

# Dalton Transactions

An international journal of inorganic chemistry

rsc.li/dalton



ISSN 1477-9226

## PAPER

Valentin Bockmair *et al.*

Shrinking of C–S bonds of organosulfonic acids in superacidic media—investigation of the protonation of methanesulfonic acid and benzenesulfonic acid and their limits

## PAPER

View Article Online  
View Journal | View Issue



Cite this: *Dalton Trans.*, 2025, **54**, 12169

Received 11th April 2025,  
Accepted 21st July 2025

DOI: 10.1039/d5dt00864f

rsc.li/dalton

# Shrinking of C–S bonds of organosulfonic acids in superacidic media—investigation of the protonation of methanesulfonic acid and benzenesulfonic acid and their limits†

Valentin Bockmair,  \* Tong Xu, Dirk Hollenwäger  and Andreas J. Kornath‡

The reactions of methanesulfonic acid and benzenesulfonic acid in the binary superacidic systems HF/MF<sub>5</sub> (M = As and Sb) were investigated to explore the strengthening effects of protonation on the C–S bond and the limits of the protonability of sulfonic acids. Herein, we report the monoprotonated species of methanesulfonic acid and benzenesulfonic acid, respectively. The salts were characterized by low-temperature vibrational spectroscopy, single-crystal X-ray diffraction, and NMR spectroscopic measurements. The experimental data are discussed together with quantum chemical calculations at the B3LYP/aug-cc-pVTZ-level of theory.

## Introduction

The organosulfonic acids methanesulfonic acid (MSA) and benzenesulfonic acid (BSA) represent the smallest alkyl- and the smallest benzylic sulfonic acids. Methanesulfonic acid and benzenesulfonic acid have various pharmaceutical and catalytic applications. The salts of methanesulfonic acid are used for the treatment of Parkinson's disease.<sup>1</sup> Besides the use as detergents, salts of benzenesulfonic acid known as besilates (INN) or besylates (USAN) are widespread in many pharmaceuticals, *e.g.*, amlodipine besylate for the treatment of high blood pressure and chronic stable angina pectoris. Besylates can stabilize the active ingredient amlodipine and improve its solubility so that the drug can be more easily resorbed in the body.<sup>2</sup>

Benzenesulfonic acid serves as a catalyst in chemical reactions (*e.g.* in resin synthesis)<sup>3</sup> and as an intermediate in the production of resorcinol and phenol. MSA is also used as a catalyst in many syntheses, for example in cyclisation<sup>4</sup> and polymerisation reactions.<sup>5</sup> Furthermore, MSA is used as an electrolyte and exhibits strong self-dissociation (autoprotolysis), as depicted in Scheme 1.

The high electrolyte conductivity enables lower consumption in electrochemical processes, particularly for Sn/Pb solder

electroplating. Additionally, MSA is considered environmentally friendly as it is biodegradable and is used for the replacement of other electrolytes<sup>6</sup>


Marked by strong self-dissociation, similar to sulfuric acid or hydrogen fluoride,<sup>7,8</sup> methanesulfonic acid (pK<sub>a</sub> = −0.6) and benzenesulfonic acid (pK<sub>a</sub> = 0.7) are strong acids compared to common organic acids.<sup>9,10</sup> Compared with other sulfonic acids, however, MSA and BSA are rather less acidic, and therefore find no applications in superacid chemistry.

Recent studies about the protonation of sulfonic acids in binary superacidic systems HF/MF<sub>5</sub> (M = As and Sb) have only achieved the isolation of monoprotonated species, yet (see Scheme 2).<sup>11–13</sup>

These investigations were based on acidity to determine which compound undergoes protonation.<sup>11,13</sup> As these studies were based on highly acidic compounds, sulfonic acid moieties were attached to electron-withdrawing groups, such as triflic acid or fluorosulfonic acid, instead of electron-rich sub-



**Scheme 1** Self-dissociation of MSA.



**Scheme 2** General protonation reaction of sulfonic acids in superacidic media (R = F<sub>3</sub>C, EtNH<sub>3</sub>, and F and M = As and Sb).

Department Chemie Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München, Butenandtstr. 5–13, 81377 München, Germany. E-mail: Valentin.Bockmair@cup.uni-muenchen.de

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. CCDC 2419553–2419556 and 2422284. For ESI and crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1039/d5dt00864f>

‡ Prof. Dr A. J. Kornath passed away unexpectedly in March 2024.



stituents. MSA and BSA contain an electron-rich backbone and are therefore highly stable against desulfonation and resistant towards hydrolysis. Therefore, we expected that the protonation of the sulfonyl group would be easily achieved and a hemi- or monoprotonated species might be accessed in the rather weak binary superacidic system HF/BF<sub>3</sub>.<sup>14</sup>

Furthermore, molecules with a stabilizing substituent effect, *i.e.* a positive inductive effect of R could possibly achieve a higher degree of protonation of the sulfonium moiety. This is of particular interest as no diprotonation of the sulfonyl moieties has been achieved yet (Scheme 3).

In order to explore the protonation chemistry of the sulfonyl compounds RSO<sub>2</sub>OH (R = CH<sub>3</sub> and Ph) the conversions in the binary superacidic systems HF/L (L = BF<sub>3</sub>, AsF<sub>5</sub>, and SbF<sub>5</sub>) were investigated. The aim of this work was to figure out the influence on the C–S bond and whether hemi- ([ (RSO<sub>3</sub>H)<sub>2</sub>H]<sup>+</sup>), monoprotonated (sulfonium ions [RSO<sub>3</sub>H<sub>2</sub>]<sup>+</sup>), or even diprotonated species (disulfonium ions [RSO<sub>3</sub>H<sub>3</sub>]<sup>2+</sup>) can be isolated.


## Results and discussion

### Synthesis of protonated MSA, [MeSO<sub>3</sub>H<sub>2</sub>][AsF<sub>6</sub>] (2) and [MeSO<sub>3</sub>H<sub>2</sub>][Sb<sub>2</sub>F<sub>11</sub>] (3)

Methanesulfonic acid was reacted in the binary superacidic systems HF/BF<sub>3</sub> and HF/MF<sub>5</sub> (M = As and Sb) at room temperature. Therefore, an excess of solvent (HF) and at least two equivalents of Lewis acid (BF<sub>3</sub> or MF<sub>5</sub>) were added to achieve full protonation of the sulfonic acid moiety if possible. Unreacted Lewis acid and solvent were removed under dynamic vacuum at –78 °C.

No conversion of MSA in the binary superacidic system HF/BF<sub>3</sub> was observed. Instead of protonation of MSA (1) according to Scheme 4, the starting material only recrystallized from solution as identified by single-crystal X-ray diffraction.


Conversion of MSA in the binary superacidic systems HF/MF<sub>5</sub> (M = As and Sb) resulted in the isolation of the monoprotonated species (2) and (3). According to Scheme 5, the products (2) and (3) were obtained in the form of colorless solids. The protonated species were further characterized by NMR



**Scheme 3** The protonation of sulfonic acids RSO<sub>3</sub>H, (R = CH<sub>3</sub> and Ph and L = BF<sub>3</sub>, AsF<sub>5</sub>, and SbF<sub>5</sub>).



