# Journal of Materials Chemistry B



## PAPER



Cite this: J. Mater. Chem. B, 2024, **12**, 3786

Received 7th February 2024, Accepted 18th March 2024 DOI: 10.1039/d4tb00264d

rsc.li/materials-b

## 1 Introduction

Supramolecular self-assembling systems that are responsive to enzymatic actions have been exploited as a class of materials for the detection of biological analytes, such as enzymes.<sup>1–5</sup> Such enzyme-responsive assembly systems are highly specific and efficient, with excellent biocompatibility to external

400 085, India. E-mail: prabhatk@barc.gov.in, prabhatsingh988@gmail.com <sup>b</sup> University Institute of Biotechnology, Chandigarh University, Panjab 140 413, India environmental stimuli such as temperature, pH, ionic strength, and light.<sup>6,7</sup> Therefore, owing to their various merits, enzymeresponsive self-assembly systems are used for various applications, for example, sensing of biological molecules, drug delivery, and theragnostics.<sup>5,8-13</sup> A self-assembly system that is responsive to enzymatic activity typically consists of two primary components: (1) an enzyme-specific substrate/substrate site containing molecule. (2) A molecular assembly system/structure that changes its properties owing to enzymatic action. Therefore, the molecular assembly components that can undergo various types of interactions, such as hydrogen bonding, ionic interactions,  $\pi$ - $\pi$  stacking, etc., are strongly recommended for fabricating enzyme-responsive supramolecular systems.<sup>5,14-18</sup> In this regard, non-covalent interaction-dependent assembly systems have gained considerable attention.<sup>17-21</sup> Surface-modified macrocyclic structures, such as cyclodextrins, are particularly noteworthy for their potential use in constructing non-covalent interactions through aggregation/disaggregation assembly systems. This is due to

View Article Online View Journal | View Issue

Expanding the scope of self-assembled

and inhibitor screening<sup>+</sup>

shows promise for the screening of trypsin inhibitors.

Sheshanath V. Bhosale (D<sup>c</sup> and Prabhat K. Singh (D)\*<sup>ae</sup>

supramolecular biosensors: a highly selective

Jasvir Kaur, 🕩 ab Harshad A. Mirgane, <sup>c</sup> Vrushali S. Patil, <sup>ad</sup> Geetika M. Ahlawat, 跑 b

Trypsin, a pancreatic enzyme associated with diseases like pancreatic cancer and cystic fibrosis, requires effective diagnostic tools. Current detection systems seldom utilize macrocyclic molecules and tetraphenyl ethylene (TPE) derivative-based supramolecular assemblies, known for their biocompatibility and aggregation-induced emission (AIE) properties, for trypsin detection. This study presents an enzyme-responsive, AIE-based fluorescence 'Turn-On' sensing platform for trypsin detection, employing sulfated- $\beta$ -cyclodextrin (S- $\beta$ CD), an imidazolium derivative of TPE (TPE-IM), and protamine sulfate (PrS). The anionic S- $\beta$ CD and cationic TPE-IM formed a strongly fluorescent supramolecular aggregation complex in an aqueous buffer. However, PrS suppresses fluorescence because of its strong binding affinity with S- $\beta$ CD. The non-fluorescent TPE-IM/S- $\beta$ CD/PrS supramolecular assembly system exhibits trypsin-responsive properties, as PrS is a known trypsin substrate. Trypsin restores fluorescence in the TPE-IM/S- $\beta$ CD system through the enzymatic cleavage of PrS, correlating linearly with trypsin catalytic activity in the 0–10 nM concentration range. The limit of detection is 10 pM. This work contributes to the development of self-assembled supramolecular biosensors using charged TPE derivatives and

 $\beta$ -cyclodextrin-based host-guest chemistry, offering an innovative fluorescence 'Turn-On' trypsin sensing platform. The sensing system is highly stable under various conditions, selective for trypsin, and

demonstrates potential for biological analysis and disease diagnosis in human serum. Additionally, it

and sensitive enzyme-responsive AIE-based

fluorescent biosensor for trypsin detection

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Radiation & Photochemistry Division, Bhabha Atomic Research Centre, Mumbai

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup> Department of Chemistry, School of Chemical Sciences, Central University of Karnataka, Kalaburagi 585367, Karnataka, India

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>d</sup> School of Nanoscience & Technology, Shivaji University Kolhapur, Vidya Nagar, Kolhapur 416004, Maharashtra, India

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>e</sup> Homi Bhabha National Institute, Anushaktinagar, Mumbai 400085, India

<sup>†</sup> Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: https://doi.org/ 10.1039/d4tb00264d

#### Paper

their desirable qualities, such as low cost, high charge density, biocompatibility, and solubility.<sup>2,22–24</sup> In this regard, fluorophores named aggregation-induced emission-based luminogens (AIEgens), discovered by Tang and group,<sup>25</sup> exhibiting AIE, are suitable co-component molecules to construct non-covalent supra-molecular assembly constructs. The AIEgens themselves show almost negligible emission in the solution at low concentrations; however, a strong emission enhancement known as AIE is reported upon aggregation induced by the oppositely charged co-component. The phenomenon of AIE is based on the fact that intramolecular rotational movements of AIEgens are hindered in the aggregated state<sup>26</sup> AIE activable bioprobes have been reported in the literature that emphasizes their high selectivity, sensitivity, and signal-to-noise ratio, which results in their various biosensing applications.<sup>27–32</sup>

Many diseases are associated with abnormal enzyme expression, such as hepatopathy, Alzheimer's disease, pancreatitis, etc.<sup>33,34</sup> In this regard, trypsin is also an essential enzyme and disease biomarker.<sup>35,36</sup> Trypsin (Enzyme Commission Number 3.4.21.4.) catalytically breaks down the peptide bond on the carboxyl-terminal side of the cationic amino acids, arginine and lysine. Trypsin is a beneficial enzyme with remarkable advantages in many fields, such as proteomics, food biotechnology, biomedicines, immunology, and fundamental research.<sup>37-41</sup> The average concentration of trypsin in human serum is 115-350 ng mL<sup>-1</sup>, however, abnormal expression of trypsin is an indicator of many diseases, for example, pancreatic cancer, cystic fibrosis, biliary cirrhosis, and pancreatitis.<sup>42-46</sup> Therefore, developing specific and sensitive strategies for trypsin detection is of great significance. While there are multiple techniques for detecting trypsin, most of these methods rely on traditional strategies such as enzyme-linked immunosorbent assays, gelatine film, electrochemistry, piezoelectric methods, surface plasmon resonance, and colorimetry.36,47-52 Previous methods for detecting trypsin have faced several challenges, including complex designs, high costs, the need for advanced equipment, limited sensitivity, long processing times, and susceptibility to environmental factors. For instance, Surface Plasmon Resonance (SPR) based sensors detect trypsin by measuring changes in refractive index when an analyte binds to a bio-recognition unit immobilized on SPR probe. A drawback of this technique is its reliance on covalent modifications to the substrate, which can lower enzyme activity, impacting the specificity and sensitivity of the detection. Similarly, Fluorescence Resonance Energy Transfer (FRET) based methods are affected by external conditions like metal ions, pH, and temperature. Additionally, selecting suitable donor and acceptor molecules for a FRET system can be difficult. The Enzyme Linked Immunosorbent Assay (ELISA), another traditional method, uses specific antibodies to detect proteins or analytes in a liquid medium. However, ELISA involves both primary and secondary antibodies against the antigen, making it costly and complex. Therefore, there is an evident demand for constructing more easy-to-monitor, direct, cheap, rapid, and sensitive sensing platforms. Interestingly, advanced strategies based on enzymeresponsive macrocyclic molecule-driven supramolecular assembly

have seldom been used to develop trypsin detection platforms.<sup>53-55</sup> This manuscript presents an innovative study that integrates enzyme-responsive assembly systems with aggregation-induced emission (AIE) technology for the detection of trypsin, a novel approach that is scarcely represented in existing literature. By integrating the specificity of enzyme-substrate interactions with the enhanced sensitivity offered by AIE, our method presents a significant improvement over conventional biosensing techniques. The creation of a supramolecular probe via the self-assembly of a cationic AIEgen with an anionic macrocyclic molecule, alongside its proven selectivity and sensitivity within complex biological matrices, underscores the innovative contributions of this manuscript. The system uses a cationic AIEgen, specifically the imidazolinium derivative of tetraphenylethylene (TPE-IM), along with an anionic macrocyclic molecule known as sulfated-β-cyclodextrin (S-βCD), which contains sulfate groups at the lower and upper rims, and polycationic protamine sulfate (PrS), which is a natural substrate of trypsin.

