

Cite this: *Chem. Sci.*, 2024, 15, 5201

All publication charges for this article have been paid for by the Royal Society of Chemistry

Cobalt-catalyzed double hydroboration of pyridines†

Finn Höeg,^{ab} Lea Luxenberger,^a Andrey Fedulin^{*ac} and Axel Jacobi von Wangelin^{ba}

Cobalt(II) complexes were prepared from a modular phosphinopyridonate platform and applied to the hydroboration of pyridines. The synthetically useful, yet challenging, double hydroboration toward tetrahydropyridine derivatives was successfully performed with high activity and regiocontrol. This new method enabled the direct synthesis of *N*-heterocyclic allylic boronates from commercial pyridines and pinacolborane (HBpin). One-pot acetylation afforded the bench-stable borylated *N*-acetyl tetrahydropyridines in good yields. The synthetic utility of this procedure was demonstrated by a gram-scale double hydroboration–acetylation sequence followed by chemical diversification. Mechanistic experiments indicated metal–ligand cooperativity involving ligand-centered C–H activation and the intermediacy of a cobalt(III) hydride species.

Received 12th October 2023
Accepted 28th February 2024

DOI: 10.1039/d3sc05418g

rsc.li/chemical-science

Introduction

Six-membered *N*-heterocycles such as piperidines and tetrahydropyridines are ubiquitous in natural products and pharmaceuticals (Scheme 1a).¹ Among the 1086 FDA-approved small-molecule drugs as of 2012, 59% contained *N*-heterocycles.¹ The availability of straight-forward, robust, and selective synthetic routes to such building blocks is of utmost interest for medicinal chemistry and drug design endeavours. The synthesis of densely functionalized *N*-heterocycles from inexpensive starting materials in one synthetic operation is especially attractive by virtue of the high gain of complexity and ample opportunities for further derivatization. Borylated tetrahydropyridines could fulfill these criteria if they were accessible from pyridines by simple and selective addition reactions of borane reagents as the resultant C–B motif can easily be functionalized under various conditions (Scheme 1b). Typical methods of tetrahydropyridine boronate synthesis include the addition of organometallics to activated pyridine boronic esters,² Tsuji-Trost type allylic substitution of *N*-heterocyclic allyl alcohol derivatives with B₂pin₂,³ or Pd-catalyzed borylation/isomerization of alkenyl nonaflates.^{4,5} However, these procedures require multiple reaction steps either in the substrate preparation or follow-up reactions. The partial reduction of readily accessible pyridines with organoboranes could provide a most direct alternative approach

to *N*-heterocyclic allyl boronates. Selective 1,2- and 1,4-mono-hydroborations of pyridines were studied in great detail with metal catalysts (Rh,⁶ Ru,⁷ La,⁸ Zn,⁹ Th,¹⁰ Mg,^{11–13} Mn,¹⁴ Ni,^{15,16} Fe,¹⁷ Co¹⁸) and organoboranes^{19–21} as well as metal-free conditions^{22–24} (Scheme 1c). The more challenging double hydroboration of pyridines to tetrahydropyridines, however, has remained mostly unsuccessful. Double hydro-boration of quinolines was achieved both in the presence of catalytic amounts of B(C₆F₅)₃,²⁵ with Rh²⁶ or Co²⁷ catalysts. To the best of our knowledge, there is only a single report on the catalytic double hydroboration of pyridine (Scheme 1c).²⁸ The Rh-phosphine catalyst gave 1,2,3,4-tetrahydropyridine diboronate in good yield and moderate regiocontrol. No substrate scope beyond the parent pyridine was explored.²⁸

Following this lead and our recent explorations of selective hydrofunctionalizations with 3d transition metal catalysts,^{29a–e} we aimed at the development of a base metal-catalyzed double hydroboration of pyridines. In light of the recent advances in metal–ligand cooperativity (MLC) of base metal catalysts,³⁰ we sought to exploit a dual activation approach that would enable metal-centered pyridine coordination, ligand-centered borane activation, and metal hydride formation without ligand dissociation. Such MLC scenario was utilized in the 1,2-selective hydroboration of pyridines with Fe and Ni catalysts.^{15,17} 2-Pyridonates constitute a suitable ligand family for such reactions: The bidentate 1,3 *N,O* motif features a rich coordination chemistry with most transition metals due to flexible binding modes, hemilability, and metal–ligand cooperativity in bond activations.^{31–34} We reasoned that such multifunctional behaviour of metal pyridonates may facilitate hydroborations of pyridines. Herein, we report the successful implementation of these mechanistic scenarios into the first base metal-catalyzed double hydroboration of pyridines to tetrahydropyridines.

