RSC Advances



View Article Online

View Journal | View Issue

PAPER

Check for updates

Cite this: RSC Adv., 2024, 14, 24236

Received 28th June 2024 Accepted 26th July 2024 DOI: 10.1039/d4ra04712e

rsc.li/rsc-advances

Introduction

The formation of diphenylmethyl ethers (DPME) from alcohols and their trans-etherification have been subjects of investigation for several decades due to the interest in DPME protection of alcohols during multi-step organic syntheses.¹ In addition, DPMEs are an integral part of several pharmacologically important molecules, such as the antihistamine diphenhydramine (benzhydryl dimethylaminoethyl ether) hydrochloride (Benadryl[®]),² anti-cholinergic orphenadrine hydrochloride (Disipal[®]),³ anti-depressant tofenacin hydrochloride (Elamol[®]),³ etc. (Fig. 1). Several procedures for the direct self- and crossetherification of benzyl alcohols, particularly diphenylmethanol have been reported in the literature.^{4,5} The preparation of DPMEs reported eight decades ago used tridiphenylmethylphosphate as an alkylating agent, accelerated using trifluoracetic acid as a catalyst.6 Bis(diphenylmethyl) ether was also prepared using (diethylamino)sulfur trifluoride (DAST),⁷ zeolite,⁸ or *p*-toluenesulfonyl chloride (*p*-TsCl),⁹ etc. as catalysts. Cross ethers from DPM and alcohols can be prepared employing several catalysts, such as Fe(NO₃)₃,¹⁰ Fe(OTf)₃,¹¹

Titanium tetrafluoride catalysis for the dehydrative conversion of diphenylmethanols to symmetric and unsymmetric ethers†

Aman G. Singh, ២ Abdulkhaliq A. Alawaed and P. Veeraraghavan Ramachandran ២ *

In contrast to the conversion of diphenylmethanol to the corresponding halides with an equivalent of titanium tetrachloride or -bromide, catalytic (50 mol%) titanium tetrafluoride converts benzhydrols in diethyl ether or dichloromethane to bis(benzhydryl) ethers within 0.5–1 h at room temperature. Cross ether formation with diphenylmethanols and primary aryl or aliphatic alcohols is achieved in the presence of 25 mol% TiF₄ in refluxing toluene as solvent. A tentative mechanism involving a carbocation intermediate has been proposed.

 $Cu(NO_3)_2$,¹² MoO₂(acac)₂,¹³ PdCl₂,¹⁴⁻¹⁶ BF₃-Et₂O,¹⁷ Nafion-H,¹⁸ NaAuCl₄,¹⁹ *etc.* Use of diphenyldiazomethane²⁰ and trichloroacetimidate²¹ for the synthesis of DPMEs and *trans*-etherification of DPMEs with ytterbium triflate [Yb(OTF)₃]²² and FeCl₃ ²³ have also been reported.

Our accidental discovery of the etherification of diphenylmethanols in the presence of titanium tetrafluoride (TiF₄) originated from the reduction of benzophenone to diphenylmethanol (DPM, **1a**) with borane-ammonia in the presence of titanium tetrachloride (TiCl₄).²⁴ We had observed that in addition to DPM, the corresponding diphenylmethyl chloride could also be prepared by altering the stoichiometry of TiCl₄.²⁵ This led to a titanium tetrachloride or -bromide-mediated conversion of benzhydrols to benzydryl halides (Scheme 1),²⁵ which serve as precursors for several piperazine derivatives possessing biological properties.²⁶ This dehydroxyhalogenation was extended to benzyl alcohol and other alcohols as well.²⁵ We had postulated that the halogenation of DPM and alcohols proceeds *via* a carbocation intermediate and, indeed, recently reported on the use of benzyl alcohols as pre-electrophiles for



Fig. 1 Diphenylmethyl aminoethyl ether pharmaceuticals.