**Scheme 4** Expected protonation of MeSO<sub>3</sub>H in the binary superacidic system HF/BF<sub>3</sub>.



**Scheme 5** The protonation of MeSO<sub>3</sub>H in binary superacidic media (M = As (2) and Sb (3)).

and low-temperature vibrational spectroscopy, as well as single-crystal X-ray diffraction.


The monoprotonated species of methanesulfonic acid were stable when tested up to room temperature.

### Synthesis of protonated BSA, [PhSO<sub>3</sub>H<sub>2</sub>][AsF<sub>6</sub>] (4) and [PhSO<sub>3</sub>H<sub>2</sub>][Sb<sub>2</sub>F<sub>11</sub>] (5)


Benzenesulfonic acid was reacted in the binary superacidic systems HF/BF<sub>3</sub> and HF/MF<sub>5</sub> (M = As and Sb) at room temperature. Therefore, an excess of solvent (HF) and at least two equivalents of Lewis acid (BF<sub>3</sub> or MF<sub>5</sub>) were added to achieve full protonation of the sulfonic acid moiety if possible. Unreacted Lewis acid and solvent were removed under dynamic vacuum at –78 °C. According to Scheme 6, no conversion of BSA in the binary superacidic system HF/BF<sub>3</sub> was observed as only a brown amorphous residue of the starting material was obtained.

Conversion of BSA in the binary superacidic system HF/MF<sub>5</sub> (M = As, Sb) yielded the isolation of the monoprotonated species (4) and (5), according to Scheme 7. The protonated species were further characterized by NMR and low-temperature vibrational spectroscopy. Single crystals of (5) were investigated by X-ray diffraction.

The monoprotonated species of benzenesulfonic acid were stable when tested up to room temperature. As the hexafluoroarsenate salt (4) was only poorly soluble in anhydrous hydrogen fluoride, attempts to recrystallize the salt in thionyl fluoride were made. Single crystal measurements of the product indicated the formation of the corresponding sulfonyl fluoride



**Scheme 6** Expected hemi- or monoprotonation of PhSO<sub>3</sub>H in the binary superacidic system HF/BF<sub>3</sub>.



**Scheme 7** The protonation of PhSO<sub>3</sub>H in binary superacidic media (M = As (4) and Sb (5)).





instead of the desired product (see ESI section 2.5;† see Scheme 8).

### Crystal structures

**MSA (1).** For better comparison, the structure was redetermined at low temperature. As already reported by Wickleder *et al.*,<sup>15</sup> MSA (1) crystallizes in the monoclinic space group  $P2_1/c$  with four formula units per unit cell as depicted in Fig. 1.

Selected geometric data are listed in Table 1, showing the S–O single bond (1.548(1) Å), as well as two S=O double bonds (1.445(2) and 1.429(2) Å) within the  $3\sigma$  area as reported in general literature<sup>16</sup> and for other organosulfonic acids.<sup>14,17</sup>

The C–S bond length of 1.743(3) Å is consistent with those of other sulfonic acid compounds<sup>17</sup> or sulfonic acid anhy-

drides<sup>15</sup> and can be considered as slightly shortened with respect to comparison values.<sup>16</sup> Thereby, it strongly differs from trifluoromethanesulfonic acid<sup>18</sup> and its protonated species,<sup>11</sup> where the C–S bond length is found to be elongated.


In its packing, methanesulfonic acid is stabilized by two medium-strong hydrogen bonds, forming an infinite chain and building up an antiparallel layered structure. Weaker donor–acceptor interactions (C(H)⋯O) can be localized in the layers (C(H1B)⋯O2), as well as in the connecting link of two molecules in the antiparallel layers (C(H1C)⋯O3i) with each other.

The asymmetric unit of **1** and short contacts are illustrated in Fig. 2.


**[MeSO<sub>3</sub>H<sub>2</sub>][AsF<sub>6</sub>] (2).** The arsenic hexafluoride salt of mono-protonated methanesulfonic acid (2) crystallizes in the triclinic space group  $P\bar{1}$  with two formula units per unit cell as depicted in Fig. 3.

In the structure of [MeSO<sub>3</sub>H<sub>2</sub>][AsF<sub>6</sub>], the bond length of S1–O2 (1.519(2) Å) is significantly elongated compared to that of MSA (1) (1.445(2) Å) and is in the range of a formal S–O single bond (1.432 Å)<sup>16</sup> indicating successful protonation. In contrast, the S=O (1.409(2) Å) and C–S (1.729(3) Å) bond lengths are significantly shortened through the shift of electron density towards O2, by protonation.

In its packing, protonated methanesulfonic acid is surrounded by five [AsF<sub>6</sub>]<sup>−</sup> anions and four [MeSO<sub>3</sub>H<sub>2</sub>]<sup>+</sup> cations (Fig. 4). Two strong hydrogen bonds are formed with [AsF<sub>6</sub>]<sup>−</sup> anions O2(H3)⋯F1i (2.549(2) Å), O1(H2)⋯F5 (2.562(3) Å), as well as one medium-strong hydrogen bond O1(H2)⋯F3 (2.885(3) Å). The C⋯F contact, C1⋯F2i (3.118(4) Å), and three weaker donor–acceptor interactions are built up on the methyl group C1(H1B)⋯F6 (3.206(4) Å), C1(H1C)⋯O3 (3.266(3) Å), and C1(H1A)⋯F4 (3.378(4) Å). Furthermore, [MeSO<sub>3</sub>H<sub>2</sub>][AsF<sub>6</sub>] is stabilized by orbital interactions in the packing  $n_{O1} \rightarrow \sigma^*_{O1i}$ ,  $n_{O1i} \rightarrow \sigma^*_{O1}$ , which can be detected at a distance of 2.872(3) Å, as well as  $n_{O2} \rightarrow \sigma^*_{O2i}$ ,  $n_{O2i} \rightarrow \sigma^*_{O2}$  2.761(3) Å, respectively (Table 2).



**Scheme 8** Recrystallization of PhSO<sub>3</sub>H<sub>2</sub><sup>+</sup> in SOF<sub>2</sub>.




**Fig. 1** Crystal structure of MSA (1), viewed along the *b* axis (displacement ellipsoids with 50% probability).

**Table 1** Selected bond lengths [Å] and angles [°] of MSA (1) and donor–acceptor distances

Bond lengths [Å]			
S1–O1	1.548(1)	S1–O3	1.429(2)
S1–O2	1.445(2)	S1–C1	1.743(3)
Bond angles [°]			
O1–S1–C1	104.5(1)	O2–S1–O1	104.95(9)
O1–S1–O3	110.53(9)	O2–S1–O3	117.27(9)
Dihedral angles [°]			
O1–S1–C1–H1C	−174(2)	O3–S1–C1–H1B	−177(2)
O2–S1–C1–H1B	179(2)		
Interatomic distances [Å]			
O1(H2)⋯O2ii	2.619(2)	O3⋯(H1Ci)C1i	3.527(3)
C1(H1B)⋯O2ii	3.283(3)	C1(H1C)⋯O3i	3.527(3)

Symmetry codes: i = −*x*, −*y*, −*z*; ii = *x*, 1/2 − *y*, 1/2 + *z*.



