An imidazole-based covalent-organic framework enabling a super-efficiency in sunlight-driven uranium extraction from seawater†
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Uranium extraction from seawater represents an effective way to solve the difficulty of the insufficient uranium supply chain. However, this route is still restricted by the low extraction efficiency of reported adsorbents. Here, we find that reversing the donor–acceptor in imidazole-based COFs (covalent-organic frameworks) would be effective for enhancing the extraction efficiency of uranium. As a result, the Ti-COF is found to enable a uranium extraction efficiency up to 8.8 mg g⁻¹ day⁻¹ from seawater under visible light irradiation, exceeding all established adsorbents for such use, and an unprecedented uranium extraction efficiency up to 6.9 mg g⁻¹ day⁻¹ from seawater under natural sunlight.

1. Introduction

Nuclear energy has become an effective way to solve the energy and dual carbon problems due to its high energy density and low pollution. However, the scarcity of uranium mines still seriously restricts the sustainable development of nuclear energy. As we know, the uranium reserves on land are estimated to be 1.45 million tons, which can only supply for nuclear energy up to 80 years, according to the current development rate. By contrast, seawater contains approximately 4.5 billion tons of uranium, which is thousands of times the uranium reserves on land. Accordingly, generation of uranium from seawater should be the best way to solve the problem of insufficient reserves of uranium. But, the trace uranium concentration (~3.3 ppb) along with the presence of a large number of other competing ions in a higher abundance in seawater will inherently prevent us from separating uranium from seawater. Thereby, there emerges an urgent and long-term demand in designing new materials and methods for acquiring uranium from seawater.

Previous findings have revealed the advantage of adsorption-based separation strategies for such use. And several effective adsorbents have been developed including, but not limited to, porous polymers, metal-organic frameworks (MOFs), covalent-organic frameworks (COFs), and hydrogen-bonded organic frameworks (HOFs). It was also found that anchoring special strong adsorption sites to fix uranyl ions on the porous scaffold can largely boost its uranium acquisition capability from seawater (Scheme 1a). In this content, Kushwaha et al. achieved a remarkable value up to 17.9 mg g⁻¹ by means of a HOF adsorbent after 30 days, while a benchmark value up of 28.2 mg g⁻¹ after 25 days was reported by Ghosh et al. through using an ionic MOF. It is worth emphasizing that although the adsorption method can obtain a high uranium adsorption capacity from seawater, it generally requires a particularly long working time (often more than 30 days, Fig. 1a), which will largely increase the overall cost of extracting uranium from seawater and consequently restrict its practical application in uranium manufacture from seawater. Moreover, current adsorption methods also face some challenges such as the recycling of adsorbents and the precise recognition of uranium.

Alternative to this adsorption method is the recently developed solar-driven uranium extraction method, which involves a conversion from solar energy to chemical energy and is viewed to be a green and powerful avenue. Such technology can theoretically solve all the problems faced by the adsorption method like that of long working time, unstable reusability and strong competing adsorption. For example, COF-4P through a photocatalytic route reported by Ma et al. exhibited a uranium extraction efficiency of 8.02 mg g⁻¹ day⁻¹, which is almost 7.3-fold that of the above-mentioned benchmark adsorbent reported by Ghosh et al (on average 1.1 mg g⁻¹ day⁻¹). In this context, COF photocatalysts are especially interesting due to their outstanding advantages in porosity, diversity and conjugated structure. However, only a few photocatalysts have been explored for such use, and this field is still in its infancy. Thereby, it is highly desirable to design and prepare new photocatalysts with not only high chemical stability but also superior uranium extraction efficiency. Moreover, there remains a major issue that all established photocatalysts were just evaluated under simulated sunlight (usually using a high
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Scheme 1  (a) View of the established adsorbent route for uranium extraction from seawater. (b) View of the established photocatalytic route for uranium extraction from seawater under simulated sunlight. (c) View of our photocatalytic route for uranium extraction from seawater under natural sunlight.

