

Cite this: *Dalton Trans.*, 2025, **54**, 4089Reactions of chalcogens and borane with phosphazane macrocycles assembled from diethanolamine and P<sub>2</sub>N<sub>2</sub> building blocks†Manu Goyal,<sup>id</sup> Chandrakala Negi,<sup>id</sup> Nitish Kumar Garg,<sup>id</sup> Shalender Jain and Sanjay Singh<sup>id</sup>\*

Phosphazanes of the type [CIP(μ-NR)]<sub>2</sub> are excellent building blocks for the formation of a range of macrocycles. The condensation reaction of the bifunctional linkers, *N*-substituted diethanolamine with cyclodiphosphazane, [CIP(μ-N<sup>t</sup>Bu)]<sub>2</sub> leads to the formation of dimeric macrocycles, [(P(μ-N<sup>t</sup>Bu))<sub>2</sub>{O(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>N(R)(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>O}]<sub>2</sub>; (R = Me (**1**), Ph (**2**)). Furthermore, the P<sup>III</sup> centres of **1** and **2** were oxidized with chalcogens (O, S, and Se) to afford the corresponding P<sup>V</sup> macrocycles – [(O=)P(μ-N<sup>t</sup>Bu))<sub>2</sub>{O(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>N(R)(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>O}]<sub>2</sub> (R = Me (**3**), Ph (**4**)); [(S=)P(μ-N<sup>t</sup>Bu))<sub>2</sub>{O(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>N(R)(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>O}]<sub>2</sub> (R = Me (**5**), Ph (**6**)) and [(Se=)P(μ-N<sup>t</sup>Bu))<sub>2</sub>{O(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>N(R)(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>O}]<sub>2</sub> (R = Me (**7**), Ph (**8**)). An investigation of the Lewis basic behavior of the dimeric macrocycles **1** and **2** was performed by treating them with BH<sub>3</sub>·SMe<sub>2</sub>, which resulted in Lewis adduct formation, incorporating a total of six BH<sub>3</sub> molecules in the macrocyclic skeleton of **1**, [(BH<sub>3</sub>)P(μ-N<sup>t</sup>Bu))<sub>2</sub>{O(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>N(BH<sub>3</sub>)(Me)(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>O}]<sub>2</sub> (**9**) and four BH<sub>3</sub> molecules in the macrocyclic skeleton of **2**, [(BH<sub>3</sub>)P(μ-N<sup>t</sup>Bu))<sub>2</sub>{O(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>N(Ph)(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>O}]<sub>2</sub> (**10**). Compounds **9** and **10** constitute the first examples of the Lewis adduct of a P<sub>2</sub>N<sub>2</sub> macrocycle with a main group Lewis acid. All the new compounds have been fully characterized using multinuclear NMR, HRMS, and single-crystal X-ray diffraction (compounds **1–3**, **8** and **9**).

Received 18th November 2024,  
Accepted 6th January 2025

DOI: 10.1039/d4dt03229b

rsc.li/dalton

## Introduction

Over the past century, Lewis acid–base adducts have garnered attention for their potential applications as reagents, hydrogen storage materials, polymer precursors, and in the field of medicine.<sup>1,2</sup> While the Lewis base characteristics of smaller molecules, such as amines and phosphines, have been extensively studied,<sup>3,4</sup> larger molecules containing phosphorus and nitrogen, particularly those in macrocyclic systems, remain less explored.<sup>5</sup> This lack of investigation primarily stems from the challenges associated with developing general synthetic methods for macrocyclization, complicated by the low bond energy of carbon–element covalent bonds. These challenges result in increased kinetic liability, bond polarity, and variable oxidation states, leading to the formation of multiple products.<sup>6–11</sup> As a result, these limitations impact the syn-

thesis, reactivity, yield, and purification of macrocyclic systems that involve main-group elements.<sup>12</sup>

In spite of myriad challenges, cyclodiphosphazanes, [R'P(μ-NR)]<sub>2</sub>, have garnered attention and emerged as a reactive and highly symmetrical synthon with a rigid framework, pre-organized to form stable macrocyclic frameworks [(P(μ-NR))<sub>2</sub>(μ-LL')]<sub>*n*</sub>, where LL' represents an organic bifunctional linker.<sup>13–15</sup> Their ability to encapsulate small molecules or ions within their cavities, coupled with the relatively high bond energy of their saturated P–N bonds (comparable to the energy of C–C bonds), positions them favourably (*ca.* 290 vs. 348 kJ mol<sup>−1</sup>, respectively).<sup>16</sup> Various approaches for ion binding, such as recognition *via* hydrogen bonding, organometallic ligands, Lewis acid–base interactions<sup>17–19</sup> (Fig. 1), and diprotic receptors, have been explored.<sup>20,21</sup>


Over the past two decades, Wright and co-workers reported inorganic and hybrid organic–inorganic macrocyclic systems using cyclodiphosphazane.<sup>22,23</sup> Additionally, the research groups of Chivers and Garcia have also published [P(μ-N<sup>t</sup>Bu)]<sub>2</sub>-based P<sup>III</sup>/P<sup>V</sup> macrocycles of different ring sizes. Depending on the steric and electronic demands of the molecules, some of these serve as hosts for anionic and neutral guest molecules.<sup>24,25</sup> The research studies of Kumaraswamy and Balakrishna have harnessed the donor properties of phos-

Department of Chemical Sciences, Indian Institute of Science Education and Research Mohali, Knowledge City, Sector 81, SAS Nagar, Mohali 140306, Punjab, India. E-mail: sanjaysingh@iisermohali.ac.in

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: NMR spectra, HRMS and X-ray diffraction data. CCDC 2403424–2403428. For ESI and crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1039/d4dt03229b>



## (I) Cyclodiphosphazane based adducts and macrocycles




**Fig. 1** (a and b) Selected examples of cyclodiphosphazane [P( $\mu$ -N<sup>t</sup>Bu)]<sub>2</sub>-based adducts with main group Lewis acids; (c) an example of the heteroleptic P<sup>V</sup>/P<sup>III</sup> macrocycle; and (d) the newly synthesized first main group Lewis acid base adduct with phosphazane macrocycles.

