RSC Advances



PAPER

View Article Online
View Journal | View Issue



Cite this: RSC Adv., 2024, 14, 4339

A sustainable metal-free and additive-free olefination route to N-heteroazaarenes from methyl-substituted heterocycles and amines†

Hongyi Cui,^{ac} Chunyan Zhang, **D **D Yuqi Ji* and Guoying Zhang **D **a

A green and sustainable metal-free, additive-free olefination approach is proposed for the facile synthesis of various unsaturated *N*-heteroazaarenes from simple methyl-substituted heteroarenes and amines. The developed protocol employs only air as the sole oxidant and provides a useful strategy for obtaining various *E*-selective conjugated heterocyclic olefins. This provides a useful strategy for application in generating grams of a variety of unsaturated *N*-heteroazaarenes (up to 20.33 grams) and the synthetic imaging agents of STB-8 (2.40 gram) with high regioselectivity in one pot.

Received 8th January 2024 Accepted 22nd January 2024

DOI: 10.1039/d4ra00189c


rsc li/rsc-advances

Introduction

Unsaturated N-heteroaromatic compounds are widely utilized as intermediates during the synthesis of various natural products and functional materials, as well as in the pharmaceutical industry, and they are also common structural entities in several bioactive natural products (Scheme 1a). As such, the development of efficient methods to obtain conjugated N-heteroaromatic olefins is of great significance to chemical and medicinal applications, and has attracted significant attention over the past decades.2 For example, many named reactions,3 such as olefin metathesis,4 and catalytic cross-coupling reactions⁵ have been developed to access such heteroaromatic molecules, and these approaches commonly involve the reactions of methyl N-heteroaromatics with aldehydes, benzyl alcohols, or N-benzylidene-4-methylbenzenesulfonamides.6 However, the requirement for multi-step functional group manipulations, the use of stoichiometric amounts of oxidants, and the unavoidable generation of significant amounts of undesired waste products are particular shortcomings of these reactions.

The deamination of primary amines is another important transformation that can be employed to assemble unsaturated *N*-heteroaromatic structures.⁷ This has been achieved by the aggressive oxidation of aliphatic amines using oxidants, although the potential scope of the reaction is limited due to its low selectivity and its tendency toward overoxidation. Recently,

Gong *et al.* and Susanta *et al.* discovered that *E*-2-styrylquinolines could be prepared from 2-methylquinolines by reaction with primary amines in the presence of a stoichiometric amount of TBHP (2.0–4.0 eq., Scheme 1b(I)).⁸ In addition, employing air as the oxidant, Mao described the La-catalyzed olefination of methylquinolines and amines (Scheme 1b(II)),⁹ while Li ⁹ and Sharma ¹⁰ reported similar reactions with stoichiometric amounts of NH₄I or in a [Bmim]BF₄ solvent under air (Scheme 1b(III)). However, the use of stoichiometric



Scheme 1 State of the art and the reaction described here.

[&]quot;State Key Laboratory of Coal Conversion, Institute of Coal Chemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Taiyuan, 030001, P. R. China. E-mail: zhanggy@sxicc.ac.cn

^bTaiyuan University of Technology, Taiyuan, 030001, P. R. China. E-mail: qustzcy@ 163.com

^cUniversity of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China

[†] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: https://doi.org/10.1039/d4ra00189c

sed under a Creative Commons Attribution-NonCom

amounts of oxidants, additives, and metal catalysts in such processes limits the potential scope of this environmentally benign transformation. Therefore, the development of a green and economic system for the sustainable construction of highly *E*-selective *N*-heteroaromatic olefins is highly desirable and constitutes a new synthetic challenge.

These results, together with our research on the use of air as a green partner for the coupling reactions and syntheses of unsaturated *N*-heteroaromatics, ¹¹ prompted us to envision that benzylamines could potentially be oxidized in air prior to a direct condensation with methylazaarenes to obtain disubstituted olefins. Methylazaarenes to synthesize unsaturated *N*-heteroaromatics in the presence of air without metals or other additives has yet to be reported. Thus, we herein present the first protocol for the successful use of air as the sole oxidant of amines, and subsequent reaction with a variety of alkyl-substituted azaarenes *via* olefination to prepare a range of multiply-substituted alkenes containing the *N*-heteroaromatic structure (Scheme 1c).

