# Chemical Science

## EDGE ARTICLE

Check for updates

Cite this: Chem. Sci., 2024, 15, 1752

All publication charges for this article have been paid for by the Royal Society of Chemistry

Received 21st October 2023 Accepted 21st December 2023

DOI: 10.1039/d3sc05616c

rsc.li/chemical-science

#### Introduction

Fluorine-containing functional groups are often incorporated within medicinally-relevant compounds to modulate molecular properties, including metabolic stability, lipophilicity, stereo-electronics, and affinity to biological targets.<sup>1,2</sup> In addition to these general features, difluoromethylene units ( $-CF_2-$ ) are considered as bioisosteres of oxygen atoms in ether, carbonyl, and sulfonyl groups.<sup>3</sup> For example, within a series of ledipasvir (GS-5885) variants that feature an Ar-X-Ar linkage, highest activity was found when X = CF<sub>2</sub>, compared to -O- or  $-CH_2-$  analogues.<sup>4</sup> Despite their potential advantages, molecules containing Ar-CF<sub>2</sub>-R and Ar-CF<sub>2</sub>-Ar linkages are not common in commercial pharmaceuticals, likely due to limited synthetic pathways for direct  $-CF_2-R$  incorporation.

Metal-free routes to prepare Ar–CF<sub>2</sub>–alkyl and Ar–CF<sub>2</sub>–Ar linkages include radical difluorination of C–H bonds using fluorine atom transfer reagents (*e.g.* Selectfluor),<sup>5,6</sup> or fluorodeoxygenation of ketones using trifluorosulfuranes (*e.g.* DAST; Et<sub>2</sub>NSF<sub>3</sub>)<sup>7,8</sup> and defluorinative functionalization.<sup>9–13</sup> Many of these strategies require reagents that are toxic, explosive,<sup>14</sup> and of limited scope, which lessen their synthetic utility.<sup>15</sup> Metal-catalyzed routes include reactions of aryl/alkyl boronic acids with ArCF<sub>2</sub>X; (X = halide, amides),<sup>16–19</sup> and recently,

### A metal-free strategy to construct fluoroalkylolefin linkages using fluoroalkanes†

Kaushik Chakrabarti,<sup>a</sup> Michael M. Wade Wolfe,<sup>a</sup> Shuo Guo, <sup>b</sup> Joseph W. Tucker,<sup>c</sup> Jisun Lee<sup>b</sup><sup>c</sup> and Nathaniel K. Szymczak<sup>b</sup>\*<sup>a</sup>

We present a metal-free strategy to access fluoroalkyl–olefin linkages from fluoroalkane precursors and vinyl-pinacol boronic ester (BPin) reagents. This reaction sequence is templated by the boron reagent, which induces C–C bond formation upon oxidation. We developed this strategy into a one-pot synthetic protocol using RCF<sub>2</sub>H precursors directly with vinyl-BPin reagents in the presence of a Brønsted base, which tolerated oxygen- and nitrogen-containing heterocycles, and aryl halogens. We also found that HCF<sub>3</sub> (HCF-23; a byproduct of the Teflon industry) and  $CH_2F_2$  (HCF-32; a low-cost refrigerant) are amenable to this protocol, representing distinct strategies to generate RCF<sub>2</sub>H and RCF<sub>3</sub> molecules. Finally, we demonstrate that the vinyldifluoromethylene products can be readily derivatized, representing an avenue for late-stage modification after installing the fluoroalkyl unit.

reactions of ArCF<sub>2</sub>SiMe<sub>3</sub> with aryl halides.<sup>20</sup> However, limitations of these approaches include: (a) low availability of ArCF<sub>2</sub>X electrophiles and pronucleophiles, and (b) low compatibility of procedures needed to prepare the requisite reagents.<sup>9,21-24</sup>

Difluoromethanes (RCF<sub>2</sub>H; R = H, R = Ar) are widely available pronucleophiles<sup>25</sup> that can be unmasked by deprotonation,<sup>26</sup> and represent an attractive entry point to access R–CF<sub>2</sub>–R' molecules. A key challenge to broad use of RCF<sub>2</sub>H compounds as synthons is that upon deprotonation, RCF<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> anions decompose to fluorocarbenes *via* fluoride elimination, even at ambient temperatures.<sup>27</sup> To overcome this deleterious pathway, our group developed a strategy to use Lewis acids (*e.g.* hexamethylborazine; B<sub>3</sub>N<sub>3</sub>Me<sub>6</sub>) to stabilize RCF<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> anions against defluorination.<sup>28–33</sup>

