RSC Advances



View Article Online

View Journal | View Issue

PAPER

Check for updates

Cite this: RSC Adv., 2024, 14, 2983

Synthesis and characterization of ZrO₂–ZnO heterojunction composite for isopropanol detection

Hang Liu, ២ Shenghui Li, Lvqing Wang, Shengjue Yang and Yuhong Zhang ២*

We prepared ZrO_2 -ZnO heterojunction composites by a simple hydrothermal method as materials sensitive to isopropanol gas. The 5% ZrO_2 -ZnO sample presented a uniform rod-like structure. The optimum operating temperature, sensitivity and response/recovery times were measured to investigate the response of ZrO_2 -ZnO composites to isopropanol. The sensor based on 5% ZrO_2 -ZnO composites at an optimum temperature of 260 °C had a response to 100 ppm isopropanol of up to 172.46, which was about 3.6 times higher than that of pure ZnO. The sensor also exhibited fast response and recovery times of 5 s and 11 s, respectively. The gas-sensitive properties can be attributed to the rod-like structure, heterojunction structure and catalytic activity of ZrO_2 . These results would contribute in expanding the application of ZrO_2 in gas sensors.

Received 2nd October 2023 Accepted 23rd December 2023

DOI: 10.1039/d3ra06701g

rsc.li/rsc-advances

1. Introduction

Isopropanol belongs to the category of volatile organic compounds(VOC), which is an organic, colorless, and flammable liquid.1 It is commonly used as a solvent in paint, cosmetic and pharmaceutical industries² and as a detergent to remove oil, grease and other treatment dirt from printed circuit boards.^{3,4} However, isopropanol is a risk to human health and will slightly irritate the airways at concentrations >400 ppm.⁵ If people are exposed to an environment with high concentrations of isopropanol, they may experience dizziness, lung damage and even coma.6,7 The World Health Organization's International Agency for Research on Cancer published a preliminary compilation of references to their list of carcinogens in 2017. Isopropanol was affirmed as one of the three carcinogens that can be used for early diagnosis of lung cancer by detecting changes in their concentrations in exhaled breath.8,9 The accurate detection of isopropanol will therefore contribute to protecting life.10 Therefore, a series of isopropanol sensors are designed, such as gas chromatography and spectroscopy, which are expensive and exhibit low portability;11 the practice applications are limited. The metal oxide semiconductor (MOS) gas sensors attracts much interesting because of their lower power, high sensitivity, small volume and easy integration.12 Hu et al. have synthesized SnO₂ nanorods through the chemical precipitation method, and the response of sensor to 100 ppm isopropanol is about 17.13 Cai et al. have prepared ZnO-CdO composites with a response of about 3.5 to 100 ppm isopropanol.¹⁴ Thus,

designing high sensitivity isopropanol sensor based on MOS materials is an important research field.

It is well known that common MOS materials, such as In_2O_3 , SnO₂, WO₃, NiO, Fe₂O₃ and ZnO, have been used as gassensitive materials.¹⁵⁻¹⁸ Among them, ZnO is an n-type semiconductor with a 3.7 eV band gap. According to previous reports, ZnO-based gas sensors have the advantages of a high response, short response-recovery time and good selectivity for the detection of toxic and combustible gases.¹⁹⁻²² However, the gas-sensitive performance of ZnO-based sensors is still far from satisfactory in industrial settings.²³ Some strategies have been proposed to improve the gas-sensitive properties of semiconductor materials, such as enhancing the specific surface, doping with noble metals and designing heterostructures.^{24,25}

 ZrO_2 , with a wide bandgap of 5.0–5.85 eV, has unique catalytic activities.^{26,27} ZrO_2 possesses four surface properties: acidic, basic, oxidizing and reducing. A series of binary oxide semiconductors, such as (ZrO_2 – SnO_2 , ZrO_2 – In_2O_3 , ZrO_2 – TiO_2 and ZrO_2 – Al_2O_3) have been designed for their catalytic activity.^{26–29} In recent years, binary oxide semiconductors based on ZrO_2 and other MOSs have been applied to gas sensing.

Song *et al.* synthesized In_2O_3 – ZrO_2 nanowires *via* a facile electrospinning strategy. The In_2O_3 – ZrO_2 heterojunction showed a fast response to 100 ppm acetone gas with a response time of ~1 s.²⁶ Jin *et al.* reported a solution combustion technique to synthesize coral-like macro-/mesoporous ZnO–ZrO₂ composites for the detection of isopropanol.⁷ Shen *et al.* reported the development of ZrO₂-doped ZnO gas-sensitive films *via* an inkjet printing method; the 3% ZrO₂–ZnO film showed the highest sensitivity to 180 ppm acetone, about 2.3 times that of the pure ZnO film.³⁰ Li *et al.* prepared ZrO₂–ZnO nanocomposites *via* electrostatic spinning. The sensors showed a response of 107 to

School of Electrical and Computer Engineering, Jilin Jianzhu University, Changchun 130118, China. E-mail: zhangyuhong@jlju.edu.cn

100 ppm butanol.³¹ Wang *et al.* prepared ZrO₂ and ZnO hybrid nanocomposites for designing electrochemical sensors.³² The sensor was highly selective and ultrasensitive for epinephrine, uric acid and folic acid. However, few studies have been conducted to prepare ZrO₂–ZnO composites *via* a facile hydrothermal route for the detection of isopropanol.

