ChemComm



COMMUNICATION

View Article Online



Cite this: Chem. Commun., 2025, **61**, 8387

Received 12th April 2025, Accepted 5th May 2025

DOI: 10.1039/d5cc02068a

rsc.li/chemcomm


Conversion of simple alkenes into 1H-1,2,3triazolium salts by oxidative cycloaddition and subsequent dehydrogenation†

Kazuhito Yamakawa, Yuki Obara, Yosuke Sumiya, Db Tianzi Wang, Shoki Ogatac and Ryosuke Haraguchi 10 *a

A new method for the synthesis of 1H-1,2,3-triazolium salts from triazenes and simple alkenes has been developed. The oxidative [3+2] cycloaddition of triazenes with alkenes affords 4,5-dihydro-1H-1,2,3-triazolium salts, which undergo dehydrogenative aromatization under remarkably mild conditions (using potassium bicarbonate in air at room temperature) to provide 1H-1,2,3-triazolium salts. This method exhibits broad functional group tolerance and enables the synthesis of a triazolium-based diol, which serves as a cationic diol monomer for cationic polymer synthesis.

1H-1,2,3-Triazolium salts have been used as cationic scaffolds across various fields, including materials science, supramolecular chemistry,² and organocatalysis.³ Moreover, deprotonation of 1H-1,2,3-triazolium salts provides 1,2,3-triazol-5-ylidenes,⁴ which possess superior electron-donating properties compared to conventional N-heterocyclic carbenes such as imidazol-2-ylidenes. Consequently, extensive efforts have been directed towards developing methods for the synthesis of 1H-1,2,3-triazolium salts. The most common approach involves the N-alkylation of preformed 1H-1,2,3-triazoles, which are readily synthesized via the coppercatalyzed [3+2] cycloaddition of azides and alkynes (click reaction).⁵ The remarkable efficiency and high functional-group tolerance of the click reaction have enabled the synthesis of structurally diverse 1H-1,2,3-triazolium salts. Heterocyclic frameworks of triazolium salts have also been constructed through the oxidative [3+2] cycloaddition of triazenes and alkynes (Scheme 1a). Notably, this one-step method provides more direct access to triazolium salts than the previously described

cycloaddition-alkylation sequence, can be performed in air, and exhibits highly functional group tolerance. Moreover, haloalkenes can be used as reaction partners instead of alkynes in triazolium salt syntheses. 6b,7 In this case, oxidative cycloaddition initially yields 4,5-dihydro-1H-1,2,3-triazolium salts, which undergo dehydrohalogenation to afford 1H-1,2,3-triazolium salts (Scheme 1b). Since a halogen substituent is required for the aromatization step, simple alkenes cannot be used in the synthesis of 1H-1,2,3triazolium salts. Given that the number of commercially available simple alkenes is significantly higher than that of alkynes, developing a synthetic method for triazolium salts using alkenes would be expected to greatly expand their structural diversity. Herein, we report that the cycloaddition of triazenes with alkenes, followed by base-promoted dehydrogenative aromatization, affords 1H-1,2,3triazolium salts (Scheme 1c).



Scheme 1 Oxidative cycloaddition of triazenes and hvdrocarbons

^a Department of Applied Chemistry, Graduate School of Engineering, Chiba Institute of Technology, 2-17-1 Tsudanuma, Narashino, Chiba 275-0016, Japan. E-mail: haraguchi.ryosuke@p.chibakoudai.jp

^b Department of Applied Chemistry, Yamaguchi Universi-ty, Tokiwadai 2-16-1, Ube 755-8611, Japan

^c Department of Applied Chemistry, Faculty of Engineering, Chiba Institute of Technology, 2-17-1 Tsudanuma, Narashino, Chiba 275-0016, Japan

[†] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available, See DOI: https://doi.org/