phorus atoms to isolate various metal-containing macrocycles, homo- or hetero-polynuclear complexes, and coordination polymers.<sup>26–28</sup> Our interest in this area stems from the extension of our previous studies, wherein the dimer [ClP( $\mu$ -N<sup>t</sup>Bu)]<sub>2</sub> was transformed into a P<sup>V</sup> derivative, serving as a building block to synthesize hexameric macrocyclic frameworks.<sup>11,29</sup> In this work, we detail the syntheses of inorganic–organic hybrid dimeric macrocycles, [(P( $\mu$ -N<sup>t</sup>Bu))<sub>2</sub>{O(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>N(R)(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>O}]<sub>2</sub> (R = Me (1), Ph (2)), by employing dichlorocyclodiphosphazane, [ClP( $\mu$ -N<sup>t</sup>Bu)]<sub>2</sub>, as the inorganic building block with bifunctional organic linkers *N*-methyldiethanolamine and *N*-phenyldiethanolamine. The dimeric macrocycles 1 and 2 were found to be air- and moisture-sensitive owing to the presence of reactive P<sup>III</sup> centres. It encouraged us to explore the oxidation of these macrocycles with different chalcogens to afford relatively robust P<sup>V</sup> derivatives 3–8, [(E=P( $\mu$ -N<sup>t</sup>Bu))<sub>2</sub>{O(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>N(R)(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>O}]<sub>2</sub> (R = Me, Ph and E = O, S, Se). Compounds 1 and 2 have an electron-rich framework featuring four O, two N, and four P centres possessing lone pairs in the primary macrocyclic backbone. This encouraged us to investigate the Lewis basic characteristics of macrocycles 1 and 2 with a non-metal Lewis acid, BH<sub>3</sub>·SMe<sub>2</sub>, affording the first examples of borane coordinated phosphazane macrocycles, [(BH<sub>3</sub>)P( $\mu$ -N<sup>t</sup>Bu)]<sub>2</sub>{O(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>N(BH<sub>3</sub>)(Me)(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>O}]<sub>2</sub> (9) and [(BH<sub>3</sub>)P( $\mu$ -N<sup>t</sup>Bu)]<sub>2</sub>{O(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>N(Ph)(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>O}]<sub>2</sub> (10).

## Results and discussion

The 1:1 reaction of [ClP( $\mu$ -N<sup>t</sup>Bu)]<sub>2</sub> with the difunctional linkers *N*-methyldiethanolamine and *N*-phenyldiethanolamine in the presence of Et<sub>3</sub>N afforded compounds 1 and 2 in 83 and 71% yields, respectively (Scheme 1). The dimeric nature of both the macrocycles was indicated by their HRMS spectra in which 1 exhibited a signal at  $m/z$  = 643.3548 (calculated 643.3517 [M + H]<sup>+</sup>) for C<sub>26</sub>H<sub>59</sub>N<sub>6</sub>O<sub>4</sub>P<sub>4</sub>, while 2 showed a signal at  $m/z$  = 767.3875 (calculated 767.3861 [M + H]<sup>+</sup>) for C<sub>36</sub>H<sub>63</sub>N<sub>6</sub>O<sub>4</sub>P<sub>4</sub>. The <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR spectra of 1 and 2 showed a signal at  $\delta$  = 134.4 and 136.6 ppm, respectively, indicating the presence of a symmetrical P<sup>III</sup> environment in these com-




**Scheme 1** Synthesis of macrocycles – [(P( $\mu$ -N<sup>t</sup>Bu))<sub>2</sub>{O(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>N(Me)(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>O}]<sub>2</sub> (1) and [(P( $\mu$ -N<sup>t</sup>Bu))<sub>2</sub>{O(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>N(Ph)(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>O}]<sub>2</sub> (2).


pounds (see ESI Fig. S2 and S6<sup>†</sup>). The <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of 1 revealed resonances consistent with the anticipated chemical shifts, including a signal at 2.38 ppm for six protons of two *N*-Me groups of the linker, while the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of 2 showed signals for ten protons of two *N*-Ph groups of the linker in between 6.73 and 7.27 ppm. Additionally, two poorly resolved triplets at 2.69 (8H) and 3.98 ppm (8H) ppm in 1 and two triplets at 3.62 (8H) and 4.05 (8H) ppm in 2 were observed corresponding to the –NCH<sub>2</sub>CH<sub>2</sub>O– groups of the linkers (see ESI Fig. S1, S3, S5 and S7<sup>†</sup>). Furthermore, the dimeric nature of the molecules, as anticipated by HRMS observations (see ESI Fig. S4 and S8<sup>†</sup>), was confirmed by single-crystal X-ray structure analysis *vide infra* (Fig. 2). Compounds 1 and 2 showed signs of hydrolysis in an open atmosphere. To enhance the stability of 1 and 2 towards air and moisture, we oxidized the P<sup>III</sup> centres to P<sup>V</sup> by using *m*CPBA (*meta*-chloroperbenzoic acid) as an oxidizing agent. The 1:4 reaction of macrocycles 1 and 2 with *m*CPBA at room temperature afforded the corresponding P(=O) macrocycles 3 and 4 (Scheme 2). In the <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR spectra of 3 and 4, the signal at –4.17 ppm for 3 and at –2.72 ppm for 4 (expected range for P(=O) moieties) demonstrated the oxidation of all the four P centres of the precursors (see ESI Fig. S10 and S14<sup>†</sup>). The HRMS spectra also supported oxidation of all four P<sup>III</sup> centres and showed a signal at  $m/z$  = 707.3318 (calculated 707.3345 [M + H]<sup>+</sup>) for C<sub>26</sub>H<sub>59</sub>N<sub>6</sub>P<sub>4</sub>O<sub>8</sub> (3) and  $m/z$  = 831.3649 (calculated 831.3658 [M + H]<sup>+</sup>) for C<sub>36</sub>H<sub>63</sub>N<sub>6</sub>P<sub>4</sub>O<sub>8</sub> (4) (see ESI Fig. S12 and S16<sup>†</sup>). In the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of 3, two equivalents each of THF and the side product, *m*-chlorobenzoic acid (signals at 7.38, 7.52, 7.93, and 8.04 ppm), were found per equivalent of the macrocycle (see ESI Fig. S9<sup>†</sup>). The presence of N⋯H–O hydrogen bonds involving *m*-chlorobenzoic acid and the macrocycle 3 was later confirmed from single crystal X-ray diffraction (Fig. 2, *vide infra*). Likewise, in the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectrum of 4, the signals observed were in accordance with the expected structure along with the presence of four equivalents of *m*-chlorobenzoic acid per equivalent of the macrocycle 4 (see ESI Fig. S13<sup>†</sup>). Oxidation of macrocycles 1 and 2 with *N*-methyl morpholine *N*-oxide (NMMO) and triethylamine *N*-oxide did not proceed.

