# RSC Medicinal Chemistry

# REVIEW

Cite this: DOI: 10.1039/d4md00652f

# Hepatocyte targeting via the asialoglycoprotein<br>receptor receptor

This review highlights the potential of asialoglycoprotein receptor (ASGPR)-mediated targeting in advancing

Fabricio Ramírez-Cortés **D** and Petra Ménová D<sup>\*</sup>

liver-specific treatments and underscores the ongoing progress in the field. First, we provide a comprehensive examination of the nature of ASGPR ligands, both natural and synthetic. Next, we explore various drug delivery strategies leveraging ASGPR, with a particular emphasis on the delivery of therapeutic nucleic acids such as small interfering RNAs (siRNAs) and antisense oligonucleotides (ASOs). An in-depth analysis of the current status of RNA interference (RNAi) and ASO-based therapeutics is included, detailing approved therapies and those in various stages of clinical development (phases 1 to 3). Afterwards, we give an overview of other ASGPR-targeted conjugates, such as those with peptide nucleic acids or aptamers. Finally, targeted protein degradation of extracellular proteins through ASGPR is briefly discussed. **EXAMELY SERVIEW SECTION CONTROL CONT** 

Received 22nd August 2024, Accepted 19th November 2024

DOI: 10.1039/d4md00652f

rsc.li/medchem

# Introduction

The asialoglycoprotein receptor (ASGPR), also known as the Ashwell–Morell receptor, was the first lectin to be discovered in mammals.<sup>1</sup> Its carbohydrate recognition depends on calcium, classifying it as a C-type lectin.<sup>2</sup> ASGPR specifically binds desialylated glycoproteins exhibiting non-reducing terminal galactose (Gal) or N-acetylgalactosamine (GalNAc). Upon binding, these desialylated glycoproteins undergo endocytosis through clathrin-coated pits. Subsequently, when reaching the acidic endosomal compartment, they detach from the receptor and are transported to the lysosomes, thereby maintaining homeostasis.<sup>3-6</sup>

ASGPR is a highly conserved transmembrane protein consisting of two subunits with a 58% sequence homology, each spanning from 40 to 60 kDa. These subunits, denominated H1 and H2 for the human hepatic lectin, are typically present in an average ratio of 2–3 : 1, respectively. Both subunits are essential for the correct functioning of the receptor.7–<sup>11</sup>

The receptor is expressed predominantly on hepatocytes and minimally on extra-hepatic cells, with as many as 500 000 surface binding sites per cell.<sup>11-15</sup> It is endocytosed and recycled approximately every 15 minutes, regardless of the presence or absence of ligands. $14,16,17$  These characteristics render it a very attractive target for receptormediated drug delivery to the liver.<sup>12</sup>

Numerous serious diseases are associated with hepatocytes, including hepatitis  $B^{18}$  and  $C<sub>19</sub>$  hepatocellular carcinoma, $20$  and malaria. $21$  Infection with HBV or HCV may lead to chronic hepatitis, which can progress to cirrhosis and liver cancer. Consequently, targeting hepatocytes via interaction with ASGPR presents a promising strategy for treating these diseases.

# ASGPR ligands

ASGPR carbohydrate recognition domain (CRD) is a shallow pocket that binds terminal sugars in a complex with calcium ion.<sup>22,23</sup> It recognizes and binds terminal Gal  $(1)$  or GalNAc (2), with the latter exhibiting 10–60-fold higher affinity in competition assays (Fig. 1A). $^{24}$  In certain cases, glucose (Glc) is also bound, albeit with a lower affinity than Gal and GalNAc.<sup>12</sup> Binding primarily occurs through the hydroxy groups in positions 3 and 4, with the substituents in position 2 also contributing to the binding. An equatorial hydroxyl in position 3 is indispensable. An axial OH in position 4 increases the binding affinity, but an equatorial OH is also tolerated.<sup>25</sup> Substituents in position 2 show a certain degree of tolerance; N-acyl groups enhance binding provided they are not too large. The region that hosts these substituents has been described as a dumbbell cavity.<sup>26,27</sup> Substituents in the anomeric position and in position 6 have a minimal impact on CRD binding, which can be exploited in the design of synthetic ligands.25,28,29

The binding affinity increases from monoantennary to tetraantennary ligands. Monoantennary oligosaccharides bind in the millimolar (mM) range, whereas triantennary oligosaccharides bind in the nanomolar (nM) range, representing a 10<sup>6</sup>-fold increase in the affinity despite only a three-fold increase in the absolute concentration of Gal.<sup>14,28</sup> This phenomenon is referred to as the "cluster effect".<sup>29</sup> The



University of Chemistry and Technology, Prague, Technická 5, 16628 Prague 6, Czech Republic. E-mail: petra.menova@vscht.cz

# Review **REVIEW REVIEW REVIEW**





Fig. 1 A. Structures and binding affinities of natural ASGPR ligands Gal (1)<sup>26</sup> and GalNAc (2).<sup>22</sup> B. Triantennary GalNAc ligand 3 built on Tris<br>ceeffeld C. Monoualent synthotic ASCPP ligands and their binding affinities scaffold. C. Monovalent synthetic ASGPR ligands and their binding affinities.<sup>22</sup>

increase in affinity from triantennary to tetraantennary ligands is only modest. $12,30$ 

Trivalent ligands are commonly constructed using a tris(hydroxymethyl)aminomethane (Tris) molecule as a scaffold (Fig. 1B). To this molecule, carbohydrate or glycomimetic ligands are attached via ether, ester or amidebased linkers (e.g. compound 3). The balance between the hydrophilicity, the hydrophobicity and the geometry greatly influence the ligand binding. It has been shown that an optimal separation between the terminal sugars is typically around 20  $\AA$ <sup>31-33</sup>

Naturally occurring ASGPR binders include primarily glycoclusters. Endogenous ligands encompass mainly asialoorosomucoid (ASOR), asialofetuin (AF), asialoceruplasmin, asialotransferrin, immunoglobulin A (IgA), and von Willebrand factor (vWF). $4,32-35$  Notably, ASOR and AF served as benchmarks in the early studies of the receptor. Radiolabelled <sup>125</sup>I-ASOR was used to elucidate the endocytosis kinetics and structural features of the receptor.15,16

Arabinogalactan and pullulan are natural polysaccharides based on galactose and glucose, respectively. They have been extensively studied for ASGPR-mediated targeting.<sup>36,37</sup> Pullulan exploits the inability of ASGPR to discriminate

between p-galactose and p-glucose.<sup>12</sup> Nevertheless, the uptake of pullulan is lower than that of arabinogalactan.<sup>38</sup>

