


 Cite this: *RSC Adv.*, 2024, 14, 16678

Revisiting the 1,3-azadiene-succinic anhydride annulation reaction for the stereocontrolled synthesis of allylic 2-oxopyrrolidines bearing up to four contiguous stereocenters†

 Timothy K. Beng, * Jasleen Kaur, Ifeyinwa S. Anosike, Benjamin Rentfro and Shae Newgard

Polysubstituted 2-oxopyrrolidines bearing at least two contiguous stereocenters constitute the core of several pharmaceuticals, including clausenamide (antidementia). Here, we describe a flexible annulation strategy, which unites succinic anhydride and 1,3-azadienes to produce allylic 2-oxopyrrolidines bearing contiguous stereocenters. The approach is chemoselective, efficient, modular, scalable, and diastereoselective. The scalable nature of the reactions offers the opportunity for post-diversification, leading to incorporation of motifs with either known pharmaceutical value or that permit subsequent conversion to medicinally relevant entities.

 Received 28th April 2024
 Accepted 15th May 2024

DOI: 10.1039/d4ra03156c

rsc.li/rsc-advances

Introduction

There are high incentives for the construction of sp^3 -rich aza-heterocyclic scaffolds.¹ It is well established that two compounds with the same molecular formula and connectivity, but with different orientations in space (*i.e.*, stereoisomers) can produce vastly different biological responses.² Specifically, functionalized 2-oxopyrrolidines (*i.e.*, γ -lactams) and pyrrolidines bearing contiguous stereocenters constitute the core of several alkaloid natural products and pharmaceuticals (Fig. 1). The γ -lactam topology also presents an ideal platform for systematic scaffolding owing to its latent reactivity and the diverse number of transformations it can undergo.³ Notable strategies for the construction of functionalized 2-oxopyrrolidines include ring-opening of aziridines,⁴ the aza-Heck reaction,⁵ and cascade/multicomponent reactions.⁶ These methodological advances notwithstanding, approaches for the stereocontrolled synthesis of polysubstituted γ -lactams bearing contiguous stereocenters are still underdeveloped.⁷ One strategy to vicinally functionalized and alkenylated γ -lactams that caught our attention, given its reliance on 1,3-azadienes of type **A**, is the strategy developed and popularized by Rovis and co-workers (Fig. 2A).⁸

Cyclic anhydrides are more than just acylating agents given that they also serve as useful dipolar synthons for the synthesis of complex organic molecules through formal cycloaddition

reactions. Our efforts in the last decade to popularize the 1,3-azadiene-anhydride annulation reaction and its synthetic versatility led to discoveries that several 6-membered ring anhydrides (*e.g.*, glutaric anhydride, 2,2-dimethylglutaric anhydride, 3-methylglutaric anhydride, diglycolic anhydride, thiodiglycolic anhydride, and MIDA anhydride) react competently with appropriately substituted 1,3-azadienes.^{9a–g} Recently, we revealed that highly reactive phenylsuccinic anhydride is amenable to chemoselective and stereocontrolled pentannulation with 1,3-azadienes at room temperature.^{9h–j}





Fig. 1 Examples of bioactive 2-oxopyrrolidines and pyrrolidines bearing vicinal stereocenters.


Department of Chemistry, Central Washington University, Ellensburg, WA 98926, USA.
 E-mail: Timothy.beng@cwu.edu

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Experimental procedures and spectroscopic data. See DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1039/d4ra03156c>





B: Stereo-, chemo- and regioselective synthesis of allylic γ -lactam acids and subsequent post-diversification (this work)



C: Potential chemoselectivity challenges




Fig. 2 (A) Prior synthesis of allylic 2-pyrrolidinones featuring 1,3-azadienes, (B) proposed plan for the synthesis of allylic 2-pyrrolidinones and potential synthetic applications, (C) possible chemoselective challenges.

