Journal of Materials Chemistry A

PAPER

Cite this: J. Mater. Chem. A, 2024, 12, 7605

Uncovering the critical function of lanthanum in $CH₄$ production from $CO₂$ using exsolved LaNiO₃ perovskite catalysts†

Mathias Barreau,*^a Davide Salusso, D^b Jinming Zhang,^a Michael Haevecker,^{cd} Detre Teschner,^{cd} [A](http://orcid.org/0000-0001-8374-8329)nna Efimenko, ^{ef} Fabrice Bournel, ^{gh} Jean-Jacques Gall[et,](http://orcid.org/0000-0001-8165-2585) ^{gh} Elisa Borfecchia, ^{in i} Kamil Sobczak,^j Corinne Petit^a and Spyridon Zafeiratos ⁱⁿ *^a

 $CO₂$ methanation, also known as the Sabatier reaction, is of great environmental interest as a sustainable process for energy production and storage. Herein, we report the development of a Ni–La₂O₃ catalyst for $CO₂$ methanation prepared upon reduction of a LaNiO₃ perovskite precursor. The perovskite-based catalyst exhibits enhanced activity, high methane selectivity and improved stability when compared to Ni–La₂O₃ prepared through conventional impregnation methods. The transformation of the LaNiO₃ perovskite precursor upon thermal activation in H_2 was found to have a profound impact on the catalytic properties of the resulting material. The size and stability of exsolved Ni nanoparticles after prolonged reaction were investigated using ex situ electron microscopy. Synchrotron-based X-ray absorption fine structure (XAFS) spectroscopy, as well as soft and tender X-ray photoelectron spectroscopies (AP-XPS/ HAXPES), provides detailed insights into the evolution of bulk and surface phases during the transition of the perovskite to its active catalytic state. Our findings indicate that processes beyond the wellestablished exsolution of nanoparticles, such as lanthana spillover onto nickel, may occur during H_2 activation. More importantly, in situ spectroscopy under $CO₂$ methanation conditions revealed that the surface's affinity for La-hydroxide or La-carbonate formation significantly influences the reactivity. Specifically, we found that La-hydroxide acts as a precursor for the formation of La-oxycarbonate (hexagonal La₂O₂CO₃ phase), a crucial element of the active exsolved catalyst. In contrast, in the absence of La-hydroxide, La-carbonates (La₂(CO₃)₃) formed on the surface, blocking the active sites of the supported catalyst. Our research examines hitherto unrecognized processes affecting the reactivity of exsolved perovskites, highlighting LaNiO₃ as a promising catalyst for $CO₂$ methanation. PAPER
 **EXAMPLE SECTION COVETING the critical function of lanthanum in

CAP Cheek for undates

CH₄ production from CO₂ using exsolved LaNiO

Perovskite catalysts⁺

Perovskite catalysts⁺

Mathias Bareau,^{**} Davide**

Germany

Received 29th November 2023 Accepted 13th February 2024

DOI: 10.1039/d3ta07391b

rsc.li/materials-a

1. Introduction

Global warming caused by the emission of greenhouse gases has attracted a lot of attention during the last few decades. In this context, $CO₂$, a major component of the anthropogenic emissions (estimated to 35 Gt in 2021 (ref. 1 and 2)), can be captured and used as a carbon source to produce value added

"Institut de Chimie et Procédés pour l'Energie, l'Environnement et la Santé (ICPEES), ECPM, UMR 7515 CNRS - Université de Strasbourg, 25 rue Becquerel, Cedex 02, 67087 Strasbourg, France. E-mail: mathias.barreau@unicaen.fr; spiros. zafeiratos@unistra.fr

f Energy Materials In-situ Laboratory Berlin (EMIL), Helmholtz-Zentrum Berlin für Materialien und Energie GmbH (HZB), Albert-Einstein-Str. 15, 12489 Berlin,

chemicals and fuels such as methanol, CO or $\rm CH_4.^{3,4}$ Among the most viable strategies, CO₂ methanation (CO₂ + 4H₂ \rightarrow CH₄ + $2H₂O$) is gaining increasing interest^{5,6} as a promising route to recycle $CO₂$ emissions using $H₂$ produced from renewable energies (known as power-to-gas). Highly active $CO₂$ methanation catalysts are required to overcome the chemical inertness of the CO_2 molecule. Among them Ni,⁷⁻⁹ Co¹⁰⁻¹² or Ru-based^{13,14}

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1039/d3ta07391b>

b European Synchrotron Radiation Facility, CS 40220, Cedex 9 F-38043 Grenoble, France

^{&#}x27;Max-Planck-Institut für Chemische Energiekonversion (MPI-CEC), Stiftstrasse 34-36, D-45470 Mülheim a.d. Ruhr, Germany

dFritz-Haber-Institut der Max-Planck-Gesellschaft, Faradayweg 4-6, D-14195 Berlin, **Germany**

e Interface Design, Helmholtz-Zentrum Berlin für Materialien und Energie GmbH (HZB), Albert-Einstein-Str. 15, 12489 Berlin, Germany

⁸Laboratoire de Chimie Physique-Matière et Rayonnement, Sorbonne Universite, Campus Curie, CNRS UMR 7614, 4 place Jussieu, 75005 Paris, France

h Synchrotron SOLEIL, L'orme des Merisiers, B.P. 48, Saint Aubin, Gif-sur-Yvette Cedex 91192, France

Department of Chemistry, INSTM Reference Center and NIS Centers, University of Torino, 10125 Torino, Italy

j Faculty of Chemistry, Biological and Chemical Research Centre, University of Warsaw, Zwirki, Wigury 101, 02-089 Warsaw, Poland

supported catalysts have been reported as active and selective for the production of CH_4 from CO or CO_2 . Notably, Ni-based catalysts are mostly envisaged for the reaction because of their low price and high $CH₄$ selectivity therefore they are more favorable for industrial applications.⁶ However, Ni exhibits relatively poor activity at low temperature in comparison to Rubased catalysts and is often subject to deactivation mainly caused by Ni particle sintering and/or poisoning.15,16 Consequently, an improvement in the catalyst formulation is needed to elevate $CO₂$ methanation as one of the major green industrial processes.

Typically, nickel-based methanation catalysts are composed of nanosized Ni particles fixed on high surface area oxide supports, such as Al_2O_3 , SiO_2 or CeO_2 .¹⁷ The support controls the dispersion, morphology and/or structure of nickel particles via metal– support interactions.^{18,19} It might also have significant influence on the reactivity by structure-sensitivity effects²⁰ or by directly participating in the reaction offering sites for $CO₂$ adsorption and activation.^{7,21} Basic elements such as La and Pr have been used as promoters to increase Ni dispersion and help limit deactivation over $CeO₂$ - or Al₂O₃-based materials.^{22,23} While poorly studied, Ni supported over $La₂O₃$ (lanthana) was found to be a promising methanation catalyst mainly due to the stabilization of Ni nanoparticles and limited sintering.²⁴ However, lanthanumsupported catalysts still suffer from relatively poor activity compared for instance to ceria-based materials.²⁵

Stabilization of nickel nanoparticles on a support is not trivial, as nickel undergoes agglomeration easily, which ends up degrading the catalyst performance. In this context, exsolution has received a lot of attention over the last decade as a promising approach to synthesize supported metallic catalysts with specific characteristics.²⁶⁻²⁸ Exsolution, also known as solid phase crystallization, is a process by which a homogeneous phase is separated into two or more solid phases typically upon annealing at high temperature.²⁹⁻³¹ In the case of mixed oxides under reductive conditions, one or more elements will move outwards forming metallic nanoparticles at the oxide surface leading to a morphology resembling that of supported metal catalysts. Exsolution, as opposed to traditional deposition procedures, may offer better control of the metal–support interface.26,27,29 Additionally, exsolved metal nanoparticles usually show strong anchoring to the host oxide (also called socketing) enhancing in this way metal–support interaction and stability.26,27,29,32

Perovskite-based materials $(ABO₃)$ containing Ni in the B-site are frequently used as precursors which after a thermal reduction treatment will release Ni from the oxide lattice to the surface in the form of metallic nanoparticles leaving behind the host lattice as the support. So far, numerous combinations of stoichiometric, but mostly A or B-site deficient, perovskite systems have been studied with the main aim of favoring the exsolution of Ni and controlling the nature of the "support" phase. Among all, the stoichiometric LANiO_3 perovskite is the archetype of exsolved materials because it is easy to synthesize, has a relatively simple chemistry upon reduction and above all represents an excellent catalyst for $CO₂$ conversion.³¹ It is therefore not surprising that LaNiO_3 is probably the most studied exsolved catalyst in the literature, both in terms of physicochemical and catalytic properties. However, the great majority of these studies focus on $CO₂$ conversion via dry methane reforming³³ and it is hard to find reports on the performance and chemistry of LaNiO₃ in the $CO₂$ methanation reaction. This is even more intriguing if one considers the radically different operation conditions between dry reforming and methanation of $CO₂$ dictated by the thermodynamics of the reaction: high temperature (ca. 800 $^{\circ}$ C) and pressure for reforming, medium temperature (ca. 350 °C) and atmospheric pressure for methanation. Considering that the active catalytic state is dynamic and is formed only under the operation conditions,³⁴ significant differences in the chemistry of the catalysts should be anticipated in the two cases.

Rare studies over A-deficient perovskites have shown that exsolved Ni catalysts can also be very promising for $CO₂$ hydrogenation. Suk Lim et al. evaluated Ni-exsolved La_{1-x}Ca_x- $NiO₃$ perovskites as methanation catalysts after reduction pretreatment at 600 C .³⁵ The resulting material showed nearly 100% CH4 selectivity and the yield improved by 45% in comparison to the conventional $Ni/Al₂O₃$ catalyst with similar Ni loading. Steiger et al. prepared $LaFe_{0.8}Ni_{0.2}O₃$ perovskite modified in the A-site by Sr doping for $CO₂$ hydrogenation.³⁶ They found by in situ XRD that La substitution with Sr promoted the segregation of Ni after exsolution at 600 $^{\circ}$ C and therefore the catalytic activity of the material in comparison to undoped LaFe_{0.8}Ni_{0.2}O₃. However, the highest yield of CH₄ was limited to 20% and could only be achieved at high temperature (around 470 °C). Nevertheless, despite few studies dedicated to dry reforming reaction, 37 in situ surface-specific characterization of the exsolved perovskite catalysts upon formation of the active phase is missing. In situ studies are of particular importance for this system because La-based perovskites are known to be highly reactive towards water/humidity and $CO₂$, which hinders any attempt for ex situ/post mortem surface characterization. Journal of Materials Chemistry A

supported catalys have been reported as active and selective equilibration of the properties are the properties and the properties are properties and the properties are the properties are

> In light of the above aspects, this study explores the use of the stoichiometric LaNiO₃ perovskite as a precursor of highly active and stable Ni-supported catalysts towards $CO₂$ methanation. We used a conventional supported $Ni/La₂O₃$ material with similar Ni loading as a benchmark catalyst, to demonstrate the superior $CO₂$ methanation performance of the LaNiO₃ exsolved catalyst. We also employed several ex situ and in situ synchrotron-based characterization techniques to examine the exsolution process and the dynamics of surface evolution of the catalyst during H_2 -activation and reaction conditions. The correlation between the surface state and the catalytic performance of exsolved and supported benchmark catalysts offers valuable insights beyond the commonly observed effect of stabilizing Ni nanoparticles. It also provides crucial information regarding surface transformations that govern the reactivity of $CO₂$ methanation.