Results and discussion

Initially, phenylmethanamine (1a) and 2-methylpyrazine (2a) were selected as model substrates to investigate the feasibility of the olefination reaction (Table 1). To our delight, an excellent yield of 2-styrylquinoline (3a) was obtained with a high *E*-selectivity in *N*-methyl-2-pyrrolidone (NMP) and in the presence of air (6 bar) at 160 °C for 24 h, along with traces of byproduct, most likely the *Z* isomer, were detected by GC-MS analysis (entry 1). This result indicated that our proposed sequential oxidative olefination reaction of methylazaarenes and amines is indeed possible in a metal-free manner. Among the screened solvents, NMP was the most effective. When NMP was replaced with dimethylacetamide (DMAc, entry 2), a lower product yield was obtained, although the reaction enantioselectivity was good. Other solvents, such as anisole and xylene, produced the

Table 1 Optimization of the reaction conditions^a


Entry	Variation from standard conditions	Yield (%)	3a/5a
		0.0	. 20 1
1	None	98	>20:1
2	DMAc instead of NMP	28	>20:1
3	Anisole instead of NMP	40	13:1
4	Xylene instead of NMP	62	10:1
5	Air (1 bar)	<5	_
6	O_2 (1 bar)	44	16:1
7	O ₂ (6 bar)	27	15:1
8	N ₂ (6 bar)	0	_
9	<140 °C	0	_
10	H_2O (2 eq.) was added	93	>20:1

^a Reaction conditions: **1a** (1.0 mmol), **2a** (2.0 mmol), NMP (2.0 mL), air (6 bar), 160 $^{\circ}$ C, 24 h. The yield of **3a** and the ratio of **3a/5a** were determined by GC analysis using *n*-hexadecane as an internal standard.

desired adduct in a moderate yield but with a poor regioselectivity (entries 3 and 4). In addition, upon reducing the air pressure to atmospheric pressure, only a trace amount of the desired product was detected under the current reaction conditions (entry 5). Furthermore, 3a was obtained in a relatively low yield and selectivity when air was replaced with oxygen (entries 6 and 7). In this case, trace amounts of benzoic acid were detected by gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (GC-MS), and this was attributed to the peroxidation of benzylamine in the presence of O2. Control reactions demonstrated that no desired adduct was formed under a nitrogen atmosphere or at a lower reaction temperature (entries 8 and 9). Interestingly, the olefination reaction was relatively unaffected by the addition of two equivalents of water, thereby indicating the potential stability, flexibility, and applicability of this reaction system.

With the optimized conditions in hand (Table 1, entry 1), we investigated the substrate scope of alkyl amine 1 (Table 2). As indicated, various benzylic-type amine derivatives bearing electron-withdrawing or electron-donating groups reacted smoothly with 2-methylpyrazine to produce the corresponding unsaturated *N*-heteroarene products in good to excellent yields with excellent *E*-selectivities. Generally, amines bearing electron-withdrawing groups gave slightly higher yields than those bearing electron-donating groups (*i.e.*, 3b–3i *vs.* 3j–3q).

Table 2 Substrate scope^a



^a Reaction conditions: 1 (1.0 mmol), 2a (2.0 mmol), NMP (2.0 mL), air (6 bar), 160 °C, 24 h. Isolated yield. ^b Air (10 bar) for 48 h.

Open Access Article. Published on 31 January 2024. Downloaded on 12/7/2025 3:27:10 AM.