Fig. 1  (a) The synthesis route of the BI-COF based on benzene-1,3,5-tricarboxaldehyde and 2,4-dimethylimidazole building blocks. (b) Experimental PXRD patterns of the BI-COF with corresponding Pawley refinement (red) and Bragg positions (green), showing good fit to the experimental data (blue) with minimal differences (black). (c) N$_2$ adsorption isotherm at 77 K with the inset of pore size distribution. (d) View of the structure of the BI-COF in the eclipsed (AA) stacking mode.
intensity Xe lamp) rather than natural sunlight (Scheme 1b). In general, such simulated sunlight from a high-intensity Xe lamp often outputs a continuous high-intense visible light and a very small irradiation area (Scheme 1b). This is far from the energy-free sunlight driven mode we actually need, since natural sunlight has a low intensity and large irradiation area, as well as its intensity undergoes drastic changes with seasons and daily time periods. In this regard, developing real sunlight-driven photocatalysts for such use is the most desirable one (Scheme 1c), and in this work, we report a highly rare imidazole-based COF, which can exhibit an exciting uranium extraction efficiency up to 6.9 mg g⁻¹ day⁻¹ from seawater under natural sunlight.

2. Experimental section

2.1 Materials and methods

Uranium is radioactive, and thus we must perform experiments under special protection. Monomers of 2,4-dimethylimidazole (99%), benzene-1,3,5-tricarboxaldehyde (99%), and 4,4',4''-(1,3,5-triazine-2,4,6-triyl)tribenzaldehyde (99%), and UO₂(NO₃)₂·6H₂O (99%), benzoic anhydride (99%) and organic solvents (99%) were purchased from Aladdin Biochemical Technology Co., Ltd. These were used as received without further purification. X-ray powder diffraction was performed using a Bruker AXS8 Discover powder diffractometer at 40 kV, 40 mA for Cu Kα (λ = 1.5406 Å). The simulated powder patterns were calculated using Mercury 1.4. Infrared Spectra (IR) were measured using a Bruker VERTEX70 spectrometer in the 800-3600 cm⁻¹ region. The gas adsorption isotherms were collected on a Belsorp-max. Ultrahigh-purity-grade (>99.999%) N₂ gases were used during the adsorption measurement. The analyses of concentrations of U ions in the solution were carried out using a ThermoFisher iCap7600 ICP-OES or iCap RQPlus ICP-MS instruments. X-ray photoelectron spectra (XPS) were collected using a Thermo Scientific ESCALAB 250 Xi spectrometer. Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images were recorded on a Hitachi SU 8100 Scanning Electron Microscope. Solid-state NMR experiments were performed on a Varian Infinityplus 300 solid-state NMR spectrometer (300 MHz). Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) images were recorded on a Hitachi SU 8100 Scanning Electron Microscope. Photoelectrochemical measurements were recorded on a CHI760 workstation using a three-electrode configuration. UV-vis spectroscopy results were recorded in diffuse reflectance (DR) mode at room temperature on a SHIMADZU UV-2700 spectrophotometer equipped with an integrating sphere attachment.

2.2 Synthesis of the model compound

A mixture of 2,4-dimethylimidazole (30.0 mg, 0.3 mmol), benzaldehyde (121.2 mg, 0.2 mmol) and benzoic anhydride (500 mg, 2.2 mmol) was charged into a PTFE-lined reactor. The reactor was then transferred to a muffle furnace and heated at 180 °C for 5 days. The model compound was extracted with dichloromethane and used for MS analysis.
with respective initial concentrations of 50 ppm. The dose of adsorbent is 5 mg, while the solution is 50 mL. Contacting time is 40 min.

2.6 Extraction of uranium from seawater

Seawater from Zhuhai, China, was used. The U concentration was 3.3 ppb. The dose of adsorbent was 5 mg, while the volume of natural seawater was 30 L. Contacting time was one day.

For real sunlight irradiation, the experiment was carried out from December 23, 2023 to December 25, 2023 in Nanchang city in China. The weather was sunny. All weather temperature was 4–13 °C. The time period was 9 am to 5 pm, and the uranium extraction process under sunlight irradiation was performed for three days, which was equal to sunlight irradiation for about 24 h, since the TI-COF has little adsorption ability towards uranium.

2.7 DFT calculations

The first-principles calculations were performed within the framework of density functional theory (DFT) as implemented in the plane wave set Vienna Ab-initio Simulation Package (VASP) code, in which the Perdew–Burke–Ernzerhof (GGA-PBE) functionalization and the project-augmented wave generalized gradient approximation pseudopotentials (PAW-GGA) were employed to calculate the exchange-correlation energy and electron–ion interaction, respectively. Additionally, spin-polarization was considered in all calculations. Wave functions were expanded using a plane-wave basis set with a kinetic energy cutoff of 500 eV and the geometries were fully relaxed until the residual force convergence value on each atom was less than 0.02 eV Å⁻¹. A gamma k-point mesh of 3 × 3 × 1 was used for Brillouin zone sampling for structural optimization and a gamma k-point mesh of 5 × 5 × 1 was used for Brillouin zone sampling for charge-related calculations.

