g), 2.88 (µg/100 g), and 13.21 (µg/100 g), respectively.

## 3 Environmental benefits

Edible insects are considered a sustainable and eco-friendly food source. Edible insects have many environmental benefits compared to traditional livestock, including less water, land, and feed use, and lower greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions.<sup>19,42,43</sup> It has been reported that the GHG emissions of mealworm with virgin feed (14.94 kg CO<sub>2</sub>eq per kg protein) were significantly lower than those of beef (114.77 kg CO<sub>2</sub>eq per kg protein).<sup>43</sup> Additionally, Oonincx & de Boer<sup>42</sup> stated that the production of mealworm only requires 10% of the land used for the production of beef. On the other hand, it has been reported that various agricultural by-products (*e.g.* carrot pomace and orange peel) can be used as feed for edible insect rearing, thereby contributing to a circular economy and sustainable food system.<sup>44,45</sup> Overall, using insects as food would be a promising strategy to reduce environmental burden and ensure food system sustainability.

## 4 Cooking methods of edible insects

Edible insects can be directly consumed raw and/or after cooking. In some regions with traditionally entomophagous practices, insects such as cicada and larvae and pupae of honeybee, can be consumed raw.<sup>46,47</sup> Notably, although insects are reportedly consumed raw, cooking is generally recommended to enhance safety, nutrient digestibility, and sensory quality of final products.<sup>48,49</sup> After cooking, the cooked insects can be consumed and/or added as part of innovative dishes.<sup>50</sup> Therefore, this section summarises the preparation and cooking methods of edible insects to better understand the utilisation methods of edible insects as food in order to facilitate introduction of insects into dishes and daily diets.

### 4.1 Preparation stage

After collection, insects are typically subjected to preliminary processing (preparation stage) to improve product quality before cooking, which varies depending on the species and their different developmental stages (*e.g.* eggs, larvae, pupae, and adults).<sup>51</sup> In general, larvae and adults of insects are preliminarily processed by removing the gut, wings, legs, and head. Table 1 summarises the preparation methods for some edible insects.

Generally, the first step is fasting for at least 24 h to reduce undigested residual food in the insect gut and the insect microbial loads.<sup>22,52,53</sup> Mancini *et al.*<sup>54</sup> evaluated the effect of fasting on the reduction of *L. monocytogenes* loads in mealworm and found that fasting was effective in reducing *Listeria*



Table 1 Preparation methods of edible insects

Insect	Preparation step	References
Rhinoceros beetle – larvae	Removal of the gut	12
Mopane worm – larvae	Removal of the hair and gut	47
Dragonfly – adult	Removal of the wings	46
Mantis – adult	Removal of the head, legs, and digestive tract	46,87
Cybister – adult	Removal of the wings	46
Grasshopper – adult	Removal of the wings and gut	47
Locust – adult	Removal of the wings, legs, and gut	47,88
Scarlet skimmer – larvae and adult	Removal of the wings	87
Giant water bug – adult	Removal of the wings	89

*monocytogenes* load. In addition, Melgar-Lalanne *et al.*<sup>55</sup> stated that insects are fasted for 1 to 3 days in order to eliminate bitter taste.

Hlongwane *et al.*<sup>47</sup> collected the traditional preparation knowledge of insects in South Africa (Limpopo and KwaZulu-Natal) and stated that larvae of the mopane worm (*Imbrasia belina*) were degutted and washed before cooking. In addition, stink bugs (*Encosternum delegorguei*) are consumed in South Africa following removing the head and scent glands.<sup>56</sup> Additionally, the larvae of the palm weevil (*Rhynchophorus phoenicis*) are prepared by removing the gut during the preparation stage.<sup>57</sup> Furthermore, the adult stages of cricket and grasshopper are reported to remove their wings, spiny legs, gut, and head during the preparation stage.<sup>47,58,59</sup> It is worth noting that the gut of insects contains various microbes and their wings and spines could be sharp, therefore, the use of gloves during the preparation procedure is recommended to protect hands and improve hygiene.<sup>60</sup>

## 4.2 Cooking stage

In the cooking stage, insects can be cooked using various methods, including boiling, roasting, grilling, smoking, oven drying, microwave heating, steaming, stir frying, deep frying, vacuum cooking, and fermentation.<sup>12,49,61,62</sup> Table 2 summarises some examples of domestic cooking methods and conditions for edible insects.

It has been reported that the edible caterpillar (*Imbrasia epimethea*) from northern Angola was consumed after boiling (30 min) and drying.<sup>63</sup> Ants are mainly consumed after roasting or frying, while wasps are consumed after roasting; in addition, palm weevil larvae are often pan-fried without oil, since they release their own oil during the frying process.<sup>64</sup> During stir frying, vegetables, spices, and sauce can be used as ingredients with insects; for example, silkworm pupae are stir fried with hot peppers in China.<sup>65</sup> In addition, *Udonga montana* has been reported to be boiled and further smoked to maintain its crispy texture.<sup>46</sup> Notably, roasting, grilling, and frying are the most

Table 2 Cooking methods and conditions of edible insects

Cooking method	Example of insect	Example of cooking conditions	References
Boiling	House cricket ( <i>Acheta domestica</i> )	The insects are boiled in boiling water (100 °C) for 15 min, with or without salt and or spices	49
Oven drying	House cricket ( <i>Acheta domestica</i> )	The insects are placed in a preheated oven at 110 °C for 30 min	49
Grilling	The African palm weevil ( <i>Rhynchophorus phoenicis</i> )	The insects are pinned onto wooden sticks and grilled on charcoal flames for 10 min	61
Roasting	Long-horned grasshopper ( <i>Ruspolia differens</i> )	The insects are placed on a plate without oil and roasted in an oven at 165 °C for 45 min	90
Smoking	The African palm weevil ( <i>Rhynchophorus phoenicis</i> )	The insects are spread on a rack and smoked for 6 h in a smoking chamber using wood and soot	61
Steaming	House cricket ( <i>Acheta domestica</i> )	The insects are placed in a steamer for 5 min	91
Microwave heating	Mealworm ( <i>Tenebrio molitor</i> )	The insects are placed in a bowl and heated in a microwave at 850 W for 10 min	92
Stir-frying	Mealworm ( <i>Tenebrio molitor</i> )	The insects are stir fried in a preheated pan (175 °C) with vegetable oil for 4 min; 6.25 mL of oil/100 g of insects	93
Deep frying	Rhinoceros beetle ( <i>Oryctes</i> spp)	The insects are fried in vegetable oil at 180 °C for 7 min; 120 g of insects in 200 mL of oil	12
Vacuum cooking	Mealworm ( <i>Tenebrio molitor</i> )	The insects are vacuum-packed in a plastic bag and then immersed them in a thermostatically controlled water bath at 74 °C for 60 min	62
Fermentation	Mealworm ( <i>Tenebrio molitor</i> )	The insects are mixed with steamed millet, salt, and malt power at a ratio of 80 : 20 : 5 : 1 (w/w/w/w) in an autoclaved jar and then fermented for 30 days at 15 °C	72



