



Cite this: *Chem. Sci.*, 2024, **15**, 10926

All publication charges for this article have been paid for by the Royal Society of Chemistry

Chiral π -Cu(II)-catalyzed site-, *exo/endo*-, and enantioselective dearomatic (3 + 2) cycloadditions of isoquinolinium ylides with enamides, dienamides, and a trienamide[†]

Weiwei Guo,  Jianhao Huang and Kazuaki Ishihara  *

Here, we report a highly effective dearomatic (3 + 2) cycloaddition reaction between isoquinolinium ylides and α,β -enamides, $\alpha,\beta-\gamma,\delta$ -dienamides, or an $\alpha,\beta-\gamma,\delta-\varepsilon,\zeta$ -trienamide, which is catalyzed by a chiral π -Cu(II) complex (1–10 mol%) and proceeds in a site-selective, *exo/endo*-selective, and enantioselective manner. The (3 + 2) cycloaddition involving the α,β -enamides proceeds with high *exo*-selectivity and enantioselectivity. This method is applicable to various substrates including α -substituted, α,β -disubstituted, or β,β -disubstituted α,β -enamides, which are compounds with an intrinsically low reactivity. This method provides synthetic access to pyrroloisoquinoline derivatives with up to three chiral carbon centers, including those featuring fluorine and trifluoromethyl groups, as well as quaternary carbon centers. The (3 + 2) cycloaddition involving $\alpha,\beta-\gamma,\delta$ -dienamides proceeds with high γ,δ -site-selectivity and enantioselectivity, whereby the *exo/endo*-selectivity depends on the substrates and ligands. Remarkably, the (3 + 2) cycloaddition of δ -phenyl- $\alpha,\beta-\gamma,\delta$ -dienamide proceeds with high α,β -site-selectivity, *exo*-selectivity, and enantioselectivity. In a manner similar to the reaction with the $\alpha,\beta-\gamma,\delta$ -dienamides, $\alpha,\beta-\gamma,\delta-\varepsilon,\zeta$ -trienamide furnishes a (3 + 2) cycloadduct with good ε,ζ -site-selectivity, *endo*-selectivity, and enantioselectivity.

Received 4th May 2024
Accepted 5th June 2024

DOI: 10.1039/d4sc02946a

rsc.li/chemical-science


rsc.li/chemical-science

Introduction


Catalytic enantioselective (3 + 2) cycloaddition reactions have emerged as important synthetic methods that facilitate the precise and enantioselective construction of numerous valuable heterocyclic motifs.¹ In these reactions, acryloyl derivatives such as 2 are typically employed as dipolarophiles (Schemes 1 and 2). However, the use of these acryloyl derivatives is primarily restricted to β -substituted acryloyl derivatives, whilst α -substituted, α,β -disubstituted or β,β -disubstituted derivatives are rarely used due to their intrinsically low reactivity, thus significantly limiting the versatility and scope of these reactions.^{1d} If the substrate scope of enantioselective (3 + 2) cycloaddition reactions could be expanded to include acryloyl derivatives with more complex substitution patterns, the synthetic utility of these reactions would be significantly enhanced, thus enabling the construction of valuable heterocyclic moieties with all-carbon-substituted quaternary stereo-centers bearing alkyl or fluoroalkyl groups.^{2,3} At the same time,

enhancing other selectivity such as site-, chemo-, *exo/endo*-, or regioselectivity would improve the overall applicability of these transformative methods.

The pursuit of γ,δ -site-selective and enantioselective (3 + 2) cycloaddition reactions of $\alpha,\beta-\gamma,\delta$ -dienamides (**4**) is highly attractive because it not only allows for the establishment of remote chiral carbon centers but also retains the α,β -unsaturation of the starting material, therefore enabling further



Scheme 1 Catalytic α,β -site-selective, *endo*-selective, and enantioselective (3 + 2) cycloadditions of *in situ*-generated 2-(2-methoxy-2-oxoethyl)-3,4-dihydroisoquinolin-2-ium ylides starting from 2 and 4 as reported by Lin and Feng et al.^{2e}



Scheme 2 π -Cu(II)-complex-catalyzed multisite-selective (3 + 2) cycloaddition reactions of 1 with 2, 4, and 9a reported in this work.

activation and selective functionalization of the resulting products. However, achieving this goal is remarkably challenging because the largest coefficient of the lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO) is located at the β -position, which favors β -addition, and controlling the stereochemistry also presents significant difficulties as the δ -position is quite removed from the active site of the catalyst.^{4a-h,n} For example, Lin and Feng *et al.* have reported an enantioselective (3 + 2) cycloaddition of the *in situ*-generated 2-(2-methoxy-2-oxoethyl)-3,4-dihydroisoquinolin-2-ium ylides with α,β -enamides (2) and $\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta$ -dienamides (4) catalyzed by a chiral N,N -dioxide-Y(OTf)₃ complex that is both α,β -site-selective and *endo*-selective (Scheme 1).^{2e}

Despite the challenges associated with these reactions, some elegant strategies have already been designed that allow remote and enantioselective 1,6-additions.⁴ However, the methods for remote and enantioselective (3 + 2) cycloaddition reactions remain relatively underdeveloped. A breakthrough was made by the Jørgensen group in 2016 when they reported the first γ,δ -site-selective, *exo*-selective, and enantioselective (3 + 2) cycloadditions of nitrones with $\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta$ -dienals *via* a vinylogous iminium-ion activation mode where 20 mol% of a chiral diarylprolinol silyl ether catalyst was employed.⁵ In 2020, a similar strategy was applied by Zhang and Guo *et al.* to achieve γ,δ -site-selective, *endo*-selective, and enantioselective (3 + 2) cycloadditions of phthalazinium dicyanomethanides with $\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta$ -

dienals using 20 mol% of MacMillan's catalyst.⁶ In general, the turnover frequency (TOF) of secondary-amine catalysts is relatively inefficient because a dehydrative condensation step between the amine catalyst and the aldehyde and a hydrolysis step are included in the catalytic cycle.