2. Experimental part

2.1. Catalyst preparation

2.1.1 Synthesis of LaNiO₃ perovskite and reference Ni/ $La₂O₃$ catalysts. The synthesis of LaNiO₃ was carried out using

the Pechini sol gel method.³⁸ A precursor solution was prepared by dissolving an equimolar ratio of metallic salts with two equivalents of citric acid. In particular, $La(NO₃)₃·6H₂O$ $(11.2 \text{ mmol}, 4.85 \text{ g})$, Ni $(\text{NO}_3)_2 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$ $(11.2 \text{ mmol}, 3.26 \text{ g})$ and citric acid (22.4 mmol, 4.31 g) were dissolved in ethanol (60 mL). Then, the solution was heated at 60 $\mathrm{^{\circ}C}$ for 4 h under continuous stirring until a green gel was obtained. The gel was then dried at 100 °C overnight and crushed into a powder. Finally, the mixture was calcined at 700 °C for 6 h at a heating rate of 2 ° C min⁻¹ and an intermediate dwell time of 6 h at 500 °C, resulting in a black powder.

The synthesis of $Ni/La₂O₃$ was realized by wet impregnation. To this end, La_2O_3 was first prepared via calcination of La(NO₃)₃.6H₂O at 800 °C for 2 h so as to get a surface area comparable to that of the perovskite.³⁹ Then, $Ni(NO₃)₂·6H₂O$ (8.5 mmol, 2.48 g) was added to 1.5 g of La_2O_3 dispersed in 30 mL of distilled water, so as to attain similar Ni/La ratio (Ni/La $= 1$, 25 wt% Ni) to that in the perovskite based-materials. The mixture was stirred for 4 h at 60 °C. The solvent was then evaporated in a rotary evaporator and dried overnight. For comparison purpose, the powder was then calcined at 700 °C for 6 h using a similar protocol to the one described for the perovskite material and finally treated at 600 $\rm{^{\circ}C}$ under H₂ for 30 minutes prior to the catalytic test.

2.1.2 Transformation of the perovskite-based catalysts by reduction pretreatments. The LaNi $O₃$ catalyst was treated under a H_2 atmosphere at selected temperatures to evaluate the CO_2 methanation performance. To this end, a 200 mg sample was loaded in a U-shaped tubular quartz reactor and subsequently reduced at 400, 500 or 600 \degree C with a heating ramp of 10 \degree C min⁻¹ under 50 mL min⁻¹ of H₂ for 30 minutes. Materials after hydrogen treatments were designated with a number indicating the temperature of the treatment, for instance LaNiO₃-400, for LaNiO₃ treated at 400 °C. These temperatures were specifically chosen to investigate the effects of the gradual transformation of LANiO_3 under a reducing atmosphere on the size and morphology of Ni particles. For all the temperatures studied, the duration of the reduction treatment was intentionally kept relatively short (i.e., 30 minutes) to prevent the nucleation of large Ni particles. As previously demonstrated,⁴⁰ temperature has a notable impact on the overall exsolution mechanism, including the density of nuclei, while the treatment duration primarily influences the final step of the process, which is related to the growth of the particles.

2.2. Catalytic evaluation at 1 bar

 $CO₂$ methanation tests were performed in a fixed-bed flow reactor using a U-shaped quartz micro-reactor (i.d. 6 mm). In a typical catalytic test, 100 mg catalyst was diluted with 100 mg SiC, both sieved between 150 and 250 μ m and deposited on a quartz wool bed. The quartz reactor was then introduced in a tubular oven with the catalyst bed located in the isothermal zone. The gases were introduced via calibrated mass flow controllers (Bronkhorst) for a total flow rate of 20 mL min⁻¹ $(GHSV = 12000 h^{-1})$. The temperature was controlled by a Ktype thermocouple attached to the quartz reactor in intimate

contact with the catalytic bed location. Prior to the tests, the samples were first reduced at temperatures ranging between 400 and 600 °C, as already detailed in Section 2.1.2. Then, all catalytic tests were performed at atmospheric pressure in a $CO₂$: H₂ gas mixture with a 1:4 molar ratio. Catalytic runs were performed stepwise starting from 150 to 400 °C using a 10 °C min−¹ ramp and a dwell time of 30 min at each selected temperature. Long-term stability tests were also conducted at 300 °C for 65 h after H_2 pretreatment at 600 °C. The outlet gas was analyzed using a gas chromatograph Agilent 5975C VL MSD equipped with a Molecular Sieve 5A column, a PoraPLOT U GC column and an OV-1 capillary column and TCD for CO, $CH₄$, $CO₂$ and H₂O detection. The detection and quantification of H₂ was not possible due to the similar retention time to that of the carrier gas (He). The formation of $CH₄$ and CO are due to CO₂ methanation and reverse water-gas shift reactions respectively (eqn (1) and (2)): Paper

Open Figure 3.0 February Article on 13 February 2022. The state of the

 $CO₂$ methanation reaction: $CO₂ + 4H₂ \rightarrow CH₄ + 2H₂O$ (1)

Reverse water-gas shift reaction: $CO_2 + H_2 \rightarrow CO + H_2O$ (2)

The CO₂ conversion (X_{CO_2}) and CH₄ selectivity (S_{CH_4}) were calculated as follows:

$$
CO2 conversion: XCO2(\%) = \frac{CO2,in - CO2,out}{CO2,in} \times 100
$$
 (3)

CH₄ selectivity :
$$
S_{\text{CH}_4}(\%) = \frac{\text{CH}_{4,\text{out}}}{\text{CH}_{4,\text{out}} + \text{CO}_{\text{out}}} \times 100
$$
 (4)

where $CO_{2, out/in}$, $CH_{4, out}$ and CO_{out} are the concentrations at the inlet and outlet of the reactor at each reaction temperature. The concentration of each gas was quantified by the corresponding GC peak areas and the response factor of each gas determined by calibration. Regarding the outlet concentrations, a correction factor based on the carbon balance was integrated into the calculation to take into account the flow variation during the test.

2.3. Characterization techniques

2.3.1 Standard characterization. Ex situ X-ray diffraction (XRD) measurements were carried out on a Bruker D8 advance diffractometer equipped with a LynxEye PSD detector using Cu K $\alpha_{1,2}$ radiation ($\lambda = 1.5418$ Å) operating at 40 kV and 40 mA. The XRD patterns were recorded in the 20-85° (2 θ) range at a scan rate of 0.032 \textdegree s⁻¹. Crystallite sizes were estimated according to the Scherrer equation and based on the line broadening of the most intense and isolated reflection $(i.e.,$ the (024) reflection at $2\theta = 47.3^{\circ}$ for LaNiO₃ crystallizing in a hexagonal system and the (111) reflection at $2\theta = 44.8^{\circ}$ for fcc Ni).

 N_2 physisorption measurements were performed on a Micromeritics Tristar 3000 apparatus. The surface area was determined by a multiple-point Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) approach with relative pressures (p/p_0) ranging between 0.05 and 0.35. Prior to the adsorption–desorption of N_2 realized at −196 °C, 100 mg sample was first degassed at 200 °C under primary vacuum for a minimum of 6 h.

H₂ Temperature Programmed Reduction (H₂-TPR) experiments were carried out using a Micromeritics Autochem 2920 device equipped with a thermal conductivity detector (TCD). The profiles were obtained after loading 100 mg of sample in a U-shaped quartz reactor and reduction under 10% H_2 in Ar with a flow rate of 20 mL min⁻¹ up to 900 °C, with a heating rate of 10 °C min−¹ . A cold trap was installed at the outlet of the reactor to remove the moisture produced during reduction.

Transmission Electron Microscopy (TEM) was performed using an FEI Talos F200X microscope operating at 200 kV in scanning transmission electron microscopy (STEM) mode using high-angle annular dark-field (HAADF) imaging. Energydispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX) using a Super-X system with four silicon drift detectors (SDDs) was applied to the detection of differences in local chemical composition.

2.3.2 Ambient pressure photoemission characterization. Synchrotron-based photoemission experiments (Ambient-Pressure X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy, (AP-XPS) and Ambient-Pressure Hard X-ray Photoemission Spectroscopy (AP-HAXPES)) were performed during 3 separate beamtimes in two different instruments. Both apparatuses were equipped with the same electron energy analyser (Phoibos 150NAP by SPECS™) which operated at the same pass energy, while the same soft Xray excitation photon energies were used for recording. Unless otherwise stated the photon energy was selected so as to provide photoelectrons with two kinetic energies (200 and 460 eV) for each of the core level of the elements analyzed (O 1s, C 1s, La 3d and Ni 2p). The information depth is estimated as 3 times the photoelectron inelastic mean free path retrieved from ref. 41. Quantification of the elements was performed after normalization of the intensities by taking into account the photon flux and the atomic subshell photoionization cross-sections using the SESSA (Simulation of Electron Spectra for Surface Analysis) software.⁴¹ The binding energy (BE) of the core level peaks was referenced to the Fermi level cut-off defined in a separate measurement of the Ni 3d states of metallic nickel ("0" in the BE scale), 42 assuming that the Fermi edge of the samples is in electrical contact with the spectrometer. This procedure was repeated for each photoelectron peak and found to give a BE for the C 1s of hydrocarbon species at 284.7 \pm 0.1 eV. Unless otherwise stated, the error in the reported BEs is \pm 0.1 eV. The XPS spectra were analyzed using CasaXPS software.

In all cases, 20 mg of catalyst was pelletized and fixed on the sample holder, while a $1:1 CO_2$: H₂ mixture was employed. It is important to note that, according to the balanced chemical equation for the Sabatier reaction, a CO_2 : H₂ molar ratio of 1:4 is required, and this ratio was indeed used in the 1 bar catalytic tests. However, under the specific low-pressure conditions of the in situ AP-XPS experiments, this ratio might not be optimal for the reaction. In this context, we investigated the effect of the $CO₂$ to $H₂$ ratio at 5 mbar overall pressure and temperatures between 200 and 300 °C, in a reactor attached to a laboratory XPS setup described previously.⁴³ According to the online gas phase analysis, a CO_2 : H₂ ratio of 1:1 resulted in about 25% higher CH₄ and H₂O signals compared to 1:4. This increase could be attributed to an extended contact time between $CO₂$ and the catalyst. Therefore, selecting a CO_2 : H₂ ratio of 1:1 is

a good compromise to maximize $CH₄$ production in the lowpressure range under AP-XPS testing conditions. Below follows a description of the two AP-XPS instruments used in this study.

2.3.2.1 AP-XPS at the tempo beamline. The AP-XPS instrument of Sorbonne University attached to the TEMPO beamline of the SOLEIL Synchrotron radiation facility (France)⁴⁴ was used to characterize the LaNiO₃ catalyst under O_2 , H_2 (sample treated at 600 °C) and CO_2 : H₂ (1 : 1) methanation reaction conditions. The gas feeds (O_2, H_2, CO_2) were regulated by leak valves and their purity was monitored using a quadrupole mass spectrometer. The catalyst was fixed on a sample holder with an integrated resistive heater and the temperature was monitored by a K-type thermocouple in contact with the sample surface.

