The protein dynamical transition marks an increase in atomic mobility and the onset of anharmonic motions at a critical temperature \( T_d \), which is considered relevant for protein functionality. This phenomenon is ubiquitous, regardless of protein composition, structure and biological function and typically occurs at large protein content, to avoid water crystallization. Recently, a dynamical transition has also been reported in non-biological macromolecules, such as poly(N-isopropyl acrylamide) (PNIPAM) microgels, bearing many similarities to proteins. While the generality of this phenomenon is well-established, the role of water in the transition remains a subject of debate. In this study, we use atomistic molecular dynamics (MD) simulations and elastic incoherent neutron scattering (EINS) experiments with selective deuteration to investigate the microscopic origin of the dynamical transition and distinguish water and PNIPAM roles. While a standard analysis of EINS experiments would suggest that the dynamical transition occurs in PNIPAM and water at a similar temperature, simulations reveal a different perspective, also qualitatively supported by experiments. From room temperature down to about 180 K, PNIPAM exhibits only modest changes of dynamics, while water, being mainly hydration water under the probed extreme confinement, significantly slows down and undergoes a mode-coupling transition from diffusive to activated. Our findings therefore challenge the traditional view of the dynamical transition, demonstrating that it occurs in proximity of the water mode-coupling transition, shedding light on the intricate interplay between polymer and water dynamics.
upon increasing temperature. This behavior recalls protein folding and, together with the amphiphilic nature, the presence of amide groups, and the complex energy landscape, evidences strong similarities between PNIPAM and proteins. The measured value of the dynamical transition temperature \( T_d \) was found to be \( \sim 225 \) K for both PNIPAM microgels (cross-linked polymer networks) and linear (not cross-linked) chains, in analogy with proteins and irrespective of macromolecular topology.\(^{17}\) However, the polymer architecture was shown to have a significant effect on the solution behavior, with microgels being stronger confining agents, able to prevent water crystallization up to a higher water content with respect to linear chains,\(^{18}\) and also to proteins.\(^{16}\)

While the generality of the protein dynamical transition is well established, a long-standing question concerns the role played by water in the dynamical transition. A hint on the important contribution given by water derives from the observation that the protein dynamical transition is generally suppressed in the absence of water.\(^{5,7}\) Although onsets of anharmonic motions were also found in dry proteins,\(^{19,20}\) they are ascribable to motions with a different nature with respect to those that are activated above \( T_d.\)\(^{21}\) Furthermore, at \( T_d \) a parallel activation of the water dynamics was reported in several systems,\(^{22-26}\) and was shown to have a characteristic onset temperature independent of the surface nature.\(^{27}\) Finally, some studies related the occurrence of the protein dynamical transition to the underlying liquid–liquid critical point and its related Widom line.\(^{28,29}\) Altogether, there is a clear evidence of a complex interplay between protein and water dynamics, that is still nowadays largely debated: while several works support the idea that water strongly influences the protein motion,\(^{30-33}\) others say that biomacromolecules in turn strongly affect water dynamics\(^{34}\) or that water and protein dynamics are decoupled.\(^{35}\)

In this work, we aim to investigate the physical origin of the dynamical transition in microgel suspensions by combining atomistic molecular dynamics (MD) simulations and elastic incoherent neutron scattering (EINS) experiments. The atomic motions involved in this phenomenon occur in the picosecond–nanosecond timescale, which is directly accessible with both techniques. To understand the role of water in the dynamical transition we exploit PNIPAM microgels because they were shown to be the most efficient system to avoid water crystallization.\(^{36,18}\) While our two choices of investigation techniques are quite common, we here combine them by employing on one hand selective deuteration in our experiments and on the other hand by exploiting our recently-developed nanoscale \textit{in silico} model of PNIPAM network in simulations.\(^{14}\) The latter is based on the use of one of the most realistic models of water\(^{44}\) and was shown to be capable to quantitatively reproduce the polymer dynamical observables measured in EINS experiments.\(^{15}\) The use of deuterated microgels is instead validated by recent works, which have shown that they behave qualitatively similar to protiated ones,\(^{37,18}\) but their clever use in neutron scattering experiments is able to reveal for example individual particle properties under concentrated conditions.\(^{39,40}\) Thanks to these investigations, we find that, performing the EINS experiments and standard analysis used for protein systems, one would be led to conclude that PNIPAM and water undergo a dynamical transition occurring roughly at the same temperature. Instead, the simulations reveal a completely different story, showing that, while PNIPAM dynamics changes only mildly as temperature decreases from room condition up to about 180 K, the water dynamics slows down by orders of magnitude. This prompts us to adopt a completely different way of looking at the data in order to correctly compare water and PNIPAM dynamics. Based on this perspective, we are able to demonstrate that the protein-like dynamical transition of microgels occurs at roughly the same temperature where water dynamics changes its behavior from diffusive to activated, the so-called mode coupling temperature.