The supramolecular probe is constructed by the electrostatic interaction-based self-assembly of TPE-IM and S-BCD to form a TPE-IM/S-βCD supramolecular aggregation complex. Subsequently, the TPE-IM/S-βCD supramolecular aggregation dissociates in the presence of the cationic polyelectrolyte protamine (PrS), which is abundant in positive charges and rich in arginine. Protamine is also a natural substrate for the enzyme trypsin. Thus, the TPE-IM/S-βCD/PrS-based supramolecular assembly system is found to be responsive to trypsin because of the specific action of trypsin on protamine (PrS). Therefore, the current paper demonstrates the development of a simple, sensitive, and specific fluorescence 'Turn-On' enzymeresponsive supra-molecular assembly/disassembly-based strategy for trypsin detection. In principle, as shown in Scheme 1, a cationic AIEgen, TPE-IM shows a substantial enhancement in fluorescence emission in the presence of an anionic macromolecule, S-BCD, due to electrostatic interactions and subsequent aggregation, leading to AIE of TPE-IM. However, a fluorescence 'Turn-Off' signal is observed on adding cationic polyelectrolyte protamine sulfate (PrS), due to predominantly



Scheme 1 (A) Schematic representation of the working mechanism of the trypsin sensor (B) chemical structure of TPE-IM and S- $\beta$ CD.

stronger interactions between S-BCD and PrS, which lead to the formation of S- $\beta$ CD/PrS complex and the release of free TPE-IM in the solution that yields a decrease in emission intensity (Turn-Off). Finally, trypsin-dependent specific digestion of PrS at the carboxyl-terminal of arginine leads to the disintegration of the S-BCD/PrS aggregation complex and allows reformation of the TPE-IM-/S-BCD aggregation complex, which restores fluorescence. Hence, a fluorescence 'Turn-On' signal is obtained in response to trypsin. Thus, the TPE-IM/S-BCD/PrS system allows for the quick, sensitive, and specific detection of trypsin. The potential stability of the current sensing system has been monitored in different environments by changing the temperature, pH, ionic strength, etc. The selectivity of enzyme-responsive supramolecular assembly system towards trypsin has been demonstrated in the presence of various other proteins and enzymes. Finally, the potential applicability of the proposed sensing system has been demonstrated in real human serum samples.

## 2. Experimental section

### 2.1 Materials and chemicals

All chemicals, unless specified, were purchased from Sigma Aldrich and used without further purification. The imidazolinium derivative of tetraphenylethylene (TPE-IM) was synthesized following a detailed procedure outlined in the ESI<sup>+</sup> (Fig. S1) based on previously reported methods.<sup>56</sup> The concentration of TPE-IM used in all experiments was accurately determined using its molar absorptivity  $\sim 21400 \text{ M}^{-1} \text{ cm}^{-1}$  at 294 nm. Trypsin, sodium salt of sulfated  $\beta$ -cyclodextrin (degree of substitution  $\sim$  12 to 14), benzamidine hydrochloride, protamine sulfate, sodium chloride, pepsin, glucose oxidase, horseradish peroxidase, choline oxidase, bovine serum albumin, lysozyme, hemoglobin, alkaline phosphatase, Tris-HCl, and other salts were purchased from Sigma Aldrich. A 10 mM Tris-HCl buffer solution (pH 8.2) was prepared to conduct all experiments at room temperature. The concentration of TPE-IM was maintained at  $\sim 19 \mu M$  unless stated otherwise. All measurements were performed in triplicate to ensure reproducibility, with error bars representing the standard deviation.

### 2.2 Spectroscopic measurements

**Fluorescence and absorption spectroscopy.** The fluorescence and UV-Vis absorption spectra were recorded using a fluorimeter (model FP-8500, Jasco) and a UV-Vis spectrophotometer (model V-650, Jasco), respectively. Measurements were conducted at 25  $\pm$  1 °C in a quartz cuvette with a 1 cm path length. The pH of the Tris buffer was adjusted as required using dilute HCl or NaOH solutions.

**Time-resolved fluorescence spectroscopy.** The fluorescence decay traces for excited-state lifetime measurements were collected using an IBH instrument (UK) based on the time-correlated single-photon counting (TCSPC) principle, as explained elsewhere.<sup>57–60</sup> Fluorescence decay data were collected using a 374 nm picosecond diode laser (100 ps, 1 MHz) as the excitation source. The instrument response function

with ~150 ps was determined by measuring the titanium dioxide (TiO<sub>2</sub>) scattering solution. The experimental data were analyzed using data analysis software (DAS-6) and fitted to tri-exponential decay models. The quality of the fitted data was assessed based on a reduced chi-square ( $\chi^2$ ) value close to one, which indicates a random distribution of the weighted residuals around the zero line across data channels.

The following equation of the multi-exponential function was used to fit the time-resolved fluorescence decay traces.

$$I(t) = I(0) \sum \alpha_i \exp(-t/\tau_i)$$
(1)

The average fluorescence lifetime ( $\tau$ ) was calculated using the following equation,<sup>61</sup>

$$\tau_{\text{avg}} = \sum A_i \tau_i \quad \text{where } A_i = \alpha_i \tau_i / \sum \alpha_i \tau_i$$
 (2)

where  $\alpha_i$  represents the amplitude of the individual decay constants.

### 2.3 Enzyme kinetics study

**Trypsin activity assay.** The activity of trypsin was evaluated by monitoring the fluorescence restoration in the TPE-IM/S- $\beta$ CD system upon enzymatic cleavage of protamine sulfate (PrS). The assay was conducted by mixing TPE-IM, S- $\beta$ CD, and PrS in Tris buffer, followed by the addition of trypsin under constant stirring. Fluorescence measurements were taken at fixed intervals using an excitation wavelength of 350 nm and emission wavelength of 475 nm, with slit widths set to 2.5 nm for both excitation and emission. The inhibitory effects of benzamidine on trypsin activity were assessed under similar conditions by pre-incubating the TPE-IM/S- $\beta$ CD/PrS complex with varying concentration of the inhibitor prior to the addition of trypsin.

Selectivity and interference studies. To evaluate the selectivity of the biosensor for trypsin, various enzymes and proteins were added to the TPE-IM/S- $\beta$ CD/PrS complex, and the changes in fluorescence were recorded immediately. These experiments aimed to demonstrate the specificity of the sensing system towards trypsin over other biological molecules.

### 2.4 Morphological characterization

Field-emission scanning electron microscopy (FESEM) was performed using an FEI Nova NanoSEM 450 to analyze the morphology of the TPE-IM/S- $\beta$ CD aggregates. Samples were prepared by drop-casting the TPE-IM/S- $\beta$ CD solution onto silicon wafers and allowing them to dry under ambient conditions before imaging.

#### 2.5 Rationale for experimental choices

Selection of TPE-IM concentration. The selection of the 19  $\mu$ M concentration for tetraphenylethylene imidazolium (TPE-IM) was based on optimizing fluorescence intensity against system stability. This concentration was chosen to maximize the fluorescence of the TPE-IM/S- $\beta$ CD complex, avoiding the self-quenching and reduced fluorescence observed

at higher concentrations, which could compromise trypsin detection sensitivity and specificity.

Selection of S- $\beta$ CD concentrations. The selection of S- $\beta$ CD concentrations (5  $\mu$ M) was made by studies aiming to optimize the TPE-IM/S- $\beta$ CD assembly for trypsin detection, focusing on the formation of complex, stability, and its impact on biosensor sensitivity. We varied S- $\beta$ CD concentrations in steady-state fluorescence experiments to find an optimal range that maximizes fluorescence enhancement, starting from lower levels to identify the formation threshold of the TPE-IM/S- $\beta$ CD complex and increasing concentrations to determine the saturation point for fluorescence response.