[†] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Optimization details, experimental procedures, product characterization, and ¹H, ¹³C, and ¹⁹F NMR spectra of products. See DOI: https://doi.org/10.1039/d4ra04712e



Scheme 1 Reactions of diphenylmethanol with/in the presence of $\mathsf{Ti}X_4.$

Department of Chemistry, Purdue University, West Lafayette, IN 47907, USA. E-mail: chandran@purdue.edu

Paper

Friedel-Crafts reactions in the presence of TiCl₄.²⁷ Based on a reported titanium tetrafluoride-mediated fluorination during Prins cyclization,28 we were interested in examining the potential for a dehydroxyfluorination of alcohols using TiF₄. Unexpectedly, the reaction of DPM with a molar equiv. of TiF_4 in diethyl ether (Et₂O) at room temperature (RT) resulted in the formation of the corresponding bis(benzhydryl) ether (2a) in 91% yield within 30 minutes. Further examination of this reaction has led to an efficient dehydrative dimerization of substituted DPMs and cross-etherification with primary alcohols. An examination of the plausible mechanism of this reaction was also undertaken.

Results and discussion

The effect of stoichiometry, solvent, concentration, etc. on the TiF₄-mediated room-temperature self-etherification was assessed first (Table 1). Optimization of the catalyst stoichiometry revealed that 50 mol% of TiF4 is sufficient to complete the dehydrative dimerization. The reaction was very facile at RT in Et₂O, dichloromethane (DCM), and hexanes. A reaction in toluene at RT gave the bis(diphenylmethyl) ether 2a and the Friedel-Crafts product 5 in an 84:16 ratio (vide infra). The reaction in other solvents, such as tetrahydrofuran (THF), and nitromethane show product formation, but fail to undergo completion (TLC). Solvents such as dimethoxyethane (DME) and acetonitrile do not facilitate self-etherification, probably due to complexation with the catalyst.29 The solubility of the catalyst in the solvents was not favourable for a higher concentration reaction and optimal yields were achieved in 0.33 M Et₂O, DCM, and *n*-hexane. The best yields were obtained when using DCM as solvent.

Table 1 Optimization of reaction conditions for the preparation of 2a from 1a in the presence of catalytic TiF₄ at RT^a

C C	TiF ₄ (X mol%) Solvent, RT	
1a		2a

Entry	TiF ₄ , mol%	Solvent	Reaction time, h	^b Product 1a : 2a (yield%)
1	10	Et ₂ O	24	57 • 43
2	25	Et ₂ O	24	25:75
3	50	Et_2O	0.5	0:100 (91)
4	50	Hexanes	0.5	0:100 (85)
5	50	DCM	1	0:100 (97)
6	50	Toluene	2	$0:84:16^{c}$
7	50	CH_3CN	0.5	100:0
8	50	DME	0.5	100:0
9	50	THF	1	95:5
10	50	NO_2Me	1	22:78

^a All reactions were carried out at 1 mmol scale with 0.33 M solvent. ^b Isolated yields. ^c Friedel–Crafts reaction product.

Having standardized the reaction, a series of diphenylmethanols, prepared *via* the sodium borohydride reduction of the corresponding benzophenones or Grignard reaction of the corresponding benzaldehydes bearing an electron-donating and -with-drawing substituent on the phenyl ring, were converted to the corresponding bis(benzydryl) ethers in Et₂O or CH₂Cl₂. Thus, DPMs with a 4-bromo- (1b), 4-methoxy- (1c), 4methyl- (1d), 4-nitro- (1e), and 4-fluoro-(1f) substituent on one of the phenyl rings were converted to the bis-ethers 2b-2f in 92-99% yields (Table 2). DPMs substituted with electronwithdrawing groups and halogens provided the corresponding bis-ethers in near quantitative yields. However, those with electron-donating groups provided slightly lower yields. Evidently, this may be attributed to the stability of the intermediate carbocations (vide infra).

Curious whether benzyl alcohol (3a) can be converted to dibenzyl ether in the presence of TiF₄, a reaction was performed in Et₂O at RT. Unlike the reaction of 3a with titanium tetrachloride and -bromide which led to the corresponding benzyl halides,²⁵ the reaction with TiF₄ did not yield any of the fluoride nor the corresponding dibenzyl ether products; the alcohol was recovered completely.