Paper

Importantly, substrates bearing various substituents at the para-, meta-, and ortho positions also underwent smooth olefination under the standard conditions. However, the orientation of the benzylamine substituents had a clear impact on the efficacy of the transformation, affording 3d-3e, 3h, 3l, and 3n in decreasing yields but high selectivities. Notably, olefination reactions carried out using halo-substituted benzylamines proceeded smoothly to generate high yields of the corresponding unsaturated N-heteroarenes (3j-3p), which can be employed in further transformations to synthesize complex unsaturated N-heteroarenes. In addition, trifluoromethyl substituent survived well during the standard process, and product 3q was produced in high yields. Furthermore, 1-naphthaldehydes (1r) was employed to obtain the corresponding product in excellent vield. Remarkably, when the heteroarenes thiophen-2ylmethanamine and pyridin-2-ylmethanamine were subjected to the transformation, good yields of the desired adducts (3s-3t) were isolated with high E-selectivities. In addition to benzyl-type amines, an aliphatic amine (1u) was also found to tolerate this procedure to give an acceptable yield of 3u in the presence of air (10 bar) over a prolonged reaction time.

Based on the above results, various N-heteroaromatics were subjected to the oxidation olefination protocol to investigate the scope and generality of the substituted *N*-heteroarenes (Table 3).

Table 3 Substrate scope of N-heteroaromatics^a


4n, 50% (E:Z = 19:1)^b

4o, 62% (E:Z = 19:1)^b

4m, 52% (E:Z = 19:1)^b

Methyl-substituted azaarenes derived from 2-methylquinoline were effective substrates, and reacted smoothly with benzylamine to give the corresponding desired products 4b-4f in good to excellent yields with high selectivities. N-Heteroarenes possessing electron-donating groups gave slightly higher yields than those possessing electron-withdrawing groups, indicating that the olefination step may involve a nucleophilic attack process. In addition, the presence of a halide substituent did not affect the reaction outcome, and these groups remained intact following the transformation, thereby enabling the synthesis of products 4d-4f, which could be employed for further transformations. Furthermore, when the quinoline core was replaced with a quinoxaline or benzo[d]thiazole core, olefination took place to give 4i and 4j in acceptable yields. Although the reaction of 4-methvlquinoline afforded 4h in a lower yield, the reaction with 2methylquinoline proceeded smoothly to give the desired product 4g in an excellent yield. Moreover, substrates 2k-2m were also compatible with this process, furnishing the corresponding products 4k-4m in moderate yields, thereby revealing that a variety of heteroarenes could function as useful substrates for this oxidative olefination transformation. Additionally, the oxidative olefination reactions of **1n** and **1o** with 2-methylpyrazine resulted in moderate yields of the desired adducts 4n-4o.

Several experiments were then carried out to elucidate the mechanism of the olefination reaction (Scheme 2). Initially, a series of reaction conditions were surveyed using a new reaction tube and stirring bar with ultra-pure specimens of 1a, 2a, and NMP. However, it was found that the substrate purity had no significant effect on the reaction, and the metal content was undetectable by ICP-MS analysis (i.e., <5 ppm), thereby indicating that this was not a metal-catalyzed transformation (eqn (1)). Interestingly, only trace amounts of 3a were produced in the presence of TEMPO or 1,1-diphenylethene, indicating that this reaction may involve a radical process (eqn (2)). Several additional experiments were also conducted under standard conditions to gain further insight into the reaction mechanism. More specifically, using 2a as the coupling partner, intermolecular competition experiments were carried out using 1r (4- CF_3) and 1 g (4-OCH₃) (eqn (3)). Furthermore, applying 1a as the




Scheme 2 Control and competition experiments.

^a General conditions: 1 (1.0 mmol), 2 (2.0 mmol), NMP (2.0 mL), air (6 bar), 160 °C, 24 h. Isolated yield unless otherwise noted. ^b Air (10 bar) for 36 h.

coupling partner, intermolecular competition experiments were conducted using 2b (4-CH₃) and 2d (4-Cl) (eqn (4)). In both reactions, the corresponding products 3r and 4d bearing electron-withdrawing functional groups were formed preferentially, further suggesting that the olefination step may involve nucleophilic attack.