Selection of PrS concentrations. The 4.5  $\mu$ M concentration of protamine sulfate (PrS) was chosen for its role in modulating biosensor fluorescence *via* competitive binding with S- $\beta$ CD, crucial for the 'Turn-Off' and 'Turn-on' responses related to trypsin activity. Optimal concentration was determined through titration to ensure a significant, reversible fluorescence change, with lower concentrations leading to weak 'Turn-off' effects and higher concentrations potentially saturating the system and affecting fluorescence recovery post-trypsin addition.

## 3. Results and discussion

### 3.1 Fluorescence 'Turn-On' assembly of TPE-IM/S-βCD

Fig. 1(A) displays the steady-state fluorescence spectra of TPE-IM in aqueous buffer with varying concentrations of S- $\beta$ CD present in the solution. As shown in Fig. 1(A), the emission intensity of TPE-IM increases in the presence of various concentrations of the S- $\beta$ CD solution. A substantial emission enhancement of TPE-IM (~86 fold) is observed in the presence of nearly 5  $\mu$ M S- $\beta$ CD in the solution containing TPE-IM. As shown in the inset of Fig. 1(A), a linear increase in the emission intensity of TPE-IM at 475 nm was observed with increasing concentration of S- $\beta$ CD. This kind of fluorescence enhancement of



**Fig. 1** (A) Steady-state fluorescence spectra of TPE-IM (19 μM,  $\lambda_{ex}$  = 350 nm) were measured at different concentrations of S-βCD (μM) as follows: (1) 0, (2) 1.7, (3) 2.7, (4) 3.2, (5) 3.7, (6) 4.2, (7) 4.6, and (8) 5.4. Inset: Variation in emission intensity of TPE-IM at 475 nm in the presence of different S-βCD concentrations. The error bars represents the standard deviation (*n* = 3) (B) transient decay traces for TPE-IM ( $\lambda_{ex}$  = 374 nm,  $\lambda_{em}$  = 475 nm, TPE-IM = 19 μM) at various concentrations of S-βCD (μM) (1) 0 (2) 0.075 (3) 0.100 (4) 0.150 (5) 0.250 (6) 0.740, where the instrument response function (IRF) is denoted by the dotted black line. Inset: Variation in the amplitudes *a*<sub>1</sub> (square) and *a*<sub>2</sub> + *a*<sub>3</sub> (circle) with increasing S-βCD concentrations.

AIEgens has previously been reported to be due to aggregation induced by charged derivatives of macrocyclic host molecules, such as anionic derivatives of cyclodextrins.<sup>20,62</sup> It has been deduced from previous reports that charged cyclodextrins cause charge neutralization of cationic AIEgens and lead to their aggregation. The free state of tetraphenylethylene (TPE) derivatives has a very weak emission intensity because of the free intramolecular rotations that cause non-radiative de-excitation of their excited states. However, charge neutralization, which causes the aggregation of positively charged TPE molecules on the surface of oppositely charged cyclodextrin derivatives, prevents the fluorescence quenching of free TPE derivatives because of restricted intramolecular rotations in the aggregated state.<sup>63</sup> The AIEgen, in the present case, TPE-IM molecules carry positively charged imidazolium groups; thus, there is a natural probability for electrostatic interactions between cationic TPE-IM and anionic S-BCD, due to which charge neutralization occurs for TPE-IM, subsequently leading to the aggregation of TPE-IM molecules in the presence of S-BCD. This leads to the aggregation-induced emission enhancement of TPE-IM, as shown in Fig. 1(A).

To further support the results obtained from steady-state emission measurements, excited-state lifetime measurements of TPE-IM have also been performed in the presence of various concentrations of S- $\beta$ CD, and the results are displayed in Fig. 1(B). It is clear from the results of Fig. 1(B) that the free TPE-IM, in the absence of S- $\beta$ CD, displays a very fast decay trace that is nearly pulse-limited (i.e., within the resolution of the instrument), whereas the decay traces gradually becomes slower with a gradual increase in the concentration of S-βCD. The decay traces observed in our study were well-described by a 3-exponential function, with time constants ( $\tau$ ) approximately in the range of 0.02 ns, 1-1.2 ns, and 3.8-4.4 ns for population components 1, 2, and 3, respectively. We attribute the faster component  $(\tau_1)$  to free TPE-IM in solution and the intermediate  $(\tau_2)$  and slow  $(\tau_3)$  components to TPE-IM associated with S- $\beta$ CD. The amplitude of the fast decay component  $(A_1)$  gradually decreased with increasing concentration of S- $\beta$ CD, while the sum of the amplitudes of the slow decay components  $(A_2 + A_3)$ increased and was well correlated (Fig. 2, inset). This nice correlation of amplitudes suggests a gradual transformation of the free form of TPE-IM to the aggregated form of TPE-IM upon the addition of S- $\beta$ CD. Previous reports have shown that TPE molecules, known as molecular rotors, undergo efficient conformational relaxation in the free monomeric form, which causes a rapid decrease in the excited-state population of this molecule.<sup>20,64,65</sup> As previously described, the introduction of anionic S-BCD into a solution containing cationic TPE-IM molecules results in the formation of a TPE-IM/S-βCD aggregate complex. In this aggregated state, the unrestricted intramolecular rotational movements of the TPE-IM molecules become limited, leading to a slower excited-state relaxation as S-βCD is gradually added. This observation aligns with the findings of steady-state emission measurements.

To further support the above results and investigate the influence of S- $\beta$ CD on TPE-IM aggregation, ground-state absorption measurements have also been performed, and the



**Fig. 2** (A) Steady-state fluorescence spectra of TPE-IM/S- $\beta$ CD (TPE-IM = 19  $\mu$ M, S- $\beta$ CD = 5  $\mu$ M,  $\lambda_{ex}$  = 350 nm) at various concentrations of NaCl (mM) (1) 0 (2) 2.5 (3) 5 (4) 10 (5) 15 (6) 17 (7) 19.4 (8) 22 (9) 24 (10) 29. Inset: Changes in emission intensity at 475 nm in the presence of different concentrations of NaCl. The error bars represents the standard deviation (*n* = 3) (B) transient decay traces for TPE-IM/S- $\beta$ CD ( $\lambda_{ex}$  = 374 nm,  $\lambda_{em}$  = 475 nm, TPE-IM = 19  $\mu$ M, S- $\beta$ CD = 740 nM) at various concentrations of NaCl (mM) (1) 0 (2) 12 (3) 15 (4) 17 (5) 20 (6) 25, where IRF is denoted by the dotted black line. Inset: Variation in the amplitudes *a*<sub>1</sub> (square) and *a*<sub>2</sub> + *a*<sub>3</sub> (circle) with the increase in NaCl concentration.

results are displayed in Fig. S2 (ESI<sup>+</sup>). Fig. S2 (ESI<sup>+</sup>) displays a broad absorption maximum in the 330-350 nm range. As the concentration of S-BCD increases in the solution containing TPE-IM, the absorbance at 330 nm increases. A saturation behavior is achieved at ~6  $\mu$ M of S- $\beta$ CD. It should be noted that a simultaneous increase in absorbance offset at the longer wavelength is also observed, supporting the proposal of aggregation of cationic TPE-IM molecules induced by anionic S- $\beta$ CD.<sup>65</sup> Thus, in the present case, it can be suggested that S-βCD induces aggregation of TPE-IM molecules. To further support the aggregation of TPE-IM molecules in the presence of S- $\beta$ CD, we have also collected excitation spectra for both TPE-IM and the TPE-IM/S-βCD complex (Fig. S3, ESI<sup>†</sup>). These spectra further corroborate our findings, showing a marked increase in emission intensity for the TPE-IM/S-βCD complex compared to TPE-IM alone. These spectral data clearly illustrate the aggregation-induced emission characteristics of our system. In addition, we have also characterized the aggregation using The field emission scanning electron microscopy (FE-SEM) measurements (Fig. S4, ESI<sup>†</sup>). FE-SEM image depicts a highly dense and homogeneous aggregation of particulates, which is consistent with the formation of an aggregation complex between TPE-IM and S-BCD. The homogeneity of the aggregation pattern across the observed field suggests a consistent interaction between the TPE-IM dye and the sulfated cyclodextrin, leading to the formation of a supramolecular assembly. The morphology of the aggregates, which lack any well-defined shape or size, implies that the aggregation process leads to irregularly shaped, amorphous complexes rather than to crystalline or highly ordered structures.