3. Results and discussion

3.1 COF design and synthesis

In general, the rational construction of a strong donor–acceptor system is the essential rule for accessing a good photocatalyst. In this regard, reversing the donor–acceptor in a material could be a wise choice to modulate the donor–acceptor system and then the photocatalytic performance, since such a reversing donor–acceptor approach would cause a big difference in the direction of electron transfer. In this regard, a new monomer of 2,4-dimethylimidazole was selected, since it holds a variable property as the electron donor or electron acceptor that is completely dependent on which type of monomer is connected to it, thus providing us a good platform to explore the effect from the reversing donor–acceptor approach on the photocatalytic performance. To ensure the tolerance and reusability of the adsorbent during the extraction of uranium from seawater, we herein adopt a synthesis of the inherently stable olefin-linked COF through the irreversible Knoevenagel condensation. This is different from the established COF photocatalyst, which was mainly constructed by the reversible imine linkage. The use of the 2,4-dimethylimidazole monomer to perform the irreversible Knoevenagel condensation is due to that the five-membered imidazole ring in 2,4-dimethylimidazole is comparable with the commonly used monomers involved in the six-membered pyridine ring for driving the irreversible Knoevenagel condensation. Moreover, before carrying out the synthesis of these 2,4-dimethylimidazole-derived COFs, we first performed a model reaction between 2,4-dimethylimidazole and benzaldehyde. And the model compound, 2,4-di((E)-styryl)-1H-imidazole was successfully produced, as shown in Fig. S1.

3.2 Characterization and structure of BI-COF

The new COF of the BI-COF was synthesized from 2,4-dimethylimidazole and benzene-1,3,5-tricarboxaldehyde through a [3 + 2] Knoevenagel condensation with benzoic anhydride as the melt solvent at a reaction temperature of 180 °C (Fig. 1a). To confirm the success in the synthesis of the BI-COF, we performed a series of characterization studies including infrared spectroscopy (IR), solid-state cross-polarization magic angle spinning (CP-MAS) ¹³C NMR, powder X-ray diffraction (PXRD), Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET), and scanning electron microscopy (SEM). The strong IR band at 1605 cm⁻¹ suggests the formation of an olefin linkage in the BI-COF (Fig. S2). In CP-MAS ¹³C NMR, two major peaks at 129 ppm and 135 ppm are observed, consistent with the COF skeleton (Fig. S3). The PXRD test shows a sharp peak at 2θ = 4.8° (Fig. 1b), confirming its high crystallinity. Permanent porosity is confirmed by N₂ adsorption at 77 K (Fig. 1c), giving a high BET specific surface area of 510 m² g⁻¹ and narrow pore size of 1.1 nm.

The structure of the BI-COF was determined by a commonly used method through the first PXRD test and then corresponding Pawley refinement. As shown in Fig. 1a, we initially outline the model structure for such [3 + 2] assembly. After Pawley refinement, the resultant structure owns the unit cell parameters of a hexagonal system, P6 space group, a = b = 20.80 Å, c = 3.44 Å (Table S1†), while the simulated PXRD value in good agreement with the experimental value (Fig. 1b), as evidenced by the small residual factor of R_p = 0.93% and R_w = 2.11%. The 2D layered structure in the eclipsed (AA) stacking mode is shown in Fig. 2d, where such [3 + 2] assembly renders an uncommon hcb-derived topology. Along the c axis, we observed rare low-symmetry dodecagonal micropores (Fig. 1d) in this BI-COF, and its pore size is consistent with the experimental results.