One class of fluorinated compounds that is underdeveloped is aryl/alkyl difluoromethylene containing internal olefins.34 These moieties are an attractive functionality in medicinal chemistry, and are present within marketed drugs such as tafluprost and glecaprevir (Fig. 1a).<sup>35,36</sup> Such motifs are particularly desirable not only because fluorine atoms may improve metabolic stability and/or binding affinity with the target of interest,<sup>37</sup> but also because they are amenable to late-stage functionalization.<sup>38,39</sup> This latter feature exploits an olefin as a functional handle to build molecular complexity and/or as a branch point for structure-activity relationship studies in drug discovery. Unfortunately, there are limited synthetic strategies to access vinyldifluoromethylene linkages and most proceed by coupling  $RCF_2Br$  (R = aryl, alkyl, ester) electrophiles with either alkane, alkyne, or aryl nucleophiles (Fig. 1b).40-49 Alternatively, RCF2-internal olefins have been prepared photochemically via either Ru or Ni based catalytic systems.<sup>50,51</sup> Although promising, all these strategies require electrophilic



View Article Online

View Journal | View Issue

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup>Department of Chemistry, University of Michigan, 930 N. University, Ann Arbor, Michigan 48109, USA. E-mail: nszym@umich.edu

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>b</sup>College of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Inner Mongolia University, Hohhot 010021, P.R. China

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>c</sup>Medicine Design, Pfizer Inc., Eastern Point Rd, Groton, CT, 06340, USA

<sup>†</sup> Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. CCDC 2299679. For ESI and crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: https://doi.org/10.1039/d3sc05616c



Fig. 1 (a) Vinyldifluoromethylene containing drugs. (b) Prior strategies to construct vinyldifluoromethylene motifs. (c) Our approach: Zweifel olefination using fluoroalkanes.

RCF<sub>2</sub>Br as RCF<sub>2</sub>– sources, whose preparation by radical bromination is incompatible with many substrate classes.<sup>21,22</sup> Defluoroalkylation of trifluoromethyl alkenes is an alternative approach to access vinyldifluormethylene linkages.<sup>52–56</sup>

Zweifel olefination represents an attractive metal-free route to construct a vinylic C-C bond.57-59 This reaction sequence proceeds through an anionic vinyl borate intermediate. Oxidation induces 1,2-rearrangement, and addition of an alkoxide base leads to a product containing a new C-C bond. We hypothesized that if the key anionic vinyl borate intermediate could be accessed by deprotonating RCF<sub>2</sub>H molecules, subsequent oxidation would provide  $\alpha, \alpha$ -difluoroalkylated vinyl compounds. Our group previously established that fluoroalkyl  $B_3N_3Me_6$  adducts  $[RCF_2B_3N_3Me_6]^-$  can transfer the  $RCF_2^$ group to stronger Lewis acids such as B(OMe)3.26,29,30,33 Given the similar Lewis acidity of R-BPin to B(OMe)<sub>3</sub>,<sup>60,61</sup> we hypothesized that  $[(R)(ArCF_2)BPin]^-$  could be formed analogously,<sup>62</sup> and if R = vinyl, the borate intermediate would be uniquely situated for a net Zweifel olefination reaction to form  $\alpha, \alpha$ -difluoroalkylated olefin products (Fig. 1c).

#### **Results and discussion**

To establish feasibility of fluoroalkyl transfer to R-BPin, we allowed an equimolar mixture of  $[K(18\text{-}crown-6)(B_3N_3Me_6\text{-}CF_2Ph)]$  (1) and Ph-BPin (2a) to react in tetrahydrofuran solvent

for 1.5 h at 50 °C. The reaction furnished [K(18-*c*-6)(Ph-BPin-CF<sub>2</sub>Ph)] (**2b**) quantitatively (Fig. 2) as assessed by <sup>1</sup>H, <sup>13</sup>C, <sup>19</sup>F, <sup>11</sup>B NMR and ESI-MS analyses (<sup>11</sup>B: 3.95 ppm and <sup>19</sup>F: -104.21 ppm). The clean transfer of PhCF<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> from B<sub>3</sub>N<sub>3</sub>Me<sub>6</sub> to Ph-BPin demonstrates feasibility of the approach. To evaluate the Zweifel olefination sequence, we introduced **1** to vinyl-BPin **2c**. The reaction afforded adduct **2d** with 98% conversion, as assessed by <sup>19</sup>F and <sup>11</sup>B NMR spectroscopy (<sup>11</sup>B: 3.67 ppm and <sup>19</sup>F: -105.66 ppm), and was isolated as a white powder in 88% yield.