Our previously developed chiral π -Cu(II) complexes have demonstrated exceptionally strong capabilities as Lewis-acidic catalysts in several highly useful asymmetric transformations.⁷ We envisioned that such a highly effective catalyst system could potentially accomplish several highly important functions: (1) promote *exo/endo*-selective and enantioselective (3 + 2) cycloadditions between isoquinolinium ylides (1) and α -substituted, α,β -disubstituted, or β,β -disubstituted α,β -enamides (2) to conveniently provide pyrroloisoquinoline derivatives (3); (2) promote challenging highly $\alpha,\beta/\gamma,\delta$ -site-selective, *exo/endo*-selective, and enantioselective (3 + 2) cycloadditions between 1 and $\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta$ -dienamides (4); and (3) promote challenging highly ε,ζ -site-selective, *endo*-selective, and enantioselective (3 + 2) cycloadditions between 1 and $\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta-\varepsilon,\zeta$ -trienamide 9a (Scheme 2). If successful, the remarkable versatility of this catalytic system would significantly broaden its practical utility. Here, we report on the site-selective, chemo-selective, *exo/endo*-selective, and enantioselective dearomatic (3 + 2) cycloaddition reactions of 1 with 2, 4, and 9a catalyzed by a chiral π -Cu(II) complex. These reactions proceed in the presence of 1–10 mol% of the π -Cu(II) complexes to give *exo*-adducts 3, α,β -*exo*-adducts 5, γ,δ -*exo/endo*-adducts 6, and ε,ζ -*endo*-adduct 11aa with in a multi-selective manner under the optimized conditions developed in this study (Scheme 2).

Results and discussion

Chiral π -Cu(II)-complex-catalyzed site-selective, *exo/endo*-selective and enantioselective dearomatic (3 + 2) cycloaddition reactions between isoquinolinium ylides and α,β -enamides

In recent years, bench-stable isoquinolinium ylides (1) have garnered significant attention in synthetic organic chemistry due to their efficiency in the construction of pyrroloisoquinoline scaffolds, which are crucial structural motifs in various natural products and pharmaceutically active compounds.⁸ However, only a few examples for their use as dipolar compounds in dearomatic (3 + 2) cycloaddition reactions have been reported so far.^{7i,8e} Therefore, the development of a catalytic enantioselective (3 + 2) cycloaddition reaction of 1 with α,β -enamides (2) for the construction of pyrroloisoquinoline derivatives (3) would be of considerable significance. As shown in Table 1, in our initial attempt, the enantioselective (3 + 2) cycloaddition reaction of 1a with 2a was conducted in the presence of 10 mol% of a chiral π -Cu(II) complex of Cu(OTf)₂ with L-alanine-derivatized ligand L in dichloromethane at -10°C for 24 h. To our delight, when *N*-cyclopentyl- β -(2-naphthyl)-L-alanine amide (L1) was employed as the ligand, the reaction smoothly provided 3aa in high yield, albeit with poor enantioselectivity (Table 1, entry 1; 92%, -20% ee). Interestingly, the diastereoselectivity toward 3aa was 97% *exo*. The use of *N*-cyclobutyl-based L2 instead of *N*-cyclopentyl-based L1 did not




Table 1 Optimizations of the ligand for the *exo*-selective and enantioselective (3 + 2) cycloaddition reaction of **1a** with **2a**^a


Entry	Ligand	Yield/%	Ee/%	<i>Exo</i> : <i>endo</i>
1	(<i>S</i>)- L1	92	-20	97 : 3
2	(<i>S</i>)- L2	96	-17	98 : 2
3	(<i>S</i>)- L3	93	+88	>99 : 1
4	(<i>S</i>)- L4	97	+94	>99 : 1
5	(<i>S</i>)- L5	98	+98	>99 : 1

^a Reaction conditions: **1a** (0.22 mmol), **2a** (0.20 mmol), Cu(OTf)₂ (10 mol%), **L** (11 mol%), and 4A MS (150–200 mg) in dichloromethane (1.3 mL) at -10 °C for 24 h. Isolated yields are given. Enantiomeric-excess (ee) values were determined using HPLC. The *exo* : *endo* ratio was determined based on a ¹H NMR analysis of the crude product.

improve the enantioselectivity (Table 1, entry 2). However, the use of *N*-dibenzosuberyl (Dbs)-based **L3** significantly increased the ee to +88% with >99% *exo*-selectivity (Table 1, entry 3). This observed switch in the enantioselectivity can be interpreted in terms of a switch of the π–Cu(II) interaction, which creates the asymmetric environment, from π(naphthyl)–Cu(II) to π(Dbs)–Cu(II). Inspired by these results, we investigated several other 3-substituted *N*-Dbs-alanine amides as chiral ligands. When *L*-leucine-derived **L4** was employed, the reaction afforded **3aa** in 97% yield with +94% ee and >99% *exo*-selectivity (Table 1, entry 4). When *β*-*tert*-butyl-*L*-alanine-derived ligand **L5** was used, *exo*-**3aa** was obtained in a 98% yield with +98% ee (Table 1, entry 5).

