


 Cite this: *RSC Adv.*, 2024, 14, 21778

Total synthesis of $[\beta\text{-Hlle}]^2$ -nodupetide: effect of ester to amide substitution on its antimicrobial activity†

 Harra Ismi Farah,^{ac} Unang Supratman,^{id abc} Ace Tatang Hidayat^{abc} and Rani Maharani^{id *abc}

The increasing prevalence of deaths due to multidrug-resistant bacteria (MDRB) in infectious disease therapy has become a global health concern. This led to the development of new antimicrobial therapeutic agents that can combat resistance to pathogenic bacteria. The utilization of natural peptide compounds as potential antimicrobial agents is very promising. Nodupetide, a cyclodepsipeptide with very strong antimicrobial activity against *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* was isolated from the fermentation of *Nodulisporium* sp. Unfortunately, one of its residues (3*S*,4*S*)-3-hydroxy-4-methylhexanoic acid (HMHA) is not commercially available and the synthesis strategies applied have not been successful. Hence, we synthesized its cyclopeptide analogue $[\beta\text{-Hlle}]^2$ -nodupetide by replacing HMHA with isoleucine homologue. A combination of solid- and solution-phase peptide synthesis was successfully carried out to synthesize $[\beta\text{-Hlle}]^2$ -nodupetide with an overall yield of 10.4%. The substitution of HMHA with β -homoisoleucine ($\beta\text{-Hlle}$) changed the ester bond into an amide bond in nodupetide's backbone. The analogue was considerably inactive against *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. It can be concluded that the ester bond is crucial for the antimicrobial activity of nodupetide.

 Received 16th June 2024
 Accepted 23rd June 2024

 DOI: 10.1039/d4ra04401k
rsc.li/rsc-advances

Introduction

Multidrug-resistant bacteria (MDRB), triggered by inappropriate and prolonged use of antibiotics, is currently a global health concern. In recent years, there has been an increasing number of annual mortality cases caused by multidrug-resistant *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* (MDRP) and methicillin- and vancomycin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA and VRSA), which are major antibiotics used for the treatment of infectious diseases.¹ Thus, to reduce the prevalence of MDRB cases, it is important to develop new antimicrobial agents with a broad mechanism of action.

Nodupetide **1** (Fig. 1), which is one of the natural cyclodepsipeptides, was isolated from the fermentation of the fungi *Nodulisporium* sp. and exhibited strong antimicrobial activity against *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. Nodupetide has two non-proteogenic amino acid (NPPA) residues, namely (3*S*,4*S*)-3-hydroxy-4-methylhexanoic acid (HMHA) and D-Leu residues.²

The presence of these NPPA residues can increase the stability and permeability of peptides.³ Bioactive natural peptides can be intrinsically used as drug candidates; however, their low bioavailability and short plasma time often hinder their therapeutic use and require structural optimization.⁴ A strategy to address this challenge is by approaching the chemical synthesis of peptides. However, the peptide synthesis approach is also immensely challenging. It begins with the synthesis of precursors followed by the purification of the target molecule, which often present many challenges and require stupendous efforts.⁵

The challenges in the preparation of NPAA precursors are associated with stereoselectivity and low yield.⁴ In our study to synthesize nodupetide, we faced difficulties in synthesizing




Fig. 1 Structure of nodupetida **1** and its analogue $[\beta\text{-Hlle}]^2$ -nodupetide **2**.

^aDepartment of Chemistry, Faculty of Mathematics and Natural Science, Universitas Padjadjaran, Jatinangor, West Java, Indonesia. E-mail: r.maharani@unpad.ac.id

^bCentral Laboratory, Universitas Padjadjaran, Jalan Raya Bandung-Sumedang KM 21, Jatinangor 45363, West Java, Indonesia

^cPharmacy Faculty, Universitas Mulawarman, Samarinda 75242, East Kalimantan, Indonesia

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1039/d4ra04401k>



HMHA precursor. The synthesis procedure we followed involved low reproducibility, instability, and high cost, which were obstacles in the synthesis of HMHA. In addition, HMHA is not commercially available. Therefore, nodupetide has not been synthesized since HMHA is not successfully synthesized.

These obstacles lead us to replace HMHA with β -homoisoleucine (β -Hile), which is a readily available residue. β -Hile is an isoleucine homologue and also classified as NPAA, which provide the advantage of resistance to proteolysis enzymes.⁶ The replacement with this residue converts the ester bond into an amide bond in the nodupetide backbone.

Alteration of the peptide backbone would affect the stereochemistry, conformation and physicochemical properties of the analogue, which consequently affects its biological activity.^{7–9} Either it increases or decreases its antimicrobial activity. The mechanism of antimicrobial action itself is a complex process with multiple factors influencing the growth inhibition or death of microbes.^{10,11} As mentioned in the synthesis of daptomycin analogues, the change of ester to amide decreased their antibacterial activity.⁸ Chen and co-workers reported that increasing the hydrophobicity of α -helical antimicrobial peptides can increase its antimicrobial activity, but a further increase in hydrophobicity can dramatically decrease its activity.⁷

A combination of solid- and solution-phase peptide synthesis is an effective strategy in the development of cyclopeptide compounds.^{12–14} The linear peptide is synthesized in the solid phase and cyclized in the liquid phase with a very dilute concentration to prevent side reactions during the cyclization process. The synthesis of nodupetide and its analogues has not been reported previously.