Considering the pervasiveness of 2-pyrrolidinones bearing contiguous stereocenters, the synthetic versatility of allylic cyclic amines, and our long-standing interest in vicinally functionalized azaheterocycles,¹⁰ we sought to evaluate the performance (with respect to reactivity, diastereoselectivity, and chemoselectivity) of succinic anhydride (**2**) toward 1,3-azadienes of type **1** (Fig. 2B). Intrinsic to our design was the prospect of being able to rapidly construct **3** and elaborate it to other synthetically attractive topologies such as **4–8**. We were however

not oblivious to the challenges associated with the proposed 1,3-azadiene-succinic anhydride annulation reaction. For instance, from a chemoselectivity standpoint alone, the reaction between **1** and **2** is capable of producing at least four products (Fig. 2C, see **3'**, **9**, **11**, and **12**).¹¹ Product **3'** would arise from the desired imine-anhydride reaction. Conversely, Tamura adduct **9** would be formed if the alkene-anhydride reaction out-competes the imine-anhydride reaction. If the alkene-anhydride reaction is accompanied by tautomerization and concomitant acylation of the anhydride by the resulting enamine, unsaturated caprolactam **11** (see blue arrows) or dihydropyridone **12** (see red arrows) would be formed. We have embraced these challenges and herein disclose our findings on the successful construction of lactam-tethered alkenoic acids of type **3'** and subsequent post-diversification. We employ a ring-forming reaction, which is sustainable, diastereoselective, regioselective, functional group-selective (*i.e.*, chemoselective), modular, and scalable.

Results and discussion

We initiated studies toward the construction of 5-alkenylated-2-oxopyrrolidines and subsequent fragment growth by attempting to find suitable conditions for the annulation reaction between 1,3-azadienes^{9a} of type **1** and anhydride **2**. Despite its rich history, the anhydride-imine reaction featuring **2** is still mostly limited to aryl aldimines.¹² Nevertheless, we were aware of one unsuccessful attempt involving 1,3-azadiene **1a** and **2** (see **3a'**, Fig. 3).¹³ Unfortunately, the authors provided little information with respect to the remaining mass balance and possible side products. *N*-aryl imines of type **1a** are reluctant substrates for the anhydride-imine reaction,¹⁴ presumably due to the relatively reduced nucleophilicity of the nitrogen atom as well as their hydrolytic instability. In our hands, the reaction of **1a** with **2**, in toluene, equally afforded **3a'** in unattractive yield (<10%). However, we found that **1a** is fragile leading to the formation of amidoacid **G**, imide **H**, and enal **I**.

Knowing that *N*-alkyl azadienes are more charitable annulation partners than their *N*-aryl counterparts,^{9d} we decided to perform optimization studies using azadiene **1b** (Table 1). Encouragingly, when an equimolar mixture of **1b** and **2**, in toluene, was heated to 100 °C, a clean chemoselective annulation reaction occurred and product **3b'** was obtained along with unreacted starting materials (Table 1, entry 1). Reaction





Fig. 3 Unsuccessful attempt at annulation of 1,3-azadiene **1a** with **2**.



Table 1 Optimization of the annulation of **1b** with **2**

Entry	Solvent	Temp (°C)	% yield of 3b'
1	Toluene	100	70
2	O-xylene	120	27
3	THF	60	54
4	2-Me THF	60	69
5	TMO	90	88
6	DMF	90	0
7	Ph ₂ O	90	12
8	TMO	100	71 ^a
9	TMO	80	77 ^b
10	TMO	70	54 ^c
11	None	110	16

^a After 2 h. ^b After 12 h. ^c After 22 h.

optimization was carried out and it was established that 2,2,5,5-tetramethyloxolane (TMO) out-performs other reaction media (*e.g.*, toluene, xylene, THF, 2-MeTHF, DMF, and diphenylether). The chemoselective formation of 2-oxopyrrolidine **3'** indicates a scenario whereby the anhydride–imine pathway out-competes the anhydride–alkene pathways (see Fig. 2C). The reaction proceeds with high stereochemical control, leading to the formation of predominantly one stereoisomer (as judged by GC-MS and ¹H NMR analyses of the crude mixture). The atom efficiency of this transformation is commendable, given that with the exception of the water formed during the formation of the 1,3-azadiene (*i.e.*, **1b**), all atoms originating from the enal, primary amine, and anhydride **2** are incorporated into the product structure. Although the crucial philosophical criterion of green chemistry (*i.e.*, atom economy) is clearly fulfilled in this 1,3-azadiene-anhydride reaction, it is yet to be considered as a tool for sustainable synthetic chemistry.¹⁵ This is presumably because the reaction is typically performed in a high-boiling aromatic hydrocarbon solvent such as toluene. Toluene is also suspected of causing damage to fertility, among other health hazards, while also being a hazardous air pollutant and harmful to aquatic life with long-lasting effects.¹⁶ The replacement of toluene by greener and safer solvents is all the more important now that it has been added to the REACH restricted substance list.^{17,18} We anticipate that the successful deployment of TMO (a green ethereal solvent that does not form peroxides) as the reaction medium in these studies, would confer the 1,3-azadiene-anhydride annulation protocol the coveted 'green chemistry status'.