**Results and discussion**

**Water and PNIPAM dynamics from EINS experiments**

To access the picosecond–nanosecond timescale, in which the molecular processes involved in the protein dynamical transition take place, EINS experiments were performed on PNIPAM microgels suspensions using the spectrometers IN13 and IN16B of the Institut Laue-Langevin (ILL, Grenoble, France). Microgels suspensions with different isotopic (hydrogen/deuterium) composition were investigated in order to distinguish the contribution of water dynamics from that of the polymer. Indeed, due to the significantly higher neutron incoherent cross-section of hydrogen atoms, the measured integrated elastic intensity \( I(T) \) (the integral over the measured Q-range of \( I(Q, 0) \) at a given resolution time \( \tau \), in ps) gives information on the dynamics of the molecular processes involving the hydrogen atoms. Recent EINS experiments carried out on protiated microgels suspensions in D\(_2\)O\(^{35}\) provided a characterization of the polymer dynamics, showing the occurrence of a dynamical transition at low temperature \( T \). In this work, to evaluate water behavior, we first investigate deuterated microgels suspensions (D-PNIPAM) in H\(_2\)O. Fig. 1a compares the temperature dependence of the \( I_{150}(T) \) measured on IN13 for three different polymer concentrations, \textit{i.e.} 45, 50 and 60 wt\%. Within the temperature sampling of IN13, the \( I_{150}(T) \) increases upon cooling and does not show a strong dependence on wt\%, except for a more marked decrease between 225 K and 250 K for the less polymer-concentrated samples in analogy with what observed for PNIPAM dynamics.\(^{15}\) Fig. 1b reports the \( I_{1800}(T) \) of D-PNIPAM in H\(_2\)O measured on IN16B. The higher time resolution and denser temperature sampling of this instrument allows us to detect a sharp discontinuity for all studied wt\% at \( T_m \sim 265 \) K as a consequence of melting of a small fraction of ice formed from processes of cold crystallization.\(^{37,18}\) We now focus for simplicity only on the 60 wt\% sample (representative examples of the measured \( I(Q, 0) \) are shown in Fig. S1 of the ESIF\(^\text{T} \)) in order to minimize the contribution of the discontinuity in the \( I_{1800}(T) \), and compare different polymer/solvent isotopic compositions. Fig. 1c reports \( I_{1800}(T) \) for deuterated microgels in H\(_2\)O, protiated microgels (H-PNIPAM) in H\(_2\)O, and protiated microgels in D\(_2\)O, thus giving information on the dynamics of water, a combination of water and PNIPAM, and PNIPAM, respectively. For D-PNIPAM in H\(_2\)O, in addition to the observed
melting, a distinct change of slope in $I_{1800}(T)$ is found also at $T_d \sim 210$ K, marking the occurrence of the dynamical transition. On a closer look to the other two samples, we find that a dynamical transition is detected in all cases and the corresponding transition temperatures $T_d$ are summarized in Table S1.† Interestingly, the value of $T_d$ varies among the three samples with constant polymer concentration, but different isotopic composition. Specifically, a dynamical transition temperature of $\sim 210$ K is observed for D-PNIPAM in water, while $T_d \sim 225$ K is found for H-PNIPAM both in water and in D$_2$O. Opposite effects of deuteration on mobility are reported for proteins, with both promotion and damping of biopolymer motions. 41 However, comparing the D-PNIPAM and H-PNIPAM dynamical transition temperatures, a lower $T_d$ value for the former system is consistent with the lower lipophilicity of deuterated aliphatic groups in this polymer as compared to protiated, because of the lessened vibrations of deuterons with respect to protons. 42, 43 Considering the significant presence of contacts between aliphatic moieties in the microgel at high PNIPAM concentration, a weaker interaction between hydrophobic groups in D-PNIPAM network favors the harmonic-to-anharmonic transition of segmental motions. Based on the same rationale, PNIPAM deuteration increases the value of the volume phase transition temperature of the microgel in aqueous solution. 44 For completeness, the melting temperatures $T_m$ are also reported in Table S1 of the ESI† to show that $T_m$ is roughly constant when H$_2$O is used as a solvent, while it increases with D$_2$O, thus confirming that the corresponding discontinuity of $I_{1800}(T)$ is originated from ice melting. In agreement with this interpretation, we observe that the intensity drop at $T_m$ is more pronounced for D-PNIPAM in H$_2$O, consistently with the fact that, in this sample, water provides the largest incoherent scattering contribution. In addition, a recent study based on differential scanning calorimetry measurements 48 indicates that the crystallization degree for protiated microgels with PNIPAM concentration of 60 wt% in both D$_2$O or H$_2$O is of the order of $\sim 0.3\%$, while for deuterated microgels in H$_2$O, it increases up to a few percent ($\sim 3\%$). Notably, the study also shows that melting processes completely disappear for microgel samples at concentration above 60 wt%. Therefore these findings suggest that in the investigated microgels systems practically all water molecules behave as hydration water. In Fig. 1d, we further show the behavior of $I_{1800}(T)$ at 60 wt% upon heating [same data as in Fig. 1c] and cooling. We observe a significant hysteresis of the data, with a shift of $T_m$ of about 20°. This suggests that under cooling the system is able to explore liquid-like states in a more extended range of temperatures up to $\sim 250$ K.