Hence, in this study, we designed and synthesized [β -Hile]²-nodupetide **2**, which is analogue of nodupetide **1** (Fig. 1) in which HMHA is replaced with β -Hile. In addition, the purpose of this study is to evaluate the alteration of the ester-to-amide bond in the nodupetide backbone on its antimicrobial activity.

Result and discussion

Synthesis of [β -Hile]²-nodupetide (**2**)

[β -Hile]²-nodupetide **2** was synthesized using a combination of solid- and solution-phase peptide synthesis (Fig. 2). Linear [β -Hile]²-nodupetide was synthesized in the solid phase, and cyclization was carried out in the solution phase. The cyclization sites chosen were glycine as C-terminus and valine as N-terminus. Glycine as C-terminus can provide an advantage to the cyclization process, as it can induce the desired conformation for cyclization. Therefore, the sequence of amino acid residues of [β -Hile]²-nodupetide is [Gly]¹, [β -Hile]², [Val]³, [Ala]⁴, [D-Leu]⁵, [Val]⁶.

Linear hexapeptide **9** was synthesized by SPPS, initiated by attaching the first amino acid residue Fmoc-Gly-OH onto 2-chlorotrityl chloride (2-CTC) resin. The use of 2-CTC resin can suppress racemization, and prevent DKP formation, and resin release can be carried out under mild acidic conditions.¹⁵ The loading resin value was obtained as 0.542 mmol g⁻¹. In order to

avoid loading resin value of over 1 mmol g⁻¹, a reaction for small residues such as glycine can be accomplished with a shorter reaction time. The high-loading resin value might induce the peptide chain to form aggregates through hydrophobic interactions, which can inhibit subsequent coupling reactions.¹⁶ The resin was then capped to mask the remaining chlorine group on the resin to prevent early rupture of the peptide chain.

The coupling reaction for peptide chain elongation of [β -Hile]²-nodupetide linear **9** was accomplished using a combination of DIC and an additive of OxymaPure with a rapid reaction time, which only took 2 h for each coupling reaction. A combination of DIC and OxymaPure has demonstrated superior performance in amino acid activation for peptide synthesis.^{17,18} The use of OxymaPure as an additive is excellent, as it is known to suppress racemization and can increase the efficiency of the coupling reaction.^{18,19} The elongation of the linear peptide chain began with the attachment of the second residue Fmoc-L- β -Hile-OH on peptidyl-resin **3** and generated the dipeptidyl-resin **4**. The successful completion of the coupling reaction was monitored using the chloranil test and showed a negative result, in which the colour of the resin did not change. Before further amino acid coupling, deprotection of the Fmoc group was firstly carried out using 20% piperidine in DMF, a successful deprotection was indicated by the positive result of the chloranil test, which is the resin turning red. The coupling of the third to sixth residues, Fmoc-L-Val-OH, Fmoc-L-Ala-OH, Fmoc-D-Leu-OH, and Fmoc-L-Val-OH respectively followed by the removal of the Fmoc group repetitively and generated the intermediates **5**, **6**, **7** and hexapeptidyl-resin **8**, respectively. All coupling and deprotection reactions were monitored by the chloranil test.

The hexapeptidyl-resin **8** was further detached from the resin using 20% TFA in DCM, as the peptide chain has no side chain protecting group. The crude linear precursor of [β -Hile]²-nodupetide **9** gave a yield of 80.8%, based on the loading resin value. The linear hexapeptide crude was purified by flash column chromatography using C-18 silica gel with methanol : water (6 : 4) as the eluent. The desired linear hexapeptide **9** appeared as a major peak at a retention time of 4.43 min (Fig. S1†) and was obtained as a white powder of 5.3 mg, yield of 14.3% with >97% purity. The HR-ToF-MS spectra showed [M + H]⁺ with *m/z* 585.3973, which is consistent with the calculated mass data showing a [M + H]⁺ with *m/z* 585.3976 for C₂₈H₅₃N₆O₇ (Fig. S2†). The ¹H-NMR and ¹³C-NMR data showed the number of protons and carbons that corresponded to the structure of linear hexapeptide **9** (Fig. S3 and S4†).

Linear hexapeptide **9** cyclization is carried out under highly dilute conditions, to avoid the formation of side reaction products. Cyclization was carried out using HATU as a coupling reagent in dichloromethane at a very dilute concentration (1 mM). The cyclization reaction took up to 7 days to afford the desired cyclic crude product **2**. In addition, we also tried the cyclization using PyBOP coupling reagent in DMF (1.25 mM) with the addition of NaCl salt. The addition of NaCl salt initiated dipole interaction between sodium ions and oxygen atoms on the carbonyl group of amino acid residue resulting in






Fig. 2 General procedure of Fmoc-strategy SPPS and solution-phase macrocyclization, ((a)–(g) in solid phase) (a) (1) Fmoc-Gly-OH, DIPEA, DCM, 4 h, rt; (2) MeOH/DCM/DIPEA (15 : 80 : 5); (3) 20% piperidine in DMF, (b) (1) Fmoc-L-β-Hlle-OH, DIC, OxymaPure, DMF, 2 h, rt; (2) 20% piperidine in DMF, (c) (1) Fmoc-L-Val-OH, DIC, OxymaPure, DMF, 2 h, rt; (2) 20% piperidine in DMF, (d) (1) Fmoc-L-Ala-OH, DIC, OxymaPure, DMF, 2 h, rt; (2) 20% piperidine in DMF, (e) (1) Fmoc-D-Leu-OH, DIC, OxymaPure, DMF, 2 h, rt; (2) 20% piperidine in DMF, (f) (1) Fmoc-L-Val-OH, DIC, OxymaPure, DMF, 2 h; (2) 20% piperidine in DMF, (g) 20% TFA in DCM, 2 × 10 min, rt, (h) (solution phase) HATU, DIPEA, DCM, 7 days, rt.