We synthesized ZrO_2 -ZnO heterojunction materials by a simple hydrothermal method and investigated their response to isopropanol. The gas-sensitive mechanisms are discussed based on the catalytic activity of ZrO_2 , ZrO_2 -ZnO heterojunction structure and specific surface enhancement of ZnO.

2. Experimental

2.1 Material preparation

The raw materials included zinc nitrate hexahydrate $(Zn(NO_3)_2)$ ·6H₂O, 99.99%, Sinopharm Chemical Reagent Co), zirconium nitrate pentahydrate ($Zr(NO_3)_4 \cdot 5H_2O$, 99.99%, Tianjin Comio Chemical Reagent Co.) and sodium hydroxide (NaOH, 99.9%, Tianjin Fuchen Chemical Reagent Factory Co). Pristine ZnO and ZrO₂-ZnO materials were synthesized via a hydrothermal method. The synthetic route of the samples is shown in Fig. 1. First, 1.8 mmol $Zn(NO_3)_2 \cdot 6H_2O(0.535 \text{ g}), x \text{ g } Zr(NO_3)_4 \cdot 5H_2O (x =$ 0.007 g, 0.023 g, 0.038 g, 0.085 g) and 4 mmol NaOH (0.16 g) were put into 40 mL of deionized water. The precursor solution was obtained after magnetic stirring for about 30 min. Then, the solution was transferred to a 50 mL Teflon-lined autoclave, sealed and heated to 150 °C for 12 h. After the hydrothermal reaction was complete, the reactant was removed from the autoclave and cooled naturally to room temperature. The precipitate was filtered and washed three times with deionized water and anhydrous ethanol and finally dried in an oven at 60 °C for 10 h. At last, the samples were placed in a muffle furnace and calcined to 400 °C at 2 °C min⁻¹ for 2 h. Pristine ZnO and x% ZrO_2 -ZnO (x = 3, 5 and 7) nanocomposites were thus obtained.

2.2 Characterization of materials

The crystalline structures of the samples were analyzed by X-ray diffraction (XRD, Rigaku Ultima IV X-ray diffractometer) with Cu K α radiation ($\lambda = 1.541$ Å; 40 kV, 40 mA). The morphology of

the prepared samples was characterized by field-emission scanning electron microscopy (SEM, FEI QUANTA FEG 450), transmission electron microscopy (TEM, JEM-F200) and highresolution transmission electron microscopy (HRTEM, JED-2300T) with selected-area electron diffraction (SAED). The relative chemical compositions and distributions of different elements were evaluated by X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS, Thermo Scientific K-Alpha spectrometer, Al K α source, 1486.6 eV) and X-ray energy spectrometry (Oxford X-MAX50). The gas-sensitive properties of the samples were measured with a chemical gas sensor-8 type intelligent gas analysis system (CGS-8, SINO AGGTECH).

2.3 Sensor manufacturing characteristics

Fig. 2 shows the composition of the gas sensor and test procedure. The gas sensor consists of a pair of ceramic tubes with gold electrodes. The layer of sensitive material is put on the surface of the ceramic tubes. The nichrome alloy coil heater is used for temperature regulation. An appropriate amount of sample is mixed with a 9:1 mixture of deionized water and anhydrous ethanol to form a paste, which is then coated on the ceramic tube. After drying, the ceramic tube with the sensing material is placed in a muffle furnace and annealed at 400 °C for 2 h to obtain a gas sensor. The sensor is aged in air at 200 °C for 7 days. The sensing response is defined as the relative change in the resistance of the sensing material in air and gas: $S = R_g/R_a$, where R_a and R_g are the resistance values of the sensor in air and in the target gas, respectively. The process of gas testing is shown in Fig. 2. First, the corresponding concentration of gas is pumped into a glass bottle using a syringe. The sensor is then quickly put into the glass bottle and the response is observed.

3. Result and discussion

3.1 Structure and morphology

The XRD patterns of pure ZnO and ZrO_2 -ZnO nanocomposites are shown in Fig. 3(a). The phase composition and lattice constants of the samples were analyzed through the XRD data. As seen in Fig. 3(a), pure ZnO and ZrO_2 -ZnO nanocomposites



Fig. 1 Flow diagram of the preparation method for ZnO-based sensor materials.



Fig. 2 Schematic diagram of sensor fabrication and gas testing.

showed clear diffraction peaks at 31.8° , 34.4° , 36.2° , 47.5° , 56.6° , 62.9° , 66.4° , 67.9° , 69.1° , 72.5° , and 77.0° , corresponding to (100), (002), (101), (102), (110), (103), (200), (112), (201), (004) and (202) crystal planes. According to the standard card JCPDS no. 36-1451, all diffraction peaks correspond to hexagonal fibrillated ZnO. No additional peak appears in the XRD spectrum, which indicates that ZnO and ZrO₂–ZnO nanocomposites are pure phase. In addition, no diffraction peak of ZrO₂ is observed in the XRD patterns, which may be due to the better dispersion of elemental Zr in ZnO or low doping concentration of ZrO₂.