We sought to exploit this lack of reactivity of a primary alcohol to develop a direct cross-etherification/protection of alcohols by preparing the DPM ether via TiF₄ catalysis. Unfortunately, a reaction of 1a and 3a in diethyl ether in the presence of 50 mol%, or even 100 mol% TiF₄ resulted only in the formation of 2a and none of the cross ether (4aa). Fortuitously, when the above reaction was performed in the presence of 25 mol% TiF₄ at higher temperature, in refluxing toluene, 4aa was isolated in 91% yield within 2 h. Notably, not even traces of 2a were observed during this reaction. To verify whether the formation of 4aa is proceeding via a trans-etherification of 2a,¹¹ a solution of 2a and 3a in toluene was refluxed for 2 h, with and without TiF4. None of 4aa was formed in the latter reaction, but the former reaction revealed the formation of 4aa, albeit at a slow rate. The reversibility of the bis-ether formation step is

Table 2 Preparation of bis(diphenylmethyl) ethers in the presence of catalytic TiF₄ at room temperature⁴

Entry	R (1)					
#	#	R	#	Solvent	^b Yield%	
1	1a 1b	H Br	2a 2b	DCM DCM	97 99	
3	10 10	OMe	20 20	Et ₂ O	95	
4	1d	Ме	2d	Et_2O	92	
5	1e	NO_2	2e	DCM	99	
6	1f	F	2 f	Et_2O	99	

^a Reaction at 1 mmol scale with 0.33 M Et₂O/CH₂Cl₂ at RT in the presence of 50 mol% TiF4. b Isolated yields.

Table 3 Preparation of alkyl (diphenylmethyl) ethers in the presence of catalytic TiF_4^a

		ROH (3)		Ether (4)		
#	1	#	R	#	Structure	^b Yield%
1	1a	3a	Bn	4aa	Ph Ph Ph	91
2	1a	3b	Ме	4ab	Ph Ph Me	96 ^c
3	1b	3b	Me	4bb	Br	99 ^c
4	1a	3c	Et	4ac	Ph-o_Et	91 ^{<i>c</i>}
5	1 a	3d	<i>n</i> -Bu	4ad	Ph-0	96
6	1a	3e	ClCH ₂ CH ₂	4ae		91
7	1a	3f	<i>p</i> -ClBn	4af	Ph-0-C-CI	98
8 9	1a 1a	3g 3h	<i>n</i> -C ₆ H ₁₁ <i>t</i> -Bu	4ag 4ah	${ m NR}^{d}$	
10	1a	3i	CH2=CHCH2	4ai	Ph-0_/	94 ^{<i>c</i>}
11	1b	3i	CH2=CHCH2	4bi	Br C C	97 ^c

^{*a*} Reaction conditions: 1 mmol scale, reflux in 0.33 M toluene for 2 h with 25 mol% TiF₄. ^{*b*} Isolated yields. ^{*c*} With 1.25 equiv. of 3. ^{*d*} NR = no reaction.

discussed later (*vide infra*: mechanism). A similar reaction with methanol (**3b**) in refluxing toluene provided 96% of the cross ether (**4ab**) and none of the dimer **2a** (Table 3).

Ethanol (3c), and *n*-butanol (3d) provided the corresponding ethers 4ac and 4ad, in 91% and 96% yields, respectively. Similarly, 4-bromo-substituted benzhydrol (1b) provided the corresponding methoxy ether (4bb) in 99% yield. 2-Chloroethanol (3e) and *p*-chlorobenzyl alcohol (3f) were also treated with 1a, which provided high yields of 91% and 98% respectively for the corresponding DPM ethers, 4ae and 4af, respectively. Chloroether 4ae is an intermediate for the preparation of Benadryl[®].² More hindered 2°- and 3°-alcohols, cyclohexanol (3g) and tertbutanol (3h), respectively failed to provide the desired etherification products 4ag and 4ah respectively in toluene as solvent, but 2a was formed. On the other hand, allyl alcohol (3i) when reacted with the DPMs 1a and 1b yielded 94% and 97% of ethers 4ai and 4bi, respectively.