# 3.2 Environmental influence on TPE-IM/S-βCD assembly complex

**3.2.1 Effect of ionic strength.** The effect of the ionic strength of the medium is often investigated for non-covalent complexation systems where electrostatic interactions are assumed to participate. The present system under investigation is one such case in which tetra-cationic TPE-IM and poly

anionic S-BCD are assumed to undergo strong electrostatic interactions, in addition to other non-covalent interactions. Thus, to understand the effect of salt on the present complexation, steady-state emission measurements of the TPE-IM/S-βCD complex have been recorded in the presence of 0-30 mM NaCl, and the results are displayed in Fig. 2(A). As shown in Fig. 2(A), there is a gradual decrease in the fluorescence intensity of the TPE-IM/S-βCD complex with increasing ionic strength of the medium. It has previously been reported that high salt concentration causes screening of non-covalent electrostatic interactions between the ionic unit components of supramolecular assemblies and hence, due to charge neutralization, it leads to the disintegration of supramolecular complexes.<sup>20,66,67</sup> Therefore, in the current scenario, it can be assumed that NaCl causes screening of the electrostatic interactions between cationic TPE-IM and anionic S-BCD, causing disintegration of the TPE-IM/S-BCD complex. Consequently, the fluorescence intensity of the TPE-IM/S-βCD complex diminishes as the ionic strength increases, which is attributed to the liberation of free TPE-IM molecules within the solution. In addition, the steadystate emission measurements were corroborated by groundstate absorption measurements. Fig. S5 in the ESI† displays these results, revealing a decrease in absorbance for the TPE-IM/S-βCD complex at 330 nm as the ionic strength increases in the range of 0-30 mM.

To provide additional evidence for the ionic strengthdependent steady-state emission measurements, excited-state lifetime measurements of the TPE-IM/S-BCD complex were conducted at varying NaCl concentrations, as illustrated in Fig. 2(B). The results in Fig. 2(B) clearly demonstrate that the excited-state decay traces of the TPE-IM/S-BCD complex progressively decrease as the NaCl concentration increases from 0 mM to 25 mM. The inset of Fig. 2(B) presents the amplitude analysis of the decay components, which shows that the a<sub>1</sub> (corresponding to  $\tau_1$ , free TPE-IM) gradually increases with increase in the concentration of NaCl in the solution, whereas  $a_2 + a_3$ , corresponding to S- $\beta$ CD templated aggregates of TPE-IM, decreases with increase in concentration of NaCl in the solution. This clearly indicates the conversion of TPE-IM aggregates to their monomer form upon the addition of NaCl, which can be attributed to the disassembly of the TPE-IM/S-βCD aggregation complex to increase in the ionic strength of the solution, leading to the release of rapidly rotating free TPE-IM molecules in the solution. This kind of salt-induced breakage of electrostatically driven supramolecular aggregate assembly has also been reported earlier.<sup>22,68,69</sup> Therefore, the assertion that ionic interactions are vital in the formation of the TPE-IM/S-βCD aggregation complex, as deduced from steady-state emission measurements, can be substantiated by these time-resolved measurements. The dependence on ionic strength highlights the role of electrostatic interactions in the assembly process. However, this also poses a challenge for using these assemblies in biological samples, which usually have high ionic strength. Such a challenge is common in systems that rely on electrostatic forces. A practical way to overcome this problem is by diluting the biological samples to reduce their ionic strength.

3.2.2 Effect of temperature. Besides electrostatic interactions, several other weaker non-covalent forces, such as hydrogen bonding,  $\pi$ - $\pi$  interactions, dipole-dipole interactions, and van der Waals forces, may also significantly contribute to the formation of these supramolecular assemblies. These weaker interactions, which aid in the assembly process, tend to be sensitive to temperature changes. As a result, the supramolecular assembly may exhibit a temperature-sensitive response when exposed to different temperatures. To examine the impact of temperature on the TPE-IM/S-βCD complex, steady-state emission spectra were collected, and the results are presented in Fig. 3(A). It is evident from the results in Fig. 3(A) that the fluorescence intensity decreased with increasing temperature in the range of 20-70 °C. It is known that at high temperatures, non-covalent interactions are disrupted, resulting in decreased fluorescence intensity of supramolecular aggregates due to the disintegration of the assembly complex.<sup>19,70</sup> Therefore, it can be assumed that the decrease in the fluorescence intensity of the TPE-IM/S-BCD complex with increasing temperature could be due to the weakening of the non-covalent interactions between TPE-IM and S-BCD unit components that causes disintegration of the TPE-IM/S-BCD assembly system.

Furthermore, the ground-state absorption spectra of the TPE-IM/S- $\beta$ CD assembly complex also show a similar trend in the reduction in absorbance at 330 nm with increasing temperature, as shown in Fig. S6 (ESI†). The decrease in absorbance can again be assigned to a gradual breakdown of the TPE-IM/S- $\beta$ CD assembly upon an increase in temperature that causes the release of free TPE-IM in the solution. Consequently, the turbidity of the solution decreases. Hence, the scattering-dependent effect is reduced at high temperatures, as evidenced by the decrease in the offset absorbance of the TPE-IM/S- $\beta$ CD complex (Fig. S6 (ESI†)). A similar observation was made earlier for a supramolecular assembly upon application of a temperature.<sup>70</sup> The temperature-dependent effect on the TPE-IM/S- $\beta$ CD assembly system was further investigated by



**Fig. 3** (A) Steady-state fluorescence spectra of TPE-IM/S-βCD (TPE-IM =19 μM, S-βCD = 5 μM,  $\lambda_{ex}$  = 350 nm) at various temperatures (°C) (1) 20 (2) 25 (3) 30 (4) 35 (5) 40 (6) 45 (7) 55 (8) 65 (9) 70. Inset: Changes in the emission intensity at 475 nm at different temperatures. The error bars represents the standard deviation (*n* = 3) (B) transient decay traces for TPE-IM/S-βCD ( $\lambda_{ex}$  = 374 nm,  $\lambda_{em}$  = 475 nm, TPE-IM = 19 μM, S-βCD = 740 nM) at various temperatures (°C) (1) 25 (2) 30 (3) 40 (4) 50 (5) 60 (6) 70 where the IRF is denoted with dotted black line. Inset: Variation in amplitudes *a*<sub>1</sub> (squares) and *a*<sub>2</sub> + *a*<sub>3</sub> (circles) with increasing temperature.

performing excited-state lifetime measurements at various temperatures (25-70 °C), and the results are shown in Fig. 3(B). The results shown in Fig. 3(B) indicate that the decay traces progressively became more rapid as the temperature of the solution increased. The analysis of the decay traces suggests that the increase in the temperature of the solution causes an increase in the formation of the free form of TPE-IM, as manifested by the increase in the amplitude of the shorter component  $(a_1)$ . Concomitantly, the amplitude of the slower components  $(a_2 + a_3)$ , which represent the aggregate form of TPE-IM, decreased with increasing temperature. These changes can be explained by the fact that an increase in temperature may increase the non-radiative molecular motions of TPE-IM molecules due to the breakage of the non-covalent interaction forces between TPE-IM and S-BCD molecules and the disassociation of the TPE-IM/S-BCD assembly system. A similar effect of temperature on aggregation assembly has also been noted previously.26,70 Thus, from the above temperature-dependent studies, it can be concluded that non-covalent interaction forces are involved in establishing a complex between cationic TPE-IM and anionic S-βCD. The temperature-dependent analysis of the TPE-IM/S-BCD complex reveals a clear correlation between rising temperature and a decrease in fluorescence intensity. This behavior is attributed to the disruption of noncovalent interactions that are central to supramolecular assemblies. As the temperature increases, there is a pronounced disintegration of the TPE-IM/S-BCD complex, evident from both steady-state fluorescence and ground-state absorption spectra. Transient decay measurements further corroborated this observation, with decay traces becoming progressively faster at elevated temperatures. These measurements emphasizes the critical role of non-covalent forces in the stability and behavior of the TPE-IM/S-βCD complex, particularly under varying temperature conditions.

