# RSC Advances



# PAPER

Cite this: RSC Adv., 2024, 14, 25385

Received 9th July 2024 Accepted 8th August 2024 DOI: 10.1039/d4ra04962d

rsc.li/rsc-advances

## 1. Introduction

After secondary oil recovery, approximately 60-70% of the residual oil remains underground, forming water-cut reservoirs.1,2 Further exploitation of this residual oil is vital for increasing oil production and meeting the growing energy demands. In such reservoirs, residual oil typically exhibits a pattern of "overall scatter and local enrichment", and the presence of water adds resistance to oil production, complicating the displacement process.<sup>3</sup> To address these challenges, various displacement media, including nanofluids, surfactants, polymers, and gases, have been developed and utilized to extract oil obstructed by water and enhance oil recovery.<sup>4-9</sup> Among these,  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  injection-enhanced oil recovery  $(CO<sub>2</sub> EOR)$ has emerged as a pivotal technique. This method injects highpressure  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  into the stratum to increase subsurface reservoir pressure and stimulate oil production. Additionally,  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ injection facilitates underground storage and reduces atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> levels, contributing to environmental protection. Understanding the processes and mechanisms of storagedriven  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  EOR in water-cut reservoirs is crucial for achieving sustainable oil production and net-zero emissions.<sup>10</sup>

# Molecular insight into oil displacement by  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ flooding in water-cut dead-end nanopores†

Pengfei Lu,<sup>a</sup> Zichen Yan,<sup>a</sup> Jiawen Lai<sup>a</sup> and Keke Wang <sup>b \*b</sup>

Understanding the mechanisms underlying residual oil displacement by  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  flooding is essential for  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ enhanced oil recovery. This study utilizes molecular dynamics (MD) simulations to investigate the displacement of residual oil by  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  flooding in dead-end nanopores, focusing specifically on the waterblocking effect. The findings reveal that oil displacement does not commence until the water film is breached. The dissolution of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  molecules in water and the hydrogen bond interactions between water and rock are the primary factors that disrupt the hydrogen bond network among the water molecules, facilitating the breakthrough of the water film. Additionally, the displacement process can be delineated into four distinct stages – encompassing water film rupture, oil swelling, massive oil displacement, and displacement completion – as evidenced by the oil recovery-displacement time curves. Moreover, a cutting-edge oil recovery-displacement time model precisely quantifies crucial stages in the displacement process. For example, when  $t < \delta$ , trapped oil is impeded by the water film, while when  $t > \delta + 3\tau$ , displacement culminates successfully. Altogether, this research bolsters comprehension of residual oil displacement in the presence of water blocking and advocates for sustainable oil production strategies in oilfields. PAPER<br>
Molecular insight into oil displacement by CO<sub>2</sub><br>
Cheek for undetermined and the result of the memphoyed in the company of the members of the second of the second of the second of the second of the company and the

Given that residual oil is generally trapped in micro- and nanopores, various microscopic experimental techniques have been employed to characterize these formations. Techniques such as atomic force microscopy (AFM),<sup>11,12</sup> scanning electron microscopy  $(SEM),$ <sup>13</sup> nuclear magnetic resonance  $(NMR),$ <sup>14,15</sup> and X-ray computed tomography scanning<sup>16</sup> are used to determine pore size distribution and identify the occurrence states of residual oil in porous media. To study oil displacement in porous rocks, core flood experiments $17-20$  have been conducted. Additionally, the swelling test, slim tube displacement method, rising bubble experiment, and vanishing interfacial tension technique<sup>21-24</sup> have been utilized to explore the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ -oil mixing process and ascertain the  $CO_2$ -oil minimum miscibility pressure (MMP). Nevertheless, the actual operating procedures for these experimental techniques are typically complex, and the costs are signicant, which has led to slow progress in both the experimental research and application of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  flooding technology. Given these inherent defects and limitations, molecular dynamics (MD) simulations can serve as a supplementary and extended approach to experimental studies, providing an efficient and cost-effective method for fundamental research into the surface tension, mechanisms of oil displacement and fluid mass transfer processes.<sup>25,26</sup> Numerous simulation studies have been conducted to elucidate the mechanisms of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ EOR, both with and without water blocking.