Reaction mechanism

Having developed efficient protocols for the preparation of symmetrical and unsymmetrical ethers from DPMs, we turned our attention to rationalize the difference in behaviour of the



Scheme 2 Mechanistic pathway for TiF₄-mediated etherification of DPM.

tetrafluoro-reagent compared to the tetrachloro- and tetrabromotitanium derivatives. We had earlier established that the chlorination and bromination occurs *via* a carbocation,²⁵ which was confirmed by carrying out a Friedel–Crafts reaction with pro-electrophiles, such as alcohols in the presence of the latter reagents.²⁷ It is known that alcohols and amines form a complex with titanium tetrafluoride.²⁹ Once this occurs, an S_N1 pathway can be envisaged for the formation of the ether involving an intermediate carbocation (Scheme 2).

The intermediacy of the carbocation can be presumed from the Friedel–Crafts alkylation product during the reaction of **1a** in toluene as solvent at RT (Table 1, entry 6). Indeed, to demonstrate the presence of the carbocation unambiguously, a Friedel–Crafts reaction of DPM and an equivalent of TiF₄ was conducted in refluxing benzene, anticipating the formation of triphenylmethane (5). The reaction proceeded to completion in 2 h and the ¹H NMR of the product revealed the formation of **5** along with **2a** in a 2:1 ratio. To facilitate the Friedel–Crafts alkylation, we carried out a similar reaction with DPM and 50 mol% TiF₄ in refluxing toluene, which is a better substrate for Friedel–Crafts due to the increased electron density of the phenyl ring. Indeed, we isolated (*p*-tolylmethylene)dibenzene



^a Reactions carried out at 1 mmol scale.

(5) exclusively in 96% yield, confirming the presence of a carbocation intermediate (Table 4). It is noteworthy that the triphenylmethane moiety forms the backbone for several dyes,^{30,31} and drugs possessing antiseptic,³² antihelmintic, and antimicrobial properties.³³ They are also present in photodynamic therapy³⁴ agents.

Conclusion

In conclusion, we have developed a facile titanium tetrafluoridecatalysed dehydration protocol for the synthesis of symmetric and unsymmetric ethers from diphenylmethanol and related compounds by themselves at RT or with primary alcohols in refluxing toluene. This quick, room temperature synthesis of symmetrical ethers affords yields in the range of 92–99% and the cross-ethers in refluxing toluene in 91–99% yields. Mechanistic studies point to a carbocation pathway, which is confirmed by a TiF₄-mediated Friedel–Crafts reaction. Although the process is efficient in preparing ethers, it fails when amines are used as the nucleophile, perhaps due to the complexation of TiF₄ with amines. Continued studies on a potential dehydrative amination are underway.

Data availability

The data supporting this article have been included as part of the ESI.†

Author contributions

P. V. Ramachandran: funding acquisition, conceptualization, project administration, writing – review and editing; A. G. Singh: data curation, investigation, methodology, validation; A. A. Alawaed: data curation, investigation, methodology, validation.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Notes and references

- 1 M. T. Thornton and L. C. Henderson, *Org. Prep. Proced. Int.*, 2013, **45**, 395–420.
- 2 R. S. Vardanyan and V. J. Hruby, in *Synthesis of Essential Drugs*, ed. R. S. Vardanyan and V. J. Hruby, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2006, pp. 219–235.
- 3 N. Capstick and H. Pudney, J. Int. Med. Res., 1976, 4, 435-440.
- 4 R. Paredes and R. L. Pérez, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1998, **39**, 2037–2038.
- 5 J. M. Altimari, J. P. Delaney, L. Servinis, J. S. Squire, M. T. Thornton, S. K. Khosa, B. M. Long, M. D. Johnstone, C. L. Fleming, F. M. Pfeffer, S. M. Hickey, M. P. Wride, T. D. Ashton, B. L. Fox, N. Byrne and L. C. Henderson, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2012, 53, 2035–2039.
- 6 L. Lapatsanis, Tetrahedron Lett., 1978, 19, 3943-3944.
- 7 A. L. Johnson, J. Org. Chem., 1982, 47, 5220-5222.