^aDept of Chemistry, University of Hamburg, Martin Luther King Pl. 6, 20146 Hamburg, Germany. E-mail: axel.jacobi@uni-hamburg.de


^bPhilipps-University of Marburg, Hans-Meerwein-Str 4, 35043 Marburg, Germany

^cUniversity of Regensburg, Universitätsstr 31, 93053 Regensburg, Germany


† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Experimental procedures, spectra, and analytical data. CCDC 2300396–2300400. For ESI and crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1039/d3sc05418g>




a) FDA-approved drugs with substituted piperidine/tetrahydropyridine motifs




b) Synthetic utility of borylated tetrahydropyridines



c) Hydroboration of pyridines



d) This work: Cobalt-catalyzed double hydroboration of pyridines



Scheme 1 (a) Substituted piperidines and tetrahydropyridines as structural motifs in pharmaceuticals. (b) Synthetic utility of borylated tetrahydropyridines. (c) Methods of single and double hydroboration of pyridines. (d) New development of a cobalt pyridonate catalyzed double hydroboration of pyridines.

Complementing our current investigations into the coordination chemistry of 3d metal pyridonates,³⁴ cobalt complexes featuring a 2-pyridonate motif modified by 6-dialkylphosphine substituents were prepared. The regio-selective Co-catalyzed borylative reduction of pyridines enabled the synthesis of highly versatile N-heterocyclic allyl boronates (Scheme 1d), which can be converted into bench-stable N-acetyl tetrahydropyridinyl boronates and various further derivatives.^{2,35a–g}

Results and discussion

Catalyst synthesis

Efficient 3d transition metal-catalyzed mono-hydroborations of pyridines have been accomplished with cyclopentadienyl metal complexes bearing hemilabile donor-functionalized phosphines.^{15,17} Upon employment of the modular ligand platform 6-


phosphinomethyl-2-pyridone, we prepared heteroleptic cobalt(II) complexes of the general formula $(\eta^5\text{-C}_5\text{Me}_5)\text{Co}(\text{R}_2\text{P}\text{O}N)$. We believed that a combination of the Lewis basic pyridonate with a strong-field phosphine and a bulky spectator ligand would prohibit unwanted catalyst aggregation and coordinative saturation with substrate molecules while enabling dual activation of both substrates of the targeted hydroboration reaction, the borane and the pyridine (Schemes 2a and 2b). Complementing the literature-known diphenylphosphino complex **Co1**,³⁶ we varied the phosphine substituents to cover a wider structure-activity window. The di-*iso*-propyl (**Co2**) and di-*tert*-butyl (**Co3**) derivatives were prepared by a similar route involving sequential deprotonation of commercial 6-methyl-2(1*H*)-pyridone, phosphinylation, deprotonation, and substitution of the [Cp*CoCl]₂ dimer with the pyridonate (Scheme 2c). Single crystal structure analyses confirmed the expected $\kappa^2\text{-P,N}$ chelating modes and pendent C=O moieties (Scheme 2d). The C–O bond distances (**Co2**: 1.243(7) Å; **Co3**: 1.245(9) Å) are consistent with a double bond character and align with the values of **Co1** and related Ru and Ir phosphinopyridonates.^{36–38} The bite angles (N–Co–P) and the bond lengths (Co–P, Co–N) of the phosphinopyridonate ligands increase in the series $\text{Ph}_2\text{P} < {}^i\text{Pr}_2\text{P} < {}^t\text{Bu}_2\text{P}$.

The magnetic moments (Evans: **Co2** = 1.89 μ_B ; **Co3** = 1.83 μ_B) are in full agreement with low-spin Co(II) ions (d^7 , $S = 1/2$) and 17-electron complexes.

Comparison of cobalt pyridonate complexes

Investigations into catalytic hydroborations were performed on the model reaction between 4-methylpyridine (**1a**) and pinacolborane (HBpin) in the presence of the cobalt(II) phosphinopyridonate complexes **Co1–Co3** (Table 1). The reactions (**1a**, 2.2 equiv. HBpin, 3 mol% catalyst, C₆D₆) were monitored by ¹H-NMR spectroscopy. The diphenylphosphino complex **Co1** exhibited minimal activity in the mono-hydroboration (5% conversion) with no activity in the double hydroboration (Table 1, entry 1). Nearly full conversion was observed after 20 h at 50 °C with both **Co2** and **Co3**, respectively. Employment of the less bulky di-*iso*-propylphosphino complex **Co2** afforded minor amounts of the mono-hydroboration product, as the N-boryl 1,2-dihydropyridine isomer (**2a**, 12%), and predominantly the double hydroboration product as a single 1,3-di-borylated 1,2,3,6-tetrahydropyridine isomer (**3a**, 56% yield; Table 1, entry 2). The di-*tert*-butyl complex **Co3** showed good selectivity toward the double hydroboration product **3a** but with lower overall yield (32%, Table 1, entry 3) due to the formation of considerable amounts of unidentified byproducts. A similar trend in reactivity was observed with the model substrate 4-phenylpyridine (**1b**). **Co2** gave the corresponding di-boryl tetrahydropyridine **3b** in 42% yield, while **Co3** fared poorer and afforded only 7% yield of **3b** (Table 1, entries 4–5). Unlike for 4-methylpyridine **1a**, the 4-phenylpyridine **1b** reactions afforded the N-boryl tetrahydropyridine byproduct **3b'** without a C–Bpin motif (by NMR, GC-MS, and independent synthesis from 4-phenyl-1,2,3,6-tetrahydropyridine and HBpin, see Schemes S1–S3†). In no case was the 1,4-dihydropyridine derivative formed. With the identification of **Co2** as most active and selective pre-





Scheme 2 Design concept (a) and (b), synthesis (c), and crystal structures (d) of cobalt pyridonate complexes Co2 and Co3. Ellipsoids are shown at 50% probability.