3.2.3 Effect of pH. In order to determine the effect of pH on the TPE-IM/S-βCD complex, steady-state emission spectra have been obtained at different pHs 2-12, and the results are presented in Fig. S7 (ESI†). Fig. S7 (ESI†) indicates that the fluorescence intensity of the TPE-IM/S-BCD complex did not show any drastic change at various pH levels, which could be due to the lack of any titratable groups present in the TPE-IM or S-βCD unit components in the given pH range. The pHdependent alterations in the TPE-IM/S-BCD complex were further explored using ground-state absorption spectra, with the findings presented in Fig. S8 (ESI†). The ground-state absorption measurements of the TPE-IM/S-βCD complex exhibited a similar trend across various pH values, reinforcing the steady-state emission measurements. Consequently, the TPE-IM/S-βCD assembly system can be utilized as a sensor assembly under biologically relevant pH conditions.

# 3.3 Fluorescence 'Turn-Off' of TPE-IM/S-βCD assembly complex by protamine sulfate (PrS)

With an intention to develop a sensing system for trypsin detection, we investigated the effect of PrS, a poly cationic protein and a natural substrate for trypsin, on the TPE-IM/S- $\beta$ CD complex. As PrS

carries multiple positive charges, it may bind to multiple negative charges bearing S- $\beta$ CD and cause the disassembly of the TPE-IM/S- $\beta$ CD complex. To test this hypothesis, the fluorescence response of the TPE-IM/S- $\beta$ CD complex has been measured at different concentrations of PrS, and the results are presented in Fig. 4(A). Fig. 4(A) shows that, with the addition of different concentrations of PrS, the emission intensity of the TPE-IM/S- $\beta$ CD aggregation complex decreased and was significantly quenched in the presence of ~4  $\mu$ M PrS. It has been reported that PrS interacts electrostatically with anionic molecules and, therefore, can disrupt aggregated assembly systems.<sup>71,72</sup>

Therefore, in the current scenario, it can be assumed that in the presence of PrS, the TPE-IM/S-βCD aggregation complex is disrupted owing to the preferential polycationic and polyanionic complexation between PrS and S-BCD. As a result, the disintegration of the TPE-IM molecules from the TPE-IM/ S-βCD assembly is promoted. Hence, an almost negligible fluorescence intensity was observed in the presence of PrS due to the release of free TPE-IM molecules in the solution (Fig. 4(A)). To corroborate the findings of the steady-state emission experiments, excited-state lifetime measurements were conducted, and the results are displayed in Fig. 4(B). As shown in Fig. 4(B), the decay traces of the TPE-IM/S- $\beta$ CD complex exhibit an increasingly faster decay profile as the concentration of PrS gradually rises. The analysis of the amplitudes of the decay components is presented in the inset of Fig. 4(B). Evidently, the amplitudes of the free form of TPE-IM  $(a_1)$  increase upon the addition of Protamine Sulfate (PrS), whereas the amplitude for the aggregate form of the dye ( $a_2$  +  $a_3$ ) decreases, and the variation of these amplitudes nicely correlates with each other. This clearly suggests a protamineinduced transformation of the aggregate from the TPE-IM/ S-βCD association complex to free TPE-IM. As previously hypothesized, the introduction of positively charged PrS molecules competed with TPE-IM molecules to form a complex with S- $\beta$ CD. This competition causes the disintegration of the TPE-IM/S-βCD assembly complex, resulting in the creation of



**Fig. 4** (A) Steady-state fluorescence spectra of TPE-IM/S-βCD ( $\lambda_{ex}$  = 374 nm) in the presence of various concentration of PrS: (1) 0 (2) 1.7 (3) 2 (4) 2.6 (5) 3 (6) 3.2 (7) 4.3. Inset: Changes in the intensity of TPE-IM at 475 nm in the presence of different PrS concentrations. The error bars represents the standard deviation (*n* = 3) (B) transient decay traces for TPE-IM/S-βCD ( $\lambda_{ex}$  = 374 nm,  $\lambda_{em}$  = 475 nm, TPE-IM = 19 µM, S-βCD = 740 nM) at various concentrations of PrS (µM) (1) 0 (2) 0.9 (3) 1.1 (4) 1.3 (5) 1.9, where IRF is denoted by a dotted black line. Inset: Variation in the amplitudes *a*<sub>1</sub> (squares) and *a*<sub>2</sub> + *a*<sub>3</sub> (circles) with increasing PrS concentrations.

a PrS/S-BCD complex and the release of free TPE-IM molecules into the solution. Owing to the faster excited-state relaxation associated with free TPE-IM molecules, the gradual disruption of the TPE-IM/S-βCD complex led to a progressively faster decay rate in the observed traces. Thus, the results of the excite-state measurements corroborate those obtained from the steadystate emission measurements. In Section 3.2.3, we have shown that the behaviour of TPE-IM/S-BCD complex is largely unaffected by pH changes within the range of 2-12. However, introducing PrS might change this behavior. To address this, we have conducted experiments examining the fluorescence response of the TPE-IM/S-βCD complex in the presence of PrS under various pH conditions (Fig. S9, ESI<sup>+</sup>). Our results show that the fluorescence intensity of our system remains stable across different pH levels, demonstrating its reliability even when polyelectrolytes or proteins like PrS are present. This stability is likely due to the nature of protamine, a biomolecule rich in arginine with large cationic charge and an isoelectric point between 12 and 13. Therefore, at pH levels below 12, Protamine retains its positive charge, essential for its interaction with the negatively charged S-BCD, ensuring that the response of the system stays consistent under pH 12.

### 3.4 Trypsin detection

After obtaining a PrS concentration-dependent response from the TPE-IM/S-βCD supramolecular assembly, we intended to obtain a trypsin concentration-dependent response from TPE-IM/S-βCD/PrS system. For this purpose, the TPE-IM/S-βCD/PrS system was subjected to various concentrations of trypsin, and the results are presented in Fig. 5. As shown in Fig. 5(A), recovery of the fluorescence of the TPE-IM/S-βCD/PrS complex is observed in the presence of different trypsin concentrations, which can be attributed to the disassembly of the S-BCD/PrS complex that subsequently triggers the reassembly of the TPE-IM/S-βCD aggregation system. It is well reported that trypsinspecific cleavage of PrS into smaller fragments leads to the loss of its polycationic nature. This, in turn, leads to the reduced affinity of PrS fragments for S-BCD compared to tetra-cationic TPE-IM. Therefore, in this study, it can be inferred that enzymatic cleavage of PrS leads to the re-aggregation of the TPE-IM/ S- $\beta$ CD complex in aqueous buffer, resulting in an increase in fluorescence intensity at 475 nm. Consequently, the TPE-IM/S- $\beta$ CD/PrS aggregation complex can be considered a promising platform for trypsin detection. To determine the analytical parameters of the TPE-IM/S-\betaCD/PrS complex assembly system for trypsin detection, changes in the emission intensity at 475 nm were plotted against various trypsin concentrations and linearly fitted. The results are shown in Fig. 5(B). The limit of detection (LOD) for Trypsin using the TPE-IM/S-BCD/PrS complex is 10 pM within a linear detection range of 0-10 nM. This was determined using the linear regression equation  $I(F - F_0) = 273$  [trypsin/nM] + 67, which yielded a regression coefficient  $(R^2)$  of 0.993. The LOD was calculated using the formula  $3\sigma/s$ , where 's' represents the slope obtained from the fitted line, and ' $\sigma$ ' corresponds to the standard deviation of ten blank readings. Consequently, the TPE-IM/S-BCD/PrS complex