Subsequently, we also attempted to oxidize the macrocycles with heavier chalcogens (elemental S and Se). The 1:4, neat reaction of compounds 1 and 2 with S and Se under heating or





**Fig. 2** Single crystal X-ray structure of macrocycles **1**, **2**, **3**-(THF)<sub>2</sub>(*m*-ClC<sub>7</sub>H<sub>5</sub>O<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>, **8**-(C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>)<sub>2</sub>, and **9**-(DCM)<sub>2</sub>. Thermal ellipsoids are shown at a 50% probability level. All hydrogen atoms, co-crystallized solvents (for **3**, **8** and **9**) and *m*-chlorobenzoic acid (in **3A**) have been omitted for clarity. Selected bond lengths [Å] and bond angles [°] for **1**: P1–O1 1.631(3), P2–O2 1.624(3), P1–N1 1.733(4), P1–N2 1.6724(3), P2–N1 1.730(3), P2–N2 1.731(4); P2–N1–P1 96.29(18), P1–N2–P2 96.59(18), O1–P1–P2 125.20(11), O1–P1–N1 107.13(16), O1–P1–N2 107.29(16); **2**: P1–O1 1.646(3), P2–O2 1.618(3), P1–N1 1.705(4), P1–N2 1.701(4), P2–N2 1.717(4); O1–P1–P2 114.48(11), O1–P1–N1 104.23(17), O1–P1–N2 103.34(18), N2–P1–N1 81.20(18); **3**: P1–O3 1.466(3), P1–O1 1.587(3), P1–N1 1.674(3), P2–O2 1.581(3), P2–O4 1.464(3); O3–P1–O1 112.60(15), O3–P1–N1 119.86(16), O1–P1–N1 108.56(15); **8**: Se2–P2 2.0746(13), Se1–P1 2.0707(13), P2–O2 1.589(3), P2–N3 1.682(4), P1–O1 1.593(3); Se2–P2–O2 113.8(13), Se1–P1–O1 108.66(13), O2–P2–N3 107.36(18), N3–P2–Se2 120.42(14); and **9**: P2–O2 1.596(3), P2–N2 1.675(3), P2–N1 1.677(3), P2–B3 1.896(5), P1–B1 1.881(5), P1–O1 1.584(3); O2–P2–N2 106.64(15), O2–P2–N1 107.07(16), O2–P2–B3 113.6(2), O1–P1–B1 107.4(2), N2–P2–B3 121.2(2), N2–P2–N1 84.14(17).



**Scheme 2** Oxidation of P<sup>III</sup> centres to P<sup>IV</sup> centres and syntheses of macrocycles – [(E=)P(μ-N<sup>t</sup>Bu)]<sub>2</sub>{O(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>N(R)(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>O}<sub>2</sub> (R = Me; E = O (**3**), S (**5**), Se (**7**)) and (R = Ph; E = O (**4**), S (**6**), Se (**8**)).


in toluene under reflux conditions afforded the expected oxidation of the dimeric macrocycle with the P(=S) and P(=Se) groups, respectively. The HRMS spectrum at  $m/z = 770.2319$  (calculated 770.2353 [M]<sup>+</sup>) for C<sub>26</sub>H<sub>58</sub>N<sub>6</sub>O<sub>4</sub>P<sub>4</sub>S<sub>4</sub> and 895.2734 (calculated 895.2744 [M + H]<sup>+</sup>) for C<sub>36</sub>H<sub>63</sub>N<sub>6</sub>O<sub>4</sub>P<sub>4</sub>S<sub>4</sub> showed the formation of oxidized products [(S=)P(μ-N<sup>t</sup>Bu)]<sub>2</sub>{O(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>N

(Me)(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>O}<sub>2</sub> (**5**) and [(S=)P(μ-N<sup>t</sup>Bu)]<sub>2</sub>{O(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>N(Ph)(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>O}<sub>2</sub> (**6**), respectively, while at  $m/z = 961.0259$  (calculated 961.0230 [M + H]<sup>+</sup>) for C<sub>26</sub>H<sub>59</sub>N<sub>6</sub>O<sub>4</sub>P<sub>4</sub>Se<sub>4</sub> and  $m/z = 1085.0408$  (calculated 1085.0547 [M + H]<sup>+</sup>) for C<sub>36</sub>H<sub>63</sub>N<sub>6</sub>O<sub>4</sub>P<sub>4</sub>Se<sub>4</sub> also supported the formation of products [(Se=)P(μ-N<sup>t</sup>Bu)]<sub>2</sub>{O(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>N(Me)(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>O}<sub>2</sub> (**7**) and [(Se=)P(μ-N<sup>t</sup>Bu)]<sub>2</sub>{O(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>N(Ph)(CH<sub>2</sub>)<sub>2</sub>O}<sub>2</sub> (**8**), respectively (see ESI Fig. S20, S24, S28 and S32<sup>†</sup>). In the <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR spectrum, the absence of a signal in the P<sup>III</sup> region and the presence of a new signal at 17.5 ppm for **5** and 16.6 ppm for **6** also indicated the oxidation of all four P<sup>III</sup> centres to (S=)P<sup>V</sup> (see ESI Fig. S18 and S22<sup>†</sup>). Furthermore, the changes in the chemical shift values in the signals in the <sup>1</sup>H NMR spectra of **5** and **6** compared to the parent molecules were attributed to the formation of the expected products (see ESI Fig. S17 and S21<sup>†</sup>). The NMR characterization of Se-containing macrocycles **7** and **8** also showed a shift in the position of signals, indicating the formation of the expected products. The <sup>31</sup>P{<sup>1</sup>H} NMR spectrum of **7** showed a signal at 49.3 ppm containing the characteristic <sup>77</sup>Se satellites (<sup>1</sup>J<sub>31P,77Se</sub> = 975 Hz); similarly, **8** showed a signal at 46.5 ppm (<sup>77</sup>Se satellites with <sup>1</sup>J<sub>31P,77Se</sub> = 954 Hz) and confirmed the oxidation of all four P<sup>III</sup> centres to P<sup>V</sup> by Se (see ESI



Fig. S26 and S30†). The  $^1\text{H}$  and  $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$  NMR spectra of **7** and **8** confirmed the macrocycle structure of the product to be retained upon oxidation of  $\text{P}^{\text{III}}$  centres. Further, the oxidation of all  $\text{P}^{\text{III}}$  centres with Se was confirmed with the single crystal X-ray structure of  $\{[\text{Se}=\text{P}(\mu\text{-N}^t\text{Bu})]_2\{\text{O}(\text{CH}_2)_2\text{N}(\text{Ph})(\text{CH}_2)_2\text{O}\}_2\}$  (**8**) (Fig. 2 and ESI Table S1†). Multiple attempts to crystallize compounds **4**–**7** were unsuccessful.