**Atomistic insights from MD simulations**

To shed light on the molecular origin of the differences in the values of $T_d$ measured for water and PNIPAM, all-atom molecular dynamics simulations were performed on a PNIPAM network in TIP4P/ICE water. 15, 16 A commonly employed observable to track the protein dynamical transition is the mean squared displacement (MSD), which can be directly computed
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**Fig. 1** Integrated elastic intensity as a function of temperature $T$: (A) $I_{1500}(T)$ measured on IN13 and (B) $I_{1800}(T)$ measured on IN16B for D-PNIPAM in H$_2$O at 45 wt%, 50 wt% and 60 wt%; (C) $I_{1800}(T)$ for D-PNIPAM in H$_2$O, H-PNIPAM in H$_2$O and H-PNIPAM in D$_2$O at 60 wt% acquired upon heating. The dashed lines are linear fits to the data. Data are vertically shifted by 0.05 for clarity. Error bars are within symbol size. (D) $I_{1800}(T)$ for D-PNIPAM in H$_2$O at 60 wt% acquired upon cooling and heating cycles.
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**Fig. 2** Temperature dependence of the MSD$_{1800}$ calculated from MD simulations of PNIPAM 60 wt% at 1800 ps, averaged over 200 ns, for PNIPAM hydrogen atoms, all water molecules, and hydration water molecules. A comparison with the MSD$_{1800}$ calculated for an independent simulation of bulk water is also included. Error bars are within symbol size. The inset reports a snapshot of the microgel network model in which the polymer backbone and side chains are represented in red and gray, respectively, while water molecules are shown with blue spheres.
from molecular dynamics simulations. Fig. 2 reports the MSD_{1800}, calculated for PNIPAM hydrogen atoms and for water, respectively, at the resolution time $\tau = 1800$ ps of IN16B for the simulations of PNIPAM 60 wt%. As temperature increases, a notable enhancement of the atomic mobility takes place, characterized by a deviation from the low-$T$ linear dependence, which is compatible with the interpretation that a dynamical transition occurs also for this observable, as shown in Fig. S2 of the ESIF and detected also for PNIPAM linear chains.\(^\text{17}\) In the explored temperature interval, the increase of mobility is found to be much more pronounced for water. In Fig. 2 we also perform the additional comparison of the MSD_{1800} of all water molecules with respect to hydration water ones, the latter defined as those molecules whose oxygen atoms are located within the first minima of the radial distribution functions of water around PNIPAM atoms. We observe no major differences between water and hydration water, due to the fact that, at this high polymer concentration, the vast majority (~90%) of water molecules can be identified as hydration water. Finally, Fig. 2 includes a further comparison with the MSD_{1800} calculated for an independent simulation of bulk water under the same simulated conditions. In the case of bulk water, a steep increase of the MSD occurs at a much lower temperature with respect to water confined within the polymer network, suggesting that a change in water dynamics takes place also in the absence of the polymer.

