

Cite this: *Chem. Sci.*, 2024, 15, 8974

All publication charges for this article have been paid for by the Royal Society of Chemistry

A nine-ring fused terrylene diimide exhibits switching between red TADF and near-IR room temperature phosphorescence†

Shivangee Jha,^a Kundan Singh Mehra,^a Mandira Dey,^b Sujesh S,^a Debashree Ghosh,^{*b} Pradip Kumar Mondal,^c Maurizio Polentarutti^c and Jeyaraman Sankar ^{*a}

Herein, we report the first example of a terrylene diimide derivative that switches emission between thermally activated delayed fluorescence (TADF) and room temperature phosphorescence (RTP) in the red region. By design, the molecule **TDI-cDBT** boasts a symmetrical, consecutively fused nine-ring motif with a kite-like structure. The rigid core formed by the annulated dibenzothiophene moiety favoured efficient intersystem crossing and yielded a narrow-band emission with a full-width half maxima (FWHM) of 0.09 eV, along with high colour purity. A small $\Delta E_{S_1-T_1}$ of 0.04 eV facilitated thermally activated delayed fluorescence, enhancing the quantum yield to 88% in the red region. Additionally, it also prefers a direct triplet emission from the aggregated state. The room temperature phosphorescence observed from the aggregates has a longer emission lifetime of 1.8 ms, which is further prolonged to 8 ms at 77 K in the NIR region. Thus, the current strategy is successful in not only reducing $\Delta E_{S_1-T_1}$ to favour TADF but also serves as a novel platform that can switch emission from TADF to RTP depending upon the concentration.

Received 14th February 2024
Accepted 3rd May 2024

DOI: 10.1039/d4sc01040j

rsc.li/chemical-science

Introduction

Advancement in technology has driven a thrust for the development of energy-efficient molecules with intense emission in the red to near IR region.^{1–4} Despite their increased demand, the development of such molecules is quite challenging. According to the energy gap law, this difficulty stems from the two associated contradictory requirements: (i) decreased band gap and (ii) high photoluminescence quantum yields.⁵ An efficient way to increase the quantum efficiency of these emitters is by harvesting the triplet state, which contributes to 75% of the total exciton populations.⁶ For this purpose, a handful of small molecules have been developed.^{7,8} However, this requires multi-step synthesis to incorporate suitable functional groups onto simpler π -conjugated molecules. An alternate approach would be to utilize an inherent red emitter, and minimal functionalization on these molecules can be beneficial for harvesting their triplet excitons. In this direction, terrylene diimide (TDI), a pure red emitter of the rylene diimide (RDI) family with excellent

thermal and photochemical stability can be a potential candidate.⁹ A few TDI derivatives have been shown to emit in the 600–700 nm range.^{10–14} Ironically, they suffer from reduced quantum yields compared to the parent TDI. It is to be noted that in these cases, the emission presumably emanates only from the singlet excitons, which contribute only 25% of the total excitons.¹⁵ Thus, if the triplet excitons can also be harvested, the quantum efficiency would increase, and one can achieve a strongly red-emitting TDI.

In smaller RDIs, either inducing twists to the molecular geometry and/or incorporating hetero atoms into the core has been proven to be useful in efficiently populating the triplet states.¹⁶ However, in the case of TDI, a stabilized T_1 state often prefers a nonradiative deactivation pathway. It has been observed recently that nitro-TDIs favour intersystem crossing (ISC) but lack any triplet emission due to the stabilized T_1 state.¹⁷ Thus, this substantiates that only attaining T_1 efficiently will not be sufficient for an intense emission. The triplet excitons should be facilitated either to undergo a direct radiative decay or to decay *via* a thermally mediated reverse intersystem crossing (RISC) to S_1 . For the former $\Delta E_{S_0-T_1}$ should be larger, and for the latter $\Delta E_{S_1-T_1}$ should be smaller.¹⁸ Thus, destabilizing the T_1 state of TDI should be beneficial for improving the quantum yield.