It was also found that ammonia gas could be collected as a product in an acceptable yield, strongly indicating that ammonia gas forms from the amine benzylamine substrate (Scheme 3, eqn (5)). In addition, in the reaction outlined in eqn (6), phenylmethanimine was detected by GC-MS under standard conditions after 60 min and after a prolonged reaction time of 6 Furthermore, benzaldehyde and N-benzyl-1phenylmethanimine were also detected in low amounts, which was attributed to imine hydrolysis and the condensation of 1a with the aldehyde (Scheme 3, eqn (6)). Moreover, high yields of 3a were obtained when the reaction was performed using phenylmethanimine as the reaction partner under the standard reaction conditions, while an acceptable yield was obtained when benzaldehyde was employed. Additionally, the replacement of 1a with N-benzyl-1-phenylmethanimine resulted in a moderate product yield (Scheme 3, eqn (7)-(9)). These results therefore reveal that phenylmethanimine, benzaldehyde, and N-benzyl-1phenylmethanimine may be intermediates in this reaction, as they as they were observed in situ and were found to be suitable partners for producing the desired adducts.

Based on the above results in combination with our previous studies, ¹² a plausible mechanism for this oxidative olefination was proposed, as outlined in Fig. 1. More specifically, using 1a and 2a as the model substrates, benzylamine 1a is initially oxidized to the highly active phenylmethanimine under an air atmosphere. Subsequently, the phenylmethanimine undergoes nucleophilic attack by 2a to produce the desired product 3 and releases a molecule of ammonia gas. It is also possible that phenylmethanimine may be converted to benzaldehyde in the presence of water and subsequently by transformed to an *N*-benzyl-1-phenylmethanimine intermediate in the presence of



Scheme 3 Mechanistic investigations for the transformation.




Fig. 1 Proposed mechanism and preparation of pharmaceuticals.

benzylamine. The intermediate aldehyde or the imine can then be attacked by 2a to generate product 3a.

To further demonstrate the robustness of our transformation, attempted gram-scale reactions. More specifically, we found that products **3a** and **STB-8** could be obtained in yields of 88% (20.33 g) and 71% (2.4 g), respectively. Based on this newly developed transformation system, the preparation of **2E10** (ref. 13) and montelukast, ¹⁴ the brain-imaging agent for amyloid deposits and cysteinyl leukotriene receptor 1, could potentially be carried out by iteration. Furthermore, we expect that montelukast, a compound employed for the treatment of asthma and seasonal allergies, could be obtained *via* the transformation of **4e**.

Conclusions

In conclusion, we presented a sustainable and practical protocol for the oxidative olefination of methyl-substituted heterocycles and amines to obtain 1,2-disubstituted unsaturated *N*-heteroaromatics using air as an inexpensive, green, and sole oxidant. This green method is compatible with various functional groups and can be employed to selectively construct a range of 1,2-disubstituted unsaturated *N*-heteroaromatics with high *E*-selectivities. In contrast to existing routes to these compounds, the developed reaction system was efficiently realized under metal- and additive-free reaction conditions. Further studies are currently underway in our laboratory to gain a detailed mechanistic understanding of this aerobic oxidative olefination, and the application of air as the sole oxidant is also being investigated for other reaction systems.

Author contributions

H. Cui: methodology; C. Zhang: funding acquisition, writing original draft, investigation, methodology; Y. Ji: methodology,

review and editing; G. Zhang: funding acquisition, conceptualization, supervision, writing – review & editing.

Conflicts of interest

Paper

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to the financial support of the National Natural Science Foundation of China (22002067, 22202228), the Fund Program for the Scientific Activities of Selected Returned Overseas Professionals in Shanxi Province (20220052), the Science and Technology Project of Shanxi Province (202103021223457), the State Key Laboratory of Coal Conversion, Institute of Coal Chemistry, CAS (2021BWZ011).