After achieving clean conversion to 2d above, we evaluated a one-pot Zweifel olefination sequence to form  $\alpha,\alpha$ -difluoroalkylated olefin (2e). Initial conditions using 1 equiv. I<sub>2</sub> and NaOMe at room temperature afforded 2e in 26% yield (ESI, Table S1,† entry 1). The identity of 2e was established by <sup>1</sup>H and <sup>19</sup>F NMR spectroscopy (<sup>1</sup>H NMR: vinyl hydrogen at 5.79– 5.82 ppm (m) and <sup>19</sup>F NMR: -95.41 ppm). The yield was increased to 40% by lowering the temperature to -78 °C prior to adding I<sub>2</sub> and NaOMe (ESI, Table S1,† entry 2). Importantly, increasing the stoichiometry of I<sub>2</sub> and NaOMe to 2 equiv. further improved the conversion to 94% (Fig. 2).

We assessed the reaction scope by varying the vinyl-BPin derivatives (Fig. 3, entries **3a–3i**). Aliphatic vinyl-BPin reagents generally responded well, with good yields (73–81%; Fig. 3, entries **3a–3c**). Dihydronaphthalene and 2*H*-chromene derivatives furnished 89 and 84% isolated yields for **3e** and **3g**, respectively. The latter substrate is of particular interest because chromene derivatives are an important class of heterocycles used in cosmetic agents, food additives, and biodegradable agrochemicals.<sup>63,64</sup> Acyclic vinyl-BPin reagents were also tolerated, affording **3d** (77%), **3f** (89%) and **3i** (94%) in good yields.

Although the reaction sequence provides high yields of  $PhCF_2$ -olefin products, one limitation to broad scope adoption is the requirement of  $B_3N_3Me_6$ . To overcome this requirement, we evaluated whether the use of  $B_3N_3Me_6$  could be eliminated in the Zweifel olefination sequence. Importantly, because **2c** is more Lewis acidic than  $B_3N_3Me_6$ , we hypothesized that it could effect the direct capture/olefination of  $PhCF_2^-$  following



Fig. 2  $\mbox{PhCF}_2^-$  transfer reactions to aryl and vinyl-BPin (top) and Zweifel olefination (bottom).



Fig. 3 Zweifel olefination scope by varying the vinyl-BPin reagents. R-BPin (0.1 mmol), [K(18-c-6)(B<sub>3</sub>N<sub>3</sub>Me<sub>6</sub>-CF<sub>2</sub>Ph)] (0.1 mmol), I<sub>2</sub> (0.2 mmol), NaOMe (0.2 mmol), THF (1.8 mL). <sup>19</sup>F NMR yields (isolated yields in parentheses).\*Only <sup>19</sup>F NMR yield acquired due to product volatility.

deprotonation of PhCF<sub>2</sub>H. To examine the feasibility of the onepot Zweifel olefination strategy, we evaluated a series of bases to deprotonate ArCF<sub>2</sub>H in the presence of **2c**. We optimized the formation of **2d** in a single step starting from **2c**, PhCF<sub>2</sub>H and base. In the absence of 18-crown-6, deprotonation of PhCF<sub>2</sub>H with 1.5 equiv.  $KN(^iPr)_2$  gave 95% conversion to **2d**, but for the tandem Zweifel reaction, only 27% yield of **2e** (Fig. 4, ESI Table S2†). However, adding 18-crown-6 after deprotonation enabled subsequent conversion to **2e** in 64% yield over two steps.

After demonstrating feasibility of the one-pot methodology, we assessed scope in both the vinyl-BPin, and fluoroalkyl component (Fig. 5). Although most of the previous scope in vinyl-BPin (Fig. 3) translated to the one-pot method, two substrates were incompatible (**3f** and **3a**), which we attribute to deleterious reactivity with  $KN(^{i}Pr)_{2}$ . Dihydronaphthalene and the 2*H*-chromene derivative responded well, providing isolated yields of 71% (**3e**) and 51% (**3g**). Vinyl-BPin reagents containing aliphatic functionality afforded moderate isolated yields (**2e** (56%) and **3b** (40%)). Styrenyl-BPin similarly afforded **3i** in 72% isolated yield.