2.3.2.2 AP-XPS and AP-HAXPES at the EMIL beamline. Combined AP-XPS and AP-HAXPES measurements were performed at the new CAT branch of the EMIL beamline at the synchrotron radiation facility BESSY II of the Helmholtz Zentrum Berlin.^{45,46} The reported measurements of LaNiO₃ catalyst in H₂ (sample treated at 400 °C) as well as of the supported Ni/ La₂O₃ catalyst under H₂ (sample treated at 600 °C) and CO₂: H₂ (1 : 1) methanation reaction conditions were performed using this instrument. The catalyst was mounted on a sample stage heated from the rear with an IR laser irradiating the plate placed under the pellet. Gases (H_2, O_2, CO_2) were introduced into the sample chamber via calibrated mass flow controllers (Bronkhorst). The gas phase composition was monitored using a differentially pumped quadrupole mass spectrometer (QMS, Pfeiffer PrismaPro) connected to the sample chamber through a leak valve. A significant feature of the CAT@EMIL beamline is the possibility to use soft and tender X-ray radiation in one single experiment, allowing depth profiling from the extreme surface to the subsurface. The AP-XPS spectra were measured with a 60 µm exit slit, while for AP-HAXPES a double crystal monochromator (DCM) that works without an exit slit was used. In the AP-HAXPES two fixed photon energies were used ($hv =$ 3005 and 4900 eV) (maximum analysis depth around 21 nm). Journal of Materials Chemistry A

11), Temperature Regenumentales (Articles article) and the measure areas compute the access Article

The predict system of the access Article is under the access Article is licensed under

> 2.3.3 X-ray absorption fine structure. All the presented Ni K-edge X-ray Absorption Fine Structure (XAFS) spectra were measured at the BM23 beamline⁴⁷ of the European Synchrotron Radiation Facility. The monochromatic beam was obtained with the Double Crystal Monochromator and harmonics were rejected by a Si(111) double mirror. Spectra were collected in transmission mode using three ion chambers (30 cm) filled with 1.248 mbar of N_2 (I₀) or 0.271 mbar of Ar (I₁/I₂) and topped up to 2 bar with He. The sample, in the form of 13 $mm²$ pellet diluted in BN, was located between the first (I_0) and the second (I_1) ion chambers while Ni metal foil (for energy calibration and alignment) was placed between I_1 and the last chamber (I_2) . For ex situ spectra the sample was held in a conventional sample holder while *in situ* measurements were performed by placing the sample in a Microtomo cell.⁴⁸ The in situ experiment was performed by flowing 50 mL min⁻¹ of 20% H₂: He gas mixture during the temperature ramp protocol reported in Fig. S1.† Xray Absorption Near Edge Structure (XANES) spectra reported in Fig. 5a in the text concern the regions of the protocol indicated with a red line while the magnitude component of FT-

EXAFS spectra reported in Fig. 5d and S9† was collected during steady states indicated by the triangle. Spectra of ex situ samples and steady states of in situ experiment were collected with the step scan mode in the 8.19–9.31 keV energy range. A constant acquisition time of 0.5 s per point was employed throughout the measured energy range. Energy resolution was set to 5 eV per point for the pre-edge (8.19–8.3 keV) and 0.3 eV per point for the XANES (8.31–8.34 keV) while a constant k-spacing of 0.035 k per point was used in the EXAFS region (8.34–9.31 keV). Spectra collected during heating/cooling steps of the in situ experiment were measured in continuous mode in the 8.2–9.2 keV energy range with 0.3 eV per point energy resolution and 0.05 s per point time resolution for a total of 3 minutes per scan. Energy calibration and alignment together with background subtraction and edge jump normalization were conducted with a dedicated Python script based on the Larch library.⁴⁹ Linear Combination Analysis was done using Larch-based Python scripts. The weight of the single component (w_i) was constrained to be $0 \leq w_i \leq 1$ while the sum of the component weights

 $\left(\sum w_i\right)$ i was not forced to 1. The closeness of $\sum\limits w_i$ to 1 was used i as an additional qualitative indicator of the goodness of the fit. All the presented FT-EXAFS spectra were obtained using a Hanning window in the 2.5–13.3 Å⁻¹ k-range. The k-range of FT-EXAFS extraction and R-range of FT-EXAFS fit are reported in Table S1.† FT-EXAFS fit was conducted using the Artemis software from the Demeter package.⁵⁰ The passive amplitude reduction factor $S_0^{\ 2}$ was evaluated from the fit of reference Ni^0 spectra (see Fig. S9 and Table $S1\dagger$) and fixed for the fit of the other spectra. EXAFS Wavelet Transform (WT) was calculated with the Cauchy wavelet function fixing η to 6.4.⁵¹

3. Results

3.1. $CO₂$ methanation performance

Fig. 1a displays the CO₂ conversion (X_{CO_2}) and CH₄ selectivity (S_{CH_4}) profiles of the methanation light-off tests over perovskite LaNiO₃ catalyst activated by reduction in H₂ at 400, 500 and 600 \circ C, hereafter abbreviated as LaNiO_{3} -400, LaNiO_{3} -500, LaNiO_{3} -600. The X_{CO_2} and S_{CH_4} curves of supported Ni/La₂O₃ pre-reduced at 600 °C are included for comparison. The X_{CO_2} increases rapidly above 200 °C, while at 400 °C it attains $CO₂$ conversion values close to thermodynamic equilibrium indicated by the dashedbrown line in Fig. 1a. The $CO₂$ conversion curves of LaNi $O₃$ are shifted towards lower temperatures compared to $Ni/La₂O₃$. The shift becomes more pronounced as the activation temperature of LaNiO₃ increases from 400 $\rm{^{\circ}C}$ to 600 $\rm{^{\circ}C}$, indicating improvement of the catalytic activity with the increase of the activation temperature. This can be quantified by the light-off temperatures corresponding to 50% conversion (T_{50}) determined from Fig. 1a and listed in Table 1. It is evident that in the case of $LaNiO₃$ the T_{50} shifts up to 100 °C compared to Ni/La₂O₃, which represents an enormous difference in the catalytic performance. Note that the X_{CO_2} and S_{CH_4} of Ni/La₂O₃ is comparable to those of similar $La₂O₃$ supported Ni catalysts.²⁵ In addition, the LaNiO₃ is more selective than $Ni/La₂O₃$ in the production of CH₄ (top part of

Fig. 1 CO_2 methanation performance of LaNiO₃ and Ni/La₂O₃ catalysts: (a) $CO₂$ conversion and $CH₄$ selectivity as a function of the reaction temperature. The thermodynamic limit of $CO₂$ conversion is included as a dashed-line. (b) Long-term stability tests at 300 °C for catalysts pre-reduced at 600 °C. All catalytic tests were performed in 20% CO₂/H₂ at 1 bar (100 mg mixed in 100 mg of SiC, GHSV ~12 000 h^{-1}).

Fig. 1a), while S_{CH_4} further improves with H₂-activation temperature. For example, S_{CH_4} of LaNiO₃-600 remains above 97% throughout the experiment and reaches 99.7% at 350 °C while that of $Ni/La₂O₃$ rests around 92%. The apparent activation energies (E_{app}) determined from Arrhenius plots (Fig. S2†) are listed in Table 1. In all cases the E_{app} is between 87 and 99 kJ mol⁻¹, which is in the range typically reported for $CO₂$ methanation over Ni-based catalysts.⁵²

Table 1 The light-off temperatures corresponding to 50% conversion (T_{50}) determined from Fig. 1a and the apparent activation energies (E_{app}) determined from Arrhenius plots (Fig. S1)

Catalyst T_{50} °C	$E_{\rm app}$ kJ mol ⁻¹
LaNiO ₃ -400 99 325	
LaNiO ₃ -500 96 300	
LaNiO ₃ -600 87 285	
Ni/La ₂ O ₃ 93 381	

The durability tests of LaNiO₃-600 and Ni/La₂O₃ catalysts (Fig. 1b) reveal that the perovskite catalyst is not only more active and selective, but also far more stable than the supported one. In particular, after a decrease in the relative $CO₂$ conversion of about 20% observed within the first 10 h, LaNiO₃ does not present any sign of further deactivation up to 65 h. In addition, throughout these tests, the $CH₄$ selectivity remains always above 99%. This performance clearly outperforms that of the Ni/La₂O₃ catalyst, which has lower CO₂ conversion and CH₄ selectivity also being less stable.

Overall, the catalytic tests show that the LaNiO₃ perovskite catalyst outperforms the conventional supported $Ni/La₂O₃$, while higher H_2 activation temperatures seem to promote both $CO₂$ conversion and CH₄ selectivity of LaNiO₃.

3.2. Ex situ structural and morphological characterization

3.2.1 XRD, H_2 -TPR and N₂-BET. The XRD patterns (Fig. 2a) of the fresh calcined LANiO_3 is characteristic of the monophasic hexagonal perovskite structure (JCPDS no. 34-1181) without any evident NiO, La_2NiO_4 or La_2O_3 impurities. The diffraction peaks of hexagonal LaNiO₃ are still visible after H₂-activation at 400 °C (LaNiO₃-400), but vanish at 500 and 600 °C. Instead, new Bragg reflections appeared due to metallic nickel (Ni^0) and h-La₂O₃ phases (see Table 2). The $Ni⁰$ crystallite size calculated by XRD (Table 2) is about 4 nm at 400 °C and increases to 6 nm at 600 ° C. The XRD pattern of the spent catalyst, after the methanation reaction (LaNiO₃-sp), is clearly modified as compared to that of the prior H_2 -activated state. A new hexagonal lanthanum oxycarbonate phase (II-La₂O₂CO₃, JCPDS 37-0804) was found,^{53,54}

together with the h- $La₂O₃$ and metallic Ni phases already existing on the reduced sample. The diffractogram of reduced $Ni/La₂O₃$ is dominated by the diffraction lines of Ni⁰ and h- $La₂O₃$ phases and remains identical after the methanation reaction (Fig. 2b).

The H₂-TPR profile of LaNiO₃ calcined at 700 °C (Fig. 2c) is dominated by two main and several smaller H_2 consumption peaks. This shows that the reduction of $LaNiO₃$ occurs in two steps at approximately 400 and 550 °C. The H_2 consumption ratio between the two main reduction peaks is about 1.5. The H_2 -TPR profile of Fig. 2c is in fair agreement with previous reports.37,55,56 Usually, the low temperature peak is attributed to the Ni³⁺ \rightarrow Ni²⁺ reduction and the one at higher temperature to $\mathrm{Ni^{2+}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Ni^{0.37}}$ Although formation of $\mathrm{Ni^{0}}$ in the second reduction step is related to the transformation of the perovskite to La_2O_3 , both $\text{La}_2\text{Ni}_2\text{O}_5$ (ref. 37) and La_2NiO_4 (ref. 57 and 58) have been proposed as the intermediate lanthanum phase of the first reduction step. The *in situ* XANES spectra (see *infra*) provide more insight on LaNiO₃ reduction. The profile of Ni/La₂O₃ shows two main peaks around 400 and 500 °C. According to previous reports⁵⁹ the one at 400 \degree C is due to the reduction of $Ni²⁺$ species with possible contribution of $Ni³⁺$. The smaller peak around 500 °C has been ascribed to the reduction of Ni– $La₂O₃$ solid solution probably formed during calcination at the interface of the two elements. **Journal of Materials Chemistry A**

The daubility tests of LaNiO₂,600 and NiLa_O², estable in the measure of the first article is licensed under the diffract properties are the published on the published and the publ

The specific surface areas deduced from N_2 physisorption measurements are included in Table 2. The surface area of the calcined catalyst is low and, as might be expected, decreases more with the increase of the reduction temperature and remains below the detection limit of conventional N_2 -BET

Fig. 2 XRD patterns of (a) LaNiO₃ catalysts measured after calcination, H₂-activation at 400, 500 and 600 °C (LaNiO₃-400, LaNiO₃-500 and LaNiO₃-600) and after long-term stability methanation test (LaNiO₃-sp), (b) Ni/La₂O₃ catalysts measured after H₂-activation at 600 °C (Ni/La₂O₃) and after long-term stability methanation test (Ni/La₂O₃-sp). The SiC-related peaks appear in the diffractograms of the spent catalysts, (c) H₂-TPR profile of LaNiO₃ and Ni/La₂O₃ catalysts.