Table 1 Catalytic activities of Co1–Co3 in the double hydroboration of pyridines^a

Entry	Catalyst	Conversion [%]	Yield [%]		
			3a	3a'	2a
1	Co1	5	0	0	4
2	Co2	95	56	0	12
3	Co3	>99 ^b	32	0	<1
			3b	3b'	2b
4	Co2	>99	42	18	<1
5	Co3	>99	7	7	64

^a Conditions: Substrate (0.20 mmol), HBpin (2.2 equiv.), [Cat.] (3 mol%), 0.3 M in C₆D₆, 50 °C, 20 h, in a J. Young NMR tube without stirring unless otherwise specified. Yields and conversions from ¹H-NMR vs. internal hexamethylbenzene (in C₆D₆). ^b Formation of unidentified byproducts.

catalyst in the double hydroboration of pyridines, further optimizations of the reaction conditions were performed (stoichiometry, concentration, solvent, temperature; see Tables 2 and


S1†). Under mild conditions (50 °C), best yields of the double hydroboration product **3a** were obtained with 2.2 equiv. HBpin and 3 mol% **Co2** at 0.6 M substrate concentration in C₆D₆ (63% yield, Table 2, entry 6; = Method A). The yield of **3a** could be increased to 86% in toluene-*d*₈ solution at elevated temperature (100 °C, Table 2, entry 7; = Method B). Several experiments confirmed the crucial role of all catalyst components: The catalyst-free background reaction gave no conversion (entry 8); phosphinopyridone **L1H** and its conjugate base (**L1H** + KO^tBu) showed no activities (entries 9, 10). The complexes [Cp*CoCl]₂ (**Co4**) and Cp*₂Co (**Co5**) were also inactive in the double hydroboration of **1a** (entries 11, 12).

Substrate scope

The optimized conditions were applied to a diverse set of pyridine derivatives in order to explore the scope of the double hydroboration reaction (Scheme 3). The parent pyridine underwent double hydroboration in 69% yield furnishing a mixture of two regioisomers (*r.r.* 4/1). Remarkably, 4-substituted pyridines cleanly afforded a single isomer in the double hydroboration, *i.e.* the 1,3-di-boryl-1,2,3,6-tetrahydropyridines, in up to 89% yield. Treatment of the crude mixtures with acetic anhydride allowed one-pot conversions to the bench-stable *N*-acetyl allyl boronate derivatives. Alkyl, aryl, benzyl, trifluoromethyl, and boronate functions were well tolerated. The bulky 4-*tert*-butylpyridine and the electron-rich 4-methoxypyridine enabled only single hydroborations (**2s**, **2s'** and **2t**), respectively. Acetylation of the



Table 2 Screening of reaction conditions in the double hydroboration of 4-methylpyridine **1a**^a



Entry	Deviation from standard conditions	Yield [%]	
		3a	2a
1	None	56	12
2	Toluene- <i>d</i> ₈ as solvent	44	34
3	THF- <i>d</i> ₈ as solvent	16	60
4	3.3 equiv. HBpin	56	13
5	5 mol% Co2	48	19
6 ^b	0.6 M	63^c	8
7	0.6 M, toluene-<i>d</i>₈, 100 °C	86	4
8 ^b	No catalyst, toluene- <i>d</i> ₈ , 100 °C	0	0
9 ^b	3 mol% L1H , toluene- <i>d</i> ₈ , 100 °C	0	0
10 ^b	3 mol% (L1H + KO ^t Bu), toluene- <i>d</i> ₈ , 100 °C	0	1
11 ^b	3 mol% [Cp*CoCl] ₂ (Co4), toluene- <i>d</i> ₈ , 100 °C	0	5
12	3 mol% Cp* ₂ Co (Co5), toluene- <i>d</i> ₈ , 100 °C	0	10

^a Standard conditions: **1a** (0.20 mmol), HBpin (2.2 equiv.), **Co2** (3 mol%), 0.3 m in C₆D₆, 50 °C, 20 h, in a headspace vial (5 mL) with magnetic stirring unless otherwise specified. Yields from ¹H-NMR vs. internal hexamethylbenzene (in C₆D₆) or 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene (in toluene-*d*₈). ^b 0.40 mmol reaction. ^c Isolated after *N*-acetylation in 54% yield.