Synthetic ASGPR ligands (Fig. 1C) draw inspiration from natural binders. Although most of them still use GalNAc (2, Fig. 1A) as a warhead, numerous attempts to improve its affinity have been made. $^{22}$  As mentioned above, positions 2 and 6 offer opportunities for modifications in order to improve the affinity. For example, replacing the acetamido group in position 2 with a trifluoroacetamido group enhanced the affinity (compare compounds 5 and 6), as did the replacement of 6-OH group with an azido (7) or a triazol  $(8)$  moiety.<sup>22</sup> In 2017, M. G. Finn, V. Mascitti, and co-authors published a bridged ketal 9 (substituted 6,8-dioxabicyclo3.2.1]octane-2,3-diol), which was proposed based on the X-ray crystal structure of the ASGPR binding domain (PDB 1dv8).<sup>39,40</sup> This bridged ketal enhanced the interaction between the hydrophobic α-face of the pyranose and the tryptophan residue Trp243. Compound 9 has a sixfold higher affinity compared to GalNAc and also a good ligand efficiency (LE =  $0.45$ ).<sup>39</sup> Although an analogue of this compound, 10, with a trifluoroacetamido group in position 2 demonstrated a four-fold higher affinity, this compound was dismissed due to concerns regarding the long-term metabolic stability. Nevertheless, this warhead (10) is featured in a

#### RSC Medicinal Chemistry **Review Accord Chemistry** Review Accord Review Review Review Review Review Review Review

University of Yale patent for bi-functional molecules aimed at degrading circulating proteins.<sup>41</sup> Avilar Therapeutics Inc., a company devoted to ASGPR therapeutics, drew inspiration from these two compounds and did extensive modifications and iterations, both on the bicyclic compound and on Gal. These modifications mainly involved eliminating substituents in position 1 in some monocyclic warheads, replacing the ketal bridge for an aliphatic bridge in the bicyclic warheads and introducing a wide variety of aryl rings in position  $2.^{42-44}$ 

The majority of these synthetic warheads designed to target ASGPR are typically linked in multimers, commonly in trimers. The design and architecture of these ligands have been extensively reviewed by Huang  $et$   $al$ .<sup>45</sup> and therefore will not be discussed in this review.

## Drug delivery strategies for targeting hepatocytes via ASGPR

Drug delivery strategies for liver targeted drugs via the interaction with ASGPR include direct GalNAc conjugation to therapeutic payloads (such as siRNAs, ASOs, and smallmolecule drugs), nanoparticle-based delivery systems, and polymer-based drug delivery.

A comprehensive review of Gal-modified polymers and lipids was published in  $2015$ <sup>12</sup> Recent research has focused on polymer–drug conjugates for delivering anticancer drugs to hepatocytes, particularly for treating hepatocellular carcinoma. Doxorubicin (DOX), one of the most widely used chemotherapeutics, often serves as a drug of choice. A review on DOX-base nanotherapeutics was published in 2019.<sup>46</sup> Cationic polymers, such as poly-L-lysine (PLL) and poly-Lglutamic acid (PLGA), are effective vectors for gene delivery. These positively charged polymers interact with the anionic group of the ASGPR binding site and direct the formulations to the liver. Formulations of PLL modified with ASGPR ligands have demonstrated great efficacy in the transport to hepatic parenchymal cells.<sup>47-49</sup> PK2 (FCE28069) is a copolymer derived from N-(2-hydroxypropyl)methacrylamide (HPMA) coupled with N-linked galactosamine and DOX, connected to the polymer backbone through a Gly-Phe-Leu-Gly peptidyl linker.<sup>49</sup> In preclinical studies, the formulation displayed a five-fold decrease in cardiotoxicity compared to free DOX, supporting the progression of PK2 into early clinical investigation.<sup>50</sup> Phase I trial in patients with primary or metastatic liver cancer showed that 17% of the administered dose was targeted to the liver, with 3% targeting the tumour.<sup>51</sup> A recommended dosage for a phase II trial was established; however, no further progress has been reported.<sup>52</sup>

Targeted nanoparticles exploit the receptor-mediated endocytosis to deliver a drug payload to the liver while protecting it from degradation and facilitating the transport. These nanocarriers must degrade in a non-toxic manner to ensure safety and efficacy of the treatment. Clearance of hydrophobic nanoparticles by the reticuloendothelial system (RES) is a concern when employing this approach. To achieve

a long circulation time, nanoparticles are typically coated with non-fouling polymers like PEG. However, this stealthy surface also impedes the uptake by the target cells. The incorporation of targeting ligands such as Gal or GalNAc for hepatocyte-specific delivery enhances uptake when employing this strategy.<sup>53</sup> While liposomes are the most widely studied type of nanoparticles, other nanostructures such as micelles or dendrimers are also used. $53-57$  The Devarajan group reported DOX-containing nanoparticles decorated with pullulan and arabinogalactan for ASGPR targeting. They observed high selectivity in liver uptake (hepatocyte : nonparenchymal cell ratio 85 : 15). Pullulan-containing NPs showed high efficacy and greater safety compared to parent DOX.<sup>58</sup>

Yet another strategy are covalent drug-ligand conjugates.<sup>12</sup> Petrov et al. prepared several glycoconjugates of small molecule drugs with GalNAc for targeted delivery to hepatocellular carcinoma. They conjugated paclitaxel,<sup>59</sup>  $DOX^{60}$  and docetaxel.<sup>61</sup> The latter displayed a nanomolar affinity for ASGPR, improved water solubility in comparison with parent docetaxel, and selective toxicity against hepatoma cells vs. control cell lines. Sanhueza et al. synthesized a conjugate of mifepristone (steroidal glucocorticoid antagonist, known also as RU-486) with a multivalent ASGPR ligand and observed an efficient accumulation of the conjugate in rat liver in vivo.<sup>39</sup> Rico et al. published a conjugate of 5-fluorouracil with a triantennary GalNAc-based ligand intended to treat hepatocellular carcinoma.<sup>62</sup> Apart from delivering anticancer agents, GalNAc conjugates are also used for targeted delivery of radiopharmaceuticals to the liver.<sup>63</sup> The constructs typically contain a Gal or GalNAc as liver-targeting moiety, a linker, a chelating moiety and a radioisotope. Gamma-imaging radiotracers used in planar gamma scintigraphy and single-photon emission computed tomography (SPECT) most often employ  $99m$ Tc, while positron electron tomography (PET) employs  $^{68}$ Ga and  $^{18}$ F.<sup>64</sup> RSC Medicinal chemistry<br>
University of Patient Comparison Exception Signation Exception Commons Common Access Article are the material on the material on the creation of the set of the set of the set of the set of the set

> Covalent linkage between GalNAc-based ASGPR targeting ligands and drug is also found in conjugates with therapeutic nucleic acids. These are discussed further in the following chapters.

