Nanoscale Advances

COMMUNICATION

Cite this: Nanoscale Adv., 2024, 6, 4360

Received 16th May 2024 Accepted 6th July 2024

DOI: 10.1039/d4na00414k

rsc.li/nanoscale-advances

Magnetically recoverable $Fe₃O₄$ @SiO₂@SBA-3@2-ATP-Cu: an improved catalyst for the synthesis of 5-substituted 1H-tetrazoles†

Zahra He[i](http://orcid.org/0000-0002-7212-1317)darnezhad,^a Arash Ghorbani-Choghamarani **D** *b and Zahra Taherinia D^a

Functionalization of $Fe₃O₄$ @SiO₂@SBA-3 with double-charged 3-chloropropyltrimethoxysilane (CPTMS) and 2-aminophenol, followed by mechanical mixing of the solid product with copper(I) chloride produces a new, greener and efficient $Fe₃O₄$ @-SiO2@SBA-3@2-ATP-Cu catalyst for the synthesis of 5 substituted 1H-tetrazoles. XRD, SEM, atomic absorption, TGA, N₂ adsorption–desorption, and VSM analyses were performed for the characterization of the $Fe₃O₄$ @SiO₂@SBA-3@2-ATP-Cu structure. Nitrogen adsorption–desorption analysis revealed that $Fe₃O₄$ @SiO₂@SBA-3@2-ATP-Cu has a surface area of 242 m² g^{-1} and a total pore volume of 55.72 cm 3 g^{-1} . In synthesizing 5substituted 1H-tetrazoles, Fe₃O₄@SiO₂@SBA-3@2-ATP-Cu shows superior yields in short reaction times at 120 °C. This catalyst also showed high thermal stability and recyclability at least for 4 runs without apparent loss of efficiency. COMMUNICATION

COMMUNICATION

Consek forundates

Consek forundates

Consek forundates

Consek forundates

Consek for the synchrosic Art X224 **ATP-Cu:** an improved catalyst for the synchressis can all the

Consequence of t

Introduction

For decades, researchers have widely searched for ways to synthesize porous materials with high surface area and very diverse contents.¹⁻⁵ Among these porous solid compounds, mesoporous materials such as MCM-41, MCM-48, SBA-15, and SBA-3 have attracted more attention than others due to their applications in many areas.⁶⁻¹⁰ The main differences in the preparation of mesoporous materials are the type of used templates (ionic surfactant for MCM-41 and triblock copolymer in the case of SBA-15) and the synthesis medium (MCM-41 prepared in the basic medium, and SBA-15 prepared in the acidic medium).¹¹ SBA-type materials (SBA-15, SBA-3) show much higher stability than MCM-41 due to the contribution of some micropores connecting mesopores.¹² However, the chemical inertness of SBA-15, which is mainly composed of silicon dioxide, greatly limits its application in chemical engineering, especially in heterogeneous catalysis. Incorporated heteroatoms are commonly used to form active sites in the mesoporous silica framework and confer acid sites and catalytic activities to the silica matrix.¹³⁻¹⁵ In the literature, applications of SBA-3 as a catalyst support are rarely reported.¹⁶–²⁰ The use of magnetic nanoparticles provides both economic and ecological benefits due to their properties, low toxicity, and simple separation by an external magnetic field.²¹⁻²³ Magnetic nanoparticles have been widely employed as novel magnetically recoverable catalysts in medicinal applications,²⁴⁻²⁶ drug delivery,^{27,28} and industry.29,30

On the other hand, tetrazoles are a valuable class of heterocycles, which have been recently used as both anticancer $31,32$ and antimicrobial agents. $33,34$ They have received increased attention due to their potential biological activities, $35-37$ and industrial applications. $38-40$ A versatile method for the preparation of tetrazole is based on $[3 + 2]$ cycloaddition of the azide ion and organic nitriles in the presence of a catalyst.⁴¹⁻⁴⁷ Although the reported methods are effective, they are limited due to the use of toxic solvents, tedious workup, difficulty in separation, and expensive catalysts. As part of our continuous efforts into the design of modified magnetic mesoporous silica nanoparticles and their application in organic synthesis, $48,49$ we report here the preparation of $Fe₃O₄(@SiO₂(@SBA-3@2-ATP-Cu (Scheme 1)),$ and its performance for the synthesis of 5- substituted 1Htetrazoles.