triboronate **3g** resulted in the formation of the highly versatile *N*-heterocyclic building block **4g** containing both a vinyl and allyl boronate motif. 4-Acetylpyridine **1h** underwent double hydroboration of the pyridine ring and mono-hydroboration of the keto substituent to give two diastereomeric tetrahydropyridines **3h** with chiral C–B and C–OB motifs (with 4.4 equiv. HBpin). Pyridines bearing carboxylate substituents (**1u**: 4-CN, **1v**: CO₂Me) gave complex mixtures of multiply reduced products. 4-Vinylpyridine **1w** engaged in rapid polymerization under the reaction conditions. Interestingly, partially reduced tetrahydropyridine products carrying no C(sp³)–B bond (like **3b'**) were only observed for selected substrates including 4-Ph (**3b**: **3b'** = 70 : 30), 4-CF₃ (**3f**: **3f'** = 83 : 17) and 4-pinB substituted pyridines (**3g**: **3g'** = 81 : 19). 3-Substituted pyridines could also be subjected to double hydroborations which were strongly governed by substituent effects. 3-Methylpyridine **1k** and 3-phenylpyridine **1i** were converted to predominantly one major isomer, respectively, the 1,4-di-boryl-1,2,3,4-tetrahydropyridine derivatives **3i** (46%) and **3k** (25% yield). Borylative reduction of 3-fluoropyridine **1j** resulted in a mixture of two regioisomers with *N*-heterocyclic allyl boronate motifs (*r.r.* 2/1); the major regioisomer **3j** was produced as

a single diastereomer (*d.r.* >95 : 5). Minor amounts of defluorination were observed (to **3c**, 12% yield). Isolation of 3-substituted *N*-acetyl tetrahydropyridines was generally plagued by the complexity of the crude product. The formation of minor species impeded with isolation. The cobalt pyridonate catalyst strongly discriminated between differing substitution patterns: While 3- and 4-substituted pyridines were subjected to double hydroboration with a high preference for the allyl boronate motif, 2-substituted pyridines (**1l**, **1m**, **1n**) exhibited no reactivity, neither in mono-hydroboration nor in double hydroboration. Quinoline **1o**, isoquinoline **1p**, and 3,4-lutidine **1q**, on the other hand, underwent single hydroboration in 67–96% yield with no second hydroboration event occurring. Further substitution patterns that prohibited double hydroboration under the standard conditions were bulky *tert*-butyl groups, methoxy, *sec*-amine, halides, ester, nitrile, and vinyl groups (Scheme S4†).



Thermodynamic and kinetic properties

The isolated *N*-acetyl tetrahydropyridine derivatives exist as two rotamers about the C–N amide bond in solution.³⁹ ¹H and ¹³C-NMR spectra displayed two distinct set of signals; from temperature-gradient ¹H and ¹³C-NMR analysis in toluene-*d*₈ between 27 °C and 100 °C the rotation barrier was determined to be ~73.6 kJ mol^{−1} (from a modified Eyring analysis, see Schemes 4, S5 and S6†).⁴⁰ The compounds exhibited high thermal stability: Complete conservation of compound integrity and spectral data was observed when heating **4a** to 100 °C under air for 6 h (Scheme S7†). The *N*-heterocyclic allyl boronates were also stable toward silica gel and moisture, so that purification by flash chromatography did not require special handling procedures. This is surprising as cyclic allylic boronates were occasionally described as being unstable and difficult to isolate.^{3,41,42} Nevertheless, very minor amounts of unidentified pinacolato-boron species remained after purifications. Long-term storage of the *N*-acetyl tetrahydropyridines for two to four months under an atmosphere of air (both in solution and as an oily liquid) at −30 °C led to a slight increase in pinB-containing impurities with otherwise complete product integrity (NMR spectra, Schemes S8 and S9†). The synthetic utility of the isolated *N*-acetyl tetrahydropyridines is evident from several literature reports on (allyl) boronate and tetrahydropyridine derivatizations.^{2,3,5a–g} The methallyl boronate **4a** was isolated as a colorless oil from a gram-scale reaction. Oxidation of **4a** with sodium perborate cleanly afforded the *N*-heterocyclic allylic alcohol **5**. Stereoselective allylboration of benzaldehyde proceeded with excellent diastereoselectivity (*d.r.* >99 : 1). NMR spectral data and single crystal X-ray diffraction confirmed the formation of the *syn*-diastereomer (Scheme 4, right).^{3,41} Palladium-catalysed Suzuki-type arylative cross-coupling enabled the synthesis of the tetrahydropyridine **7**.

Mechanistic studies

Various spectroscopic and preparative experiments were performed to gain insight into the nature of the catalytically active cobalt hydride species and the formation of pyridine-derived products.






Scheme 3 Substrate scope of the double hydroboration of pyridines. Conditions: Pyridine (0.40 mmol), HBpin (0.88 mmol, 2.2 equiv.), Co₂ (3 mol%) in C₆D₆ at 50 °C (Method A) or in tol-*d*₈ at 100 °C (Method B) at 0.6 M for 20 h inside a headspace vial (5 mL) with magnetic stirring unless otherwise specified. Yields of di-boryl products (shown in bold) were determined by ¹H-NMR spectra of the crude mixtures vs. internal hexamethylbenzene (Method A) or 1,3,5-trimethoxybenzene (Method B). Isolated yields of the *N*-acetyl allyl boronates given in parentheses. ^a 4.4 equiv. HBpin. ^b Only a single diastereomer observed in ¹H-NMR spectra. *n. r.* = no reaction.

