



Cite this: RSC Adv., 2024, 14, 11322

## Correction: *Lonicera japonica* Thunb. as a promising antibacterial agent for *Bacillus cereus* ATCC14579 based on network pharmacology, metabolomics, and *in vitro* experiments

Nan Xu,<sup>a</sup> Li-hua Du,<sup>a</sup> Yan-chao Chen,<sup>a</sup> Jin-hao Zhang,<sup>a</sup> Qian-feng Zhu,<sup>a</sup> Rong Chen,<sup>a</sup> Guo-ping Peng,<sup>a</sup> Qi-ming Wang,<sup>a</sup> Hua-zhong Yu<sup>\*b</sup> and Li-qun Rao<sup>\*a</sup>

Correction for 'Lonicera japonica Thunb. as a promising antibacterial agent for *Bacillus cereus* ATCC14579 based on network pharmacology, metabolomics, and *in vitro* experiments' by Nan Xu et al., RSC Adv., 2023, 13, 15379–15390, <https://doi.org/10.1039/D3RA00802A>.

DOI: 10.1039/d4ra90032d

rsc.li/rsc-advances

The authors regret errors in the original article in the details of the minimum inhibitory concentrations provided in the Results section and in the Discussion section.

The sentence beginning "Meanwhile, the minimum inhibitory concentrations (MIC) of luteolin..." in the Results section should read: "Meantime, the minimum inhibitory concentrations (MIC) of luteolin, quercetin, and kaempferol against *Bacillus cereus* ATCC14579 were 15.625  $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ , 31.25  $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ , and 15.625  $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ , respectively."

In addition, the sentence beginning "Meantime, the active ingredients luteolin..." in the Discussion section should read: "Meanwhile, the active ingredients luteolin (MIC = 15.625  $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ ), quercetin (MIC = 31.25  $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ ), and kaempferol (MIC = 15.625  $\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$ ) also inhibited *Bacillus cereus* ATCC14579 with good inhibitory effect."

The conclusions presented remain unaffected by these changes.

The Royal Society of Chemistry apologises for these errors and any consequent inconvenience to authors and readers.