The scope of the reaction with respect to the alkenyl moiety as well as the *N*-substituent has been explored. For purposes of easier isolation and purification, the initially formed carboxylic acid (*i.e.*, **3'**) has been converted to the corresponding methyl


ester in most cases. The ¹H NMR spectra of **3** revealed coupling constants (³*J*_{A,B}) ranging from 1.0 to 6.0 Hz for protons H_A and H_B (see Table 1 for depiction of the protons). According to the literature data for similar 4,5-disubstituted 2-pyrrolidinones, the coupling constant, ³*J*_{A,B} is in the range of 3.4–6.9 Hz for *trans*-compounds and in the range of 8.0–9.0 Hz for *cis*-pyrrolidin-2-ones.¹⁹ The observed values of ³*J*_{A,B} for the acids/esters depicted in Scheme 1 fall in the range typical for *trans*-4,5-disubstituted 2-pyrrolidinones.

Understandably, *ortho*-substituted styrenes (see **3c** vs. **3e**) and electron-deficient styrenes (see **3e** vs. **3f**) react with a slight decrease in efficiency. *N*-alkyl-, allyl-, benzyl-, and phenethyl-azadienes are well tolerated (see **3b–3z3**). As previously stated, *N*-aryl imines are typically reluctant substrates for the anhydride–imine reaction.¹⁴ Nevertheless, **2** reacts with some *N*-aryl-1,3-azadienes with varying degrees of success (**3z4** and **3z5**). In these cases, it was imperative to maintain an anhydrous medium. The use of freshly distilled TMO and molecular sieves was paramount. There is no compromise in the *E/Z* stereoselectivity of the alkene even when trisubstituted alkenes are employed (**3j–z**). The synthesis of metathesis-suitable bis-allylic 2-oxopyrrolidines such as **3n/w** sets the stage for the construction of *N*-fused bicyclic amines. Halogenated precursors are tolerated (see **3s**, **3u**, **3y**, **3z**, and **3z3**), which bodes well for late-stage diversification since the halogen group may be utilized as a functional handle for cross-coupling purposes.^{9b} The annulation of **2** with externally substituted 1,3-azadienes derived from β-aryl cinnamaldehydes is possible (see **3z5**). Diarylethenes such as **3z5** are prevalent in photoresponsive molecular switches.²⁰

A potentially beneficial aspect of this methodology is the scalable nature of the reactions given that products such as **3b'** have been prepared in over 10 mmol scale, with little to no compromise in efficiency and diastereoselectivity. This has set the stage for the post-diversification studies described henceforth. It is now fully appreciated that both molecular complexity (as measured by *F*_{sp³}, where *F*_{sp³} refers to the ratio of sp³ hybridized carbons to the total number of carbons) and the presence of carbon stereocenters correlate with success as compounds transition from discovery, through clinical testing, to drugs.²¹ Among the many methods for the construction of common-ring lactones, halogen-initiated cyclization of unsaturated carboxylic acids is an attractive and direct approach for the stereoselective synthesis of halogenated lactones.²² Fittingly, our studies have revealed that catalytic bromo- and iodo-lactonization of the allylic lactam acids of type **3'** proceeds regioselectively as well as diastereoselectively (Scheme 2, **5a–j**). These bicycles bear four contiguous stereocenters. The stereocontrolled synthesis of tertiary organic halides such as **5f–h** is noteworthy given the scarcity of reliable methods for their preparation. Notably, when bis-homoallylic lactam acid **3n'** is employed, iodolactonization is accompanied by carbonylation of the *N*-allyl moiety, leading to the formation of tricycle **6**.

One of the most succinct approaches to C(sp³)–C(sp³) bond construction is α-enolate alkylation of carbonyl compounds.²³ It is well established that due to resonance stabilization, amides






Isolated yields are reported in all cases.
 Performed on 1.0 to 5.0 mmol scale using 1 to 5 mL TMO
 Reaction times ranged from 2 to 18 h.
 Diastereomeric ratios were determined by GC-MS and
¹H NMR analyses of the crude acid and/or ester.
 Relative configurations were established through coupling
 constant and NOE analyses.

