Infrared photoinduced electrochemiluminescence microscopy of single cells†
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Electrochemiluminescence (ECL) is evolving rapidly from a purely analytical technique into a powerful microscopy. Herein, we report the imaging of single cells by photoinduced ECL (PECL; λenr = 620 nm) stimulated by an incident near-infrared light (λexc = 1050 nm). The cells were grown on a metal–insulator–semiconductor (MIS) n-Si/SiO\textsubscript{2}/Ir photoanode that exhibited stable and bright PECL emission. The large anti-Stokes shift allowed for the recording of well-resolved images of cells with high sensitivity. PECL microscopy is demonstrated at a remarkably low onset potential of 0.8 V; this contrasts with classic ECL, which is blind at this potential. Two imaging modes are reported: (i) photoinduced positive ECL (PECL\textsuperscript{+}), showing the cell membranes labeled with the [Ru(bpy)\textsubscript{3}]\textsuperscript{2+} complex; and (ii) photoinduced shadow label-free ECL (PECL\textsuperscript{−}) of cell morphology, with the luminophore in the solution. Finally, by adding a new dimension with the near-infrared light stimulus, PECL microscopy should find promising applications to image and study single photoactive nanoparticles and biological entities.

Introduction

Electrochemiluminescence (ECL) is a well-known phenomenon of light emission generated by an electrochemical reaction in the vicinity of the electrode surface.† In an aqueous environment, it involves a highly exergonic electron-transfer reaction between a luminophore and the electrogenerated radicals of a sacrificial co-reactant, populating the excited state of the luminophore that relaxes to the ground state by emitting a photon. In simple terms, in ECL technologies, an electrical signal is converted into an optical signal. Thanks to its high sensitivity, spatial and temporal resolution, and near-zero background, ECL has become an important tool in medical diagnosis or clinical immunoassays. The most efficient and most used ECL system comprises the tris(2,2′-bipyridine) ruthenium(II) complex ([Ru(bpy)\textsubscript{3}]\textsuperscript{2+}) and tri-n-propylamine (TPrA), which act as the luminophore and the co-reactant, respectively. With this tandem system, bright ECL emission is generated during oxidation in a neutral aqueous solution (pH = 7.4). Since ECL provides an optical readout, in the last decade, it has evolved into a powerful technique for microscopy applications, allowing for the study of micro/nanoparticles and biological entities such as cells or organelles. Two main imaging approaches have been developed: (i) positive ECL (ECL\textsuperscript{+}) with a light-emitting object, which directly generates ECL or is labeled with an ECL tag, on a dark background; and (ii) shadow or negative label-free ECL (ECL\textsuperscript{−}), which is based on a non-ECL emissive object hindering the ECL reagents diffusion and thus shadowing the ECL background. Both provide complementary information about the imaged object. In the latter configuration, Su and co-workers described the ECL imaging of fingerprints and cell motion. To pursue the quest for higher sensitivity, single photons and single biomolecules were imaged at the level of cells, organelles or bacteria by developing original approaches and specifically tailored nanomaterials. Feng and co-workers reported a direct optical method for imaging single ECL photons generated by individual electron-transfer reaction events in solution. They extended their original approach to image cells and nanoparticles with remarkable optical resolution. Liu and co-workers reported the imaging of single biomolecules at the cell membrane level with ECL nano-emitters and their motion tracking.