To further probe the microscopic dynamics of water, we examined in detail the temperature dependence of water self-diffusion coefficient, $D_w$, which can be estimated in the numerical simulations from the long-time limit of the MSD, also shown in Fig. S3 of the ESIF.\(^\text{16}\) Fig. 3 shows the Arrhenius plot of $D_w$, calculated both for water in the system of PNIPAM 60 wt% and for the simulation of bulk water. As the temperature decreases, we observe a slowing down of water dynamics which is strongly enhanced in the presence of PNIPAM. To analyze the effect of water supercooling within the framework of the mode-coupling theory (MCT)\(^\text{44-46}\) of glassy dynamics, we apply a power-law fit of $D_w$ following the equation:

$$D_w = C(T - T_{\text{MCT}})^{-\gamma}$$  \(1\)

where $T_{\text{MCT}}$ is the MCT temperature at which the relaxation time of the system should diverge according to the theory, $C$ is a prefactor, and $\gamma$ is the power-law exponent. The fits work quite well at relatively high temperatures, where $D_w$ follows the MCT predictions with a critical temperature, $T_{\text{MCT}}$, around 229 K, 227 K and 221 K for water in PNIPAM 60 wt%, PNIPAM 40 wt% and for bulk water, respectively. Robustly, all fits yield an exponent $\gamma \sim 2.9$ in agreement with previous findings,\(^\text{44-47}\) including experimental ones.\(^\text{48}\)

The MCT fits, as expected, are a good description of the numerical data up to intermediate temperatures, below which the behavior of $D_w$ deviates from the MCT power law and instead is found to obey an Arrhenius law, with an activation energy $E_A$ of $\sim 80$ kJ mol$^{-1}$ and $\sim 55$ kJ mol$^{-1}$ for water in the system of PNIPAM 60 wt% and bulk water, respectively, consistently with previous estimates.\(^\text{49}\) Such low-$T$ deviation is typical of glass-forming liquids, which follow the MCT predictions up to roughly the MCT temperature and then avoid the singularity undergoing a change in the dynamical behavior from diffusive-like to activated-like.\(^\text{49}\) The latter regime is dominated by hopping processes, typical of Arrhenius behavior. Fig. 3 also shows that the deviation from the MCT power law behavior takes place at temperatures slightly higher than the MCT temperature of ideal structural arrest, because in reality the hopping processes start to occur just before the system approaches $T_{\text{MCT}}$, becoming the dominant mechanism of relaxation only below $T_{\text{MCT}}$. The MCT temperature is thus a precursor of the true glass transition, which takes place at a lower value, not easily accessible in simulations. For example, for TIP4P/2005 bulk water $T_{\text{MCT}}$ is found to change between 180 K and 210 K along different isochores,\(^\text{50}\) while the estimates of the experimental glass transition point to roughly 140 K.\(^\text{50}\) Of course, these temperatures will be higher in the presence of a confining agent, in agreement with experimental studies of water confined in Vycor, which roughly suggest a difference of 20 K in the behavior of bulk and confined water.\(^\text{51}\)

Altogether, these findings demonstrate that water in PNIPAM 60 wt% undergoes a MCT-like transition upon cooling for $T \leq 230$ K, in good agreement with similar estimates of water in nanopores.\(^\text{52}\) Below this temperature, the dynamics becomes activated, rather than diffusive, which is sometimes referred as strong-to-fragile dynamic crossover.\(^\text{45,52}\) The MCT temperature signals the onset of slow dynamics and the fact that at even
lower temperatures a true glass transition will take place, which is difficult to probe due to the long equilibration times that are required.