# Delivery of therapeutic nucleic acids to the liver

The clinical application of ASGPR-mediated targeting for gene silencing has emerged as a prominent area of interest. The objective is to impede mRNA translation using short complementary RNA fragments. GalNAc–RNA-based therapeutics have the potential to address unmet medical needs in numerous liver-related diseases. Two primary strategies employing this concept are the use of small interfering RNAs (siRNAs) and antisense oligonucleotides (ASOs).<sup>65</sup> ASOs are single-stranded synthetic oligonucleotides (ONs) typically consisting of 16–20 nucleotides that bind to the target RNA by Watson-Crick base pairing.<sup>66</sup> ASOs promote degradation by RNases, cause translational arrest by

steric hindrance or interfere with the mRNA maturation, ultimately leading to downregulation of the target protein.<sup>67</sup> siRNAs are short double-stranded ONs loaded into the RNAinduced silencing complex which then targets the mRNA for degradation.<sup>68</sup>

# GalNAc–siRNA conjugates for RNA interference

RNA interference (RNAi) is an endogenous pathway for posttranscriptional silencing of gene expression that is triggered by double-stranded RNA (dsRNA). Through this pathway, siRNAs can silence the expression of virtually any gene with high efficiency and specificity, including "undruggable" targets.<sup>69</sup>

In 2014, Nair et  $al^{70}$  proved that multivalent GalNAcconjugated siRNA could elicit robust RNAi-mediated gene silencing in hepatocytes. Since then, several siRNA therapeutics targeted to hepatocytes have been developed and many others are in development.

However, delivery of siRNA is challenging as unmodified siRNA is susceptible to degradation by both extra- and intracellular nucleases, unstable in the bloodstream, immunogenic, and unable to penetrate cells. To circumvent these hurdles, the ONs are modified. Alnylam Pharmaceuticals (Alnylam) pioneered a modification pattern named standard template chemistry (STC), which includes changes to the 2′ position of the nucleotides, such as 2′ deoxy-2′-fluoro- or 2′-O-methyl and replacing phosphodiester bonds with phosphorothioate (PS). In the next generation, STC was succeeded by an enhanced stabilization chemistry (ESC) approach, leading to increased potency and prolonged duration of action.<sup>71,72</sup> While working on GalNAc-siRNA conjugates, issues with off-target gene silencing were encountered, prompting the development of a new modification pattern called ESC+ (advanced ESC). To mitigate off-target seed interactions, glycol nucleic acid (GNA) was incorporated (Fig. 2A). $73-76$  Other companies like Arrowhead Pharmaceuticals (Arrowhead), Dicerna Pharmaceuticals (Dicerna), Silence Therapeutics (Silence), Arbutus Biopharma (Arbutus), OliX Pharmaceuticals (OliX), and Suzhou Ribo Life Science have developed their own sets of modifications for siRNA therapies.<sup>77</sup>

Arrowhead developed a versatile delivery platform TRiM™ (Targeted RNAi Molecule), which can be used for the delivery to the liver (using GalNAc as targeting molecule, directly



Fig. 2 A. Design of GalNAc–siRNA conjugates: STC – standard template chemistry, ESC – extended stabilization chemistry, ESC+ – extended stabilization chemistry plus, Silence platform – single GalNAc positioned at opposite sides of the sense strand. The top strand is the sense strand and the bottom is the antisense strand. B. Sirnaomics platform based on mxRNA and Dicerna's GalXC™ platform (passenger strand with tetraloop hairpin, ca. 36 bases, quide strand complementary to target mRNA, ca. 22 bases). C. Structure of monovalent GalNAc ligand built on serinol scaffold. Reproduced from ref. 78 with permission from the Royal Society of Chemistry, copyright 2023.

conjugated to siRNA), $^{79}$  lungs (using integrin alpha-v-beta-6 ( $\alpha \beta$ 6) ligand) or tumour (using Arg-Gly-Asp (RGD) peptide).<sup>80</sup> In order to reduce off-target side effects, OliX has introduced asymmetric siRNA (asiRNA) technology. They have patented a GalNAc–asiRNA platform $81$  and several such conjugates are in preclinical and early stages of clinical trials. Dicerna/Novo Nordisk<sup>82</sup> uses GalXC™ (pronounced like "galaxy") and GalXC-Plus™ (targeting extrahepatic cell and tissue types) platforms. GalAhead™ (pronounced like "Galahad") developed by Sirnaomics is based on miniaturised hairpin RNAi triggers (mxRNA™) and multi-unit RNAi triggers (muRNA™) rather than conventional siRNA (Fig. 2B).<sup>83,84</sup> In 2020, Weingärtner et al. from Silence Therapeutics published a novel platform based on serinol-attached GalNAc unit(s) (11, Fig. 2C) either in a series (2–4 units) or two single GalNAc units positioned at opposite ends of the sense strand (Fig. 2A). This platform exhibited enhanced stability against endosomal and lysosomal degradation, as well as enhanced in vivo activity and duration of action when compared to a classical triantennary architecture.<sup>85</sup> Other delivery platforms include mRNAi GOLD™ by Silence,<sup>86</sup> RIBO-GalSTAR™ (GalNAc-based System for liver TARgeting) by Suzhou Ribo Life Science,<sup>87</sup> and LEAD™ (Ligand and Enhancer Assisted Delivery) by SanegeneBio. RSC Medicinal chemistry<br>
terms consider turnor (sample interpret in chemistry) and the properties Article. The measurement consideration of the common consideration and access ARTICLE (CREATIC (CREATIC is licensed under a

RNAi therapeutics utilizing GalNAc conjugate technology are administered subcutaneously. Intravenous injections lead to a rapid distribution of siRNAs to the liver, reaching a saturation state and wasting considerable doses. In contrast, subcutaneous injections give way to a slow release and absorption.<sup>80</sup> Lower dose levels administered subcutaneously can maximize the proportion of drug reaching the liver.<sup>88</sup>

As with any therapeutic, understanding and monitoring pharmacodynamics (PD) and pharmacokinetics (PK) are essential for advancing through the development pipeline. In the case of GalNAc-conjugated siRNAs, there is a disconnect between plasma PK and PD effects: while plasma half-life is relatively short – 1–3 h in rats, 2–6 h in monkeys, and under 10 h in humans – the therapeutic effects persist for weeks in rodents and several months in monkeys and humans.<sup>89,90</sup> This results in a delayed onset and extended duration of PD action. Although each therapeutic has its own dosing requirements determined in early-phase studies, treatments like givosiran and lumasiran are administered monthly, vutrisiran every three months, and inclisiran three months after the first dose, then every six months. $91,92$ GalNAc–siRNA conjugates bind to the ASGPR and undergo uptake into endosomes, where the conjugate dissociates from the receptor. The sugar moieties and branches are rapidly cleaved from the oligonucleotide, allowing the oligonucleotide to escape from the endosome and enter the cytoplasm (Fig. 3).<sup>75</sup> The prolonged pharmacological activity is attributed to the gradual release of endocytosed conjugates.<sup>91,93</sup>