To accomplish this, we propose a novel π -expanded TDI derivative (**TDI-cDBT**) with a kite-like structure. Our design strategy involves (Scheme 1) (i) an annulation at the bay position of the TDI to increase the HOMO–LUMO gap;¹⁹ (ii)

^aDepartment of Chemistry, Indian Institute of Science Education and Research (IISER) Bhopal, Bhopal Bypass Road, Bhopal, India, 462066. E-mail: sankar@iiserb.ac.in

^bSchool of Chemical Sciences, Indian Association for the Cultivation of Sciences, Kolkata, India, 700032

^cElettra-Sincrotrone Trieste, Strada Statale 14 km 163.5 in Area Science Park, 34149 Basovizza, Trieste, Italy

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. CCDC 2293830 (**TDI-cDBT+Cl**). For ESI and crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1039/d4sc01040j>



Scheme 1 Pictorial representation of the design strategy involved in the present work.

introduction of a heteroatom into the annulation frame to facilitate effective intersystem crossing (ISC);²⁰ (iii) the introduced heteroaromatic unit has an electron-rich nature imparting a donor-acceptor character that may further help in reducing $\Delta E_{S_1-T_1}$;²¹ (iv) a rigid molecular framework to restrict non-radiative decay losses. To probe this strategy, a new TDI derivative with two dibenzothiophene wings fused at the bay positions had been envisaged (Scheme 1). The fusion of a heteroaromatic unit helped to increase the HOMO–LUMO gap and favoured efficient ISC. The rigid molecular framework hindered non-radiative dynamics. These factors combinedly facilitated a narrow-band red emission with an improved intensity. The presence of a smaller S_1-T_1 energy gap manifested in thermally activated delayed fluorescence (TADF) and a destabilized T_1 helped to achieve room temperature phosphorescence (RTP).

Results and discussion

Synthesis and characterization of TDI-cDBT

A Suzuki–Miyaura cross-coupling reaction between **1,6-TDI** (NO_2)₂ and dibenzothiophene-4-boronic acid pinacol ester in the presence of Pd(0) yielded the singly linked product **TDI-sDBT** as a blue solid (Scheme 2 and Fig. S27, S28, S34†). Subsequently, **TDI-sDBT** was subjected to a Scholl reaction using ferric chloride in nitromethane to obtain a dark powder. This dark powder on column chromatography using basic alumina with pyridine as the eluent yielded a major pink fraction in 40% yield, followed by another pink fraction in traces. The major pink product was characterized by ¹H-NMR (Fig. S30 and S31†) and APCI-HRMS to be **TDI-cDBT** (Fig. S36†). However, all the efforts to obtain solid-state structural proof for this compound were unsuccessful. Surprisingly, the minor fraction from the above reaction provided single crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction measurements (CCDC 2293830). The structure obtained from the SCXRD study confirms this to be chlorinated **TDI-cDBT**.²² A modified Scholl reaction using DDQ/methane sulphonic acid was used to avoid undesired chlorination. As shown in Scheme 2 (top right), the desired symmetrical **TDI-cDBT** was obtained in 60% yield along with single-side fused **TDI-scDBT** (Fig. S29 and S35†).

Absorption and emission studies

The absorption spectra for both the molecules **TDI-sDBT** and **TDI-cDBT** in toluene show an $S_1 \leftarrow S_0$ transition in the 500–700 nm range with a well-resolved vibronic pattern, similar to TDI (Fig. 1a). The redshift (~ 20 nm) in the λ_{max} of **TDI-sDBT** can be attributed to the extended conjugation. The annulation along the shorter axis in **TDI-cDBT** has resulted in a huge hypsochromic shift with λ_{max} at 609 nm and a reduced molar extinction coefficient (Fig. 1a and Table 1). This band can be assigned to the HOMO–LUMO transition as supported by spin-flip TDDFT (SF-TDDFT) studies (Fig. 1c and Table S5†).