With the optimal ligand **L5** in hand, we then focused on investigating the substrate scope of the catalytic enantioselective (3 + 2) cycloaddition reaction between **1** and **2**. As shown in Table 2, a series of *β*-(hetero)aryl-*α*,*β*-enamides (**2a**–**2h**) were examined first. *Ortho*-, *meta*-, or *para*-substituted *β*-phenyl-*α*,*β*-enamides (**2a**–**2g**) and *β*-(3-thiophenyl)-*α*,*β*-enamide **2h** are suitable for this reaction and afforded adducts **3aa**–**3ah** in high yield with excellent *exo*- and enantioselectivities. It is noteworthy that the chemoselective, regioselective, and enantioselective (3 + 2) cycloaddition reaction of **1a** with *β*-[*p*-(*E*)-3-oxobut-1-en-1-yl]phenyl]-*α*,*β*-enamide **1g** gave the desired product (**3ag**) in 95% yield with 99% ee without any competitive *α*',*β*'-cycloadducts. When bromoisooquinolinium ylides **1b** and **1c** were employed, the (3 + 2) cycloaddition efficiently furnished the desired products **3ba** and **3ca** in excellent yield as pure

Table 2 *Exo*-selective and enantioselective (3 + 2) cycloaddition reaction of **1** with *β*-substituted or *β*,*β*-disubstituted *α*,*β*-enamides (**2**)^a

^a Unless otherwise noted, the following reaction conditions were used: **1** (0.2 mmol), **2** (0.22 mmol), Cu(OTf)₂ (10 mol%), **L5** (11 mol%), and 4A MS (150–200 mg) in dichloromethane (1.3 mL) at -10 °C for 24 h. Isolated yields are given. Enantiomeric-excess (ee) values were determined using HPLC. In all the cases, the *exo*-selectivity was >98%. ^b -40 °C, 6 h.

enantiomers. Additionally, the dipolar phthalazinium ylide⁹**2d** was also tolerated, and the desired products were obtained in excellent yield and ee (**3da**: 98%, >99% ee; **3de**: 97%, >99% ee). The relative and absolute configuration of **3de** was determined to be *exo*-(*1R,2S,10bR*) based on a single-crystal X-ray diffraction analysis, and the configuration of all other products were assigned accordingly (Fig. 1).¹⁰

Then, we turned to explore the applicability of *β*-nonaromatic-group-substituted *α*,*β*-enamides (**2i**–**2n**). *α*,*β*-






Fig. 1 Molecular structure of $(1R,2S,10bR)$ -3de in the single crystal.¹⁰



Enamide **2i** exhibited high reactivity and efficiency, furnishing the desired product (**3ai**) in 98% yield with >99% ee after 6 h at $-40\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ (Table 2). The β -methyl- and β -isopropyl- α,β -enamides **2j** and **2k** were also reactive enough to furnish products **3aj** and **3ak** in 97% and 86% yields with >99% and 95% ee, respectively. Surprisingly, the reaction of **1a** with β,β -dimethyl- α,β -enamide **2l** smoothly furnished **3la**, which bears quaternary carbon center, in 97% yield as enantiopure form. To our delight, the $(3 + 2)$ cycloaddition with β -ethoxycarbonyl- α,β -enamide **2m** proceeded very rapidly and **3am** was furnished in 97% yield with >99% ee without an ester-group-induced regioisomeric product. The incorporation of the trifluoromethyl group into organic molecules has garnered considerable attention due to the unique properties it induces and the important applications of CF_3 -substituted compounds in the pharmaceutical industry.¹¹ Furthermore, the enantioselective $(3 + 2)$ cycloaddition of prochiral CF_3 -substituted substrates has become a popular method in recent years to build chiral CF_3 -containing pyrrolidine derivatives.³ Thus, we examined the use of prochiral β -trifluoromethyl- α,β -enamide **2n** as a dipolarophile. To our delight, the reaction was exceptionally efficient, furnishing the desired product (**3an**) in 99% yield with >99% ee.

To show the synthetic applicability of the $(3 + 2)$ cycloaddition reaction between **1a** and **2m**, a gram-scale synthesis was undertaken (Scheme 3A). Even when employing a lower catalyst loading (1 mol%) of **L5**, the reaction efficiently yielded **3am** in 92% yield with >99% ee. Subsequent transformations of **3am**,

including chlorination and elimination, were carried out successfully without any loss of enantioselectivity (Scheme 3B and C).

Based on these findings, our attention shifted to investigating the introduction of a chiral quaternary carbon center into the pyrroloisoquinoline structure using the enantioselective $(3 + 2)$ cycloaddition of **1a** with α -substituted α,β -enamides (**2o–2s**) (Table 3). Our initial attempt involved using a dipolarophile **2o**, which contains a 3,5-dimethylpyrazolyl group (X^1). However, even after 24 h, almost no reaction occurred, and **2o** was recovered. Encouragingly, when we used **2p**, which bears a monomethyl-substituted pyrazolyl group (X^2), the reaction efficiently furnished the desired product (**3ap**) in 97% yield with 99% ee, resulting in a chiral quaternary carbon center at the α -position. The first result was attributed to the steric repulsion between the 5-H group of X^1 and the α -substituent of **2o**, which disfavors activation by the chiral $\pi\text{-Cu(II)}$ complex. Consequently, rotation can be expected to occur, and the inherently low reactivity of this substrate results in almost no reaction. However, when X^2 was employed in place of X^1 , the steric hindrance between the 5-H group of the X^2 moiety and the α -substituent of **2p** decreased significantly. Consequently, **2p** is readily activated by the chiral $\pi\text{-Cu(II)}$ catalyst, which enables an efficient reaction with **1a**. Furthermore, as the configuration of activated **2p** is fixed by the chiral $\pi\text{-Cu(II)}$ catalyst, the enantioselectivity is very high. Subsequently, using X^2 instead of X^1 , α,β -dimethyl- α,β -enamide **2q** was examined, and the desired product (**3aq**) was obtained in a 93% yield with 99% ee. However, the reaction of α -methyl- α,β -enamide **2r** with **1a** gave **3ar** in a relatively low ee value of 89%. Inspiringly, α -fluoro- α,β -enamide **2s** was also well tolerated under the applied conditions. This led to the formation of the expected product (**3as**), which features a chiral quaternary carbon center that contains a fluoro group, in a 97% yield with >99% ee.