Paper				Journal of Materials Chemistry A		
Table 2			Physico-structural properties of calcined, H_2 -activated and spent LaNiO ₃ and Ni/La ₂ O ₃ catalysts			
Sample	$S_{\rm BET}$ $(m^2 \cdot g^{-1})$	$D_{\textrm{Ni}}^{a}$ (nm)	La-based crystalline phases detected	D_{LaOx}^a (nm)	d_{Ni}^{b} (nm)	
LaNiO ₃	3		LaNiO ₃			
$LaNiO3 - 400$	$\mathbf{2}$	6	LaNiO ₃ , h-La ₂ O ₃	12.9 (h-La ₂ O ₃)	4.2 ± 0.5	
LaNiO ₃ -500	≤ 1	8	h -La ₂ O ₃	14.5 (h-La ₂ O ₃)	N/A	
LaNi O_3 -600	\leq 1	$\, 8$	h -La ₂ O ₃	17.5 (h-La ₂ O ₃)	6.4 ± 0.2	
$LaNiO3$ -sp	N/A	N/A	h -La ₂ O ₂ CO ₃ , h -La ₂ O ₃	17.3 (h-La ₂ O ₃)	6.4 ± 0.2	
$Ni/La2O3 - 600$	\leq 1	11	h -La ₂ O ₃	18.9 (h-La ₂ O ₃)	N/A	
$Ni/La2O3$ -sp	N/A	11	h -La ₂ O ₃	19.5 (h-La ₂ O ₃)	N/A	
	measurements. Nevertheless, the surface areas of the perovskite and supported catalyst after reduction are similar, which		estimated from XRD measurements (Table 2). The histograms of the spent catalyst show that the long-term reaction does not			
	essentially rules out the possibility that the observed differences		affect the Ni particle size and distribution. The stability of			
	in the reactivity are related to the surface area of the catalysts.		highly dispersed metal nanoparticles formed through exsolu-			
3.2.2 HR-TEM and TEM/EDX mapping. The morphology of			tion from the perovskite matrix is consistent with previous			
	activated and spent LaNiO ₃ catalysts is examined by High		reports. ²⁶ What is of particular interest here is that XRD and			
	Resolution Transmission Electron Microscopy HR-TEM		TEM results confirm that there is practically no sintering of Ni			
	combined with Energy Dispersive X-ray elemental mapping		particles even after 65 hours under the reaction conditions			
	(TEM-EDX). Fig. 3 shows representative images of perovskite		which is a key feature that explains the long-term stability found			
	catalysts after reduction at 400 and 600 °C, as well as those of		in Fig. 1b.			
the spent catalyst. Lower magnification TEM and TEM-EDX images can be found in Fig. S3. [†] The HR-TEM images display				3.2.3 Ex situ XANES analysis. Ex situ Ni K-edge XANES measurements of LaNiO ₃ and Ni/La ₂ O ₃ catalysts, together with		
				the spectra of reference compounds are shown in Fig. 4a. The		
rounded dark-contrast particles within a lighter matrix. The						
corresponding EDX mapping reveals that these features corre-				XANES measurements confirm the formation of metallic Ni		
spond to Ni-rich particles laid into a lanthanum oxide matrix,			after H_2 -activation and catalytic tests, as explained in detail in			
evidencing the Ni exsolution during the H_2 -activation treat-				the ESI. [†] In order to quantify the various Ni phases, Linear		
ment. In several cases the Ni particles seem to be cast into				Combination Analysis (LCA) was conducted on the Ni K-edge		
	lanthanum rather than being simply supported on it. A closer		using reference spectra from NiO, Ni, LaNiO ₄ and LaNiO ₃			
	look at the TEM and EDX mapping images indicates that in the		samples (see Fig. S6†). The LCA results (Fig. 4b) suggest that			
	case of LaNiO ₃ -400 some particles are covered by a nanometer		LaNiO_3 is the dominant phase in the fresh perovskite catalyst			
thick layer containing lanthanum. This can be better observed				$(\approx 84\%)$ with minor contribution of La ₂ NiO ₄ ($\approx 12\%$) and NiO		
		in the La map of this sample (indicated by an arrow), where La (2%) phases. Notably, the LaNiO ₃ -phase does not resist the H_2				

Table 2 Physico-structural properties of calcined, H₂-activated and spent LaNiO₃ and Ni/La₂O₃ catalysts

3.2.2 HR-TEM and TEM/EDX mapping. The morphology of activated and spent LANiO_3 catalysts is examined by High Resolution Transmission Electron Microscopy HR-TEM combined with Energy Dispersive X-ray elemental mapping (TEM-EDX). Fig. 3 shows representative images of perovskite catalysts after reduction at 400 and 600 \degree C, as well as those of the spent catalyst. Lower magnification TEM and TEM-EDX images can be found in Fig. S3.† The HR-TEM images display rounded dark-contrast particles within a lighter matrix. The corresponding EDX mapping reveals that these features correspond to Ni-rich particles laid into a lanthanum oxide matrix, evidencing the Ni exsolution during the H_2 -activation treatment. In several cases the Ni particles seem to be cast into lanthanum rather than being simply supported on it. A closer look at the TEM and EDX mapping images indicates that in the case of LaNiO₃-400 some particles are covered by a nanometer thick layer containing lanthanum. This can be better observed in the La map of this sample (indicated by an arrow), where La looks to have a ring-type, instead of socket-type, morphology. In addition, in some areas of $\text{LANiO}_3\text{-}400$ the distribution of Ni and La signals seems quite homogeneous basically revealing mixed Ni–La perovskite areas. This is a sign of a transient state where Ni particles start to nucleate but not yet completely exsolved on the surface, in accordance with the XRD results of Fig. 2a.

The La overlayer and the mixed Ni–La areas disappear in $\text{LaNiO}_3\text{-}600$ but the Ni particles still look cast into lanthanum (see areas marked with arrows). This morphology resembles the classical exsolution process involving socking of metal nanoparticles into the support.⁶⁰ The HR-TEM and TEM/EDX images after the long-term stability tests (LaNiO₃-sp) show that the morphology generated during the activation process, comprising homogeneously distributed nano-sized Ni particles, is largely preserved. In addition, there is no evidence of filamentous carbon deposition after the reaction.

The Ni particle size distribution is included in the form of histograms at the bottom of Fig. 3. It is estimated by measuring the size of at least 120 Ni particles identified in the TEM images. Accordingly, the average Ni particle size increases slightly from around 4 to 6 nm when the reduction temperature rises from 400 to 600 \degree C, in fair agreement with the crystallite sizes

3.2.3 Ex situ XANES analysis. Ex situ Ni K-edge XANES measurements of LaNiO₃ and Ni/La₂O₃ catalysts, together with the spectra of reference compounds are shown in Fig. 4a. The XANES measurements confirm the formation of metallic Ni after H_2 -activation and catalytic tests, as explained in detail in the ESI.† In order to quantify the various Ni phases, Linear Combination Analysis (LCA) was conducted on the Ni K-edge using reference spectra from NiO, Ni, LaNiO₄ and LaNiO₃ samples (see Fig. S6†). The LCA results (Fig. 4b) suggest that LANiO_3 is the dominant phase in the fresh perovskite catalyst $(z \approx 84\%)$ with minor contribution of La₂NiO₄ ($\approx 12\%$) and NiO (2%) phases. Notably, the LaNiO₃-phase does not resist the H_2 activation process, in contrast to the La_2NiO_4 -phase which is maintained in H_2 but disappears after the reaction. This indicates that the transformation of $LaNiO₃$ catalyst does not conclude during H_2 -activation, but it still continues under the reaction conditions. Apart from the expected metallic Ni⁰, LCA also suggests a considerable amount of NiO-phase which decreases gradually going from the activated to spent $LaNiO₃$ catalysts. A similar trend in the NiO-phase evolution is also observed in the case of $Ni/La₂O₃$. At this stage it is not clear if NiO formation is part of the LaNiO₃ reduction mechanism as proposed earlier,⁶⁰ or it is the consequence of $Ni⁰$ oxidation due to air exposure (ex situ measurements). More details about the reduction mechanism will be provided by the in situ XAFS measurements in $H₂$ (vide infra).

Aiming to confirm LCA results and to eventually estimate NiO and Ni⁰ particle dimensions, FT-EXAFS spectra were fitted considering the components evaluated by the LCA procedure (Fig. S7a and b†). The results (Table S1†) show that for both LaNiO₃ and Ni/La₂O₃ catalysts metallic and oxidized Ni particles possess bulk-like coordination numbers (CNs) obtained for average particle dimension larger than 3 nm. This finding is

Fig. 3 HR-TEM and TEM/EDX elemental mapping images of (a) LaNiO₃-400, (b) LaNiO₃-600, and (c) LaNiO₃-sp catalysts. The Ni particle-size distribution histogram for each catalyst is included at the bottom of the figure. Between 120 and 200 particles were analyzed depending on the sample. Isolated O, Ni and La elemental mapping of the selected regions are depicted in Fig. S4.†

Fig. 4 (a) Ex situ Ni K-edge XANES spectra collected on LaNiO₃ and Ni/La₂O₃ catalysts and reference materials. Details of pre-edge transitions is reported in the inset. (b) Results of LCA analysis conducted on the Ni K-edge of LaNiO₃ and Ni/La₂O₃. The experimental spectra and the best fit curves are reported in Fig. S6.†

complementary to the TEM/EDX analysis since particles smaller than 3 nm fall within the detection limit of the TEM/EDX.

3.3. In situ spectroscopy of LaNiO₃ during H_2 -activation treatment

The results of Fig. 1 showed a critical effect of the H_2 -activation temperature on the catalytic performance, while ex situ analysis reveals major transformations of the perovskite catalyst after the activation and methanation reaction. For a better assessment, the fresh LaNiO₃ catalyst was studied during H_2 -activation by in situ XAFS and AP-XPS. Hard, tender and soft X-rays were employed in these measurements providing complementary information in a broad analysis depth range.