In oil recovery, the blending and interaction between  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ and crude oil significantly influence oil displacement. Santos et al.<sup>27</sup> used MD simulations to predict the adsorption behavior of CO2–hydrocarbon mixtures in calcite slit-shaped nanopores.

a Anhui Key Laboratory of Spin Electron and Nanomaterials, School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Suzhou University, Suzhou 234000, China

b School of Information Engineering, Suzhou University, Suzhou 234000, China. E-mail: kekewang@ahszu.edu.cn

<sup>†</sup> Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1039/d4ra04962d>

They asserted that the confinement effects must be considered in such small spaces and that  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  tends to adsorb preferentially onto the available calcium sites on the calcite surfaces, displacing the adsorbed hydrocarbons. Zhang et al.<sup>28</sup> investigated the mixing mechanism of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  and crude oil in nanoslits and predicted the minimum miscible pressure (MMP). Their findings suggested that  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  and crude oil are more likely to blend in confined spaces, likely due to increased molecular collision probability in such environments. Feng et  $al.^{29}$  calculated the surface tension between  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  and crude oil to predict the MMP. Their study revealed that both temperature and the presence of impurity gases significantly influence the blending pressure. Beyond the static properties of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  and oil in nanoslits, the hydrodynamic properties and transport behavior of liquid hydrocarbons through rock nanopores are critical in determining the ultimate displacement efficiency, which remains a concern. Wang et al.<sup>30</sup> investigated the transport behavior of  $n$ octane through slit-shaped quartz nanopores using nonequilibrium molecular dynamics. Their findings demonstrated that in the center of wider slits,  $n$ -octane molecules exhibit nearly the same density, viscosity, and self-diffusion coefficient as the continuum bulk liquid. Closer to a solid surface, the alkane chains preferentially align parallel to the substrate and diffuse more slowly. Liu et al.<sup>31</sup> explored the pressure-driven transport behavior of supercritical  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  in silica nanochannels. Their simulations indicate that the slip length increases nonlinearly with rising pressure gradients or larger nanochannel sizes. Fang et al.<sup>32</sup> compared the displacement behaviors of  $CO_2$  and  $N_2$ flooding through MD simulations. They observed that the synergy between  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  and  $N<sub>2</sub>$  slugs surpasses that of singlephase injection and mixed gas flooding. RSC Advances Sometion of the smallers area be considered. Published and **Simulations**<br>
makes and the considered the privary intervention and a general state and a general state and the privary interpediate on the privary

Additionally, researchers examined the shielding effects of high water saturation and residual oil distribution by  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  flooding in water-cut reservoirs when a water film encapsulated the residual oil. Using MD simulation and dimensional analysis, Luan et  $al$ .<sup>33,34</sup> confirmed that the rupture of the water film significantly affects both the velocity of displacement and the oil recovery. Li et al.<sup>35</sup> noted that supercritical  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  can penetrate the water film, forming a path that detaches organic molecules; the thicker the water film, the lower the level of organic molecule detachment.

As discussed earlier,  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ -oil interactions and displacement mechanisms at the atomic scale have been extensively studied, and the influence of water has been considered to some extent. However, few studies have examined the entire process of microscopic residual oil displacement caused by  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  flooding in water-cut reservoirs. Moreover, the quantitative relationship between oil recovery and displacement time, particularly considering the influence of water, remains unclear. Therefore, this study primarily aims to elucidate this aspect using MD simulations. Initially, the entire displacement process of oil in the water-cut dead-end nanopore is demonstrated through snapshots from the MD simulations, and the collapse details of the water film between  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  and oil are examined. Subsequently, the study reveals the relationship between oil recovery and displacement time. Finally, the displacement mechanism of the residual oil by  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  injection is elucidated based on the fitted oil recovery-displacement time curve.