A different ECL approach has been developed first in organic solvents and more recently in water by photo-stimulating the ECL emission at depleted semiconductors (SCs). It can be considered counter-intuitive because, contrary to classical ECL, where the experiments are performed in the dark, this approach, named photoinduced electrochemiluminescence (PECL), requires an incident light (λexc). The photogenerated charges react with the ECL reagents dissolved in the solution.
and produce in fine the ECL emission. This means that the SC working electrode acts as a light absorber ($\lambda_{\text{exc}}$) and a light emitter ($\lambda_{\text{em}}$). More precisely, the absorption of a photon with an energy higher than the bandgap of the SC results in the creation of electron/hole (e$^-$/h$^+$) pairs. The e$^-$ is promoted to the CB, and the electronic vacancy h$^+$ is left in the depletion region of the VB and driven to the SC/electrolyte interface, generating a photovoltage and decreasing the required potential to trigger the anodic ECL reactions. According to the choice of the SC material and of the ECL system, Stokes and anti-Stokes PECL can be triggered. The first can be compared to photoluminescence (PL), where a photon of higher energy induces the emission of a photon of lower energy with a Stokes shift ($\lambda_{\text{exc}} < \lambda_{\text{em}}$). Conceptually, it is reminiscent of a light downconversion process, even if it requires electrochemical reactions to trigger ECL. The latter mechanism induces the light upconversion with an anti-Stokes shift ($\lambda_{\text{exc}} > \lambda_{\text{em}}$) and has the benefit of avoiding employing harmful incident light such as UV light for microscopy applications. Overall, both types of PECL conversion need the imposition of a potential on the SC. Generally, ECL requires a relatively high onset potential, above 1 V for the [Ru(bpy)$_3$]$_{2}^{2-}$-TPrA system (all potentials in this article are vs. Ag/AgCl). Thanks to the photovoltage generated inside the SC, PECL also has the advantage of decreasing the potential required to emit ECL. The photovoltage is a consequence of a Fermi level mismatch between the n-doped SC and its contacting phase, resulting in a depletion region and a built-in potential on the SC surface. Under illumination, the SC absorbs light, which creates e$^-$/h$^+$ pairs. Due to the built-in potential in the depletion region, the concentration of h$^+$ increases at the solid/liquid interface. The photogenerated minority carriers (h$^+$) are transferred at the SC/electrolyte interface and can trigger the ECL reaction. PECL has been used to photoaddress charge transfer at a local level and therefore to partially activate the electrode, either with the incident light or with the use of a heterogeneous electrode. Recently, Xu and co-workers imaged the activity of single gold nanoparticles on a TiO$_2$ surface using PECL, and our group investigated the local reactivity of Ir conductors on Si/SiO$_x$ using PECL microscopy. To the best of our knowledge, PECL microscopy has not been reported so far for biological samples.

Here, we report the development of PECL microscopy for visualizing single Chinese hamster ovary cells (CHO-K1) using the model [Ru(bpy)$_3$]$_{2}^{2-}$-TPrA system. A red ECL emission ($\lambda_{\text{em}} = 620$ nm) is achieved by irradiating an n-type silicon (Si) photoanode with a near-infrared incident light ($\lambda_{\text{exc}} = 1050$ nm) in back-illumination. In this work, we selected the near-infrared excitation light at 1050 nm because it allows for a high penetration depth inside Si and a very important anti-Stokes shift of $-430$ nm (versus $\lambda_{\text{em}} = 620$ nm), making it easy to separate both wavelengths for cell imaging. PECL microscopy was demonstrated in both positive and shadow imaging modes (Fig. 1). Combining PECL with bio-imaging opens new routes for photoinduced and localized microscopy of single biological entities.

Results and discussion

Si was chosen for PECL microscopy because of its abundance and its narrow bandgap ($E_g = 1.12$ eV, i.e., 1107 nm), which enables large anti-Stokes shifts and thus easy separation of excitation ($\lambda_{\text{exc}} = 1050$ nm) and PECL wavelength ($\lambda_{\text{em}} = 620$ nm). This is crucial for the present application because excitation light should not affect the output optical signal during bio-imaging experiments. This near-infrared excitation wavelength was also selected because it ensures deep photon penetration within Si ($a^{-1} \approx 0.6$ mm), which is important to favor the presence of photogenerated carriers at the photoanode front-side (in the depletion region) and, thus, the participation of photogenerated holes in the interfacial ECL reactions. To avoid photo-passivation of Si in water, it was protected by a 1.5 nm-thick SiO$_x$ layer (made by chemical oxidation) and a 2 nm-thick (sputtered) Ir thin film to form a metal-insulator-semiconductor (MIS) n-Si/SiO$_x$/Ir junction as shown in Fig. 1. This structure has proved to provide the longest PECL stability with a bright ECL emission and cell growth comparable to carbon or glass substrates. The preparation of n-Si/SiO$_x$/Ir has been fully detailed in our previous reports.