popular and attractive cooking methods.<sup>64</sup> Bisconsin-Júnior *et al.*<sup>66</sup> surveyed 780 participants in Brazil on their preferred method of eating insects and found that frying and roasting were the most preferred cooking methods. Besides, Caparros Megido *et al.*<sup>67</sup> reported that consumers showed a stronger preference for insects with a crispy texture (*e.g.* roasted and fried) compared to those insects with a juicy texture (*e.g.* boiled). Nevertheless, Klunder *et al.*<sup>68</sup> stated that roasting alone could not fully kill Enterobacteriaceae from the insects' intestines, while boiling was effective in fully killing them. Therefore, boiling can be combined with other cooking methods to improve food safety for insect cooking. For instance, the insects can be boiled and subsequently roasted to ensure safety and improve sensory qualities. It is important to note that the temperature and processing time vary depending on the insect species, developmental stages, and microbial target.<sup>12,69</sup> Besides, heterocyclic amines (HAAs), thermal processing contaminants, are carcinogenic and mutagenic chemicals formed from cooking high protein food products (*e.g.* meat and fish).<sup>70,71</sup> Considering the high protein content in edible insects, the cooking temperature and time should be considered during thermal cooking (*e.g.* roasting and grilling). Therefore, further studies are needed to explore and evaluate optimised cooking conditions for each cooking method to maintain safety, sensory quality, and the nutritional value of edible insects; in addition, the combination of cooking methods can also be investigated in further studies to improve the final product quality.

Besides, Kim *et al.*<sup>72</sup> reported a fermentation method for mealworm. The mealworm is washed using 2% saline solution and mixed with steamed millet, salt, and malt powder for 30 days at 15 °C.

## 5 Insect-based foods

Edible insects have been used as ingredients in food product development to enrich the nutritional profile of the final products, such as protein, fibre, and minerals.<sup>15,16,73</sup> In general, insect flour/powder is the main form used in food product development in order to hide them in the products and make them imperceptible to consumers, since most consumers are averse to products made with insects, and insects are uncommon ingredients in many countries and may cause food neophobia.<sup>9,74</sup> Therefore, hiding the distinctive insect

appearance and including them in familiar food formulations (*e.g.*, bread, pasta, and sausage) are promising approaches to overcome food neophobia. Edible insects have been explored and used in several food products, as shown in Table 3.

In cereal products, bread, pasta, and sweet bakery products are popular and widely consumed staple and snack foods globally.<sup>75,76</sup> The global bakery products' market size was 495.6 billion USD in 2023 and is expected to reach 714.1 billion USD by 2030.<sup>77</sup> The use of insect flour in these products not only can improve the nutritional quality of the final product but also open up new possibilities for inclusion of insects into daily diets.<sup>78</sup> As shown in Table 3, edible insects are commonly used as wheat flour substitution in product development. For example, Gantner *et al.*<sup>15</sup> made bread using mealworm powder (up to 15% replacing level). The results showed that mealworm powder addition increased the bread nutritional value in terms of protein and oil. In addition, pasta is one of the popular staple foods in many countries, and the production is estimated at 14.3 million tons in the world.<sup>79</sup> Biró *et al.*<sup>80</sup> used silkworm powder as buckwheat flour substitution (5% and 10% levels) in pasta development. The results showed that 10% silkworm pasta had the highest overall liking score. It is important to note that the addition of insects may alter colour parameters and flavour of final products, depending on the insects used and addition level. In the study by Çabuk,<sup>81</sup> grasshopper powder addition in muffins generated strong unpleasant smell. In addition, Kowalski *et al.*<sup>82</sup> stated that sponge cakes made with insect flour had higher bitterness compared to the control sponge cakes. In the sensory evaluation, the reformulated sponge cakes had lower acceptability than the control. Taken together, more studies are needed to reduce or eliminate the off flavours to provide an attractive and high quality product for consumers, which can improve consumer acceptability for edible insect products.

In addition to the above products, insect flour has been used as a meat replacer in the development of sustainable meat products, including sausages, meat sauces, patties, meat emulsions, and meat spreads (Table 3). Meat is an excellent source of protein in the human daily diet. However, the production and consumption of animal-based meat products have adverse effects on the environment.<sup>83</sup> Therefore, utilisation and incorporation of edible insects as a meat replacer in meat product development could provide significant potential for

Table 3 Examples of applications of some edible insects in food development

Insect	Product	Function	Usage level	References
Mealworm ( <i>Tenebrio molitor</i> )	Bread	Wheat flour substitution	5%, 10%, and 15%	15
House cricket ( <i>Acheta domesticus</i> )	Pasta	Wheat flour substitution	5%	94
Buffalo worm ( <i>Alphitobius diaperinus</i> )	Pancake	Wheat flour substitution	10%, 20%, and 30%	95
Kingworm ( <i>Zophobas atratus</i> )	Biscuit	Wheat flour substitution	10%, 20%, and 30%	96
Grasshopper ( <i>Locusta migratoria</i> )	Muffin	Wheat flour substitution	15%	81
House cricket ( <i>Acheta domesticus</i> )	Meat patty	Beef substitution	5%, 7.5%, and 10%	85
Mealworm ( <i>Tenebrio molitor</i> )	Sausage	Pork substitution	5%, 10%, and 15%	17
Mealworm ( <i>Tenebrio molitor</i> )	Meat sauce	Meat substitution	50% and 100%	97
House cricket ( <i>Acheta domesticus</i> )	Meat emulsion	Meat substitution	5% and 10%	98
Silkworm ( <i>Bombyx mori</i> )	Meat spread	Chicken substitution	25%, 50%, and 75%	73