Table 3 Exo-selective and enantioselective $(3 + 2)$ cycloaddition reaction between **1a** and α -substituted α,β -enamides **2**^a



Scheme 3 Gram-scale synthesis of **3am** and its subsequent transformations.

^a Unless otherwise noted the following reaction conditions were applied: **2** (0.2 mmol), **1** (0.24 mmol), $\text{Cu}(\text{OTf})_2$ (10 mol%), **L5** (11 mol%), and 4A MS (150–200 mg) in dichloromethane (1.3 mL) at $-10\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$ for 24 h. Isolated yields are given. Enantiomeric-excess (ee) values were determined using HPLC. In all the cases where the product was obtained, the *exo*-selectivity was >98%. ^b $-40\text{ }^{\circ}\text{C}$, overnight.




Chiral π -Cu(II)-complex-catalyzed site-selective, *exo/endo*-selective and enantioselective dearomative (3 + 2) cycloaddition between isoquinolinium ylides and $\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta$ -dienamides

Generally, to facilitate a 1,6-addition, it is essential to generate a catalytic intermediate that enhances the electropositivity of the δ -position. The limited number of approaches known for LUMO-lowering activation are achieved through the formation of a vinylogous iminium ion using organoamine catalysts with $\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta$ -unsaturated aldehydes or ketones.^{4e} Thus, we focused on the site-, *exo/endo*- and enantioselective (3 + 2) cycloaddition reaction between **1b** and $\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta$ -dienamide **4a** (Table 4). Surprisingly, the (3 + 2) cycloaddition reaction proceeded slowly, even in the absence of $\text{Cu}(\text{OTf})_2 \cdot \text{L}$, at room temperature and exhibited both *endo*- and site-selectivity for the remote γ,δ -double bond, affording **6ba** together with **5ba** in moderate yield (Table 4, entry 1). In the presence of $\text{Cu}(\text{OTf})_2$, this reaction accelerated and both the γ,δ -site-selectivity and the *endo*-selectivity increased (Table 4, entry 2). On the other hand, in the presence of $\text{Cu}(\text{NTf}_2)_2$, γ,δ -site-selectivity and *exo*-selectivity were observed (Table 4, entry 3). When β -*tert*-butyl- l -alanine-

derived ligand **L5** was used with $\text{Cu}(\text{OTf})_2$, **6ba** was obtained in the highest enantioselectivity (96% ee) and good *endo*-selectivity (86%), albeit that the γ,δ -site-selectivity was low (58%) (Table 4, entry 6). Interestingly, when α -*L*-phenylglycine-derived ligand **L7** was used, excellent γ,δ -site-selectivity, *endo*-selectivity, and enantioselectivity were observed (Table 4, entries 9 and 10). In contrast, l -*tert*-butylglycine-derived ligand **L6** induced lower γ,δ -site-selectivity and enantioselectivity than **L7** (Table 4, entry 8). The use of **L3**–**L7** gave α,β -adduct **5ba** *exo*-selectively (Table 4, entries 4–9) as well as α,β -adducts **3** *exo*-selectively (Table 2). To the best of our knowledge, our results represent the first example of a Lewis acid-catalyzed γ,δ -site-selective and enantioselective (3 + 2) cycloaddition reactions of $\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta$ -dienamides.

To explore the substrate scope of the γ,δ -site-selective, *exo/endo*-selective and enantioselective (3 + 2) cycloaddition reaction between **1** and **4**, several substrates were examined in the presence of 10 mol% of $\text{Cu}(\text{OTf})_2 \cdot \text{L5}$ under the conditions shown in Table 4 (Table 5). The *exo/endo*-selectivity for γ,δ -adducts **6** was influenced by the structure of substrates **1** and **4**. As expected, the reaction of **1a** with **4a** exhibited excellent γ,δ -

Table 4 Optimization of the ligand for the site-selective, *exo/endo*-selective, and enantioselective (3 + 2) cycloaddition reaction between **1b** and $\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta$ -dienamide **4a**^a




Entry	$\text{CuX}_2 \cdot \text{L}$	5ba + 6ba yield/%, 5ba/6ba	5ba exo/endo	<i>Exo</i> - 5ba ee/%	6ba exo/endo	<i>Endo</i> - 6ba ee/%
1 ^b	—	57, 22 : 78	26 : 74	—	19 : 81	—
2	$\text{Cu}(\text{OTf})_2$	>99, 8 : 92	39 : 61	—	6 : 94	—
3	$\text{Cu}(\text{NTf}_2)_2$ $\text{Cu}(\text{NTf}_2)_2$	>99, 11 : 89	—	—	90 : 10	—
4	$\text{Cu}(\text{OTf})_2 \cdot \text{L3}$	>99, 61 : 39	96 : 4	89	26 : 74	73
5	$\text{Cu}(\text{OTf})_2 \cdot \text{L4}$	>99, 63 : 37	>98 : <2	>99	18 : 82	92
6	$\text{Cu}(\text{OTf})_2 \cdot \text{L5}$	>99, 42 : 58	>98 : <2	>99	14 : 86	96
7	$\text{Cu}(\text{NTf}_2)_2 \cdot \text{L5}$	>99, 30 : 70	>98 : <2	>99	63 : 37	91
8	$\text{Cu}(\text{OTf})_2 \cdot \text{L6}$	90, 11 : 89	93 : 7	—	7 : 93	77
9	$\text{Cu}(\text{OTf})_2 \cdot \text{L7}$	>99, 7 : 93	85 : 15	>99	7 : 93	92
10	$\text{Cu}(\text{NTf}_2)_2 \cdot \text{L7}$	>99, 3 : 97	—	—	6 : 94	94

^a Reaction conditions: **1b** (0.22 mmol), **4a** (0.20 mmol), $\text{Cu}(\text{OTf})_2$ (10 mol%), **L** (11 mol%), and 4A MS (150–200 mg) in dichloromethane (1.3 mL) at -10°C for 24 h. Isolated yields are given. Enantiomeric-excess (ee) values were determined using HPLC. The *exo* : *endo* ratio was determined based on a ^1H NMR analysis of the crude product. ^b The cycloaddition was examined at room temperature in the absence of $\text{Cu}(\text{OTf})_2$ and **L**.