Communication ChemComm

(a)
$$PF_6$$
 PF_6 $PF_$

Scheme 2 (a) Examination of oxidants. (b) Unexpected formation of triazolium salt 4a. (c) Base-promoted dehydrogenation of dihydrotriazolium salt 3a

Dehydrogenative aromatization of neutral heterocycles in the presence of an oxidant is widely reported,8 but no studies have explored its application to cationic heterocycles. We first examined various oxidants for the dehydrogenation of dihydro-1H-1,2,3-triazolium salt 3a, which was prepared by the oxidative [3+2] cycloaddition of triazene 1a and alkene 2a. Treatment of 3a with DDQ, a common oxidant for dehydrogenative aromatization of neutral heterocycles, at room temperature for 18 h resulted in no formation of the desired product 4a (Scheme 2a). The reaction did not proceed even at 90 °C. Other oxidants, including molecular iodine and potassium peroxodisulfate,


Table 1 Effect of solvents and bases^a

Entry	Variation from the standard conditions	$Yield^b$ (%)	
1	None	94	
2	Acetone, instead of AcOEt	77	
3	CH ₃ CN, instead of AcOEt	83	
4	THF, instead of AcOEt	61	
5	CH ₂ Cl ₂ , instead of AcOEt	58	
6	NaHCO ₃ , instead of KHCO ₃	35	
7	K ₂ CO ₃ , instead of KHCO ₃	87	
8	KOH, instead of KHCO ₃	81	
9	Pyridine, instead of KHCO ₃	9	
10	Et ₃ N, instead of KHCO ₃	81	
11	DBU, instead of KHCO ₃	42	

^a Standard conditions: 1a (0.20 mmol), 2a (1.5 equiv.), t-BuOCl (2.3 equiv.), KPF₆ (2.3 equiv.) in AcOEt (1.0 mL) at 0 °C for 1 h; KHCO₃ (10 equiv.) at room temperature for 18 h. b Determined by H NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture using dibromomethane as the internal standard.

were also ineffective in this reaction. The low reactivity of 3a toward oxidants may be attributed to its electron-deficient nature. However, we unexpectedly obtained 4a in 4% yield when the reaction of 1a and triazene 2a was quenched with water (Scheme 2b). Surprisingly, using potassium bicarbonate instead of water in the quenching process increased the product yield up to 65%. Encouraged by this result, we performed the reaction of 3a with potassium bicarbonate at 30 °C in air. affording 4a in high yield (Scheme 2c). While several examples of base-promoted aerobic heterocycle dehydrogenation have been reported, they typically require harsh conditions, such as the use of t-BuOK at 140 °C.9 In contrast, our reaction conditions—potassium bicarbonate at 30 °C—are exceptionally mild for aerobic heterocycle dehydrogenation.

Next, we investigated the effects of solvents and bases on the oxidative cycloaddition of 1a and 2a, followed by the subsequent dehydrogenative aromatization (Table 1). The reaction of 1a and 2a in the presence of t-butyl hypochlorite and potassium



Scheme 3 Reaction scope. ^a Standard reaction conditions: 1 (0.50 mmol), 2 (1.5 equiv.), t-BuOCl (2.3 equiv.), KPF₆ (2.3 equiv.) in AcOEt at 0 °C to rt for 1 h; KHCO $_3$ (10 equiv.) at room temperature for 18 h. b Isolated yields. c **1** (0.50 mmol), 2 (1.2 equiv.), t-BuOCl (2.3 equiv.), KPF₆ (2.3 equiv.) in AcOEt at 0 °C to rt for 1 h; K_2CO_3 (10 equiv.) at room temperature for 1 h. $^d\mathbf{1}$ (0.50 mmol), 2 (1.2 equiv.), t-BuOCl (2.3 equiv.), KPF₆ (2.3 equiv.) in AcOEt at -30 °C for 5 h.; K_2CO_3 (10 equiv.) at -30 °C for 24 h. e1 (0.50 mmol), 2 (1.5 equiv.), t-BuOCl (2.3 equiv.), KPF₆ (2.3 equiv.) in AcOEt at 0 °C to rt for 1 h; K₂CO₃ (10 equiv.) at room temperature for 1 h