XRD Rietveld refinement of the 5% ZrO₂–ZnO sample was performed using the visualized electronic structure analysis (VESTA) procedure. The calculated patterns and Bragg position difference plots are shown in Fig. 3(b). In addition, pure ZnO and 3% and 7% ZrO₂–ZnO were also calculated. The lattice parameters and feasibility factors (χ^2 , GOF and $R_{wp}/\%$) are given in Table 1. The results of feasibility factors satisfied the reasonable value interval, and therefore, the calculated lattice parameters were reliable. The lattice volumes of pure ZnO and ZrO₂–ZnO samples were very similar, and therefore, the Zr⁴⁺ ions do not substitute at the location of Zn²⁺. ZrO₂ is distributed on the surface of ZnO, and the ZrO₂–ZnO junction forms a p–n heterostructure. The morphologies of ZnO, 3% ZrO₂–ZnO, 5% ZrO₂–ZnO and 7% ZrO₂–ZnO are shown in Fig. 4. The particle morphology of pure ZnO shows a non-uniform sheet-like structure. The particle morphology of ZrO₂–ZnO is influenced by the dopant concentration of ZrO₂. The particle morphology of the sample is very similar to that of ZnO. However, the particle morphology of the 5% ZrO₂–ZnO sample presents a uniform elongated rod-like structure. The length of a single rod reaches about 1.2 µm. Once the dopant concentration of ZrO₂ reaches 7%, the rod-like structure still exists, but the length of a single rod is short. Therefore, ZrO₂ acts as a catalyst in the ZrO₂–ZnO system and affects the growth of ZnO.⁷

Fig. S2 shows the EDS of the 5% ZrO_2 -ZnO sample. It can be seen that the sample is composed of three elements (Zn, Zr and O), which further indicates that ZrO_2 was successfully doped into ZnO with a uniform distribution.

The morphology and structure of the 5% ZrO_2 -ZnO complexes were investigated using the TEM images to further understand the morphology of the ZrO_2 -ZnO sample. The composite consists of nanorods about 1.2 µm long (Fig. 5), in agreement with the SEM results. The SAED map (Fig. 5(c), inset) shows that composite oxides have a polycrystalline structure with ring diffraction spots corresponding to ZnO and ZrO₂. The high-resolution TEM image in Fig. 5(d) shows lattice stripes



Fig. 3 (a) XRD patterns of the pure ZnO and x% ZrO₂-ZnO (x = 3, 5, 7) samples. (b) Rietveld plots with 5% ZrO₂-ZnO.

Sample	a, Å	b, Å	<i>c</i> , Å	V, Å ³	lpha=eta	γ	Ζ	χ^2	GOF	<i>R</i> _{wp} , %
ZnO	3.2516	3.2516	5.210040	47.706	90°	120°	2	1.65	1.29	6.381
3% ZrO ₂ -ZnO	3.2480	3.2480	5.2040	47.544	90°	120°	2	1.50	1.23	6.169
5% ZrO ₂ –ZnO	3.25178	3.25178	5.21096	47.7190	90°	120°	2	2.28	1.51	7.563
7% ZrO ₂ –ZnO	3.2454	3.2454	5.1988	47.420	90°	120°	2	0.98	0.99	7.236

with an adjacent spacing of 0.260 nm, which correspond to the (002) facet of fibrillated ZnO, and lattice stripes with an adjacent spacing of 0.284 nm, which may correspond to the (100) face of $Zr.^{33,34}$ This indicates that ZnO and ZrO_2 are tightly connected in the composite. Only two lattice spacings and no other crystal-line phase are seen, indicating that the composite oxide is well crystallized.

Table 1 Refinement lattice parameters of pure ZnO and x% ZrO₂–ZnO (x = 3, 5, 7) samples

To further analyze the elemental composition and chemical morphology of ZrO_2 –ZnO, the XPS of 5% ZrO_2 –ZnO was measured (Fig. 6). The Cls peak at 284.8 eV is used as a reference to correct all binding energy positions. Zn, O and Zr peaks were obtained, with no other impurities (Fig. 6(a)). The Zn $2p_{3/2}$ peak at 1021.9 eV and the Zn $2p_{1/2}$ peak at 1044.9 eV can be observed in the detailed spectra of Zn (Fig. 6(b)), which proves the presence of Zn in the Zn²⁺ state in the 5% ZrO₂–ZnO composite.^{35–37} Fig. 6(c) shows lattice oxygen (O_{lat}, 530.20 eV), oxygen vacancies (O_{def}, 531.85 eV) and chemisorbed oxygen species (O_{abs}, 533.16 eV) in the detailed spectra of O.^{37–39} Fig. 6(d) clearly shows Zr $3d_{5/2}$ and Zr $3d_{3/2}$ peaks at 182.26 and 184.64 eV, respectively. The difference between the two peaks is about 2.38 eV, which is in good agreement with the literature values for the Zr⁴⁺ state in ZrO₂.^{40,41}

3.2 Gas sensing characteristics

We investigated the gas-sensitive properties of pure ZnO and ZrO₂ZnO samples for isopropanol in detail. First, the response values of ZnO and x% ZrO₂–ZnO (x = 3, 5, 7) nanocomposites to 100 ppm isopropanol were measured at 240–340 °C (Fig. 7). The responses of all sensors present a pyramidal pattern with increasing operating temperature. It is well known that more energy is available to activate the reaction between the isopropanol molecule and adsorbed oxygen with increasing temperature. The gas response of the sensor therefore increases with temperature. However, desorption of surface oxygen species will occur when the operating temperature exceeds a certain value, which hinders the interaction between the adsorbed oxygen and isopropanol. The gas response therefore decreases at higher temperatures.