**Fig. 2** Short contacts of the asymmetric unit of **1** (displacement ellipsoids with 50% probability). Symmetry codes: i = −*x*, −*y*, −*z*; ii = *x*, 1/2 − *y*, 1/2 + *z*.






Fig. 3 Crystal structure of  $[\text{MeSO}_3\text{H}_2][\text{AsF}_6]$  (2), viewed along the  $a$  axis (displacement ellipsoids with 50% probability).




Fig. 4 Short contacts of the asymmetric unit of 2 (displacement ellipsoids with 50% probability). Symmetry codes:  $i = -x, -y, -z$ .

$[\text{MeSO}_3\text{H}_2][\text{Sb}_2\text{F}_{11}]$  (3). The undecafluorodiantimonate(v) salt of monoprotonated methanesulfonic acid (3) crystallizes in the monoclinic space group  $P2_1/c$  with four formula units per unit cell as depicted in Fig. 5.

In the structure of  $[\text{MeSO}_3\text{H}_2][\text{Sb}_2\text{F}_{11}]$ , the S–O bond lengths are similar to those of the  $[\text{AsF}_6]^-$  salt and show an elongation of S1–O2 (1.525(5) Å). The S=O double bond is significantly shortened, shrinking up to 1.404(5) Å, as well as the C–S bond shrinking up to 1.726(6) Å, respectively.

The  $[\text{MeSO}_3\text{H}_2]^+$  cation in the packing is surrounded by six  $[\text{Sb}_2\text{F}_{11}]^-$  anions and two other cations, arranged as zigzag chains along the  $a$  axis (Fig. 6).

In contrast to the less bulky  $[\text{AsF}_6]^-$  anion, the  $[\text{Sb}_2\text{F}_{11}]^-$  salt forms many hydrogen bonds (seven) in the range of 2.591(5)–

Table 2 Selected bond lengths [Å] and angles [°] of  $[\text{MeSO}_3\text{H}_2][\text{AsF}_6]$  (2) and donor–acceptor distances

Bond lengths [Å]			
S1–O1	1.521(2)	S1–O3	1.409(2)
S1–O2	1.519(2)	S1–C1	1.729(3)
Bond angles [°]			
O1–S1–C1	107.8(1)	O2–S1–O1	102.1(1)
O1–S1–O3	113.2(1)	O2–S1–O3	115.5(1)
Dihedral angles [°]			
O1–S1–C1–H1C	53(2)	O3–S1–C1–H1B	−59(3)
O2–S1–C1–H1B	67(3)	O3–S1–C1–H1C	180(2)
Interatomic distances [Å]			
O2(H3)⋯F1i	2.549(2)	C1⋯F2i	3.118(4)
O1(H2)⋯F5	2.562(3)	C1(H1B)⋯F6	3.206(4)
O2⋯O2i	2.761(3)	C1(H1C)⋯O3	3.266(3)
O1⋯O1i	2.872(3)	C1(H1A)⋯F4	3.378(4)
O1(H2)⋯F3	2.885(3)		

Symmetry codes:  $i = -x, -y, -z$ .




Fig. 5 Crystal structure of  $[\text{MeSO}_3\text{H}_2][\text{Sb}_2\text{F}_{11}]$  (3), viewed along the  $a$  axis (displacement ellipsoids with 50% probability).


2.999(6) Å and weaker donor–acceptor interactions (six) instead of forming C⋯F or orbital interactions at a distance of 3.184(8)–3.472(8) Å (Table 3).

$[\text{PhSO}_3\text{H}_2][\text{Sb}_2\text{F}_{11}]$  (5). The undecafluorodiantimonate(v) salt of monoprotonated benzenesulfonic acid (5) crystallizes in the monoclinic space group  $P2_1$  with two formula units per unit cell as depicted in Fig. 7.

In contrast to the crystal structure of BSA,<sup>17</sup> there are no crystallographically independent cations within the structure (Fig. 8).

In the structure of  $[\text{PhSO}_3\text{H}_2][\text{Sb}_2\text{F}_{11}]$ , the S–O single bond lengths differ significantly in accordance with the strength of the attached hydrogen bonds as the steric requirement of the phenyl ring does not allow two closer contacts. Therefore, the bonds S1–O1 (1.523(4) Å) and S1–O2 (1.496(4) Å) differ by





**Fig. 6** Short contacts of the asymmetric unit of **3** (displacement ellipsoids with 50% probability). Symmetry codes: *i* =  $-x, 1/2 + y, 1/2 - z$ ; *ii* =  $-x, -y, -z$ ; *iii* =  $x, 1/2 - y, 1/2 + z$ .

**Table 3** Selected bond lengths [Å] and angles [°] of [MeSO<sub>3</sub>H<sub>2</sub>][Sb<sub>2</sub>F<sub>11</sub>] (**3**) and donor–acceptor distances


Bond lengths [Å]			
S1–O1	1.525(5)	S1–O3	1.404(5)
S1–O2	1.526(5)	S1–C1	1.726(6)
Bond angles [°]			
O1–S1–C1	100.7(3)	O2–S1–O1	105.5(3)
O1–S1–O3	115.2(3)	O2–S1–O3	113.5(3)
Dihedral angles [°]			
O1–S1–C1–H1C	−60.0	O3–S1–C1–H1B	−175.2
O2–S1–C1–H1B	−49.4		
Interatomic distances [Å]			
O2(H2)⋯F1	2.591(5)	O2(H2)⋯F5	3.211(7)
O1(H1)⋯F2i	2.720(6)	C1(H1A)⋯F9	3.235(8)
O2(H2)⋯F8i	2.913(5)	C1(H1B)⋯F11ii	3.253(7)
O1(H1)⋯F8i	2.930(6)	C1(H1C)⋯F5iii	3.327(7)
O2(H2)⋯F9	2.975(6)	C1(H1B)⋯F3iii	3.367(7)
O1(H1)⋯F4i	2.999(6)	C1(H1B)⋯O3	3.472(8)
C1(H1C)⋯F11ii	3.184(8)		

Symmetry codes: *i* =  $-x, 1/2 + y, 1/2 - z$ ; *ii* =  $-x, -y, -z$ ; *iii* =  $x, 1/2 - y, 1/2 + z$ .


length. The S=O double bond (1.398(5) Å) is significantly shortened compared to those of the starting material (1.420(1)–1.441(1) Å), and appears to be even shorter than in the methanesulfonium ion (1.404(5) and 1.409(2) Å). In addition, the C–S bond length 1.717(5) Å is significantly shortened compared to those of BSA (1.749(1) Å and 1.760(1) Å) (Table 4).