ChemComm Communication

Table 2 Effect of base and oxidant on the dehydrogenative aromatization

$$\begin{array}{c} \bigcirc \\ \text{PF}_6 \\ \text{Ar} \sim N \stackrel{\longleftarrow}{\stackrel{\longleftarrow}{N}} N^- \text{Ar} \\ \text{Ph} \stackrel{\longleftarrow}{\stackrel{\longleftarrow}{\stackrel{\longleftarrow}{\vdash}}} H \\ \text{3a} \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \text{Base (10 equiv), Oxidant} \\ \hline \\ \text{CH}_3 \text{CN, rt, 1 h} \\ [\text{Ar} = p\text{-CIC}_6 \text{H}_4] \\ \end{array} \begin{array}{c} \bigcirc \\ \text{PF}_6 \\ \text{Ar} \sim N \stackrel{\longleftarrow}{\stackrel{\longleftarrow}{\stackrel{\longleftarrow}{\mid}}} N^- \text{Ar} \\ \text{Ph} \stackrel{\longleftarrow}{\stackrel{\longleftarrow}{\vdash}} H \\ \text{H} \\ \end{array}$$


Entry	Oxidant	Base	Conversion ^b (%)	$Yield^b$ (%)
1	_	KHCO ₃	9	6
2	Air	$KHCO_3$	50	42
3	O ₂ balloon	$KHCO_3$	100	98
4	O ₂ balloon		3	0
5	$K_2S_2O_8$	$KHCO_3$	11	7
6 ^c	I_2	$KHCO_3$	3	0

^a Standard conditions: 3a (0.50 mmol), base (10.0 equiv.) in CH₃CN (2.5 mL) at room temperature for 1 h. ^b Determined by ^fH NMR analysis of the crude reaction mixture using dibromomethane as the internal standard. ^c Oxidant (2.0 equiv.)

hexafluorophosphate in ethyl acetate at 0 °C for 1 h, followed by the addition of potassium bicarbonate and stirring at room temperature for 18 h, afforded triazolium salt 4a in 94% yield. While other solvents, such as acetone, acetonitrile, tetrahydrofuran, and dichloromethane, also promoted the reaction (entries 2–5), ethyl acetate proved to be the most effective (entry 1). When the dehydrogenative aromatization was performed using sodium bicarbonate, the product yield decreased to 35% (entry 6). Potassium carbonate and potassium hydroxide also gave 4a in high yields, though small amounts of unidentified byproducts were observed. Among the organic bases screened, triethylamine proved to be the most efficient for the dehydrogenative aromatization (entries 9-11).

With the optimized reaction conditions in hand, the scope of alkenes in the triazolium salt synthesis was examined (Scheme 3). Notably, all triazolium products 4 were purified only by washing with diethyl ether. Aryl-substituted alkenes with electron-donating and electron-withdrawing groups were successfully converted into the corresponding 1H-1,2,3-triazolium salts 4a-d in moderate to good yields. Halogen and silyl groups were well tolerated in the reaction (4e-h), and neither steric hindrance (4i) nor Lewis-basic functionality (4j) hindered the reaction. Alkyl-substituted alkenes provided the corresponding products 4k and 4l, while various internal disubstituted alkenes also participated successfully (4m-p). Moreover, cyclic alkenes, such as cyclopentene and cyclohexene, produced triazoliumfused carbocycles 4q and 4r. We next investigated the scope of triazenes in the reaction. Triazenes bearing both electronwithdrawing and electron-donating substituents on aromatic rings yielded the corresponding products 4s-v in good yields. Sterically hindered aromatic substituents did not negatively affect the reaction (4w and 4x).