a closer C-terminal with N-terminal, thereby the cyclization process will be easier.^{14,20} The addition of NaCl salt is also an attempt to increase the solubility of peptide **9**.^{14,20} The cyclization reaction with PyBOP and NaCl salt also requires a reaction time of 7 days. The reaction was monitored using TLC. The cyclic crude obtained using the PyBOP/NaCl coupling reagent in DMF produced a lesser crude product compared to the cyclic crude using HATU. This was probably due to the workup process after the reaction, which needed to be extracted using brine solution and organic solvent. After the cyclization reaction was completed, the reaction mixture was then concentrated with a rotary evaporator. The crude product was further purified using semipreparative RP-HPLC with 50% acetonitrile in water with 1% TFA, a flow rate of 2 mL min⁻¹ and yielded 10.4% [β-Hile]²-nodupetide with 93.5% of purity. Analytical RP-HPLC results showed a major peak at the retention time of 9.17 min (Fig. S5†).

[β-Hile]²-nodupetide **2** was characterized by HR-ToF-MS, ¹H-NMR, and ¹³C-NMR. The HR-ToF-MS spectrum of [β-Hile]²-nodupetide showed a molecular ion peak [M + H]⁺ *m/z* 567.3860, which is consistent with the calculated mass [M + H]⁺ *m/z* 567.3870 for C₂₈H₅₁N₆O₆ (Fig. S6†). Furthermore, the characterization results of [β-Hile]²-nodupetide **2** by ¹H-NMR and ¹³C-NMR (spectra in Fig. S7 and S8†) are presented in Table 1, and compared with nodupetide isolate from the literature.² The ¹H-NMR spectrum of [β-Hile]²-nodupetide **2** showed the presence

of six alpha protons at the chemical shifts of 4.41, 4.22, 4.02, 3.96, 3.91 and 2.44 ppm. ¹³C-NMR spectrum showed the presence of six carbonyl signals at chemical shifts of 173.5, 173.2, 173.1, 172.7, 171.9 and 170.5 ppm. In addition, six alpha carbons were confirmed at chemical shifts of 60.7, 59.9, 51.8, 48.9, 42.0, and 36.9 ppm. There are chemical shift differences both in ¹H- and ¹³C-NMR data of [β-Hile]²-nodupetide **2**, compared to its parent compound **1**. The differences might be due to the change of the ester-to-amide bond in the peptide backbone which transformed the environment around the proton and carbon nuclei, subsequently leading to differences in chemical shifts.²¹ Furthermore, the use of different deuterated solvents also causes the alterations in proton and carbon chemical shifts of the analogue.

Biological activity of [β-Hile]²-nodupetide

[β-Hile]²-nodupetide and its linear precursor were tested for their antimicrobial activity against four pathogenic microbes (Table 2). Based on the growth inhibition data, it was shown that both peptides are inactive against the four pathogenic microbes tested, where both showed MIC values >1000 μg mL⁻¹ and are considered inactive.²² The result was in line with a report from Moreira and co-workers also explaining, the replacement of threonine residue to (2*S*,3*S*-DABA) and its amide isomer, which caused a decrease in their antimicrobial activity.



Table 1 ^1H -NMR and ^{13}C -NMR spectra data of $[\beta\text{-Hlle}]^2$ -nodupetide 2 compared to isolated nodupetide by Wu and co-worker²