**Time- and length-scales of water and PNIPAM dynamics**

To understand the influence of the time- and length-scales used to track the low temperature dynamical transition, we show in Fig. 4A a direct comparison between the MSD of water calculated from the MD simulations of PNIPAM 60 wt% at the resolution time of both IN13 (MSD$_{150}$) and IN16B (MSD$_{1800}$), and water self diffusion coefficient $D_w$. At elevated temperatures the MSDs calculated at both resolution times closely follow the temperature dependence of $D_w$. However, as the system is cooled down, the MSD$_{150}$ quickly deviates from $D_w$. Instead, remarkably, the MSD$_{1800}$ falls on the same curve of $D_w$, spanning roughly two orders of magnitude of variation of the dynamics, up to $\sim 235$ K. Even though deviations between the two observables occur below this temperature, this happens when the system approaches the MCT transition, so when the system is already becoming glassy. These observations allow us to conclude that the MSD$_{1800}$ correctly probes the overall system dynamics and is able to signal the onset of dynamical arrest. On the other hand, clearly the MSD$_{150}$ does not provide a good observable for tracking the slow dynamics of the system because of its too-short time scale. Motivated by these findings, we report in Fig. 4B a logarithmic representation of the MSD$_{1800}$ as a function of temperature. Indeed, while the MSD is the property commonly used to monitor the protein dynamical transition, $T_{\text{MCT}}$, its usual observation is carried out in a linear scale, as also previously discussed in Fig. 2. The semi-logarithmic description of Fig. 4B is therefore much more appropriate to describe the dynamics of water, that for $T \geq 230$ K is diffusive, as seen from the simulations. To confirm that this is the case, we adopted the double-well model to fit also the intensities measured upon cooling, for which the data clearly show a greater ability of the system to explore lower temperatures without the interference of freezing/kinetic arrest down to $T > 250$ K. To detect the diffusive behavior of water, we thus limit the fits of $I(Q)$ upon cooling only at these high temperatures. As expected, again the double-well model is not able to provide a good estimate of the MSD, likely because the scattering signal of D-PNIPAM in H$_2$O is dominated by the larger cross-section of water. Hence, we attempt to extract the water diffusive contribution by focusing only on the low-$Q$ part of the data and fitting the $I(Q)$ upon cooling for $T > 250$ K with a simple Gaussian (G) function. Despite the over-simplification of such analysis, we find that the extracted MSD of water for $T > 250$ K by this simple Gaussian treatment is in surprisingly good agreement with simulations and, qualitatively, of the right order of magnitude with respect to the MSD of the polymer. However, the Gaussian fit overestimates the MSD for $T < 250$ K. We therefore conclude that, while a more sophisticated model able to capture simultaneously the correct dynamics of both water and polymer is needed, a satisfying phenomenological approach is to adopt the Gaussian fit for water in the diffusive
regime and a different model such as the double-well one for the polymer at all temperatures.

**Conclusion**

In this study we investigated the molecular origin of the low temperature dynamical transition of PNIPAM microgels by examining the individual dynamics of both polymer and water. To this aim, we combined two complementary techniques that allowed us to probe the picosecond–nanosecond time-scale at which this molecular process takes place, i.e. all-atom molecular dynamics simulations and elastic incoherent neutron scattering experiments with isotopic substitution. Indeed, while in the simulations it is directly possible to analyze the individual contributions of PNIPAM and water dynamics, selective deuteration allows to distinguish them also experimentally. The observation of a slope change in the temperature dependence of the integrated elastic intensity reveals a dynamical transition temperature of \( \sim 210 \) K for D-PNIPAM in water, while a value of \( T_d \approx 225 \) K is found for H-PNIPAM in both \( \text{H}_2\text{O} \) and \( \text{D}_2\text{O} \). To gain further insights into the microscopic dynamics of water, we used atomistic simulations with a nanoscale *in silico* model of PNIPAM microgel network embedded in one of the most realistic available water models,\(^{36}\) which was previously shown to quantitatively reproduce the polymer MSD measured in EINS experiments, even at different resolution times.\(^{15,16}\) Here, we went one step forward in the test of such a model, by comparing simulated water dynamics to the one probed experimentally by investigating deuterated microgels.