3.3 Characterization and structure of the TI-COF

The synthesis of the TI-COF is similar to the synthesis of the BI-COF, except for the replacement of benzene-1,3,5-tricarboxaldehyde by 4,4’-dibenzoic acid (1,3,5-triazine-2,4,6-triyl) tribenzenaldehyde (Fig. 2a). Similarly, the peak at 1603 cm⁻¹ in IR confirms the formation of the olefin linkage (Fig. S4). All the carbon atoms in the TI-COF can be read out from CP-MAS ¹³C NMR spectra (Fig. S5). A simulation method by the combination of PXRD plus Pawley refinement is also used to determine the structure of the TI-COF (Fig. 2b). The optimized
solution gives a hexagonal crystalline system with the P6 space group, showing the unit cell parameter, \(a = b = 34.0869 \ \text{Å}, \ c = 6.6018 \ \text{Å}, \ \ R_p = 0.44\% \) and \(R_{wp} = 1.06\% \) (Table S2†), but a staggered (AB) stacking model is suggested. Such staggered (AB) stacking reduces the pores down to 0.9 nm (Fig. 2c), which is in good agreement with the experimental value from aperture analysis (0.87 nm). Moreover, a high Brunauer–Emmett–Teller (BET) surface area of 695 m² g⁻¹ is observed (Fig. 2d).

3.4 Uranium extraction experiments

We initially explored the uranium extraction performance of the BI-COF and TI-COF from a 50 mL 100 ppm UO₂²⁺ solution under visible light irradiation (simulated sunlight) without addition of any sacrificial agent. After photocatalysis of 40 min, the BI-COF afforded a 56.2% removal rate with an extraction capacity of 562 mg g⁻¹, while the TI-COF gave a largely enhanced 80% removal rate with extraction capacity up to 902 mg g⁻¹ (Fig. 3a). This confirms a positive effect on the uranium extraction from our reversing approach. The apparent quantum efficiency (400 nm) is about 3.4% for the BI-COF and 5.9% for the TI-COF.††

Note that such high extraction capacity and fast extraction kinetics observed in the TI-COF exceeds most reported adsorbents or photocatalysts for such use (Tables S3 and S4†). The outstanding performance in the TI-COF prompted us to make a further evaluation under low concentration such as 50 ppm and 1 ppm. It was found that the TI-COF afforded an impressive 90% and 94% removal rate, respectively (Fig. 3b), suggesting its potential for extraction of uranium from seawater. Based on these data, we can also deduce a preliminary judgment on the affinity between the COF and uranium through comparing their distribution coefficients \(K_d\). For the TI-COF, it affords a \(K_d\) value of \(4.4 \times 10^4 \ \text{mL g}^{-1}\) for a 100 ppm uranium solution (Fig. 3b), which is about 3.7-fold that of the BI-COF \((1.2 \times 10^4 \ \text{mL g}^{-1})\), which means higher affinity from the TI-COF skeleton for uranium; this is consistent with our molecule design. Meanwhile, the \(K_d\) value from 50 and 1 ppm uranium solutions is as high as \(1.4 \times 10^5\) and \(8.7 \times 10^4 \ \text{mL g}^{-1}\) (Fig. 3b), respectively, further confirming the high affinity of the TI-COF towards uranium. It is worth emphasizing that such a high distribution coefficient is obtained in just 40 min, suggesting an ultralow time cost for the present TI-COF, which is better than most reported adsorbents and photocatalysts for such use and very beneficial for improving the uranium extraction efficiency from seawater.

Next, we investigated the selective extraction upon the TI-COF from a 14-ion mixed solution, containing monovalent \(\text{Na}^+, \text{K}^+, \text{and Cs}^+\) ions, divalent \(\text{Mg}^{2+}, \text{Ca}^{2+}, \text{Zn}^{2+}, \text{Co}^{2+}, \text{Ni}^{2+}, \text{Cu}^{2+}, \) and \(\text{Sr}^{2+}\), trivalent \(\text{Cr}^{3+}\), tetravalent \(\text{Th}^{4+}\), and \(\text{VO}_3^-\) ions [Fig. 3c], which is vital for the uranium extraction from seawater. Interestingly, we observed 91.5% removal rate for uranium and less than 10% removal rate for the other ions, implying selective adsorption of UO₂²⁺ over the other ions. The selectivity of \(S_{UM}\) is calculated to be as high as more than 100 for most other ions (Fig. S6†). Moreover, it was found that the loaded uranium on the TI-COF can be 100% desorbed through using 1 M Na₂CO₃ solution, and repeating such an extraction-desorption recycle for five times did not cause clear decrease in the uranium removal rate (Fig. 3d), indicative of the good reusability of our material.