environmental sustainability.<sup>84</sup> Pasqualin Cavalheiro *et al.*<sup>85</sup> demonstrated that using house cricket flour as a beef replacer (5%, 7.5%, and 10%) in beef patties increased protein content and decreased cooking loss. In addition, Hospital *et al.*<sup>17</sup> used mealworm as the pork replacer in sausage formulation and found that the protein content of sausages increased with increasing mealworm flour addition. In sensory analysis, the reformulated sausages containing 10% mealworm showed a significant difference in all sensory parameters (*e.g.* colour, texture, odour, and taste) compared to the control sausages. Furthermore, Choi *et al.*<sup>86</sup> used 4 types of edible insect powders in pork patties and found that the pork patties containing edible insects had lower colour, flavour, umami, and juiciness scores than that of the control. Although the incorporation of edible insects into meat products improves the nutritional value, the sensory quality of reformulated products could be adversely affected. Therefore, more studies are needed to improve sensory quality. For example, other ingredients (*i.e.*, spices) could be combined with edible insects in meat product development to reduce and/or eliminate the influence of edible insects in the formulation.

## 6 Conclusions

Edible insects are a promising and valuable source of protein, oil, minerals, and bioactive compounds. The utilisation of edible insects in daily diets and food development could contribute to addressing food insecurity and building a sustainable food system. However, despite the many benefits of insects as food, negative consumer attitudes toward insects make it difficult for them to be introduced into the daily diet. A lack of knowledge and cooking methods have been identified as important factors influencing consumer attitudes towards insects as food. Therefore, providing consumers with the benefits and utilisation knowledge of insects and developing a variety of high quality insect-based food products could contribute to change their attitudes and improve the acceptability of insects as food, thereby promoting the utilisation of insects in daily diets.

## Data availability

No primary research results, software, or code were included, and no new data were generated or analyzed as part of this review.

## Author contributions

Guoqiang Zhang: conceptualization, investigation, visualization, writing – original draft, writing – review & editing. Shaopu Liu: writing – review & editing. Feiyu An: writing – review & editing. Rina Wu: writing – review & editing.

## Conflicts of interest

The authors declare that they have no competing interests.

## Acknowledgements

This research did not receive any specific grants from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors. The graphical abstract image was created using some icons from Biorender.com.

## References

- 1 M. M. Borges, D. V. da Costa, F. M. Trombete and A. K. F. I. Câmara, Edible insects as a sustainable alternative to food products: an insight into quality aspects of reformulated bakery and meat products, *Curr. Opin. Food Sci.*, 2022, **46**, 100864, DOI: [10.1016/j.cofs.2022.100864](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.cofs.2022.100864).
- 2 R. Moruzzo, S. Mancini and A. Guidi, Edible Insects and Sustainable Development Goals, *Insects*, 2021, **12**, 557, DOI: [10.3390/insects12060557](https://doi.org/10.3390/insects12060557).
- 3 M. Skotnicka, K. Karwowska, F. Kłobukowski, A. Borkowska and M. Pieszko, Possibilities of the Development of Edible Insect-Based Foods in Europe, *Foods*, 2021, **10**, 766, DOI: [10.3390/foods10040766](https://doi.org/10.3390/foods10040766).
- 4 M. Mishyna, J. Chen and O. Benjamin, Sensory attributes of edible insects and insect-based foods – Future outlooks for enhancing consumer appeal, *Trends Food Sci. Technol.*, 2020, **95**, 141–148, DOI: [10.1016/j.tifs.2019.11.016](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tifs.2019.11.016).
- 5 A. Dion-Poulin, M. Turcotte, S. Lee-Blouin, V. Perreault, V. Provencher, A. Doyen and S. L. Turgeon, Acceptability of insect ingredients by innovative student chefs: An exploratory study, *Int. J. Gastron. Food Sci.*, 2021, **24**, 100362, DOI: [10.1016/j.ijgfs.2021.100362](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijgfs.2021.100362).
- 6 A. Bisconsin-Júnior, H. Rodrigues, J. H. Behrens, M. A. A. P. da Silva and L. R. B. Mariutti, “Food made with edible insects”: Exploring the social representation of entomophagy where it is unfamiliar, *Appetite*, 2022, **173**, 106001, DOI: [10.1016/j.appet.2022.106001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2022.106001).
- 7 M. F. Ordoñez López, S. Ghnimi and C. Liu, Willingness to consume insect-based food in France: Determinants and consumer perspectives, *LWT–Food Sci. Technol.*, 2023, **185**, 115179, DOI: [10.1016/j.lwt.2023.115179](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2023.115179).
- 8 M. Ros-Baró, V. Sánchez-Socarrás, M. Santos-Pagès, A. Bach-Faig and A. Aguilar-Martínez, Consumers' Acceptability and Perception of Edible Insects as an Emerging Protein Source, *Int. J. Environ. Res. Public Health*, **19**, 15756, DOI: [10.3390/ijerph192315756](https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph192315756).
- 9 C.-Y. Chow, R. R. Riantiningtyas, H. Sørensen and M. Bom Frøst, School children cooking and eating insects as part of a teaching program – Effects of cooking, insect type, tasting order and food neophobia on hedonic response, *Food Qual. Prefer.*, 2021, **87**, 104027, DOI: [10.1016/j.foodqual.2020.104027](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodqual.2020.104027).
- 10 Z. F. Bhat, J. D. Morton, A. E. A. Bekhit, S. Kumar and H. F. Bhat, Thermal processing implications on the digestibility of meat, fish and seafood proteins, *Compr. Rev. Food Sci. Food Saf.*, 2021, **20**, 4511–4548, DOI: [10.1111/1541-4337.12802](https://doi.org/10.1111/1541-4337.12802).
- 11 H. H. S. Abdel-Naeem, K. I. Sallam and H. M. B. A. Zaki, Effect of different cooking methods of rabbit meat on