As a results of this effort, we are now able to draw several important conclusions. First, we show that the water MSD computed at 1800 ps, measurable by EINS experiments at high energy resolution, is as a robust indicator for the overarching system dynamics in a wide temperature range. As visible in Fig. 4A, the MSD\(_{1800}\) closely follows the self-diffusion coefficient of water for about two orders of magnitude in the diffusive regime. Deviations only occur at low enough \( T \) when water dynamics becomes activated. On the other hand, when using MSDs at shorter timescales, such as the 150 ps provided by spectrometers of lower resolution, there is a significant difference. This strongly suggests that EINS experiments at high temporal resolution can be used as a probe for water diffusion, when this is appropriately decoupled *e.g.* through selective deuteration.

Moving the focus from polymer to water dynamics, we find that the latter spans several orders of magnitude as in systems approaching the glass transition, so that a linear representation of the MSDs temperature dependence, such as that shown in Fig. 2, is no longer adequate. Instead, a logarithmic description is needed, as done in Fig. 3 and 4, allowing us to highlight the distinct dynamics pertaining to the polymer network and the water confined within it. Indeed, water is much more diffusive at high temperatures, but still nearly two orders of magnitude slower than bulk water. This indicates that water is strongly confined and, in agreement with differential scanning calorimetry measurements,\(^{18}\) reveals that at high polymer concentration water mainly behaves as hydration water.

Another important point emerging from our study is the direct comparison between experimental and numerical MSDs. We find that PNIPAM dynamics is well-captured by the simulations, when the measured intensities as a function of wave-vector are fitted by the commonly employed double-well function. This confirms what previously observed for H-PNIPAM in \( \text{D}_2\text{O} \) both for microgels and for polymer chains. Instead, such a model dramatically fails to describe water dynamics in the diffusive regime, as expected from the fact that its functional form contains only bound motions that, in this regime, do not belong to water. Indeed, while the MSDs of water follow a diffusive regime down to \( \sim 230 \) K, those of the polymer do show a non-diffusive, more complex behavior that to a first approximation can be that of jumping between two different states as expressed by the double model. Due to the unavailability of a more comprehensive model, we thus simply fitted the high temperature data, where water is diffusive, with a Gaussian function. Despite the rough approximation of this procedure, such a fit qualitatively captures the water MSDs for \( T > 250 \) K, thus indicating a much faster dynamics with respect to the polymer, in agreement with simulations. Importantly, at lower temperatures, signatures of water freezing in D-PNIPAM microgels are more accentuated than in H-PNIPAM ones, confirming previous calorimetry experiments,\(^{16,36}\) although the amount of existing crystalline nuclei was estimated to be less than 10%. The influence of freezing also manifests by the pronounced hysteresis of the measured intensity. However, upon cooling, we find a clear window of supercooled (non-freezing) states down to 250 K, that we used to compare to numerical MSDs. We remark that crystallization is never observed within the explored time and length scales in the simulations.

Finally, the present analysis allows us to shed light on the nature of the dynamical transition. Indeed, we unambiguously find that for microgels this happens at temperatures close to the MCT-like transition of water diffusion. We estimated \( T_{\text{MCT}} \) through a power-law extrapolation of the numerical self-diffusion coefficient, which was previously shown to provide a good description of dynamical arrest in bulk water.\(^{47-52}\) Below \( T_{\text{MCT}} \), the dynamics of water is well-described by an Arrhenius behavior, also in agreement with previous works.\(^{45,52}\) Under such regime of activated dynamics, the system is strongly out-of-equilibrium and it is delicate to look at fixed timescales, such as those probed experimentally, to draw conclusive observations on the behavior of the system. Therefore, \( T_{\text{MCT}} \sim 234 \) K anticipates the occurrence of water glass transition temperature \( T_g \) at the studied PNIPAM concentration, that should take place well below it. According to the estimates for bulk water, the difference between \( T_{\text{MCT}} \) and \( T_g \) is about 50 K.\(^{45}\) The anticipation of \( T_g \) given by \( T_{\text{MCT}} \) and by the onset of activated dynamics turns out to be quite close to the occurrence of the dynamical transition temperature \( T_d \). The present findings in a non-biological system are therefore in agreement with some studies which connected the occurrence of the protein dynamical transition with the strong-to-fragile crossover.\(^{54}\) Interestingly, the protein dynamical transition has also been related to the presence of the underlying liquid–liquid critical point (LLCP),\(^{56}\) although...
there is no evidence to-date that water under the extreme confinement exerted by proteins (or microgels) would still undergo a LLCP. This calls for future investigations.