To check that the detected light was generated through the interfacial transfer of photogenerated holes, we investigated the electrochemical and ECL properties of a moderately doped (photoactive) n-Si/SiO$_x$/Ir SC anode dedicated to PECL and compared it with a highly doped degenerated (non-photoactive) p++-Si/SiO$_x$/Ir anode active in the dark, as for classical ECL. The electrochemical and ECL behaviors of the electrodes were investigated by cyclic voltammetry (Fig. 2). Experiments were performed in a PBS (0.65 M, pH 7.4) solution containing 30 μM [Ru(bpy)$_3$]$_{2}^{2-}$ and 0.1 M TPrA. As expected, neither a current nor an ECL signal were detected for n-Si/SiO$_x$/Ir in the dark, indicating its rectifying nature. In contrast, under 1050 nm illumination, the n-Si/SiO$_x$/Ir electrode produced a photocurrent, meaning that the electrochemical reactions were exclusively induced by photogenerated charges. It is interesting to note that oxidation reactions on n-Si/SiO$_x$/Ir started at 0.4 V, whereas ECL was emitted only for potentials higher than 0.65 V. This means that TPrA was first oxidized, but ECL emission occurred only with the concomitant oxidation of [Ru(bpy)$_3$]$_{2}^{2-}$, as shown in a previous study of PECL with these electrodes. Similar behavior was observed for the p++-Si/SiO$_x$/Ir electrode. In this case, the current density and the ECL intensity are higher than in the PECL configuration because, in the latter case, they depend on the incident photon density and the photoconversion efficiency of Si at $\lambda_{\text{exc}} = 1050$ nm. The plateau observed at n-Si/SiO$_x$/Ir indicates that, in these conditions, the reaction is limited by the density of absorbed photons. Conversely, the p++-Si/SiO$_x$/Ir electrode does not show a plateau as seen on the on n-Si/SiO$_x$/Ir curve because the reactions are not light-limited. The photoactivity is confirmed by the onset potential for ECL generation that is shifted by $-296$ mV compared to the p++-Si/SiO$_x$/Ir electrode, as was the case in our previous report.
1050 nm triggers PECL emission (Ru(bpy)$_3^{2+}$ and 0.1 M TPrA). Scan rate: 50 mV s$^{-1}$.

These results show that potentials higher than 0.7 V are sufficient to generate PECL emission. Thus, we selected a potential of 0.8 V for further PECL microscopy experiments on cells.

This data demonstrates that the excitation stimulus at $\lambda_{exc} = 1050$ nm triggers PECL emission ($\lambda_{em} = 620$ nm) at n-Si/SiO$_2$/Ir. The anti-Stokes shift of $\Delta 430$ nm is large enough to allow for efficient filtering of the near-infrared excitation wavelength, thus easily discriminating the PECL signal from the incident near-infrared light (Fig. 3). Therefore, it enables microscopy experiments on cells using the PECL emission from [Ru(bpy)$_3^{2+}$]-TPrA. Moreover, the stability of these electrodes demonstrated in a recent report, with a bright PECL emission for at least 35 h, makes them suitable for microscopy applications.\(^9\) Next, the n-Si/SiO$_2$/Ir photoanodes were tested for imaging CHO-K1 cells using both PECL$^+$ and PECL$^-$ and compared to the PL and ECL images recorded with their corresponding but non-photoactive p$^+$-Si/SiO$_2$/Ir anodes.