## 2. Models and simulations

#### 2.1 Molecular models

Quartz is reported to be one of the primary inorganic components of tight reservoirs, comprising one-fifth of the Earth's continental crust.<sup>36</sup> Consequently, in this study, quartz was chosen as the solid matrix for constructing slit nanopores. The quartz unit cell structure, sourced from the Materials Studio material library, was initially cleaved along the (001) plane. Next, through a replication operation, the rock wall was constructed with a surface size of 2.95 nm  $\times$  10.75 nm on the x-y plane. Subsequently, the slit nanopore was formed by joining the two meticulously constructed rock walls in the z-direction with a slit aperture of 5.02 nm. To investigate the behavior of oil molecules confined within the grooves of the slit, modifications were made to the upper and lower walls. Specifically, several atoms were removed from the previously obtained lower wall to form a rectangular groove with the size of  $w \times h = 2.20$  nm  $\times$  2.70 nm in the y–z plane, and the thickness of the upper wall was reduced to 0.68 nm to manage computing costs. Finally, a slit nanopore with a hydroxyl-covered inner surface was created by hydroxylating the surface oxygen atoms of each rock wall.

Utilizing the meticulously constructed slit nanopore,  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ fills the main channel, whereas oil molecules, with the decane (C10) chosen as the representative oil component based on our previous work, $37$  are confined to a groove in the lower wall. Notably, a water film initially surrounds the oil phase in the groove, representing the residual water post-secondary recovery. To isolate the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  region in the y-direction, two rigid carbon sheets were positioned on both sides of the system, maintaining a specific pressure difference. Additionally, vacuum spaces were introduced outside the carbon sheets on the left and right sides to prevent fluid interactions from opposite directions. The entire  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  EOR system is encapsulated in a rectangular box measuring  $2.95 \times 40.00 \times 10.81$  nm<sup>3</sup>, as depicted in Fig. 1.

### 2.2 Simulation details

To eliminate unreasonable intermolecular contacts in the initial model, the configurations of the oil and  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  molecules were pre-optimized at 20 MPa and 344 K, with the rock atoms fixed in place.<sup>32</sup> Subsequently, oil displacement simulations were conducted by applying a specific pressure on the left plate and maintaining 20 MPa on the right. Moreover, to assess the impacts of underground pressure and water on the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  EOR process, we designed six initial models with two types of displacing pressures (4 MPa and 1 MPa) and three levels of water contents. The water film thicknesses are set at  $0.54$  nm  $(1/5 \text{ of }$ the groove volume), 1.08 nm (2/5 of the groove volume), and  $1.62$  nm  $(3/5)$  of the groove volume), respectively. The specific configurations are detailed in Table 1 and illustrated in the inset of Fig. 1. Cases with different water contents are denoted as H1, H2, and H3 for higher pressures (4 MPa) and L1, L2, and L3 for lower pressures (1 MPa).

For each scenario, dynamic simulations were performed under the NVT ensemble,<sup>38</sup> utilizing three-dimensional periodic boundary conditions. The steepest descent method was



Fig. 1 Initial model of the CO<sub>2</sub> EOR system: silicon, oxygen, and hydrogen atoms for rock and water are represented in brown, red, and white, respectively, while the decane,  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ , and piston molecules are in cyan, pink, and gray, respectively.





employed in the energy-minimization step. The system temperature was regulated using a Nose-Hoover thermostat,<sup>39</sup> and the cutoff distances for the electrostatic and van der Waals (vdW) interactions were set at 1.4 nm. The total number of simulation steps for the displacement simulations was established at 6 000 000 with a time step of 0.5 fs. The microstructures and locations of the entire system were recorded every 1 ps for analysis. All experiments adhered to the simulation procedures previously mentioned. The displacement simulations utilized the GROMACS package,<sup>40</sup> and the microstructures of the system were visualized using VMD software.<sup>41</sup>