Towards exploring the reaction chemistry or Lewis basic behavior of P and N centres in macrocycles **1** and **2**, we performed reaction with  $\text{BH}_3\cdot\text{SMe}_2$ . Interestingly, the reaction of macrocycles **1** and **2** with six equivalents of  $\text{BH}_3\cdot\text{SMe}_2$  resulted in the coordination of six and four  $\text{BH}_3$  units in the respective products **9** and **10** (Scheme 3). The HRMS spectrum showed a signal at  $m/z = 712.65217$  (calcd 712.5233  $[\text{M} - \text{BH}_2]^+$ ) for  $\text{C}_{26}\text{H}_{74}\text{N}_6\text{O}_4\text{P}_4\text{B}_5$  corresponding to the macrocycle with six  $\text{BH}_3$  units,  $\{[(\text{BH}_3)\text{P}(\mu\text{-N}^t\text{Bu})]_2\{\text{O}(\text{CH}_2)_2\text{N}(\text{BH}_3)(\text{Me})(\text{CH}_2)_2\text{O}\}_2\}$  (**9**) (see ESI Fig. S37†). Complex **9** was stable in an open atmosphere for a few hours but sensitive to moisture. In the  $^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}$  NMR spectrum, the presence of a sole signal at 110.2 ppm confirmed a symmetrical structure and  $\text{BH}_3$  coordination to all the four P centres (see ESI Fig. S34†). Similarly, the reaction of  $\text{BH}_3\cdot\text{SMe}_2$  with macrocycle **2** afforded a white compound characterized as  $\{[(\text{BH}_3)\text{P}(\mu\text{-N}^t\text{Bu})]_2\{\text{O}(\text{CH}_2)_2\text{N}(\text{Ph})(\text{CH}_2)_2\text{O}\}_2\}$  (**10**), which showed a broad signal in the  $^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}$  NMR spectrum at 109.2 ppm (see ESI Fig. S39†). The  $^{11}\text{B}$  NMR spectrum of **9** showed two broad signals at  $-11.4$  ppm (for  $\text{N-BH}_3$ ) and  $-38.6$  ppm (for  $\text{P-BH}_3$ ) (see ESI Fig. S35†). In contrast, the  $^{11}\text{B}$  NMR spectrum of **10** showed only one signal at  $-37.7$  ppm (for  $\text{P-BH}_3$  units). The  $\text{BH}_3$  coordination to the  $\text{N-Ph}$  centres was not observed (see ESI Fig. S40†). The HRMS spectrum of **10** showed a signal at  $m/z = 823.5162$  (calcd 823.5195  $[\text{M} + \text{H}]^+$ ) for  $\text{C}_{36}\text{H}_{75}\text{N}_6\text{O}_4\text{P}_4\text{B}_4$ , also confirming the coordination of only four  $\text{BH}_3$  units. The unambiguous structure of **9** was elucidated by the single-crystal X-ray diffraction technique (Fig. 2).



**Scheme 3** Synthesis of  $\{[(\text{BH}_3)\text{P}(\mu\text{-N}^t\text{Bu})]_2\{\text{O}(\text{CH}_2)_2\text{N}(\text{BH}_3)(\text{Me})(\text{CH}_2)_2\text{O}\}_2\}$  (**9**) and  $\{[(\text{BH}_3)\text{P}(\mu\text{-N}^t\text{Bu})]_2\{\text{O}(\text{CH}_2)_2\text{N}(\text{Ph})(\text{CH}_2)_2\text{O}\}_2\}$  (**10**).

### Solid state structure of macrocycles **1**–**3**, **8** and **9**

The solid-state structures of compounds **1**–**3**, **8**, and **9** were elucidated by the single crystal X-ray diffraction method (Fig. 2 and Table S1, ESI†). Single crystals of **1** suitable for X-ray diffraction were obtained from the  $\text{C}_6\text{D}_6$  solution as colorless needles and of **2** from the THF solution as colorless blocks. Complex **1** crystallized in the monoclinic crystal system with a  $P2_1/c$  space group and **2** crystallized in the triclinic crystal system with a  $P\bar{1}$  space group. The solid-state structure of  $\{[\text{P}(\mu\text{-N}^t\text{Bu})]_2\{\text{O}(\text{CH}_2)_2\text{N}(\text{Me})(\text{CH}_2)_2\text{O}\}_2\}$  (**1**) confirmed the dimeric nature of the macrocycle containing 20 atoms and  $\text{C}_8\text{P}_4\text{N}_4\text{O}_4$  in the inner core arranged in a centrosymmetric manner. The separation between transannular phosphorus atoms  $\text{P1}\cdots\text{P1}'$  in **1** was 9.86 Å, while the same in **2** was found to be 10.02 Å, indicating large macrocyclic cavities in **1** and **2**. These  $\text{P1}\cdots\text{P1}'$  separations along with the separation between the N-atom of two diethanolamine moieties  $\text{N3}\cdots\text{N3}'$  (6.17 Å in **1** and 6.96 Å in **2**) make these cavities somewhat elliptical in shape. Compound **3** crystallized in the triclinic system with the  $P\bar{1}$  space group. The single crystal X-ray structure of **3** confirmed the macrocyclic structure with an elliptical cavity of size 8.730 Å  $\times$  6.257 Å measured across the transannular  $\text{P1}\cdots\text{P1}'$  and  $\text{N3}\cdots\text{N3}'$ , respectively (Fig. 2, **3A**). It is interesting to note that oxidation of **1** into **3** led to a decrease in the cavity size. The co-crystallization of two molecules – each of THF and *m*-chlorobenzoic acid per molecule of **3** (also observed in the  $^1\text{H}$  and  $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$  NMR spectra of **3**) – was confirmed by SCXRD analysis for **3**. The N-center of the *N*-methyldiethanolamine linker was involved in  $\text{N}\cdots\text{H-O}$  hydrogen bonds with *m*-chlorobenzoic acid with the  $\text{N}\cdots\text{H}$  distance of 1.817 Å (Fig. 2, **3B**). Compound **8** crystallized as colorless block-shaped crystals from a solution in  $\text{C}_6\text{D}_6$ . Compound **8** crystallized in the monoclinic system with a  $P2_1/c$  space group. All four P-centres of **8** were oxidized with Se, attending the pentavalent state. Interestingly, the cavity size of 10.02 Å  $\times$  6.96 Å for **8** measured across the transannular  $\text{P1}\cdots\text{P1}'$  and  $\text{N1}\cdots\text{N1}'$  centres remained unchanged compared to its precursor **2**. The borane coordinated macrocycle **9** crystallized in the monoclinic system with a  $P2_1/n$  space group. In the solid-state structure of **9**, all four P-centres, as well as both N-centres of the linker, were coordinated with the  $\text{BH}_3$  molecules with the P–B bond length as 1.895(1) Å and the N–B distance as 1.637(1) Å. Due to this Lewis acid–base coordination, the  $\text{P1}\cdots\text{P1}'$  distance (8.702 Å) was shortened, and the  $\text{N3}\cdots\text{N3}'$  distance (7.103 Å) was elongated compared to the parent macrocycle **1**, *vide supra*.