References

- 1 (a) S. Matar and L. F. Hatch, in Chemistry of Petrochemical Processes, Gulf Professional Publishing, Woburn, 2001; (b) G. D. Henry, Tetrahedron, 2004, 60, 6043-6061; (c) J. P. Michael, Nat. Prod. Rep., 2005, 22, 627-646; (d) T. Laird, Org. Process Res. Dev., 2006, 10, 851-852; (e) L.-C. Campeau and K. Fagnou, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2007, 36, 1058-1068; (f) M. C. Bagley, C. Glover and E. A. Merritt, Synlett, 2007, 2007, 2459-2482; (g) N.-Y. Kang, H.-H. Ha, S.-W. Yun, Y. Yu and Y.-T. Chang, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2011, 40, 3613-3626.
- 2 For selected examples, see: (a) M. Murakami and S. Hori, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2003, 125, 4720–4721; (b) R. Dumeunier and I. E. Markó, in Modern Carbonyl Olefination: Methods and Applications, ed. T. Takeda, Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2004; (c) K. S. Kanyiva, Y. Nakao and T. Hiyama, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2007, 46, 8872–8874; (d) Y. Nakao, K. S. Kanyiva and T. Hiyama, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2008, 130, 2448–2449; (e) J. Wu, X. Cui, L. Chen, G. Jiang and Y. Wu, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2009, 131, 13888–13889; (f) J. J. Mousseau, J. A. Bull and A. B. Charette, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2010, 49, 1115–1118; (g) F. Xiao, C. Liu, S. Yuan, H. Huang and G.-J. Deng, J. Org. Chem., 2018, 83, 10420–10429.
- 3 (a) G. Wittig and G. Geissler, Adv. Cycloaddit., 1953, 580, 44–57; (b) B. E. Maryanoff and A. B. Reitz, Chem. Rev., 1989, 89, 863–927; (c) Q.-Q. Li, Z. Shah, J.-P. Qu and Y.-B. Kang, J. Org. Chem., 2018, 83, 296–302; (d) W. S. Wadsworth and W. D. Emmons, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1961, 83, 1733–1738; (e) J. Clayden and S. Warren, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 1996, 35, 241–270; (f) D. J. Peterson, J. Org. Chem., 1968, 33, 780–784; (g) L. F. v. Staden, D. Gravestock and D. J. Ager, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2002, 31, 195–200; (h) A. K. Simlandy and S. Mukherjee, J. Org. Chem., 2017, 82, 4851–4858.
- 4 (a) T. M. Trnka and R. H. Grubbs, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2001, **34**, 18–29; (b) R. H. Grubbs, in *Handbook of Metathesis*, WileyVCH, Weinheim, 2003.
- 5 (a) R. F. Heck and J. P. Nolley, *J. Org. Chem.*, 1972, 37, 2320–2322; (b) M. J. D. Pires, D. L. Poeira, S. I. Purificação and