3.3.1 In situ XANES analysis in 1 bar 20% H_2/He flow. X-ray absorption spectra of LaNiO₃ were collected at 1 bar 20% H_2/He both during stepwise temperature ramping (10 °C min⁻¹) and consequent steady state measurements at 100 °C (see Fig. S1†). The evolution of Ni K-edge with temperature is shown in Fig. 5a, while steady state spectra after annealing at 400 $\rm{°C}$, 500 $\rm{°C}$ and 600 °C, together with spectra of reference samples are compared in Fig. 5b. The evolution of Ni K-edge (Fig. 5a) between 250 °C and 500 °C shows a gradual decrease of the white-line peak intensity with parallel increase at the rising edge, while above 500 °C the Ni K-edge remains practically stable. Comparison of steady state EXAFS spectra with reference curves (Fig. 5b) indicate that the calcined catalyst contains mainly the LaNiO₃-phase, while this phase is fully transformed into Ni⁰ upon annealing in H₂ at 500 °C, in line with the *ex situ* XANES measurements discussed above. The FT-EXAFS (Fig. 5c) supports the drastic changes of Ni local structure during

reduction treatment. Comparison of the relative intensity of the Ni–Ni (metal) path of LaNiO₃-500 and LaNiO₃-600 with that of the $Ni⁰$ reference suggests that Ni–Ni CN is lower than the bulk value $(CN = 12)$ implying that Ni metal particles are smaller than 3 nm. $61,62$

LCA of the Ni K-edge by four reference line shapes was performed to quantify the evolution of the different Ni phases during LaNiO₃ reduction. As displayed in Fig. 5d the fresh/ calcined catalyst is a mixture of 82% LaNiO₃, 12% La₂NiO₄ phases and 3% NiO. At 400 $\mathrm{^{\circ}C}$ the LaNiO₃ phase is converted to 33% $Ni⁰-66%$ La₂NiO₄ mixture following reaction (1). Above this temperature La_2NiO_4 reduces fast to Ni^0 , most likely leading to the production of La_2O_3 by reaction (2). At 500 °C the LaNiO_3 reduction is completed and at higher temperatures, the Ni K-edge shows no further changes.

 $2\text{LaNiO}_3 + 2\text{H}_2 \rightarrow \text{La}_2\text{NiO}_4 + \text{Ni} + 2\text{H}_2\text{O}$ (100–400 °C) (1)

$$
La_2NiO_4 + H_2 \rightarrow Ni + La_2O_3 + H_2O (400-500 °C)
$$
 (2)

The gradual decomposition of LaNiO_3 into La_2NiO_4 and presumably to $La₂O₃$ in the final reduction step, along with the appearance of metallic Ni deduced by our XAFS results agrees with the findings of a recent TEM and XRD study of LANiO_3 treated under vacuum and inner gas (*i.e.* He).⁶⁰ However, in our case the addition of H_2 changes the process suggested in ref. 60 in two ways. The first is related to the NiO formation clearly evident under inert or vacuum conditions due to oxygen diffusion from the perovskite lattice towards exsolved Ni. In our case, NiO does not appear in the absorption spectra because, if it forms, it is quickly reduced by gas phase H_2 to Ni⁰. The second

Fig. 5 (a) Ni K edge evolution from RT to 600 °C, (b) separate graph of references and spectra recorded under steady state conditions, (c) magnitude component of phase uncorrected FT-EXAFS and (d) phase evolution as a function of temperature according to LCF. Experimental EXAFS spectra together with LCA results on the whole thermal treatment and LCA best/worst fit are reported in Fig. S10.†

difference is the temperature of the perovskite transformation. We found that the LaNiO₃-phase in H_2 decomposes between 200 and 500 °C, while under an inert atmosphere this window shifts to considerably higher temperatures (ca. 550-800 $^{\circ}$ C). Interestingly, a small quantity of NiO was observed above 500 °C which would imply La_2NiO_4 decomposition to La_2O_3 and NiO. Since at $T > 500$ °C under H₂ the latter should be directly reduced to Ni, this spectral feature should be more reasonably ascribed to a not fully reduced Ni-oxidic phase.

Finally, comparison of the Ni K-edge LCA results between ex situ (Fig. 4b) and in situ (Fig. 5d) treated LaNiO_3 catalyst shows many similarities but also some differences. In particular, both

set of measurements agree on the decomposition of the LaNiO₃phase to La_2NiO_4 up to 400 °C and its full conversion to Ni⁰ at 600 °C. However, in situ measurements show relatively more La₂NiO₄ at 400 °C and considerably less NiO as compared to the ex situ results. In addition, ex situ FT-EXAFS analysis suggested Ni clusters with a bulk-like electronic structure while in situ results anticipated the CN to be lower than the bulk value. These differences can be rationalized by the milder reduction conditions applied in the in situ XAFS measurements (diluted H_2 in the Microtomo cell instead of pure H_2 in the quartz tube for the ex situ treatment). It is therefore possible that in situ spectra depict the formation of atomically dispersed Ni at the early stages of the exsolution process, before their agglomeration into nanoparticles with a bulk-like electronic structure shown in the ex situ results. Besides, the small amount of NiO during in situ measurements suggests that the NiO observed during *ex situ* analysis was the result of sample oxidation in air. Based on that, one can also speculate that the reason behind the

differences in the relative NiO amount among the catalysts shown in Fig. 4b is due to differences in Ni particle size. The NiO upon exposure in air forms a passivation layer around Ni⁰ particles. The bigger the particles the lower the NiO contribution in the Ni K-edge (bulk sensitive method) since they have a lower surface to volume ratio. If this assumption is valid, one can conclude that LCA results of Fig. 4b indirectly show that the Ni particle size on our perovskite catalyst is in the order $LaNiO₃$ - $400 \leq$ LaNiO₃-600 \leq LaNiO₃-sp. In addition, the size of Ni particles for $Ni/La₂O₃$ catalysts is in similar order to that of $LaNiO₃$ after reduction, but probably becomes much bigger after the reaction.

3.3.2 X-ray photoemission spectroscopy. The adjustment of LaNiO₃ surface upon H_2 activation was followed in situ by synchrotron-based AP-XPS/HAXPES. Fig. 6a presents the La 3d and Ni 2p spectra of the fresh/calcined catalysts initially collected in 2 mbar O_2 and consequently in H₂ at 400 and 600 \circ C. The shape of the La 3d and Ni 2p curves is complex due to Ni

Fig. 6 (a) In situ La 3d and Ni 2p AP-XPS spectra of the fresh/oxidized LaNiO₃ catalyst (2 mbar O₂ at 400 °C), H₂-activated catalyst (2 mbar H₂ at 400 °C and 600 °C) and Ni/La₂O₃ catalyst (2 mbar H₂ at 600 °C), (b) depth-dependent La 3d and Ni 2p spectra of LaNiO₃-600 (left panel) Ni/ La₂O₃ catalysts (left panel) measured at 400 °C in 2 mbar H₂ at three different photon energies. For the La 3d & Ni 2p curve fitting peak lineshapes derived from reference materials and synthetic line-shapes derived by a mathematical formula were used. For clarity only the La 3d_{5/2} and Ni 2p fitting components are shown. (c) Bar graph showing the %Ni⁰ atomic concentration of different samples calculated by the Ni 2p and La 3d peak areas (spectra measured at 400 °C in 2 mbar H₂ with photons of hv = 1320 eV giving an estimated analysis depth of approx. 3.9 nm). (d) The evolution of $\%$ Ni⁰ as a function of the excitation energy for the two catalysts measured at 400 °C in 2 mbar H₂. The information depth in each photon energy is estimated as 3 times the photoelectron inelastic mean free path.

 $2p_{3/2}$ and La $3d_{3/2}$ peak overlap and the presence of multiple satellite and plasmon loss features associated with the different oxidation states of Ni and La.⁶³ Nevertheless, the contribution of $Ni⁰$ in the spectrum can be identified by the sharp Ni $2p_{3/2}$ feature at 852.6 eV. As displayed in Fig. 6a, this feature is absent in the calcined catalyst, but appears in the LaNiO₃-400 spectrum and is further amplified for LaNiO₃-600, suggesting that $Ni⁰$ concentration is enhanced at higher reduction temperatures. Clear modifications between oxidizing and reducing conditions are also observed in the La $3d_{5/2}$ doublet around 837 eV. These modifications are consistent with the expected transformation of LaNiO₃ into Ni⁰ + La₂O₃ upon reduction indicated in the in situ XAFS measurements.

To quantify the evident differences in the curve shape, the Ni 2p and La 3d spectra in Fig. 6a were fitted by a linear combination of synthetic peaks and spectra of reference compounds (details are presented in Fig. S11†). The spectrum of the reduced Ni/ $La₂O₃$ catalyst was fitted using the same approach and is included at the bottom of Fig. 6 for comparison. The curve fitting reveals the coexistence of 2 Ni and 2 La components. In particular, ionic Ni (likely $Ni³⁺$ of LaNiO₃) dominates the calcined sample and upon H_2 annealing it is gradually replaced by Ni^0 . Likewise, La from the perovskite lattice $(La^{3+}$ of LaNiO₃) is stepwise transformed into La³⁺ of La₂O₃ (vide infra). The %Ni, determined by the La 3d and Ni 2p areas (Fig. 6c), reveals a net enhancement of nickel surface concentration for the $LaNiO₃$ -600 sample. This ratio is about 4 times higher than that of $Ni/La₂O₃$, despite the fact that both catalysts have the same nominal Ni loading and were treated under identical conditions. The enhancement of Ni concentration at the surface of the perovskite catalyst is a direct consequence of nickel exsolution upon H_2 activation. The greater surface $Ni⁰$ content of LaNiO₃-600 can also be linked to its better $CO₂$ conversion (Fig. 1).

To further elaborate these findings, photoemission spectra with varied photon energies, thus different analysis depths, were collected in order to distinguish surface from subsurface species. As shown in Fig. 6b and d, the $Ni⁰$ fraction relative to $La^{3+} (La_2O_3)$ decreases in deeper analysis depths for LaNiO₃. As it is clearly evident in the HAXPES spectrum for deeper analysis depths (ca. 12 nm), Ni^{3+} and La^{3+} components of LaNiO₃ are required to properly fit the Ni 2p and La 3d regions. This shows that under the employed conditions, reduction is limited to the outer 2–3 nm and the unreduced perovskite structure is still preserved in the subsurface.

The inverse Ni and La arrangement is noticed for the supported Ni/La₂O₃ catalyst (Fig. 6b and d) with Ni⁰ fraction being

Fig. 7 (a) In situ La 3d and Ni 2p AP-XPS spectra of LaNiO₃ and Ni/La₂O₃ catalysts under CO₂ methanation conditions (2 mbar CO₂ : H₂ (1 : 1) at 400 °C). Prior to the AP-XPS measurements both catalysts were pre-activated in H₂ at 600 °C. Peak line-shapes derived from reference materials and synthetic line-shapes derived by a mathematical formula are used to fit the La 3d & Ni 2p curves. For clarity only the La 3d_{5/2} and Ni 2p fitting components are shown. The corresponding (b) O 1s spectra fitted by three O 1s symmetric peaks and (c) C 1s spectra fitted with asymmetric and symmetric peaks. The estimated information depth (three times the photoelectron inelastic mean free path) is about 4 for La 3d & Ni 2p and O 1s spectra, and 2 nm for C 1s.

low at the outer surface and increasing in deeper layers (up to 20 nm). This trend suggests total or partial Ni encapsulation by lanthana alluding strong metal support interaction (SMSI) phenomena.64,65 Assuming that the reactivity is proportional to the amount of accessible Ni sites on the surface, increase of Ni surface concentration in the case of LaNiO_3 might explain its greater CO_2 methanation activity when compared to Ni/La₂O₃.