The pure ZnO sensor exhibits the maximum response of 48.89 to 100 ppm isopropanol at an operating temperature of 280 °C. The sensors with 3% and 7% ZrO_2 -ZnO composites present a much higher response to 100 ppm isopropanol at 260 °C (89.68 and 68.12, respectively). The sensor with the 5% ZrO_2 -ZnO composite has the highest response of 172.34 at 260 °C. The response is enhanced 3.53 times compared with pure



Fig. 4 (a) SEM images of pure ZnO, (b) 3% ZrO₂-ZnO₂, (c) 5% ZrO₂-ZnO₂, and (d) 7% ZrO₂-ZnO₂.



Fig. 5 TEM and HRTEM images of 5% ZrO₂-ZnO. Insets are the corresponding selected area electron diffraction (SAED) patterns.

ZnO, and the operating temperature decreases by 20 °C. The results may be explained by three reasons: (1) the 5% ZrO_2 -5% ZrO_2 -ZnO composite with a uniform rod structure exhibits a higher specific surface area than the pure ZnO composite, which produces more adsorbed oxygen and increases the gas response; (2) ZrO_2 plays a catalytic role in promoting gas-

sensitive reactions; and (3) the ZrO_2 -ZnO heterojunction structure also plays an important role in enhancing the gas response.^{42,43} In addition, the responses of all ZrO_2 -ZnO sensors over the whole temperature range are higher than those of pure ZnO sensors, which indicates that the formed ZrO_2 -ZnO heterojunction is beneficial to the response of ZnO sensors to



Fig. 6 (a) XPS survey spectrum and detailed spectra of (b) Zn 2p, (c) O 1s and (d) Zr 3d for 5% ZrO₂-ZnO.



Fig. 7 Response of ZnO doped with different amounts of Zr to 100 ppm isopropanol at different operating temperatures.

isopropanol, and the content of ZrO₂ in ZrO₂–ZnO affects the response.

The response and recovery times are also key parameters of gas sensors. Fig. 8(a) and (b) show the response-recovery curves of pure ZnO and 5% ZrO_2 -ZnO in a 100 ppm isopropanol atmosphere at 280 °C and 260 °C, respectively. It can be seen that the response and recovery times of pure ZnO are 9 s and 5 s, respectively, while those of 5% ZrO_2 -ZnO are 5 s and 11 s, respectively. This indicates that the response time of 5% ZrO_2 -ZnO is less than that of pure ZnO. The result also proves that the codoped ZrO_2 material enhances the isopropanol response rate. The catalytic effect of ZrO_2 , uniform rod structure and ZrO_2 -ZnO heterojunction also contribute to a decrease in the response time.

Repeatability is an important performance parameter for gas sensors. The sensor with the 5% ZrO_2 -ZnO composite was tested several times with 100 ppm isopropanol at an optimum operating temperature of 260 °C. As is shown in Fig. 9(a), the response to isopropanol was almost equal over four cycles, which indicates the good repeatability of the sensor. Each time the sensor returned to the initial value. This will benefit the practical applications of the gas sensor.

The dynamic response and recovery curves of the 5% ZrO_2 -ZnO sensor for different concentrations of isopropanol (3–50 ppm) were investigated at 260 °C (Fig. 9(b)). The response of the 5% ZrO₂–ZnO sensor reached 5.78 for 3 ppm isopropanol, which indicates that the isopropanol sensor has low detection limit and high sensitivity. The linear relationship between the response values and isopropanol concentrations was fitted (Fig. 9(b)). The least-squares conforming equation was Y = 2.20 + 0.83X ($R^2 = 0.981$). This result shows that a good linear relationship was obtained in the concentration range 3–50 ppm isopropanol. In addition, the 3% and 7% ZrO₂ZnO sensor responses for different concentrations of isopropanol were investigated (Fig. 9(c) and (d)). The least-squares conforming of the 3% ZrO₂–ZnO sensor was Y = 3.45 + 0.57X ($R^2 = 0.985$) and that of the 7% ZrO₂–ZnO sensor was Y = 0.46X + 1.41 ($R^2 = 0.987$). This indicates that all the sensors had a relatively good linearity.

Selectivity is an important parameter of gas sensors. To further evaluate the selectivity of the sensor with the 5% ZrO₂– ZnO nanocomposite, the response values of pure ZnO and 5% ZrO₂–ZnO sensors were tested for 100 ppm of different gases, including isopropanol (CH₃CHOHCH₃), methanol (CH₃OH), formaldehyde (HCHO), toluene (C₇H₈) and xylene (C₈H₁₀). As shown in Fig. 10, the 5% ZrO₂–ZnO nanocomposite sensor had the highest response (172.46) to isopropanol. The sensitivities for other gases was <10. Therefore, the 5% ZrO₂–ZnO nanocomposite sensor presents a good selectivity for isopropanol.

In addition, the prepared sensors were compared with other sensors, and the results are shown in Table 2. It can be found that the ZrO₂–ZnO isopropanol sensor prepared in this work had the highest response when compared with previous ZnO gas sensors.