Intermolecular interactions play a pivotal role in molecular simulations. This study modeled decane as a molecule with ten pseudo atoms connected by bonds, employing a coarse-grained force field. The force field developed by Zhu et al.<sup>42</sup> was applied to  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ . The TIP3P force field was used for water, while the CLAY

force field<sup>43</sup> was designated for the rock walls. For non-bonding interactions, coulombic and Lennard–Jones (L–J) potentials were utilized to manage electrostatic and vdW interactions, respectively. As detailed in our previous works,<sup>29,44</sup> the interaction parameter  $(\varepsilon)$  between CO<sub>2</sub> and decane was calculated using modified Lorentz-Berthelot rules with a scaling parameter of 0.9. The L–J parameters ( $\varepsilon$  and  $\sigma$ ) and charges (q) for all atomic species are listed in Table S1.†

## 3. Results and discussion

### 3.1 Overall oil displacement process

To investigate the displacement process across cases with varying water contents and external pressures, configuration snapshots at different moments during the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  flooding process for each case are displayed in Fig. 2 and S1.† Taking H1 (external pressure of 4 MPa and water film thickness of  $0.54$  nm) as an example (Fig. 2), it can be observed that at the outset of the oil displacement  $(0 \text{ ps})$ , the water film in the rock groove completely isolated the crude oil from  $CO<sub>2</sub>$ .  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  advanced along the main channel under external pressure. As the simulation commenced, part of the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  dissolved in the water film, gradually causing the film to collapse ( $\sim$ 10 ps). Then, the dissolved  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  penetrated the water film and entered the oil phase, leading to partial dissolution and swelling of the crude oil (∼50 ps). Subsequently, the water film fully opened and spread out on the rock surface, and the dissolved crude oil molecules diffused



Fig. 2 Snapshots of the systems with different water film thicknesses under 4 MPa during displacing process.

from the grooves into the main rock channel (100–500 ps). Ultimately, the crude oil was propelled out of the main channel with the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  fluid under the displacement pressure, achieving crude oil displacement (∼2000 ps).

Similar displacement processes were observed for cases with higher water content (H2 and H3). Notably, as the water content increased, the rupture of the water film became more challenging. In the case of H3, the water film took over 100 ps to completely break. When the external pressure was reduced to 1 MPa for cases with varying water contents, the displacement process exhibited similar patterns to those observed at 4 MPa (Fig. S1†).

To further explore the evolution of the displacement process in silica groove, we divided the silica groove into five parts along the z direction (inset of Fig. 1), and calculated the density distributions of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  and C10 in the silica groove with different water film thicknesses. As shown in Fig. 3, in the silica groove, the total numbder density of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  increased gradually with increasing simulation time, while the oil density rapidly decreased, regardless of the water film thickness. This akin to the phenomenon in Fig. 2 that the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  molecules could dissolve and diffuse through the water film, and oil molecules were subsequently squeezed out of the groove after breakthrough of the water film. Under the pressure of 1 MPa, similar density distributions means the similar displacement processes to those of 4 MPa (Fig S2†). **PSC** Advances Article main rock-channel (100-500 ps). film region, leading to a decrease in residual water models<br>(Unrame), the cuto of the state article is main standard and the exercution<br>published on the state article

### 3.2 Influence of water film on the oil displacement process under different displacing pressure

Based on the results discussed above, the rupture of the water film is a critical prerequisite for blending  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  and oil, significantly influencing the start-up time for oil displacement. As the simulation progresses, some water molecules diffuse out of the film region, leading to a decrease in residual water molecules and the eventual rupture of the water film. To further analyze the rupture process of the water film during oil displacement, we recorded the number of residual water molecules and calculated the porosity of the water film  $(P)$  throughout the rupture process, as shown in Fig. 4. The porosity of the water film was determined using a characterization method commonly applied to porous materials, governed by the following equation:

$$
P = V_0/V_t \tag{1}
$$

P represents the porosity of the porous material; in this case, the water film  $V_t$  is the total volume of the water film region at the outset, namely 1/5 (H1 and L1), 2/5 (H2 and L2) and 3/5 (H3 and L3) of the volume of the groove, as illustrated in the inset of Fig. 1.  $V_0$  is the volume occupied by water molecules within the water film region, determined by the volume enclosed by the Connolly surface.