- topographical changes, physicochemical characteristics, fatty acids profile, microbial quality and sensory attributes, *Meat Sci.*, 2021, **181**, 108612, DOI: [10.1016/j.meatsci.2021.108612](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meatsci.2021.108612).
- 12 M. W. Muthee, F. M. Khamis, X. Cheseto, C. M. Tanga, S. Subramanian and J. P. Egonu, Effect of cooking methods on nutritional value and microbial safety of edible rhinoceros beetle grubs (*Oryctes sp.*), *Heliyon*, 2024, **10**, e25331, DOI: [10.1016/j.heliyon.2024.e25331](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2024.e25331).
- 13 C. Perez-Santaescolastica, A. De Winne, J. Devaere and I. Fraeye, The flavour of edible insects: A comprehensive review on volatile compounds and their analytical assessment, *Trends Food Sci. Technol.*, 2022, **127**, 352–367, DOI: [10.1016/j.tifs.2022.07.011](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tifs.2022.07.011).
- 14 C. Zugravu, M. Tarcea, M. Nedelescu, D. Nuță, R. P. F. Guiné and C. Constantin, Knowledge: A Factor for Acceptance of Insects as Food, *Sustainability*, 2023, **15**, 4820, DOI: [10.3390/su15064820](https://doi.org/10.3390/su15064820).
- 15 M. Gantner, K. Król, A. Piotrowska, B. Sionek, A. Sadowska, K. Kulik and M. Wiącek, Adding Mealworm (*Tenebrio molitor* L.) Powder to Wheat Bread: Effects on Physicochemical, Sensory and Microbiological Qualities of the End-Product, *Molecules*, 2022, **27**, 6155, DOI: [10.3390/molecules27196155](https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules27196155).
- 16 A. Mafu, S. Ketnawa, S. Phongthai, R. Schönlechner and S. Rawdkuen, Whole Wheat Bread Enriched with Cricket Powder as an Alternative Protein, *Foods*, 2022, **11**, 2142, DOI: [10.3390/foods11142142](https://doi.org/10.3390/foods11142142).
- 17 X. F. Hospital, E. Hierro, M. Fernández, D. Martin, R. Escudero and J. Navarro del Hierro, Use of Mealworm (*Tenebrio molitor*) Flour as Meat Replacer in Dry Fermented Sausages, *Foods*, 2025, **14**, 1019, DOI: [10.3390/foods14061019](https://doi.org/10.3390/foods14061019).
- 18 F. Zhang, C. Cao, B. Kong, F. Sun, X. Shen, X. Yao and Q. Liu, Pre-dried mealworm larvae flour could partially replace lean meat in frankfurters: Effect of pre-drying methods and replacement ratios, *Meat Sci.*, 2022, **188**, 108802, DOI: [10.1016/j.meatsci.2022.108802](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.meatsci.2022.108802).
- 19 R. Ordoñez-Araque and E. Egas-Montenegro, Edible insects: A food alternative for the sustainable development of the planet, *Int. J. Gastron. Food Sci.*, 2021, **23**, 100304, DOI: [10.1016/j.ijgfs.2021.100304](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijgfs.2021.100304).
- 20 K. W. Lange and Y. Nakamura, Edible insects as future food: chances and challenges, *J. Future Foods*, 2021, **1**, 38–46, DOI: [10.1016/j.jfutfo.2021.10.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfutfo.2021.10.001).
- 21 J. E. Aguilar-Toalá, R. G. Cruz-Monterrosa and A. M. Liceaga, Beyond Human Nutrition of Edible Insects: Health Benefits and Safety Aspects, *Insects*, 2022, **13**, 1007, DOI: [10.3390/insects13111007](https://doi.org/10.3390/insects13111007).
- 22 V. B. Meyer-Rochow, R. T. Gahukar, S. Ghosh and C. Jung, Chemical Composition, Nutrient Quality and Acceptability of Edible Insects Are Affected by Species, Developmental Stage, Gender, Diet, and Processing Method, *Foods*, 2021, **10**, 1036, DOI: [10.3390/foods10051036](https://doi.org/10.3390/foods10051036).
- 23 K. Langston, L. Selaledi, C. Tanga and A. Yusuf, The nutritional profile of the yellow mealworm larvae (*Tenebrio molitor*) reared on four different substrates, *Future Foods*, 2024, **9**, 100388, DOI: [10.1016/j.fufo.2024.100388](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fufo.2024.100388).
- 24 R. Andrade, L. L. Martins, M. P. Mourato, H. Lourenço, A. C. Ramos, C. Roseiro, N. Pereira, G. J. Costa, R. Lucas, N. Alvarenga, J. Reis, A. Neves, M. Oliveira, I. Dias and M. Abreu, Nutritional and Microbial Quality of Edible Insect Powder from Plant-Based Industrial By-Product and Fish Biowaste Diets, *Foods*, 2025, **14**, 1242, DOI: [10.3390/foods14071242](https://doi.org/10.3390/foods14071242).
- 25 F. Sengendo, J. P. Egonu, A. Valtonen, I. Noyens, H. Angwech, M. F. Alaroker, P. Nyeko, G. M. Malinga and S. Van Miert, Supplementation of maize bran with either sunflower or oil palm seed cakes improves growth and nutritional value of the edible house cricket (*Acheta domestica*), *Entomol. Exp. Appl.*, 2025, **173**, 590–602, DOI: [10.1111/eea.13568](https://doi.org/10.1111/eea.13568).
- 26 E. G. Anaduaka, N. O. Uchendu, D. O. Osuji, L. N. Ene and O. P. Amoke, Nutritional compositions of two edible insects: *Oryctes rhinoceros* larva and *Zonocerus variegatus*, *Heliyon*, 2021, **7**, e06531, DOI: [10.1016/j.heliyon.2021.e06531](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2021.e06531).
- 27 P. Nsevolo Miankeba, A. Taofic, N. Kiatoko, K. Mutiaka, F. Francis and R. Caparros Megido, Protein Content and Amino Acid Profiles of Selected Edible Insect Species from the Democratic Republic of Congo Relevant for Transboundary Trade across Africa, *Insects*, 2022, **13**, 994, DOI: [10.3390/insects13110994](https://doi.org/10.3390/insects13110994).
- 28 E. Zielińska, B. Baraniak, M. Karaś, K. Rybczyńska and A. Jakubczyk, Selected species of edible insects as a source of nutrient composition, *Food Res. Int.*, 2015, **77**, 460–466, DOI: [10.1016/j.foodres.2015.09.008](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2015.09.008).
- 29 D. Baigts-Allende, A. S. Doost, M. Ramírez-Rodriguez, K. Dewettinck, P. Van der Meer, B. de Meulenaer and D. Tzompa-Sosa, Insect protein concentrates from Mexican edible insects: Structural and functional characterization, *LWT-Food Sci. Technol.*, 2021, **152**, 112267, DOI: [10.1016/j.lwt.2021.112267](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2021.112267).
- 30 R. Köhler, L. Kariuki, C. Lambert and H. K. Biesalski, Protein, amino acid and mineral composition of some edible insects from Thailand, *J. Asia-Pac. Entomol.*, 2019, **22**, 372–378, DOI: [10.1016/j.aspen.2019.02.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.aspen.2019.02.002).
- 31 M. H. Lee, T.-K. Kim, J. Y. Cha, H. I. Yong, M.-C. Kang, H. W. Jang and Y.-S. Choi, Physicochemical characteristics and aroma patterns of oils prepared from edible insects, *LWT-Food Sci. Technol.*, 2022, **167**, 113888, DOI: [10.1016/j.lwt.2022.113888](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2022.113888).
- 32 S. D. Kolobe, T. G. Manyelo, E. Malematja, N. A. Sebola and M. Mabelebele, Fats and major fatty acids present in edible insects utilised as food and livestock feed, *Vet. Anim. Sci.*, 2023, **22**, 100312, DOI: [10.1016/j.vas.2023.100312](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.vas.2023.100312).
- 33 Y. Zhang, Y. Liu, J. Sun, W. Zhang, Z. Guo and Q. Ma, Arachidonic acid metabolism in health and disease, *MedComm*, 2023, **4**, DOI: [10.1002/mco2.363](https://doi.org/10.1002/mco2.363).
- 34 Q. Wang, H. Zhang, Q. Jin and X. Wang, Effects of Dietary Linoleic Acid on Blood Lipid Profiles: A Systematic Review and Meta-Analysis of 40 Randomized Controlled Trials, *Foods*, 2023, **12**, 2129, DOI: [10.3390/foods12112129](https://doi.org/10.3390/foods12112129).