Table 5 Site-selective, *exo/endo*-selective, and enantioselective (3 + 2) cycloaddition reaction between **1** and $\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta$ -dienamides **4**^a




^a Unless otherwise noted the reaction conditions were as follows: **4** (0.2 mmol), **1** (0.24 mmol), $\text{Cu}(\text{OTf})_2$ (10 mol%), **L5** (11 mol%), and 4A MS (150–200 mg) in dichloromethane (1.3 mL) at $-10\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ for 24 h. Isolated yields. Enantiomeric excess (ee) values were determined using HPLC. The ratios of **5/6** and *exo/endo* were determined from NMR analysis of the crude product. ^b 40 h. ^c 48 h. ^d **L7** was used instead of **L5**. $-15\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ for 24, then $-10\text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ for 24 h.

site-selectivity and enantioselectivity to afford *endo*-**6aa** in high yield. On the other hand, δ -ethyl- $\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta$ -dienamide **4b** and δ -n-propyl- $\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta$ -dienamide **4c** resulted in the formation of *exo*-**6ab** and *exo*-**6ac** in good yield with high γ,δ -site-selectivity and enantioselectivity. Interestingly, **6ac** could be obtained *endo*-selectively and with more pronounced γ,δ -site-selectivity but lower enantioselectivity by using **L7** instead of **L5**. Electron-rich isoquinolinium ylides such as **1e** and **1f** also reacted with **4a** in a highly γ,δ -site-selective manner, furnishing the corresponding products *exo*-**6ea** and *endo*-**6fa** in good yield with high ee. When employing electron-poor isoquinolinium ylides such as

1b, **1g**, and **1d**, 1 : 1 mixtures of *exo*-**5** and *endo*-**6** were obtained in high yields with high enantioselectivity but almost without site-selectivity. Fortunately, *exo*-**5** and *endo*-**6** could be separated using column chromatography over silica gel. Moreover, the use of **L7** instead of **L5** significantly enhanced the γ,δ -site-selectivity and *endo*-selectivity, albeit at the expense of a decrease in enantioselectivity. Remarkably, δ -phenyl- $\alpha,\beta,\gamma,\delta$ -dienamide **4d** exhibited complete α,β -site-selectivity, yielding the corresponding products *exo*-**5ad**, *exo*-**5bd**, and *exo*-**5dd** in good yield with >99% ee due to the steric and resonance effects introduced by the δ -phenyl group of **4d**.

Mechanistic studies

The site-selective, *exo/endo*-selective, and enantioselective (3 + 2) cycloaddition between **1** and **4** induced by $\text{Cu}(\text{OTf})_2 \cdot \text{L5}$ can be rationally interpreted in terms of the mechanism proposed in Scheme 4, which is based upon our previous related studies.⁷ $\text{Cu}(\text{OTf})_2 \cdot \text{L5}$ chelates with **4** in such a way that the *N*-Dbs moiety of **L5** and the 3,5-dimethylpyrazole moiety of **4** are *anti* to each other due to steric hindrance. Then, dipolar **1a** approaches the α,β -double bond of **4c**, activated by the $\pi\text{-Cu}(\text{ii})$ complex of $\text{Cu}(\text{OTf})_2 \cdot \text{L5}$, from the back of the *N*-Dbs group in a regio-, *exo*-, and enantioselective manner to give *exo*-**5ac** *via* $\alpha,\beta\text{-exo-TS}$. The α,β -site-selectivity is explained by the fact that the largest coefficient of the LUMO of **4** is located at the β -position (for details,



Scheme 4 Proposed mechanism for the site-selective, regioselective, and enantioselective (3 + 2) cycloaddition of **1** with **4** catalyzed by $\text{Cu}(\text{OTf})_2 \cdot \text{L5}$.



see Section 11 of the ESI†) and by the instability of $\alpha,\beta\text{-endo-TS}$ due to the steric repulsion between **1a** and $\text{Cu}(\text{OTf})_2\cdot\text{L5}$. However, in other examples, dipolar **1** approaches the γ,δ -double bond of **4a** in a regio-, *endo*-, and enantioselective manner to give *endo*-**6** via $\gamma,\delta\text{-endo-TS}$. These results mean that the δ -position of **4a** is more reactive than the β -position as it is a sterically less-hindered site. The *endo*-selectivity of the reaction can be understood by extra-bond overlap between the HOMO and LUMO as shown in the $\gamma,\delta\text{-endo-TS}$. However, the δ -*n*-propyl group of **4b** and the 6-methyl group of **1e** destabilize the $\gamma,\delta\text{-endo-TS}$ due to steric hindrance to give *exo*-**6ab** and *exo*-**6ea** via the $\gamma,\delta\text{-exo-TS}$.

As shown in Table 5, when $\text{Cu}(\text{OTf})_2\cdot\text{L5}$ was used as the catalyst for the (3 + 2) cycloaddition between **1** and **4a**, the electron density of **1** influences the site-selectivity. Both $\alpha,\beta\text{-exo-TS}$ and $\gamma,\delta\text{-endo-TS}$ would be somewhat destabilized by the steric hindrance arising from the apical-OTf, which is conformationally restricted by a hydrogen-bonding interaction with the N-H moiety of **L5** (Fig. 2).^{7k} Nevertheless, in the (3 + 2) cycloaddition of electron-rich **1**, $\gamma,\delta\text{-endo-TS}$ would be stabilized to exhibit γ,δ -site-selectivity because the strong HOMO-LUMO interaction overcomes the steric hindrance. On the other hand, in the (3 + 2) cycloaddition of electron-poor **1**, the site-selectivity is low because the steric hindrance cannot be overcome by the weak HOMO-LUMO interaction.