The π -expansion resulting from annulation offers a corone-like core, with a slightly destabilized HOMO and a highly destabilized LUMO. Therefore, the main band belonging to the HOMO–LUMO transition gets hypsochromically shifted compared to that of TDI. The detailed cause for this change in HOMO–LUMO energies can be understood from the disruption in conjugation due to the corone core (Fig. S23†). An additional intense band from the LUMO \leftarrow HOMO–1 transition appears at 418 nm, in line with the shorter-axis functionalized RDIs with a highly destabilized HOMO–1.¹⁹

As these molecules absorb in the orange-red region, they are expected to fluoresce in the red region. Any emission originating in the longer wavelength region will be hampered by significant nonradiative losses, thus reducing the quantum yields.⁵ To verify the case with the current set of molecules, the emission spectra for both **TDI-sDBT** and **TDI-cDBT** were measured in toluene (Fig. 1b, S1–S4† and Table 1). Both molecules inherited the mirror-image emission pattern from the parent TDI. **TDI-sDBT** showed a broad emission with a λ_{max} at 698 nm having a large Stokes shift of 530 cm^{-1} (absolute quantum yield 13%). **TDI-cDBT** showed a narrow emission with a λ_{max} at 620 nm with a Stokes shift of 290 cm^{-1} (absolute quantum yield 41%). The reduction in the quantum yield for **TDI-sDBT** (TDI = 27%) is attributed primarily to the free rotation of the heteroaromatic unit around the single bond connected to the TDI core. However, **TDI-cDBT** addresses this: the increased band gap and the structural rigidity achieved by annulation together hinder any non-radiative pathways, increasing the emission quantum yields to much higher than that of the parent TDI. The rigidity of the core can be further corroborated through the strikingly narrow emission with an FWHM of 0.09 eV and color purity of CIE 0.69, 0.30 (CIE for pure red 0.70, 0.29).

Thermally activated delayed fluorescence studies

The measured absolute quantum yield of 41% for **TDI-cDBT** can be enhanced if the proposed triplet contribution is effectively harvested. To evaluate this possibility, steady-state and time-resolved emission measurements at 77 K were performed in toluene. The low-temperature fluorescence spectrum showed a sharp peak (λ_{max} at 630 nm) and a broad emission in the longer wavelength region (Fig. 2a and b). After an initial delay of 1 ms, a broad red-shifted emission was observed at λ_{max} 690 nm with a lifetime of 6 ms (Fig. 2a and f). This red-shifted emission and prolonged lifetime are attributed to phosphorescence.²³ The





Aggregates are known to facilitate intersystem crossing due to reduced $\Delta E_{\text{S-T}}$, and this, in a few cases, has led to direct triplet emission.^{30,31} The time-resolved emission experiment was




Fig. 1 (a) Absorption and (b) emission ($\lambda_{\text{ex}} = 550 \text{ nm}$) spectra of the synthesized molecules in $2 \times 10^{-6} \text{ M}$ toluene solution and (c) the frontier molecular orbital diagrams representing the oscillator strength.

Table 1 Summarized optical data for all the synthesized molecules

Compound	$\lambda_{\text{max,abs}}$ [nm]	$\epsilon [\text{M}^{-1} \text{cm}^{-1}]$	$\lambda_{\text{max,em}}$ [nm]	Stokes shift [cm^{-1}]	FWHM [nm]	ϕ_{Fl}^a [%]	τ_{Fl} [ns]	$k_{\text{r,Fl}}$ (s^{-1})
TDI	653	123 000	666	300	30	27	3.2	2.2×10^8
TDI-sDBT	673	109 000	698	530	48	13	3.6	2.4×10^8
TDI-cDBT	609	59 000	620	290	25	41	6.0	1.6×10^8

^a Absolute fluorescence quantum yield.

performed for the aggregates to verify this. After an initial delay of 100 μs , the emission persisted with a longer lifetime of 1.8 ms at room temperature (Fig. 3c, S16 and S17[†]). This delayed emission

overlapped with the phosphorescence emission observed at low concentration at 77 K, revealing the possibility of RTP from the aggregated state (Fig. S9[†]). The emission intensity for the




Fig. 2 (a) Normalized fluorescence and phosphorescence emission at 77 K (initial delay = 1 ms), dotted lines represent the onset for both the bands; variable temperature (b) fluorescence emission, and (c) delayed emission (initial delay = 100 μ s); (d) time-resolved decay profile recorded at room temperature; (e) normalized delayed emission with variable temperature and initial delay; (f) time-resolved decay profile recorded at 77 K for TDI-cDBT. All measurements were performed for TDI-cDBT with a 550 nm excitation in 2×10^{-6} M toluene solution.