- 35 K. Sakurai, C. Shen, I. Shiraishi, N. Inamura and T. Hisatsune, Consumption of Oleic Acid on the Preservation of Cognitive Functions in Japanese Elderly Individuals, *Nutrients*, 2021, **13**, 284, DOI: [10.3390/nu13020284](https://doi.org/10.3390/nu13020284).
- 36 C. Perez-Santaescolastica, I. de Pril, I. van de Voorde and I. Fraeye, Fatty Acid and Amino Acid Profiles of Seven Edible Insects: Focus on Lipid Class Composition and Protein Conversion Factors, *Foods*, 2023, **12**, 4090, DOI: [10.3390/foods12224090](https://doi.org/10.3390/foods12224090).
- 37 J. Kępińska-Pacelik, W. Biel, C. Podsiadło, G. Tokarczyk, P. Biernacka and G. Bienkiewicz, Nutritional Value of Banded Cricket and Mealworm Larvae, *Foods*, 2023, **12**, 4174, DOI: [10.3390/foods12224174](https://doi.org/10.3390/foods12224174).
- 38 P. Kosečková, O. Zvěřina, M. Pěchová, M. Krulíková, E. Duborská and M. Borkovcová, Mineral profile of cricket powders, some edible insect species and their implication for gastronomy, *J. Food Compos. Anal.*, 2022, **107**, 104340, DOI: [10.1016/j.jfca.2021.104340](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfca.2021.104340).
- 39 X. Jiang, X. Xing, X. Chen, M. Li, F. Liu, L. Zhu, L. Chen and Q. Zhou, Six edible insect oils extracted by ultrasound-assisted: Physicochemical characteristics, aroma patterns and antioxidant properties, *Future Foods*, 2025, **11**, 100662, DOI: [10.1016/j.fufo.2025.100662](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fufo.2025.100662).
- 40 D. Gangopadhyay, M. Ray and S. Sinha, Comparison of amino acid profiles and vitamin contents of male and female prepupae and pupae of eri silkworm, *Samia ricini*, *J. Food Compos. Anal.*, 2022, **113**, 104723, DOI: [10.1016/j.jfca.2022.104723](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jfca.2022.104723).
- 41 A. Schmidt, L.-M. Call, L. Macheiner and H. K. Mayer, Determination of vitamin B12 in four edible insect species by immunoaffinity and ultra-high performance liquid chromatography, *Food Chem.*, 2019, **281**, 124–129, DOI: [10.1016/j.foodchem.2018.12.039](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2018.12.039).
- 42 D. G. A. B. Ooninx and I. J. M. de Boer, Environmental Impact of the Production of Mealworms as a Protein Source for Humans – A Life Cycle Assessment, *PLoS One*, 2012, **7**, e51145, DOI: [10.1371/journal.pone.0051145](https://doi.org/10.1371/journal.pone.0051145).
- 43 N. Paris, A. Fortin, N. Hotte, A. Rasooli Zadeh, S. Jain and L. Hénault-Ethier, Developing an environmental assessment framework for an insect farm operating in circular economy: The case study of a Montréal (Canada) mealworm farm, *J. Cleaner Prod.*, 2024, **460**, 142450, DOI: [10.1016/j.jclepro.2024.142450](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2024.142450).
- 44 K. Kotsou, T. Chatzimitakos, V. Athanasiadis, E. Bozinou, C. Adamaki-Sotiraki, C. I. Rumbos, C. G. Athanassiou and S. I. Lalas, Waste Orange Peels as a Feed Additive for the Enhancement of the Nutritional Value of *Tenebrio molitor*, *Foods*, 2023, **12**, 783, DOI: [10.3390/foods12040783](https://doi.org/10.3390/foods12040783).
- 45 A. M. Benítez-González, J. R. Aguilera-Velázquez, J. Bautista Palomas and A. J. Meléndez-Martínez, Evaluation of carrot and agroindustrial residues for obtaining *Tenebrio molitor* (yellow mealworm) powder enriched in bioaccessible provitamin A and colourless carotenoids, *LWT-Food Sci. Technol.*, 2024, **214**, 117011, DOI: [10.1016/j.lwt.2024.117011](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2024.117011).
- 46 L. Mozhui, L. N. Kakati, P. Kiewhuo and S. Changkija, Traditional Knowledge of the Utilization of Edible Insects in Nagaland, North-East India, *Foods*, 2020, **9**, 852, DOI: [10.3390/foods9070852](https://doi.org/10.3390/foods9070852).