| Assignment | $[\beta\text{-Hlle}]^2$ -nodupetide (CD_3OD , ^1H -NMR 500 MHz, ^{13}C -NMR 125 MHz) | | Nodupetide ($\text{DMSO-}d_6$, ^1H -NMR 400 MHz, ^{13}C -NMR 100 MHz) | |
|--------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| | ^1H , δ in ppm (ΣH , m) | ^{13}C (ppm) | ^1H , δ in ppm (ΣH , m) | ^{13}C (ppm) |
| HMHA* | | | | |
| CO | | | | 169.8 |
| α -CH ₂ | | | 2.25 (2H, dd, $J = 14.4, 2.0$) | 37.7 |
| β -CH | | | 4.92 (1H, ddd, $J = 8.8, 4.0, 2.0$) | 75.3 |
| γ -CH | | | 1.58 (1H, m) | 38.0 |
| δ -CH ₂ | | | 1.06 (2H, m) | 24.4 |
| σ -CH ₃ | | | 0.83 (3H, t, $J = 7.6$) | 14.3 |
| σ' -CH ₃ | | | 0.84 (3H, d, $J = 6.8$) | 11.5 |
| Gly⁴ | | | | |
| CO | | 170.5 | | 169.0 |
| α -CH ₂ | 3.91 (2H, d, $J = 6.0$) | 42.0 | 4.07 (2H, dd, $J = 6.4, 16.8$) | 41.9 |
| β-Hlle² | | | | |
| CO | | 171.9 | | |
| α -CH ₂ | 2.44 (2H, d, $J = 4.5$) | 36.9 | | |
| β -CH | 3.78 (1H, d, $J = 12.0$) | 52.6 | | |
| γ -CH | 1.68–1.72 (1H, m) | 39.1 | | |
| δ -CH ₂ | 1.15–1.21 (2H, m) | 29.6 | | |
| σ -CH ₃ | 0.99 (3H, t, $J = 7.8$) | 14.2 | | |
| σ' -CH ₃ | 1.00 (3H, d, $J = 6.7$) | 11.8 | | |
| Val³ | | | | |
| CO | | 172.7 | | 170.7 |
| α -CH | 3.96 (1H, d, $J = 5.5$) | 59.9 | 4.08 (1H, m) | 57.7 |
| β -CH | 2.26–2.33 (1H, m) | 29.8 | 2.07 (1H, m) | 29.5 |
| γ -CH ₃ | 0.95 (3H, d, $J = 4.6$) | 18.2 | 0.85 (3H, d, $J = 6.8$) | 18.7 |
| γ' -CH ₃ | 0.97 (3H, d, $J = 4.6$) | 22.0 | 0.88 (3H, d, $J = 6.8$) | 20.9 |
| Ala⁴ | | | | |
| CO | | 173.1 | | 171.8 |
| α -CH | 4.22 (1H, q, $J = 7.0$) | 48.9 | 4.19 (1H, q, $J = 7.2$) | 47.9 |
| β -CH ₃ | 1.40 (3H, d, $J = 6.5$) | 17.7 | 1.22 (3H, d, $J = 7.2$) | 17.4 |
| D-Leu⁵ | | | | |
| CO | | 173.2 | | 171.2 |
| α -CH | 4.41 (1H, q, $J = 5.5$) | 51.8 | 4.02 (1H, d, $J = 6.4$) | 51.8 |
| β -CH ₂ | 1.46–1.52 (2H, m) | 37.2 | 1.48 (2H, ddd, $J = 9.6, 6.4, 4.0$) | 38.6 |
| γ -CH | 1.78–1.82 (1H, m) | 25.5 | 1.63 (1H, m) | 24.1 |
| δ -CH ₃ | 1.00 (3H, d, $J = 6.0$) | 20.1 | 0.88 (3H, d, $J = 6.8$) | 19.0 |
| δ' -CH ₃ | 0.92 (3H, d, $J = 6.0$) | 24.5 | 0.80 (3H, d, $J = 6.8$) | 23.0 |
| Val⁶ | | | | |
| CO | | 173.5 | | 171.7 |
| α -CH | 4.02 (1H, d, $J = 6.0$) | 60.7 | 4.03 (1H, m) | 58.7 |
| β -CH | 2.00–2.05 (1H, m) | 29.6 | 1.86 (1H, m) | 29.5 |
| γ -CH ₃ | 0.96 (3H, d, $J = 4.9$) | 18.5 | 0.86 (3H, d, $J = 6.4$) | 18.9 |
| γ' -CH ₃ | 0.98 (3H, d, $J = 4.9$) | 17.9 | 0.84 (3H, d, $J = 6.4$) | 17.5 |

They explained the possibility of specific chiral interaction with the membrane.⁸

The conversion of ester to amide bond on the nodupetide backbone might also lead to a conformational change and result in a dramatic decrease in its antimicrobial activity. As previously reported by Hosono and team, the change of amide to ester bond in some cyclic hexapeptides affected the membrane permeability which was related to conformational

change. The conformational alteration is probably caused by the rearrangement of the intramolecular hydrogen bonding network or even the loss of the hydrogen bonding network. These conditions probably caused the change in the conformational preferences of the cyclic peptides in lipophilic media.²³ It seems likely that conformation is a critical factor in differentiating target engagement and whole-cell activity.²⁴



Table 2 MIC values of [β -Hlle]²-nodupetide 2 and its linear peptide compared to nodupetide 1

| Peptides | MIC ($\mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$) | | | |
|----------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|
| | <i>Candida albican</i> (fungi) | <i>Pseudomonas aeruginosa</i> (Gram-negative) | <i>Staphylococcus aureus</i> (Gram-positive) | <i>Escherichia coli</i> (Gram-negative) |
| Nodupetide 1 ² | — | 2.83 | — | — |
| [β -Hlle] ² -nodupetide 2 | >1000 | >1000 | >1000 | >1000 |
| Linear [β -Hlle] ² -nodupetide 9 | >1000 | >1000 | >1000 | >1000 |
| Ciprofloxacin | 0.156 | 0.005 | 0.078 | 15.625 |

Ester bond is regarded as susceptible to enzymatic degradation. Thus, the presence of an ester bond is often considered to be a drawback because it reduces the stability of the peptide. Nevertheless, a study also conducted by Hosono and team showed that the cyclodepsipeptides had similar stability in plasma as cyclopeptides. This might be due to the macrocyclization shielding the ester bond from the enzyme degradation and it is influenced by the sequences and ring size of the cyclic peptide.²³

The substitution of HMHA with β -Hlle, seemed to cause an increase in the hydrophobicity of nodupetide analogue 2. The mechanism of action of antimicrobial peptides is also affected by the hydrophobicity of the peptides. Increasing the hydrophobicity results in an increase in the antibacterial activity. However, increased hydrophobicity beyond the optimum led to a decrease in antibacterial activity. This is probably due to increased dimerization, which prevents access to the membrane in prokaryotic cells.⁷ The mechanism of action of antimicrobial peptides is a very complex process with multiple factors, which need to be evaluated to enhance antimicrobial activity.