3.3 Gas sensing mechanisms

Generally, the gas-sensitive mechanism of n-type MOSs can be explained based on the electron depletion layer, which mainly involves three components: gas adsorption, electron transfer, and gas desorption.^{7,31,50} As shown in Fig. 11(a), the oxygen in the air is adsorbed onto the surface of the material when ZnO is exposed to air, which traps free electrons in the conduction band and forms oxygen ions. The process can be described by the following reactions:

$$O_2(gas) \rightarrow O_2(ads)$$
 (1)



Fig. 8 Dynamic response-recovery curves of the (a) pure ZnO and (b) 5% ZrO₂-ZnO sensors to 100 ppm isopropanol at 280 °C and 260 °C.

4000

50 ppr

2000

50 ppn

y=0.83x+2.20 R²=0.981

40 ppr

2000

Time (s)

40 -30

20 nn

1000

Time (s)



Fig. 9 (a) Dynamic response-recovery curves of the 5% ZrO₂-ZnO sensor for a 100 ppm concentration of isopropanol gas. Dynamic responserecovery curves of the (b) 5%, (c) 3% and 7% ZrO₂-ZnO sensors for different concentrations of isopropanol gas at the optimum operating temperature.

(b) 50

Response (R_a/R_v)

4000

50 ppm

2000

40

30

20

10

0

20

10

(d) 30

Response (R_a/R_g)

0



Fig. 10 Selectivity of the 5% ZrO2-ZnO sensor to 100 ppm isopropanol, methanol, formaldehyde, toluene, and xylene.

$$O_2(ads) + e^- \rightarrow O_2^-(ads) \ (T < 100 \ ^\circ C)$$
 (2)

$$O_2^{-}(ads) + e^- \rightarrow 2O^{-}(ads) (100 \ ^{\circ}C < T < 300 \ ^{\circ}C)$$
 (3)

$$O^{-}(ads) + e^{-} \rightarrow O^{2-}(ads) (T > 300 \ ^{\circ}C)$$
 (4)

The loss of electrons on the oxide surface results in the formation of a depletion layer and an increase in the potential barrier, which, in turn, results in a higher resistance. When the sensor is exposed to isopropanol, the isopropanol reacts with the oxygen anions on the surface and releases electrons back into the material. The isopropanol is decomposed into oxygen and water, causing the surface depletion layer to decrease and

Tahle 2	Comparison	of the das	sensing	nerformance of	four sensor :	and those	reported in previous lite	rature
	Companson	or the gus	Scribing	periornance o	our sensor (reported in previous at	ruture

Material	Temp. (°C)	Target gas	Conc. (ppm)	Response (R_a/R_g)	Ref.	
Au–ZnO	300	Isopropanol	100	160	44	
SnO ₂ –ZnO	300	Isopropanol	500	98	45	
CdS NP–ZnO PNs	320	Isopropanol	100	33	46	
NiO-ZnO	280	Isopropanol	100	57	47	
ZnO	320	Ethanol	100	3.3	48	
Co–ZnO	300	Ethanol	100	90.71	49	
Fe–ZnO nanostructure	370	Ethanol	100	45	50	
ZrO ₂ –ZnO	260	Isopropanol	100	172.46	This work	

8

Paper

200 (a)

150

100

50

0

(c) 40

30

20

10

0

Response (R_a/R_e)

Ò

2000

Time (s)

3-8.57x-

1000

Time (s)

Response (R_a/R_a)



Fig. 11 Sensing mechanism of ZrO₂-ZnO composites in air and isopropanol atmosphere

the resistance to decrease; this process can be seen in Fig. 11(b) and described using the following reactions^{51,52}:

$$(CH_3)_2 CHOH_{gas} \rightarrow (CH_3)_2 CHOH_{ads}$$
 (5)

$$2(CH_3)_2CHOH_{ads} + 18O_{ads}^- \rightarrow 6CO_2 + 8H_2O + 18e^-$$
 (6)

$$(CH_3)_2 CHOH_{ads} + 9O^{2-} \rightarrow 3CO_2 + 4H_2O + 18e^-$$
 (7)

It has previously been reported that the response of gassensitive materials is related to the specific surface area. Generally, a large specific surface area produces more absorbed oxygen and the number of active sites involved in the redox reaction will increase,^{43,48} enhancing the response of the sensitive material. In contrast to pure ZnO, the 5% ZrO₂–ZnO nanocomposite with a uniform rod-like structure has a larger specific surface area, which leads to a higher response for isopropanol. All ZrO₂–ZnO materials showed a higher response than pure ZnO.

The catalytic effect of ZrO_2 should also be considered. Tanabe reported that a methyl group of the absorbed isopropanol and a surface OH group of ZrO_2 generate a hydrogen exchange reaction.⁵³ ZrO_2 has a role as an acid-based bifunctional catalyst in the activation of the methyl group. Therefore, ZrO_2 -ZnO samples show a higher response and a faster response time.

The ZrO_2 -ZnO heterojunction structure also contributes to the increase in the gas response. ZnO is a typical n-type semiconductor, and ZrO_2 presents as a p-type semiconductor.⁴⁰ As shown in Fig. 11(c), the ZrO_2 -ZnO composites form a p-n heterojunction structure. The electrons in the n-type ZnO and the holes in the p-type ZrO_2 move in opposite directions to form built-in power plants, equalizing the Fermi energy levels and bending the energy band. A further increase in resistance is caused by the creation of heterojunctions. The sensor resistance of pure ZnO is 501.04 k Ω in air at 260 °C, while that of 5% ZrO₂– ZnO reaches 97.65 M Ω under the same conditions. Consequently, ZrO₂–ZnO sensor is exposed to reduced isopropanol, and the combination of the released electrons and holes in ZrO₂ will lead to a narrowing of the depletion layer. The sensor resistance of ZrO₂–ZnO decreases, and the response is further enhanced.