### Vibrational spectroscopy

The low-temperature Raman spectra of MSA (**1**) and its protonated species [MeSO<sub>3</sub>H<sub>2</sub>][AsF<sub>6</sub>] (**2**) and [MeSO<sub>3</sub>H<sub>2</sub>][Sb<sub>2</sub>F<sub>11</sub>] (**3**) are illustrated in Fig. 9. In Table 5, selected observed Raman



**Fig. 7** Crystal structure of [PhSO<sub>3</sub>H<sub>2</sub>][Sb<sub>2</sub>F<sub>11</sub>] (**5**), viewed along the *a* axis (displacement ellipsoids with 50% probability).



**Fig. 8** Short contacts of the asymmetric unit of **5** (displacement ellipsoids with 50% probability). Symmetry codes: *i* =  $-x, 1/2 + y, -z$ .

and IR frequencies of **2** and **3** are listed together with the quantum chemically calculated frequencies of the [MeSO<sub>3</sub>H<sub>2</sub>]<sup>+</sup>·HF cation as well as their assignments. The low-temperature Raman spectra and IR spectra of BSA and its protonated species [MeSO<sub>3</sub>H<sub>2</sub>][AsF<sub>6</sub>] (**4**)/[MeSO<sub>3</sub>H<sub>2</sub>][Sb<sub>2</sub>F<sub>11</sub>] (**5**) are illustrated in Fig. 10.


Raman and IR frequencies of **4** and **5** are listed together with the quantum chemically calculated frequencies of the [PhSO<sub>3</sub>H<sub>2</sub>]<sup>+</sup>·HF cation as well as their assignments.



**Table 4** Selected bond lengths [Å] and angles [°] of [PhSO<sub>3</sub>H<sub>2</sub>][Sb<sub>2</sub>F<sub>11</sub>] (5) and donor–acceptor distances

Bond lengths [Å]			
S1–O1	1.523(4)	C2–C3	1.376(8)
S1–O2	1.496(4)	C3–C4	1.384(9)
S1–O3	1.398(5)	C4–C5	1.396(8)
S1–C1	1.717(5)	C5–C6	1.376(8)
C1–C2	1.384(8)	C6–C1	1.395(8)
Bond angles [°]			
O1–S1–C1	105.8(2)	O2–S1–O1	101.2(2)
O1–S1–O3	110.5(3)	O2–S1–O3	117.1(3)
Dihedral angles [°]			
O1–S1–C1–C6	−118.8(4)	O3–S1–C1–C2	−176.2(4)
O2–S1–C1–C6	134.5(4)		
Interatomic distances [Å]			
F11... (H7)O2	2.504(6)	C6(H6)...F1	3.187(7)
F8i... (H1)O1	2.924(5)	C2(H2)...F10i	3.255(7)
F10...O1	2.931(5)	C5(H5)...F7	3.279(7)
F4i...O3	2.975(6)	C2...F3	3.322(7)
C6...F2i	3.058(7)		

Symmetry codes: i = −x, 1/2 + y, −z.

**Fig. 9** Low-temperature IR and Raman spectra of MSA 1 and protonated species 2 and 3.

**Vibrational spectra of MSA (1) and monoprotinated species of MSA, [MeSO<sub>3</sub>H<sub>2</sub>][AsF<sub>6</sub>] (2)/[MeSO<sub>3</sub>H<sub>2</sub>][Sb<sub>2</sub>F<sub>11</sub>] (3).** The methanesulfonium cation ([MeSO<sub>3</sub>H<sub>2</sub>]<sup>+</sup>) has *C*<sub>1</sub> symmetry with 24 expected fundamental vibrational modes (A), of which all are Raman- and IR-active. The complete analysis of the vibrational frequencies is provided in the ESI in Tables S18 and 19.† Selected observed vibrational frequencies and calculated frequencies are presented in Table 5.

The O–H stretching vibration is observed at 3218 cm<sup>−1</sup> in the IR spectrum, blue-shifted from 3031 cm<sup>−1</sup> compared with

that of the starting material, visualizing the O-protonation. Due to poor polarizability, the O–H stretching vibration cannot be detected in the Raman spectra. Direct comparison of the expected O–H vibration frequencies with the calculated frequencies shows that the influence of hydrogen bonds in the structure concerning its vibrations is strong, as high deviations appear for pure gas phase calculations. The combined S=O stretching vibrations are in good accordance with the calculated values of 1309 and 910 cm<sup>−1</sup>. The S=O stretching vibrations detected at 962 and 928 cm<sup>−1</sup> (Ra) show a significant red shift from 1123 cm<sup>−1</sup> (Ra), whereas the stretching vibrations detected at 1332 and 1334 cm<sup>−1</sup> (Ra) stay nearly unaffected by the protonation. The expected S–O stretching vibration at 839 cm<sup>−1</sup> was detected at 759 or 763 cm<sup>−1</sup> (Ra), respectively, red-shifted from that of the starting material (901 cm<sup>−1</sup> (Ra)). The C–S stretching vibrations observed at 673 or 694 cm<sup>−1</sup> (Ra), respectively, are in good accordance with the calculated value of 682 cm<sup>−1</sup>. The C–S stretching vibrations show high deviation from that of the starting material (534 cm<sup>−1</sup> (Ra)), calculated to be at 661 cm<sup>−1</sup> and detected at 669 cm<sup>−1</sup> (Ra).

A significant shift of the vibrations can be seen compared to those of the starting material,<sup>19</sup> but due to the combination of vibrations, the variations are not consequently shifted as expected, analogous to O-protonation of other sulfur-based compounds.<sup>20–22</sup>

**Vibrational spectra of BSA and the monoprotinated species of BSA, [PhSO<sub>3</sub>H<sub>2</sub>][AsF<sub>6</sub>] (4)/[PhSO<sub>3</sub>H<sub>2</sub>][Sb<sub>2</sub>F<sub>11</sub>] (5).** The benzo-sulfonium cation ([PhSO<sub>3</sub>H<sub>2</sub>]<sup>+</sup>) has *C*<sub>1</sub> symmetry with 45 expected fundamental vibrational modes (A), of which all are Raman- and IR-active. The complete analysis of the vibrational frequencies is provided in the ESI in Tables S20 and 21.† Selected observed vibrational frequencies and calculated frequencies are presented in Table 6.

The O–H stretching vibrations are observed in the IR spectra at 3682 and 3115 cm<sup>−1</sup> for the [AsF<sub>6</sub>]<sup>−</sup> salt and at 3668 cm<sup>−1</sup> and 3198 cm<sup>−1</sup> for the [Sb<sub>2</sub>F<sub>11</sub>]<sup>−</sup> salt. Whereas the O–H stretching vibrations of the [AsF<sub>6</sub>]<sup>−</sup> salt are red-shifted, the O–H stretching vibrations of the [Sb<sub>2</sub>F<sub>11</sub>]<sup>−</sup> salt remain nearly unaffected by the protonation. The S=O stretching vibrations detected at 1307 cm<sup>−1</sup> (Ra) and 1290 cm<sup>−1</sup> (IR) for the [AsF<sub>6</sub>]<sup>−</sup> salt and at 1269 cm<sup>−1</sup> (IR) for the [Sb<sub>2</sub>F<sub>11</sub>]<sup>−</sup> salt show significant deviations compared with those of the starting material. The S–O stretching vibrations observed at 908 cm<sup>−1</sup>, 833 cm<sup>−1</sup> (Ra) and 835 cm<sup>−1</sup> (IR) for the [AsF<sub>6</sub>]<sup>−</sup> salt and at 905 cm<sup>−1</sup> and 847 cm<sup>−1</sup> (IR) for the [Sb<sub>2</sub>F<sub>11</sub>]<sup>−</sup> salt show a similar significant blue shift for both salts compared with those of the starting material. The C–S stretching vibrations were detected to be slightly blue shifted in good accordance with the calculations, indicating the strengthening of the C–S bond upon protonation.