Conclusions

The conversion of ester to amide bond in nodupetide backbone resulted in a dramatic decrease in antimicrobial activity, in which the [β -Hlle]²-nodupetide was inactive. The ester bond seemed to be crucial for the antimicrobial activity of nodupetide. In the present study, it was necessary to synthesize several analogues of nodupetide to evaluate the influencing factor of their antimicrobial activity. Despite the inactivity, the application of combination synthesis strategies of the solid- and solution-phase peptide synthesis was successfully carried out to synthesize [β -Hlle]²-nodupetide with an overall yield of 10.4% with 93.5% purity.

Materials and methods

Materials

The peptides were characterized using ¹H-NMR and ¹³C-NMR on an Agilent NMR 500 MHz (¹H) and 125 MHz (¹³C) equipment using CD₃OD solvent. Mass spectroscopy spectra were measured using Waters HR-ToF-MS Lockspray. The loading

resin absorbance was measured on the TECAN Infinite Pro 200 UV-Vis spectrophotometer. Analysis of linear and cyclic peptides was performed by Waters 2998 Photodiode Array Detector (PDA), LiChrospher 100 C-18, COSMOSIL 5 μm (4.6 \times 250 mm) column RP-HPLC at the wavelength of 210, 240, and 254 nm. The mobile phase used was a gradient eluent consisting of deionized water (H₂O) (A) with 0.1% TFA and acetonitrile B with 0.1% TFA. All amino acids, coupling reagents, and resin were purchased from GL-Biochem, Shanghai, China. They included 2-chlorotriyl chloride resin, *N*-[(dimethylamino)-1*H*-1,2,3-triazolo-4,5-*b*]pyridine-1-yl-methelene]-*N*-methyl-methanaaminium hexafluorophosphate *N*-oxide (HATU), benzotriazole-1-ylxytripyrrolidinophosphonium hexafluorophosphate (PyBOP), Fmoc-L-Ala-OH, Fmoc-Gly-OH, Fmoc-D-Leu-OH, Fmoc-L-Val-OH, Fmoc-L- β -Hlle-OH. The other reagents such as dichloromethane (DCM), *N,N'*-dimethylformamide (DMF), *N,N'*-diisopropylethylamine (DIPEA), *N,N'*-diisopropylcarbodiimide (DIC), OxymaPure, piperidine, methanol, *n*-hexane, ethyl acetate, acetic acid, and trifluoroacetic acid (TFA) were pro-analysis grade. The microbes that were used for the assays were supplied by Thermo Scientific (*Staphylococcus aureus* ATCC 6538, *Escherichia coli* ATCC 11229, *Candida albicans* ATCC 10231) and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa* was provided by central laboratory Universitas Padjadjaran.

Synthesis of [β -Hlle]²-nodupetide (2)

Solid phase peptide synthesis of linear [β -Hlle]²-nodupetide (9). The linear peptide (9) synthesis procedure reported by Maharani and co-worker was used with a slight modification.²⁵ 2-Chlorotriyl chloride (400 mg, 0.6 mmol) as a resin was swollen in DCM (4 mL) for 15 min at room temperature. The first amino acid AA₁ (Fmoc-Gly-OH) (1 eq.) was attached to the resin in DCM (4 mL) and basic DIPEA (2 eq.) for 4 h at room temperature. To measure the loading resin absorbances, the Fmoc-AA₁-resin (0.5 mg) was incubated in 20% piperidine/DMF (3 mL) for 30 min, followed by sonification for 5 min. Then, the loading resin was measured by UV-Vis spectrophotometer at 290 nm. The following step was to cap the resin with the mixture of MeOH : DCM : DIPEA 15 : 80 : 5 (10 mL) twice for 15 min. Then, the Fmoc-AA₁-resin was deprotected by 20% piperidine in DMF (5 mL) for 2 \times 5 min to afford the free amine group. For the next coupling reaction, the free amine group was coupled to the second Fmoc-protected amino acid (Fmoc-AA₂-OH) Fmoc-L-