As depicted in Fig. 4a, at 4 MPa, the initial porosity is approximately 50% for H1, H2, and H3. The case with the thinner water film (H1) demonstrates a rapid decrease in water molecules and a swift increase in porosity (reaching about 80%) during the first 10 ps. Conversely, for H3, which has the thickest water film, there is little change in both the number of water molecules and porosity from 0 to 10 ps. This observation aligns well with the phenomena depicted in Fig. S3,† suggesting that a thinner water film facilitates quicker formation of a throughhole in the water layer. A similar pattern is observed at 1 MPa, as shown in Fig. 4b and S4.†

As noted in the molecular models, the rock surface exhibits hydrophilic properties due to the presence of hydroxyl groups. Therefore, the hydrogen bonding interactions between water



Fig. 3 Number density distribution of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  and C10 perpendicular to the displacement direction in the groove with different water film thicknesses under the pressure of 4 MPa.



Fig. 4 Porosity and water contents of water film at different times under the pressure of 4 MPa (a) and 1 MPa (b).



Fig. 5 Evolution of (a) w–r hydrogen bonds and (b) w–w hydrogen bonds during the simulations under the pressure of 4 MPa.

and rock  $(w-r)$  play a crucial role in the rupture of the water film. The dynamics of water–rock and water–water (w–w) hydrogen bonds over time are detailed in Fig. 5 and S5.† Initially, there is a sharp increase in the number of w–r hydrogen bonds, which then stabilizes. In contrast, the number of w–w hydrogen bonds shows a decreasing trend. This can be attributed to the strong affinity of water for the hydroxyl groups on the rock surface, leading to the formation of hydrogen bonds. As a result, the hydrogen bond network among water molecules within the film is disrupted, facilitating the breakthrough of the water film.

Despite the water–rock interactions, another factor contributing to the breakthrough of the water film is the dissolution of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  molecules from the main channel into the water film under high displacement pressure. Fig. 6 illustrates  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  molecules surrounding water molecules in water films of varying thicknesses. The presence of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  disrupts the integrity of the hydrogen-bond networks within the water film, thereby

promoting the opening of the oil channel. This observation is also supported by Fig. 2 and S1,† which show that as the simulation progressed, more  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  molecules dissolved into the water film, increasing the number of water molecules adsorbed along the rock surface and rendering the water film more fragile. Once the water film collapses,  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  bursts into the oil phase, displacing the oil molecules out of the groove, akin to scenarios without a water film.<sup>37</sup>

#### 3.3 Quantification of oil displacement process and oil recovery efficiency

 $CO<sub>2</sub>$  molecules were propelled forward during the displacement simulation under specific pressure. Oil molecules are not displaced from the groove until the water film ruptures. After a sufficient duration, the number of extracted oil molecules from the groove peaks, indicating the completion of the oil



Fig. 6 CO<sub>2</sub> molecules dissolved in the water films with thicknesses of (a) 0.54 nm, (b) 1.08 nm, and (c) 1.62 nm, respectively. The water molecules were displayed in the ball–stick style and the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  molecules in the CPK style.



Fig. 7 Oil recovery for the cases (a) H1, (b) H2, and (c) H3, and the corresponding fitted curves (d).

displacement. To further verify and analyze these simulation results, the number of residual oil molecules in the groove was recorded every 1 ps, generating corresponding residual oil number–time curves for all cases. As depicted in Fig. S6,† the number of oil molecules does not decrease immediately during the initial picoseconds, especially in cases with a thicker water film. This delay correlates with the water film outside the oil molecules impeding oil displacement. Following the breakthrough of the water film, the number of residual oil molecules decreases dramatically over time and eventually stabilizes.