The vibrational spectroscopic analysis of BSA was challenging due to the high absorption of BSA and protonated species. Therefore, the products could only be poorly characterized by Raman spectroscopy. The observed and calculated vibrational frequencies differ partially as vibration coupling






**Table 5** Selected observed vibrational frequencies [ $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ] of MSA and  $[\text{MeSO}_3\text{H}_2]^+$  salts (2) and (3) with calculated vibrational frequencies [ $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ] of  $[\text{MeSO}_3\text{H}_2]^+$ 

MSA <sup>a</sup> (Ra)	IR	$[\text{MeSO}_3\text{H}_2][\text{AsF}_6]^a$ (Ra)	IR	$[\text{MeSO}_3\text{H}_2][\text{Sb}_2\text{F}_{11}]^a$ (Ra)	$[\text{MeSO}_3\text{H}_2]^+ b,c$	Assignment
3031(17)	3031(w)		3218(vs,br)		3551(278/62)	$\nu(\text{O-H})$
		3055(22)			3082(1710/161)	$\nu(\text{O-H})$
		3043(17)		3039(21)	3058(16/41)	$\nu(\text{CH}_3)$
2945(80)	2944(w)	2954(67)		2947(52)	2958(37/125)	$\nu(\text{CH}_3)$
1304(6)	1256(w,sh)	1332(14)	1275(vw)	1334(19)	1309(153/6)	$\nu(\text{S=O}) + \gamma(\text{CH}_3)$
1123(37)	1127(s)	962(23,sh)		928(20)	910(157/4)	$\nu(\text{S=O}) + \tau(\text{CH}_2)$
901(10)	879(s)	759(100)	839(w,br)	763(61)	839(166/5)	$\tau(\text{CH}_3) + \nu(\text{S-O})$
667(1)	671(w,sh)	673(31,sh)	687(m)	694(56)	682(29/17)	$\nu(\text{C-S})$
534(50)	530(vs)		615(w)	669(81)	661(71/5)	$\nu(\text{C-S})$

<sup>a</sup> Abbreviations for IR intensities: vs = very strong, s = strong, m = medium, w = weak, sh = shoulder, br = broad. Experimental Raman intensities are relative to a scale of 1 to 100. <sup>b</sup> Calculated at the B3LYP/aug-cc-pVTZ level of theory. Scaling factor: 0.968. <sup>c</sup> IR intensities in  $\text{km mol}^{-1}$ ; Raman intensities in  $\text{\AA}^4 \text{u}^{-1}$ .

**Fig. 10** Low-temperature Raman spectra of BSA and protonated species 4 and 5.

occurs and the discussed salt differs by the formation of contacts. In general, the same trend of shifts can be found, as for the vibrations of MSA.

### NMR spectroscopy

The  $^1\text{H}$  and  $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$  NMR spectra of the starting materials MSA and BSA as well as pure MSA were measured at room temperature in  $\text{CDCl}_3$ . Protonated species were measured in anhydrous HF at room temperature with acetone- $d_6$  as the external reference. In order to screen that no side reaction takes place under the applied conditions  $^{19}\text{F}$  spectra were recorded.

Selected observed NMR shifts in aHF are listed in Table 7. The complete NMR spectroscopic data and the measured NMR spectra are provided in the ESI (chapter 4).†

In the  $^1\text{H}$  NMR spectrum of MSA in  $\text{CDCl}_3$  two singlet signals of different intensities were detected for the sulfonic acid and the methyl moiety, which were assigned to the pure compound and the  $\text{H}_3\text{O}^+$  species. This was verified by the exclusion of conformer variation by the measurement of the pure compound. Upon protonation, the sulfonium moiety ( $\text{SO}_3\text{H}_2^+$ ) does not show a visible  $^1\text{H}$  signal due to fast proton exchange and the methyl group is only weakly shielded by the shortening of the C–S bond. While the protons are shifted downfield, the methyl carbon is deshielded by 1.8 ppm. In the  $^{19}\text{F}$  spectra of the protonated species of MSA the detected signals can be assigned to  $\text{AsF}_5$  (–66.10 ppm) and  $\text{H}[\text{AsF}_6]$

**Table 6** Selected observed vibrational frequencies [ $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ] of BSA and  $[\text{PhSO}_3\text{H}_2]^+$  salts (4) and (5) with calculated vibrational frequencies [ $\text{cm}^{-1}$ ] of  $[\text{PhSO}_3\text{H}_2]^+$ 


BSA <sup>a</sup>	IR	$[\text{PhSO}_3\text{H}_2][\text{AsF}_6]^a$	IR	$[\text{PhSO}_3\text{H}_2][\text{Sb}_2\text{F}_{11}]^a$ (IR) <sup>a</sup>	$[\text{PhSO}_3\text{H}_2]^+ b,c$	Assignment
	3660(vw)		3682(vw)	3668(vw)	3567(252/68)	$\nu(\text{O-H})$
	3173(vw)		3115(w)	3198(vs)	3145(1891/280)	$\nu(\text{O-H})$
3074(36)	3064(vw)	3076(43)			3112.52(4/202)	$\nu(\text{ring})$
		3028(3)	3036(w)		3108.20(3/100)	$\nu(\text{ring})$
		2935(2)			3096.89(1/83)	$\nu(\text{ring})$
1371(1)	1352(w)	1307(4)	1290(vw)	1269(m)	1305(73/6)	$\nu(\text{S=O}) + \delta(\text{ring})$
1130(12)	1123(m)	1224(55)	1211(m)	1213(m)	1295(114/12)	$\nu(\text{S=O}) + \delta(\text{ring})$
813(3)	753(s)	908(5)		905(vw)	904(232/7)	$\nu(\text{S-O})$
		833(16)	835(w)	847(vw)	821(210/18)	$\nu(\text{S-O})$
648(1)	685(vs)		696(s)	671(s)	690(14/14)	$\nu(\text{C-S})$
501(10)		533(4)	534(vw)	534(vw)	537(80/3)	$\nu(\text{C-S})$

<sup>a</sup> Abbreviations for IR intensities: vs = very strong, s = strong, m = medium, w = weak, sh = shoulder, br = broad. Experimental Raman intensities are relative to a scale of 1 to 100. <sup>b</sup> Calculated at the B3LYP/aug-cc-pVTZ level of theory. Scaling factor: 0.968. <sup>c</sup> IR intensities in  $\text{km mol}^{-1}$ ; Raman intensities in  $\text{\AA}^4 \text{u}^{-1}$ .