β -Hile-OH (4 eq.) using DIC (4 eq.) and OxymaPure (4 eq.) as coupling agents in DMF (4 mL) for 2 h at room temperature. The Fmoc group was removed using 20% piperidine in DMF (5 mL) for 2×5 min to yield the resin-AA₁-AA₂-NH₂. The cycle of coupling reaction and removing the Fmoc groups was repeated with subsequent Fmoc-protected amino acids to yield the resin-coupled hexapeptide. Finally, the last step was to cleave the resin using TFA 20% in DCM (10 mL) for 2×10 min, followed by filtration. The filtrate was evaporated and washed with DCM repeatedly, then dried under the vacuum to yield the crude peptide. The crude peptide was purified by column chromatography on silica C-18 ODS (eluted with methanol : water 6 : 4) to afford the desired linear peptide 5.3 mg, 14.3% as a white powder with >97% purity. The purity of linear peptide was analyzed using analytical RP-HPLC (20–80% acetonitrile in water for 50 min, flow rate 1 mL min⁻¹, λ 240 nm). The spectral data of **9**: HR-ToF-MS m/z [M + H]⁺ 585.3973 (calcd m/z [M + H]⁺ 585.3976 C₂₈H₅₃N₆O₇) (Fig. S2[†]); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CD₃OD, δ , ppm, J (Hz)) (Fig. S3[†]), 3.72–3.75 (2H, m, Gly¹ H α), 2.45 (2H, dd, J = 4.0; 14.5 β -Hile² H α), 4.33–4.36 (1H, q, J = 6.3 β -Hile² H β), 1.56–1.59 (1H, m, β -Hile² H γ), 1.48–1.52 (2H, m, β -Hile² H δ), 0.87 (3H, t, J = 7.4 β -Hile² CH₃), 0.89 (3H, d, J = 6.9 β -Hile² H₃), 4.19–4.22 (1H, m, Val³ H α), 2.05–2.12 (1H, m, Val³ H β), 0.94 (3H, d, J = 6.3 Val³ CH₃), 1.00 (3H, d, J = 6.3 Val³ CH₃), 4.26 (1H, d, J = 7.5 Ala⁴ H α), 1.41 (3H, d, J = 7.5 Ala⁴ CH₃), 4.14 (1H, d, J = 8.0 D-Leu⁵ H α), 1.62–1.66 (2H, m, D-Leu⁵ H β), 1.70–1.72 (1H, m, D-Leu⁵ H γ), 1.09 (3H, d, J = 6.8 D-Leu⁵ CH₃), 1.06 (3H, d, J = 6.8, D-Leu⁵ CH₃), and 3.61–3.65 (1H, m, Val⁶ H α), 2.18–2.23 (1H, m, Val⁶ H β), 0.88 (3H, d, J = 6.3 Val⁶ CH₃), 0.91 (3H, d, J = 6.3 Val⁶ CH₃). ¹³C-NMR (125 MHz, CD₃OD, δ , ppm) (Fig. S4[†]) 173.2 (Gly¹ C=O), 38.9 (Gly C α), 173.2 (β -Hile² C=O), 26.2 (β -Hile² C α), 49.6 (β -Hile² C β), 37.1 (β -Hile² C γ), 25.0 (β -Hile² C δ), 14.2 (β -Hile² CH₃), 10.2 (β -Hile² CH₃), 171.6 (Val³ C=O), 58.5 (Val³ C α), 30.2 (Val³ C β), 21.7 (Val³ CH₃), 20.6 (Val³ CH₃), 173.0 (Ala⁴ C=O), 50.7 (Ala⁴ C α), 16.4 (Ala⁴ CH₃), 172.0 (D-Leu⁵ C=O), 52.7 (D-Leu⁵ C α), 38.7 (D-Leu⁵ C β), 23.9 (D-Leu⁵ C γ), 25.0 (D-Leu⁵ CH₃), 24.6 (D-Leu⁵ CH₃), 169.4 (Val⁶ C=O), 58.5 (Val⁶ C α), 30.6 (Val⁶ C β), 17.4 (Val⁶ CH₃), 17.7 (Val⁶ CH₃).

Cyclization in the solution phase. HATU, the crude linear hexapeptide (**9**) (25 mg, 0.04 mmol) was dissolved in DCM (34.4 mL) before the addition of HATU (3 eq., 49.84 mg, 0.13 mmol) and DIPEA (6 eq., 87.06 μ L). The reaction mixture was stirred for 7 days at room temperature (monitored by TLC). The reaction mixture was concentrated to produce the crude cyclic product as a dark yellow oil, and then purified using RP-HPLC semi-preparative with eluents (50–80% acetonitrile in water for 45 min with 0.1% TFA, flow rate 2 mL min⁻¹) to afford 2.5 mg of white powder, overall the yield of 10.4% with 93.5% purity. The purity of **2** was analyzed using analytical RP-HPLC with gradient eluents (10–90% acetonitrile in water with 0.1% TFA, flow rate 1 mL min⁻¹, λ 240, run for 40 minutes). PyBOP/NaCl, the crude linear hexapeptide (**9**) (25 mg, 0.04 mmol) was dissolved in DMF to 1.25 mM before the addition of PyBOP (1.14 eq.) and DIPEA (1.65 eq.). Then, the following NaCl salt 10 mg was dissolved in 173 μ L H₂O. The mixture was stirred for 7 days at room temperature (monitored by TLC). Then, the reaction mixture was extracted using a brine solution and ethyl acetate, this

protocol followed the works of Maharani and co-worker.¹⁴ The organic phase was dried using Na₂SO₄ and concentrated to afford a pale-yellow solid.

Biological assay

Preparation of bacterial culture. Bacterial culture was grown in Mueller Hinton Agar and incubated at 37 °C for 24 h and then it was suspended in liquid media (Mueller Hinton Broth). The suspended bacterial culture was then incubated at 37 °C for 24 h and standardized equal to 0.5 Mc Farland (2×10^8 CFU mL⁻¹). The culture was diluted into the concentration of 5×10^5 CFU mL⁻¹.

Preparation of fungal culture. Fungal culture was grown in potato dextrose agar, followed by incubation at 30 °C for 24–48 h. The fungal culture was then suspended in Potato Dextrose Broth, followed by incubation at 30 °C for 24–48 h. The suspended fungal culture was then incubated for 24 h at 30 °C and standardized equal to 0.5 Mc Farland (2×10^8 CFU mL⁻¹). The culture was diluted to form the concentration of 5×10^5 CFU mL⁻¹.

MIC determination of the synthetic peptides. [β -Hile]²-nodupetide and its linear were dissolved in DMSO 2% with a concentration of 2000 μ g mL⁻¹. Each solution was dissolved gradually. Subsequently, two sample solutions, ciprofloxacin, and DMSO 2% were incubated in a 96-well microplate at 37 °C for 18 h. The result was interpreted using a spectrophotometer, with a wavelength of 600 nm. MIC was measured as the percentage of microbial inhibition and cell death.