Fig. 4 Strategy for the one-pot Zweifel olefination reaction by *in situ* deprotonation of PhCF<sub>2</sub>H with KN(<sup>*i*</sup>Pr)<sub>2</sub>. **2c** (0.06 mmol), KN(<sup>*i*</sup>Pr)<sub>2</sub> (0.09 mmol), 18-*c*-6 (0.06 mmol), I<sub>2</sub> (0.12 mmol), NaOMe (0.12 mmol), THF (1 mL). <sup>19</sup>F NMR yields (PhOCF<sub>3</sub> used as internal standard).



Fig. 5 Scope of one-pot Zweifel olefination reaction. BPin derivatives (0.26 mmol), KN( $^{\rm f}$ Pr)<sub>2</sub> (0.39 mmol), 18-c-6 (0.26 mmol) I<sub>2</sub> (0.52 mmol), NaOMe (0.52 mmol), THF (3 mL). <sup>19</sup>F NMR yields (PhOCF<sub>3</sub> used as internal standard). Isolated yields are in parentheses. \*5–8% hydrodebromination also observed.

Next, we explored the scope in pronucleophile by investigating difluoromethyl pyridine and difluoromethyl aryl bromide substrates. Pyridine units are an important structural motif in pharmaceutical and medicinal chemistry.65,66 We evaluated the reactivity of 3-(difluoromethyl)pyridine and 4-(difluoromethyl)pyridine with four representative vinyl-BPin substrates: (dihydronaphthalene, 2H-chromene, cyclohexenyl and styrenyl) (Fig. 5). They all furnished the Py-CF<sub>2</sub>-olefin products in 44-61% isolated yields (5a-5e). Finally, although aryl bromides generally have low compatibility with electrochemical and photoredox fluorination reactions,67,68 they were compatible with the one-pot Zweifel protocol. For example, 1bromo-4-(difluoromethyl)benzene and 1-bromo-3-(difluoromethyl)benzene substrates provided their respective products in 34-48% (5f-5h) isolated yield.

Our group previously demonstrated that hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs) can be used as low cost  $-CF_3$ , and  $-CF_2H$  sources,<sup>28-30</sup> representing a strategy to repurpose refrigerants or fluorinated waste products that are produced on a >15 kt scale.<sup>69,70</sup> Importantly, the use of  $CH_2F_2$  (HCF-32) as a fluoroalkyl precursor affords products that are also pro-nucleophiles, primed for subsequent

6

functionalization. We evaluated the feasibility of a one-pot difluoromethylation reaction using [K(18-c-6)(B<sub>2</sub>N<sub>3</sub>Me<sub>6</sub>-CF<sub>2</sub>H)] (6a) and vinyl-BPin derivatives. Although higher temperature (80 ° C) was required for -CF<sub>2</sub>H transfer, the previously optimized conditions for Zweifel olefination afforded 6b in 76% yield (Fig. 6, ESI Table S3<sup>†</sup>). We also evaluated the protocol using dihydronaphthalene and styrenyl BPin derivatives, which provided conversions of 72% and 68% for 6c and 6d, respectively (Fig. 6). We directly compared this approach to Me<sub>3</sub>Si-CF<sub>2</sub>H, a common -CF<sub>2</sub>H pronucleophile that requires activation by F<sup>-</sup> or OH<sup>-</sup>.<sup>71-73</sup> Addition of vinyl-BPin (2c) to a solution of Me<sub>3</sub>Si-CF<sub>2</sub>H activated with either [Me<sub>4</sub>N][OH] or [<sup>n</sup>Bu<sub>4</sub>N][F] did not afford <sup>19</sup>F NMR resonances consistent with the -CF<sub>2</sub>H analogue of 2d (see ESI, S75<sup>†</sup>), under similar conditions used with 6a (see ESI, Fig. S114 and S115<sup>†</sup>). These results highlight the challenge of selective nucleophilic fluoroalkyl transfer from R-SiMe3 reagents that contain more than one nucleophilic sites, and demonstrate a clear advantage for using -CF<sub>2</sub>R transfer reagents that do not need an activator.