3.5 Uranium extraction from seawater

The above results further prompted us to apply our TI-COF for uranium extraction from seawater and a 5 mg TI-COF sample with 30 L natural seawater (3.3 ppb uranium) was used for such
research. For BI-COF, it affords a low uranium extraction capacity of 2.3 mg g\(^{-1}\) under simulated sunlight after one day contacting time, whereas the TI-COF could enable an ultrahigh uranium extraction capacity up to 8.8 mg g\(^{-1}\). Such a value for the TI-COF exceeds those of most reported adsorbents and photocatalysts for such use (Fig. 3e and Table S5†). More importantly, if taking time cost into account, the uranium extraction efficiency for the BI-COF and TI-COF is 2.3 and 8.8 mg g\(^{-1}\) day\(^{-1}\), respectively. It is clear that the uranium extraction efficiency of the TI-COF is far higher than that of the BI-COF, confirming the positive effect from our reversing approach on uranium extraction from seawater. More impressively, such high uranium extraction efficiency using the TI-COF exceeds those of all reported adsorbents and photocatalysts for such use (Fig. 3e and Table S5†), including i-MZIF90(50) (1.1 mg g\(^{-1}\) day\(^{-1}\)),\(^{29}\) COF 4P (8.0 mg g\(^{-1}\) day\(^{-1}\)),\(^{34}\) and COF-4 (6.84 mg g\(^{-1}\) day\(^{-1}\)),\(^{35}\) creating a record in this field.

Afterwards, the use of the TI-COF for uranium extraction from seawater under natural sunlight was explored. To the best of our knowledge, almost all reported photocatalysts are only evaluated under simulated sunlight for the uranium extraction from seawater, and few has been explored under natural sunlight. Notably, our TI-COF photocatalyst can be also efficient for uranium extraction from seawater under natural sunlight, resulting in 6.9 mg g\(^{-1}\) uranium uptake capacity and 6.9 mg g\(^{-1}\) day\(^{-1}\) uranium extraction efficiency. Such a value is even close to that under simulated conditions, and comparable with those of the reported best photocatalysts under simulated conditions such as COF 4P (8.0 mg g\(^{-1}\) day\(^{-1}\))\(^{34}\) and COF-4 (6.84 mg g\(^{-1}\) day\(^{-1}\)).\(^{35}\)

3.6 Uranium extraction mechanism of our COF under light
To disclose the uranium extraction mechanism in the TI-COF, we first carried out several control experiments (Fig. S7†). For comparison, the uranium extraction was performed in a 50 mL 100 ppm UO\(_2^{2-}\) solution with a 5 mg TI-COF sample under darkness or a 50 mL 100 ppm UO\(_2^{2-}\) solution without the photocatalyst under simulated sunlight. It is found that the
former just captures 9.5% uranium within 40 min, equal to an extraction capacity of 90.0 mg g⁻¹, while the later only captures less than 1% uranium. By contrast, the TI-COF under simulated sunlight performs a 80% uranium uptake. Thereby, a light-driven U(VI)-to-U(IV) reduction is deduced to be responsible for the current outstanding uranium extraction from the TI-COF. This conclusion can be further supported by SEM-EDS and XPS (X-ray photoelectron spectroscopic) analyses. In the SEM-EDS images, we can directly read out the extracted uranium in a rod-shaped shape morphology that is fixed on the surface of the TI-COF and the U element that shows a uniform distribution on the TI-COF (Fig. 4a and b). In XPS, two peaks at 380.0 eV and 390.9 eV for respective U4f⁷/₂ and U4f⁵/₂ are observed (Fig. 4c), confirming that the valence state of uranium of +4 resulted from photocatalytic U(VI)-to-U(IV) reduction. Moreover, the formation of UO₂ after photocatalysis is further confirmed by both PXRD (Fig. S8†) and Raman spectra (Fig. S9†).

Next, we carried out some characterization studies often used for photocatalysts. First, the optical band gaps of the BI-COF and TI-COF were deduced from UV-vis diffuse reflectance spectra by Tauc plots of the (αhν)⁹/₂ vs. hν curve, giving 2.59 eV for the BI-COF and 2.92 eV for the TI-COF (Fig. 4d). This means the semiconductor property of them and suggests their potential as photocatalysts. By means of Mott–Schottky tests, we estimated their lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO) level, giving −1.19 V for the BI-COF and −0.50 V for the TI-COF (Fig. S10 and S11†). And based on the formula, \( E_{\text{HOMO}} = E_{\text{LUMO}} \)
+ $E_g$, we obtained the highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) level with +1.4 V for the BI-COF and +2.42 V for the TI-COF. The LUMO level in the BI-COF and TI-COF is smaller than the reduction potential of UO$_2^{2-}$ with $E$(UO$_2^{2-}$/UO$_2$) = +0.41 V (URR), suggesting that both the BI-COF and TI-COF are thermodynamically favorable for UO$_2^{2-}$-to-UO$_2$ reduction. This is in good agreement with the experimental results.