Data availability

Data supporting this study are included within the article and ESI.[†]

Author contributions

The manuscript was written through the contribution of all authors. Conceptualisation, methodology, writing original draft, and writing-review and editing, H. I. F., R. M.; supervision, A. T. H., and U. S; funding acquisition, H. I. F., R. M., and A. T. H. All authors have read and agreed to the published version of the manuscript. All authors have given approval to the final version of the manuscript.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to Balai Pembiayaan Pendidikan Tinggi (BPPT) Kemendikbudristek through Beasiswa Pendidikan Indonesia (BPI), the Indonesia Endowment Fund for Education (LPDP) for rendering financial support, as well as Universitas Padjadjaran, for the Academic Leadership Grant (ALG)-No. 1405/UN6.3.1/PT.00/2024).



References

- 1 T. Ito, N. Matsunaga, M. Kurashima, Y. Demizu and T. Misawa, Enhancing Chemical Stability through Structural Modification of Antimicrobial Peptides with Non-Proteinogenic Amino Acids, *Antibiotics*, 2023, **12**(8), 1326, DOI: [10.3390/antibiotics12081326](https://doi.org/10.3390/antibiotics12081326).
- 2 H. M. Wu, L. P. Lin, Q. L. Xu, W. B. Han, S. Zhang, Z. W. Liu, Y. N. Mei, Z. J. Yao and R. X. Tan, Nodupetide, a potent insecticide and antimicrobial from *Nodulisporium* sp. associated with *Riptortus pedestris*, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2017, **58**, 663–665, DOI: [10.1016/j.tetlet.2017.01.009](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tetlet.2017.01.009).
- 3 C. Domhan, P. Uhl, C. Kleist, S. Zimmermann, F. Umstätter, K. Leotta, W. Mier and M. Wink, Replacement of L-amino acids by D-amino acids in the antimicrobial peptide ranalexin and its consequences for antimicrobial activity and biodistribution, *Molecules*, 2019, **24**, 2987, DOI: [10.3390/molecules24162987](https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules24162987).
- 4 Y. Ding, J. P. Ting, J. Liu, S. Al-Azzam, P. Pandya and S. Afshar, Impact of non-proteinogenic amino acids in the discovery and development of peptide, *Amino Acids*, 2020, **52**, 1207–1226, DOI: [10.1007/s00726-020-02890-9](https://doi.org/10.1007/s00726-020-02890-9).
- 5 R. Maharani, R. T. C. Brownlee, A. B. Hughes and B. M. Abbott, A total synthesis of a highly N-methylated cyclodepsipeptide [2S,3S-Hmp]-aureobasidin L using solid-phase methods, *Tetrahedron*, 2014, **70**, 2351–2358, DOI: [10.1016/j.tet.2014.02.036](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.tet.2014.02.036).
- 6 T. Narancic, S. A. Almahboub and K. E. O'Connor, Unnatural amino acids: production and biotechnological potential, *World J. Microbiol. Biotechnol.*, 2019, **35**(4), 67, DOI: [10.1007/s11274-019-2642-9](https://doi.org/10.1007/s11274-019-2642-9).
- 7 Y. Chen, M. T. Guarnieri, A. I. Vasil, M. L. Vasil, C. T. Mant and R. S. Hodges, Role of peptide hydrophobicity in the mechanism of action of α -helical antimicrobial peptides, *Antimicrob. Agents Chemother.*, 2007, **51**, 1398–1406, DOI: [10.1128/AAC.00925-06](https://doi.org/10.1128/AAC.00925-06).
- 8 R. Moreira, G. Barnawi, D. Beriashvili, M. Palmer and S. D. Taylor, The effect of replacing the ester bond with an amide bond and of overall stereochemistry on the activity of daptomycin, *Bioorg. Med. Chem.*, 2019, **27**, 240–246, DOI: [10.1016/j.bmc.2018.12.004](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bmc.2018.12.004).
- 9 Q. Y. Zhang, Z. Bin Yan, Y. M. Meng, X. Y. Hong, G. Shao, J. J. Ma, X. R. Cheng, J. Liu, J. Kang and C. Y. Fu, *Mil. Med. Res.*, 2021, **8**(48), 1–25, DOI: [10.1186/s40779-021-00343-2](https://doi.org/10.1186/s40779-021-00343-2).
- 10 X. Li, S. Zuo, B. Wang, K. Zhang and Y. Wang, Antimicrobial Mechanisms and Clinical Application Prospects of Antimicrobial Peptides, *Molecules*, 2022, **27**, 2675, DOI: [10.3390/molecules27092675](https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules27092675).
- 11 C. Zhang and M. Yang, Antimicrobial Peptides: From Design to Clinical Application, *Antibiotics*, 2022, **11**, 349, DOI: [10.3390/antibiotics11030349](https://doi.org/10.3390/antibiotics11030349).