- 47 Z. T. Hlongwane, R. Slotow and T. C. Munyai, Indigenous Knowledge about Consumption of Edible Insects in South Africa, *Insects*, 2020, **12**, 22, DOI: [10.3390/insects12010022](https://doi.org/10.3390/insects12010022).
- 48 G. Zhang, Z. Li, A. Chatzifragkou and D. Charalampopoulos, Effect of processing methods on the phytochemical content of melon seeds (*Cucumis melo* L.), *Future Foods*, 2024, **10**, 100399, DOI: [10.1016/j.fufo.2024.100399](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fufo.2024.100399).
- 49 M. Sabolová, M. Kulma, D. Petříčková, K. Kletečková and L. Kouřimská, Changes in purine and uric acid content in edible insects during culinary processing, *Food Chem.*, 2023, **403**, 134349, DOI: [10.1016/j.foodchem.2022.134349](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2022.134349).
- 50 V. A. Cruz, C. M. Vicentini-Polette, D. R. Magalhaes and A. L. de Oliveira, Extraction, characterization, and use of edible insect oil – A review, *Food Chem.*, 2025, **463**, 141199, DOI: [10.1016/j.foodchem.2024.141199](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodchem.2024.141199).
- 51 C. Mutungi, F. G. Irungu, J. Nduko, F. Mutua, H. Affognon, D. Nakimbugwe, S. Ekesi and K. K. M. Fiaboe, Postharvest processes of edible insects in Africa: A review of processing methods, and the implications for nutrition, safety and new products development, *Crit. Rev. Food Sci. Nutr.*, 2019, **59**, 276–298, DOI: [10.1080/10408398.2017.1365330](https://doi.org/10.1080/10408398.2017.1365330).
- 52 R. Caparros Megido, S. Desmedt, C. Blecker, F. Béra, É. Haubruge, T. Alabi and F. Francis, Microbiological Load of Edible Insects Found in Belgium, *Insects*, 2017, **8**, 12, DOI: [10.3390/insects8010012](https://doi.org/10.3390/insects8010012).
- 53 A. Fuso, G. Leni, B. Prandi, V. Lolli and A. Caligiani, Novel foods/feeds and novel frauds: The case of edible insects, *Trends Food Sci. Technol.*, 2024, **147**, 104457, DOI: [10.1016/j.tifs.2024.104457](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tifs.2024.104457).
- 54 S. Mancini, G. Paci, V. Ciardelli, B. Turchi, F. Pedonese and F. Fratini, *Listeria monocytogenes* contamination of *Tenebrio molitor* larvae rearing substrate: Preliminary evaluations, *Food Microbiol.*, 2019, **83**, 104–108, DOI: [10.1016/j.fm.2019.05.006](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fm.2019.05.006).
- 55 G. Melgar-Lalanne, A. Hernández-Álvarez and A. Salinas-Castro, Edible Insects Processing: Traditional and Innovative Technologies, *Compr. Rev. Food Sci. Food Saf.*, 2019, **18**, 1166–1191, DOI: [10.1111/1541-4337.12463](https://doi.org/10.1111/1541-4337.12463).
- 56 C. M. Dzerefos, E. T. F. Witkowski and R. Toms, Comparative ethnoentomology of edible stinkbugs in southern Africa and sustainable management considerations, *J. Ethnobiol. Ethnomed.*, 2013, **9**, 20, DOI: [10.1186/1746-4269-9-20](https://doi.org/10.1186/1746-4269-9-20).
- 57 O. T. Adepoju and A. O. Ayenitaju, Assessment of acceptability and nutrient content of palm weevil (*Rhyncophorus phoenicis*) larvae enriched complementary foods, *Int. J. Trop. Insect Sci.*, 2021, **41**, 2263–2276, DOI: [10.1007/s42690-021-00487-7](https://doi.org/10.1007/s42690-021-00487-7).
- 58 M. Mishyna, J. Chen and O. Benjamin, Sensory attributes of edible insects and insect-based foods – Future outlooks for enhancing consumer appeal, *Trends Food Sci. Technol.*, 2020, **95**, 141–148, DOI: [10.1016/j.tifs.2019.11.016](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tifs.2019.11.016).
- 59 A. van Huis, Insects as Food in Sub-Saharan Africa, *Int. J. Trop. Insect Sci.*, 2003, **23**, 163–185, DOI: [10.1017/S1742758400023572](https://doi.org/10.1017/S1742758400023572).