Our physical interpretation of the dynamical transition is that when water becomes diffusive, also the microgel or the protein becomes more mobile, the latter finally being able to explore configurations compatible with its biological function. Future works, for example by QENS measurements, will be needed to further explore the dynamics of water and polymers/proteins close to the glass transition in the supercooled regime, to provide a comprehensive model of the dynamics of the system, capable to discern the diffusive/non-diffusive contribution of water from the activated dynamics of the suspended polymer. This will have to be carried out taking appropriate care of water crystallization, a ubiquitous problem in supercooled water regime,55 that is found to be non-negligible also in the presence of a deuterated confining agent.

In conclusion, the present investigation provides evidence that the low-temperature dynamical transition in PNIPAM microgels is dictated by a change in water dynamics at the MCT temperature. Based on the marked similarities between PNIPAM microgels and proteins in water, these novel insights on the interplay between PNIPAM and solvent dynamics contribute to a deeper understanding of analogous processes in biological macromolecules, and may be extended to other non-biological macromolecular systems.

Methods

Sample preparation

PNIPAM microgels were synthesised by standard precipitation polymerization as previously described in ref. 15 and detailed in the ESI.† For the synthesis of the protiated microgel, NIPAM (0.137 M) was solubilized in 1560 mL of ultrapure water in a 2 L four-neck jacketed reactor in the presence of BIS (1.87 mM) and SDS (7.82 mM). KPS (2.44 mM, previously dissolved in 10 mL of deoxygenated water) was added to start the polymerization, carried out for 4 hours at 343 K. The synthesis of the deuterated microgels was carried by replacing NIPAM with an equimolar amount of NIPAM-d10. For both microgels, non-deuterated BIS was used as crosslinker, by assuming its concentration negligible as compared with respect to the monomer one. The microgels network mesh size is estimated to be 100 ± 20 Å at 25 °C by using small angle neutron scattering experiments (see the ESI†).

Elastic incoherent neutron scattering

EINS experiments were performed at Institut Laue-Langevin (ILL, Grenoble, France) using the spectrometers IN13 and IN16B,56,57 measuring the scattered neutron intensity \( I(Q, E) \) in a narrow energy window \( \Delta E \) centered about the elastic peak \( E = 0 \), as a function of exchanged momentum \( Q \).

The sample was mounted on a closed-cycle refrigerator where a temperature range of 293 K to 173 K was explored. Elastic incoherent neutron scattering experiments were performed in flat aluminium cells (3.0 × 4.0 cm) sealed with an In o-ring. For each sample, the cell thickness was selected to achieve a transmission of about 90% for the relevant incoming wavelength \( \lambda_i \) of the instruments. Sample weights were measured before and after each EINS experiments, without showing any significant change. All data were corrected for background and cell scattering, self-absorption and detector efficiency. Examples of raw data are reported in the ESI†.

Molecular dynamics simulations

All-atom molecular dynamics simulations of PNIPAM microgels suspensions were performed using a nanoscale network model, which quantitatively reproduces neutron scattering results,13 The network model is designed from 12 atactic chains which are connected by 6 BIS cross-links. Two PNIPAM mass fractions of 40 and 60% (w/w) were investigated. Simulations were performed in the range between 293 K and 173 K, every 5 K. At each \( T \), trajectory data were collected for \( \sim 0.5 \) μs, with a sampling of 0.2 frame per ps. The OPLS-AA force field58 with the implementation by Siu et al.59 is used to describe PNIPAM, while water is modelled with the TIP4P/ICE force field.60 Simulations were carried out using the GROMACS 2020.6 software.61,62 MD simulations were also carried out for a cubic box containing 1782 TIP4P/ICE water molecules following a similar procedure. Trajectory data were acquired in the NVT ensemble. Pressure was controlled by the Parrinello–Rahman algorithm with time constant of 2 ps. Temperature was controlled with the velocity rescaling thermostat coupling algorithm with a time constant of 0.1 ps. Electrostatic interactions were treated with the smooth particle-mesh Ewald method with a cutoff of non-bonded interactions of 1 nm. Further details are reported in the ESI†.
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