To gain mechanistic insights into the dehydrogenative aromatization of dihydrotriazolium salts, we performed several control experiments (Table 2). Under a nitrogen atmosphere and in the presence of potassium bicarbonate, 4a was obtained in only 6% yield (entry 1). In contrast, the yield increased to 42% when the reaction was performed in air (entry 2), and an oxygen atmosphere allowed the reaction to proceed quantitatively (entry 3). Notably, no product formation was observed without a base (entry 4). Moreover, other oxidants commonly used for the dehydrogenative aromatization of neutral heterocycles were ineffective in this reaction (entries 5 and 6). These results highlight the essential role of both molecular oxygen



Scheme 4 Synthetic applications.

Communication ChemComm

and base in dehydrogenative aromatization. The plausible reaction mechanism is shown in Scheme S1 (ESI†).

To demonstrate the practicality of the method, we performed the reaction of 1a and 2a on a 20 mmol scale, affording product 4a in 49% yield (5.4 g) (Scheme S2, ESI†). We then explored the synthesis of bistriazolium salt 4z, which contains two different substituents on the triazolium nitrogen atoms (Scheme 4a). This approach was motivated by DFT calculations, which revealed that the activation barrier for the oxidative [3+2] cycloaddition of triazenes and alkenes is lower than that for alkynes (Fig. S1, ESI†). Indeed, when arylsubstituted alkene 1s, featuring an alkynyl functionality, was reacted with triazene 2a at -90 °C, followed by a dehydrogenative process, the alkynyl-functionalized triazolium salt 4v was obtained in good yield. Subsequent treatment of 4y with diisopropylphenylsubstituted triazene 2g afforded 4z in high yield. This compound might be used to prepare heterobimetallic NHC complexes via sequential deprotonative metalation because of the difference in steric environments between these deprotonative protons. Furthermore, this method enabled the synthesis of triazolium-based diol 4aa, which is challenging to prepare using alkynes as starting materials due to the lower reactivity of alkyne 1u compared to alkene 1t (Scheme 4b). As a preliminary result, this diol 4aa was successfully applied for the polycondensation with diacyl chloride 5, affording triazolium-based cationic polyester 6 (Scheme 4c). In addition, the oxidative cycloaddition-dehydrogenation strategy enabled the transformation of polybutadiene into triazoliumbased polymer 7 (Scheme 4d). As cationic polymers have been widely used in various fields, including medicinal chemistry¹⁰ and materials science, 11 the properties and applications of these cationic polymers will be investigated in the future work.

In conclusion, we developed a method for the preparation of 1H-1,2,3,-triazolium salts via the oxidative [3+2] cycloaddition of triazenes and alkenes, followed by dehydrogenation. Notably, the dehydrogenative aromatization proceeded under milder reaction conditions compared to those for neutral heterocycles probably due to the distinct electronic properties of dihydrotriazolium salts. This method has a broad substrate scope and could be applied to cationic polymer synthesis.

This work was supported by the Institute for Quantum Chemical Exploration (IQCE).

Data availability

The data underlying this study are available in the published article and its ESI.†