### Conclusions

In conclusion, we have reported the first examples of the Lewis base interaction of phosphazane-based macrocycles with a main-group Lewis acid. The dimeric macrocycles,  $\{[\text{P}(\mu\text{-N}^t\text{Bu})]_2\{\text{O}(\text{CH}_2)_2\text{N}(\text{R})(\text{CH}_2)_2\text{O}\}_2\}$  ( $\text{R} = \text{Me}$  (**1**) and  $\text{Ph}$  (**2**)), having  $\text{P}^{\text{III}}$  centres were assembled by condensation with the elimination of HCl. Furthermore, the  $\text{P}^{\text{III}}$  centres in **1** and **2** were oxidized to a comparatively more stable  $\text{P}^{\text{V}}$  with chalcogens (O, S,



and Se) and afforded macrocycles 3–8. The Lewis basic behavior of the dimeric macrocycle has been studied by treating it with  $\text{BH}_3\cdot\text{SMe}_2$ , which resulted in the formation of Lewis adducts,  $[\{(\text{BH}_3\text{P}(\mu\text{-N}^t\text{Bu}))_2\text{O}(\text{CH}_2)_2\text{N}(\text{BH}_3)(\text{Me})(\text{CH}_2)_2\text{O}\}]_2$  (9) and  $[\{(\text{BH}_3\text{P}(\mu\text{-N}^t\text{Bu}))_2\text{O}(\text{CH}_2)_2\text{N}(\text{Ph})(\text{CH}_2)_2\text{O}\}]_2$  (10).

## Experimental

### General

All manipulations were performed under a nitrogen/argon atmosphere using a Schlenk line or glove box techniques. All chemicals were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich and used without further purification.  $[\text{ClP}(\mu\text{-N}^t\text{Bu})_2]$  was prepared as per the reported procedure.<sup>23</sup> The  $^1\text{H}$ ,  $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$ , and  $^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}$  NMR spectra were recorded by using a Bruker 400 MHz spectrometer; chemical shift values are reported in ppm. High-resolution mass spectrometry was performed using a Waters SYNAPT G2-S. Melting points were obtained in sealed capillaries using a Büchi B-540 melting point instrument.

### Synthesis of $[\{\text{P}(\mu\text{-N}^t\text{Bu})_2\text{O}(\text{CH}_2)_2\text{N}(\text{Me})(\text{CH}_2)_2\text{O}\}]_2$ (1)

A solution of  $[\text{ClP}(\mu\text{-N}^t\text{Bu})_2]$  (1.0 g, 3.6 mmol) in THF (30 mL) was added dropwise at  $-78\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$  to a stirred solution of *N*-methyl-diethanolamine (0.43 g, 3.6 mmol) and  $\text{Et}_3\text{N}$  (2.0 mL, excess) in THF (30 mL) over 30 min. The reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and further stirred for 12 h. The volatiles were removed under vacuum, and the residue was extracted in hexane (60 mL). The volume of the resulting colorless solution was reduced under vacuum ( $\sim 20$  mL) and kept at  $-10\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ , which afforded colorless crystals suitable for single-crystal X-ray diffraction. Yield: 0.96 g (83%). Mp:  $127\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ .  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ ):  $\delta = 1.28$  (s, 36H,  $^t\text{Bu}$ ), 2.38 (s, 6H,  $-\text{NCH}_3$ ), 2.69 (t,  $^3J_{\text{H-H}} = 8$  Hz, 8H,  $\text{NCH}_2$ ), 3.98 (broad t, 8H,  $\text{OCH}_2$ ) ppm.  $^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}$  NMR (162 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ ):  $\delta = 134.3$  ppm.  $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$  NMR (100 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ ):  $\delta = 31.1$ , 44.2, 51.1, 57.9, 59.9 ppm. HRMS ( $\text{AP}^+$ ):  $m/z$  calculated for  $\text{C}_{26}\text{H}_{59}\text{N}_6\text{O}_4\text{P}_4$ : (643.3548)  $[\text{M} + \text{H}]^+$ ; found: 643.3517.

### Synthesis of $[\{\text{P}(\mu\text{-N}^t\text{Bu})_2\text{O}(\text{CH}_2)_2\text{N}(\text{Ph})(\text{CH}_2)_2\text{O}\}]_2$ (2)

The procedure described above for 1 was followed to prepare 2 by taking  $[\text{ClP}(\mu\text{-N}^t\text{Bu})_2]$  (1.0 g, 3.6 mmol) in THF (30 mL) and *N*-phenyldiethanolamine (0.66 g, 3.6 mmol) and  $\text{Et}_3\text{N}$  (2.0 mL, excess) in THF (30 mL). Crystals suitable for single-crystal X-ray diffraction of 2 were grown from a THF solution at  $-20\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ . Yield: 1.0 g (71%). Mp:  $135\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ .  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ ):  $\delta = 1.36$  (s, 36H,  $^t\text{Bu}$ ), 3.64 (t,  $^3J_{\text{H-H}} = 8$  Hz, 8H,  $\text{NCH}_2$ ), 4.05 (t, 8H,  $\text{OCH}_2$ ), 6.73–6.80 (closely placed d and t, 6H,  $-\text{PhN}$ ), 7.27 (t, 4H,  $-\text{PhN}$ ).  $^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}$  NMR (162 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ ):  $\delta = 136.6$  ppm.  $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$  NMR (100 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ ):  $\delta = 25.6$ , 31.1, 51.1, 58.4, 111.3, 116.3, 129.5 and 147.3 ppm. 19.5 ppm. HRMS ( $\text{ES}^+$ ):  $m/z$  calculated for  $\text{C}_{36}\text{H}_{63}\text{N}_6\text{O}_4\text{P}_4$ : (767.3861)  $[\text{M} + \text{H}]^+$ ; found: 767.3875.