3.4. In situ AP-XPS/HAXPES investigation under $CO₂$ hydrogenation conditions

Ex situ TEM/EDX and XAFS analyses of the spent LaNiO_3 catalyst do not show any considerable difference with the earlier activated state (Fig. 3 and 4), while XRD indicates that $La_2O_2CO_3$ oxycarbonates are built after long term $CO₂$ methanation tests (Fig. 2). The surface chemical state, under conditions relevant to $CO₂$ methanation, was analyzed by AP-XPS. Fig. 7a compares the Ni 2p and La 3d spectra of LaNiO₃-600 and Ni/La₂O₃ catalysts measured at 400 °C in 2 mbar CO_2 : H₂ (1 : 1). The sharp feature at 855.6 eV suggests that Ni remains metallic in both catalysts similar to the finding in H_2 . In contrast, the La $3d_{5/2}$ peak under the reaction conditions is modified as compared to the prior state in H_2 (Fig. S12†). A fitting attempt by the linear combination of $La^{3+}(LaNiO₃)$ and $La^{3+}(La₂O₃)$ reference peak profiles failed to reproduce La $3d_{5/2}$, excluding the possibility that the $La^{3+}(LaNiO₃)$ phase is partially restored during the reaction. The quality of the fitting (i.e., lower STD value) is considerably improved when a synthetic La 3d curve combining 2 pairs of main and satellite peaks is used. More details about this peak, hereafter abbreviated as s.La 3d, are given in Table S2.† The fraction of s.La 3d is more pronounced in LaNiO₃ than Ni/La₂O₃ sample. The fit's optimal s.La 3d peak profile exhibited a somewhat different main to satellite peak BE splitting (abbreviated as Δ La 3d) for the two catalysts. Specifically, Δ La 3d for LaNiO₃ (hereafter s.La 3d(LaNiO₃)) was 3.6 eV while for $Ni/La₂O₃$ (hereafter s.La 3d(Ni/La₂O₃)) was 3.4 eV. The Δ La 3d is very sensitive to the lanthanum chemical state and has been used to distinguish between various lanthanum compounds (Table 3). Accordingly, the Δ La 3d of s.La 3d(LaNiO₃) corresponds to hydroxide or carbonate lanthanum species, while s.La $3d(Ni/La_2O_3)$ primarily to carbonate (vide infra). Depthdependent La 3d measurements (Fig. S13†) reveal possible differences in the location of syn.La 3d between the two catalysts. In particular, for the LaNiO₃ catalyst the s.La $3d(LaNiO₃)$ **Paper**

low at the outer surface and increasing in desper layers (app 20 faction is independent of the analysis depth suggesting

(n). This are not are considered to permit of respectively is proportional to entergible p

fraction is independent of the analysis depth suggesting homogeneous distribution within the outer 4 nm. In contrast, the s.La $3d(Ni/La_2O_3)$ fraction increases at the surface indicating its preferential location at the outermost surface.

The O 1s and C 1s peaks corroborate the proposed arrangement and provide further details on the chemical nature of the species behind the s.La 3d peaks. The O 1s spectra shown in Fig. 7b are fitted with three O 1s components with BE at 529.2, 530.7 and 532.2 eV (\pm 0.2 eV). The peak at 529.2 eV is typical of $La₂O₃$ lattice oxygen (abbreviated as O_{lat}),^{63,68} while the broad peak at 532.2 eV is probably the convolution of OH− groups (OH) and carbonates ($CO₃^{2−}$) both appearing within this energy region.⁶⁸ The one at 530.7 eV is more difficult to assign since its BE is relatively low for OH and high for O_{lat} species. Its presence is also clearly manifested in the spectrum measured in an H_2 atmosphere (Fig. S14†). Based on the evolution of the three oxygen species observed in the depth-dependent O 1s measurements (Fig. S15†) their distribution within the outer layers of the catalyst can be determined. Accordingly, the peak at 529.2 eV is located subsurface, while the peak at 532.2 eV is predominantly on the surface. Additionally, the peak at 530.7 eV seems to be distributed rather homogeneously with the analysis depth. The analysis of the $Ni/La₂O₃$ catalyst gives very similar results, the only difference is that the fraction of O_{lat} on this sample is relatively less.

The C 1s spectrum of LaNiO₃ (Fig. 7c) is composed by a main C 1s peak at 284.4 eV typical of C–C and C–H bonds (for simplicity noted as C–C hereafter) and two smaller peaks at 287.3 eV and 289.8 eV assigned to C–O or C=O (C–O hereafter) and carbonate $(CO_3^2$ ⁻) species, respectively.^{37,63,73} The lack of peaks around 283 eV excludes the presence of Ni-carbides.³⁷ The C–C peak was present during the H_2 reduction step (Fig. S14 \dagger), therefore it is attributed to residual carbon preexisting under the reaction conditions. Depth-dependent C 1s spectra (Fig. S16a†) suggest that CO_3^{2-} is in contact with lanthana beneath \sim C \sim layer. The CO $^{2-}/\sim$ Le 2d(LeNiO) stoighiometry galaxy a C–C layer. The CO_3^2 ⁻²/s.La 3d(LaNiO₃) stoichiometry calculated from the corresponding photoemission peaks was 0.1, far below any known La carbonate species. Since extensive La carbonate formation is excluded, $La(OH)_3$ species formation remains the most credible scenario for the origin of s.La $3d(LaNiO₃)$. The s.La $3d(LaNiO₃)$ value of 3.6 eV discussed above further supports this argument. The evident reason for the formation of $La(OH)_3$ under the reaction conditions and not in

Table 3 The La 3d_{5/2} component splitting (Δ La 3d) for various lanthanum compounds. The standard deviation of the values collected from the cited literature is included in parenthesis

Name	Δ La 3d (STD) (eV)	Reference	
Lanthanum oxide (lanthana) $La2O3$	$4.6(\pm 0.3)$	63 and 66-68	
Lanthanum oxycarbonate	$4.3 (\pm 0.2)$	66, 68 and 69	
$La_2O_2CO_3$			
Lanthanum hydroxide La (OH) ₃	$3.7(\pm 0.3)$	63, 66-68, 70 and 71	
Lanthanum carbonate $La_2(CO_3)$	$3.5(\pm 0.1)$	$70 - 72$	
LaNiO ₃	3.8	This work	
La_2O_3	4.7	This work	
s.La $3d(LaNiO3)$	3.6	This work	
s.La $3d(Ni/La_2O_3)$	3.4	This work	

 $H₂$, is the presence of $H₂O$ which is produced by the methanation reaction.

The C 1s of Ni/La₂O₃ catalyst is dominated by the CO₃^{2−} peak at 290.1 eV, while the signal from other components falls to the background level. Depth-dependent C 1s measurements (Fig. S16b†) suggest that CO_3^{2-} is mainly located at the outer surface,
which differe from LaNiO The CO ^{2−/g} La 2d(Ni/La O) stoj. which differs from LaNiO₃. The CO₃^{2−}/s.La 3d(Ni/La₂O₃) stoichiometry calculated by XPS is around 1.6, in fair agreement with the $La_2(CO_3)_3$ stoichiometry. The above two experimental findings, in addition to Δ La 3d = 3.4, are sound evidence for $La_2(CO_3)_3$ formation on Ni/La₂O₃ in sharp contrast with La(OH)₃ found for LaNi O_3 .

To summarize, operando NAP-XPS showed that under the reaction conditions Ni is maintained in the metallic state, while new types of lanthanum species are formed. Analysis of the surface sensitive spectroscopic results suggest that the perovskite LaNi $O₃$ catalyst turns into La-hydroxide (probably $La(OH)₃$) while $La₂(CO₃)₃$ is the main species on supported Ni/ La₂O₃. The presence of oxycarbonates (*i.e.*, La₂O₂CO₃) on Ni/ $La₂O₃$ is unlikely since it is incompatible with both the s.La $3d(Ni/La₂O₃)$ and the expected compound stoichiometry (0.5) rather than 1.6).

4. Discussion

Catalytic tests of perovskite and supported $Ni-La₂O₃$ catalysts show that the $CO₂$ methanation performance is critically affected by the starting material. This might seem surprising since both catalysts have similar Ni loading and surface area, and after activation in H_2 they also show largely similar XRD patterns. One explanation of the superior perovskite-derived Ni -La₂O₃ catalyst performance frequently suggested in the literature^{26,31,33} is the creation of highly dispersed nanoparticles socketing into the oxide matrix. Actually, this morphology was also identified in our exsolved perovskite catalyst by TEM/EDX showing the formation of 6 nm Ni particles that resist sintering under the reaction conditions. Although particle size fixation may be a crucial factor in explaining the exsolved $LaNiO₃$ catalyst's long-term stability (Fig. 1b), thorough in situ

characterization points to significant differences in the surface chemistry of perovskite and supported catalysts that may be responsible for their distinct performances.

In particular, AP-XPS shows that the amount of Ni exposed to the surface might be up to 4 times higher on LaNiO_3 than Ni/ $La₂O₃$ (Fig. 6c). Although this can be partly explained by the dispersion of Ni in the two catalysts, depth-dependent AP-XPS and HAXPES measurements revealed an additional clue, the encapsulation of Ni by lanthana, on the line of the well-known SMSI effect. Indeed, the two catalysts have a very different profile of Ni distribution in the outer 10–20 nm (Fig. 6d). This was found during the H_2 -activation step but it is also conserved under the reaction conditions as illustrated in Fig. 8. A similar phenomenon has already been observed when $Ni/CeO₂$ is exposed under reducing conditions and attributed to $Ce₂O₃$ migration of the reduced oxide to the metal surface.⁷⁴ In another example, closer to the materials investigated in this study, a different mechanism was given to explain the covering of Rh particles by La_2O_3 ,^{75,76} As lanthana is much less prone to reduction, a combination of sintering and phase transformation of the support was proposed to explain the encapsulation of Rh during sample preparation, clearly observed by HRTEM measurements. Although we cannot exclude partial lanthana reduction at the extreme surface of $Ni/La₂O₃$, if it exists it should be very local according to La 3d peak analysis. Journal of Materials Chemistry A

11₅, is the presence of 11,0 which is produced by the methans-

there increase article. The end of the end o

However, the configuration of Ni and lanthana at the outer surface is most probably not the only difference between the two catalysts that contributes to their distinct catalytic performance. By careful analysis of photoemission spectra we identify also crucial differences in their surface chemistry. As displayed in Fig. 8, La (OH) ₃ species can be easily formed at the early reaction stage on the surface of the exsolved $LaNiO₃$ catalyst. In contrast, on supported $Ni/La₂O₃$ a thin $La₂(CO₃)₃$ layer is evidenced. The latter, being quite stable and inert towards $CO₂$, can block surface sites with a detrimental effect on the catalytic performance.

It now remains to correlate AP-XPS finding with ex situ XRD of the spent catalysts which at a first glance seems quite contradictory. According to AP-XPS, $La(OH)_3$ species are formed

Fig. 8 Illustration of the proposed surface arrangement of LaNiO₃ and Ni/La₂O₃ catalysts under calcination, activation and CO₂ methanation (initial and steady state reaction stages) conditions. The proposed surface reactions when the catalysts pass from one condition to the next are shown in boxes.

on the LaNiO₃ catalyst under the reaction conditions, but XRD of the spent LaNiO₃ show crystalline II-La₂O₂CO₃ and not $La(OH)$ ₃ at all. This might seem even more confusing when one considers results on $Ni/La₂O₃$ where XRD did not show any additional species after reaction (neither carbonates nor oxycarbonates) despite the fact that the presence of $La_2(CO_3)_3$ was clearly evident in AP-XPS. To explain this, we have to recall first the difference in catalytic reaction conditions between the two experiments. XRD is recorded after 65 hours of reaction at 1 bar, while AP-XPS during 1 hour reaction at 2 mbar total pressure. Evidently, one can anticipate that due to considerably "milder" reaction conditions AP-XPS data represent the initial stages of the catalyst transformation while ex situ XRD gives the steady state picture of the catalyst (see Fig. 8). Nevertheless, despite its limitation, AP-XPS remains the best available option to understand the surface chemistry since any ex situ XPS analysis will be dubious considering the affinity of lanthana to form carbonates and hydroxides upon exposure to air.