Experimental

Preparation of Fe₃O₄@SiO₂@SBA-3@2-ATP-Cu

 $Fe₃O₄(@SiO₂(@SBA-3 was readily synthesized similar to$ a previously reported study.⁴⁸ Briefly, the catalyst was prepared by adding 1.5 mL of (3-chloropropyl)triethoxysilane (CPTES) to a suspension of $Fe₃O₄(@SiO₂(@SBA-3 (1 g))$

a Department of Chemistry, Faculty of Science, Ilam University, Ilam, Iran b Department of Organic Chemistry, Faculty of Chemistry and Petroleum Sciences, Bu-Ali Sina University, Hamedan 6517838683, Iran. E-mail: a.ghorbani@basu.ac.ir; arashghch58@yahoo.com; Fax: +988138380709; Tel: +988138282807

[†] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1039/d4na00414k>

in 25 mL of toluene, followed by mechanical mixing of the solid product at 90 °C for 24 h. The $Fe₃O₄@SiO₂@SBA-$ 3@CPTMS material (1 g) was then treated with 2-aminothiophenol (1.5 g) and trimethylamine (3 mL), followed by subsequent thermal treatment of the reaction mixture at 78 °C in ethanol for 20 h, affording $Fe₃O₄(@SiO₂(@SBA-$ 3@CPTMS@ATP, and then the resulting material was then washed with ethanol, and dried at room temperature. Finally, $Fe₃O₄(@SiO₂(@SBA-3@CPTMS@ATP (1 g) in 25 mL of$ ethanol was sonicated for 10 min. Then, the resulting nanoparticles $(Fe₃O₄@SiO₂@SBA-3@CPTMS@ATP)$ were filtered, washed with ethanol (70% v/v), and dried at room temperature. The obtained $Fe₃O₄(@SiO₂(@SBA-$ 3@CPTMS@ATP (0.5 g) was dispersed in 25 mL of ethanol and was sonicated for 10 min, and then copper (i) chloride (2) mmol) was added to the reaction mixture. The reaction mixture was stirred at 80 $\mathrm{^{\circ}C}$ for 24 h. After the separation of the final product by an external magnet, $Fe₃O₄(@SiO₂(@SBA-$ 3@2-ATP-Cu was washed with ethanol and consequently dried in an oven at 55 °C.

General procedure for the synthesis of 5-substituted 1Htetrazoles

A sealed tube was charged with nitrile (1 mmol), sodium azide (1.1 mmol), and Fe₃O₄@SiO₂@SBA-3@2-ATP-Cu (60 mg) at 120 °C,

and then polyethylene glycol (1 mL) was added. The resulting mixture was stirred under reflux until the completion of the reaction. Then the reaction mixture was acidified with 10 N HCl and the product was extracted with ethyl acetate, washed with water, dried over sodium sulfate, and concentrated. The product was purified by column chromatography on silica gel (hexane: ethyl acetate $(4:1)$) to afford the desired product.

Results and discussion

After the preparation of the catalyst, $Fe₃O₄(@SiO₂(@SBA-$ 3@2-ATP-Cu was extensively studied with several techniques such as XRD, SEM, atomic absorption, TGA, N_2 adsorption–desorption, and VSM. The XRD patterns of mesoporous silica (1a), $Fe₃O₄(@SiO₂(@SBA-3@CPTMS (1b)),$ $Fe₃O₄@SiO₂@SBA-3@CPTMS@ATP (1c), and Fe₃O₄@ SiO₂(@SBA-3@2-ATP-Cu (1d) samples are shown in Fig. (1).$ The XRD patterns of arrayed silica nanoparticles show three diffraction peaks that can be indexed as the (111), (220), and (331) reflections that are arranged in a face-centered-cubic (fcc) structure (Fig. 1).⁵⁰ For all samples, peaks at 30.39° , 35.66°, 53.96°, 57.30°, and 62.94° correspond to the (220), (400), (422), (511), and (440) planes, which is in agreement with the literature (Fig. 1). 51 The XRD patterns of 2-aminothiophenol were not found in the XRD spectra.⁵² The copper nanoparticles are responsible for the diffraction planes of

Fig. 1 XRD patterns of mesoporous silica (a), $Fe₃O₄$ @SiO₂@SBA-3@CPTMS (b), Fe₃O₄@SiO₂@SBA-3@CPTMS@ATP (c), and Fe₃O₄@-SiO2@SBA-3@2-ATP-Cu (d).

Fig. 2 TGA graph of $Fe₃O₄$ @SiO₂@SBA-3@2-ATP-Cu.

Fig. 3 Magnetization curves for $Fe₃O₄QSiO₂QSBA-3Q2-ATP-Cu$ at room temperature.

 $(002)^{53}$ and the XRD pattern of Fe₃O₄@SiO₂@SBA-3@2-ATP-Cu, which showed the presence of characteristic peaks at 2θ values of 32, 42.3°, 55°, and 75°, related to (110), (112), (020), and (222) planes for both the conventional and green CuO, respectively.⁵⁴

The Cu content of the prepared mesoporous magnetic material was determined by atomic absorption spectroscopy (AAS) and calculated to be 3.6 \times 10⁻⁴ mol g⁻¹.

Fig. 2 displays the thermogravimetric analysis (TGA) of $Fe₃$ - O_4 @SiO₂@SBA-3@2-ATP-Cu in the temperature range of 50– 1000 °C. Results revealed a weight loss of about 8 wt% at a temperature of under 250 °C, which was related to the loss of water and the organic solvents. The maximum mass loss that occurred in the temperature range of 250 to 750 °C is due to the

decomposition of immobilized organic moieties on the surface of magnetic mesoporous silica nanoparticles, and the amount of organic components was found to be about 10% of the total solid catalyst.

Fig. 3 shows the dependence of magnetization on the applied field $(M-H)$ for Fe₃O₄@SiO₂@SBA-3@2-ATP-Cu at room temperature. The modified magnetic mesoporous silica nanoparticle sample exhibited decreased magnetism due to the loading of 2-ATP-Cu on the surface of $Fe₃O₄(@SiO₂(@SBA-3$ compared to the bare $Fe₃O₄(@SiO₂(@SBA-3.⁴⁸)$

The size and structure of $Fe₃O₄(@SiO₂(@SBA-3@2-ATP-Cu)$ were evaluated using FE-SEM (Fig. 4). The results of the analysis showed uniformity and sphere-like morphology.

Fig. 4 SEM images of Fe₃O₄@SiO₂@SBA-3@2-ATP-Cu.

Fig. 5 N_2 -adsorption isotherms of $Fe₃O₄@SiO₂@SBA-3@2-ATP-Cu.$

Nitrogen adsorption–desorption analysis gives us information on the mesoporous nature of $Fe₃O₄(@SiO₂(@SBA-$ 3@2-ATP-Cu. Fig. 5 shows a type II isotherm with an H3 type hysteresis loop in the range of 0.0–0.97 (P/P_0) for Fe₃-O4@SiO2@SBA-3@2-ATP-Cu. The BET surface area of the prepared material was found to be 242 $\mathrm{m^2\,g^{-1}}.$ The textural data of the nanocatalysts are shown in Table 1. The

Table 1 Nitrogen adsorption–desorption data for $Fe₃O₄$ @SiO₂@SBA-3@2-ATP-Cu

Entry	BET plot
$V_{\rm m}$ $a_{\rm s,BET}$	55.72 cm ³ g ⁻¹ 242 m ² g^{-1} 0.15 cm ³ g ⁻¹
Total pore volume $(p/p_0 = 0.990)$ Mean pore diameter	2.48 nm

Table 2 BJH-plot data for Fe₃O₄@SiO₂@SBA-3@2-ATP-Cu

Plot data	Adsorption branch
$V_{\rm p}$	$0.0.07$ cm ³ g ⁻¹
$r_{\rm p}$, peak(area)	1.22 nm
$a_{\rm p}$	82.52 $\rm m^2 g^{-1}$

calculated mean pore diameter from the adsorption– desorption data using the BET procedure is 2.48 nm which further confirms the formation of the mesoporous structure in the synthesized material as shown in (Fig. 6, and Table 2).

Table 3 The optimization of reaction conditions⁴

Catalytic activity of $Fe₃O₄(@SiO₂(@SBA-3@2-ATP-Cu for the$ synthesis of 5-substituted 1H-tetrazoles

To explore the catalytic activity of magnetic mesoporous silica nanoparticles, cycloaddition reactions of nitriles with sodium azide were investigated. Initially, the reaction of benzonitrile with sodium azide was selected as a model reaction to optimize the reaction conditions, such as various amounts of catalyst, solvents, and temperature (Table 3). First, the effect of solvents was studied and it was observed that the reaction was highly effective in PEG medium. Furthermore, the high efficiency of the reaction depended strongly on the amount of catalyst, which the reaction was completed in the presence of 60 mg of $Fe₃O₄(@SiO₂(@SBA-$ 3@2-ATP-Cu for 1 mmol of benzonitrile. The ideal temperature for the reaction was found to be 120 °C. Under these conditions, a wide range of benzonitrile derivatives were tolerated. This reaction proceeded well giving the desired products in high to excellent yields (Table 4). Nanoscale Advances

Communication

Surface Communication

Surface Communication

Surface Communication

Surface Communication

Surface Communication

Surface Communication-Noncommercial systems, and temperature interestin

Recyclability of the $Fe₃O₄(@SiO₂(@SBA-3@2-ATP-Cu catalyst)$

The recovery of a catalyst is valuable and interesting from both the economic and the environmental aspects. To gain a better insight into the heterogeneity of $Fe₃O₄(@SiO₂(@SBA-3@2-ATP-$ Cu, a catalytic reusability test was conducted using benzonitrile as a starting nitrile material. After each catalytic run, the catalyst was removed with an external magnet, washed, dried, and reused directly for the next run. Within four catalytic runs, the catalyst presented excellent reusability with no signicant loss of the original catalytic yield (Fig. 7).

To show the accessibility of the present work, we summarize some of the results for the preparation of 5-substituted-1H-

 $Fe₃O₄@SiO₂@SBA-3@2-ATP-Cu
Solvent, Temperature (°C)$ $NaN₃$ Entry Solvent Catalyst (mg) Temperature (°C) Time (min) Yield^b (%) 1 PEG 60 120 65 94 2 DMSO 60 120 65 78 3 DMF 60 120 65 82 H_2O 60 60 Reflux 65 65 N. R 5 **PEG** 50 50 120 65 81

6 **PEG** 40 120 65 73 7 PEG 60 100 65 77 8 **PEG** 60 60 80 65 5 48

^a Reaction conditions: benzonitrile (1 mmol), sodium azide (1.1 mmol), catalyst, solvent (1 mL). $\frac{b}{n}$ Isolated yield.

Table 4 Synthesis of 5-substituted-1H-tetrazoles in the presence of $Fe₃O₄$ @SiO₂@SBA-3@2-ATP-Cu

$$
-CN + NaN3 \xrightarrow{Fe3O4@SiO2@SBA-3@2-ATP-Cu(I)} PEG, 120 °C \xrightarrow{HN}
$$

$$
-CN + \text{NaN}_3 \xrightarrow{\text{Fe}_3\text{O}_4@Si\text{O}_2@SBA-3@_2-ATP-Cu(I)} \text{PEG, 120 °C} \xrightarrow{\text{HN}-N} \text{N}
$$

^a Reaction conditions: nitrile (1 mmol), sodium azide (1.1 mmol), catalyst (60 mg), PEG (1 mL). ^b Isolated yield.

Fig. 7 Recyclability of $Fe₃O₄@SiO₂@SBA-3@2-ATP-Cu.$

Table 5 Comparison of the results for modified Fe₃O₄@SiO₂@SBA-3@2-ATP-Cu with other catalysts for the formation of 5-phenyl-1Htetrazole

tetrazoles in Table 5. The results show that modified *magnetic* mesoporous silica is the most efficient catalyst in terms of reaction time and yield.

Conclusion

In conclusion, $Fe₃O₄(@SiO₂(@SBA-3@2-ATP-Cu was synthesized$ by a co-precipitation technique and exhibited efficient catalytic performance and outstanding recyclability for the synthesis of 5-substituted 1H-tetrazoles under relatively mild conditions. We hope the design and preparation of new magnetic mesoporous silica nanoparticles owing to their high surface area and thermal stability to be a step forward in the field of heterogenous catalysis development.

Data availability

All data generated or analyzed during this study are included in this published article [and its ESI† files].

Author contributions

Zahra Heidarnezhad: laboratory work, investigation, methodology. Arash Ghorbani-Choghamarani: resources, writing – review & editing, conceptualization, supervision. Zahra Taherinia: methodology, investigation, writing - original draft, validation.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank the research facilities of Ilam University and Bu-Ali Sina University for financial support of this research project.

References

- 1 Z. A. Alothman, Materials, 2012, 5, 2874–2902.
- 2 Y. Ma, W. Tong, H. Zhou and S. L. Suib, Microporous Mesoporous Mater., 2000, 37, 243–252.
- 3 W. L. Li, K. Lu and J. Y. Walz, Int. Mater. Rev., 2012, 57, 37–60.
- 4 K. Khanafer and K. Vafai, Renewable Energy, 2018, 123, 398– 406.
- 5 L. Zhu, D. Shen and K. H. Luo, J. Hazard. Mater., 2020, 389, 122102.
- 6 L. Ge, Y. Feng, Y. Dai, R. Wang and T. Ge, Chem. Eng. J., 2023, 452, 139116.
- 7 I. Mahboob, S. Shafique, I. Shafiq, P. Akhter, A. S. Belousov, P. L. Show, Y. K. Park and M. Hussain, Environ. Res., 2023, 218, 114983.
- 8 X. Xing, X. Ren, N. S. Alharbi and C. Chen, J. Colloid Interface Sci., 2023, 629, 744–754.
- 9 C. Rieg, M. Kirchhof, K. Gugeler, A. K. Beurer, L. Stein, K. Dirnberger, W. Frey, J. R. Bruckner, Y. Traa, J. Kästner, S. Ludwigs, S. Laschat and M. Dyballa, Catal. Sci. Technol., 2022, 13, 410–425.
- 10 R. Zaleski, M. Gorgol, J. Goworek, A. Kierys, M. Pietrow and B. Zgardzińska, Adsorption, 2019, 25, 881-887.
- 11 B. Kilos, A. Tuel, M. Ziolek and J. C. Volta, Catal. Today, 2006, 118, 416–424.
- 12 A. Galarneau, M. Nader, F. Guenneau, F. Di Renzo and A. Gedeon, J. Phys. Chem. C, 2007, 111, 8268–8277.
- 13 K. kang Miao, X. lin Luo, W. Wang, J. le Guo, S. fan Guo, F. jiu Cao, Y. qiao Hu, P. mei Chang and G. dong Feng, Microporous Mesoporous Mater., 2019, 289, 109640.
- 14 H. Aghayan, A. R. Khanchi, T. Yousefi and H. Ghasemi, J. Nucl. Mater., 2017, 496, 207–214.
- 15 Y. Han, F. S. Xiao, S. Wu, Y. Sun, X. Meng, D. Li, S. Lin, F. Deng and X. Ai, J. Phys. Chem. B, 2001, 105, 7963–7966.
- 16 J. Florek-Milewska, P. Decyk and M. Ziolek, Appl. Catal., A, 2011, 393, 215–224.
- 17 A. Grünberg, X. Yeping, H. Breitzke and G. Buntkowsky, Chem.–Eur. J., 2010, 16, 6993–6998.
- 18 M. S. Khayoon and B. H. Hameed, Appl. Catal., A, 2013, 460– 461, 61–69.
- 19 E. Janiszewska, A. Held, K. Nowińska and S. Kowalak, RSC Adv., 2019, 9, 4671–4681.
- 20 E. Janiszewska, M. Zielinski, M. Kot, E. Kowalewski and ´ A. Srebowata, ChemCatChem, 2018, 10, 4109-4118.
- 21 M. I. A. Abdel Maksoud, A. M. Elgarahy, C. Farrell, A. H. Al-Muhtaseb, D. W. Rooney and A. I. Osman, Coord. Chem. Rev., 2020, 403, 213096.
- 22 F. S. A. Khan, N. M. Mubarak, M. Khalid, R. Walvekar, E. C. Abdullah, S. A. Mazari, S. Nizamuddin and R. R. Karri, Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res., 2020, 27, 24342–24356.
- 23 S. A. H. Martínez, E. M. Melchor-Martínez, J. A. R. Hernández, R. Parra-Saldívar and H. M. N. Iqbal, Fuel, 2022, 312, 122927.
- 24 S. Khizar, E. Elkalla, N. Zine, N. Jaffrezic-Renault, A. Errachid and A. Elaissari, Expert Opin. Drug Deliv., 2023, 20, 189–204.
- 25 Z. Hedayatnasab, A. Ramazani Saadatabadi, H. Shirgahi and M. R. Mozafari, Mater. Res. Bull., 2023, 157, 112035.
- 26 G. G. Flores-Rojas, F. L´opez-Saucedo, R. Vera-Graziano, E. Mendizabal and E. Bucio, Macromol, 2022, 2, 374–390.
- 27 K. Ghosal, S. Chatterjee, S. Thomas and P. Roy, AAPS PharmSciTech, 2023, 24, 25.
- 28 Z. Li, W. Wan, Z. Bai, B. Peng, X. Wang, L. Cui, Z. Liu, K. Lin, J. Yang, J. Hao and F. Tian, Sensor. Actuator. B Chem., 2023, 375, 132869.
- 29 P. Amruth Maroju, R. Ganesan and J. Ray Dutta, Mater. Today Proc., 2023, 72, 62–66.
- 30 T. Lü, X. Zhang, R. Ma, D. Qi, Y. Sun, D. Zhang, J. Huang and H. Zhao, Sep. Purif. Technol., 2023, 309, 123097.
- 31 J. Zhang, S. Wang, Y. Ba and Z. Xu, Eur. J. Med. Chem., 2019, 178, 341–351.
- 32 A. Verma, B. Kaur, S. Venugopal, P. Wadhwa, S. Sahu, P. Kaur, D. Kumar and A. Sharma, Chem. Biol. Drug Des., 2022, 100, 419–442.
- 33 F. Gao, J. Xiao and G. Huang, Eur. J. Med. Chem., 2019, 184, 111744.
- 34 S. A. F. Rostom, H. M. A. Ashour, H. A. A. El Razik, A. E. F. H. A. El Fattah and N. N. El-Din, Bioorganic Med. Chem., 2009, 17, 2410–2422.
- 35 R. K. Uppadhayay, A. Kumar, J. Teotia and A. Singh, Russ. J. Org. Chem., 2022, 58, 1801–1811.
- 36 S. Bayomi, M. A. Moustafa, A. R. Maarouf and M. H. Aboutaleb, J. Am. Sci., 2016, 12, 40–56.
- 37 A. Ghorbani-Choghamarani and Z. Taherinia, Aust. J. Chem., 2017, 70, 1127–1137.
- 38 M. Nasrollahzadeh, Z. Nezafat, N. S. S. Bidgoli and N. Shafiei, Mol. Catal., 2021, 513, 111788.
- 39 A. H. Dabbagh and Y. Mansoori, Dyes Pigm., 2002, 54, 37–46.
- 40 M. Rösch, M. S. Gruhne, M. Lommel, S. M. J. Endraß and J. Stierstorfer, Inorg. Chem., 2023, 62, 1488–1507.
- 41 S. K. Prajapti, A. Nagarsenkar and B. N. Babu, Tetrahedron Lett., 2014, 55, 3507–3510.
- 42 P. Mani, A. K. Singh and S. K. Awasthi, Tetrahedron Lett., 2014, 55, 1879–1882.
- 43 S. Bhagat and V. Telvekar, Synlett, 2018, 29, 874–879.
- 44 D. Amantini, R. Beleggia, F. Fringuelli, F. Pizzo and L. Vaccaro, J. Org. Chem., 2004, 69, 2896–2898.
- 45 F. Himo, Z. P. Demko, L. Noodleman and K. B. Sharpless, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2003, 125, 9983–9987.
- 46 S. Kumar, S. Dubey, N. Saxena and S. K. Awasthi, Tetrahedron Lett., 2014, 55, 6034–6038.
- 47 B. Agrahari, S. Layek, R. Ganguly and D. D. Pathak, New J. Chem., 2018, 42, 13754–13762.
- 48 Z. Heidarnezhad, A. Ghorbani-Choghamarani and Z. Taherinia, Catal. Lett., 2022, 152, 3178–3191.
- 49 B. Tahmasbi and A. Ghorbani-Choghamarani, New J. Chem., 2019, 43, 14485–14501.
- 50 J. Wang, A. Sugawara-Narutaki, M. Fukao, T. Yokoi, A. Shimojima and T. Okubo, ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces, 2011, 3, 1538–1544.
- 51 A. Aashima, S. Uppal, A. Arora, S. Gautam, S. Singh, R. J. Choudhary and S. K. Mehta, RSC Adv., 2019, 9, 23129– 23141.
- 52 T. E. Karpov, A. Rogova, D. R. Akhmetova, Y. A. Tishchenko, A. V. Chinakova, D. V. Lipin, N. V. Gavrilova, I. A. Gorbunova, S. A. Shipilovskikh and A. S. Timin, Biomater. Sci., 2024, 12, 3431–3445. Nanoscale Advances

25 A. M. Mattins, p. M. Mathem Actions, and License Articles. Published on 11/2024. Downloaded on 12:22 American Access Articles. Article 2024. Downloaded on 12:22 American Access Articles. Article is
	- 53 R. O. Aljedaani, S. A. Kosa and M. Abdel Salam, Molecules, 2022, 28, 16.
	- 54 G. Anbalagan, B. Subramanian, V. Suresh and P. Sivaperumal, Cureus, 2024, 16(4), e57366.
	- 55 M. A. Jani and K. Bahrami, Appl. Organomet. Chem., 2020, 34(12), e6014.
	- 56 E. Aali, M. Gholizadeh and N. Noroozi-Shad, J. Mol. Struct., 2022, 1247, 131289.
	- 57 P. Movaheditabar, M. Javaherian and V. Nobakht, J. Iran. Chem. Soc., 2022, 19, 1805–1816.
	- 58 N. Moeini, M. Ghadermazi and S. Molaei, J. Mol. Struct., 2022, 1251, 131982.
	- 59 P. Movaheditabar, M. Javaherian and V. Nobakht, React. Kinet. Mech. Catal., 2017, 122, 217–228.
	- 60 D. H. Mahajan, D. Mistry and H. Tailor, Chem. Sci., 2018, 7(4), 676–686.
	- 61 P. K. Samanta, R. Biswas, T. Das, M. Nandi, B. Adhikary, R. M. Richards and P. Biswas, J. Porous Mater., 2019, 26, 145–155.
	- 62 M. L. Kantam, K. B. Shiva Kumar and K. Phani Raja, J. Mol. Catal. A Chem., 2006, 247, 186–188.