Fig. 3 (a) Concentration-dependent emission studies; (b) intensity ratio of aggregates upon monomer emission; (c) phosphorescence emission recorded with an initial delay of 100 μ s; (d) time-resolved decay profile recorded at 77 K, and the inset shows the one recorded at 298 K; plausible Jablonski diagram for TDI-cDBT (e) at dilute concentration (monomer); (f) at higher concentration (aggregate) for TDI-cDBT; all measurements are performed for TDI-cDBT with 550 nm excitation in 1×10^{-4} M toluene solution.

aggregates increased significantly at 77 K both in prompt and delayed emission, along with a prolonged lifetime of 8 ms (Fig. 3c and d). Unlike the monomer case, this increment in emission intensity at 77 K (Fig. S15, S17 and Table S2†) shows that the

origin of delayed emission from the aggregated state is phosphorescence and not TADF (Fig. 3f).³² Aggregation induced splitting of the excitonic bands acts as an energy trap and reduces the ΔE_{S-T} .^{30,31} This energetically favours ISC, leading to direct




Fig. 4 (a) Excitation, fluorescence emission, and phosphorescence (initial delay = 30 μ s) spectra at 298 K and (b) transient decay profile of TDI-cDBT in a neat film.

triplet emission. An identical behaviour is expected from the solid state as well, owing to the close packing of these fluorophores. The excitation and emission spectra obtained from neat films were in good agreement with the absorption and emission spectra obtained at higher concentrations in toluene solution, reiterating the aggregate origin at higher concentrations (Fig. 4a). The prolonged lifetime observed from the neat film (2.4 ms, Fig. 4b) and aggregated state (1.8 ms) are attributed to the room temperature phosphorescence.

Density functional theory calculations

Density functional theory (DFT) calculations were carried out to validate our experimental results and hypothesis. As expected, the SF-TDDFT calculations on **TDI-cDBT** supported the increased HOMO–LUMO gap compared to that of TDI (Fig. 1c, 3e, S22 and Table S6[†]). This resulted in enhanced radiative rates and increased quantum efficiency. The spin–orbit coupling matrix element calculated for S_1 and T_1 states were 0.22 cm^{-1} and 0.02 cm^{-1} for **TDI-cDBT** and **TDI**, respectively (Table S7[†]). Thus, the incorporation of sulphur has indeed helped in the enhancement of ISC compared to that of parent TDI.

Conclusion

In conclusion, a novel sulphur-embedded terrylene diimide with a wing-like structure having intense red emission has been

successfully obtained using a simple synthetic method in high yields. The π -expansion at the bay position helped to widen the bandgap and imparted structural rigidity, thus improving the radiative decay. The introduction of a donor–acceptor character between the heteroaromatic unit and TDI core further helped to reduce the $\Delta E_{S_1-T_1}$, favouring a TADF emission with a quantum yield of 88%. The problem of aggregation in rylene diimides became a boon for this molecule, resulting in RTP. Therefore, it can be highlighted that **TDI-cDBT** shows excellent TADF emission under dilute conditions, whereas it demonstrates phosphorescence at higher concentrations. Hence, rigidification of the TDI core, along with heteroatom incorporation, can be an efficient strategy to achieve molecules with switching between red TADF and near-IR RTP.

Data availability

Complete characterization, spectral, and crystallographic data along with computational details have been provided in the ESI.[†]

Author contributions

S. J. performed all the synthesis, characterization, and experimental work. S. J., K. S. M., and J. S. analysed the data and wrote the manuscript. P. K. M. and M. P. contributed to SCXRD experiments and structure refinement. S.S. contributed to SCXRD data collection and refinement at IISER Bhopal. M. D. and D. G. carried out the computational studies. J. S. supervised the project. All authors have given approval to the final version of the manuscript.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

S. J., S. S. and M. D. thank DST, New Delhi for the INSPIRE fellowship. K. S. M. thanks CSIR, New Delhi, for the SRF. The authors thank SERB, New Delhi (CRG/2020/004632) for the financial support. The authors thank Mr Giorgio Bais for technical support at the XRD1 beamline, Elettra Synchrotron. The work at Elettra, Italy, was funded by a grant from the Italian Ministry of Foreign Affairs and International Cooperation and DST, New Delhi. We thank Dr Ruchika Mishra for fruitful suggestions.