Cobalt hydride complexes

Addition of pinacolborane (1.2 equiv.) to a solution of cobalt pyridonate complex Co₂ in THF-*d*₈ or C₆D₆ resulted in immediate color change from red to black. Careful ¹H and ¹¹B-NMR monitoring indicated formations of diamagnetic and

paramagnetic species (Schemes S10–S20[†]): (i) very minor amounts of the borylated ligand **L1Bpin** were observed by ¹H-NMR. (ii) we postulate the formation of dinuclear complexes of the formula [(Cp*Co)₂H_{*n*}(L1)_{*m*}] as major paramagnetic species with Co(I), Co(II), or mixed valence states, which is supported by





Scheme 4 Examples of chemical transformations of tetrahydropyridine diboronates: N-Acetylation to bench-stable derivative **4a**; oxidation to allyl alcohol **5**; stereoselective allylboration of benzaldehyde to 1,3-aminoalcohol **6**; Suzuki-type arylation to bicyclic **7**.

ESI-MS analyses displaying peaks of $m/z = 612.1975$ ($n = 0$, $m = 1$) and 837.3194 ($n = 1$, $m = 2$; see Schemes S21–24†). Similar hydride- and pyridonate-bridged dinuclear complexes were prepared from $[\text{Cp}^*\text{CoCl}]_2$ by addition of LiAlH_4 (ref. 43) and from $[\text{Cp}^*\text{IrCl}(2\text{-pyridonate})]^{44}$ by H-atom transfer, respectively. (iii) Two distinct doublets of hydride complexes were observed at -16.51 ppm ($^2J_{\text{P,H}} = 87.3$ Hz, C_6D_6) and -17.96 ppm ($^2J_{\text{P,H}} = 84.4$ Hz, C_6D_6) and assigned to Co(III) hydride species, in full agreement with the spectral signature of closely related $\text{Cp}^*(\text{pyridonate})\text{Co}^{\text{III}}\text{H}(\text{PR}_3)$ complexes.^{45,46} The more downfield resonance originates from the diamagnetic pyridyl monohydride complex **Co6**, which was isolated as a pure crystalline compound and characterized in solid state (XRD, elemental analysis) and solution (NMR, ESI-MS). **Co6** features a cyclometalated $\kappa\text{-C-pyridonate}$ ligand that underwent ligand-C-H activation (Scheme 5). The slightly upfield hydride signal presumably is derived from a dihydrido cobalt complex and was tentatively assigned to complex **Co7** (Scheme 5, see also Scheme S20†).

An identical reaction between **Co2** and DBpin cleanly documented that the hydride resonance of **Co6** is unaffected from the use of the isotopomeric borane reagent, so that this cobalt hydride is ligand-derived. The concomitantly formed **Co7** showed strongly reduced intensity of the hydride resonance which supports the notion that the hydrido ligands are borane-derived (Scheme S25†). This is further corroborated by the observed formation of **Co7** (and minor amounts of other cobalt hydrides) from the reaction of pure **Co6** with pinacolborane (Scheme S26†).


Attempts to isolate pure **Co7** were unsuccessful, but upon crystallization, a $[\text{Cp}^*\text{Co}]$ -capped derivative thereof was obtained, the dihydrido-bridged **Co8** (Scheme 5). The Co–Co bond distance in **Co8** of $2.420(4)$ Å suggests significant metal–metal bonding.^{43,47,48} The residual electron density located between the cobalt centers of **Co8** is consistent with bridging hydride ligands.⁴³ The differences of model and diffraction data resulted in an uneven electron distribution among the Co ions, with

higher electron density on the phosphino-bound cobalt ion (Co1) and lower electron density on the other center (Co2). This may be a strong argument for a $\text{Cp}^*(\text{R}_3\text{P})\text{Co}(\text{i})$ fragment and a $\text{Cp}^*\text{Co}(\text{iii})$ cap, which could have formed *via* an intermediate cobalt(II) hydride species upon dinuclear disproportionation.⁴⁸ Solutions of **Co8** produced $^1\text{H-NMR}$ spectra displaying the resonances of **Co6**, **Co7**, **L1Bpin**, as well as unidentified paramagnetic species. We assume dynamic interconversions between several cobalt hydride species in solution (*e.g.* **Co8** \rightarrow **Co6/Co7**) and/or co-crystallization of different cobalt hydride complexes. Based on the isolated complexes and the collected spectroscopic data, we propose the following mechanism of cobalt hydride catalyst formation (Scheme 5): The pyridonate ligand in **Co2** is believed to support borane activation *via* metal–ligand cooperativity (**Co2a**) yielding transient cobalt(II) hydride **Co2b** which dimerizes with another equivalent of **Co2b** to give **Co2c**. This bimetallic complex may engage in disproportionation to give the proposed dihydride species **Co7** ($^1\text{H-NMR}$) and the short-lived **Co6a**. The hemilabile nature of the pyridonate ligand becomes evident by oxidative addition of the cobalt(I) centre into the ligand backbone to give **Co6** (XRD, NMR, ESI-MS). However, the dimeric cobalt complex **Co2c** may also lose a phosphine ligand which results in the formation of **Co8** (XRD).