**Fig. 5** (A) The enzymatic activity of trypsin was evaluated by measuring the fluorescence decay of the TPE-IM/S- $\beta$ CD/PrS complex (TPE-IM = 19  $\mu$ M, S- $\beta$ CD = 5  $\mu$ M, PrS = 4.5  $\mu$ M,  $\lambda_{ex}$  = 350 nm) at 25 °C in 10 mM Tris buffer (pH 8.2) at various trypsin concentrations (nM). (1) 0 (2) 1.6 (3) 2.8 (4) 4 (5) 6 (6) 10 (B). Change in the emission intensity of TPE-IM/S- $\beta$ CD/PrS (475 nm, 1800th s) in the presence of various trypsin concentrations. The blue circles represent individual data points, whereas the solid black line indicates a linear fit to these points. Error bars display the standard deviation with three replicates (n = 3) for each data point.

shows promise as a sensor probe for trypsin detection. Table 1 compares the LOD of the current detection method for trypsin detection with other reported methods. As demonstrated in Table 1, the sensitivity of the TPE-IM/S- $\beta$ CD/PrS complex system outperforms numerous previously reported methods.

### 3.5 Selectivity

The specificity of a sensing system is of paramount importance, especially when the target analyte co-exists with other similar entities. Therefore, we sought to evaluate the selectivity of the TPE-IM/S-BCD/PrS complex towards trypsin as compared to other enzymes and proteins. To this end, we recorded steadystate emission spectra in the presence of a diverse set of enzymes and proteins, including alkaline phosphatase (ALP), bovine serum albumin (BSA), choline oxidase (ChOx), glucose oxidase (GluOx), hemoglobin (Hb), horseradish peroxidase (HRP), and lysozyme. Our observations, detailed in Fig. 6(A), revealed that only trypsin demonstrated a distinct binding affinity with the TPE-IM/S-BCD/PrS complex. This unique interaction with trypsin facilitated the selective cleavage of PrS. Following this cleavage, there is a consequential reaggregation of the TPE-IM/S-BCD complex, culminating in a marked enhancement in fluorescence intensity. The molecular basis of this selectivity can be attributed to specificity of tryptic cleavage towards PrS. In conclusion, the TPE-IM/S-βCD/PrS system



**Fig. 6** (A) Plot showing the response of the TPE-IM/S- $\beta$ CD/PrS complex to various enzymes and proteins at a concentration of 10 nM. (B) The response plot illustrates the percentage inhibition of trypsin activity (10 nM) as determined by the decrease in emission of the TPE-IM/S- $\beta$ CD/PrS complex over a 30-minute period at 25 °C in 10 mM Tris buffer (pH 8.2) while exposed to varying concentrations of benzamidine. The error bars represents the standard deviation (*n* = 3).

exhibited remarkable selectivity for trypsin detection in aqueous solutions. Such specificity, especially in the presence of potential interferences, underscores the robustness of the system and its promising application in complex biological environments.

### 3.6 Trypsin inhibition by benzamidine

Next, to determine and demonstrate the potential application of the TPE-IM/S-BCD/PrS aggregation system to detect trypsin inhibitors, a well-known natural inhibitor of trypsin, benzamidine,<sup>82</sup> was used as a model inhibitor, and the results are shown in Fig. S10 (ESI<sup>†</sup>). It is evident from the results that the fluorescence intensity of the TPE-IM/S-BCD/PrS/trypsin complex decreased with time as the concentration of the benzamidine compound increased in the solution (0-160  $\mu$ M). Next, to measure the inhibitory effect of benzamidine, the percentage inhibition of trypsin activity was evaluated as the recovery of the emission intensity of the TPE-IM/S-βCD/PrS/ trypsin complex, and the results are shown in Fig. 6(B). The percentage inhibition was calculated using the formula  $(F_0 - F)/F_0$ , where  $F_0$  and F denote the fluorescence intensity of the TPE-IM/S-βCD/PrS/trypsin system at the 1800th second in the absence and presence of benzamidine, respectively. It is visible from the results of Fig. 6(B) that trypsin activity is lost by almost >90% upon the addition of 160  $\mu$ M of inhibitor. As benzamidine-dependent inhibition of Trypsin has already been well studied,82 the above results demonstrate the

Table 1 Comparison of various	analytical parameters	of the trypsin-sensing platforms
-------------------------------	-----------------------	----------------------------------

S. no.	Probe/material	LOD	Linearity	Incubation time	Ref.
1	CuNPs/Cyt-C	$42 \text{ ng mL}^{-1}$	$0.25-1000 \ \mu g \ m L^{-1}$	30	73
2	Fe <sub>3</sub> O <sub>4</sub> -PDA-HSA/anti-HSA CdTe QDs	$0.25 \ \mu g \ mL^{-1}$	$0.5-30 \ \mu g \ m L^{-1}$	60	74
3	Mn: ZnSe d-dots-Arg <sub>6</sub>	$40 \text{ ng mL}^{-1}$	$0.1-12.0 \ \mu g \ mL^{-1}$	30	75
4	Arg <sub>6</sub> -FAM/graphene oxides	$0.1 \ \mu g \ mL^{-1}$	$0-10 \ \mu g \ mL^{-1}$	15	76
5	GSH-AuNCs	$0.08 \ \mu g \ mL^{-1}$	$0.2-100 \ \mu g \ m L^{-1}$	60	51
6	Poly-Arg polymer nanoparticles/graphene oxides	$0.827 \ \mu g \ mL^{-1}$	$0-25 \ \mu g \ m L^{-1}$	40	77
7	SPR	$25.7 \ \mu g \ m L^{-1}$	$0.0-0.30 \text{ mg mL}^{-1}$		78
8	BSA-AuNCs/TMB	$0.6 \ \mu g \ m L^{-1}$	$0.9-1 \text{ mg mL}^{-1}$	120	79
9	MPA-AgInZnS	$0.04 \ \mu g \ m L^{-1}$	$0.1-2.0 \ \mu g \ mL^{-1}$	3.33	80
10	Alkynylplatinum(II) 2,6-bis(benzimidazol-2'-yl)pyridine	$6.36 \text{ ng mL}^{-1}$	0.006 to 0.06 $\mu g m L^{-1}$		81
11	TPE-IM/S-βCD/PrS	0.24 ng mL	$0-240 \text{ ng mL}^{-1}$	None	This method



**Fig. 7** Change in the emission intensity of TPE-IM/S- $\beta$ CD/PrS in 2% human serum (1100th s at 475 nm) in the presence of various trypsin concentrations. The blue circles signify individual data points, and the solid black line illustrates the linear regression fitted to these points. The error bars display the standard deviation, with a sample size of three (n = 3).

potential of the TPE-IM/S- $\beta$ CD/PrS/trypsin system as a potential sensing platform for detecting trypsin inhibitors.

### 3.7 Trypsin detection in human serum

Trypsin, as highlighted earlier, serves as a biomarker for a myriad of disease.42,43 Recognizing its clinical significance, we endeavored to validate the performance of our sensing system in a complex biological matrix, namely, human serum. For this purpose, we incorporated the TPE-IM/S-βCD/PrS complex into human serum samples (2%) and subsequently introduced varying concentrations of trypsin. The outcomes, as illustrated in Fig. 7, display a discernible fluorescence enhancement at 475 nm, which is amplified in tandem with increasing trypsin concentrations. This fluorescence behavior mirrors our observations from experiments conducted in an aqueous medium, underscoring the robustness of our system. To quantify the sensitivity of the system in this matrix, we analyzed the data and derived a linear relationship described by the equation  $I_{F-F_0} = 38[\text{trypsin/nM}] + 69$ . This equation yields an impressive linear regression coefficient  $(R^2)$  of 0.94. Furthermore, we established a detection limit of 78 pM encompassing a linear concentration span of 0-20 nM.