CHO-K1 cells were grown on both n-Si/SiO$_2$/Ir and p$^+$-Si/SiO$_2$/Ir photoanodes. Cell growth on the sputtered Ir thin film was comparable to a glassy carbon electrode or a glass substrate, in good agreement with previously reported results.\(^5\) The cells were imaged on an inverted epifluorescence microscope in two ways: PECL$^+$ and PECL$^-$, with a three-electrode set-up mounted on a commercially available chamber (Idylle tech transfer platform, Fig. S1†). For the first set of imaging experiments, we tested the PECL$^+$ mode (Fig. 1a) with cells that were labeled using the [Ru(bpy)$_3^{2+}$] lumiphore bearing a streptavidin (SA@Ru).\(^6\) The cells were fixed and permeabilized with Triton X-100. This step enables the TPrA to diffuse through the membranes and to initiate the electro-chemical steps over the whole cell and not only on the cell periphery. As reported previously, the entire cell became visible by ECL with this procedure.\(^6\) Then, the cells were incubated with biotin X, which reacts with the primary amino groups of proteins. Biotinylation is a classical method to label cell proteins.\(^6\) After incubation with SA@Ru, the cell membranes were decorated with the ECL emitter. PECL$^+$ microscopy was performed in a commercially available ProCell buffer solution, which contains 0.18 M TPrA (Fig. 4). The direct oxidation of TPrA at the electrode surface generates the cation radical TPrA$^+$. This is followed by its fast deprotonation reaction, which gives the neutral radical TPrA$^0$.\(^7\) The SA@Ru labels located on the cell membranes react with both radicals, as demonstrated previously, and generate the excited state [Ru(bpy)$_3^{2+}$], which decays by emitting ECL locally.

Fig. 4 shows the PECL$^+$ study of the cells labeled with SA@Ru (Fig. 1a). The microscopic images (green false color; Fig. 4a and d) correspond to the PL of the [Ru(bpy)$_3^{2+}$] and so highlight the SA@Ru label localization. The entire cell is visible using PL. The pictures on the right (red false color; Fig. 4b and e) are the ECL
and PECL+ images recorded at 0.8 V. Green and red false colors have been selected to code the PL and ECL intensities of the images, respectively, but the same wavelength (i.e., 620 nm) is emitted by the SA@Ru in the PL, ECL or PECL modes. At this potential, the cells are not visible by classic ECL because TPRa and SA@Ru are not oxidized on the p++-Si/SiO₂/Ir electrodes (Fig. 2). The labeled cells become visible using ECL only at more anodic potentials, typically 1.2 V (Fig. S2†). In the PECL+ mode, one can see the whole cell on the n-Si/SiO₂/Ir electrode at 0.8 V under near-infrared illumination (Fig. 4e). On the one hand, this highlights an important advantage of the reported PECL+ approach, since it shows that lower potentials are required in comparison to classic ECL experiments. On the other hand, illumination of the biological samples is required. This is not an issue in the reported conditions since the cells were fixed, but potential phototoxicity effects should be considered in future research on PECL imaging, even if the illumination wavelength is in the near-infrared range. The control experiments of the n-type electrode recorded without near-infrared light at 0.8 V and under near-infrared light at open circuit potential did not show any PECL+ images in these conditions (Fig. S3†). The cells remain invisible in these experimental conditions. This demonstrates that the PECL+ images correspond to photo-generated h⁺ that oxidize TPRa and populate the excited state of the SA@Ru labels. Indeed, there is no PECL emission without the synergetic effect of incident near-infrared illumination and the imposition of a 0.8 V potential, meaning that both stimuli are required to produce PECL+ images. This is confirmed by examining the PL, ECL (Fig. 4c) and PECL+ (Fig. 4f) intensity profiles that were extracted along the axis depicted in Fig. S4.† The luminescence intensity profiles plotted in green for PL and in red for ECL (Fig. 4c) and PECL+ (Fig. 4f) confirm the sharp contrast between ECL and PECL+ for these conditions. As observed previously on a glassy carbon electrode in classic ECL mode, Fig. 4d and e shows that a significantly larger spatial extension of the cell membrane is visible by PECL+ when compared to PL. This confirms the surface-confined features of the PECL+ microscopy, which depends on the concentrations of both ECL reagents and their respective reactivity. This thin emitting layer improves the contrast in comparison to PL images. Finally, this set of microscopy experiments also proved the possibility of back-illumination with an MIS structure to record PECL+ images of the cells.