### Synthesis of $[\{(\text{O}=\text{P}(\mu\text{-N}^t\text{Bu}))_2\text{O}(\text{CH}_2)_2\text{N}(\text{Me})(\text{CH}_2)_2\text{O}\}]_2$ (3)

A solution of 1 (0.64 g, 1.0 mmol) in THF (20 mL) was added to a solution of *m*CPBA (0.70 g, 4.0 mmol) in 20 mL of THF at  $-78\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ , and then stirred at room temperature for 10 h. The solution was concentrated and kept for crystallization at  $4\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ , which afforded colorless crystals of 3 suitable for single-crystal X-ray diffraction. Compound 3 was found to be a white solid. Yield: 1.29 g (96%; including *m*-chlorobenzoic acid). Mp:  $92\text{--}94\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ .  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ ):  $\delta = 1.43$  (s, 36H,  $^t\text{Bu}$ ), 2.44 (s, 6H,  $-\text{NCH}_3$ ), 2.87 (broad t, 8H,  $\text{NCH}_2$ ), 4.25 (broad t, 8H,  $\text{OCH}_2$ ) (residual THF signals were found at  $\delta = 1.8$  & 3.7 ppm) and *m*-chlorobenzoic acid (two molecules) at  $\delta = 7.38$  (t,  $^3J_{\text{H-H}} = 8$  Hz, 2H), 7.52 (d, 2H,  $^3J_{\text{H-H}} = 8$  Hz), 7.93 (d,  $^3J_{\text{H-H}} = 8$  Hz, 2H), 8.04 (s, 2H) ppm.  $^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}$  NMR (162 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ ):  $\delta = -4.2$  ppm.  $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$  NMR (100 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ ):  $\delta = 30.2$ , 43.3, 55.1, 57.1 and 66.0 ppm (THF signals at  $\delta = 25.6$ , 68.0 ppm) and *m*-chlorobenzoic acid signals at  $\delta = 128.0$ , 129.7, 130.0, 132.2, 133.1, 134.4, 168.7 ppm. HRMS ( $\text{ES}^+$ ):  $m/z$  calculated for  $\text{C}_{26}\text{H}_{59}\text{N}_6\text{O}_8\text{P}_4$ : (707.3345)  $[\text{M} + \text{H}]^+$ ; found: 707.3318.

### Synthesis of $[\{(\text{O}=\text{P}(\mu\text{-N}^t\text{Bu}))_2\text{O}(\text{CH}_2)_2\text{N}(\text{Ph})(\text{CH}_2)_2\text{O}\}]_2$ (4)

The procedure described above for 3 was followed to synthesize 4 by using a solution of 2 (0.77 g, 1.0 mmol) in THF (20 mL) and a solution of *m*CPBA (0.70 g, 4.0 mmol) in THF (20 mL). Yield: 1.41 g (95%) as a white solid including *m*-chlorobenzoic acid. Mp:  $104\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ .  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ ): 1.44 (s, 36H,  $^t\text{Bu}$ ), 3.80 (t,  $^3J_{\text{H-H}} = 8$  Hz, 8H,  $\text{NCH}_2$ ), 4.33 (t, 8H,  $\text{OCH}_2$ ), 6.79–6.81 (overlapped d and t, 6H,  $-\text{PhN}$ ), 7.28 (t, 4H,  $-\text{PhN}$ ) [*m*-chlorobenzoic acid (four molecules) at  $\delta = 7.43$  (t,  $^3J_{\text{H-H}} = 8$  Hz, 4H), 7.57 (d,  $^3J_{\text{H-H}} = 8$  Hz, 2H), 7.99 (d,  $^3J_{\text{H-H}} = 8$  Hz, 2H), 8.09 (s, 4H)].  $^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}$  NMR (162 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ ):  $\delta = -2.7$  ppm.  $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$  NMR (100 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ ):  $\delta = 30.3$ , 52.4, 55.1, 65.3, 112.0, 117.8, 129.7 and 146.5 ppm. (*m*-chlorobenzoic acid signals at  $\delta = 128.2$ , 130.1, 131.5, 133.5, 134.5, and 168.7 ppm.) HRMS ( $\text{ES}^+$ ):  $m/z$  calculated for  $\text{C}_{36}\text{H}_{63}\text{N}_6\text{O}_8\text{P}_4$ : (831.3658)  $[\text{M} + \text{H}]^+$ ; found: 831.3649.

### Synthesis of $[\{(\text{S}=\text{P}(\mu\text{-N}^t\text{Bu}))_2\text{O}(\text{CH}_2)_2\text{N}(\text{Me})(\text{CH}_2)_2\text{O}\}]_2$ (5)

A solution of 1 (0.64 g, 1.0 mmol) and sulfur (0.13 g, 4.2 mmol) in toluene was refluxed for 24 h and the reaction progress was monitored by *in situ*  $^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}$  NMR spectroscopy. After completion of the reaction ( $\sim 24$  h), all volatiles were removed under vacuum, and the product was washed with hexane. Yield: 0.74 g (95%). Mp:  $186\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ .  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz,  $\text{C}_6\text{D}_6$ ):  $\delta = 1.52$  (s, 36H,  $^t\text{Bu}$ ), 2.36 (s, 6H,  $-\text{NCH}_3$ ), 2.82 (8H,  $\text{NCH}_2$ ), 3.05 (8H,  $\text{OCH}_2$ ) ppm.  $^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}$  NMR (162 MHz,  $\text{C}_6\text{D}_6$ ):  $\delta = 17.5$  ppm.  $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$  NMR (100 MHz,  $\text{C}_6\text{D}_6$ ):  $\delta = 21.4$ , 30.7, 41.3, 57.0 and 57.3 ppm. HRMS ( $\text{AP}^+$ ):  $m/z$  calculated for  $\text{C}_{26}\text{H}_{58}\text{N}_6\text{O}_4\text{P}_4\text{S}_4$ : (770.2353)  $[\text{M}]^+$ ; found: 770.2319.

### Synthesis of $[\{(\text{S}=\text{P}(\mu\text{-N}^t\text{Bu}))_2\text{O}(\text{CH}_2)_2\text{N}(\text{Ph})(\text{CH}_2)_2\text{O}\}]_2$ (6)

The procedure described above for 5 was followed to synthesize 6, by using a solution of 2 (0.77 g, 1.0 mmol) and sulfur (0.13 g, 4.2 mmol) in toluene. Yield: 0.82 g (91%). Mp:  $192\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ .  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ ):  $\delta = 1.51$  (s, 36H,  $^t\text{Bu}$ ), 3.10



(t,  $^3J_{\text{H-H}} = 8$  Hz, 8H, NCH<sub>2</sub>), 3.73 (t, 8H, OCH<sub>2</sub>), 6.77–6.87 (closely placed d and t, 6H, –PhN), 7.29 (t, 4H, –PhN) ppm.  $^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}$  NMR (162 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 16.6$  ppm.  $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$  NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 30.5, 51.7, 57.2, 112.8, 117.9, 129.7$  and 146.0 ppm. HRMS (ES<sup>+</sup>):  $m/z$  calculated for C<sub>36</sub>H<sub>63</sub>N<sub>6</sub>O<sub>4</sub>P<sub>4</sub>S<sub>4</sub>: (895.2744) [M + H]<sup>+</sup>; found: 895.2733.