- 60 J. E. Aguilar-Toalá, R. G. Cruz-Monterrosa and A. M. Liceaga, Beyond Human Nutrition of Edible Insects: Health Benefits and Safety Aspects, *Insects*, 2022, **13**, 1007, DOI: [10.3390/insects13111007](https://doi.org/10.3390/insects13111007).
- 61 B. Tiencheu, H. M. Womeni, M. Linder, F. T. Mbiapo, P. Villeneuve, J. Fanni and M. Parmentier, Changes of lipids in insect (*Rhynchophorus phoenicis*) during cooking and storage, *Eur. J. Lipid Sci. Technol.*, 2013, **115**, 186–195, DOI: [10.1002/ejlt.201200284](https://doi.org/10.1002/ejlt.201200284).
- 62 R. Caparros Megido, C. Poelaert, M. Ernens, M. Liotta, C. Blecker, S. Danthine, E. Tyteca, É. Haubruge, T. Alabi, J. Bindelle and F. Francis, Effect of household cooking techniques on the microbiological load and the nutritional quality of mealworms (*Tenebrio molitor* L. 1758), *Food Res. Int.*, 2018, **106**, 503–508, DOI: [10.1016/j.foodres.2018.01.002](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2018.01.002).
- 63 T. Lautenschläger, C. Neinhuis, E. Kikongo, T. Henle and A. Förster, Impact of different preparations on the nutritional value of the edible caterpillar *Imbrasia epimethea* from northern Angola, *Eur. Food Res. Technol.*, 2017, **243**, 769–778, DOI: [10.1007/s00217-016-2791-0](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00217-016-2791-0).
- 64 R. Casas Reátegui, L. Pawera, P. P. Villegas Panduro and Z. Polesny, Beetles, ants, wasps, or flies? An ethnobiological study of edible insects among the Awajún Amerindians in Amazonas, Peru, *J. Ethnobiol. Ethnomed.*, 2018, **14**, 53, DOI: [10.1186/s13002-018-0252-5](https://doi.org/10.1186/s13002-018-0252-5).
- 65 B. Xie, Y. Zhu, X. Chu, S. S. Pokharel, L. Qian and F. Chen, Research Progress and Production Status of Edible Insects as Food in China, *Foods*, 2024, **13**, 1986, DOI: [10.3390/foods13131986](https://doi.org/10.3390/foods13131986).
- 66 A. Bisconsin-Júnior, H. Rodrigues, J. H. Behrens, M. A. A. P. da Silva and L. R. B. Mariutti, “Food made with edible insects”: Exploring the social representation of entomophagy where it is unfamiliar, *Appetite*, 2022, **173**, 106001, DOI: [10.1016/j.appet.2022.106001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.appet.2022.106001).
- 67 R. Caparros Megido, L. Sablon, M. Geuens, Y. Brostaux, T. Alabi, C. Blecker, D. Drugmand, É. Haubruge and F. Francis, Edible Insects Acceptance by Belgian Consumers: Promising Attitude for Entomophagy Development, *J. Sens. Stud.*, 2014, **29**, 14–20, DOI: [10.1111/joss.12077](https://doi.org/10.1111/joss.12077).
- 68 H. C. Klunder, J. Wolkers-Rooijackers, J. M. Korpela and M. J. R. Nout, Microbiological aspects of processing and storage of edible insects, *Food Control*, 2012, **26**, 628–631, DOI: [10.1016/j.foodcont.2012.02.013](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodcont.2012.02.013).
- 69 M. Dandadzi, R. Musundire, A. Muriithi and R. T. Ngadze, Effects of drying on the nutritional, sensory and microbiological quality of edible stinkbug (*Encosternum delgorguei*), *Heliyon*, 2023, **9**, e18642, DOI: [10.1016/j.heliyon.2023.e18642](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.heliyon.2023.e18642).
- 70 Y. Geng, Y. Xie, W. Li, J. Ji, F. Chen, X. Liao, X. Hu and L. Ma, Heterocyclic Amines in Meat and Meat Products: Occurrence, Formation, Mitigation, Health Risks and Intervention, *Food Rev. Int.*, 2024, **40**, 1503–1519, DOI: [10.1080/87559129.2023.2221346](https://doi.org/10.1080/87559129.2023.2221346).
- 71 G. Zhang, J. Guo and J. Guo, A sustainable approach in pumpkin seed oil processing line: Recent advances in pumpkin seed oil and oil processing by-products, *Food Chem.:X*, 2025, **26**, 102259, DOI: [10.1016/j.fochx.2025.102259](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.fochx.2025.102259).
- 72 Y. Kim, S. Cho and Y. Kim, Quality properties of salt-fermented mealworms (*Tenebrio molitor* larvae) with added millet, *Int. J. Gastron. Food Sci.*, 2024, **37**, 101007, DOI: [10.1016/j.ijgfs.2024.101007](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijgfs.2024.101007).
- 73 S. Karnjanapratum, P. Kaewthong, S. Indriani, K. Petsong and S. Takeungwongtrakul, Characteristics and nutritional value of silkworm (*Bombyx mori*) pupae-fortified chicken bread spread, *Sci. Rep.*, 2022, **12**, 1492, DOI: [10.1038/s41598-022-05462-x](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41598-022-05462-x).
- 74 A. L. Erhard, M. Águas Silva, M. Damsbo-Svendsen, B.-E. Menadeva Karpantschov, H. Sørensen and M. Bom Frøst, Acceptance of insect foods among Danish children: Effects of information provision, food neophobia, disgust sensitivity, and species on willingness to try, *Food Qual. Prefer.*, 2023, **104**, 104713, DOI: [10.1016/j.foodqual.2022.104713](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodqual.2022.104713).
- 75 A. Cappelli and E. Cini, Challenges and Opportunities in Wheat Flour, Pasta, Bread, and Bakery Product Production Chains: A Systematic Review of Innovations and Improvement Strategies to Increase Sustainability, Productivity, and Product Quality, *Sustainability*, 2021, **13**, 2608, DOI: [10.3390/su13052608](https://doi.org/10.3390/su13052608).
- 76 G. Zhang, A. Chatzifragkou, D. Charalampopoulos and J. Rodriguez-Garcia, Effect of defatted melon seed residue on dough development and bread quality, *LWT-Food Sci. Technol.*, 2023, **183**, 114892, DOI: [10.1016/j.lwt.2023.114892](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2023.114892).
- 77 Grand View Research, Bakery Products Market Size, Share & Trends Analysis Report By Product (Breads & Rolls, Cakes & Pastries, Cookies, Tortillas, Pretzels,), By Distribution Channel, By Region, And Segment Forecasts, 2024–2030, (2024). <https://www.grandviewresearch.com/industry-analysis/bakery-products-market> accessed June 10, 2025.
- 78 A. Zafar, M. Shaheen, A. Bin Tahir, A. P. Gomes da Silva, H. Y. Manzoor and S. Zia, Unraveling the nutritional, biofunctional, and sustainable food application of edible crickets: A comprehensive review, *Trends Food Sci. Technol.*, 2024, **143**, 104254, DOI: [10.1016/j.tifs.2023.104254](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tifs.2023.104254).
- 79 A. Bresciani, M. A. Pagani and A. Marti, Pasta-Making Process: A Narrative Review on the Relation between Process Variables and Pasta Quality, *Foods*, 2022, **11**, 256, DOI: [10.3390/foods11030256](https://doi.org/10.3390/foods11030256).
- 80 B. Biró, R. Fodor, I. Szedljk, K. Pásztor-Huszár and A. Gere, Buckwheat-pasta enriched with silkworm powder: Technological analysis and sensory evaluation, *LWT-Food Sci. Technol.*, 2019, **116**, 108542, DOI: [10.1016/j.lwt.2019.108542](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.lwt.2019.108542).
- 81 B. Çabuk, Influence of grasshopper (*Locusta Migratoria*) and mealworm (*Tenebrio Molitor*) powders on the quality characteristics of protein rich muffins: nutritional, physicochemical, textural and sensory aspects, *J. Food Meas. Charact.*, 2021, **15**, 3862–3872, DOI: [10.1007/s11694-021-00967-x](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11694-021-00967-x).
- 82 S. Kowalski, D. Gumul, J. Oracz, J. Rosicka-Kaczmarek, A. Mikulec, B. Mickowska, M. Skotnicka and M. Zborowski, Chemical Composition, Antioxidant Properties and