An overview of the clinical advancement of siRNA–GalNAc conjugates is detailed in Table 1. The information was taken



Fig. 3 Mechanism of action of siRNA–GalNAc conjugates. Reproduced from ref. 78 with permission from the Royal Society of Chemistry, copyright 2023.

from the websites <https://clinicaltrials.gov> and [https://anzctr.](https://anzctr.org.au) [org.au](https://anzctr.org.au), the pipeline data on the websites of the companies (Alnylam, Novo Nordisk, Arrowhead Pharmaceuticals, Silence Therapeutics, Arbutus Biopharma, Suzhou Ribo Life Science, OliX Pharma, Sirnaomics, SangeneBio) (accessed July 2024) and from literature reviews.  $80,94-96$  As of July 2024, five GalNAc–siRNA drugs are on the market, six are in the late stage of development (phase III) and at least 27 are in the early stages of development (phase I and II). Compounds in preclinical development have not been included in this review.

The first attempt to clinically silence genes was made with revusiran (ALN-TTRsc, Alnylam Pharmaceuticals). This GalNAc–siRNA conjugate targeted hepatic transthyretin (TTR) production and was designed to treat cardiomyopathy caused by hereditary transthyretinmediated amyloidosis (hATTR). The drug used the standard template chemistry (STC). Its development was discontinued in 2016 in a phase III trial for increased rate of mortality in patients treated with revusiran compared to placebo (ENDEAVOUR: NCT02319005).<sup>145</sup> Although the compound did not make it to the market, it served as a valuable proof of concept.<sup>146</sup> Interestingly, the secondgeneration siRNA–GalNAc conjugates use ESC rather than STC which enables the dose and exposure levels to be 12– 30 times lower than those for revusiran. For example, patients treated with revusiran received 28 g per year compared to vutrisiran (new TTR GalNAc–siRNA conjugate, see below), which achieves similar pharmacodynamic effects with only 100 mg per year. This 280-fold lower drug exposure owed to the second-generation chemistry significantly improves safety.





### RSC Medicinal Chemistry **Review** Review



Table 1 (continued)



renal disease)



<sup>a</sup> Based on mxRNA rather than siRNA.  $^b$  Announcement of the initiation of the phase I trial came on August 1, 2024.<sup>144</sup>

#### Givosiran

(GIVLAARI, ALN-AS1, Alnylam Pharmaceuticals) was the first GalNAc–siRNA conjugate to reach the market. It is directed against 5-aminolevulinate synthase 1 and is used to treat acute hepatic porphyria, a genetic disorder resulting in the build-up of toxic porphyrin precursors formed during the production of heme. Givosiran was approved in 2019 after a successful phase III study (ENVISION: NCT03338816).<sup>97,147</sup> FDA granted the application for givosiran breakthrough therapy and first-in-class medication designation, priority review designation, and an orphan drug status. Its pharmacological properties and role in the treatment of acute hepatic porphyria have been recently reviewed.<sup>98,148</sup>

#### Lumasiran

(OXLUMO, ALN-GO1, Alnylam Pharmaceuticals) was approved in 2020 after a successful phase III study (ILLUMINATE-A: NCT03681184)<sup>101,106</sup> for the treatment of severe primary hyperoxaluria type 1 (PH1). The compound targets mRNA encoding for glycolate oxidase, thus reducing hepatic oxalate production.<sup>102,149</sup> Lumasiran received orphan drug and breakthrough therapy designation. It also underwent a phase II clinical trial in patients with recurrent calcium oxalate kidney stone disease (NCT05161936). A phase II study in patients that have a kidney disease and need dialysis treatment is supposed to start in 2024 (NCT06225544).

#### Inclisiran

(LEQVIO, ALN-PCSsc, developed by The Medicines Company, a subsidiary of Novartis, which licensed the rights to inclisiran from Alnylam Pharmaceuticals), used for the treatment of hypercholesterolemia, targets proprotein convertase subtilisin/kexin type 9 (PCSK9). It was approved in the EU in 2020 and in the UK and USA in 2021 after successful phase III studies (ORION-9: NCT03397121, ORION-10: NCT03399370, ORION-11: NCT03400800).<sup>107-109</sup> Its administration is necessary only every 3–6 months, which is a major advantage over statins and monoclonal antibody therapy.<sup>150</sup> A number of further clinical studies, aimed among others at treating mixed dyslipidemia and atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease, are on-going ([https://](https://www.clinicaltrials.gov) [www.clinicaltrials.gov](https://www.clinicaltrials.gov)).

#### Vutrisiran

(Amvuttra, ALN-TTRsc02, Alnylam Pharmaceuticals), designed for the treatment of transthyretin-mediated amyloidosis, inhibits the production transthyretin protein by the liver.<sup>110</sup> Vutrisiran was approved in 2022 both in the USA and EU for the treatment of the polyneuropathy of hATTR in adults.<sup>151</sup> It has been granted orphan drug status in the US, EU and Japan for the treatment of ATTR. In the US, it has also been granted a fast track designation for the treatment of the polyneuropathy of hATTR in adults. Two phase III clinical studies are ongoing. HELIOS-A study (NCT03759379) in patients with hATTR with neuropathy was initiated in 2019 and is supposed to last until 2026. Vutrisiran is to be compared with patisiran (Onpattro), the first siRNA-based drug approved in the US and the first drug approved by the FDA to treat hATTR. A 2022 report confirmed that vutrisiran met all secondary endpoints measured at 18 months.<sup>152</sup> When compared to patisiran, vutrisiran was found to be noninferior in terms of serum transthyretin reduction. Vutrisiran's primary advantage over patisiran is its dosing regimen. While patients taking patisiran must undergo an 80-minute infusion every three weeks, vutrisiran is administered by a subcutaneous injection that is administered every three months. This highlights the advantage of directly conjugated siRNA therapeutics over lipid nanoparticle-based delivery to the targeted cells.