The plots of oil recovery efficiency  $(\varphi)$  along with displacement time  $(t)$  for each case were depicted in Fig. 7 and S7 $\dagger$  to quantitatively investigate the oil displacement processes. The oil recovery efficiency was calculated as the ratio of the number of displaced oil molecules to the total number of oil molecules. To ensure the ergodicity of the MD simulations, each case underwent three simulations, and the results were then averaged. Based on the averaged simulation results, despite the water film thickness and pressure, the relationship between  $\varphi$ and  $t$  can be fitted using the following piecewise function:

$$
\varphi(t) = \begin{cases}\n0 & t < \delta \\
\varphi_{\rm m} \left[ 1 - e^{-\left(\frac{t-\delta}{\tau}\right)^{\alpha}} \right] & t \geq \delta\n\end{cases}
$$
\n(2)

where  $\varphi(t)$  is the oil recovery at time t,  $\varphi_m$  is referred to as the maximum oil recovery during the whole displacement process, the parameter  $\delta$ ,  $\tau$  and  $\alpha$  are the water film breakthrough time, displacement time constant, and stretching factor, respectively. The parameters  $\varphi_m$ ,  $\delta$ ,  $\tau$ , and  $\alpha$  for each case are listed in Table 2.

Taking H3 as an example, according to the fitted  $\varphi(t)$ –t curve, the whole displacing process could be divided into four stages

Table 2 Maximum oil recovery and parameters in the fitted piecewise function for different cases

Case name	$\varphi_{\rm m}$ (%)	$\alpha$	$\delta$ (ps)	$\tau$ (ps)
H1	75	1.018	12.0	385.0
H <sub>2</sub>	75	1.124	50.0	374.3
H <sub>3</sub>	75	1.078	110.0	352.3
L1	78	1.202	9.0	326.5
L2	80	1.222	37.0	380.9
L <sub>3</sub>	84	1.825	85.0	385.8

(Fig. 7a). During stage I, when t is less than  $\delta$ , the value of  $\varphi$ equals to zero, which means the oil is well sealed by the water film and no oil molecules is displaced out of the groove. When  $t$ is equal and more than  $\delta$ , an exponential increase of  $\varphi$  can be observed, corresponding to the swelling and massive displacement of oil. This process belongs to stages II and III, which can be split by the inflection point time  $t = \delta + 0.5\tau$ . When t is larger than  $\delta$  + 3 $\tau$ ,  $\varphi$  reaches 99% of the  $\varphi$ <sub>m</sub> value, and the displacement is completed (donated as stage IV). The observed trend remained consistent across different water contents and external pressures in other cases (Fig. 7b, c, S7a–c†). This discovery mirrors the findings of Luan and Tang's work.<sup>34,45</sup>

As indicated in Table 2, for each case, when  $t \geq \delta$ , the stretching factor  $a$  is greater than 1, conforming to the characteristics of a compressed exponential function. Comparing cases with different water contents under the same external pressure, it is observed that a more extended time  $\delta$  is required for oil molecules to break through the water film in cases with higher water content. The scaling law for  $\delta$  relative to water film thickness is approximately 2, consistent with Luan's findings.<sup>34</sup> Surprisingly, a longer time is required to rupture the water film

for the same water content under higher pressures. Conversely, the displacement time constant  $\tau$  decreases with water film thickness at higher pressures, while an opposite trend is observed at lower displacement pressures. According to formula (2), when t exceeds  $\delta$  + 3 $\tau$ , the  $\varphi$ <sub>m</sub> for each case is calculated as 75% for H1, H2, and H3 at 4 MPa, and 78%, 80%, and 84% for L1, L2, and L3 at 1 MPa, respectively. This shows a lower value for cases under higher external pressures. For all cases, the corresponding fitted curves are depicted in Fig. 7d and S7d,<sup>†</sup> respectively. Overall, formula (2) enables the quantitative determination of displacement efficiency and completion time under various conditions.