Surprisingly, R^2 of **4** also influenced *exo/endo*-selectivity of γ,δ -site-adduct **6**: 91% *endo* for **6aa** ($R^2 = \text{Me}$), 73% *exo* for **6ab** ($R^2 = \text{Et}$), and 92% *exo* for **6ac** ($R^2 = \text{Pr}$) (Table 5). As shown in Fig. 3, in the reaction of **1a** with **4a**, $\gamma,\delta\text{-endo-TS}$ is preferred due to the strong HOMO-LUMO interaction. However, in the reaction of **1a** with **4b** or **4c**, $\gamma,\delta\text{-exo-TS}$ is preferred, because the steric hindrance between R^2 , **1a**, and Cu-OTf is increased and overcomes the HOMO-LUMO interaction.

When $\text{Cu}(\text{OTf})_2\cdot\text{L7}$ was used as the catalyst for the (3 + 2) cycloaddition between electron-poor **1** and **4a**, the γ,δ -site-selectivity increased (see Table 5). A hydrogen-bonding interaction between the apical-OTf group and the N-H moiety of **L7** would not be expected due to the high steric demand of the α -Ph group of **L7**.^{7k} The apical-OTf group in the transition state would be oriented in the direction opposite to the *N*-acyl group of **4a**





Fig. 3 Steric effect of the δ -substituent ($R^2 = \text{Et, Pr}$) of **4** on the γ,δ -site-selectivity and *exo*-selectivity.

due to steric hindrance with the α -Ph group of **L7** (Fig. 4). Therefore, the $\alpha,\beta\text{-exo-TS}$ would be destabilized by the steric hindrance of the apical-OTf group. Thus, the $\gamma,\delta\text{-endo-selectivity}$ would be increased independent of the electron-density of **1**. However, **L5** has a more pronounced effect on the enantioselectivity than **L7** in most cases, because the $\pi\text{-Cu(II)}$ interactive conformation in the $\gamma,\delta\text{-endo-TS}$ containing **L7** may be more sensitive to the steric factor of **1** and **4** due to the lack of room in the gap between the α -Ph group and *N*-Dbs in **L7**.

Chiral $\pi\text{-Cu(II)}$ -complex-catalyzed site-selective, *exo/endo*-selective and enantioselective dearomative (3 + 2) cycloaddition between an isoquinolinium ylide and an $\alpha,\beta\text{-}\gamma,\delta\text{-}\varepsilon,\zeta$ -trienamide

Finally, $\text{Cu}(\text{OTf})_2\cdot\text{L5}$ was examined for the enantioselective (3 + 2) cycloaddition reaction between **1a** and $\alpha,\beta\text{-}\gamma,\delta\text{-}\varepsilon,\zeta$ -trienamide **9a** (Scheme 5). As expected, $\varepsilon,\zeta\text{-endo-11aa}$ was obtained as the major product in 86% ee. Interestingly, $\alpha,\beta\text{-exo-10aa}$ was obtained in 7% yield and >99% ee, while $\alpha,\beta\text{-exo-}\varepsilon,\zeta\text{-endo-12aa}$ was obtained in 11% yield and >99% ee. These results suggest



For electron-rich **1**: $\gamma,\delta\text{-Endo-TS}$ is more stable than $\alpha,\beta\text{-exo-TS}$.
For electron-poor **1**: ΔG between $\gamma,\delta\text{-endo-TS}$ and $\alpha,\beta\text{-exo-TS}$ is small.

Fig. 2 Steric effect of the α -substituent ($R^1 = t\text{-BuCH}_2$) of **L5** on the γ,δ -site-selectivity and the *endo*-selectivity.





Fig. 4 Steric effect of the α -substituent ($R^1 = \text{Ph}$) of **L7** on the γ,δ -site-selectivity and *endo*-selectivity.





Scheme 5 The ε,ζ -site-selective, *endo*-selective, and enantioselective ($3 + 2$) cycloaddition between **1a** and **9a** catalyzed by $\text{Cu}(\text{OTf})_2 \cdot \text{L5}$.

that the major enantiomer of ε,ζ -*endo*-**11aa** might be selectively activated by $\text{Cu}(\text{OTf})_2 \cdot \text{L5}$ to afford α,β -*exo*- ε,ζ -*endo*-**12aa** with 99% ee.

Conclusions

In summary, we have developed a chiral π -Cu(II) complex-catalyzed multi-selective dearomatic ($3 + 2$) cycloaddition reaction between various substituted alkenes and isoquinolinium ylides for the construction of pyrroloisoquinoline derivatives. The key highlights of this catalytic method include: (1) highly effective chiral ligands **L5** and **L7** were developed and can be used in catalytic quantities as low as 1–10 mol%; (2) the reaction demonstrates an excellent ability to construct pyrroloisoquinoline derivatives with up to three chiral carbon centers; (3) poorly reactive α -substituted-, α,β -disubstituted, and β,β -disubstituted unsaturated *N*-acylpyrazoles are highly compliant in the reaction and in most cases furnished enantiopure or highly enantioenriched products in excellent yields; (4) valuable chiral F-containing and CF_3 -containing quaternary carbon centers can be accessed efficiently; (5) the first examples for chiral Lewis-acid-catalyzed γ,δ -site-selective, *exo/endo*-selective, and enantioselective ($3 + 2$) cycloaddition reactions that involve α,β - γ,δ -dienamides have been developed; and (6) the first example of an ε,ζ -site-selective, *endo*-selective, and enantioselective ($3 + 2$) cycloaddition reaction involving an α,β - γ,δ - ε,ζ -trienamide has been developed, which significantly expands the boundaries of this synthetic approach.