Based on these experimental insights, we suggest that the TPE-IM/S- $\beta$ CD/PrS complex system holds significant promise as an efficient and reliable sensing platform for trypsin detection in real-world biological samples, such as human serum. This capability could potentially update diagnostics, offering a sensitive and rapid method in contrast to existing techniques.

### 4. Conclusions

In this study, we successfully developed a trypsin-responsive fluorescence turn-on aggregation complex, TPE-IM/S- $\beta$ CD/PrS. This system leverages the anionic macrocyclic molecule S- $\beta$ CD and the cationic TPE derivative TPE-IM in conjunction with multi-cationic PrS molecules. Central to this mechanism, a supramolecular assembly (TPE-IM/S- $\beta$ CD) forms based on electrostatic interactions between polyanionic S- $\beta$ CD and cationic

View Article Online **Paper** TPE-IM. Intriguingly, while isolated TPE-IM molecules remain non-fluorescent owing to intramolecular rotational motions, they exhibit strong emission in an S- $\beta$ CD-induced aggregation state. The presence of PrS, a natural substrate of trypsin, quenched the fluorescence of the TPE-IM/S- $\beta$ CD complex. This is attributed to the release of free TPE-IM in solution and the consequent formation of an S- $\beta$ CD/PrS aggregation complex. However, the introduction of trypsin catalyzes the enzymatic cleavage of PrS, prompting the disintegration of the S- $\beta$ CD/PrS complex and reassembly of the TPE-IM/S- $\beta$ CD complex. This dynamic system offers a novel approach to trypsin detection. The sensing system showed remarkable linearity across a concentration range of 0–10 nM, with a limit of detection (LOD) as low as 10 pM. In the context of real-world applications, the system effectively detects trypsin in human serum samples, achieving a LOD of 78 pM. Beyond detection, the utility of the platform extends to screening for trypsin inhibitors, as demonstrated with benzamidine a natural trypsin inhibitor. This

concentration range of 0-10 nM, with a limit of detection (LOD) as low as 10 pM. In the context of real-world applications, the system effectively detects trypsin in human serum samples, achieving a LOD of 78 pM. Beyond detection, the utility of the platform extends to screening for trypsin inhibitors, as demonstrated with benzamidine, a natural trypsin inhibitor. This platform stands out as a simple, rapid, and sensitive solution, enriching the toolkit available to researchers and clinicians focusing on trypsin detection and related endeavors. The potential of this system in clinical diagnostics, especially in monitoring trypsin-related diseases, is noteworthy and could pave the way for improved patient care. Furthermore, its application in screening trypsin inhibitors provides opportunities for drug discovery, particularly under conditions characterized by trypsin overactivity. We anticipate that this platform will inspire further research into therapeutic agents targeting trypsin or related proteases, marking a significant step in the biochemistry and diagnostics domain.

## Conflicts of interest

The authors declare no competing financial interest.

## Acknowledgements

The authors acknowledge the support from the host institute during the course of this work. Jasvir Kaur thanks the Indian Council of Medical Research, New Delhi, for providing the financial support.

## References

- L. Peng, G. Zhang, D. Zhang, J. Xiang, R. Zhao, Y. Wang and D. Zhu, A Fluorescence "Turn-On" Ensemble for Acetylcholinesterase Activity Assay and Inhibitor Screening, DOI: 10.1021/ol9016723, (accessed October 18, 2022).
- 2 Q. Hao, Y. Kang, J.-F. Xu and X. Zhang, *Langmuir*, 2021, 37, 6062–6068.
- 3 N. Swaminathan, N. Sharma, Y. Nerthigan and H.-F. Wu, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 2021, 554, 149600.
- 4 D. Ding, K. Li, B. Liu and B. Z. Tang, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2013, **46**, 2441–2453.

### View Article Online

- 5 J. Shi, Y. Li, Q. Li and Z. Li, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2018, **10**, 12278–12294.
- 6 Y. Lu, A. A. Aimetti, R. Langer and Z. Gu, *Nat. Rev. Mater.*, 2016, 2, 1–17.
- 7 A. Tanaka, Y. Fukuoka, Y. Morimoto, T. Honjo, D. Koda,
   M. Goto and T. Maruyama, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2015, 137, 770–775.
- 8 B. Renoux, F. Raes, T. Legigan, E. Péraudeau, B. Eddhif,
  P. Poinot, I. Tranoy-Opalinski, J. Alsarraf, O. Koniev,
  S. Kolodych, S. Lerondel, A. L. Pape, J. Clarhaut and
  S. Papot, *Chem. Sci.*, 2017, 8, 3427–3433.
- 9 Q. Hu, P. S. Katti and Z. Gu, Nanoscale, 2014, 6, 12273-12286.
- 10 A. J. Harnoy, I. Rosenbaum, E. Tirosh, Y. Ebenstein, R. Shaharabani, R. Beck and R. J. Amir, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2014, **136**, 7531–7534.
- 11 J. Mu, J. Lin, P. Huang and X. Chen, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2018, 47, 5554–5573.
- 12 G. Bharath, R. Madhu, S.-M. Chen, V. Veeramani, A. Balamurugan, D. Mangalaraj, C. Viswanathan and N. Ponpandian, *J. Mater. Chem. B*, 2015, 3, 1360–1370.
- 13 Y. Wang, C. Hou, Y. Zhang, F. He, M. Liu and X. Li, *J. Mater. Chem. B*, 2016, 4, 3695–3702.
- 14 D.-S. Guo, K. Wang, Y.-X. Wang and Y. Liu, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2012, **134**, 10244–10250.
- 15 X. Xiao, Z. Xu, W. Wang, S. Sun, Y. Qiao, L. Jiang, Y. Yan and J. Huang, *Langmuir*, 2021, **37**, 8348–8355.
- 16 D.-S. Guo, T.-X. Zhang, Y.-X. Wang and Y. Liu, Chem. Commun., 2013, 49, 6779–6781.
- 17 Y. Ding, Y. Kang and X. Zhang, *Chem. Commun.*, 2014, **51**, 996–1003.
- 18 Y.-C. Pan, H. Wang, X. Xu, H.-W. Tian, H. Zhao, X.-Y. Hu, Y. Zhao, Y. Liu, G. Ding, Q. Meng, B. J. Ravoo, T. Zhang and D.-S. Guo, *CCS Chem.*, 2020, **3**, 2485–2497.
- 19 J. Kaur and P. K. Singh, Sens. Actuators, B, 2021, 346, 130517.
- 20 J. Kaur, D. N. Nadimetla, S. V. Bhosale and P. K. Singh, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2022, **126**, 1147–1155.
- 21 J. Wang, Y. Zhao, F.-X. Ma, K. Wang, F.-B. Wang and X.-H. Xia, *J. Mater. Chem. B*, 2013, **1**, 1406–1413.
- 22 N. H. Mudliar and P. K. Singh, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2016, 22, 7394–7398.
- 23 G. Singh and P. K. Singh, Langmuir, 2019, 35, 14628–14638.
- 24 Y. Chen and Y. Liu, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2010, 39, 495-505.
- 25 J. Luo, Z. Xie, J. W. Y. Lam, L. Cheng, H. Chen, C. Qiu, H. S. Kwok, X. Zhan, Y. Liu, D. Zhu and B. Z. Tang, *Chem. Commun.*, 2001, 1740–1741.
- 26 Y. Ren, J. W. Y. Lam, Y. Dong, B. Z. Tang and K. S. Wong, J. Phys. Chem. B, 2005, 109, 1135–1140.
- 27 T. Zhou, Q. Wang, M. Liu, Z. Liu, Z. Zhu, X. Zhao and W.-H. Zhu, *Aggregate*, 2021, 2, e22.
- 28 H. Li, H. Kim, J. Han, V.-N. Nguyen, X. Peng and J. Yoon, *Aggregate*, 2021, 2, e51.
- 29 L. Peng, L. Xiao, Y. Ding, Y. Xiang and A. Tong, J. Mater. Chem. B, 2018, 6, 3922–3926.
- 30 N. Gu and S. Liu, J. Mater. Chem. B, 2020, 8, 3168-3170.
- 31 H.-B. Wang, B.-B. Tao, A.-L. Mao, Z.-L. Xiao and Y.-M. Liu, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2021, **348**, 130729.