# 4. Conclusions

This study simulated and analyzed the displacement of residual oil in a water-cut silica groove by  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  flooding. Our findings affirm that the rupture of the water film is essential for effective oil displacement; no oil molecules are displaced from the groove until the water film is breached, and the time it takes to rupture the water film directly determines the initiation of oil displacement. Two primary factors were identified for the collapse of the water film: the dissolution of  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  molecules within the water film and the hydrogen bond interactions between water and rock. Both factors contribute to the rupture by disrupting the hydrogen bond network among the water molecules. Furthermore, it was established that the relationship between oil recovery and displacement time follows a piecewise function, which allows the displacement process to be segmented into four distinct stages. This segmentation enables the quantitative assessment of displacement efficiency and completion time under varying conditions. This research enhances the understanding of the  $CO<sub>2</sub>$  EOR process involving water blocking and is expected to benefit practical oil exploitation endeavors. Paper<br>
For the same searc tensor whole presences, correcting to formula<br>
the displacement interesting to formula<br>
observed a Lowe of the same search with same film there were the correction-<br>
observed a Lowe displacement

# Data availability

The data supporting this article have been included as part of the ESI.†

# Author contributions

Pengfei Lu: writing-original draft and funding acquisition. Zichen Yan: software and data curation. Jiawen Lai: investigation and visualization. Keke Wang: writing-review & editing and funding acquisition.

# Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

# Acknowledgements

This work was financially supported by the Doctoral Research Start-up Fund Project of Suzhou University (No. 2021BSK053), Natural Science Research Project of Suzhou University (No. 2022YKF04), and University-Level Platform Project of Suzhou University (2021XJPT07).