- M. M. B. Marques, *Org. Lett.*, 2016, **18**, 3250–3253; (c) J. A. Carmona, V. Hornillos, P. Ramírez-López, A. Ros, J. Iglesias-Sigüenza, E. Gómez-Bengoa, R. Fernández and J. M. Lassaletta, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2018, **140**, 11067–11075; (d) K. Ferré-Filmon, L. Delaude, A. Demonceau and A. F. Noels, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2004, **248**, 2323–2336; (e) J. P. G. Rygus and C. M. Crudden, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2017, **139**, 18124–18137; (f) S. H. Nazari, J. E. Bourdeau, M. R. Talley, G. A. Valdivia-Berroeta, S. J. Smith and D. J. Michaelis, *ACS Catal.*, 2018, **8**, 86–89.
- 6 (a) C. Chaudhari, S. M. A. H. Siddiki and K.-i. Shimizu, Tetrahedron Lett., 2013, 54, 6490-6493; (b) M. Staderinia, N. Cabezasa, M. L. Bolognesi, J. C. Menéndez, D. d. Q. O. y. Farmacéutica and F. d. Farmacia, Synlett, 2011, 17, 2577-2579; (c) E. Liang, J. Wang, Y. Wu, L. Huang, X. Yao and X. Tang, Adv. Synth. Catal., 2019, 361, 3619-3623; (d) Z. Jamal, Y.-C. Teo and G. S. Lim, Tetrahedron, 2016, 72, 2132-2138; (e) S. Yaragorla, G. Singh and R. Dada, Tetrahedron Lett., 2015, 56, 5924-5929; (f) D. Pi, K. Jiang, H. Zhou, Y. Sui, Y. Uozumi and K. Zou, RSC Adv., 2014, 4, 57875-57884; (g) B. Qian, P. Xie, Y. Xie and H. Huang, Org. Lett., 2011, 13, 2580-2583.
- 7 (a) T. A. Turney and G. A. Wright, Chem. Rev., 1959, 59, 497–513; (b) S. S. Rawalay and H. Shechter, J. Org. Chem., 1967, 32, 3129–3131; (c) N. A. Noureldin and J. W. Bellegarde, Synthesis, 1999, 1999, 939–942; (d) S. Sobhani, S. Aryanejad and M. F. Maleki, Helv. Chim. Acta, 2012, 95, 613–617; (e) M. Largeron and M.-B. Fleury, J. Org. Chem., 2000, 65, 8874–8881; (f) J. K. Crandall and T. Reix, J. Org. Chem., 1992, 57, 6759–6764; (g) S. Chiampanichayakul, M. Pohmakotra, V. Reutrakula, T. Jaipetchb and C. Kuhakarn, Synthesis, 2008, 2008, 2045–2048.
- 8 (a) S. Hazra, V. Tiwari, A. Verma, P. Dolui and A. J. Elias, Org. Lett., 2020, 22, 5496–5501; (b) L. Gong, L.-J. Xing, T. Xu, X.-P. Zhu, W. Zhou, N. Kang and B. Wang, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2014, 12, 6557–6560.
- 9 (a) D. Mao, X. Zhu, G. Hong, S. Wu and L. Wang, Synlett,
 2016, 27, 2481–2484; (b) P. R. Thorve and B. Maji, Org. Lett., 2021, 23, 542–547; (c) X. Li, B. Huang, J. Wang,
 Y. Zhang and W. Liao, J. Chem. Res., 2021, 45, 903–910; (d)
 C. Dong, Y. Wang, S. Chen, Y. Zhang, C. Wang, J. Li and
 Y. Zhou, Eur. J. Org Chem., 2023, 26, e202300194.
- 10 R. Sharma, M. Abdullaha and S. B. Bharate, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2017, **82**, 9786–9793.
- 11 (a) G. Zhang, T. Irrgang, T. Dietel, F. Kallmeier and R. Kempe, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2018, 57, 9131-9135; (b) G. Zhang, L. Yang, Y. Wang, Y. Xie and H. Huang, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2013, 135, 8850-8853; (c) L. Yang, G. Zhang and H. Huang, Adv. Synth. Catal., 2014, 356, 1509-1515; (d) G. Zhang, H. Yu, G. Qin and H. Huang, Chem. Commun., 2014, 50, 4331-4334; (e) F. Wang, D. Ding, C. Zhang and G. Zhang, Org. Chem. Front., 2024, DOI: 10.1039/D3QO01890C.
- 12 (a) A. Yoshimura and V. V. Zhdankin, Chem. Rev., 2016, 116, 3328–3435; (b) S. Hazra, M. Deb and A. J. Elias, Green Chem., 2017, 19, 5548–5552; (c) X.-F. Wu, J.-L. Gong and X. Qi, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2014, 12, 5807–5817; (d) U. P. N. Tran, G. Oss,

M. Breugst, E. Detmar, D. P. Pace, K. Liyanto and T. V. Nguyen, ACS Catal., 2019, 9, 912–919; (e) B. Wang and H. N. C. Wong, Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn., 2018, 91, 710–719.
13 Q. Li, J. Min, Y.-H. Ahn, J. Namm, E. M. Kim, R. Lui, H. Y. Kim, Y. Ji, H. Wu, T. Wisniewski and Y.-T. Chang, ChemBioChem, 2007, 8, 1679–1687.

14 (a) S. Pu, Q. Liu, Y. Li, R. Li, T. Wu, Z. Zhang, C. Huang, X. Yang and J. He, Front. Pharmacol, 2019, 18, 1070; (b) A. R. Khan, C. Misdary, N. Yegya-Raman, S. Kim, N. Narayanan, S. Siddiqui, P. Salgame, J. Radbel, F. De Groote, C. Michel, J. Mehnert, C. Hernandez, T. Braciale, J. Malhotra, M. A. Gentile and S. K. Jabbour, J. Asthma, 2022, 59, 780–786.