- 8 J. Cooke, E. J. Henderson and O. C. Lightbody, *J. Chem. Educ.*, 2009, **86**, 610.
- 9 G. Brahmachari and B. Banerjee, *Org. Med. Chem. Lett.*, 2013, 3, 1.
- 10 V. V. Namboodiri and R. S. Varma, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2002, **43**, 4593–4595.
- 11 P. K. Sahoo, S. S. Gawali and C. Gunanathan, *ACS Omega*, 2018, 3, 124–136.
- 12 M.-H. Bian, L.-Y. Lu, P. Zhang and Z.-X. Guo, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2022, **99**, 153838.
- 13 R. R. Singh, A. Whittington and R. S. Srivastava, J. Mol. Catal., 2020, 492, 110954.
- 14 Y. Bikard, J.-M. Weibel, C. Sirlin, L. Dupuis, J.-P. Loeffler and P. Pale, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2007, **48**, 8895–8899.
- 15 Y. Bikard, R. Mezaache, J.-M. Weibel, A. Benkouider, C. Sirlin and P. Pale, *Tetrahedron*, 2008, **64**, 10224–10232.
- 16 M. Saudi and A. Van Aerschot, *Molecules*, 2013, **18**, 8524-8534.
- 17 J. Li, X. Zhang, H. Shen, Q. Liu, J. Pan, W. Hu, Y. Xiong and C. Chen, *Adv. Synth. Catal.*, 2015, **357**, 3115–3120.
- 18 M. A. Stanescu and R. S. Varma, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2002, 43, 7307–7309.
- 19 A. B. Cuenca, G. Mancha, G. Asensio and M. Medio-Simón, *Chem.-Eur. J.*, 2008, **14**, 1518-1523.
- 20 D. Best, S. F. Jenkinson, S. D. Rule, R. Higham, T. B. Mercer, R. J. Newell, A. C. Weymouth-Wilson, G. W. J. Fleet and S. Petursson, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2008, 49, 2196–2199.
- 21 K. T. Howard, B. C. Duffy, M. R. Linaburg and J. D. Chisholm, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2016, 14, 1623–1628.
- 22 G. V. M. Sharma, T. Rajendra Prasad and A. K. Mahalingam, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2001, **42**, 759–761.
- 23 V. H. Tran, M. T. La and H.-K. Kim, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2019, 17, 6221–6228.
- 24 P. V. Ramachandran, A. A. Alawaed and H. J. Hamann, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2022, **87**, 13259–13269.
- 25 P. V. Ramachandran, A. A. Alawaed and H. J. Hamann, *Org. Lett.*, 2023, **25**, 4650–4655.
- 26 A. Venkat Narsaiah and P. Narsimha, *Med. Chem. Res.*, 2012, 21, 538–541.
- 27 P. V. Ramachandran, R. Lin, A. A. Alawaed and H. J. Hamann, *RSC Adv.*, 2024, 14, 15554–15559.
- 28 S. Bondalapati, U. C. Reddy, D. S. Kundu, A. K. Saikia and J. Fluor, *Chem*, 2010, **131**, 320–324.
- 29 G. B. Nikiforov, H. W. Roesky and D. Koley, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2014, **258–259**, 16–57.
- 30 M. S. Shchepinov and V. A. Korshun, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2003, 32, 170–180.
- 31 X. Lu, Q. Che, X. Niu, Y. Zhang, Y. e. Chen, Q. Han, M. Li, S. Wang and J. Lan, *Molecules*, 2023, 28, 5401.
- 32 I. J. Kligler, J. Exp. Med., 1918, 27, 463-476.
- 33 K. T. Chen, C. S. Lu, T. H. Chang, Y. Y. Lai, T. H. Chang,
 C. W. Wu and C. C. Chen, *J. Hazard. Mater.*, 2010, 174, 598–609.
- 34 K. Li, W. Lei, G. Jiang, Y. Hou, B. Zhang, Q. Zhou and X. Wang, *Langmuir*, 2014, 30, 14573–14580.