#### Synthesis of $[\{(\text{Se}=\text{P}(\mu\text{-N}^t\text{Bu})_2\text{O}(\text{CH}_2)_2\text{N}(\text{Me})(\text{CH}_2)_2\text{O})\}_2]$ (7)

A mixture of **1** (0.64 g, 1.0 mmol) and selenium (0.33 g, 4.2 mmol) in toluene was refluxed for 24 h and the reaction progress was monitored by *in situ*  $^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}$  NMR spectroscopy. After completion of the reaction (~24 h), all volatiles were removed under vacuum and the product was washed with hexane. Yield: 0.93 g (97%). Mp: 191 °C.  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta = 1.51$  (s, 36H, <sup>t</sup>Bu), 2.04 (s, 6H, –NCH<sub>3</sub>), 2.38 (t,  $^3J_{\text{H-H}} = 8$  Hz, 8H, –NCH<sub>2</sub>), 4.01 (broad t, 8H, OCH<sub>2</sub>).  $^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}$  NMR: (162 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta = 49.3$  ppm (s with a satellite doublet due to  $[\{^{77}\text{Se}=\text{P}(\mu\text{-N}^t\text{Bu})_2\text{P}(=\text{Se})\}]$ ,  $^1J_{^{31}\text{P},^{77}\text{Se}} = 975$  Hz).  $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$  NMR (100 MHz, C<sub>6</sub>D<sub>6</sub>):  $\delta = 29.4, 42.6, 55.9, 57.1$  and 66.7 ppm. HRMS (ES<sup>+</sup>):  $m/z$  calculated for C<sub>26</sub>H<sub>59</sub>N<sub>6</sub>O<sub>4</sub>P<sub>4</sub>Se<sub>4</sub>: (961.0230) [M + H]<sup>+</sup>; found: 961.0259.

#### Synthesis of $[\{(\text{Se}=\text{P}(\mu\text{-N}^t\text{Bu})_2\text{O}(\text{CH}_2)_2\text{N}(\text{Ph})(\text{CH}_2)_2\text{O})\}_2]$ (8)

The procedure described above for **7** was followed to synthesize **8**, by using a solution of **2** (0.77 g, 1.0 mmol) and selenium (0.33 g, 4.2 mmol) in toluene. The crystals suitable for single-crystal X-ray diffraction analysis were obtained from a deuterated benzene solution of **8** at room temperature. Yield: 1.04 g (96%). Mp: 198 °C.  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 1.53$  (s, 36H, <sup>t</sup>Bu), 3.37 (t,  $^3J_{\text{H-H}} = 8$  Hz, 8H, NCH<sub>2</sub>), 4.20 (t, 8H, OCH<sub>2</sub>), 6.76–6.80 (overlapped d and t, 6H, –PhN), 7.26 (t, 4H, –PhN) ppm.  $^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}$  NMR (162 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 46.5$  ppm (s with a satellite doublet due to  $[\{^{77}\text{Se}=\text{P}(\mu\text{-N}^t\text{Bu})_2\text{P}(=\text{Se})\}]$ ,  $^1J_{^{31}\text{P},^{77}\text{Se}} = 954$  Hz).  $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$  NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 30.0, 51.2, 57.1, 66.5, 112.3, 117.8, 129.8$  and 146.6 ppm. HRMS (ES<sup>+</sup>):  $m/z$  calculated for C<sub>36</sub>H<sub>63</sub>N<sub>6</sub>O<sub>4</sub>P<sub>4</sub>Se<sub>4</sub>: (1085.0547) [M + H]<sup>+</sup>; found: 1085.0408.

#### Synthesis of $[\{(\text{BH}_3\text{P}(\mu\text{-N}^t\text{Bu})_2\text{O}(\text{CH}_2)_2\text{N}(\text{BH}_3)(\text{Me})(\text{CH}_2)_2\text{O})\}_2]$ (9)

To a solution of **1** (0.65 g, 1.0 mmol) in toluene, BH<sub>3</sub>·SMe<sub>2</sub> (0.6 mL, 6.2 mmol) was added at –70 °C, followed by gradually increasing the temperature, and formation of a white precipitate was observed. The reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 2 h. All volatiles were removed under vacuum and the residue was washed with hexane to afford **9**. Suitable crystals of **9** for single-crystal X-ray diffraction analysis were obtained from a solution of DCM and few drops of THF; the crystallized compound contained an equimolar amount of DCM. Yield: 0.71 g (93%). Mp: 187 °C.  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 1.49$  (s, broad, 54H, <sup>t</sup>Bu and BH<sub>3</sub>), 2.77 (s, 6H, –NCH<sub>3</sub>), 2.97 (4H, –NCH<sub>2</sub>), 3.25 (4H, –NCH<sub>2</sub>), 4.53 (8H, OCH<sub>2</sub>) ppm and at 5.32 ppm (s, one molecule of DCM).  $^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}$  NMR (162 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 110.2$  ppm.  $^{11}\text{B}$  NMR (128.4 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = -11.4$  ppm and –38.6 ppm.  $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$  NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 30.4, 53.2, 55.7, 62.3$  and 63.1 ppm.

HRMS (ES<sup>+</sup>):  $m/z$  calculated for C<sub>26</sub>H<sub>74</sub>N<sub>6</sub>O<sub>4</sub>P<sub>4</sub>B<sub>5</sub>: (712.5233) [M – BH<sub>2</sub>]<sup>+</sup>; found: 712.6521.

#### Synthesis of $[\{(\text{BH}_3\text{P}(\mu\text{-N}^t\text{Bu})_2\text{O}(\text{CH}_2)_2\text{N}(\text{Ph})(\text{CH}_2)_2\text{O})\}_2]$ (10)

The procedure described above for **9** was followed to synthesize **10**, by using a solution of **2** (0.77 g, 1.0 mmol) in toluene and BH<sub>3</sub>·SMe<sub>2</sub> (0.4 mL, 4.2 mmol). Yield: 0.77 g (94%). Mp: 163 °C.  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 1.38$  (s, broad, 48H, <sup>t</sup>Bu and BH<sub>3</sub>), 3.57 (t,  $^3J_{\text{H-H}} = 8$  Hz, 8H, NCH<sub>2</sub>), 4.08 (t, 8H, OCH<sub>2</sub>), 6.64–6.74 (closely placed d and t, 6H, –PhN), 7.19 (t, 4H, –PhN) ppm.  $^{31}\text{P}\{^1\text{H}\}$  NMR (162 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 109.2$  ppm.  $^{11}\text{B}$  NMR (128.4 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = -37.7$  ppm.  $^{13}\text{C}\{^1\text{H}\}$  NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>):  $\delta = 30.0, 51.6, 55.5, 63.3, 112.48, 118.4, 129.7$  and 146.4 ppm. HRMS (ES<sup>+</sup>):  $m/z$  calculated for C<sub>36</sub>H<sub>75</sub>N<sub>6</sub>O<sub>4</sub>P<sub>4</sub>B<sub>4</sub>: (823.5195) [M + H]<sup>+</sup>; found: 823.5162.