Data availability

General information, detailed experimental procedures, characterization data for compounds, and NMR, HPLC, IR spectra are available in the ESI.†

Author contributions

The authors confirm to the paper as follows: conception and design: K. I.; methodology and experiments: W. G.; purification and analysis: J. H.; draft manuscript preparation: K. I. and W. G.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

K. I. gratefully acknowledges JSPS KAKENHI grant JP23H05467, the Future Society Innovation Project, sponsored by the Institutes of Innovation for Future Society at Nagoya University, and the Nagoya University Research Fund for supporting this research. W. G. would like to express his gratitude to the China Scholarship Council. J. H. would like to express his gratitude to the JSPS Research Fellowships for Young Scientists.

Notes and references

- For selected reviews, see: (a) S. McKechnie, J. M. Frost, D. Pashov, P. Azarhoosh, A. J. Jacobs, E. Van Hende, S. Claessens and N. DeKimpe, *Curr. Org. Chem.*, 2011, **15**, 1340–1362; (b) X. Guo and W. H. Hu, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2013, **46**, 2427–2440; (c) J. Adrio and J. C. Carretero, *Chem. Commun.*, 2014, **50**, 12434–12446; (d) T. Hashimoto and K. Maruoka, *Chem. Rev.*, 2015, **115**, 5366–5412; (e) M. Breugst and H.-U. Reissig, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2020, **59**, 12293–12307.
- For selected 1,3-DC reactions with α -substituted or α,β -disubstituted olefins, see: (a) M. P. Sibi, L. M. Stanley and T. Soeta, *Adv. Synth. Catal.*, 2006, **348**, 2371–2375; (b) T. Hashimoto, Y. Maeda, M. Omote, H. Nakatsu and K. Maruoka, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2010, **132**, 4076–4077; (c) B. Xu, Z.-M. Zhang, S. Xu, B. Liu, Y. Xiao and J. Zhang, *ACS Catal.*, 2017, **7**, 210–214; (d) S. Xu, Z.-M. Zhang, B. Xu, B. Liu and J. Zhang, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2018, **140**, 2272–2283; (e) Z. Li, N. Xu, N. Guo, Y. Zhou, L. Lin and X. Feng, *Chem.-Eur. J.*, 2021, **27**, 14841–14845; (f) G. Pan, C. He, M. Chen, Q. Xiong, W. Cao and X. Feng, *CCS Chem.*, 2022, **4**, 2000–2008.
- (a) For selected 1,3-DC reactions with β -substituted or β,β -disubstituted olefins, see: Q.-H. Li, Z.-Y. Xue, H.-Y. Tao and C.-J. Wang, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2012, **53**, 3650–3653; (b) Z.-M. Zhang, B. Xu, S. Xu, H.-H. Wu and J.-L. Zhang, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2016, **55**, 6324–6328; (c) S. Xu, Z.-M. Zhang, B. Xu, B. Liu, Y.-Y. Liu and J.-L. Zhang, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2018, **140**, 2272–2283; (d) F. Cheng, S. J. Kalita, Z.-N. Zhao, X. Yang, Y. Zhao, U. S. Schneider, N. Shibata and Y.-Y. Huang, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2019, **58**, 16637–16643; (e) C. Cristóbal, D. Gaviña, I. Alonso, M. Ribagorda, J. C. Carretero, C. D. Pozo and J. Adrio, *Chem. Commun.*, 2022, **58**, 7805–7808.
- For reviews on 1,6-conjugate additions, see: (a) A. T. Biju, *ChemCatChem*, 2011, **3**, 1847–1849; (b) E. M. P. Silva and A. M. S. Silva, *Synthesis*, 2012, **44**, 3109–3128; (c) M. J. Lear and Y. Hayashi, *ChemCatChem*, 2013, **5**, 3499–3501; (d) I. D. Jurberg, I. Chatterjee, R. Tannert and P. Melchiorre, *Chem. Commun.*, 2013, **49**, 4869–4883; (e) H. Jiang, L. Albrecht and K. A. Jørgensen, *Chem. Sci.*, 2013, **4**, 2287–2300; (f) H. B. Hep-burn, L. Dell'Amico and P. Melchiorre,





Chem. Rec., 2016, **16**, 1787–1806; (g) P. Chauhan, U. Kaya and D. Enders, *Adv. Synth. Catal.*, 2017, **359**, 888–912; (h) Y. Hussain, Tamanna, M. Sharma, A. Kumar and P. Chauhan, *Org. Chem. Front.*, 2022, **9**, 572–592. For selected examples of remote enantioselective 1,6-addition, see: (i) L. Bernardi, J. López-Cantarero, B. Niess and K. A. Jørgensen, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2007, **129**, 5772–5778; (j) Y. Hayashi, D. Okamura, S. Umemiya and T. Uchimaru, *ChemCatChem*, 2012, **4**, 959–962; (k) T. Sawano, A. Ashouri, T. Nishimura and T. Hayashi, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2012, **134**, 18936–18939; (l) X. Tian, Y. Liu and P. Melchiorre, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2012, **51**, 6439–6442; (m) D. Uraguchi, K. Yoshioka, Y. Ueki and T. Ooi, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2012, **134**, 19370–19373; (n) L. Dell'Amico, L. Albrecht, T. Naicker, P. H. Poulsen and K. A. Jørgensen, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135**, 8063–8070; (o) K. Akagawa, J. Sen and K. Kudo, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2013, **52**, 11585–11588; (p) F. Meng, X. Li, S. Torker, Y. Shi, X. Shen and A. H. Hoveyda, *Nature*, 2016, **537**, 387–393; (q) Y. Huang, S. Torker, X. Li, J. D. Pozo and A. H. Hoveyda, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2019, **58**, 2685–2691; (r) C.-Y. Shi, Z.-Z. Pan, P. Tian and L. Yin, *Nat. Commun.*, 2020, **11**, 5480.