Hydroboration products analysis

The cobalt monohydride complex **Co6** was found to be equally active to **Co2** in the catalytic double hydroboration of 4-phenylpyridine **1b**, which again suggests rapid interconversion from **Co2** to **Co6**. Full conversion of 4-phenylpyridine **1b** to the tetrahydropyridine derivatives **3b** and **3b'** was observed when employing **Co6** as catalyst (3 mol%). The stoichiometric reaction between **Co6** and **1a** resulted in rapid consumption of **Co6** at room temperature (complete consumption at 50 °C, Scheme S27†). This equimolar reaction did not lead to the formation of






Scheme 5 The formation of cobalt hydride complexes from reactions of **Co2** with HBpin. Ellipsoids are shown at 50% probability.

the mono-reduction product **2a**. Addition of one equiv. HBpin to the **Co6**/pyridine reaction mixture afforded the mono-hydroboration product **2a** and regeneration of the cobalt hydride complex **Co6** as well as formation of **Co7** (Schemes S27 and S28[†]). Formation of the tetra-hydropyridine product **3a** was only observed after addition of a 2nd equiv. HBpin and heating to 50 °C (Scheme S28[†]). When **Co2** was reacted with one equiv. 4-benzylpyridine **1e** and one or two equiv. HBpin at room temperature, only traces of **Co6** were observed while the hydride signal of **Co7** was rather unaffected (Schemes S30–S32[†]). The formation of the mono/double hydro-boration products was associated with the same stoichiometry of HBpin addition. Reaction of the isotopomeric DBpin with **1b** in the presence of 3 mol% **Co2** led to deuteration in 2- and 6-positions of the tetrahydropyridine product (Schemes S33 and S34[†]). Based on the collected analytical data and previously reported DFT studies,^{27,28} we propose a mechanism for the Co-catalyzed double hydroboration of pyridines (Scheme 6a): The direct reaction of **Co6** with pyridine substrates suggest rapid insertion into the Co–H bond to give the 2-hydropyridinyl complex **Co6c**. The borate function at the ligand is most likely only involved in the initiation steps but rather not operative in O-to-N boryl transfer onto the substrates. Thus, liberation of the mono-hydroboration product **2** is believed to occur *via* σ -bond

metathesis between **Co6d** and HBpin which also regenerates **Co6**. The 2nd hydroboration event commences with a regioselective insertion step of the Co–H bond of **Co6** into the polarized C=C bond of the enamine motif within **2**. The resultant **Co6f** may undergo another σ -bond metathesis with HBpin to give the desired tetrahydropyridine derivative **3**. The high regiocontrol of the second hydroboration is dictated by the polarity of the enamine-C=C bond, the lower sterics, and the formation of the thermodynamically more stable allyl boronate species (*vs.* alkyl boronate). The close mechanistic relationship between the precursor **Co2** and the postulated catalyst species **Co6** becomes also evident from kinetic reaction progress analyses (Scheme 6b). Under identical conditions, very similar reaction profiles were observed that include identical reaction slopes of the 1st hydroboration event, very similar yields and lifetimes of the intermediate 1,2-dihydropyridine derivative, a significantly slower 2nd hydroboration step, and comparable yields and selectivities of the final product mixture. It is important to note, that the operation of a “hidden” BH_3 catalysis was largely excluded: ¹¹B NMR studies of various mixtures of HBpin with the **Co2** and the pyridine under reaction conditions did not exhibit the characteristic upfield resonance of $BH_3 \cdot L$, even in the presence of added TMEDA (*N,N,N',N'*-tetramethylethylenediamine, see Scheme S38[†]).⁴⁹ A similar





Scheme 6 (a) Proposed mechanism of the cobalt-pyridonate catalyzed double hydroboration of pyridines. (b) Reaction progress analyses of the double hydroboration of 4-methylpyridine with catalytic Co2 and Co6, respectively.

stepwise hydroboration mechanism may be operative during the hydroboration of other polarized π -systems such as the cobalt pyridonate catalyzed hydroboration of CO₂ currently studied in our laboratory.⁵⁰

Conclusions

The demand for highly functionalized and synthetically versatile platform molecules for the synthesis of biologically active

compounds has prompted great interest in selective catalytic transformations. Six-membered *N*-heterocycles are an especially attractive class of building blocks for medicinal chemistry programs, yet, straight-forward synthetic routes that utilize commercial starting materials are rare. Based on a modular ligand platform and easily available substrates, an efficient cobalt-catalyzed double hydroboration of pyridines to tetrahydropyridines was developed. The catalyst was easily prepared from commercially available 6-methyl-2(1*H*)-pyridone by