#### Nedosiran

(Rivfloza, DCR-PHXC, Novo Nordisk, which acquired Dicerna Pharmaceuticals in 2021) targets hepatic lactate dehydrogenase A and was approved in 2023 for the treatment of primary hyperoxaluria  $(PH)$ .<sup>112,154</sup> It is indicated to lower urinary oxalate levels in people with PH type 1. PH is a family of three autosomal recessive inherited disorders of hepatic glyoxylate metabolism characterised by oxalate overproduction. Subsequent calcium oxalate precipitation leads to nephrocalcinosis, recurrent urolithiasis, kidney damage, and ultimately to kidney or even multiple organ failure.<sup>155</sup> FDA granted it a first-in-class status as well as breakthrough therapy and orphan drug designation. The drug is currently evaluated in a phase II study in paediatric patients (11 years of age or younger) who have PH1, PH2 or PH3 and relatively intact renal function (NCT05001269). Review Recitation state), also initiated in 2013, is phase ill studies in patients with hypertrighoendenia are trained<br>contain to evaluate the effects and select of variation setti in 2024 INCreasions, ACCESS 2023),<br>and th

Six GalNAc–siRNA conjugates are currently in the late stage of development (phase III).

#### Fitusiran

(ALN-AT3sc, Sanofi Genzyme, licensed from Alnylam Pharmaceuticals) targets antithrombin (AT) and is in development for the treatment of hemophilia and rare bleeding disorders (RBDs).<sup>113,114</sup> Phase III studies ATLAS-A/B (NCT03417245) and ATLAS-INH (NCT03417102) were completed in 2021, and long-term safety and efficacy study ATLAS-OLE (NCT03754790) and a study in paediatric patients ATLAS-PEDS (NCT03974113) are ongoing with expected completion date in 2026.

### Cemdisiran

(ALN-CC5, Alnylam Pharmaceuticals) targets the C5 component of the complement pathway and is in development for the treatment of complement-mediated diseases.115 Cemdisiran is being evaluated mostly in combination with pozelimab in several phase III studies: NIMBLE study (NCT05070858) in patients with symptomatic generalised myasthenia gravis and ACCESS-1 (NCT05133531) and ACCESS-EXT (NCT05744921) in patients with paroxysmal nocturnal hemoglobinuria. In 2021, EU granted cemdisiran orphan designation for the treatment of primary IgA nephropathy (phase II completed in 2023, NCT03841448).

#### Plozasiran

(ARO-APOC3, Arrowhead Pharmaceuticals) targets apolipoprotein C-III and is in a phase III study in patients with familial chylomicronemia syndrome (NCT05089084) and with hypertriglyceridemia (NCT06347133). Two additional phase III studies in patients with hypertriglyceridemia are to start in 2024 (NCT06347016, NCT06347003).

#### Olpasiran

(AMG890, ARO-LPA, Amgen) reduces lipoprotein(a) synthesis in the liver. It is evaluated in a phase III study (NCT05581303) on the risk for coronary heart disease death, myocardial infarction, or urgent coronary revascularization in participants with atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease and elevated lipoprotein(a).

#### Fazirsiran

(ARO-AAT, Arrowhead Pharmaceuticals, in collaboration with Takeda) targets alpha-1 antitrypsin (AAT) and is studied for the treatment of liver disease associated with AAT deficiency (AATD) (phase III NCT05899673, NCT05677971, NCT06165341).<sup>123</sup>

#### Lepodisiran

(LY3819469, Eli Lilly) is directed at hepatic synthesis of apolipoprotein(a), an essential component necessary for assembly of lipoprotein(a) particles, which contribute to atherosclerotic disease and aortic stenosis. It is evaluated in a phase III study (NCT06292013) for the reduction of major adverse cardiovascular events in adults with elevated lipoprotein(a) who have established atherosclerotic cardiovascular disease or are at risk for a first cardiovascular event.

Five GalNAc–siRNA conjugates are in phase II trials against chronic HBV infection: xalnesiran (RO7445482, RG6346, DCR-HBVS, developed by Dicerna Pharmaceuticals/ Novo Nordisk in collaboration with Hoffmann-La Roche), imdusiran (AB-729, Arbutus), elebsiran (ALN-HBV02, VIR-2218, Vir Biotechnology in collaboration with Alnylam), JNJ-3989 (ARO-HBV, Arrowhead Pharmaceuticals, initially licensed to Janssen Pharmaceuticals, subsequently to GlaxoSmithKline), and RB1016 (Suzhou Ribo Life Science). These conjugates are designed to inhibit expression of HBV proteins, including hepatitis B surface antigen (HBsAg). They are investigated as stand-alone therapy as well as for use in combination with other direct antiviral agents, such as nucleoside and nucleotide analogues. Additionally, elebsiran is also evaluated in combination with tobevibart, an IgG1 lambda humanised monoclonal antibody, in a phase II study against chronic hepatitis D.

Targeting ASGPR as hepatic receptor is also thoroughly studied for the treatment of various liver-related diseases. GSK4532990 (ARO-HSD, GlaxoSmithKline, phase II), ALN-HSD (Alnylam, in collaboration with Regeneron, phase II), ARO-PNPLA3 (JNJ-75220795, Arrowhead), LY3849891 (Eli Lilly, phase I), LY3885125 (Eli Lilly, phase I), ALN-PNP (Alnylam, phase I), NN6581 (Novo Nordisk, phase I), NN6582 (Novo Nordisk, phase I), and OLX702A (OLX75016, OliX, phase I) are being developed for the treatment of metabolic dysfunction-associated steatohepatitis (MASH), previously referred to as nonalcoholic steatohepatitis (NASH). MASH one of the most common chronic liver diseases worldwide, with a global prevalence of approximately 24% in the general population.156 Belcesiran (NN6021, DCR-A1AT-201, Novo Nordisk, phase II) and ALN-TTRsc04 (Alnylam, phase I) target α-1 antitrypsin for the treatment of AAT deficiency-associated liver disease ( $α$ -1 liver disease). **ALN-BCAT** (Alnylam, phase I) targets β-catenin for the treatment of hepatocellular carcinoma.

A number of GalNAc–siRNA conjugates are in early development for the treatment of cardiovascular diseases, hypercholesterolemia and dyslipidemia. Zerlasiran (SLN360, Silence Therapeuticals, phase II) is designed to reduce the production of apolipoprotein A, a key component of lipoprotein(a), which has been genetically linked with increased risk of cardiovascular diseases, independent of cholesterol and LDL levels. Two conjugates target apolipoprotein C-III: LY3819469 (Eli Lilly, phase I), and RBD5044 (Suzhou Ribo Life Science, phase I). Two conjugates reduce the production of angiopoietin-like protein 3 (ANGPTL3), a liver-synthesised inhibitor of lipoprotein lipase and endothelial lipase: zodasiran (ARO-ANG3, Arrowhead, phase II), and solbinsiran (LY3561774, Eli Lilly, phase II). Zilebesiran (ALN-AGT01, RG6615, Roche, phase II) and IBI3016 (SGB-3908, phase I) target angiotensinogen (AGT) and are evaluated for the treatment of hypertension. RBD7022 (Suzhou Ribo Life Science, phase I) targets PCSK9 and is developed for the treatment of hyperlipidemia. RSC Medicinal Chemistry<br>
Neview Review Review Review Review Review Review Review Review Proposed on the most common change has not are article. Security: A creative Common and the most common and the most common and the mo

Complement-mediated diseases are targeted by ARO-C3 (Arrowhead, phase I) designed to reduce the production of complement component 3 and ARO-CFB (Arrowhead, phase I) reducing complement factor B.