Scheme 1 Annulation of 1,3-azadienes with succinic anhydride (**2**).

have comparably lower α -CH acidities ($pK_a = 35.0$) than other carbonyl-containing compounds such as esters ($pK_a = 29.5$), which renders α -functionalization of amides extremely




Scheme 2 Regioselective and stereocontrolled halolactonization of lactam-tethered alkenoic acid **3**.

challenging. Nevertheless, we have explored the amenability of unprotected tertiary γ -lactam alkenols, which are obtainable from **3** by chemoselective nucleophilic addition of Grignard reagents to the ester motif, to diastereoselective enolate alkylation (Scheme 3). In the event, we find that enolization and concomitant trapping with several carbon-based electrophiles proceeds smoothly to afford the highly customized 5-alkenylated lactams depicted in Scheme 3. Allylic alkylation of the enolates with prenyl-, geranyl-, or allyl bromide furnishes the allylated lactams in satisfactory yields and diastereoselectivities (**8a-i**). Benzyl electrophiles react prudently in this transformation (**8j-p**). Methylation with methyl iodide proceeds uneventfully when the enolate is trapped at a colder temperature to prevent bis-methylation and *O*-methylation of the alcohol (see **8q/r**).

Trapping of the lactam enolate with other potentially problematic alkyl electrophiles such as phenethyl bromide, 11-bromoundecene, and 1-bromononane furnished the desired products in moderate yields and high diastereoselectivities (see **8s-u**). Acylation with allyl chloroformate proceeds satisfactorily to afford β -lactam ester **8v**, a potentially useful substrate for enantioselective decarboxylative allylation. Propargylation of the transient enolate with propargyl bromide leads to the installation of the terminal alkyne resident in lactam **8w**. Allylic lactams harboring a cyclopentenol motif have also been successfully interrogated in this diastereoselective α -functionalization protocol (see **8x-z2**). The superiority of *tert*-



Scheme 3 Scope of diastereoselective α -functionalization of alkenol-tethered γ -lactams.

butyllithium over conventional lithium amide bases such as LDA and LiHMDS, in lactam enolate alkylations, has previously been noted by Beak and coworkers.²⁴ These α,β,γ -substituted

lactams are potential precursors to 2,3,4,5-substituted pyrrolidines given the increasing popularity of methods for the reductive alkylation of lactams.²⁵



Conclusions

In summary, a formal cycloaddition between 1,3-azadienes and succinic anhydride, performed in a sustainable reaction medium, has been developed to facilitate the construction of allylic 2-pyrrolidinones bearing contiguous stereocenters. The annulation reaction shows a relatively broad substrate scope and provides vicinally functionalized allylic γ -lactams in moderate to good yields. The nature of the nitrogen substituent on the 1,3-azadiene component appears to have a profound effect on the reactivity and selectivity given that *N*-aryl azadienes are problematic. The protocol is chemoselective, diastereoselective, and scalable, thus, providing an opportunity for post-modification by incorporating motifs with either known pharmaceutical value or that permit subsequent conversion to medicinally relevant entities (e.g., halogens, carboxylic acids, esters, alkenes, alkynes, and alcohols). Highly customized bicyclic γ -lactams have been assembled through a DMAP-catalyzed halolactonization protocol. The amenability of these functionalized 2-pyrrolidinones to C–C bond forming transformations, such as enolate alkylation of unprotected alkenol-tethered γ -lactams, bodes well for future late-stage assembly of complex bioactive pyrrolidines and γ -lactams. With the developed method, we anticipate that scientists would be able to use the 1,3-azadiene-succinic anhydride annulation reaction to rapidly generate libraries of new vicinally functionalized 2-oxopyrrolidines in a sustainable manner, while controlling the 3D architecture of the compounds.

Author contributions

T. K. B. – conceptualization, project administration, supervision, investigation, data curation, methodology, writing – original draft, internal and external funding acquisition. J. K. – investigation, data curation, methodology; I. S. A. – investigation, data curation, methodology; B. R. – investigation, data curation, methodology; S. N. – investigation, data curation, methodology.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest to declare.