- 12 R. Maharani, M. I. Muhajir, J. M. Dirgantara, A. Hardianto, T. Mayanti, D. Harneti, N. Nurlelarsi, K. Farabi, A. T. Hidayat, U. Supratman and T. Siahaan, Synthesis and anticancer evaluation of [d-Ala]-nocardiotide A, *RSC Adv.*, 2024, **14**, 4097–4104, DOI: [10.1039/d4ra00025k](https://doi.org/10.1039/d4ra00025k).
- 13 M. Muhajir, A. Hardianto, J. Al Anshori, D. Sumiarsa, T. Mayanti, N. Nurlelarsi, D. Harneti, A. Hidayat, U. Supratman and R. Maharani, Total Synthesis of Nocardiotide A by Using a Combination of Solid- and Solution-Phase Methods, *ChemistrySelect*, 2021, **6**, 12941–12946, DOI: [10.1002/slct.202103441](https://doi.org/10.1002/slct.202103441).
- 14 R. Maharani, H. N. A. Yayat, A. T. Hidayat, J. Al Anshori, D. Sumiarsa, K. Farabi, T. Mayanti, Nurlelarsi, D. Harneti and U. Supratman, Synthesis of a Cyclooctapeptide, Cycloporpuracin, and Evaluation of Its Antimicrobial Activity, *Molecules*, 2023, **28**(12), 4779, DOI: [10.3390/molecules28124779](https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules28124779).
- 15 M. Ieronymaki, M. E. Androutsou, A. Pantelia, I. Friligou, M. Crisp, K. High, K. Penkman, D. Gatos and T. Tselios, Use of the 2-Chlorotrityl Chloride Resin for Microwave-Assisted Solid Phase Peptide Synthesis, *Pept. Sci.*, 2015, **104**, 506–514, DOI: [10.1002/bip.22710](https://doi.org/10.1002/bip.22710).
- 16 B. Merrifield, The Role of the Support in Solid Phase Peptide Synthesis, *Br. Polym. J.*, 1984, **16**, 173–178.
- 17 S. R. Manne, B. G. De La Torre, A. El-Faham and F. Albericio, OxymaPure Coupling Reagents: Beyond Solid-Phase Peptide Synthesis, *Synthesis*, 2020, **52**, 3189–3210, DOI: [10.1055/s-0040-1706296](https://doi.org/10.1055/s-0040-1706296).
- 18 R. Subirós-Funosas, R. Prohens, R. Barbas, A. El-Faham and F. Albericio, Oxyma: an efficient additive for peptide synthesis to replace the benzotriazole-based HOBt and HOAt with a lower risk of explosion, *Chem.-Eur. J.*, 2009, **15**, 9394–9403, DOI: [10.1002/chem.200900614](https://doi.org/10.1002/chem.200900614).
- 19 A. El-Faham, Z. Al Marhoon, A. Abdel-Megeed and F. Albericio, OxymaPure/DIC: an efficient reagent for the synthesis of a novel series of 4-[2-(2-acetylaminophenyl)-2-oxo-acetyl-amino] benzoyl amino acid ester derivatives, *Molecules*, 2013, **18**, 14747–14759, DOI: [10.3390/molecules181214747](https://doi.org/10.3390/molecules181214747).
- 20 M. Liu, Y. C. Tang, K. Q. Fan, X. Jiang, L. H. Lai and Y. H. Ye, Cyclization of several linear penta- and heptapeptides with different metal ions studied by CD spectroscopy, *J. Pept. Res.*, 2005, **65**, 55–64, DOI: [10.1111/j.1399-3011.2004.00199.x](https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1399-3011.2004.00199.x).
- 21 A. C. Conibear, K. J. Rosengren, C. F. W. Becker and H. Kaehlig, Random coil shifts of posttranslationally modified amino acids, *J. Biomol. NMR*, 2019, **73**, 587–599, DOI: [10.1007/s10858-019-00270-4](https://doi.org/10.1007/s10858-019-00270-4).
- 22 E. Strandberg, P. Wadhvani and A. S. Ulrich, Antibiotic Potential and Biophysical Characterization of Amphipathic β -Stranded [XZ]_n Peptides with Alternating Cationic and Hydrophobic Residues, *Front. Med. Technol.*, 2021, **3**, 622096, DOI: [10.3389/fmedt.2021.622096](https://doi.org/10.3389/fmedt.2021.622096).
- 23 Y. Hosono, S. Uchida, M. Shinkai, C. E. Townsend, C. N. Kelly, M. R. Naylor, H. W. Lee, K. Kanamitsu, M. Ishii, R. Ueki, T. Ueda, K. Takeuchi, M. Sugita, Y. Akiyama, S. R. Lokey, J. Morimoto and S. Sando, Amide-to-ester substitution as a stable alternative to N-methylation for increasing membrane permeability in cyclic peptides, *Nat. Commun.*, 2023, **14**, 1416, DOI: [10.1038/s41467-023-36978-z](https://doi.org/10.1038/s41467-023-36978-z).



- 24 Y. Li, N. P. Lavey, J. A. Coker, J. E. Knobbe, D. C. Truong, H. Yu, Y. S. Lin, S. L. Nimmo and A. S. Duerfeldt, Consequences of Depsipeptide Substitution on the ClpP Activation Activity of Antibacterial Acyldepsipeptides, *ACS Med. Chem. Lett.*, 2017, **8**, 1171–1176, DOI: [10.1021/acsmchemlett.7b00320](https://doi.org/10.1021/acsmchemlett.7b00320).
- 25 R. Maharani, E. F. Yanti, M. D. I. Melati and D. Sihotang, Synthesis of Trypsin-modulating Oostatic Factor (TMOF) and its Analogues by Solid-phase Peptide Synthesis Using DIC/Oxyma as Coupling Reagent, *Procedia Chem.*, 2015, **17**, 125–131, DOI: [10.1016/j.proche.2015.12.124](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.proche.2015.12.124).