Moreover, for the TI-COF, we further verified the active intermediates during the photocatalytic process by adding different scavengers, i.e., benzoquinone (BQ, *O$_2^-$ scavenger) and AgNO$_3$ (e$^-$ scavenger). The presence of BQ can significantly reduce the uranium extraction ability, while AgNO$_3$ afforded no detectable effect on the uranium extraction ability (Fig. S12†). The results disclosed that *O$_2^-$ contributes to the photocatalytic U(n)-to-U(n) reduction in this work, and photogenerated electrons (e$^-$) do not directly participate in such photocatalytic reaction. The LUMO level of the TI-COF (−0.50 V) is more negative than $E$(O$_2$/O$_2^-$) = −0.33 V, suggesting an inherent driving force for the TI-COF to convert O$_2$ to *O$_2^-$ active intermediates for the photocatalytic U(n)-to-U(n) reduction.

In addition, we also carried out photocurrent and electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) to evaluate the charge dynamics of our photocatalyst. A stronger photocurrent response was observed in the TI-COF, relative to the BI-COF, indicative of higher efficiency in charge carrier transfer (Fig. 4e). At the same time, the TI-COF afforded smaller impedance, in contrast to the BI-COF (Fig. S13†), implying more excellent electron migration ability of the TI-COF. All these advantages strongly suggest more excellent photocatalytic performance of the TI-COF over the BI-COF; this is in agreement with the experimental results.

To further disclose the separation and transportation of photogenerated carriers in the TI-COF, we first measured its fluorescence lifetimes. Long fluorescence lifetimes up to 3.90 ns were observed (Fig. S14†), which is bigger than those of the reported photocatalysts for such use, e.g. PyN-DAB (3.4 ns),$^{33}$ COF4P (0.96 ns)$^{34}$ and COF-4 (1.42 ns)$^{35}$ suggesting its advantage in inhibiting the recombination of photogenerated carriers and consequently photocatalysis. Second, the temperature-dependent photoluminescence (TD-PL) spectra were measured, and the exciton binding energy ($E_b$) of the TI-COF can be deduced through fitting the plot of temperature vs. PL intensity via the Arrhenius equation. Notably, the $E_b$ value is as low as 35.88 meV (Fig. 4f), which is far lower than all reported organic semiconductors such as PyTTA-COF (113 meV)$^{34}$ and TT-CTP (74.5 meV),$^{39}$ implying a small energy loss for exciton dissociation into free charges and more effective charge separation. All these advantages strongly support its outstanding performance in uranium extraction from seawater under natural sunlight.

3.7 Theoretical calculation

To obtain a deep insight into the relationship between the structure and function, we then carried out DFT (density functional theory) calculation on the BI-COF and TI-COF. As shown in Fig. S15† the HOMO level of the BI-COF is majorly located in the benzene ring unit from the benzene-1,3,5-tricarboxaldehyde section, while the LUMO level is majorly contributed from the imidazole unit from the 2,4-dimethylimidazole section, which means an electron transfer from benzene-1,3,5-tricarboxaldehyde to adjacent 2,4-dimethylimidazole. In this regard, in the BI-COF, the D–A system is composed of benzene-1,3,5-tricarboxaldehyde as the electron donor and 2,4-dimethylimidazole as the electron acceptor. By contrast, an opposite situation is observed in the TI-COF, whereas in the HOMO level the electron is mainly located in the imidazole unit from the 2,4-
dimethylimidazole section (Fig. 5a), and correspondingly, in the LUMO level the electron is mainly located in the triazine unit from the $4',4'''-(1,3,5$-triazine-2,4,6-triyl)tribenzoic anhydride/0.01$ per g, and $4',4''-(1,3,5$-triazine-2,4,6-triyl)tribenzoic anhydride/0.55$ per g. These results, in conjunction with the solid-state large-scale synthesis, make our photocatalyst a promising candidate for the ultrahigh, rapid, and large-scale extraction of uranium from seawater.
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