- 32 H.-B. Wang, A.-L. Mao, T. Gan and Y.-M. Liu, *Analyst*, 2020, 145, 7009–7017.
- 33 S. K. Liew, S. Malagobadan, N. M. Arshad and N. H. Nagoor, *Biomolecules*, 2020, 10, 138.
- 34 E. E. Bittar, Arch. Intern. Med., 1962, 109, 601-602.
- 35 O. L. Tavano, A. Berenguer-Murcia, F. Secundo and R. Fernandez-Lafuente, *Compr. Rev. Food Sci. Food Saf.*, 2018, 17, 412–436.
- 36 J. Kaur and P. K. Singh, Crit. Rev. Anal. Chem., 2020, 1-19.
- 37 I. M. E. Lacroix, X.-M. Chen, D. D. Kitts and E. C. Y. Li-Chan, Food Funct., 2017, 8, 701–709.
- 38 X. Wang, H. Chen, X. Fu, S. Li and J. Wei, *LWT--Food Sci. Technol.*, 2017, 75, 93–99.
- 39 J. Z. Kiser, M. Post, B. Wang and M. Miyagi, *J. Proteome Res.*, 2009, 8, 1810–1817.
- 40 S. N. Huang, H. Minassian and J. D. More, *Lab. Invest.*, 1976, **35**, 383–390.
- 41 E. Vandermarliere, M. Mueller and L. Martens, *Mass Spectrom. Rev.*, 2013, **32**, 453–465.
- 42 M. Hirota, M. Ohmuraya and H. Baba, J. Gastroenterol., 2006, 41, 832–836.
- 43 P. Dandona, M. Hodson, J. Bell, L. Ramdial, I. Beldon and J. C. Batten, *Thorax*, 1981, **36**, 60–62.
- 44 V. Fonseca, O. Epstein, A. Katrak, D. Junglee, D. P. Mikhailidis, N. McIntyre and P. Dandona, *J. Clin. Pathol.*, 1986, **39**, 638–640.
- 45 H. C. Heinrich, E. E. Gabbe and F. Ičagić, *Klin. Wochenschr.*, 1979, **57**, 1237–1238.
- 46 M. Amouzadeh Tabrizi, J. Ferré-Borrull and L. F. Marsal, *Sci. Rep.*, 2020, **10**, 2356.
- 47 A. D. Kersey, T. A. Berkoff and W. W. Morey, *Opt. Lett.*, 1993, 18, 1370–1372.
- 48 J. A. Braatz, C. Elias, J. G. Finny, H. Tran and M. McCaman, *J. Immunol. Methods*, 2015, 417, 131–133.
- 49 M. Dong, H. Qi, S. Ding and M. Li, *Microchim. Acta*, 2015, 182, 43–49.
- 50 N. A. Karaseva, B. Pluhar, E. A. Beliaeva, T. N. Ermolaeva and B. Mizaikoff, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2019, **280**, 272–279.
- 51 H. Li, M. Yang, D. Kong, R. Jin, X. Zhao, F. Liu, X. Yan, Y. Lin and G. Lu, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2019, **282**, 366–372.
- 52 N.-N. Wu, L.-G. Chen, M.-Z. Xiao, R.-Y. Yuan and H.-B. Wang, *Microchim. Acta*, 2023, **190**, 158.
- 53 P. Li, Y. Liu, X. Wang and B. Tang, *Analyst*, 2011, **136**, 4520–4525.
- 54 K. Wang, D.-S. Guo, M.-Y. Zhao and Y. Liu, *Chemistry*, 2016, 22, 1475–1483.
- 55 X. Dai, Y. Chen and Y. Liu, in *Handbook of Macrocyclic Supramolecular Assembly*, ed. Y. Liu, Y. Chen and H.-Y. Zhang, Springer, Singapore, 2019, pp. 1–19.
- 56 C. Kotras, M. Fossépré, M. Roger, V. Gervais, S. Richeter, P. Gerbier, S. Ulrich, M. Surin and S. Clément, *Front. Chem*, 2019, 7, 493.
- 57 M. Kumbhakar, P. K. Singh, A. K. Satpati, S. Nath and H. Pal, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2010, **114**, 10057–10065.
- 58 A. M. Pettiwala and P. K. Singh, Spectrochim. Acta, Part A, 2018, 188, 120–126.

Paper

- 59 P. K. Singh, A. K. Satpati, M. Kumbhakar, H. Pal and S. Nath, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2008, **112**, 11447–11450.
- 60 P. K. Singh, S. Nath, A. C. Bhasikuttan, M. Kumbhakar, J. Mohanty, S. K. Sarkar, T. Mukherjee and H. Pal, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 2008, **129**, 114504.
- 61 J. R. Lakowicz, *Principles of Fluorescence Spectroscopy*, Plenum Press, New York, 2006.
- 62 X. Lou and Y. Yang, Aggregate, 2020, 1, 19-30.
- 63 Y. Cai, L. Du, K. Samedov, X. Gu, F. Qi, H. H. Y. Sung,
  B. O. Patrick, Z. Yan, X. Jiang, H. Zhang, J. W. Y. Lam,
  I. D. Williams, D. L. Phillips, A. Qin and B. Z. Tang, *Chem. Sci.*, 2018, 9, 4662–4670.
- 64 E. Arad, H. Green, R. Jelinek and H. Rapaport, J. Colloid Interface Sci., 2020, 573, 87–95.
- 65 J. Kaur and P. K. Singh, Sens. Actuators, B, 2021, 130517.
- 66 S. P. Pandey, P. Jha and P. K. Singh, J. Mol. Liq., 2020, 315, 113625.
- 67 J. Kaur and P. K. Singh, Microchem. J., 2022, 183, 108091.
- 68 A. A. Awasthi and P. K. Singh, *J. Phys. Chem. B*, 2017, **121**, 6208–6219.
- 69 M. M. Cox and D. L. Nelson, *Principles of Biochemistry*, W H Freeman & Co, 2008.
- 70 J. Kaur, J. N. Malegaonkar, S. V. Bhosale and P. K. Singh, J. Mol. Liq., 2021, 333, 115980.

- 71 J. Kaur, J. Malegaonkar, S. Bhosale and P. Singh, J. Mol. Liq., 2021, 333, 115980.
- 72 V. R. Singh, J. N. Malegaonkar, S. V. Bhosale and P. K. Singh, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2020, **18**, 8414–8423.
- 73 L.-J. Ou, X.-Y. Li, L.-J. Li, H.-W. Liu, A.-M. Sun and K.-J. Liu, *Analyst*, 2015, **140**, 1871–1875.
- 74 T. Xia, Q. Ma, T. Hu and X. Su, Talanta, 2017, 170, 286–290.
- 75 X. Gao, G. Tang, Y. Li and X. Su, *Anal. Chim. Acta*, 2012, **743**, 131–136.
- 76 X. Gu, G. Yang, G. Zhang, D. Zhang and D. Zhu, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2011, 3, 1175–1179.
- 77 J. Noh, B.-J. Chae, B.-C. Ku and T. S. Lee, J. Polym. Sci., Part A: Polym. Chem., 2014, 52, 1898–1904.
- 78 S. Dutta, K. Saikia and P. Nath, *RSC Adv.*, 2016, 6, 21871–21880.
- 79 G.-L. Wang, L.-Y. Jin, Y.-M. Dong, X.-M. Wu and Z.-J. Li, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2015, **64**, 523–529.
- 80 Y. Liu, F. Zhang, X. He, P. Ma, Y. Huang, S. Tao, Y. Sun, X. Wang and D. Song, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2019, **294**, 263–269.
- 81 C. W.-T. Chan, H.-K. Cheng, F. K.-W. Hau, A. K.-W. Chan and V. W.-W. Yam, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2019, 11, 31585–31593.
- 82 R. Mogaki, K. Okuro and T. Aida, *Chem. Sci.*, 2015, 6, 2802–2805.