To explain the XRD finding we should extrapolate the AP-XPS data toward the long-term steady state. Indeed, the $La(OH)_3$ species might function as a precursor for $La_2O_2CO_3$. It has been shown that La(OH)₃ can be converted to $La_2O_2CO_3$ even after 2 h annealing at 400 °C in air (CO₂ < 0.04% or 0.4 mbar).^{77,78} The initial stage of $La_2O_2CO_3$ formation is most probably already observed in the C 1s spectra of $LaNiO₃$ catalyst under the reaction conditions, showing a C 1s peak around 290 eV (Fig. 7c). One can assume that at significantly higher $CO₂$ concentrations, like in the 1 bar reaction mixture, the $La_2O_2CO_3$ formation rate is enhanced giving rise to the formation of the bulk crystalline $La_2O_2CO_3$ observed in the XRD patterns of Fig. 2a.

The above analysis can also be used to explain the poor catalytic activity of Ni/La₂O₃. In this case La(OH)₃, the La₂O₂CO₃ precursor, is not readily formed therefore even after hours of reaction oxycarbonates are not observed by XRD. Of course, carbonates may be generated directly by carbonizing $La₂O₃$ in $CO₂$ gas, but this requires significantly higher temperatures (e.g. 850 °C) than the methanation reaction conditions (350–400 ° C).⁵³ This might suggest that the active state of the exsolved LaNiO_3 perovskite catalyst under reforming and methanation conditions could be quite different.

At this stage it is unclear whether the lower $CO₂$ conversion of Ni/La₂O₃ and the resulting lower production of H₂O is due to the absence of $La(OH)_{3}$, or if it is an intrinsic characteristic related to the La_2O_3 surface microstructure. As shown earlier, the surface termination plays an important role in lanthana's reactivity with H_2O ,⁷⁹ suggesting that the surface structure of $La₂O₃$ is critical in determining whether $La(OH)₃$ forms or not.

The oxycarbonate phases seen in the spent $NiLaO₃$ catalyst have already been observed before on lanthana-based catalysts but were correlated with catalyst deactivation.^{80–82} Recently, the presence of $\text{La}_2\text{O}_2\text{CO}_3$ has been claimed to benefit methanation acting as a $CO₂$ reservoir available for hydrogenation.⁸³ Our results agree with the latter assessment since the most active and stable sample contained oxycarbonate crystalline phases whereas $Ni/La₂O₃$ did not. However, the influence of morphological parameters (crystallite size, surface area, Ni dispersion)

that could possibly have a role in the reactivity should not be overlooked.⁸⁴

5. Conclusions

 $LaNiO₃$ perovskite was synthesized by the Pechini sol gel method and tested in $CO₂$ methanation after activation by a reductive treatment. The catalytic tests show a net enhancement of the $CO₂$ conversion with reduction temperature, and superior catalytic performance and stability compared to a Ni/ $La₂O₃$ benchmark catalyst synthesized by conventional wet impregnation. The transformation of the LaNiO_3 precursor into the active phase during the thermal reduction treatment was monitored by multiple ex situ and in situ techniques. Electron microscopy displays the formation of 6 nm Ni particles with narrow size distribution anchored into the La_2O_3 matrix, while in situ XAFS detects the intermediate phases of this transition. Although the XRD crystal structures of reduced, exsolved and supported $Ni/La₂O₃$ seem identical, combined surface/ subsurface analysis by in situ AP-XPS/HAXPES identified critical differences in the arrangement between La and Ni. Furthermore, it is shown that the active phase is formed during the catalytic cycle and it is quite different from the H_2 -activated state. Specifically, although the Ni particle size and oxidation state are maintained, lanthana reacts with the gas phase during the reaction to form new compounds. This information was used to rationalize the differences in the catalytic performance. Notably, encapsulation of Ni by lanthana and the affinity of the latter towards hydroxide or carbonate species formation are proposed to critically affect the catalytic performance. We present strong evidence that the nature of carbon species formed under the reaction conditions is a crucial element of the reactivity, which is promoted by oxycarbonates but suppressed by carbonates. This work highlights the distinct surface chemistry of metal oxide catalysts derived from perovskite precursors in comparison to conventional supported catalysts and extends our understanding on their unique catalytic properties. Differences in the surface chemistry are usually disregarded in the relevant literature, where the high activity and stability of exsolved perovskite catalysts in thermo- or electrochemical reactions are primarily attributed to the socketing of Ni nanoparticles. Puper

on the LaNio, subset are one of the properties The μ CyCs, and not one order and possibly have a role in the reactivity should not be

on the system by the present of the galacteristic article. The properties are

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

M. B. and S. Z. acknowledge the support from Strasbourg University and the French Agence Nationale de la Recherche (ANR), under the projects IdEX-2018 (Post-doctorants), DuCaCO₂ (No. 236294). J. Z. would like to thank the China Scholarship Council (CSC) for supporting his stay at ICPEES. ESRF is acknowledged for the allocation of synchrotron radiation beamtime and BM23 beamline staff for the collaboration during the experiments. We acknowledge HZB for the allocation

of synchrotron radiation beamtime (proposal no 222-11356-ST) and BESSY Synchrotron staff for the collaboration during the experiments. The authors acknowledge ESRF for beamtime allocation together with Dr K. Lomachenko for support during XAS measurements. A. Molokova is acknowledged for support with development of XAS analysis using Python scripts. Dr A. Martini is acknowledged for providing the EXAFS Wavelet Transform analysis code employed in this work. EB acknowledges support from Project no. 2017KKP5Z PRIN-2017 MOSCATo and Project CH4.0 under the MUR program "Dipartimenti di Eccellenza 2023-2027" (CUP: D13C22003520001). Finally, we thank X. Thanh Nguyen for his considerable contribution to the experiments during his master thesis at ICPEES and Dr S. Labidi for the support with the catalytic measurements. **Journal of Materials Chemistry Articles**
 Open Access Article is article. The complete only the information charge in the same specific is licensed under a support of the published on the same specific is licensed under

References

- 1 Z. Liu, Z. Deng, S. J. Davis, C. Giron and P. Ciais, Nat. Rev. Earth Environ., 2022, 3, 217–219.
- 2 International Energy and Agency, 2022, [https://](https://iea.blob.core.windows.net/assets/c3086240-732b-4f6a-89d7-db01be018f5e/GlobalEnergyReviewCO2Emissionsin2021.pdf) [iea.blob.core.windows.net/assets/c3086240-732b-4f6a-89d7](https://iea.blob.core.windows.net/assets/c3086240-732b-4f6a-89d7-db01be018f5e/GlobalEnergyReviewCO2Emissionsin2021.pdf) [db01be018f5e/](https://iea.blob.core.windows.net/assets/c3086240-732b-4f6a-89d7-db01be018f5e/GlobalEnergyReviewCO2Emissionsin2021.pdf)

[GlobalEnergyReviewCO2Emissionsin2021.pdf](https://iea.blob.core.windows.net/assets/c3086240-732b-4f6a-89d7-db01be018f5e/GlobalEnergyReviewCO2Emissionsin2021.pdf), [https://](https://www.iea.org/reports/global-energy-review-c) www.iea.org/reports/global-energy-review-c, Accessed April 22th, 2023.