	[H <sub>3</sub> O][MeSO <sub>3</sub> ]	MSA	[MeSO <sub>3</sub> H <sub>2</sub> ][AsF <sub>6</sub> ] (2)	BSA	[PhSO <sub>3</sub> H <sub>2</sub> ][Sb <sub>2</sub> F <sub>11</sub> ] (5)
<sup>1</sup> H	11.99 (s, H <sub>3</sub> O <sup>+</sup> , 3H) 4.47 (s, CH <sub>3</sub> , 3H)	11.11 (s, SO <sub>3</sub> H, 1H) 3.42 (s, CH <sub>3</sub> , 3H)	3.27 (s, CH <sub>3</sub> , 3H)	11.27 (s, SO <sub>3</sub> H, 1H) 7.82 (s <sub>d</sub> , C <sub>o</sub> , 2H) 7.53 (s <sub>t</sub> , C <sub>p</sub> , 1H) 7.42 (s <sub>t</sub> , C <sub>m</sub> , 2H)	9.58 (s, SO <sub>3</sub> H <sub>2</sub> <sup>+</sup> , 2H) 7.60 (s <sub>d</sub> , C <sub>o</sub> , 2H) 7.53 (s <sub>t</sub> , C <sub>p</sub> , 1H) 7.26 (s <sub>t</sub> , C <sub>m</sub> , 2H)
<sup>13</sup> C{ <sup>1</sup> H}	39.25 (CH <sub>3</sub> )	39.30 (CH <sub>3</sub> )	37.48 (CH <sub>3</sub> )	138.23 (C <sub>q</sub> ) 133.41 (C <sub>p</sub> ) 129.22 (C <sub>m</sub> ) 126.73 (C <sub>o</sub> )	141.24 (C <sub>q</sub> ) 131.09 (C <sub>p</sub> ) 129.26 (C <sub>m</sub> ) 127.59 (C <sub>o</sub> )


This journal is © The Royal Society of Chemistry 2025



**Fig. 12** Molecular 0.0004 bohr<sup>-3</sup> 3D isosurfaces with MEP on a color scale ranging from 257.8 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup> (red) to 585.5 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup> (blue). The electrostatic potential isosurfaces and the NPA charges have been calculated for [MeSO<sub>3</sub>H<sub>2</sub>]<sup>+</sup>·HF.



**Fig. 13** Molecular 0.0004 bohr<sup>-3</sup> 3D isosurfaces with MEP on a color scale ranging from -108.4 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup> (red) to 174.9 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup> (blue). The electrostatic potential isosurfaces and the NPA charges have been calculated for PhSO<sub>3</sub>H.



**Fig. 14** Molecular 0.0004 bohr<sup>-3</sup> 3D isosurfaces with MEP on a color scale ranging from 232.4 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup> (red) to 538.2 kJ mol<sup>-1</sup> (blue). The electrostatic potential isosurfaces and the NPA charges have been calculated for [PhSO<sub>3</sub>H<sub>2</sub>]<sup>+</sup>·HF.

**Table 8** Calculated bond lengths [Å] on the B3LYP/aug-cc-pVTZ level of theory in comparison with experimental values

	S=O	SO	SO	C-S
MSA	1.450	1.460	1.596	1.784
Exp.	1.429(2)	1.445(2)	1.548(1)	1.743(3)
[MeSO <sub>3</sub> H <sub>2</sub> ] <sup>+</sup>	1.423	1.567	1.559	1.773
Exp.	1.409(2)	1.519(2)	1.521(2)	1.729(3)
BSA	1.440	1.450	1.632	1.786
Exp. <sup>17</sup>	1.420(1)	1.439(1)	1.557(1)	1.749(1)
	1.424(1)	1.441(1)	1.553(1)	1.760(1)
[PhSO <sub>3</sub> H <sub>2</sub> ] <sup>+</sup>	1.431	1.551	1.591	1.745
Exp.	1.398(5)	1.496(4)	1.523(4)	1.717(5)

Red shortened and blue elongated according to the calculation of the starting material.

As observed in the single X-ray structures, protonation causes a significant shortening of the remaining S=O bond and C-S bond due to electron-withdrawing effects. Furthermore, elongation of the new S-O bonds was observed for experimental and calculated values as depicted in Table 8.

## Experimental

### General

Caution! The hydrolysis of BF<sub>3</sub>, AsF<sub>5</sub>, SbF<sub>5</sub> and the prepared salts (2, 3, 4 and 5) might form HF, which burns skin and causes irreparable damage. Safety precautions must be taken while using and handling these materials.

### Apparatus and materials

The reactions were carried out according to Schlenk's standard procedure with a stainless-steel vacuum line. Fluorinated ethylene propylene (FEP)/per-fluoroalkoxy (PFA) reactors sealed with a stainless-steel valve were used for all of the reactions in



superacid media. Both the vacuum line and the reactors were dried with fluorine before use. Raman spectroscopic studies at low temperatures were performed using a Bruker MultiRAM FT-Raman spectrometer with Nd:YAG laser excitation ( $\lambda = 1064\text{ cm}^{-1}$ ) under vacuum at  $-196\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ . For measurement, the synthesized compounds were transferred into a cooled glass cell at low temperatures. IR spectra were recorded in a vacuum using a Bruker Vertex-80 VFTIR spectrometer. A small amount of the synthesized samples was placed on a CsBr single-crystal plate in a room-temperature cell for measurement.<sup>23</sup> Low-temperature single-crystal X-ray diffraction of MSA (1),  $[\text{MeSO}_3\text{H}_2][\text{AsF}_6]$  (2),  $[\text{MeSO}_3\text{H}_2][\text{Sb}_2\text{F}_{11}]$  (3), and  $[\text{PhSO}_3\text{H}_2][\text{Sb}_2\text{F}_{11}]$  (5) was performed on an Oxford XCalibur 3 diffractometer equipped with a Kappa CCD detector, operating with  $\text{Mo}_{\text{K}\alpha}$  ( $0.71073\text{ \AA}$ ) radiation and a Spellman generator (voltage  $50\text{ kV}$ , current  $40\text{ mA}$ ). The program CrysAlisPro 1.171.38.46 (Rigaku OD, 2015) was employed for data collection and reduction.<sup>24</sup> The structures were solved utilizing SHELXT<sup>25</sup> and SHELXL-2018/3<sup>26</sup> of the WINGX software package.<sup>27</sup> The structures were checked using the software PLATON.<sup>28</sup> The absorption correction was performed using the SCALE3 ABSPACK multi-scan method.<sup>29</sup> Visualization was done with the software Mercury.<sup>30</sup> Selected data and parameters of the measured single-crystal X-ray structure analyses are summarized in Tables S1 and S2 (see the ESI).<sup>†</sup> NMR samples were prepared by adding the HF solution to a small FEP tube under a nitrogen stream. The tube was sealed under a vacuum and inserted into a standard NMR tube. For  $^1\text{H}$ ,  $^{13}\text{C}$ , and  $^{19}\text{F}$  NMR measurements, Bruker AV400TR and JEOL ECX 400 NMR spectrometers were used. For evaluation, MNOVA by Mestrelab was used.<sup>31</sup> The quantum chemical calculations were performed at the B3LYP/aug-cc-pVTZ level of theory. For visualization and illustration of the MEP calculations, GaussView 6.0 was used.<sup>32,33</sup>