4. Conclusion

In this paper, pure ZnO and ZrO₂-ZnO composites are prepared by a simple hydrothermal method and their structure and morphology is characterized. The morphology of pure ZnO is sheet-like, while the ZrO2-ZnO morphology presents as rod-like. The catalytic effects of ZrO₂ may be the key factor in the formation of the rod-like structure. We investigated the gassensitive properties of the material to isopropanol. The sensor with 5% ZrO₂-ZnO showed good gas-sensitive properties to isopropanol. Compared with pure ZnO, the 5% ZrO2-ZnO sensor achieved the highest response of 172.46 for 100 ppm isopropanol with an optimum operating temperature of 260 °C. The larger specific surface area, the catalytic effect of ZrO₂ and the ZrO₂-ZnO heterojunction structure are possible reasons for the increased gas response of ZnO materials. These results confirmed that doping with ZrO2 enhances the gas response of MOS materials and provides a new route toward developing gassensitive materials.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 61705077); Project of Jilin Provincial Science and Technology Department (No. 20200403072SF, 20230508055RC); and Project of Jilin Province Development and Reform Commission (2019C048-4, 2020C021-5)

References

- T. Yang, S. Ma, P. Cao, X. Xu, L. Wang, S. Pei, T. Han, X. Xu,
 P. Yun and H. Sheng, Synthesis and characterization of ErFeO₃ nanoparticles by a hydrothermal method for isopropanol sensing properties, *Vacuum*, 2021, **185**, 110005.
- 2 C. Dong, X. Liu, X. Xiao, G. Chen, Y. Wang and I. Djerdj, Combustion synthesis of porous Pt-functionalized SnO₂ sheets for isopropanol gas detection with a significant enhancement in response, *J. Mater. Chem. A*, 2014, 2, 20089–20095.
- 3 K. R. Jawaher, R. Indirajith, S. Krishnan, S. K. K. Pasha, K. Deshmukh, D. Sastikumar and S. J. Das, A high sensitivity isopropanol vapor sensor based on Cr₂O₃-SnO₂ heterojunction nanocomposites via chemical precipitation route, *J. Nanosci. Nantechnol.*, 2018, **18**, 5454–5460.
- 4 W. Bi, W. Xiao and S. Liu, Synthesis of Pt-doped SnO₂ flowerlike hierarchical structure and its gas sensing properties to isopropanol, *J. Mater. Sci.*, 2021, **56**, 1–15.
- 5 N. Jayababu, M. Poloju, J. Shruthi and M. V. R. Reddy, NiO decorated CeO_2 nanostructures as room temperature isopropanol gas sensors, *RSC Adv.*, 2019, **9**, 13765–13775.
- 6 J. Zhang, G. Li, J. Liu, Y. Liu, R. Yang, L. Li, Q. Zhao, J. Gao, G. Zhu, B. Zhu and H. Lu, Metal–organic framework-derived mesoporous rGO-ZnO composite nanofibers for enhanced isopropanol sensing properties, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2023, 378, 133108.
- 7 Q. Jin, W. Wen, S. Zheng and J. Wu, Enhanced isopropanol sensing of coral-like ZnO-ZrO₂ composites, *Nanotechnology*, 2020, **31**, 195502.
- 8 Z. Zheng, Y. Li, Y. Luo, M. Debliguy and C. Zhang, Facile synthesis of bismuth ferrite nanoparticles for ppm-level isopropanol gas sensor, *J. Mater. Sci. Mater. El.*, 2022, 33, 18507–18521.
- 9 Z. Khatoon, H. Fouad, O. Y. Alothman, M. Hashem, Z. A. Ansari and S. A. Ansari, Doped SnO₂ nanomaterials for e-nose based electrochemical sensing of biomarkers of lung cancer, *ACS Omega*, 2020, 5, 27645–27654.
- 10 C. Zhang, Y. Huan, Y. Li, Y. Luo and M. Debliquy, Low concentration isopropanol gas sensing properties of Ag nanoparticles decorated In₂O₃ hollow spheres, *J. Adv. Ceram.*, 2022, **11**, 379–391.
- 11 W. Wang, J. Han, C. Liu, J. Zhou, Y. Ma, X. Li and S. Ruan, An isopropanol sensor based on MOF-derived NiO/NiCoxFe₂-

xO₄ porous nanocube with improved response and selectivity, *J. Alloys Compd.*, 2023, **933**, 167734.