sequential deprotonation, phosphinylation, deprotonation, and salt metathesis with $[\text{Cp}^*\text{CoCl}]_2 \text{Co}_4$. The double hydroboration reaction afforded versatile *N*-heterocyclic allyl boronates that can be converted to bench-stable borylated *N*-acetyl tetrahydropyridines in a one-pot fashion. The double hydroboration of 4-substituted pyridines was found to occur in a regioselective manner with the formation of a single isomer. Remarkably, 1,2,3,6-tetrahydropyridines were the only reduced species formed with mostly full conversions of the intermediate 1,2-dihydropyridines and no onward hydroboration to the fully saturated piperidines. 3-Substituted pyridines gave somewhat lower regiocontrol. Mechanistic studies have revealed critical steps of catalyst and substrate activations and deep insight into the coordination chemistry at cobalt.^{26–28} Structural variation of the modular 2-pyridonate ligand enabled high activities of the iso-propyl derivative. The presumably formed cobalt(II) hydride complex **Co2b** underwent disproportionation to give cobalt(III) hydride complex **Co6** that was found to be catalytically active. Hydride insertion of **Co6** into the pyridinyl C=N and C=C bonds and σ -bond metathesis with pinacolborane are believed to be key steps of the double hydroboration mechanism. The easy access to the pre-catalyst **Co2** and the identification of **Co6** as active catalyst species may prompt further studies into the exploration of base metal catalysts for polar hydrofunctionalization reactions of π -systems.⁵⁰

Data availability

All data including experimental and analytical details are in the ESI.† More data incl metadata will be stored at the authors institutional database center.

Author contributions

F. H., L. L. and A. F. conducted the experiments and analytical studies. F. H., A. F. and A. J. v. W. wrote the manuscript.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, JA 1107/6-1) and the European Research Council (ERC CoG, 683150). F. H. was a fellow of the Studienstiftung des deutschen Volkes.

Notes and references

- E. Vitaku, D. T. Smith and J. T. Njardarson, *J. Med. Chem.*, 2014, **57**, 10257–10274.
- S. Panda, A. Coffin, Q. N. Nguyen, D. J. Tantillo and J. M. Ready, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2016, **55**, 2205–2209.
- H. Iwamoto, Y. Ozawa, Y. Takenouchi, T. Imamoto and H. Ito, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2021, **143**, 6413–6422.
- S. Lessard, F. Peng and D. G. Hall, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2009, **131**, 9612–9613.
- Y. R. Kim and D. G. Hall, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2016, **14**, 4739–4748.
- K. Oshima, T. Ohmura and M. Sugimoto, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2012, **134**, 3699–3702.
- A. Kaithal, B. Chatterjee and C. Gunanathan, *Org. Lett.*, 2016, **18**, 3402–3405.
- A. S. Dudnik, V. L. Weidner, A. Motta, M. Delferro and T. J. Marks, *Nat. Chem.*, 2014, **6**, 1100–1107.
- J. L. Lortie, T. Dudding, B. M. Gabidullin and G. I. Nikonov, *ACS Catal.*, 2017, **7**, 8454–8459.
- H. Liu, M. Khononov and M. S. Eisen, *ACS Catal.*, 2018, **8**, 3673–3677.
- J. Intemann, M. Lutz and S. Harder, *Organometallics*, 2014, **33**, 5722–5729.
- M. Arrowsmith, M. S. Hill, T. Hadlington, G. Kociok-Köhn and C. Weetman, *Organometallics*, 2011, **30**, 5556–5559.
- X. Liu, B. Li, X. Hua and D. Cui, *Org. Lett.*, 2020, **22**, 4960–4965.
- P. Ghosh and A. Jacobi von Wangelin, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2021, **60**, 16035–16043.
- J. Liu, J. Y. Chen, M. Jia, B. Ming, J. Jia, R. Z. Liao, C. H. Tung and W. Wang, *ACS Catal.*, 2019, **9**, 3849–3857.
- S. R. Tamang, A. Singh, D. K. Unruh and M. Findlater, *ACS Catal.*, 2018, **8**, 6186–6191.
- F. Zhang, H. Song, X. Zhuang, C. H. Tung and W. Wang, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2017, **139**, 17775–17778.
- N. K. Meher, P. K. Verma and K. Geetharani, *Org. Lett.*, 2023, **25**, 87–92.
- X. Fan, J. Zheng, Z. H. Li and H. Wang, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2015, **137**, 4916–4919.
- E. N. Keyzer, S. S. Kang, S. Hanf and D. S. Wright, *Chem. Commun.*, 2017, **53**, 9434–9437.
- Z. Y. Liu, Z. H. Wen and X. C. Wang, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2017, **56**, 5817–5820.
- T. Liu, J. He and Y. Zhang, *Org. Chem. Front.*, 2019, **6**, 2749–2755.
- E. Jeong, J. Heo, S. Park and S. Chang, *Chem.–An Euro. J.*, 2019, **25**, 6320–6325.
- B. Rao, C. C. Chong and R. Kinjo, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2018, **140**, 652–656.
- E. Kim, H. J. Jeon, S. Park and S. Chang, *Adv. Synth. Catal.*, 2020, **362**, 308–313.
- R. Wang and S. Park, *ACS Catal.*, 2023, **13**, 7067–7078.
- C. C. Bories, G. Gontard, M. Barbazanges, G. Lemièrre and M. Petit, *Chem.–An Euro. J.*, 2023, e202303178.
- H. Choi, R. Wang, S. Kim, D. Kim, M. H. Baik and S. Park, *Catal. Sci. Technol.*, 2023, **13**, 2735–2747.
- For recent reports of 3d transition metal catalyzed hydrogenation and hydrofunctionalization, see: (a) P. Ghosh, R. Schoch, M. Bauer and A. Jacobi von Wangelin, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2022, **61**, e202110821; (b) B. J. Gregori, M.-O. W. S. Schmotz and A. Jacobi von Wangelin, *ChemCatChem*, 2022, **14**, e202200886; (c) U. Chakraborty, A. Fedulin and A. Jacobi von Wangelin, *ChemCatChem*, 2022, **14**, e202201105; (d) B. J. Gregori,