Finally, to complete this PECL study, we tested the second ECL imaging mode, i.e., the shadow PECL (PECL−) imaging of the cells on the n-Si/SiO₂/Ir electrode (Fig. 1b). The negative or shadow ECL mode is a label-free imaging method where ECL reagents (i.e., [Ru(bpy)]₃²⁺ and TPRa) are not covalently bonded to the cell and are dissolved in solution. This allows imaging of the morphology of the objects under investigation and the transport properties of the ECL reagents through it. The object, which is captured on the electrode surface, locally hinders the diffusion of both the luminophore and/or the co-reactant and thus partially or totally inhibits the initial electrochemical step and, thus, the eventual ECL generation. Therefore, the object is visible by a negative optical contrast: it appears dark on a bright luminescent background because stronger ECL intensity is produced at the bare electrode.

Herein, the cells were labeled with the calcein-AM dye. This is a standard procedure to discriminate between live and dead cells. Indeed, the hydrophobic AM (acetomethoxy) group makes it enter viable cells. Then, the acetoxyethoxy group is removed by the intracellular esterases, and the resulting green dye is trapped within the cell and fluoresces strongly. This labeling step simplifies the imaging process since it enables us to see them first by fluorescence (FL) and to compare the FL and the PECL− images. In addition, calcein-AM (λ₃₉ = 494 nm, λ₅₉ = 517 nm) did not interfere with the electrochemical reactions involved in ECL or with the near-infrared excitation and ECL wavelengths. The fixed and calcein-labeled cells were immersed in the PBS solution containing the freely diffusing [Ru(bpy)]₃²⁺ and TPRa (Fig. 1b). FL images of the calcein-AM labels define the cells’ shapes (Fig. 5a and d). The images depicted in Fig. 5b and e correspond to the ECL and PECL− signals, respectively. Here again, no ECL light was emitted at 0.8 V, showing that the potential was too low to enable ECL generation at the p⁺⁻-type anode. In contrast, PECL occurred at 0.8 V on a n-Si/SiO₂/Ir back-illuminated electrode, and it revealed the cells in a negative (or shadow) contrast. The intensity profiles of the cells (Fig. S6†) were extracted from the FL and ECL images (Fig. 5c) and PECL− (Fig. 5f). There was no signal in ECL, whereas a local decrease in intensity was observed in the PECL− configuration. The correlation between the positive FL and the negative PECL− information is better emphasized on the 3D images. This is shown by comparing Fig. 5g with Fig. 5h, which demonstrates that the 3D FL images of a typical single cell have the same but inverted shape as the PECL intensity. As in the PECL+ mode, the control experiment of the n-type electrode without near-infrared back-illumination at 0.8 V and under near-infrared light at open circuit potential did not show any PECL in these conditions (Fig. S7†). However, the cells’ morphology remains visible by classical ECL on a p⁺⁻-type electrode at 1.2 V (Fig. S7†).
control of imaging with the incident near-infrared light has a strong potential in the microscopy field considering the large penetration depth of these wavelengths in biological samples. Finally, PECL microscopy adds a new dimension to the ECL toolbox with the tunable incident illumination, opening new possibilities for local photo-addressing of the electrode and for imaging biological samples.
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