5 P. H. Poulsen, S. Vergura, A. Monleón, D. K. B. Jørgensen and K. A. Jørgensen, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2016, **138**, 6412–6415.

6 H. Jiang, L. Zhou, B. Mao, C. Yuan, W. Wang, Y. Wu, C. Zhang and H. Guo, *Adv. Synth. Catal.*, 2020, **362**, 5716–5720.

7 (a) K. Ishihara and M. Fushimi, *Org. Lett.*, 2006, **8**, 1921–1924; (b) K. Ishihara, M. Fushimi and M. Akakura, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2007, **40**, 1049–1055; (c) K. Ishihara and M. Fushimi, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2008, **130**, 7532–7533; (d) A. Sakakura, M. Hori, M. Fushimi and K. Ishihara, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2010, **132**, 15550–15552; (e) A. Sakakura and K. Ishihara, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2011, **40**, 163–172; (f) M. Hori, A. Sakakura and K. Ishihara, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2014, **136**, 13198–13201; (g) L. Yao and K. Ishihara, *Chem. Sci.*, 2019, **10**, 2259–2263; (h) K. Ishihara, K. Nishimura and K. Yamakawa, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2020, **59**, 17641–17647; (i) K. Nishimura, Y. Wang, Y. Ogura, J. Kumagai and K. Ishihara, *ACS Catal.*, 2022, **12**, 1012–1017; (j) K. Nishimura and K. Ishihara, *Synlett*, 2022, **33**, 585–588; (k) L. Yao, K. Takeda, K. Ando and K. Ishihara, *Chem. Sci.*, 2023, **14**, 2441–2446; (l) W. Guo, M. Hori, Y. Ogura, K. Nishimura, K. Oki, T. Ikai, E. Yashima and K. Ishihara, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2023, **145**, 27080–27088.

8 For recent reviews, see: (a) M. D. Matveeva, R. Purgatorio, L. G. Voskressensky and C. D. Altomare, *Future Med.* *Chem.*, 2019, **11**, 2735–2755; (b) J. Zhang, S. L. Morris-Natschke, D. Ma, X.-F. Shang, C.-J. Yang, Y.-Q. Liu and K.-H. Lee, *Med. Res. Rev.*, 2021, **41**, 928–960; (c) S. Das, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2022, **20**, 1838–1868; (d) H.-L. Cui, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2022, **20**, 2779–2810. For examples of using isoquinolinium ylides as reactants, see: (e) N. Fernandez, I. Carrillo, J. L. Vicario, D. Badia and E. Reyes, *Chem. Commun.*, 2011, **47**, 12313–12315; (f) X. Xu, P. Y. Zavalij and M. P. Doyle, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135**, 12439–12447; (g) D. S. Allgauer, P. Mayer and H. Mayr, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135**, 15216–15224; (h) J. Brioche, C. Meyer and J. Cossy, *Org. Lett.*, 2015, **17**, 2800–2803; (i) Z.-J. Jia, C. G. Daniliuc, A. P. Antonchick and H. Waldmann, *Chem. Commun.*, 2015, **51**, 1054–1057; (j) Y. Xu, Y. Liao, L. Lin, Y. Zhou, J. Li, X. Liu and X. Feng, *ACS Catal.*, 2016, **6**, 589–592; (k) R. Liu, R.-G. Shi, J. Sun and C.-G. Yan, *Org. Chem. Front.*, 2017, **4**, 354–357; (l) S. Jin, L. Wang, H. Han, X. Liu, Z. Bu and Q. Wang, *Chem. Commun.*, 2021, **57**, 359–362; (m) L. Zhao, W. Li, J. Liu, L. Ni, Z. Liu, H. Shen, H. Cao and X. Liu, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2022, **20**, 9604–9608; (n) X. Zhou, J. Shi, J.-R. Song, W.-D. Pan, H. Ren and W. Wu, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 2023, **26**, e202300563.

9 For selected examples of phthalazinium dicyanomethanides as 1,3-dipoles, see: (a) R. Sustmann and H. Trill, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 1972, **11**, 838–840; (b) U. Bergsträße, A. Hoffmann and M. Regitz, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1992, **33**, 1049–1052; (c) R. N. Butler, A. G. Coyne and E. M. Moloney, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2007, **48**, 3501–3503; (d) K. D. Beare, A. K. L. Yuen, A. F. Masters, T. Maschmeyer and C. S. P. McErlean, *Chem. Commun.*, 2013, **49**, 8347–8349; (e) R. N. Butler, A. G. Coyne, W. J. Cunningham and E. M. Moloney, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2013, **78**, 3276–3291; (f) C. Yuan, H. Liu, Z. Gao, L. Zhou, Y. Feng, Y. Xiao and H. Guo, *Org. Lett.*, 2015, **17**, 26–29; (g) H. Jiang, L. Zhou, B. Mao, C. Yuan, W. Wang, Y. Wu, C. Zhang and H. Guo, *Adv. Synth. Catal.*, 2020, **362**, 5716–5720.

10 Deposition number 2325969 for (1*R*,2*S*,10*bR*)-3de contains the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data are provided free of charge by the joint Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre and Fachinformationszentrum Karlsruhe Access Structures service.

11 (a) K. Müller, C. Faeh and F. Diederich, *Science*, 2007, **317**, 1881–1886; (b) S. Purser, P. R. Moore, S. Swallow and V. Gouverneur, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2008, **37**, 320–330; (c) B. Morandi, B. Mariampillai and E. M. Carreira, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2011, **50**, 1101–1104.