Next, we examined the feasibility of the Zweifel olefination using other fluoroalkanes (HCF<sub>3</sub>, CH<sub>3</sub>CF<sub>2</sub>H, CF<sub>3</sub>CFH<sub>2</sub> and CF<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>3</sub>). We found that the HCF<sub>3</sub>-derived reagent, [(B<sub>3</sub>N<sub>3</sub>Me<sub>6</sub>-CF<sub>3</sub>)(K(18-*c*-6))], was readily adapted, affording the trifluoromethyl containing product, **6e**, in 65% isolated yield (Fig. 6c). When translating these conditions to fluoroethanes, we found that



**Fig. 6** (a) Zweifel olefination for the synthesis of vinyldifluoromethane molecules from CH<sub>2</sub>F<sub>2</sub>. (b) Scope of vinyldifluoromethanes, conditions: R-BPin (0.2 mmol), [K(18-*c*-6)(B<sub>3</sub>N<sub>3</sub>Me<sub>6</sub>-CF<sub>2</sub>H)] (0.2 mmol), I<sub>2</sub> (0.4 mmol), NaOMe (0.4 mmol), THF (4.0 mL). (c) Scope in fluoroalkanes. \*Only <sup>19</sup>F NMR yield acquired due to product volatility. <sup>19</sup>F NMR yields (isolated yields are in parentheses).



Fig. 7 Tolerance to ester, ketone and amide additives

although CH<sub>3</sub>CF<sub>2</sub>H and CF<sub>3</sub>CH<sub>3</sub> did not afford the olefination products, CF<sub>3</sub>CFH<sub>2</sub> furnished **6f** and **6g** with 17% and 14% yield as assessed by <sup>19</sup>F NMR spectroscopy. In these cases, β-fluoride elimination occurred at the first step (generating [(B<sub>3</sub>N<sub>3</sub>Me<sub>6</sub>-CF= CF<sub>2</sub>)(K(18-*c*-6))]), a species competent for the net Zweifel olefination (Fig. 6c, ESI S78–S80†). Finally, we attempted the direct capture/olefination of HCF<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> following deprotonation of CF<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub>. Deprotonation of CF<sub>2</sub>H<sub>2</sub> with 5 equiv. KN(<sup>*i*</sup>Pr)<sub>2</sub> gave 32% conversion to the vinyl boronate intermediate, that when subjected to NaOMe/I<sub>2</sub>, afforded 9% yield of **6d** over two steps (ESI S77†).

Given previous data showing rapid transfer of the  $\text{RCF}_2^-$  unit to carbonyl electrophiles,<sup>26</sup> we examined whether transfer to vinyl BPin may occur in the presence of another, competitive electrophile. We performed a series of competition experiments to examine reaction tolerance to carbonyl electrophiles as additives. The addition of esters and a ketone (benzyl benzoate, phenyl benzoate and benzophenone) provided low conversion to the final product (Fig. 7, 8%, 5% and 10%, respectively). However, the reaction tolerated an amide electrophile, *N*,*N*dimethylbenzamide, and we observed only 3% reduction in product formation (92% yield of **3e**) (Fig. 7, ESI S78†). These results demonstrate the feasibility of the reaction sequence with select competitive electrophiles.

We next investigated the feasibility of late-stage modifications of three representative Ar-CF<sub>2</sub>-olefins formed from the



Fig. 8 Further transformation of vinyldifluoromethylene products spanning oxidative, reductive and substitution chemistry. \*10% Z isomer also formed.

#### Chemical Science

Zweifel olefination sequence (Fig. 8). Hydrogenation of **3e** using Pd/C at 25 psi H<sub>2</sub> furnished **8a** in 84% isolated yield. A net dehydrogenation reaction was effected by treating **3e** with AIBN/NBS at room temperature, affording **8b** in 72% isolated yield. An epoxidation reaction of **3i** with m-CPBA in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> at 60 °C provided **8c** in 74% isolated yield. Reduction of **3f** *via* BH<sub>3</sub> hydroboration followed by oxidation furnished **8d** in 56% isolated yield. Finally, a defluorinative  $S_N2'$  reaction with <sup>*n*</sup>BuLi yielded the *E* isomer **8e** with 73% isolated yield (9 : 1 selectivity of *E/Z* alkenes).

