

CORRECTION

[View Article Online](#)
View Journal | View Issue



Cite this: *Biomater. Sci.*, 2025, **13**, 1858

Correction: Biomimetic proteoglycan nanoparticles for growth factor immobilization and delivery

Nooshin Zandi,^{a,b} Ebrahim Mostafavi,^b Mohammad Ali Shokrgozar,^c Elnaz Tamjid,^d Thomas J. Webster,^b Nasim Annabi^{*e,f,g} and Abdolreza Simchi^{*a,h}

DOI: 10.1039/d5bm90019k

rsc.li/biomaterials-science

Correction for 'Biomimetic proteoglycan nanoparticles for growth factor immobilization and delivery' by Nooshin Zandi et al., *Biomater. Sci.*, 2020, **8**, 1127–1136, <https://doi.org/10.1039/C9BM00668K>.

The authors regret the representative images for the Control group in Fig. 4a, and GT-PLL Day 14 and Free VEGF Day 7 in Fig. 5a were incorrectly displayed in the original manuscript. The correct versions of Fig. 4 and 5 are as shown below.

The authors confirm that these corrections do not affect the quantification data, data interpretation, or conclusions of the study.

^aInstitute for Nanoscience and Nanotechnology, Sharif University of Technology, P.O. Box 11365-11155, Tehran, Iran. E-mail: simchi@sharif.edu; Tel: +98 (21) 6616

^bDepartment of Chemical Engineering, Northeastern University, Boston, 02115, USA

^cNational Cell Bank Department, Pasteur Institute of Iran, Tehran 13164, Iran

^dDepartment of Nanobiotechnology, Faculty of Biological Sciences Tarbiat Modares University, P.O. Box 14115-175, Tehran, Iran

^eDepartment of Chemical and Biomolecular Engineering, University of California – Los Angeles, Los Angeles, California 90095, USA. E-mail: nannabi@UCLA.edu; Tel: +1 (310) 267-5927

^fCenter for Minimally Invasive Therapeutics (C-MIT), California NanoSystems Institute (CNSI), University of California – Los Angeles, 570 Westwood Plaza, Los Angeles, CA 90095, USA

^gHarvard-MIT Division of Health Sciences and Technology, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA

^hDepartment of Materials Science and Engineering, Sharif University of Technology, P.O. Box 11365-11155, Tehran, Iran






Fig. 4 *In vitro* cytocompatibility of the polyelectrolyte NPs against HS-5 cells. (a) Representative live/dead stained images, indicating the effect of PCN (DS-PLL and GT-PLL) dose on the cell viability at day 3 post seeding. The concentration of NPs increases from 200 to 800 $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ from left to right. Cytotoxicity was observed for the cells treated with NPs at concentrations $>400 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$. (b) Quantification of cell viability after 24 h of incubation. (c) Quantification of metabolic activity of hBMSCs based on relative fluorescence units (RFU) at different incubation times (1, 3 and 5 days post seeding). Scale bars: 100 μm . Results are presented as the mean \pm STD with at least three replicates per group. The significance levels are shown as $p < 0.05$ (*), $p < 0.01$ (**), and $p < 0.0001$ (***) for $n = 3$.






Fig. 5 Cell response to VEGF and pre-conditioned VEGF-loaded PCNs through mitogenic and metabolic measurements. (a) Representative fluorescence images of HUVEC nuclei stained with DAPI after 2 days of culture with no treatment (negative control), VEGF-loaded PCNs (DS-PLL and GT-PLL), and free VEGF. Pre-conditioning time does not show a significant influence on mitogenic activity, but the treatments exhibit significant effects. (b) Quantification of VEGF mitogenic activity after 2 days of HUVEC culture for VEGF-loaded PCNs and free VEGF. The numbers represent cell counts normalized to cell counts from the negative control. (c) The quantification of metabolic activity after 2 days of culture with VEGF-loaded PCNs and free VEGF in solution (at different pre-conditioning times in the media up to 21 days). Metabolic activity result was normalized to the metabolic activity of untreated HUVEC cells. Scale bars: 100 μm . Results are presented as the mean \pm SEM with at least three replicates per group. The significance levels are shown as $p < 0.05$ (*), $p < 0.01$ (**), $p < 0.001$ (***), and $p < 0.0001$ (****) for $n = 3$.

The Royal Society of Chemistry apologises for these errors and any consequent inconvenience to authors and readers.