**$\text{MeSO}_3\text{H}(\text{RT})$ .** Dried  $\text{CH}_3\text{SO}_3\text{H}$  ( $0.065\text{ mL}$ ,  $1.00\text{ mmol}$ ,  $1.0\text{ eq.}$ ) was added to an FEP reactor vessel.  $\text{BF}_3$  ( $68\text{ mg}$ ,  $1.00\text{ mmol}$ ,  $1.0\text{ eq.}$ ) was condensed into the vessel together with aHF ( $40\text{ mg}$ ,  $2.00\text{ mmol}$ ,  $2.0\text{ eq.}$ ) at  $-196\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ . The reaction mixture was warmed up to room temperature and homogenized to complete dissolution. The excess solvent was removed overnight at  $-78\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$  in a dynamic vacuum. The product was obtained as colorless crystalline solids.

**$[\text{MeSO}_3\text{H}_2][\text{AsF}_6](\text{RT})$ .** Dried  $\text{CH}_3\text{SO}_3\text{H}$  ( $0.065\text{ mL}$ ,  $1.00\text{ mmol}$ ,  $1.0\text{ eq.}$ ) was added to an FEP reactor vessel.  $\text{AsF}_5$  ( $170\text{ mg}$ ,  $1.00\text{ mmol}$ ,  $1.0\text{ eq.}$ ) was condensed into the vessel together with aHF ( $40\text{ mg}$ ,  $2.00\text{ mmol}$ ,  $2.0\text{ eq.}$ ) at  $-196\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ . The reaction mixture was warmed up to room temperature and homogenized to complete dissolution. The excess solvent was removed overnight at  $-78\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$  in a dynamic vacuum. The product was obtained as colorless crystalline solids.

**$[\text{MeSO}_3\text{H}_2][\text{Sb}_2\text{F}_{11}](\text{RT})$ .**  $\text{SbF}_5$  ( $325\text{ mg}$ ,  $1.5\text{ mmol}$ ,  $3.0\text{ eq.}$ ) was condensed into an FEP reactor vessel together with aHF ( $80\text{ mg}$ ,  $2.00\text{ mmol}$ ,  $4.0\text{ eq.}$ ) at  $-196\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ . Then the vessel was filled with dried  $\text{CH}_3\text{SO}_3\text{H}$  ( $0.033\text{ mL}$ ,  $0.50\text{ mmol}$ ,  $1.0\text{ eq.}$ ). The reaction mixture was warmed up to room temperature and homogenized to complete dissolution. The excess solvent was

removed overnight at  $-78\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$  in a dynamic vacuum. The product was obtained as colorless crystalline solids.

**$\text{PhSO}_3\text{H}(\text{RT})$ .** Dried  $\text{C}_6\text{H}_5\text{SO}_3\text{H}$  ( $158\text{ mg}$ ,  $1.00\text{ mmol}$ ,  $1.0\text{ eq.}$ ) was added to an FEP reactor vessel.  $\text{BF}_3$  ( $68\text{ mg}$ ,  $1.00\text{ mmol}$ ,  $1.0\text{ eq.}$ ) was condensed into the vessel together with aHF ( $40\text{ mg}$ ,  $2.00\text{ mmol}$ ,  $2.0\text{ eq.}$ ) at  $-196\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ . The reaction mixture was warmed up to room temperature and homogenized to complete dissolution. The excess solvent was removed overnight at  $-78\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$  in a dynamic vacuum. The product was obtained as a brown amorphous residue.

**$[\text{PhSO}_3\text{H}_2][\text{AsF}_6](\text{RT})$ .** Dried  $\text{C}_6\text{H}_5\text{SO}_3\text{H}$  ( $158\text{ mg}$ ,  $1.00\text{ mmol}$ ,  $1.0\text{ eq.}$ ) was added to an FEP reactor vessel.  $\text{AsF}_5$  ( $170\text{ mg}$ ,  $1.00\text{ mmol}$ ,  $1.0\text{ eq.}$ ) was condensed into the vessel together with aHF ( $40\text{ mg}$ ,  $2.00\text{ mmol}$ ,  $2.0\text{ eq.}$ ) at  $-196\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ . The reaction mixture was warmed up to room temperature and homogenized to complete dissolution. The excess solvent was removed overnight at  $-78\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$  in a dynamic vacuum. The product was obtained as colorless crystalline solids.

**$[\text{PhSO}_3\text{H}_2][\text{Sb}_2\text{F}_{11}](\text{RT})$ .**  $\text{SbF}_5$  ( $1.36\text{ g}$ ,  $6.27\text{ mmol}$ ,  $10.0\text{ eq.}$ ) was condensed into an FEP reactor vessel together with aHF ( $100\text{ mg}$ ,  $2.50\text{ mmol}$ ,  $4.0\text{ eq.}$ ) at  $-196\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ . Then the vessel was filled with dried  $\text{CH}_3\text{SO}_3\text{H}$  ( $99.5\text{ mg}$ ,  $0.63\text{ mmol}$ ,  $1.0\text{ eq.}$ ). The reaction mixture was warmed up to room temperature and homogenized to complete dissolution. The excess solvent was removed overnight at  $-78\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$  in a dynamic vacuum. The product was obtained as colorless crystalline solids.

## Conclusions

MSA and BSA react in the binary superacidic media HF/MF<sub>5</sub> ( $\text{M} = \text{As}, \text{Sb}$ ) with the formation of sulfonium salts ( $\text{RSO}_3\text{H}_2^+$ ). In the binary superacidic system HF/BF<sub>3</sub> no reaction was observed with MSA and BSA. Even providing an excess of Lewis acid, diprotonation of the sulfonic acid moiety of MSA and BSA cannot be observed at room temperature when tested up to ten equivalents. The obtained protonated salts of the monoprotonated species were isolated and characterized by low-temperature vibrational spectroscopy, NMR spectroscopy, and single-crystal structure analyses and quantum chemical data (B3LYP-aug-cc-pVTZ) were discussed. Although the link of a phenyl substituent shows larger hyperconjugative effects no disulfonium dication ( $\text{RSO}_3\text{H}_3^{2+}$ ) could be obtained under the applied conditions. It can be estimated that full protonation of the sulfonium moiety leads to collapse of the mesomeric stabilization and therefore disulfonium dications do not exist, as it is not energetically favourable.

## Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

## Data availability

The data supporting this article have been included as part of the ESI.<sup>†</sup> Full details of vibrational spectroscopy, NMR spec-





troscopy, X-ray diffraction refinement, and computational details are provided. Crystallographic data for  $\text{MeSO}_3\text{H}$  (2419553),  $[\text{MeSO}_3\text{H}_2][\text{AsF}_6]$  (2419554),  $[\text{MeSO}_3\text{H}_2][\text{Sb}_2\text{F}_{11}]$  (2419555),  $[\text{PhSO}_3\text{H}_2][\text{Sb}_2\text{F}_{11}]$  (2419556) and  $\text{PhSO}_2\text{F}\cdot\text{H}_2\text{F}[\text{AsF}_6]$  (2422284)<sup>†</sup> have been deposited at the CCDC and can be obtained from <https://www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk>.