- 3 H. S. Whang, J. Lim, M. S. Choi, J. Lee and H. Lee, BMC Chem. Eng., 2019, 1, 9.
- 4 J. Ashok, S. Pati, P. Hongmanorom, Z. Tianxi, C. Junmei and S. Kawi, Catal. Today, 2020, 356, 471–489.
- 5 X. Su, J. Xu, B. Liang, H. Duan, B. Hou and Y. Huang, J. Energy Chem., 2016, 25, 553–565.
- 6 C. Vogt, M. Monai, G. J. Kramer and B. M. Weckhuysen, Nat. Catal., 2019, 23(2), 188–197.
- 7 P. A. U. Aldana, F. Ocampo, K. Kobl, B. Louis, F. Thibault-Starzyk, M. Daturi, P. Bazin, S. Thomas and A. C. Roger, Catal. Today, 2013, 215, 201–207.
- 8 A. Cárdenas-Arenas, A. Quindimil, A. Davó-Quiñonero, E. Bailón-García, D. Lozano-Castelló, U. De-La-Torre, B. Pereda-Ayo, J. A. González-Marcos, J. R. González-Velasco and A. Bueno-López, Appl. Catal., B, 2020, 265, 118538.
- 9 R.-P. Ye, Q. Li, W. Gong, T. Wang, J. J. Razink, L. Lin, Y.-Y. Qin, Z. Zhou, H. Adidharma, J. Tang, A. G. Russell, M. Fan and Y.-G. Yao, Appl. Catal., B, 2020, 268, 118474.
- 10 W. Li, Y. Liu, M. Mu, F. Ding, Z. Liu, X. Guo and C. Song, Appl. Catal., B, 2019, 254, 531–540.
- 11 H. Liu, S. Xu, G. Zhou, K. Xiong, Z. Jiao and S. Wang, Fuel, 2018, 217, 570–576.
- 12 G. Zhou, T. Wu, H. Zhang, H. Xie and Y. Feng, Chem. Eng. Commun., 2014, 201, 233–240.
- 13 F. Wang, S. He, H. Chen, B. Wang, L. Zheng, M. Wei, D. G. Evans and X. Duan, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2016, 138, 6298–6305.
- 14 A. Aitbekova, L. Wu, C. J. Wrasman, A. Boubnov, A. S. Hoffman, E. D. Goodman, S. R. Bare and M. Cargnello, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2018, 140, 13736–13745.
- 15 F. Feng, G. Song, J. Xiao, L. Shen and S. V. Pisupati, Fuel, 2019, 235, 85–91.
- 16 S. Rönsch, J. Schneider, S. Matthischke, M. Schlüter, M. Götz, J. Lefebvre, P. Prabhakaran and S. Bajohr, Fuel, 2016, 166, 276–296.
- 17 W. Wang, S. Wang, X. Ma and J. Gong, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2011, 40, 3703–3727.
- 18 G. Varvoutis, M. Lykaki, S. Stefa, V. Binas, G. E. Marnellos and M. Konsolakis, Appl. Catal., B, 2021, 297, 120401.
- 19 L. Shen, J. Xu, M. Zhu and Y. F. Han, ACS Catal., 2020, 10, 14581–14591.
- 20 C. Vogt, E. Groeneveld, G. Kamsma, M. Nachtegaal, L. Lu, C. J. Kiely, P. H. Berben, F. Meirer and B. M. Weckhuysen, Nat. Catal., 2018, 1, 127–134.
- 21 K. Yoshikawa, M. Kaneeda and H. Nakamura, Energy Procedia, 2017, 114, 2481–2487.
- 22 G. I. Siakavelas, N. D. Charisiou, A. AlKhoori, S. AlKhoori, V. Sebastian, S. J. Hinder, M. A. Baker, I. V. Yentekakis, K. Polychronopoulou and M. A. Goula, *J. CO₂ Util.*, 2021, 51, 101618.
- 23 P. H. Ho, G. S. de Luna, S. Angelucci, A. Canciani, W. Jones, D. Decarolis, F. Ospitali, E. R. Aguado, E. Rodríguez-Castellón, G. Fornasari, A. Vaccari, A. M. Beale and P. Benito, Appl. Catal., B, 2020, 278, 119256.
- 24 G. Tang, D. Gong, H. Liu and L. Wang, Catalysts, 2019, 9, 442.
- 25 V. Alcalde-Santiago, A. Davó-Quiñonero, D. Lozano-Castelló, A. Quindimil, U. De-La-Torre, B. Pereda-Ayo, J. A. González-Marcos, J. R. González-Velasco and A. Bueno-López, ChemCatChem, 2019, 11, 810–819.
- 26 K. Kousi, C. Tang, I. S. Metcalfe, D. Neagu, K. Kousi, S. Metcalfe, C. Tang and D. Neagu, Small, 2021, 17, 2006479.
- 27 Q. Yang, G. Liu and Y. Liu, Ind. Eng. Chem. Res., 2018, 57, 1– 17.
- 28 K. Kousi, D. Neagu, L. Bekris, E. Calì, G. Kerherve, E. I. Papaioannou, D. J. Payne and I. S. Metcalfe, J. Mater. Chem. A, 2020, 8, 12406–12417.
- 29 J. Zhang, M. R. Gao and J. L. Luo, Chem. Mater., 2020, 32, 5424–5441.
- 30 S. Bhattar, M. A. Abedin, S. Kanitkar and J. J. Spivey, Catal. Today, 2021, 365, 2–23.
- 31 J. Wu, R. Ye, D. J. Xu, L. Wan, T. R. Reina, H. Sun, Y. Ni, Z. F. Zhou and X. Deng, Front. Chem., 2022, 10, 961355.
- 32 O. Kwon, S. Joo, S. Choi, S. Sengodan and G. Kim, JPhys Energy, 2020, 2, 032001.
- 33 M. A. Salaev, L. F. Liotta and O. V. Vodyankina, Int. J. Hydrogen Energy, 2022, 47, 4489–4535.
- 34 X. Bao, M. Behrens, G. Ertl, Q. Fu, A. Knop-Gericke, T. Lunkenbein, M. Muhler, C. M. Schmidt and A. Trunschke, ACS Catal., 2021, 11, 6243–6260.
- 35 H. S. Lim, G. Kim, Y. Kim, M. Lee, D. Kang, H. Lee and J. W. Lee, Chem. Eng. J., 2021, 412, 127557.
- 36 P. Steiger, O. Kröcher and D. Ferri, Appl. Catal., A, 2020, 590, 117328.
- 37 A. P. Ramon, X. Li, A. H. Clark, O. V. Safonova, F. C. Marcos, E. M. Assaf, J. A. van Bokhoven, L. Artiglia and J. M. Assaf, Appl. Catal., B, 2022, 315, 121528.
- 38 D. D. Athayde, D. F. Souza, A. M. A. Silva, D. Vasconcelos, E. H. M. Nunes, J. C. D. Da Costa and W. L. Vasconcelos, Ceram. Int., 2016, 42, 6555–6571.
- 39 G. Wu, S. Li, C. Zhang, T. Wang and J. Gong, Appl. Catal., B, 2014, 144, 277–285.
- 40 Y. Gao, D. Chen, M. Saccoccio, Z. Lu and F. Ciucci, Nano Energy, 2016, 27, 499–508.
- 41 W. Smekal, W. S. M. Werner and C. J. Powell, Surf. Interface Anal., 2005, 37, 1059–1067.
- 42 G. Greczynski and L. Hultman, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2020, 59, 5002–5006.
- 43 S. Turczyniak, D. Teschner, A. Machocki and S. Zafeiratos, J. Catal., 2016, 340, 321–330.
- 44 F. Polack, M. Silly, C. Chauvet, B. Lagarde, N. Bergeard, M. Izquierdo, O. Chubar, D. Krizmancic, M. Ribbens, J. -P. Duval, C. Basset, S. Kubsky and F. Sirotti, AIP Conf. Proc., 2010, 1234, 185.
- 45 R. Follath, M. Hävecker, G. Reichardt, K. Lips, J. Bahrdt, F. Schäfers and P. Schmid, J. Phys.: Conf. Ser., 2013, 212003.
- 46 S. Hendel, F. Schäfers, M. Hävecker, G. Reichardt, M. Scheer, J. Bahrdt and K. Lips, AIP Conf. Proc., 2016, 1741, 030038.
- 47 O. Mathon, A. Beteva, J. Borrel, D. Bugnazet, S. Gatla, R. Hino, I. Kantor, T. Mairs, M. Munoz, S. Pasternak, F. Perrin and S. Pascarelli, J. Synchrotron Radiat., 2015, 22, 1548–1554. **Faper Sources Articles.** 30 February 2024. But the state of the state on 13 February 2022. The Common Common
	- 48 D. Bellet, B. Gorges, A. Dallery, P. Bernard, E. Pereiro and J. Baruchel, J. Appl. Crystallogr., 2003, 36, 366–367.
	- 49 M. Newville, J. Phys.: Conf. Ser., 2013, 430, 012007.
	- 50 B. Ravel and M. Newville, J. Synchrotron Radiat., 2005, 12, 537–541.
	- 51 A. Martini, I. A. Pankin, A. Marsicano, K. A. Lomachenko and E. Borfecchia, Radiat. Phys. Chem., 2020, 175, 108333.
	- 52 A. Quindimil, J. A. Onrubia-Calvo, A. Davó-Quiñonero, A. Bermejo-López, E. Bailón-García, B. Pereda-Ayo, D. Lozano-Castelló, J. A. González-Marcos, A. Bueno-López and J. R. González-Velasco, *J. CO₂ Util.*, 2022, 57, 101888.
	- 53 A. Olafsen, A. K. Larsson, H. Fjellvåg and B. C. Hauback, J. Solid State Chem., 2001, 158, 14–24.
	- 54 X. Li, Z. J. Zhao, L. Zeng, J. Zhao, H. Tian, S. Chen, K. Li, S. Sang and J. Gong, Chem. Sci., 2018, 9, 3426–3437.
	- 55 H. Provendier, C. Petit, C. Estournès, S. Libs and A. Kiennemann, Appl. Catal., A, 1999, 180, 163–173.
	- 56 H. Hojo, Y. Inohara, R. Ichitsubo and H. Einaga, Catal. Today, 2023, 410, 127–134.
	- 57 S. Ramesh and N. J. Venkatesha, ACS Sustain. Chem. Eng., 2017, 5, 1339–1346.
	- 58 S. Singh, D. Zubenko and B. A. Rosen, ACS Catal., 2016, 6, 4199–4205.
	- 59 W. Nabgan, T. A. T. Abdullah, R. Mat, B. Nabgan, A. A. Jalil, L. Firmansyah and S. Triwahyono, Int. J. Hydrogen Energy, 2017, 42, 8975–8985.
	- 60 P. Cao, P. Tang, M. F. Bekheet, H. Du, L. Yang, L. Haug, A. Gili, B. Bischoff, A. Gurlo, M. Kunz, R. E. Dunin-Borkowski, S. Penner and M. Heggen, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2022, 126, 786–796.
- 61 G. Agostini, A. Piovano, L. Bertinetti, R. Pellegrini, G. Leofanti, E. Groppo and C. Lamberti, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2014, 118, 4085–4094.
- 62 D. Salusso, E. Borfecchia and S. Bordiga, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2021, 125, 22249–22261.
- 63 M. F. Sunding, K. Hadidi, S. Diplas, O. M. Løvvik, T. E. Norby and A. E. Gunnæs, J. Electron Spectrosc. Relat. Phenom., 2011, 184, 399–400.
- 64 P. Petzoldt, M. Eder, S. Mackewicz, M. Blum, T. Kratky, S. Günther, M. Tschurl, U. Heiz and B. A. J. Lechner, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2022, 126, 16127–16139.
- 65 C. J. Pan, M. C. Tsai, W. N. Su, J. Rick, N. G. Akalework, A. K. Agegnehu, S. Y. Cheng and B. J. Hwang, J. Taiwan Inst. Chem. Eng., 2017, 74, 154–186.
- 66 Y. Pang, X. Zhou, E. I. Vovk, C. Guan, S. Li, A. P. van Bavel and Y. Yang, Appl. Surf. Sci., 2021, 548, 149214.
- 67 S. Mickevičius, S. Grebinskij, V. Bondarenka, B. Vengalis, K. Sliužiene, B. A. Orlowski, V. Osinniy and W. Drube, *J.* Alloys Compd., 2006, 423, 107–111.
- 68 J. P. H. Li, X. Zhou, Y. Pang, L. Zhu, E. I. Vovk, L. Cong, A. P. Van Bavel, S. Li and Y. Yang, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 2019, 21, 22351–22358.
- 69 W. Gu, J. Liu, M. Hu, F. Wang and Y. Song, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2015, 7, 26914–26922.
- 70 D. R. Inns, X. Pei, Z. Zhou, D. J. M. Irving and S. A. Kondrat, Mater. Today Chem., 2022, 26, 101230.
- 71 D. R. Inns, A. J. Mayer, V. Skukauskas, T. E. Davies, J. Callison and S. A. Kondrat, Top. Catal., 2021, 64, 992–1009.
- 72 X. Zhou, Y. Pang, Z. Liu, E. I. Vovk, A. P. van Bavel, S. Li and Y. Yang, J. Energy Chem., 2021, 60, 649–659.
- 73 L. Zhong, M. Barreau, V. Caps, V. Papaefthimiou, M. Haevecker, D. Teschner, W. Baaziz, E. Borfecchia, L. Braglia and S. Zafeiratos, ACS Catal., 2021, 11, 5369–5385.
- 74 M. Li and A. C. van Veen, Appl. Catal., B, 2018, 237, 641–648.
- 75 S. Bernal, J. J. Calvino, M. A. Cauqui, J. M. Gatica, C. López Cartes, J. A. Pérez Omil and J. M. Pintado, Catal. Today, 2003, 77, 385–406.
- 76 S. Bernal, J. J. Calvino, C. López-Cartes, J. M. Pintado, J. A. Pérez-Omil, J. M. Rodríguez-Izquierdo, K. Hayek and G. Rupprechter, Catal. Today, 1999, 52, 29–43.
- 77 G. Chen, B. Han, S. Deng, Y. Wang and Y. Wang, Electrochim. Acta, 2014, 127, 355–361.
- 78 D. Ding, W. Lu, Y. Xiong, X. Pan, J. Zhang, C. Ling, Y. Du and Q. Xue, Appl. Surf. Sci., 2017, 426, 725–733.
- 79 K. A. Stoerzinger, R. Comes, S. R. Spurgeon, S. Thevuthasan, K. Ihm, E. J. Crumlin and S. A. Chambers, J. Phys. Chem. Lett., 2017, 8, 1038–1043.
- 80 H. Chen, H. Yu, F. Peng, H. Wang, J. Yang and M. Pan, J. Catal., 2010, 269, 281–290.
- 81 L. M. Cornaglia, J. Múnera, S. Irusta and E. A. Lombardo, Appl. Catal., A, 2004, 263, 91–101.
- 82 X. E. Verykios, Int. J. Hydrogen Energy, 2003, 28, 1045–1063.
- 83 Y. Dai, M. Xu, Q. Wang, R. Huang, Y. Jin, B. Bian, C. Tumurbaatar, B. Ishtsog, T. Bold and Y. Yang, Appl. Catal., B, 2020, 277, 119271.
- 84 R. P. Turcotte, J. O. Sawyer and L. Eyring, Inorg. Chem., 1969, 8, 238–246.