#### Conclusion

In summary, we have developed a metal-free strategy to access vinyldifluoromethylene molecules from fluoroalkane precursors and vinyl-BPin reagents. Lewis acidic boranes stabilize and template  $\alpha, \alpha$ -difluoroalkyl- and vinylic-moieties, ultimately enabling C-C bond formation. This strategy was extended to a straightforward one-pot synthesis using RCF<sub>2</sub>H precursors and vinyl-BPin reagents. We showed that this reaction sequence can be used to generate RCF<sub>2</sub>H precursors directly from inexpensive CH<sub>2</sub>F<sub>2</sub> (HCF-32), which represents a new strategy to repurpose this underutilized synthon. Importantly, we demonstrated that this CF<sub>2</sub>H<sup>-</sup> reactivity is a unique application of the deprotonation approach, and not possible using the most common -CF<sub>2</sub>H transfer reagent: Me<sub>3</sub>Si-CF<sub>2</sub>H. Finally, we established that the vinyldifluoromethylene products can be readily derivatized, representing an avenue for late-stage modification of fluoroalkylated compounds.

#### Data availability

All relevant experimental data and characterization details are provided in the ESI.<sup>†</sup> Crystallographic data for compound **3e** has been deposited at the Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre (CCDC) under access number 2299679.

#### Author contributions

The manuscript was written through contributions of all authors. The project was designed by K. Chakrabarti, M. M. Wade Wolfe, S. Guo, and N. K. Szymczak. All optimizations, syntheses and characterizations were performed by K. Chakrabarti. J. W. Tucker and J. Lee provided insights related to project development. All authors have given approval to the final version of the manuscript.

### Conflicts of interest

NKS holds a patent relating to fluoroalkyl transfer reagents.

### Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the NSF (CHE 1955284). We thank Dr Fengrui Qu for acquiring data for X-ray crystallography and Dr Russell Bornschein for Mass Spectrometry assistance. We thank Dr Daniel Beagan for assistance solving the crystal structure.

#### Notes and references

- 1 K. Müller, C. Faeh and F. Diederich, *Science*, 2007, **317**, 1881–1886.
- 2 M. Inoue, Y. Sumii and N. Shibata, ACS Omega, 2020, 5, 10633–10640.
- 3 Y. Zhou, J. Wang, Z. Gu, S. Wang, W. Zhu, J. L. Aceña,
  V. A. Soloshonok, K. Izawa and H. Liu, *Chem. Rev.*, 2016, 116, 422–518.
- 4 J. O. Link, J. G. Taylor, L. Xu, M. Mitchell, H. Guo, H. Liu, D. Kato, T. Kirschberg, J. Sun, N. Squires, J. Parrish, T. Keller, Z.-Y. Yang, C. Yang, M. Matles, Y. Wang, K. Wang, G. Cheng, Y. Tian, E. Mogalian, E. Mondou, M. Cornpropst, J. Perry and M. C. Desai, *J. Med. Chem.*, 2014, 57, 2033–2046.
- 5 S. P. Vincent, M. D. Burkart, C.-Y. Tsai, Z. Zhang and C.-H. Wong, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1999, **64**, 5264–5279.
- 6 P. T. Nyffeler, S. G. Durón, M. D. Burkart, S. P. Vincent and C.-H. Wong, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2005, 44, 192-212.
- 7 L. N. Markovskij, V. E. Pashinnik and A. V. Kirsanov, *Synthesis*, 1973, **1973**, 787–789.
- 8 J. Hu, W. Zhang and F. Wang, *Chem. Commun.*, 2009, 7465-7478.
- 9 K. Aikawa, K. Maruyama, J. Nitta, R. Hashimoto and K. Mikami, *Org. Lett.*, 2016, **18**, 3354–3357.
- 10 V. V. Levin, A. A. Zemtsov, M. I. Struchkova and A. D. Dilman, *Org. Lett.*, 2013, **15**, 917–919.
- 11 R. Gupta, A. K. Jaiswal, D. Mandal and R. D. Young, *Synlett*, 2020, **31**, 933–937.
- 12 D. Mandal, R. Gupta, A. K. Jaiswal and R. D. Young, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2020, 142, 2572–2578.
- 13 D. Mandal, R. Gupta and R. D. Young, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2018, 140, 10682–10686.
- 14 H. A. Tennekes, Toxicology, 2010, 276, 1-4.
- 15 F. S. Fawcett, C. W. Tullock and D. D. Coffman, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1962, **84**, 4275–4285.
- 16 Z. Feng, Q.-Q. Min, Y.-L. Xiao, B. Zhang and X. Zhang, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2014, **53**, 1669–1673.
- 17 J.-W. Gu, W.-H. Guo and X. Zhang, Org. Chem. Front., 2015, 2, 38–41.
- 18 Y.-C. Luo, F.-F. Tong, Y. Zhang, C.-Y. He and X. Zhang, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2021, **143**, 13971–13979.
- 19 A. Bunnell, N. Lalloo, C. Brigham and M. S. Sanford, *Org. Lett.*, 2023, **25**, 7584–7588.
- 20 K. Choi, M. G. Mormino, E. D. Kalkman, J. Park and J. F. Hartwig, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2022, **61**, e202208204.
- 21 J. He and C. U. Fittman, Synth. Commun., 1999, 29, 855-862.
- 22 Y. Masato, S. Daiki and I. Masahiko, *Chem. Lett.*, 1994, 23, 2357–2360.
- 23 L. Santos, A. Panossian, M. Donnard, J.-P. Vors, S. Pazenok,
   D. Bernier and F. R. Leroux, *Org. Lett.*, 2020, 22, 8741–8745.
- 24 P. Clavel, M. P. Léger-Lambert, C. Biran, F. Serein-Spirau, M. Bordeau, N. Roques and H. Marzouk, *Synthesis*, 1999, 1999, 829–834.