## Data availability

All data included and leading to conclusions presented in this manuscript are included within the manuscript and its ESI.†

## Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

## Acknowledgements

This research was supported by grants from the Department of Science and Technology (DST), New Delhi [DST/SERB (EMR/2016/003911)]. We are grateful to IISER Mohali for central NMR and HRMS facilities and the Department of Chemical Sciences for the X-ray diffraction facility. Useful discussions and help rendered by Dr. Angshuman Roy Choudhury are gratefully acknowledged for the X-ray structure of compounds reported in the paper. The DST-FIST 400 MHz NMR facility, SR/FST/CS-II/2019/94 (C) (TPN No. 32545), is also gratefully acknowledged.

## References

- 1 K. Takroui, V. M. Dembistky and M. Srebnik, *Studies Inorg. Chem.*, 2005, **22**, 495–549.
- 2 A. Jabbour, R. Smoum, K. Takroui, E. Shalom, B. Zaks, D. Steinberg, A. Rubinstein, I. Goldberg, J. Katzhendler and M. Srebnik, *Pure Appl. Chem.*, 2006, **78**, 1425–1453.
- 3 B. Carboni and L. Monnier, *Tetrahedron*, 1999, **55**, 1197–1248.
- 4 A. Staubitz, A. P. M. Robertson, M. E. Solan and I. Manners, *Chem. Rev.*, 2010, **110**, 4023–4078.
- 5 K. Worm, F. P. Schmidtchen, A. Schier, A. Schafer and M. Hesse, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl.*, 1994, **33**, 327–329.
- 6 J. S. Ritch and T. Chivers, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2007, **46**, 4610–4613.



- 7 R. E. Mulvey, *Chem. Commun.*, 2001, 1049–1056.
- 8 W. Clegg, K. W. Henderson, A. R. Kennedy, R. E. Mulvey, C. T. O'Hara, R. B. Rowlings and D. M. Tooke, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2001, **40**, 3902–3905.
- 9 S. González-Calera, D. J. Eisler, J. M. Goodman, M. McPartlin, S. Singh and D. S. Wright, *Dalton Trans.*, 2009, 1293–1296.
- 10 S. González-Calera, D. J. Eisler, J. V. Morey, M. McPartlin, S. Singh and D. S. Wright, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2008, **47**, 1111–1114.
- 11 D. Bawari, B. Prashanth, S. Ravi, K. R. Shamasundar, S. Singh and D. S. Wright, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2016, **22**, 12027–12033.
- 12 (a) A. J. Plajer, R. Garcia-Rodriguez, C. G. M. Benson, P. D. Matthews, A. D. Bond, S. Singh, L. H. Gade and D. S. Wright, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.*, 2017, **56**, 9087–9090; (b) D. Mondal and M. S. Balakrishna, *Dalton Trans.*, 2021, **50**, 6382–6409.
- 13 (a) F. Dodds, F. Garcia, R. A. Kowenicki, M. McPartlin, A. Steiner and D. S. Wright, *Chem. Commun.*, 2005, 3733–3735; (b) M. S. Balakrishna, *Dalton Trans.*, 2016, **45**, 12252–12282.
- 14 (a) E. L. Doyle, F. Garcia, S. M. Humphrey, R. A. Kowenicki, L. Riera, A. D. Woods and D. S. Wright, *Dalton Trans.*, 2004, **4**, 807–812; (b) L. Stahl, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2000, **210**, 203–250.
- 15 (a) V. S. Kashid, J. T. Mague and M. S. Balakrishna, *J. Chem. Sci.*, 2017, **129**, 1531–1537; (b) S. González-Calera and D. S. Wright, *Dalton Trans.*, 2010, **39**, 5055–5065.
- 16 H. C. Niu, A. J. Plajer, R. Garcia-Rodriguez, S. Singh and D. S. Wright, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2018, **24**, 3073–3082.
- 17 M. Rastatter and P. W. Roesky, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 2008, 5287–5291.
- 18 M. Rastatter, P. W. Roesky, D. Gudat, G. B. Deacon and P. C. Junk, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2007, **13**, 7410–7415.
- 19 J. S. Jessup, R. T. Paine and C. F. Campana, *Phosphorus Sulfur Relat. Elem.*, 1981, **9**, 279–284.
- 20 M. S. Balakrishna, D. J. Eisler and T. Chivers, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2007, **36**, 650–664.
- 21 A. Bashall, A. D. Bond, E. L. Doyle, F. Garcia, S. Kidd, G. T. Lawson, M. C. Parry, M. McPartlin, A. D. Woods and D. S. Wright, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2002, **8**, 3377–3385.
- 22 A. J. Plajer, H. C. Niu, F. J. Rizzuto and D. S. Wright, *Dalton Trans.*, 2018, **47**, 6675–6678.
- 23 A. Bashall, E. L. Doyle, C. Tubb, S. J. Kidd, M. McPartlin, A. D. Woods and D. S. Wright, *Chem. Commun.*, 2001, **1**, 2542–2543.
- 24 A. Nordheider, K. Hull, K. S. Athukorala Arachchige, A. M. Z. Slawin, J. D. Woollins, R. Thirumoothi and T. Chivers, *Dalton Trans.*, 2015, **44**, 5338–5346.
- 25 Y. X. Shi, R. Z. Liang, K. A. Martin, D. G. Star, J. Diaz, X. Y. Li, R. Ganguly and F. Garcia, *Chem. Commun.*, 2015, **51**, 16468–16471.
- 26 (a) P. Kommana and K. C. Kumara Swamy, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2000, **39**, 4384–4385; (b) M. K. Pandey, H. S. Kunchur, G. S. Ananthnag, J. T. Mague and M. S. Balakrishna, *Dalton Trans.*, 2019, **48**, 3610–3624.
- 27 (a) M. K. Pandey, S. Sheokand and M. S. Balakrishna, *Dalton Trans.*, 2023, **52**, 6420–6425; (b) M. S. Balakrishna, *Phosphorus Sulfur Silicon Relat. Elem.*, 2016, **191**, 567–571.
- 28 G. S. Ananthnag, S. Kuntavalli, J. T. Mague and M. S. Balakrishna, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2012, **51**, 5919–5930.
- 29 D. Bawari, B. Prashanth, K. Jaiswal, A. R. Choudhary and S. Singh, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 2017, 4123–4130.