Other GalNAc–siRNA conjugates in the early stage of development include divesiran (SLN124, Silence Therapeuticals, phase I/II) downregulating TMPRSS6 and developed to treat β-thalassaemia, myelodysplastic syndrome and polycythemia vera, ALN-KHK (Alnylam, phase I/II) targeting ketohexokinase to treat diabetes mellitus 2, DCR-AUD (DCR-A1203, Dicerna Pharmaceuticals/Novo Nordisk, phase I) designed to silence aldehyde dehydrogenase (ALDH2) for the treatment of alcohol use disorder, ALN-APP (Alnylam Pharmaceuticals) targeting amyloid precursor protein (APP) to treat Alzheimer's disease and cerebral amyloid angiopathy, and RBD4059 (Suzhou Ribo Life Science, phase I) and STP122G (Sirnaomics, phase I, based on mxRNA rather than siRNA) targeting coagulation factor XI as potential treatment of thrombosis.

## GalNAc–ASO conjugates

Single strand modified ASOs conjugated to a triantennary GalNAc<sub>3</sub> moiety were initially developed by Ionis Pharmaceuticals.157 These GalNAc–ASO conjugates are also known as ligand-conjugated antisense medicines (LICAs). Akcea Therapeutics was initially formed in 2014 as an Ionis subsidiary to further develop the compounds, but was acquired back by its parent company in 2020.

Similar to GalNAc-conjugated siRNAs, a thorough understanding of PK and PD is critical for advancing GalNAcconjugated ASOs. After subcutaneous injection, the time to reach maximum plasma concentration ranges from 0.25 to 1 hour in mice and 1 to 4 hours in monkeys and humans. In mice, the major circulating species in the blood postabsorption is the conjugated ASO, but after 24 hours, the unconjugated ASO becomes the predominant species.<sup>157</sup> Elimination half-lives across all tissues, including the liver, are 3-4 weeks in both monkeys and humans.<sup>158</sup>

An overview of the compounds on the market and in clinical development is summarised in Table 2.

#### Eplontersen

(ION-682884, IONIS-TTR-L<sub>Rx</sub>, AKCEA-TTR-L<sub>Rx</sub>, Akcea/Ionis/ AstraZeneca) was the first GalNAc–ASO conjugate to be approved in the USA in December 2023. It is designed to reduce the production of transthyretin to treat all types of TTR.<sup>159,161</sup> The dosing schedule involves monthly The dosing schedule involves monthly subcutaneous injections, with no significant accumulation observed following repeated dosing every four weeks.<sup>172</sup>

Four GalNAc–ASO conjugates are currently evaluated in phase III.

#### Pelacarsen

 $(AKCEA-APO)(a)-L_{Rx}$ ,  $AKCEA-APO(a)-L_{Rx}$ , TQJ230, Novartis) is designed to reduce the production of apolipoprotein(a) and is being developed for patients who are at a significant risk of cardiovascular disease because of their elevated Lp(a).163,173–<sup>177</sup> Phase III studies Lp(a) HORIZON: NCT04023552, NCT05305664 as well as an open-label extension study (NCT05900141) are ongoing.

#### Olezarsen

(IONIS-APOCIII-LRx, AKCEA-APOCIII-LRx, Ionis) is designed to inhibit the production of apoC-III to treat hypertriglyceridemia.<sup>178</sup> Additionally, it has an orphan drug status for the treatment of familial chylomicronemia syndrome. A number of phase III trials for both conditions are ongoing.

#### Donidalorsen

(IONIS-PKK-LRx, ISIS 721744, Ionis) is designed to reduce the production of prekallikrein (PKK) to treat patients with hereditary angioedema (phase III trial NCT05392114, phase II trial NCT04307381).<sup>165,166</sup>

#### Bepirovirsen

 $(GSK3389404, IONIS-HBV-L<sub>Rx</sub>, GSK)$  targets HBV viral proteins to treat chronic HBV and is evaluated in several phase III studies.







Six GalNAc–ASO conjugates are currently in phase II studies and one more in a phase I study.

Conjugates in development aimed at treating diseases affecting the cardiovascular system include fesomersen  $(BAY2976217, ISIS-FXI<sub>Rx</sub>, ISIS 416858, IONIS-FXI-L<sub>Rx</sub>, Bayer)$ targeting factor XI to treat thrombosis, ION-904 (Ionis) targeting angiotensinogen for treatment-resistant hypertension and sapablursen (IONIS-TMPRSS6- $L_{Rx}$ , Ionis) targeting transmembrane serine protease 6 (TMPRSS6) to treat β-thalassaemia and polycythemia vera. ION224 (IONIS DGAT2 $_{\rm Rx}$ ) and AZD2693 (ION839, IONIS-AZ6-2.5-L $_{\rm Rx}$ , Ionis/ AstraZeneca) are in development to treat MASH. While ION224 targets diacylglycerol acyltransferase 2, AZD2693 targets patatin-like phospholipase domain-containing protein 3. **IONIS-FB-L<sub>Rx</sub>** (Ionis/Roche) targets complement factor to treat IgA nephropathy (IgAN) and age-related macular degeneration. To complete the list of conjugates in development, ION532 (IONIS-AZ5-2.5Rx, AZD2373, Astra Zeneca) is in a phase I study to treat apolipoprotein L1 associated chronic kidney disease.

In addition to the ASO conjugates developed by Ionis, Roche is developing  $GalNAC<sub>3</sub>$  conjugates with locked nucleic acid (LNA)-containing single stranded oligonucleotides (SSO) for the treatment of chronic HBV.<sup>179</sup> RG6084 (PD-L1 LNA, RO7191863) that targets PD-L1/PD-1 immune checkpoint inhibitory pathway, which is overexpressed in the liver of patients with chronic hepatitis B, is now in a phase II (NCT04225715) study for a combination HBV therapy.