- 12 D. Meng, T. Qiao, G. Wang, Y. Shen, X. San, R. Li and F. Meng, Rational design of CuO/In_2O_3 heterostructures with flower-like structures for low temperature detection of formaldehyde, *J. Alloys Compd.*, 2022, **896**, 162959.
- 13 D. Hu, B. Han, R. Han, S. Deng, Y. Wang, Q. Li and Y. Wang, SnO₂ nanorods based sensing material as an isopropanol vapor sensor, *New J. Chem.*, 2014, 38, 2443–2450.
- 14 X. Cai, D. Hu, S. Deng, B. Han, Y. Wang, J. Wu and Y. Wang, Isopropanol sensing properties of coral-like ZnO–CdO composites by flash preparation via self-sustained decomposition of metal–organic complexes, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2014, **198**, 402–410.
- 15 K. Zhang, S. Qin, P. Tang, Y. Feng and D. Li, Ultra-sensitive ethanol gas sensors based on nanosheet-assembled hierarchical ZnO-In₂O₃ heterostructures, *J. Hazard. Mater.*, 2020, **391**, 122191.
- 16 S. U. Din, M. Haq, M. Sajid, R. Khatoon, X. Chen, L. Li, M. Zhang and L. Zhu, Development of high-performance sensor based on NiO/SnO₂ heterostructures to study sensing properties towards various reducing gases, *Nanotechnology*, 2020, **31**, 395502.
- 17 C. Dong, R. Zhao, L. Yao, Y. Ran, X. Zhang and Y. Wang, A review on WO₃ based gas sensors: Morphology control and enhanced sensing properties, *J. Alloys Compd.*, 2020, **820**, 153194.
- 18 B. Salah and A. I. Ayesh, Fabrication of H₂S sensitive gas sensors formed of SnO₂-Fe₂O₃ composite nanoparticles, *Mater. Chem. Phys.*, 2021, 266, 124597.
- 19 S. K. Gupta, A. Joshi and M. Kaur, Development of gas sensors using ZnO nanostructures, *J. Chem. Sci.*, 2010, **122**, 57–62.
- 20 L. Zhu and W. Zeng, Room-temperature gas sensing of ZnObased gas sensor: A review, *Sens. Actuators, A*, 2017, **267**, 242– 261.
- 21 L. Bie, X. Yan, J. Yin, Y. Duan and Z. Yuan, Nanopillar ZnO gas sensor for hydrogen and ethanol, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2007, **126**, 604–608.
- 22 T. Gao and T. H. Wang, Synthesis and properties of multipod-shaped ZnO nanorods for gas-sensor applications, *Appl. Phys. A: Mater. Sci. Process.*, 2005, **80**, 1451–1454.
- 23 C. Wang, Y. Li, F. Gong, Y. Zhang, S. Fang and H. Zhang, Advances in doped ZnO nanostructures for gas sensor, *Chem. Rec.*, 2020, **20**, 1–16.
- 24 Y. Kang, F. Yu, L. Zhang, W. Wang, L. Chen and Y. Li, Review of ZnO-based nanomaterials in gas sensors, *Solid State Ionics*, 2021, **360**, 115544.
- 25 J. Liu, L. Zhang, J. Fan, B. Zhu and J. Yu, Triethylamine gas sensor based on Pt-functionalized hierarchical ZnO microspheres, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2021, **331**, 129425.
- 26 G. Feng, Y. Che, S. Wang, S. Wang, J. Hu, J. Xiao, C. Song and L. Jiang, Sensitivity enhancement of In₂O₃/ZrO₂ composite based acetone gas sensor: A promising collaborative approach of ZrO₂ as the heterojunction and dopant for in-

situ grown octahedron-like particles, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2022, **367**, 132087.

- 27 C. Lou, Z. Li, C. Yang, X. Liu, W. Zheng and J. Zhang, Rational design of ordered porous SnO₂/ZrO₂ thin films for fast and selective triethylamine detection with humidity resistance, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2021, 333, 129572.
- 28 B. Neppolian, Q. Wang, H. Yamashita and H. Choi, Synthesis and characterization of ZrO₂–TiO₂ binary oxide semiconductor nanoparticles: Application and interparticle electron transfer process, *Appl. Catal.*, *A*, 2007, **333**, 264–271.
- 29 G. Li, W. Li, M. Zhang and K. Tao, Characterization and catalytic application of homogeneous nano-composite oxides ZrO₂-Al₂O₃, *Catal. Today*, 2004, **93**, 595–601.
- 30 W. Shen, Y. Zhao and C. Zhang, The preparation of ZnO based gas-sensing thin films by ink-jet printing method, *Thin Solid Films*, 2005, **483**, 382–387.
- 31 W. Li, Y. Ren and Y. Guo, ZrO₂/ZnO nanocomposite materials for chemiresistive butanol sensors, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2020, **308**, 127658.
- 32 Q. Wang, H. Si, L. Zhang, L. Li, X. Wang and S. Wang, A fast and facile electrochemical method for the simultneous detection of epinephrine, uric acid and folic acid based on ZrO₂/ZnO nanocomposites as sensing material, *Anal. Chim. Acta*, 2020, **1104**, 69–77.
- 33 F. Meng, N. Hou, Z. Jin, B. Sun, W. Li, X. Xiao, C. Wang, M. Li and J. Liu, Sub-ppb detection of acetone using Au-modified flowerlike hierarchical ZnO structures, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2015, **219**, 209–217.
- 34 J. Zhang, L. Li, Z. Xiao, D. Liu, S. Wang, J. Zhang, Y. Hao and W. Zhang, Hollow sphere TiO₂–ZrO₂ prepared by selfassembly with polystyrene colloidal template for both photocatalytic degradation and H₂ evolution from water splitting, ACS Sustainable Chem. Eng., 2016, 4, 2037–2046.
- 35 B. Han, X. Liu, X. Xing, N. Chen, X. Xiao, S. Liu and Y. Wang, A high response butanol gas sensor based on ZnO hollow spheres, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2016, **237**, 423–430.
- 36 Y. Gong, X. Wu, X. Li, M. Zhang and Y. Chen, Enhanced acetone sensing properties of Pt@Al-doped ZnO core-shell nanoparticles, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2020, **329**, 129153.
- 37 Q. Li, D. Chen, J. Miao, S. Lin, Z. Yu, D. Cui, Z. Yang and X. Chen, Highly sensitive sensor based on ordered porous ZnO nanosheets for ethanol detecting application, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2021, **326**, 128952.
- 38 Y. Mao, S. Ma, X. Li, C. Wang, F. Li, X. Yang, J. Zhu and L. Ma, Effect of Mn doping on the microstructures and sensing properties of ZnO nanofibers, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 2014, **298**, 109–115.
- 39 M. Chen, X. Wang, Y. Yu, Z. Pei, X. Bai, C. Sun, R. Huang and L. Wen, X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy and auger electron spectroscopy studies of Al-doped ZnO films, *Appl. Surf. Sci.*, 2000, **158**, 134–140.
- 40 G. Poungchan, B. Ksapabutr and M. Panapoy, One-step synthesis of flower-like carbon-doped ZrO₂ for visible-light-responsive photocatalyst, *Mater. Des.*, 2016, **89**, 137–145.