# References

- 1 S. A. Shedid, J. Pet. Sci. Eng., 2006, 50, 285–292.
- 2 L. Xue, P. Liu and Y. Zhang, Water, 2023, 15, 1342.
- 3 Y. Yang, H. Yang, L. Tao, J. Yao, W. Wang, K. Zhang and L. Luquot, J. Energy Resour. Technol., 2019, 141, 092903.
- 4 Z. Xue, K. Panthi, Y. Fei, K. P. Johnston and K. K. Mohanty, Energy Fuels, 2015, 29, 5750–5760.
- 5 F. Wang and H. Wu, Soft Matter, 2013, 9, 7974.
- 6 M. A. Ahmadi and S. R. Shadizadeh, J. Pet. Sci. Eng., 2013, 110, 66–73.
- 7 M. Tahir, R. E. Hincapie, N. Langanke, L. Ganzer and P. Jaeger, Polymers, 2020, 12, 1227.
- 8 S. B. Badmos, T. Bui, A. Striolo and D. R. Cole, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2019, 123, 23907–23918.
- 9 L. Li, Y. Su, Y. Hao, S. Zhan, Y. Lv, Q. Zhao and H. Wang, J. Pet. Sci. Eng., 2019, 181, 106174.
- 10 Y. Liu and Z. Rui, Engineering, 2022, 18, 79–87.
- 11 A. I. Vavouraki, C. V. Putnis, A. Putnis and P. G. Koutsoukos, Cryst. Growth Des., 2009, 10, 60–69.
- 12 R. Shen, W. Xiong, X. Lang, L. Wang, H. Guo, H. Zhou, X. Zhang and H. Yang, Energy Explor. Exploit., 2021, 39, 1839–1856.
- 13 R. G. Loucks, R. M. Reed, S. C. Ruppel and D. M. Jarvie, J. Sediment. Res., 2009, 79, 848–861.
- 14 P. Zhao, Z. Wang, Z. Sun, J. Cai and L. Wang, Mar. Pet. Geol., 2017, 86, 1067–1081.
- 15 Y. Zhao, Y. Zhang, X. Lei, Y. Zhang and Y. Song, Energy, 2020, 203, 117878.
- 16 J. Liu and R. Song, Prog. Comput. Fluid Dyn., 2015, 15, 317– 326.
- 17 H. Ott, K. de Kloe, M. van Bakel, F. Vos, A. van Pelt, P. Legerstee, A. Bauer, K. Eide, A. van der Linden, S. Berg and A. Makurat, Rev. Sci. Instrum., 2012, 83, 084501.
- 18 H. Wang, Y. Bernabé, U. Mok and B. Evans, J. Geophys. Res.: Space Phys., 2016, 121, 7965–7983.
- 19 M. S. Karambeigi, R. Abbassi, E. Roayaei and M. A. Emadi, J. Ind. Eng. Chem., 2015, 29, 382–391.
- 20 L. Li, C. Wang, D. Li, J. Fu, Y. Su and Y. Lv, RSC Adv., 2019, 9, 28857–28869.
- 21 H. Zhang, D. Hou and K. Li, J. Chem., 2015, 2015, 1–10.
- 22 M. Abdurrahman, A. K. Permadi and W. S. Bae, J. Pet. Sci. Eng., 2015, 131, 165–171.
- 23 K. Zhang, N. Jia and F. Zeng, Fuel, 2018, 220, 412–419.
- 24 F. M. Orr and K. Jessen, Fluid Phase Equilib., 2007, 255, 99–109.
- 25 K. E. Karim, M. Barisik, C. Bakli and B. Kim, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 2024, 26, 19069–19082.
- 26 Q. Kim and W. Jhe, NANOSCALE, 2020, 12, 18701–18709.
- 27 M. S. Santos, L. F. M. Franco, M. Castier and I. G. Economou, Energy Fuels, 2018, 32, 1934–1941.
- 28 T. Fang, Y. Zhang, J. Liu, B. Ding, Y. Yan and J. Zhang, Int. J. Heat Mass Transfer, 2019, 141, 643–650.
- 29 F. Peng, R. Wang, Z. Guo and G. Feng, J. Phys. Commun., 2018, 2, 115028.
- 30 S. Wang, F. Javadpour and Q. Feng, Fuel, 2016, 171, 74–86.
- 31 B. Liu, X. Li, C. Qi, T. Mai, K. Zhan, L. Zhao and Y. Shen, RSC Adv., 2018, 8, 1461–1468.
- 32 T. Fang, M. Wang, Y. Gao, Y. Zhang, Y. Yan and J. Zhang, Chem. Eng. Sci., 2019, 197, 204–211.
- 33 Y. Luan, B. Liu, P. Hao, K. Zhan and J. Liu, J. Pet. Sci. Eng., 2020, 188, 106899.
- 34 Y. Luan, X. Dou, Y. Zhou, P. Hao, B. Liu and J. Liu, Energy Fuels, 2022, 36, 4348–4357.
- 35 S. Li, Y. Pan, S. Yang and Z. Li, Geoenergy Sci. Eng., 2023, 231, 212290.
- 36 Y. Deng, Z. Li, S. Rao, H. Zheng, X. Huang, Q. Liu, D. Wang and H. Lu, Appl. Surf. Sci., 2023, 633, 157559.
- 37 P. Lu, T. Mo, Y. Wei, Z. Guo and G. Feng, J. Supercrit. Fluids, 2022, 181, 105507.
- 38 H. J. C. Berendsen, J. P. M. Postma, W. F. van Gunsteren, A. DiNola and J. R. Haak, J. Chem. Phys., 1984, 81, 3684–3690.
- 39 S. Nosé, J. Chem. Phys., 1984, 81, 511-519.
- 40 B. Hess, C. Kutzner, D. van der Spoel and E. Lindahl, J. Chem. Theory Comput., 2008, 4, 435–447.
- 41 W. Humphrey, A. Dalke and K. Schulten, J. Mol. Graphics, 1996, 14, 33–38.
- 42 A. Zhu, X. Zhang, Q. Liu and Q. Zhang, Chin. J. Chem. Eng., 2009, 17, 268–272.
- 43 R. T. Cygan, J. Liang and A. G. Kalinichev, J. Phys. Chem. B, 2004, 108, 1255–1266.
- 44 R. Wang, F. Peng, K. Song, G. Feng and Z. Guo, Fluid Phase Equilib., 2018, 467, 25–32.
- 45 M. Cui, R. Wang, C. Lv and Y. Tang, J. Pet. Sci. Eng., 2017, 154, 315–321.