This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCommercial 3.0 Unported Licence.

Open Access Article. Published on 22 December 2023. Downloaded on 7/19/2025 4:32:50 AM.

- 25 D. E. Yerien, S. Barata-Vallejo and A. Postigo, *Chem.-Eur. J.*, 2017, 23, 14676–14701.
- 26 J. B. Geri, M. M. Wade Wolfe and N. K. Szymczak, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2018, 140, 9404–9408.
- 27 A. Streitwieser Jr and F. Mares, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1968, 90, 2444-2445.
- 28 J. B. Geri, E. Y. Aguilera and N. K. Szymczak, *Chem. Commun.*, 2019, **55**, 5119–5122.
- 29 J. B. Geri, M. M. Wade Wolfe and N. K. Szymczak, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2018, **57**, 1381–1385.
- 30 J. B. Geri and N. K. Szymczak, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2017, 139, 9811–9814.
- 31 S. Guo, W. Sun, J. W. Tucker, K. D. Hesp and N. K. Szymczak, *Chem.–Eur. J.*, 2023, **29**, e202203578.
- 32 M. M. Wade Wolfe, S. Guo, L. S. Yu, T. R. Vogel, J. W. Tucker and N. K. Szymczak, *Chem. Commun.*, 2022, **58**, 11705–11708.
- 33 M. M. Wade Wolfe, J. P. Shanahan, J. W. Kampf and N. K. Szymczak, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2020, **142**, 18698–18705.
- 34 D. O'Hagan, Y. Wang, M. Skibinski and A. M. Z. Slawin, *Pure Appl. Chem.*, 2012, **84**, 1587–1595.
- 35 J. Wang, M. Sánchez-Roselló, J. L. Aceña, C. del Pozo, A. E. Sorochinsky, S. Fustero, V. A. Soloshonok and H. Liu, *Chem. Rev.*, 2014, **114**, 2432–2506.
- 36 Y. N. Lamb, Drugs, 2017, 77, 1797-1804.
- 37 Y. Matsumura, in *Fluorine in Medicinal Chemistry and Chemical Biology*, 2009, pp. 47–66.
- 38 S. Woo and R. A. Shenvi, Acc. Chem. Res., 2021, 54, 1157– 1167.
- 39 M. D. Levin, T. Q. Chen, M. E. Neubig, C. M. Hong, C. A. Theulier, I. J. Kobylianskii, M. Janabi, J. P. O'Neil and F. D. Toste, *Science*, 2017, 356, 1272–1276.
- 40 Z. Feng, Y.-L. Xiao and X. Zhang, Acc. Chem. Res., 2018, 51, 2264–2278.
- 41 Q.-Q. Min, Z. Yin, Z. Feng, W.-H. Guo and X. Zhang, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2014, **136**, 1230–1233.
- 42 X.-T. Feng, J.-X. Ren, X. Gao, Q.-Q. Min and X. Zhang, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2022, **61**, e202210103.
- 43 L. Liao, R. An, H. Li, Y. Xu, J.-J. Wu and X. Zhao, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2020, **59**, 11010–11019.
- 44 G. Li, T. Wang, F. Fei, Y.-M. Su, Y. Li, Q. Lan and X.-S. Wang, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2016, 55, 3491–3495.
- 45 Z. Feng, Q.-Q. Min, H.-Y. Zhao, J.-W. Gu and X. Zhang, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2015, 54, 1270–1274.
- 46 F. Ke, C. Yu, X. Li, H. Sheng and Q. Song, *Org. Lett.*, 2023, 25, 2733–2738.
- 47 G. Wu and A. Jacobi von Wangelin, *Chem. Sci.*, 2018, 9, 1795– 1802.
- 48 E. Zhu, X.-X. Liu, A.-J. Wang, T. Mao, L. Zhao, X. Zhang and C.-Y. He, *Chem. Commun.*, 2019, 55, 12259–12262.
- 49 M. Ke, Q. Feng, K. Yang and Q. Song, Org. Chem. Front., 2016, 3, 150–155.