Acknowledgements

We are grateful to Central Washington University for financial support through startup funds to T. K. B. and for the continuous maintenance of major research instrumentation. The School of Graduate Studies and Research is thanked for partial support of this work through a Faculty Research Award to T. K. B. and for a graduate summer fellowship to I. S. A. The Office of the Provost is acknowledged for research fellowships to J. K. and I. S. A. We thank the Office of University Research (OUR) at CWU for partially funding this project through funding awarded to B. R., J. K., and S. N. We are grateful to Mr Daniel Hall and Miss Lisa Stowe for timely ordering and delivery of chemicals and supplies. We thank Organic Syntheses, Inc. for partial support of this project.

Notes and references

- For Selective Reviews, see: (a) M. D. McReynolds, J. M. Dougherty and P. R. Hanson, *Chem. Rev.*, 2004, **104**, 2239–2258; (b) F. Alonso, I. P. Beletskaya and M. Yus, *Chem. Rev.*, 2004, **104**, 3079–3159; (c) Z. Jakopin and M. S. Dolenc, *Curr. Med. Chem.*, 2010, **17**, 651–671.
- (a) R. H. Feling, G. O. Buchanan, T. J. Mincer, C. A. Kauffman, P. R. Jensen and W. Fenical, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2003, **42**, 355–357; (b) L. R. Reddy, P. Saravanan and E. J. Corey, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2004, **126**, 6230–6231; (c) R. De Vreese and M. D'Hooghe, *Beilstein J. Org. Chem.*, 2012, **8**, 398–402; (d) X. Zheng, X.-J. Dai, H.-Q. Yuan, C.-X. Ye, J. Ma and P.-Q. Huang, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2013, **52**, 3494; (e) K.-Z. Hu, J. Ma, S. Qiu, X. Zheng and P.-Q. Huang, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2013, **78**, 1790; (f) S. P. Lathrop and T. Rovis, *Chem. Sci.*, 2013, **4**, 1668; (g) N. Armanino and E. M. Carreira, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135**, 6814; (h) K. L. Kimmel, J. D. Weaver, M. Lee and J. A. Ellman, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2012, **134**, 9058; (i) N. Satoh, S. Yokoshima and T. Fukuyama, *Org. Lett.*, 2011, **13**, 3028; (j) R. A. Shenvi and E. J. Corey, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2009, **131**, 5746; (k) T. B. Poulsen, G. Dickmeiss, J. Overgaard and K. A. Jørgensen, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2008, **47**, 4687; (l) G. Ma, H. Nguyen and D. Romo, *Org. Lett.*, 2007, **9**, 2143; (m) D. Chauhan, L. Catley, G. Li, K. Podar, T. Hideshima, M. Velankar, C. Mitsiades, N. Mitsiades, H. Yasui, A. Letai, H. Ovaa, C. Berkers, B. Nicholson, T. H. Chao, S. T. Neuteboom, P. Richardson, M. A. Palladino and K. C. Anderson, *Cancer Cell*, 2005, **8**, 407; (n) S. Fustero, M. García de la Torre, J. F. Sanz Cervera, C. Ramírez de Arellano, J. Piera and A. Simón, *Org. Lett.*, 2002, **4**, 3651; (o) A. G. M. Barrett, J. Head, M. L. Smith, N. S. Stock, A. J. P. White and D. J. Williams, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1999, **64**, 6005; (p) E. J. Corey and W.-D. Z. Li, *Chem. Pharm. Bull.*, 1999, **47**, 1; (q) C. W. G. Fishwick, R. J. Foster and R. E. Carr, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 1996, **37**, 3915.
- (a) C. Gomez, M. Gicquel, J.-C. Carry, L. Schio, P. Retailleau, A. Voituriez and A. Marinetti, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2013, **78**, 1488; (b) K.-J. Xiao, A.-E. Wang and P.-Q. Huang, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2012, **51**, 8314; (c) C. Shao, H.-J. Yu, N.-Y. Wu, P. Tian, R. Wang, C.-G. Feng and G.-Q. Lin, *Org. Lett.*, 2011, **13**, 788; (d) K.-J. Xiao, J.-M. Luo, K.-Y. Ye, Y. Wang and P.-Q. Huang, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2010, **49**, 3037; (e) G. Chouhan and H. Alper, *Org. Lett.*, 2008, **10**, 4987; (f) A. Agosti, S. Britto and P. Renaud, *Org. Lett.*, 2008, **10**, 1417; (g) A. Gheorghe, M. Schulte and O. Reiser, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2006, **71**, 2173; (h) T. Okino, Y. Hoashi, T. Furukawa, X. Xu and Y. Takemoto, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2005, **127**, 119; (i) S. Madan, P. Milano, D. B. Eddings and R. E. Gawley, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2005, **70**, 3066.
- L. I. Kas'yan, V. A. Pal'chikov and Y. S. Bondarenko, *Russ. J. Org. Chem.*, 2011, **47**, 1609–1652.
- S. A. Shuler, G. Yin, S. B. Krause, C. M. Vesper and D. A. Watson, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2016, **138**, 13830–13833.