- M. Nowakowski, A. Schoch, M. Bauer, J. Zweck, S. Pöllath and A. Jacobi von Wangelin, *ChemCatChem*, 2020, **12**, 5359–5363; (e) T. M. Maier, S. Sandl, I. G. Shenderovich, A. Jacobi von Wangelin, J. J. Weigand and R. Wolf, *Chem. – An Euro. J.*, 2019, **25**, 238–245.
- 30 M. R. Elsby and R. T. Baker, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2020, **49**, 8933–8987.
- 31 M. W. Drover, J. A. Love and L. L. Schafer, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2017, **46**, 2913–2940.
- 32 S. A. Ryken, P. R. Payne and L. L. Schafer, *Ligand Design in Metal Chemistry: Reactivity and Catalysis*, John Wiley & Sons Ltd., Chichester, 1st edn, 2016, pp. 367–370.
- 33 J. M. Rawson and R. E. P. Winpenny, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 1995, **139**, 313–374.
- 34 (a) A. Fedulin and A. Jacobi von Wangelin, *Catal. Sci. Technol.*, 2023, **14**, 26–42; (b) A. Fedulin, S. K. Gupta, I. Rüter, F. Meyer and A. Jacobi von Wangelin, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2022, **61**, 6149–6159.
- 35 Reviews for the synthetic utility of (allyl) boronate species: (a) C. Diner and K. J. Szabó, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2017, **139**, 2–14; (b) S. J. Geier, C. M. Vogels, J. A. Melanson and S. A. Westcott, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2022, **51**, 8877–8922; (c) B. S. L. Collins, C. M. Wilson, E. L. Myers and V. K. Aggarwal, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2017, **56**, 11700–11733; (d) Z. Wang, H. Xu, Q. Su, P. Hu, P. L. Shao, Y. He and Y. Lu, *Org. Lett.*, 2017, **19**, 3111–3114; (e) R. Gurubrahmam, Y. M. Chen, W. Y. Huang, Y. Te Chan, H. K. Chang, M. K. Tsai and K. Chen, *Org. Lett.*, 2016, **18**, 3046–3049; (f) P. Spieß, M. Berger, D. Kaiser and N. Maulide, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2021, **143**, 10524–10529; (g) S. Chen, B. Q. Mercado, R. G. Bergman and J. A. Ellman, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2015, **80**, 6660–6668.
- 36 X. Zhuang, J. Y. Chen, Z. Yang, M. Jia, C. Wu, R. Z. Liao, C. H. Tung and W. Wang, *Organometallics*, 2019, **38**, 3752–3759.
- 37 F. Jiang, M. Achard, T. Roisnel, V. Dorcet and C. Bruneau, *Eur. J. Inorg. Chem.*, 2015, 4312–4317.
- 38 A. R. Sahoo, F. Jiang, C. Bruneau, G. V. M. Sharma, S. Suresh, T. Roisnel, V. Dorcet and M. Achard, *Catal. Sci. Technol.*, 2017, **7**, 3492–3498.
- 39 J. F. Liebman and A. Greenberg, *Biophys. Chem.*, 1974, **1**, 222–226.
- 40 M. T. Huggins, T. Kesharwani, J. Buttrick and C. Nicholson, *J. Chem. Educ.*, 2020, **97**, 1425–1429.
- 41 Y. Ge, X. Y. Cui, S. M. Tan, H. Jiang, J. Ren, N. Lee, R. Lee and C. H. Tan, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2019, **58**, 2382–2386.
- 42 H. Ito, T. Okura, K. Matsuura and M. Sawamura, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2010, **49**, 560–563.
- 43 J. L. Kersten, A. L. Rheingold, K. H. Theopold, C. P. Casey, R. A. Widenhoefer and C. E. C. A. Hop, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.*, 1992, **31**, 1341–1343.
- 44 A. M. Royer, T. B. Rauchfuss and D. L. Gray, *Organometallics*, 2010, **29**, 6763–6768.
- 45 J. A. Zurakowski, B. J. H. Austen, M. C. Dufour, M. Bhattacharyya, D. M. Spasyuk and M. W. Drover, *Dalton Trans.*, 2021, **50**, 12440–12447.
- 46 U. Koelle and S. Ohst, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1986, **25**, 2689–2694.
- 47 L. Pauling, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 1976, **73**, 4290–4293.
- 48 U. Koelle, B. Fuss, M. Belting and E. Raabe, *Organometallics*, 1986, **5**, 980–987.
- 49 A. D. Bage, T. A. Hunt and S. P. Thomas, *Org. Lett.*, 2020, **11**, 4107–4112.
- 50 A. Fedulin, F. Höeg and A. Jacobi von Wangelin, *unpublished results*.