# Other examples of GalNAc conjugates targeted to the liver

Peptide nucleic acids (PNAs) are synthetic DNA analogues in which the deoxyribose phosphate backbone is replaced by a pseudo-peptide structure. This substitution imparts electrical

neutrality, enhances chemo-enzymatic stability, and improves target–strand hybridization.<sup>180,181</sup> As a result, PNAs hold potential as antisense or antigene drugs. However, PNAs also face significant challenges: they have low cell permeability compared to phosphodiester or phosphorothioate oligonucleotides,<sup>181</sup> some sequences exhibit poor water solubility, $180$  they lack cell-type specificity, and are rapidly cleared from the body.<sup>182</sup>

To address issues with cell permeability and specificity, PNAs were conjugated to ligands to enhance targeted uptake.<sup>181</sup> For example, lactose–PNA conjugates were able to enter cells expressing ASGPR in vitro, though only at high concentrations, while cells lacking this receptor showed no uptake.<sup>183</sup> Studies by Van Berkel and Biessen demonstrated that synthetic ASGPR ligands conjugated to PNAs could downregulate human and murine microsomal triglyceride transfer protein (MTP). Targeted PNA was observed to reduce MTP expression in parenchymal liver cells by up to 70%.181,184

Ishihara et al. reported a method for delivering PNAs using AF–DNA conjugates. In this approach, AF is conjugated to a 10-mer DNA strand complementary to the target PNA sequence, allowing the PNA to hybridize with the AF–DNA conjugate. Upon internalization, the PNA is spontaneously released through a strand exchange mechanism. This system enabled successful delivery of PNAs to the liver following intravenous injection, resulting in the inhibition of telomerase.<sup>182</sup>

A structure–activity relationship (SAR) study by Bhingardeve et al. emphasized the importance of ASGPR ligand architecture in designing ASGPR–PNA conjugates. The study found that a conjugate with GalNAc arranged sequentially achieved 13-fold higher internalization efficiency than the triantennary GalNAc ligand. This sequential design offers a more cost-effective and simpler approach for producing conjugates.<sup>185</sup>

Aptamers are single-stranded DNA (ssDNA) or RNA (ssRNA) oligonucleotides that fold into defined architectures tertiary structures. They bind with high affinity and specificity to their targets and are non-immunogenic.<sup>186</sup> However, the uptake of DNA ONs in cells is problematic. Zamay et al. reported the delivery of aptamers inside cells using arabinogalactan as a carrier. The ASGPR-internalised aptamers inhibited adenocarcinoma growth.<sup>187</sup> In another example of ASGPR-targeted aptamers, Zhu et al. reported the construction of lysosome targeting chimeras (LYTACs, vide infra) using an aptamer equipped with a three GalNAc units at the end to target ASGPR. These aptamer-based LYTACs quickly degraded the extracellular proteins through a lysosomal degradation pathway.<sup>188</sup>

Facile genome engineering using clustered regularly interspaced palindromic repeat (CRISPR) and their associated (Cas) nucleases revolutionized molecular biology. This technique is the state of the art for gene editing.<sup>189</sup> Nevertheless, methods for cell- and tissue-selective delivery currently limits its uses. Doudna et al. reported the design of a Cas9 protein bearing a triantennary ASGPR ligand for receptor-mediated genome editing. In vitro tests showed selective gene editing in ASGPR expressing cells. This approach to gene editing needed the assistance of an endosomolytic agent to achieve endosomal escape.<sup>190</sup> A patent application for this technology was filed in 2016 by Pfizer Inc. and The Reagents of The University of California.191

## Targeted protein degradation of extracellular proteins through ASGPR

Targeted protein degradation (TPD) is an innovative therapeutic strategy that harnesses the cell's natural degradation pathways to selectively eliminate specific proteins. Unlike traditional inhibitors that block protein function, TPD employs heterobifunctional molecules (chimeras) whose one end binds to the protein of interest and the other end directs the complex towards a chosen degradation pathway, either to proteasome after having been labelled with ubiquitin or to the lysosome. For recent reviews on TPD, check references.192,193 The first-generation LYTACs (LYsosome TArgeting Chimeras), developed by Bertozzi targeted mannose-6-phosphate receptor (CI-M6PR) to exploit receptor-mediated endocytosis of extracellular proteins into the lysosome.194

In 2021, the research groups of Bertozzi, Spiegel and Tang independently reported chimeric molecules featuring triantennary GalNAc for targeted protein degradation in the liver.195–<sup>198</sup> Bertozzi's second-generation LYTAC, comprising a 3.4 kDa peptide binder linked to a triantennary GalNAc ligand, successfully degraded integrins and reduced cancer cell proliferation.<sup>199</sup> Spiegel developed small molecule-based lysosome targeting degraders, termed MoDE-As, that depleted an antibody and a proinflammatory cytokine.<sup>196</sup> Tang demonstrated that both small molecule- and antibody-based

degraders could induce lysosomal degradation through ASGPR. In addition, he showed that molecular size plays a significant role, with internalization through ASGPR being more efficient for smaller degrader–target protein complexes.<sup>197</sup>

Wang applied a chemoenzymatic glycan remodelling method to prepare a series of site-specific antibody–ligand conjugates bearing natural bi- and tri-antennary N-glycans as well as synthetic tri-GalNAc ligands. The conjugates were aimed at degradation of EGFR and PCSK9 as representatives of membrane and secreted proteins, respectively.<sup>200</sup> Wang et al. also developed amphiphilic peptide-modified GalNAc that can self-assemble into nanospheres and showed that these can degrade various extracellular and membrane proteins by linking them with the relevant antibodies.<sup>201</sup>

Avilar Therapeutics, a biopharmaceutical company specializing in extracellular protein degradation, has introduced an ATAC platform designed to target pathological proteins using the natural ASGPR protein degradation pathway. ATACs (ASGPR TArgeting Chimeras) are bifunctional molecules composed of an ASGPR-binding ligand linked to a ligand targeting a disease-causing extracellular protein. The chemical nature of the ASGPR ligand used in ATACs has not been disclosed. The company synthesised hundreds of monosaccharide-based ASGPR ligands, with over 100 having  $K_D \leq 1000$  nM and about 40 having  $K_D \leq 100$  nM. Additionally, they have over 20 X-ray structures of ASGPR– ligand complexes.<sup>202</sup> The selected ASGPR ligand boasts approximately 2000-fold higher affinity than GalNAc and significantly higher affinity (>60-fold) than the bicyclic bridged ketal 9. In contrast to earlier compounds that featured trivalent GalNAc ligands linked to an antibody, $203$ ATACs utilize bi- and mono-dentate ligands connected to a peptide or small molecule.<sup>204</sup> Initial studies targeting IgG and TNF $\alpha$  demonstrated successful in vitro binding, ternary complex formation, cellular uptake, and target protein degradation. Review Recovery and the computer of DNA (solitok) or RNA degraders could induce by a Second during the state of the computer in the common degrades are computed to the common degrades are also the common degrades are also

### Conclusion and future perspectives

In conclusion, targeting hepatocytes via the asialoglycoprotein receptor represents a promising strategy for liver-specific drug delivery, especially in the field of RNA interference and gene editing. Delivery of therapeutic nucleic acids such as siRNAs and ASOs demonstrate significant advancements with six candidates already on the market and a number of compounds in clinical development. We can expect expanding the therapeutic applications to treat more genetic liver diseases. Additionally, targeting viral genetic material in hepatocytes is a promising strategy for treating chronic hepatitis B and potentially also hepatitis D. We may also anticipate expansion beyond RNAi and ASOs to include conjugates with PNAs or aptamers, as well as ASGPR-targeted nanocarriers for CRISPR-Cas. Furthermore, targeted protein degradation technologies, such as LYTACs and ATACs, offer innovative approaches for therapeutic intervention. Finally,

### RSC Medicinal Chemistry **Review According to the Chemistry** Review According to the Review Review Review Review

although there are still significant challenges, research into oral formulations for GalNAc conjugates is ongoing.<sup>205</sup>

# Data availability

No primary research results, software or code have been included and no new data were generated or analysed as part of this review.

# Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts of interest to declare.

# Acknowledgements

This work has been supported by the Max Planck Society through their Partner Group programme.

# References

- 1 P. K. Grewal, in Methods in Enzymology, ed. M. Fukuda, Academic Press, 2010, vol. 479, pp. 223–241.
- 2 K. Drickamer, Curr. Opin. Struct. Biol., 1999, 9, 585–590.
- 3 G. Ashwell and J. Harford, Annu. Rev. Biochem., 1982, 51, 531–554.
- 4 M. R. Hardy, R. R. Townsend, S. M. Parkhurst and Y. C. Lee, Biochemistry, 1985, 24, 22–28.
- 5 P. K. Grewal, S. Uchiyama, D. Ditto, N. Varki, D. T. Le, V. Nizet and J. D. Marth, Nat. Med., 2008, 14, 648–655.
- 6 E. I. Rigopoulou, D. Roggenbuck, D. S. Smyk, C. Liaskos, M. G. Mytilinaiou, E. Feist, K. Conrad and D. P. Bogdanos, Autoimmun. Rev., 2012, 12, 260–269.
- 7 M. Spiess and H. F. Lodish, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., 1985, 82, 6465–6469.
- 8 M. Spiess, A. L. Schwartz and H. F. Lodish, J. Biol. Chem., 1985, 260, 1979–1982.
- 9 Y. I. Henis, Z. Katzir, M. A. Shia and H. F. Lodish, J. Cell Biol., 1990, 111, 1409–1418.
- 10 J. Bischoff and H. F. Lodish, J. Biol. Chem., 1987, 262, 11825–11832.
- 11 R. J. Stockert, Physiol. Rev., 1995, 75, 591–609.
- 12 A. A. D'Souza and P. V. Devarajan, J. Controlled Release, 2015, 203, 126–139.
- 13 J.-H. Park, E.-W. Cho, S. Y. Shin, Y.-J. Lee and K. L. Kim, Biochem. Biophys. Res. Commun., 1998, 244, 304–311.
- 14 M. Spiess, Biochemistry, 1990, 29, 10009–10018.
- 15 P. H. Weigel and J. A. Oka, J. Biol. Chem., 1983, 258, 5095–5102.
- 16 A. L. Schwartz, S. E. Fridovich and H. F. Lodish, J. Biol. Chem., 1982, 257, 4230–4237.
- 17 I. Geffen and M. Spiess, in International Review of Cytology, ed. M. Friedlander and M. Mueckler, Academic Press, 1993, vol. 137, pp. 181–219.
- 18 L. Singh, S. Indermun, M. Govender, P. Kumar, L. C. Du Toit, Y. E. Choonara and V. Pillay, Viruses, 2018, 10, 267.
- 19 M. H. Elberry, N. H. E. Darwish and S. A. Mousa, Virol. J., 2017, 14, 88.
- 20 R. Dutta and R. I. Mahato, Pharmacol. Ther., 2017, 173, 106–117.
- 21 S. Borrmann and K. Matuschewski, Trends Mol. Med., 2011, 17, 527–536.
- 22 S. K. Mamidyala, S. Dutta, B. A. Chrunyk, C. Préville, H. Wang, J. M. Withka, A. McColl, T. A. Subashi, S. J. Hawrylik, M. C. Griffor, S. Kim, J. A. Pfefferkorn, D. A. Price, E. Menhaji-Klotz, V. Mascitti and M. G. Finn, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2012, 134, 1978–1981. **Example on 02** Because Articles Articles. Published on 02 December 2022. A Maximization of the state of
	- 23 A. R. Kolatkar and W. I. Weis, J. Biol. Chem., 1996, 271, 6679–6685.
	- 24 N. I. Ruiz and K. Drickamer, Glycobiology, 1996, 6, 551–559.
	- 25 Y. C. Lee, FASEB J., 1992, 6, 3193–3200.
	- 26 D. Stokmaier, O. Khorev, B. Cutting, R. Born, D. Ricklin, T. O. G. Ernst, F. Böni, K. Schwingruber, M. Gentner, M. Wittwer, M. Spreafico, A. Vedani, S. Rabbani, O. Schwardt and B. Ernst, Bioorg. Med. Chem., 2009, 17, 7254–7264.
	- 27 A. R. Kolatkar, A. K. Leung, R. Isecke, R. Brossmer, K. Drickamer and W. I. Weis, J. Biol. Chem., 1998, 273, 19502–19508.
	- 28 Y. C. Lee, R. R. Townsend, M. R. Hardy, J. Lönngren, J. Arnarp, M. Haraldsson and H. Lönn, J. Biol. Chem., 1983, 258, 199–202.
	- 29 D. T. Connolly, R. R. Townsend, K. Kawaguchi, W. R. Bell and Y. C. Lee, J. Biol. Chem., 1982, 257, 939–945.
	- 30 R. T. Lee and Y. C. Lee, Glycoconjugate J., 2000, 17, 543–551.
	- 31 E. A. L. Biessen, D. M. Beuting, H. C. P. F. Roelen, G. A. van de Marel, J. H. Van Boom and T. J. C. Van Berkel, J. Med. Chem., 1995, 38, 1538–1546.
	- 32 J. U. Baenziger and D. Fiete, Cell, 1980, 22, 611–620.
	- 33 M. Monestier, P. Charbonnier, C. Gateau, M. Cuillel, F. Robert, C. Lebrun, E. Mintz, O. Renaudet and P. Delangle, ChemBioChem, 2016, 17, 590–594.
	- 34 G. Ashwell and A. G. Morell, in Advances in Enzymology and Related Areas of Molecular Biology, 1974, pp. 99–128, DOI: [10.1002/9780470122860.ch3](https://doi.org/10.1002/9780470122860.ch3).
	- 35 Y. C. Lee, R. R. Townsend, M. R. Hardy, J. Lönngren, J. Arnarp, M. Haraldsson and H. