Organic & **Biomolecular Chemistry**



COMMUNICATION

View Article Online



Cite this: Ora. Biomol. Chem., 2024. **22**, 496

Received 16th November 2023, Accepted 20th December 2023

DOI: 10.1039/d3ob01862h

rsc.li/obc

Bi(OTf)₃-promoted cascade annulation of hydroxy-pyranones and unsaturated γ -ketoesters for the construction of polycyclic bridged pyranofuropyranones*

Akshay B. Rathod, a,b Balasaheb R. Borade, a,b Pooja I. Sambherao a,b and Rayindar Kontham (1) *a,b

An efficient protocol for constructing complex three dimensional polycyclic bridged chromano-furopyranones and pyrano-furopyranones (closely related to bioactive natural products) via bismuth (III)-catalyzed cascade annulation of hydroxy-pyranones and unsaturated γ-ketoesters is presented. This process involves intermolecular Michael addition, intramolecular hemiketalization, lactonization, formation of one C-C bond and two C-O bonds, rings, and contiguous stereocenters.

Chromane and pyrone-fused furo-pyranones are found in natural products and pharmaceuticals, with various applications, including cellular imaging and solar cells.1 For instance, (+)-penicipyrone, isolated from the fungus Penicillium sp. PSU-F44, exhibits antibacterial activity. On the other hand, (-)-tenuipyrone was isolated from the entomopathogenic fungus *Isaria tenuipes* in the presence of epigenetic modifying agents, including a histone deacetylase inhibitor and a DNA methyltransferase inhibitor.3 Pyripyropenes-A-D, isolated from Aspergillus fumigatus FO-1289, are potent acyl-CoA inhibitors and stand out as the most potent naturally derived ACAT inhibitors, with nanomolar IC50 values in rat liver microsomes.4 Arisugacin functions as an acetylcholinesterase (AChE) inhibitor, while territrems A-C, with a pyranopyran skeleton, selectively inhibit human AChE (Fig. 1).^{5,6} The intriguing aspects of these features have led to a sustained emphasis on developing efficient methodologies for synthesizing chroman/pyrone-derived scaffolds in synthetic chemistry.⁷

In this context, Tong and co-workers disclosed an expedited strategy for constructing pyrone-tethered [5,6]-spiroketals through amberlyst-15 promoted intermolecular annulative cyclo-ketalization (proceeds through Michael addition/hemiketolization and spiroketalization sequence) of 4-hydroxy 6-methyl-2-pyrone with α,β -unsaturated 1,3-diketones. This

strategy was successfully employed in their biomimetic total synthesis of (-)-penicipyrone and (-)-tenuipyrone (entry 1a, Scheme 1).8 In 2020, Zhang's group reported an organocatalytic asymmetric reaction involving 4-hydroxycoumarins and 2-hydroxy cinnamaldehydes. This reaction proceeded via conjugate addition, facilitating the construction of chiral bridged acetals (Scheme 1).9

In continuation of our interest in developing atom and step-efficient cascade annulation reactions utilizing Lewis acid catalysis, 10 recently, we unveiled a Fe(III)-catalyzed cascade annulation involving electron-rich hydroxyarenes and suitably functionalized unsaturated γ-ketoesters. 11 This approach enabled the synthesis of polycyclic bridged/fused 2-chromanol lactones, introducing three new bonds, stereocenters, and new rings into the molecular framework (entry 1b, Scheme 1).¹² Herein, we report the unprecedented synthesis of polycyclic bridged chromano (pyrano)-furopyranones 3/5 (which represent lactone analogs akin to penicipyrone and tenuipyrone) through bismuth(III)-catalyzed10,12 cascade annulation of chromenones/hydroxy-pyranones 1/4 and unsaturated γ-ketoesters 2 (entry 2, Scheme 1).





Fig. 1 Natural products containing fused pyrano-furopyran moiety.

^aOrganic Chemistry Division, CSIR-National Chemical Laboratory Dr Homi Bhabha Road, Pune-411008, India. E-mail: k.ravindar@ncl.res.in

^bAcademy of Scientific and Innovative Research (AcSIR). Ghaziahad-201002. India † Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: https://doi.org/ 10.1039/d3ob01862h



Scheme 1 Previous cascade annulation approaches to access furo-pyranones, and our present work.

We initiated the reaction optimization studies by selecting commercially available 4-hydroxycoumarin (1a) and known¹² unsaturated y-ketoester 2a (featuring cyclohexenone Michael acceptor) as substrates (Table 1). Drawing from our previous research and guided by literature examples involving Brønsted acid catalysis in Michael addition-induced cascade processes, we began by assessing various catalysts such as TfOH, TFA, p-TSA, PPTS, and Amberlyst-15 (used at 20 mol%) in combination with DCE as the reaction medium. These initial reactions did not progress at room temperature (27 °C). Encouragingly, we found that TfOH, TFA, and amberlyst-15 demonstrated varying degrees of activity, leading to the formation of the desired annulation product 3aa with isolated yields of 41%, 24%, and 17% for product 3aa, respectively at 80 °C (entries 1-5 in Table 1). The product 3aa was confirmed through 1H and 13C NMR (DEPT) and HRMS analyses and further verified by comparing the obtained data to our previously reported findings for similar bridged ketal-lactones (Table 1).12

Subsequently, our focus shifted towards investigating the impact of various Lewis acids on this annulation process. 14,15 To this end, we initially employed the conditions we had previously identified12 20 mol% of Fe(OTf)3 in DCE at 80 °C. Under these conditions, 3aa was obtained in an improved yield

Table 1 Optimization studies

| Entry | Catalyst | Solvent | Yield ^b (%) |
|-------|------------------------------------|---------|------------------------|
| 1 | TfOH | DCE | 41 |
| 2 | TFA | DCE | 24 |
| 3 | PTSA | DCE | _c |
| 4 | PPTS | DCE | _c |
| 5 | Amberlyst-15 | DCE | 17 |
| 6 | Fe(OTf) ₃ | DCE | 51 |
| 7 | AgOTf | DCE | 24 |
| 8 | $Cu(OTf)_2$ | DCE | 37 |
| 9 | $Sc(OTf)_3$ | DCE | 20 |
| 10 | BF ₃ ·Et ₂ O | DCE | 18 |
| 11 | $Bi(OTf)_3$ | DCE | 70 |
| 12 | $Bi(OTf)_3$ | PhF | 76 |
| 13 | No catalyst | PhF | _c |

^a Unless otherwise specified the reaction was performed with 1a (0.55 mmol), 2a (0.55 mmol), catalyst (20 mol%), and in indicated solvent (anhydrous, 2 mL) at 80 °C. ^b Isolated yield of 3aa. ^c No conversion was observed.

of 51% in an 8-hour reaction (entry 6, Table 1). Expanding our exploration, we subjected the reaction to different metal triflates catalysts including AgOTf, Cu(OTf)2, Sc(OTf)3, and BF3·Et2O. However, these alternative Lewis acids resulted in comparably lower yields of 3aa when compared to Fe(OTf)₃ (entries 7-10). The reaction using 20 mol% of Bi(OTf)3 in DCE at 80 °C resulted in an improved yield of 70% (entry 11). Interestingly, when employing PhF as the solvent, the reaction furnished 3aa with a favorable outcome of 76% and exhibited a clean thinlayer chromatography (TLC) profile (entry 12).

As anticipated, the reaction failed to progress in the absence of the catalyst, leading to full recovery of both annulation partners 1a and 2a (entry 13) (Table 1). Notably, Bi(OTf)₃ displayed moderate activity when PhCl, THF, and CH₃CN were used as solvents (entries 1-3, Table S1†), while its activity ceased when solvents like DMF, toluene, MeOH, and EtOH were employed (entries 4-7, Table S1†). 13 Further alteration of reaction parameters like molar ratios of substrates and catalyst (Bi(OTf)₃) loading (5 and 10 mol%, entries 8 and 9, Table S1†) did not lead to discernible improvement. 13 Ultimately, it was determined that the ideal conditions for this cascade annulation reaction were the use of Bi(OTf)3 (20 mol%) in PhF at 80 °C (entry 12, Table 1).

With the optimal reaction condition in hand, we next evaluated the scope and generality of this cascade reaction concerning the 4-hydroxy pyranones (1) and unsaturated γ-ketoesters 2 possessing diverse substituents (Scheme 2).

The reaction involving 4-hydroxy-2H-chromen-2-ones possessing phenyl, α -naphthyl, and β -naphthyl segments (1a-1c) proceeded well with cyclohexenone-tethered ketoester 2a, and delivered corresponding polycyclic adducts 3aa-3ca in good yields ranging from 61% to 76%. Moving forward, hydroxychromenones containing electron-donating

Scheme 2 Scope of the cascade annulation of hydroxy-chromenones/hydroxy-pyranones (1/4) with cycloalkenone-tethered unsaturated γ -ketoesters (2).

(-OMe, -Me) **1d**, **1e** and **1f** were treated with **2a**, which furnished products **3da**, **3ea**, and **3fa**. Halogenated substrates **1** also reacted well and delivered adducts **3ua**, **3ga**, and **3ha** in good yields. Conversely, hydroxy-chromenones having electron-withdrawing substituents (-NO₂) did not engage in the reaction with **2a**, and both starting materials were recovered. Interestingly, cycloheptenone bearing ketoester **2b** also participated well in the annulation with hydroxy-2*H*-chromen-2-one (**1a**), culminating in the formation of product **3ab** with a yield of 73% (entry a, Scheme 3). Whereas cyclopentenone-bearing ketoester **2c** failed to participate in the annulation. ¹³

Scheme 3 Scope of the cascade annulation of hydroxy-chromenones/hydroxy-pyranones (1/4) with acyclic enone-tethered unsaturated γ -ketoesters (6).

Expanding on our protocol, we explored the reactions of 6-substituted hydroxy-pyranones 4 using optimized conditions. Encouragingly, diverse substituents at C-6 (-methyl, -i-Pr, -cyclopropyl, cyclohexyl, phenyl, α-naphthyl, anisyl, tolyl, p-fluoro-phenyl, p-chloro-phenyl) successfully reacted with cyclohexenone-tethered ketoester 2a, yielding (pyrano)-furopyranones adducts 5aa-5ja in yields ranging from 45% to 85%. Additionally, pyranones derived from heteroarenes (thiophenyl and N-tosyl-indolyl) produced 5ka and 5la in yields of 54% and 67%, respectively. Notably, C-5 substituted pyrones did not engage in this annulation (entry b, Scheme 2).¹³ Next, we demonstrated the practicality and scalability of this protocol by conducting reactions on a 1.0-gram scale of 1a and 4a, resulting in good yields of 3aa and 5aa. The relative stereochemistry of these adducts was assigned based on our previous report, 11 NOE correlations of 5ea, and analogy. 13

Encouraged by these results, we investigated the reactivity of unsaturated γ -ketoesters **6**, which contain an acyclic enone and diverse substituents (cyclohexyl, decyl, phenyl, cyclopentyl), with hydroxy chromenone (**1a**) and various pyrones (**4**). All these reactions proceeded well, delivering the corresponding chromenone-derived adducts (**7aa** and **7ab**), as well as pyronetethered adducts (**8aa–8ac**, **8ed**, **8ec**, **8ic** and **8mc**), in moderate yields in 24 hours. Interestingly, the C-5 substituted pyrone (**4n**) also participated in this annulation, yielding **8nc** in a 38% yield (Scheme 3).

Based on previous reports from our group¹¹ and others,^{8,9} as well as the results obtained in this study, we propose a plausible mechanistic sequence for this cascade annulation, outlined in Scheme 4.^{8,10–12} The Bi(m)-activated enone partners 2/6, trigger the Michael addition with the electron-rich hydroxy-chromen(pyran)-one 1/4, resulting in the formation of intermediate **A.** Subsequent intramolecular hemiketalization of **A** leads to the formation of intermediate **B**. This hemiketal intermediate **B** then undergoes Bi(m)-facilitated lactonization, yielding chromano(pyrano)-furopyranones 3/5/7/8.

Scheme 4 Plausible reaction mechanism

In conclusion, we have developed a novel protocol for synthesizing intricate polycyclic bridged chromano-furopyranones and pyrano-furopyranones, which are relevant to bioactive natural compounds. This approach involves the Bi(III)catalyzed cascade annulation of hydroxy-chromenones/ hydroxy-pyranones with unsaturated γ -ketoesters. The reaction pathway encompasses a sequence of transformations, including Michael addition, hemiketalization, and lactonization. Our method has successfully yielded diverse three-dimensional polycyclic adducts akin to natural products such as tenuipyrone and penicipyrone, achieving favorable yields. Notably, the practicality of this methodology has been demonstrated through gram-scale experiments. Ongoing efforts are directed toward exploring the biological activities of these synthesized products, and we anticipate publishing these findings in due course.

Author contributions

R. K. conceived the project and directed the research work. A. B. R, B. R. B, and P. I. S conducted synthetic experiments, analyzed data, and prepared ESI. All authors commented on the manuscript and the ESI.†

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

We express our sincere gratitude for the funding received from the SERB (Science & Engineering Research Board), New Delhi, India (Grant No. CRG/2020/001875). Additionally, B. R. B. would like to thank UGC-India, and P. I. S. extends appreciation to DST-Inspire for granting the Senior Research Fellowships (SRF).

References

- 1 (a) J. R. S. Hoult and M. Payá, Gen. Pharmacol., 1996, 27, 713-722; (b) M. Sarmah, K. Chutia, D. Dutta and P. Gogoi, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2022, 20, 55-72; (c) G. Signore, R. Nifosì, L. Albertazzi, B. Storti and R. Bizzarri, I. Am. Chem. Soc., 2010, 132, 1276-1288; (d) K. G. Reddie, W. H. Humphries, C. P. Bain, C. K. Payne, M. L. Kemp and N. Murthy, Org. Lett., 2012, 14, 680-683, and references cited therein.
- 2 K. Trisuwan, V. Rukachaisirikul, Y. Sukpondma, S. Phongpaichit, S. Preedanon and J. Sakayaroi, Chem. Pharm. Bull., 2009, 57, 1100-1102.
- 3 T. Asai, Y. M. Chung, H. Sakurai, T. Ozeki, F. R. Chang, K. Yamashita and Y. Oshima, Org. Lett., 2012, 14, 513-515.
- 4 T. Ohshiro, D. Matsuda, K. Sakai, C. Degirolamo, H. Yagyu, L. L. Rudel, S. Omura, S. Ishibashi and H. Tomoda, Arterioscler. Thromb. Vasc. Biol., 2011, 31, 1108-1115.
- 5 T. Sunazuka, M. Handa, K. Nagai, T. Shirahata, Y. Harigaya, K. Otoguro, I. Kuwajima and S. Omura, Org. Lett., 2002, 4, 367-369.
- 6 (a) F. C. Peng, C. M. Chiou and K. H. Ling, J. Nat. Prod., 1992, 55, 251-255; (b) J. Cheung, E. N. Gary, K. Shiomi and T. L. Rosenberry, ACS Med. Chem. Lett., 2013, 4, 1091-1096.
- 7 (a) M. Lončarić, D. G. Sokač, S. Jokić and M. Molnar, Biomolecules, 2020, 10, 151; (b) K. Szwaczko, Inorganics, 2022, 10, 23; (c) S. Gulati, R. Singh and S. Sangwan, RSC Adv., 2021, 11, 29130-29155; (d) D. Dobler, M. Leitner, N. Moor and O. Reiser, Eur. J. Org. Chem., 2021, 6180-6205, and references cited therein. (e) S. Y. Chen, Q. Li, X. G. Liu, J. Q. Wu, S. S. Zhang and H. Wang, ChemSusChem, 2017, **10**, 2360–2364; (f) Y. Liu, S. Lin, Y. Li, J. H. Xue, Q. Li and H. Wang, ACS Catal., 2023, 13, 5096-5103.
- 8 (a) L. Song, H. Yao, L. Zhu and R. Tong, Org. Lett., 2013, 15, 6-9; (b) H. Yao, L. Song, Y. Liu and R. Tong, J. Org. Chem., 2014, 79, 8774-8785.
- 9 X. Q. Zhang, X. J. Lv, J. P. Pei, R. Tan and Y. K. Liu, Org. Chem. Front., 2020, 7, 292-297.
- 10 (a) D. A. Kambale, S. S. Thorat, M. S. Pratapure, R. G. Gonnade and R. Kontham, Chem. Commun., 2017, 53, 6641-6644; (b) S. S. Thorat, P. Kataria and R. Kontham, Org. Lett., 2018, 20, 872-875; (c) A. K. Nakate, M. S. Pratapure and R. Kontham, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2018, 16, 3229-3240; (d) D. A. Kambale, B. R. Borade and R. Kontham, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2021, 19, 6618-6622; (e) A. K. Nakate, S. S. Thorat, S. Jain, G. Rama Krishna, K. Vanka and R. Kontham, Org. Chem. Front., 2022, 9, 802-809; (f) Y. Mankad, S. S. Thorat, P. Das, G. Rama Krishna, R. Kontham and D. S. Reddy, J. Org. Chem., 2022, 87, 3025-3041; (g) D. A. Kambale, B. R. Borade, R. Vinodkumar and R. Kontham, J. Org. Chem., 2023, 88, 12597-12612.
- 11 B. R. Borade, R. Nomula, R. G. Gonnade and R. Kontham, Org. Lett., 2019, 21, 2629-2633.
- 12 (a) H. Gaspard-Iloughmane and C. Le Roux, Eur. J. Org. Chem., 2004, 2517-2532; (b) T. Ollevier, Org. Biomol. Chem., 2013, **11**, 2740–2755; (c) J. M. Bothwell, S. W. Krabbe and

- R. S. Mohan, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2011, **40**, 4649–4707; (d) M. Magre and J. Cornella, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2021, **143**, 21497–21502; (e) E. Lopez, S. C. Thorp and R. S. Mohan, *Polyhedron*, 2022, **222**, 115765, and references cited therein.
- 13 See the ESI† for details.
- 14 (a) H. Yamamoto, Lewis Acids in Organic Synthesis, Wiley-VCH, 2008; (b) A. Corma and H. García, Chem. Rev., 2003, 103, 4307–4365; (c) Y. Yamamoto, J. Org. Chem., 2008, 73,
- 5210; (*d*) S. Kobayashi, M. Sugiura, H. Kitagawa and W. W. L. Lam, *Chem. Rev.*, 2002, **102**, 2227–2302.
- (a) N. G. Turrini, R. C. Cioc, D. J. H. Van Der Niet,
 E. Ruijter, R. V. A. Orru, M. Hall and K. Faber, *Green Chem.*, 2017, 19, 511-518; (b) P. Chen and S. Wang,
 Tetrahedron, 2012, 68, 5356-5362; (c) S. Antoniotti,
 V. Dalla and E. Duñach, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2010, 49, 7860-7888.