Notes and references

- (a) U. Mahmood and R. Weissleder, *Mol. Cancer Ther.*, 2003, **2**, 489–496; (b) A. J. Wilson, D. Devasia and P. K. Jain, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2020, **49**, 6087–6112; (c) P. Bon and L. Cognet, *ACS Photonics*, 2022, **9**, 2538–2546; (d) X. Zhen, Y. Tao, Z. An, P. Chen, C. Xu, R. Chen, W. Huang and K. Pu, *Adv. Mater.*, 2017, **29**, 1606665; (e) T. Wu, J. Huang and Y. Yan, *Cell Rep.*, 2022, **3**, 100771; (f) S. Yoon, S. Y. Cheon, S. Park,



- D. Lee, Y. Lee, S. Han, M. Kim and H. Koo, *Biomater. Res.*, 2022, **26**, 57.
- 2 (a) Y. Shirota and H. Kageyama, *Chem. Rev.*, 2007, **107**, 953–1010; (b) G. Hong, X. Gan, C. Leonhardt, Z. Zhang, J. Seibert, J. M. Busch and S. Bräse, *Adv. Mater.*, 2021, **33**, 2005630; (c) J. Li, T. Nakagawa, J. MacDonald, Q. Zhang, H. Nomura, H. Miyazaki and C. Adachi, *Adv. Mater.*, 2013, **25**, 3319–3323.
- 3 (a) Z. Shen, P. E. Burrows, V. Bulovic, S. R. Forrest and M. E. Thompson, *Science*, 1997, **276**, 2009–2011; (b) C. D. Müller, A. Falcou, N. Reckefuss, M. Rojahn, V. Wiederhorn, P. Rudati, H. Frohne, O. Nuyken, H. Becker and K. Meerholz, *Nature*, 2003, **421**, 829–833; (c) M. Vasilopoulou, D. G. Georgiadou, A. Soultati, A. M. Douvas, G. Papadimitropoulos, D. Davazoglou, G. Pistolis, N. A. Stathopoulos, T. Kamalakis, D. Alexandropoulos, N. Vainos, C. T. Politi, L. C. Palilis, S. Couris, A. G. Coutsolelos and P. Argyitis, *Microelectron. Eng.*, 2015, **145**, 21–28.
- 4 (a) Y. Yuan, Y. Hu, Y.-X. Zhang, J.-D. Lin, Y.-K. Wang, Z.-Q. Jiang, L.-S. Liao and S.-T. Lee, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2017, **27**, 1700986; (b) J. H. Kim, J. H. Yun and J. Y. Lee, *Adv. Opt. Mater.*, 2018, **6**, 1800255.
- 5 (a) S. D. Cummings and R. Eisenberg, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1996, **118**, 1949; (b) J. V. Caspar, E. M. Kober, B. P. Sullivan and T. J. Meyer, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1982, **104**, 630.
- 6 (a) F. B. Dias, K. N. Bourdakos, V. Jankus, K. C. Moss, K. T. Kamtekar, V. Bhalla, J. Santos, M. R. Bryce and A. P. Monkman, *Adv. Mater.*, 2013, **25**, 3707–3714; (b) A. Endo, K. Sato, K. Yoshimura, T. Kai, A. Kawada, H. Miyazaki and C. Adachi, *Appl. Phys. Lett.*, 2011, **98**, 083302.
- 7 P. Data, P. Pander, M. Okazaki, Y. Takeda, S. Minakata and A. P. Monkman, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2016, **55**, 5739–5744.
- 8 K. R. Naveen, K. P. CP, R. Braveenth and J. H. Kwon, *Chem.–Eur. J.*, 2022, **28**, e202103532.
- 9 (a) F. Holtrup, G. Müller, H. Quante, S. de Feyter, F. C. De Schryver and K. Müllen, *Chem.–Eur. J.*, 1997, **3**, 219–225; (b) L. Chen, C. Li and K. Müllen, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2014, **2**, 1938–1956; (c) K. Peneva, G. Mihov, F. Nolde, S. Rocha, J.-i. Hotta, K. Braeckmans, J. Hofkens, H. Uji-i, A. Herrmann and K. Müllen, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2008, **47**, 3372–3375.
- 10 B. Pigulski, K. Shoyama and F. Würthner, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2020, **59**, 15908–15912.
- 11 N.-H. Xie, C. Li, J.-X. Liu, W.-L. Gong, B. Z. Tang, G. Li and M.-Q. Zhu, *Chem. Commun.*, 2016, **52**, 5808–5811.
- 12 Y. Zhang, H. Zhou, X. Wang, X. Li, J. Wei, Y. Qiao, Y. Song and B. Gao, *Chem. Commun.*, 2021, **57**, 651–654.
- 13 (a) R. Regar, K. S. Mehra, R. Bhowal and J. Sankar, *Eur. J. Org. Chem.*, 2019, **2019**, 6278–6284; (b) S. Jha, K. S. Mehra, A. Hasija, D. Chopra, R. Regar and J. Sankar, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2022, **87**, 3770–3774.
- 14 K. S. Mehra, S. Jha, S. Bhandary, D. Mandal, R. Mishra and J. Sankar, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2022, **61**, e202205600.