- 6 (a) N. T. Burdzhiev and E. R. Stanoeva, *Z. Naturforsch., B: Chem. Sci.*, 2008, **63**, 313–320; (b) N. Castagnoli Jr, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1969, **34**, 3187–3189.
- 7 (a) N. Kanbayashi, K. Takenaka, T. Okamura and K. Onitsuka, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2013, **52**, 4897; (b) M. Hatano and T. Nishimura, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2015, **54**, 10949; (c) J. L. Panger and S. E. Denmark, *Org. Lett.*, 2020, **22**, 2501; (d) K. Ashida, Y. Hoshimoto, N. Tohnai, D. E. Scott, M. Ohashi, H. Imaizumi, Y. Tsuchiya and S. Ogoshi, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2020, **142**, 1594; (e) H. Qian, S. Sun, W. Zhao and J. Sun, *Chem. Sci.*, 2020, **56**, 11295; (f) P. V. Ramachandran and T. E. Burghardt, *Chem.-Eur. J.*, 2005, **11**, 4387.
- 8 X. Zhao, D. A. DiRocco and T. Rovis, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2011, **133**, 12466.
- 9 (a) H. Braunstein, S. Langevin, M. Khim, J. Adamson, K. Hovenkotter, L. Kotlarz, B. Mansker and T. K. Beng, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2016, **14**, 8864–8872; (b) T. K. Beng and A. Moreno, *New J. Chem.*, 2020, **44**, 4257–4261; (c) T. K. Beng, M. Bauder, M. J. Rodriguez and A. Moreno, *New J. Chem.*, 2018, **42**, 16451–16455; (d) K. Hovenkotter, H. Braunstein, S. Langevin and T. K. Beng, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2017, **15**, 1217–1221; (e) T. K. Beng and A. Moreno, *RSC Adv.*, 2020, **10**, 8805–8809; (f) J. Garcia, J. Eichwald, J. Zesiger and T. K. Beng, *RSC Adv.*, 2022, **12**, 309–318; (g) T. K. Beng, J. Garcia, J. Eichwald and C. Borg, *RSC Adv.*, 2023, **13**, 14355–14360; (h) T. K. Beng, J. Eichwald, J. Fessenden, K. Quigley, S. Sharaf, N. Jeon and M. Do, *RSC Adv.*, 2023, **13**, 21250–21258; (i) T. K. Beng, M. Rodriguez and C. Borg, *RSC Adv.*, 2022, **12**, 17617–17620; (j) T. K. Beng, C. Borg and M. Rodriguez, *RSC Adv.*, 2022, **12**, 28685–28691.
- 10 (a) T. K. Beng, S. Langevin, H. Braunstein and M. Khim, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2016, **14**, 830–834; (b) D. P. Bassler, L. Spence, A. Alwali, O. Beale and T. K. Beng, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2015, **13**, 2285–2292; (c) T. K. Beng, A. W. V. Silaire, A. Alwali and D. P. Bassler, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2015, **13**, 7915–7919; (d) T. K. Beng, H. Takeuchi, M. Weber and R. Sarpong, *Chem. Commun.*, 2015, **51**, 7653–7656; (e) T. K. Beng, N. Fox, D. P. Bassler, A. Alwali, K. Sincavage and A. W. V. Silaire, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2015, **13**, 8647–8651; (f) T. K. Beng and D. P. Bassler, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2014, **55**, 6662–6664.
- 11 (a) N. Guranova, P. Golubev, O. Bakulina, D. Dar'in, G. Kantin and M. Krasavin, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2021, **19**, 3829–3833; (b) A. Georgieva, E. Stanoeva, S. Spassov, M. Haimova, N. De Kimpe, M. Boelens, M. Keppens, A. Kemme and A. Mishnev, *Tetrahedron*, 1995, **51**, 6099; (c) A. Georgieva, S. Spassov, E. Stanoeva, I. Topalova and C. J. Tchanev, *J. Chem. Res. Synop.*, 1997, 148.