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Notes and references

- 1 (a) M. M. Obadia and E. Drockenmuller, Chem. Commun., 2016, 52, 2433-2450; (b) J. M. Aizpurua, R. M. Fratila, Z. Monasterio, N. Pérez-Esnaola, E. Andreieff, A. Irastorza and M. Sagartzazu-Aizpurua, New J. Chem., 2014, 38, 474-480.
- 2 (a) M. S. Shad, P. V. Santhini and W. Dehaen, Beilstein J. Org. Chem., 2019, 15, 2142-2155; (b) B. Schulze and U. S. Schubert, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2014, 43, 2522-2571.
- 3 (a) W. Liu, A. Vianna, Z. Zhang, S. Huang, L. Huang, M. Melaimi, G. Bertrand and X. Yan, Chem. Catal., 2021, 1, 196-206; (b) D. Ranolia, I. Avigdori, K. Singh, A. Koronatov, N. Fridman and M. Gandelman, Org. Lett., 2022, 24, 3915-3919; (c) K. Torita, R. Haraguchi, Y. Morita, S. Kemmochi, T. Komatsu and S.-I. Fukuzawa, Chem. Commun., 2020, 56, 9715-9718; (d) K. Ohmatsu, R. Suzuki, Y. Furukawa, M. Sato and T. Ooi, ACS Catal., 2020, 10, 2627-2632; (e) S.-K. Chen, W.-Q. Ma, Z.-B. Yan, F.-M. Zhang, S.-H. Wang, Y.-Q. Tu, X.-M. Zhang and J.-M. Tian, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2018, 140, 10099-10103; (f) K. Ohmatsu, M. Kiyokawa and T. Ooi, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2011, 133, 1307-1309.
- 4 (a) R. Maity and B. Sarkar, JACS Au, 2022, 2, 22-57; (b) K. O. Marichev, S. A. Patil and A. Bugarin, Tetrahedron, 2018, 74, 2523–2546; (c) G. Guisado-Barrios, J. Bouffard, B. Donnadieu and G. Bertrand, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2010, 49, 4759-4762.
- 5 (a) M. Meldal and C. W. Tornøe, Chem. Rev., 2008, 108, 2952-3015; (b) H. C. Kolb, M. G. Finn and K. B. Sharpless, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2001. 40. 2004-2021.
- 6 (a) D. Sawaguchi, S. Hayakawa, M. Sakuma, K. Niitsuma, D. Kase, S. Michii, M. Ozawa, Y. Sakai, K. Sakamaki, K. Ueyama and R. Haraguchi, Asian J. Org. Chem., 2021, 10, 901-905; (b) J. Bouffard, B. K. Keitz, R. Tonner, G. Guisado-Barrios, G. Frenking, R. H. Grubbs and G. Bertrand, Organometallics, 2011, 30, 2617-2627; (c) W. Wirschun, M. Winkler, K. Lutz and J. C. Jochims, J. Chem. Soc. Perkin 1, 1998, 1755-1762.
- 7 (a) T. Mitsuhashi, D. Kase, A. Tomatsuri, T. Takada and R. Haraguchi, Tetrahedron Lett., 2024, 139, 154993; (b) X. Xu, Z. Zhang, S. Huang, L. Cao, W. Liu and X. Yan, Dalton Trans., 2019, 48, 6931-6941.
- 8 (a) Y. Kawashita and M. Hayashi, Molecules, 2009, 14, 3073-3093; (b) A. Bera, S. Bera and D. Banerjee, Chem. Commun., 2021, 57, 13042-13058.
- 9 (a) R. Yang, S. Yue, W. Tan, Y. Xie and H. Cai, J. Org. Chem., 2020, 85, 7501–7509; (b) T. Liu, K. Wu, L. Wang and Z. Yu, Adv. Synth. Catal., 2019, 361, 3958-3964.
- 10 (a) H. Lv, S. Zhang, B. Wang, S. Cui and J. Yan, J. Control. Release, 2006, 114, 100-109; (b) S. K. Samal, M. Dash, S. Van Vlierberghe, D. L. Kaplan, E. Chiellini, C. van Blitterswijk, L. Moroni and P. Dubruel, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2012, 41, 7147-7194; (c) M. Farshbaf, S. Davaran, A. Zarebkohan, N. Annabi, A. Akbarzadeh and R. Salehi, Artif. Cells Nanomed. Biotechnol., 2018, 46, 1872-1891.
- 11 (a) N. Du, C. Roy, R. Peach, M. Turnbull, S. Thiele and C. Bock, Chem. Rev., 2022, 122, 11830-11895; (b) Y.-J. Wang, J. Qiao, R. Baker and J. Zhang, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2013, 42, 5768-5787.