- 15 M. A. Baldo, D. F. O'Brien, Y. You, A. Shoustikov, S. Sibley, M. E. Thompson and S. R. Forrest, *Nature*, 1998, **395**, 151–154.
- 16 (a) B. Ventura, H. Langhals, B. Böck and L. Flamigni, *Chem. Commun.*, 2012, **48**, 4226–4228; (b) A. J. Tilley, R. D. Pensack, T. S. Lee, B. Djukic, G. D. Scholes and D. S. Seferos, *J. Phys. Chem. C*, 2014, **118**, 9996–10004; (c) K. Nagarajan, A. R. Mallia, K. Muraleedharan and M. Hariharan, *Chem. Sci.*, 2017, **8**, 1776–1782; (d) N. Liang, G. Liu, D. Hu, K. Wang, Y. Li, T. Zhai, X. Zhang, Z. Shuai, H. Yan, J. Hou and Z. Wang, *Adv. Sci.*, 2022, **9**, 2103975.
- 17 K. S. Mehra, S. Jha, A. M. Menon, D. Chopra and J. Sankar, *Chem. Sci.*, 2023, **14**, 3147–3153.
- 18 (a) J. S. Wilson, N. Chawdhury, M. R. A. Al-Mandhary, M. Younus, M. S. Khan, P. R. Raithby, A. Köhler and R. H. Friend, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2001, **123**, 9412–9417; (b) H. Uoyama, K. Goushi, K. Shizu, H. Nomura and C. Adachi, *Nature*, 2012, **492**, 234–238; (c) Q. Zhang, H. Kuwabara, W. J. Potscavage, S. Huang, Y. Hatae, T. Shibata and C. Adachi, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2014, **136**, 18070–18081.
- 19 M. Adachi and Y. Nagao, *Chem. Mater.*, 2001, **13**, 662–669.
- 20 (a) T. Wang, J. De, S. Wu, A. K. Gupta and E. Z. Colman, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2022, **61**, e202206681; (b) R. Ahmed and A. K. Manna, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 2022, **157**, 214301–214308; (c) M. A. El-Sayed, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 1963, **38**, 2834.
- 21 (a) M. G. Kim, S. K. Jeon, S. H. Hwang and J. Y. Lee, *Adv. Mater.*, 2015, **27**, 2515; (b) J. Lee, K. Shizu, H. Tanaka, H. Nomura, T. Yasuda and C. Adachi, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2013, **1**, 4599.
- 22 J. Luo, X. Xu, R. Mao and Q. Miao, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2012, **134**, 13796–13803.
- 23 (a) Y.-L. Zhang, Q. Ran, Q. Wang, Y. Liu, C. Hänisch, S. Reineke, J. Fan and L.-S. Liao, *Adv. Mater.*, 2019, **31**, 1902368; (b) C. Si, T. Wang, A. K. Gupta, D. B. Cordes, A. M. Z. Slawin, J. S. Siegel and E. Z. Colman, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2023, **62**, e202309718.
- 24 (a) J. Fan, Y. Xu, N. Li, J. Miao, C. Zhou, T. Liu, M. Zhu and X. Yin, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2022, **10**, 17059–17065; (b) C. Adachi, G. Xie, S. Reineke and E. Z. Colman, *Front. Chem.*, 2020, **8**, 625910.
- 25 (a) A. Endo, M. Ogasawara, A. Takahashi, D. Yokoyama, Y. Kato and C. Adachi, *Adv. Mater.*, 2009, **21**, 4802–4806; (b) C. A. M. Salla, G. Farias, M. RouziHres, P. Dechambenoit, F. Durola, H. Bock, B. de Souza and I. H. Bechtold, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2019, **58**, 6982–6986.
- 26 (a) B. Xu, Y. Mu, Z. Mao, Z. Xie, H. Wu, Y. Zhang, C. Jin, Z. Chi, S. Liu, J. Xu, Y.-C. Wu, P.-Y. Lu, A. Lienc and M. R. Bryce, *Chem. Sci.*, 2016, **7**, 2201–2206; (b) Y. Yang, Y. Liang, Y. Zheng, J.-A. Li, S. Wu, H. Zhang, T. Huang, S. Luo, C. Liu, G. Shi, F. Sun, Z. Chi and B. Xu, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2022, **61**, e202201820.
- 27 (a) F. Ni, Z. Zhu, X. Tong, M. Xie, Q. Zhao, C. Zhong, Y. Zou and C. Yang, *Chem. Sci.*, 2018, **9**, 6150–6155; (b) X.-Y. Wang, J. Gong, H. Zou, S. H. Liu and J. Zhang, *Aggregate*, 2023, **4**, e252; (c) Z. Wu, F. Dinkelbach, F. Kerner, A. Friedrich, L. Ji, V. Stepanenko, F. Würthner, C. M. Marian and T. B. Marder, *Chem.–Eur. J.*, 2022, **28**, e202200525; (d) C. Si, D. Sun and E. Z. Colman, *ChemRxiv*, 2023, preprint, DOI: [10.26434/chemrxiv-2023-klmf](https://doi.org/10.26434/chemrxiv-2023-klmf).