- 12 (a) M. Krasavin and D. Dar'in, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2016, **57**, 1635–1640; (b) M. Gonzalez-Lopez and J. T. Shaw, *Chem. Rev.*, 2009, **109**, 164–189; (c) S. W. Laws, S. Y. Howard, R. Mato, S. Meng, J. C. Fettinger and J. T. Shaw, *Org. Lett.*, 2019, **13**, 5073–5077; (d) N. Castagnoli and M. Cushman, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1971, **36**, 3404–3406; (e) J. Wei and J. T. Shaw, *Org. Lett.*, 2007, **9**, 4077–4080; (f) O. Pattawong, D. Q. Tan, J. C. Fettinger, J. T. Shaw and P. H.-Y. Cheong, *Org. Lett.*, 2013, **15**, 5130–5133.
- 13 J. Robert and A. Boucherle, *Ann. Pharm. Fr.*, 1981, **39**, 337–346.
- 14 D. Dar'in, O. Bakulina, S. Nikolskaya, I. Gluzdikov and M. Krasavin, *RSC Adv.*, 2016, **6**, 49411–49415.
- 15 R. C. Cioc, E. Ruijter and R. V. A. Orru, *Green Chem.*, 2014, **16**, 2958.
- 16 C. M. Alder, J. D. Hayler, R. K. Henderson, A. M. Redman, L. Shukla, L. E. Shuster and H. F. Sneddon, *Green Chem.*, 2016, **18**, 3879–3890.
- 17 F. Byrne, B. Forier, G. Bossaert, C. Hoebbers, T. J. Farmer, J. H. Clark and A. J. Hunt, *Green Chem.*, 2017, **19**, 3671–3678.
- 18 A. Pellis, F. P. Byrne, J. Sherwood, M. Vastano, J. W. Comerford and T. J. Farmer, *Green Chem.*, 2019, **21**, 1686–1694.
- 19 (a) N. Castagnoli, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1969, **34**, 3187–3189; (b) D. Alonso, A. Costa, B. Mancheno and C. Najera, *Tetrahedron*, 1997, **53**, 4791–4814; (c) M. Novikov, A. Khlebnikov, E. Sidorina, A. Masalev, J. Kopf, R. Kostikov and Zh. Org. *Khim*, 2002, **38**, 710–719.
- 20 A. Faulkner, T. van Leeuwen, B. L. Feringa and S. J. Wezenberg, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2016, **138**, 13597–13603.
- 21 F. Lovering, J. Bikker and C. Humblet, *J. Med. Chem.*, 2009, **52**, 6752–6756.
- 22 (a) M. Okada, K. Kaneko, M. Yamanaka and S. Shirakawa, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2019, **17**, 3747–3751; (b) R. Kristianslund, J. E. Tungen and T. V. Hansen, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2019, **17**, 3079–3092; (c) T. Chen, T. J. Y. Foo and Y.-Y. Yeung, *ACS Catal.*, 2015, **5**, 4751–4755; (d) J. Wong and Y.-Y. Yeung, *RSC Adv.*, 2021, **11**, 13564–13570; (e) K. Moriyama, M. Kuramochi, S. Tsuzuki, K. Fujii and T. Morita, *Org. Lett.*, 2021, **23**, 268–273; (f) J. E. Tungen, R. Kristianslund, A. Vik and T. V. Hansen, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2019, **84**, 11373–11381; (g) Y. A. Cheng, T. Chen, C. K. Tan, J. J. Heng and Y.-Y. Yeung, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2012, **134**, 16492–16495.
- 23 B. M. Stoltz, N. B. Bennett, D. C. Duquette, A. F. G. Goldberg, Y. Liu, M. M. Loewinger and C. M. Reeves, *Compr. Org. Synth.*, 2014, **3**, 1–55.
- 24 T. A. Johnson, D. O. Jang, B. W. Slafer, M. D. Curtis and P. Beak, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2002, **124**, 11689–11698.
- 25 P. J. Czerwinski and B. Furman, *Front. Chem.*, 2021, **9**, 655849.