- 50 S. Sumino, M. Uno, T. Fukuyama, I. Ryu, M. Matsuura, A. Yamamoto and Y. Kishikawa, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2017, **82**, 5469–5474.
- 51 Y. Bai, L. Cao, S. Li, G. a. Zhang, Y. Liu, F. Zhao and J. Wu, *Org. Lett.*, 2023, **25**, 6511–6516.
- 52 N. A. Phillips, G. J. Coates, A. J. P. White and M. R. Crimmin, *Chem.–Eur. J.*, 2020, **26**, 5365–5368.
- 53 C. Zhu, M.-M. Sun, K. Chen, H. Liu and C. Feng, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2021, 60, 20237–20242.
- 54 L. Tang, Z.-Y. Liu, W. She and C. Feng, *Chem. Sci.*, 2019, **10**, 8701–8705.
- 55 S.-S. Yan, D.-S. Wu, J.-H. Ye, L. Gong, X. Zeng, C.-K. Ran, Y.-Y. Gui, J. Li and D.-G. Yu, *ACS Catal.*, 2019, **9**, 6987–6992.
- 56 F. Ye, Y. Ge, A. Spannenberg, H. Neumann, L.-W. Xu and M. Beller, *Nat. Commun.*, 2021, **12**, 3257.
- 57 G. Zweifel, H. Arzoumanian and C. C. Whitney, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1967, **89**, 3652–3653.
- 58 D. S. Matteson, Chem. Rev., 1989, 89, 1535-1551.
- 59 R. J. Armstrong and V. K. Aggarwal, *Synthesis*, 2017, **49**, 3323–3336.
- 60 M. A. Beckett, G. C. Strickland, J. R. Holland and K. Sukumar Varma, *Polymer*, 1996, **37**, 4629–4631.
- 61 I. B. Sivaev and V. I. Bregadze, Coord. Chem. Rev., 2014, 270– 271, 75–88.
- 62 A. Yokawa and S. Ito, Chem.-Asian J., 2020, 15, 3432-3436.
- 63 N. Kaur, in *Metal and Nonmetal Assisted Synthesis of Six-Membered Heterocycles*, ed. N. Kaur, Elsevier, 2020, pp. 351–412.
- 64 A. Chaudhary, K. Singh, N. Verma, S. Kumar, D. Kumar and P. P. Sharma, *Mini-Rev. Med. Chem.*, 2022, 22, 2736–2751.
- 65 E. Khan, ChemistrySelect, 2021, 6, 3041-3064.
- 66 S. De, A. Kumar S K, S. K. Shah, S. Kazi, N. Sarkar, S. Banerjee and S. Dey, *RSC Adv.*, 2022, **12**, 15385–15406.
- 67 J.-B. Xia, C. Zhu and C. Chen, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2013, 135, 17494–17500.
- 68 K. Chen, N. Berg, R. Gschwind and B. König, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2017, 139, 18444–18447.
- 69 *Difluoromethane (HFC-32) CAS No. 75-10-5*, European Centre for Ecotoxicology and Toxicology of Chemicals, Brussels, 2nd edn, 2008.
- 70 D. J. Sheldon and M. R. Crimmin, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2022, 51, 4977–4995.
- 71 M. Miele and V. Pace, Aust. J. Chem., 2021, 74, 623-625.
- 72 X. Ispizua-Rodriguez, C. Barrett, V. Krishamurti and G. K. Surya Prakash, in *The Curious World of Fluorinated Molecules*, ed. K. Seppelt, Elsevier, 2021, vol. 6, pp. 117–218.
- 73 R. Britton, V. Gouverneur, J. H. Lin, M. Meanwell, C. Ni, G. Pupo, J. C. Xiao and J. Hu, *Nat. Rev. Methods Primers*, 2021, 1, 48.