## Acknowledgements

Prof. Dr A. J. Kornath deceased unexpectedly in March 2024. We are grateful to the Department of Chemistry at the Ludwig Maximilian University of Munich, the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG), the F-Select GmbH, and Prof. Dr Karaghiosoff for their support.

## References

- 1 M. Naoi, W. Maruyama and K. Inaba-Hasegawa, *Curr. Top. Med. Chem.*, 2012, **12**(20), 2177–2188.
- 2 M. A. Sheraz, *et al.*, *J. Pharm.*, 2016, **2016**, 8961621.
- 3 H. G. M. Edwards, D. R. Brown, J. A. Dale and S. Plant, Raman spectroscopy of sulfonated polystyrene resins, *Vib. Spectrosc.*, 2000, **24**(2), 213–224.
- 4 V. Premasagar, V. A. Palaniswamy and E. J. Eisenbraun, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1981, **14**, 2974–2976.
- 5 D. Delcrois, B. Martín-Vaca, D. Bourissou and C. Navarro, *Macromolecules*, 2010, **43**, 8828–8835.
- 6 M. D. Gernon, M. Wu, T. Buszta and P. Janney, *Green Chem.*, 1999, **1**, 127–140.
- 7 R. Gut, *J. Fluorine Chem.*, 1980, **15**(2), 163–167.
- 8 R. C. Paul, V. P. Kapila, R. Kuma, S. K. Gupta and S. K. Sharma, *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.*, 1980, **471**, 203–207.
- 9 S. Brownstein and A. E. Stillman, *J. Phys. Chem.*, 1959, **63**, 2061–2062.
- 10 W. M. Haynes, *Handbook of Chemistry and Physics*, Taylor and Francis, Boca Raton FL, 2016, vol. 97, pp. 5–89.
- 11 T. Soltner, N. R. Goetz and A. J. Kornath, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 2011, **2011**, 3076–3081.
- 12 V. Bockmair, A. Klöck, D. Hollenwäger and A. J. Kornath, *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. C: Struct. Chem.*, 2024, **80**, 781–786.
- 13 R. Seelbinder, N. Götz, J. Weber, R. Minkwitz and A. J. Kornath, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2010, **16**, 1026.
- 14 M. Hopfinger, K. Lux, F. Schubert and A. J. Kornath, *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. C: Cryst. Struct. Commun.*, 2011, **67**, 400.
- 15 D. V. Gerven, A. Mertens, K. Eppers, J. Nickelsen and M. S. Wickleder, *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.*, 2024, **650**, e202400019.
- 16 F. H. Allen, O. Kennard and D. G. Watson, *J. Chem. Soc.*, 1987, **2**, 1–19.
- 17 P. Manana, E. C. Hosten and R. Betz, *Z. Kristallogr. - New Cryst. Struct.*, 2021, **36**(1), 97–99.
- 18 K. Bartmann and D. Mootz, *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. C: Cryst. Struct. Commun.*, 1990, **46**, 319–320.
- 19 L. Zhong and S. F. Parker, *R. Soc. Open Sci.*, 2018, **5**, 181363.
- 20 M. Hopfinger, K. Lux and A. Kornath, *ChemPlusChem*, 2012, **77**, 476.
- 21 M. Hopfinger, F. Zischka, M. Seifert and A. Kornath, *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.*, 2018, **644**, 574–579.
- 22 D. Leitz, M. Hopfinger, K. Stierstorfer, Y. Morgenstern, J. Axhausen and A. Kornath, *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.*, 2017, **643**, 1202–1207.
- 23 L. Bayersdorfer, R. Minkwitz and J. Jander, *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.*, 1972, **392**(2), 137–142.
- 24 Rigaku Oxford Diffraction, *CrysAlisPro Software System; Version 1.171.39.46e*, 2018.
- 25 G. M. Sheldrick, SHELXT - integrated space-group and crystal structure determination, *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. C: Struct. Chem.*, 2015, **71**(1), 3–8.
- 26 G. M. Sheldrick, Crystal structure refinement with SHELXL, *Acta Crystallogr., Sect. C: Struct. Chem.*, 2015, **71**(1), 3–8.
- 27 L. J. Farrugia, WinGX suite for small-molecule single-crystal crystallography, *J. Appl. Crystallogr.*, 1999, **32**(4), 837–838.
- 28 A. L. Spek, Single-crystal structure validation with the program PLATON, *J. Appl. Crystallogr.*, 2003, **36**(1), 7–13.
- 29 SCALE3 ABSPACK, an Oxford Diffraction Program, Oxford Diffraction Ltd, 2005.
- 30 C. F. Macrae, I. Sovago, S. J. Cottrell, P. T. A. Galek, P. McCabe, E. Pidcock, M. Platings, G. P. Shields, J. S. Stevens, M. Towler and P. A. Wood, Mercury 4.0: from visualization to analysis, design and prediction, *J. Appl. Crystallogr.*, 2020, **53**(1), 226–235.
- 31 Mestrelab Research S. L. MestReNova. Version 14.0.0, 2019.
- 32 M. J. Frisch, G. W. Trucks, H. B. Schlegel, G. E. Scuseria, M. A. Robb, J. R. Cheeseman, G. Scalmani, V. Barone, B. Mennucci, G. A. Petersson, H. Nakatsuji, M. Caricato, X. Li, H. P. Hratchian, A. F. Izmaylov, J. Bloino, G. Zheng, J. L. Sonnenberg, M. Hada, M. Ehara, K. Toyota, R. Fukuda, J. Hasegawa, M. Ishida, T. Nakajima, Y. Honda, O. Kitao, H. Nakai, T. Vreven, J. A. Montgomery, J. E. Peralta, F. Ogliaro, M. Bearpark, J. J. Heyd, E. Brothers, K. N. Kudin, V. N. Staroverov, R. Kobayashi, J. Normand, K. Raghavachari, A. Rendell, J. C. Burant, S. S. Iyengar, J. Tomasi, M. Cossi, N. Rega, J. M. Millam, M. Klene, J. E. Klene, J. E. Know, J. B. Cross, V. Bakken, C. Adamo, J. Jaramillo, R. Gomperts, R. E. Stratmann, O. Yazyev, A. J. Austin, R. Cammi, C. Pomelli, J. O. Ochterski, R. L. Martin, K. Morokuma, V. G. Zakrzewski, G. A. Voth, P. Salvador, J. J. Dannenberg, S. Dapprich, A. D. Daniels, O. Farkas, J. B. Foresman, J. V. Ortiz, J. Cioslowski and D. J. Fox, *Gaussian 16*, Revision C.01, Gaussian Inc., Wallingford CT, 2016.
- 33 Rev. C.01; *Gaussian*, 2016. (36); D. Roy, A. Keith Todd and J. M. Millam, *GaussView. Version 6*, 2019.