- Sensory Aspects of Sponge Cakes Supplemented with Edible Insect Flours, *Antioxidants*, 2023, **12**, 1912, DOI: [10.3390/antiox12111912](https://doi.org/10.3390/antiox12111912).
- 83 A. Vauterin, B. Steiner, J. Sillman and H. Kahiluoto, The potential of insect protein to reduce food-based carbon footprints in Europe: The case of broiler meat production, *J. Cleaner Prod.*, 2021, **320**, 128799, DOI: [10.1016/j.jclepro.2021.128799](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2021.128799).
- 84 S. Shaghaghian, D. J. McClements, M. Khalesi, M. Garcia-Vaquero and A. Mirzapour-Kouhdasht, Digestibility and bioavailability of plant-based proteins intended for use in meat analogues: A review, *Trends Food Sci. Technol.*, 2022, **129**, 646–656, DOI: [10.1016/j.tifs.2022.11.016](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tifs.2022.11.016).
- 85 C. Pasqualin Cavalheiro, C. Ruiz-Capillas, A. M. Herrero, T. Pintado, C. C. Avelar de Sousa, J. Sant'Ana Falcão Leite and M. Costa Alves da Silva, Potential of Cricket (*Acheta domestica*) Flour as a Lean Meat Replacer in the Development of Beef Patties, *Foods*, 2024, **13**, 286, DOI: [10.3390/foods13020286](https://doi.org/10.3390/foods13020286).
- 86 N. Choi, S. Park, Y. Park, G. Park, S. Oh, Y. Kim, Y. Lim, S. Jang, Y. Kim, K.-S. Ahn, X. Feng and J. Choi, Effects of Edible Insect Powders as Meat Partial Substitute on Physicochemical Properties and Storage Stability of Pork Patties, *Food Sci. Anim. Resour*, 2024, **44**, 817–831, DOI: [10.5851/kosfa.2024.e17](https://doi.org/10.5851/kosfa.2024.e17).
- 87 D. Talom, I. Rochill, I. Jamir, K. Megu, A. Bawri and R. Teron, New Records of Edible Insects Used as Traditional Food Among the Adi Tribe in Arunachal Pradesh, India, *Proc. Zool. Soc.*, 2024, **77**, 286–291, DOI: [10.1007/s12595-024-00529-1](https://doi.org/10.1007/s12595-024-00529-1).
- 88 M. Mishyna, V. Ciaravolo, M. Litsa, C. Lakemond, A. Scaloni and V. Fogliano, Leg muscles of migratory locust (*Locusta migratoria*) as a protein source: Extraction, protein composition and foaming properties, *Food Res. Int.*, 2024, **197**, 115228, DOI: [10.1016/j.foodres.2024.115228](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2024.115228).
- 89 J. Yhoun-Aree, P. Puwastien and G. A. Attig, Edible insects in Thailand: An unconventional protein source?, *Ecol. Food Nutr.*, 1997, **36**, 133–149, DOI: [10.1080/03670244.1997.9991511](https://doi.org/10.1080/03670244.1997.9991511).
- 90 G. Ssepuuya, D. Nakimbugwe, A. De Winne, R. Smets, J. Claes and M. Van Der Borght, Effect of heat processing on the nutrient composition, colour, and volatile odour compounds of the long-horned grasshopper *Ruspolia differens serville*, *Food Res. Int.*, 2020, **129**, 108831, DOI: [10.1016/j.foodres.2019.108831](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2019.108831).
- 91 M. Bawa, S. Songsermpong, C. Kaewtapee and W. Chanput, Effects of microwave and hot air oven drying on the nutritional, microbiological load, and color parameters of the house crickets (*Acheta domestica*), *J. Food Process. Preserv.*, 2020, **44**, DOI: [10.1111/jfpp.14407](https://doi.org/10.1111/jfpp.14407).
- 92 N. Kröncke, V. Böschen, J. Woyzichowski, S. Demtröder and R. Benning, Comparison of suitable drying processes for mealworms (*Tenebrio molitor*), *Innovative Food Sci. Emerging Technol.*, 2018, **50**, 20–25, DOI: [10.1016/j.ifset.2018.10.009](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ifset.2018.10.009).
- 93 I. Bless, S. E. P. Bastian, J. Gould, Q. Yang and K. L. Wilkinson, Development of a lexicon for the sensory description of edible insects commercially available in Australia, *Food Res. Int.*, 2024, **190**, 114574, DOI: [10.1016/j.foodres.2024.114574](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.foodres.2024.114574).
- 94 I. Ho, A. Peterson, J. Madden, E. Huang, S. Amin and A. Lammert, Will It Cricket? Product Development and Evaluation of Cricket (*Acheta domestica*) Powder Replacement in Sausage, Pasta, and Brownies, *Foods*, 2022, **11**, 3128, DOI: [10.3390/foods11193128](https://doi.org/10.3390/foods11193128).
- 95 A. Mazurek, A. Palka, M. Skotnicka and S. Kowalski, Consumer Attitudes and Acceptability of Wheat Pancakes with the Addition of Edible Insects: Mealworm (*Tenebrio molitor*), Buffalo Worm (*Alphitobius diaperinus*), and Cricket (*Acheta domestica*), *Foods*, 2022, **12**, 1, DOI: [10.3390/foods12010001](https://doi.org/10.3390/foods12010001).
- 96 J. Sriprabhom, S. Kitthawee and M. Suphantharika, Functional and physicochemical properties of cookies enriched with edible insect (*Tenebrio molitor* and *Zophobas atratus*) powders, *J. Food Meas. Charact.*, 2022, **16**, 2181–2190, DOI: [10.1007/s11694-022-01324-2](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11694-022-01324-2).
- 97 M. Wallner, N. Julius, R. Pelayo, C. Höfler, S. Berner, R. Rehorska, L. Fahrner and S. Maunz, Liking and Description of Pasta Sauces with Varying Mealworm Content, *Foods*, 2023, **12**, 3202, DOI: [10.3390/foods12173202](https://doi.org/10.3390/foods12173202).
- 98 H. Kim, D. Setyabrata, Y. Lee, O. G. Jones and Y. H. B. Kim, Effect of House Cricket (*Acheta domestica*) Flour Addition on Physicochemical and Textural Properties of Meat Emulsion Under Various Formulations, *J. Food Sci.*, 2017, **82**, 2787–2793, DOI: [10.1111/1750-3841.13960](https://doi.org/10.1111/1750-3841.13960).