- 28 (a) F. C. Spano, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2010, **43**, 429–439; (b) C. Kaufmann, W. Kim, A. Nowak-Król, Y. Hong, D. Kim and F. Würthner, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2018, **140**, 4253–4258; (c) Z. Chen, V. Stepanenko, V. Dehm, P. Prins, L. D. A. Siebbeles, J. Seibt, P. Marquetand, V. Engel and F. Würthner, *Chem.–Eur. J.*, 2007, **13**, 436–449.
- 29 (a) J. M. Lim, P. Kim, M.-C. Yoon, J. Sung, V. Dehm, Z. Chen, F. Würthner and D. Kim, *Chem. Sci.*, 2013, **4**, 388–397; (b) R. F. Fink, J. Seibt, V. Engel, M. Renz, M. Kaupp, S. Lochbrunner, H.-M. Zhao, J. Pfister, F. Würthner and B. Engels, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2008, **130**, 12858–12859.
- 30 (a) E. G. McRae and M. Kasha, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 1958, **28**, 721; (b) G. S. Levinson, W. T. Simpson and W. Curtis, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1957, **79**(16), 4314–4320.
- 31 (a) A. Forni, E. Lucenti, C. Botta and E. Cariati, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2018, **6**, 4603–4626; (b) A. D. Nidhankar, Goudappagouda, V. C. Wakchaure and S. S. Babu, *Chem. Sci.*, 2021, **12**, 4216–4236.
- 32 N. Jiang, G.-F. Li, B.-H. Zhang, D.-X. Zhu, Z.-M. Su and M. R. Bryce, *Macromolecules*, 2018, **51**, 4178–4184.