- 41 Y. Pan, Y. Gao, D. Kong, G. Wang, J. Hou, S. Hu, H. Pan and J. Zhu, Interaction of Au with thin ZrO₂ films: Influence of ZrO₂ morphology on the adsorption and thermal stability of Au nanoparticles, *Langmuir*, 2012, **28**, 6045–6051.
- 42 H. Tian, H. Fan, G. Dong, L. Mao and J. Ma, NiO/ZnO p–n heterostructures and gas sensing properties for reduced operating temperature, *RSC Adv.*, 2016, **6**, 109091–109098.
- 43 D. Y. Nadargi, M. S. Tamboli, S. S. Patil, R. B. Dateer, I. S. Mulla, H. Choi and S. S. Suryavanshi, Microwave-Epoxide-Assisted hydrothermal synthesis of the CuO/ZnO heterojunction: a highly versatile route to develop H₂S gas sensors, *ACS Omega*, 2020, **5**, 8587–8595.
- 44 G. Wang, P. Wu, L. Guo, W. Wang, W. Liu, Y. Wang, T. Chen, H. Wang, Y. Xu and Y. Yang, Preparation of Au@ZnO nanofilms by combining magnetron sputtering and postannealing for selective detection of isopropanol, *Chemosensors*, 2022, **10**, 10060211.
- 45 M. Poloju, N. Jayababu, E. Manikandan and M. V. R. Reddy, Enhancing the isopropanol gas sensing performance of SnO₂/ZnO core/shell nanocomposites, *J. Mater. Chem. C*, 2017, **10**, 2662–2668.
- 46 H. Zhang, Z. Jin, M. Xu, Y. Zhang, J. Huang, H. Cheng, X. Wang, Z. Zheng and Yi. Ding, Enhanced isopropanol sensing performance of the CdS nanoparticle decorated ZnO porous nanosheets based gas sensors, *IEEE Sens. J.*, 2021, 21, 13041–13047.
- 47 X. San, M. Li, D. Liu, G. Wang, Y. Shen, D. Meng and F. Meng, A facile one-step hydrothermal synthesis of NiO/ ZnO heterojunction microflowers for the enhanced formaldehyde sensing properties, *J. Alloys Compd.*, 2017, **739**, 260–269.
- 48 L. Wang, Y. Kang, X. Liu, S. Zhang, W. Huang and S. Wang, ZnO nanorod gas sensor for ethanol detection, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2012, **162**, 237–243.
- 49 U. T. Nakate, R. Ahmad, P. Patil, Y. Wang, K. S. Bhat, T. Mahmoudi, Y. T. Yu, E. Suh and Y. Hahn, Improved selectivity and low concentration hydrogen gas sensor application of Pd sensitized heterojunction n-ZnO/p-NiO nanostructures, *J. Alloys Compd.*, 2019, **799**, 456–464.
- 50 J. Zhao, T. Yang, Y. Liu, Z. Wang, X. Li, Y. Sun, Y. Du, Y. Li and G. Lu, Enhancement of NO_2 gas sensing response based on ordered mesoporous Fe-doped In_2O_3 , *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2014, **191**, 806–812.
- 51 J. Ma, Y. Ren, X. Zhou, L. Liu, Y. Zhu, X. Cheng, P. Xu, X. Li, Y. Deng and D. Zhao, Pt nanoparticles sensitized ordered mesoporous WO₃ semiconductor: gas sensing performance and mechanism study, *Adv. Funct. Mater.*, 2018, 7, 1705268.
- 52 Y. Wang, L. Yao, L. Xu, W. Wu, W. Lin, C. Zheng, Y. Feng and X. Gao, Enhanced NO₂ gas sensing properties based on Rbdoped hierarchical flower-like In₂O₃ microspheres at low temperature, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2021, **332**, 129497.
- 53 K. Tanabe, Surface and catalytic properties of ZrO₂, *Mater. Chem. Phys.*, 1985, **13**, 347–364.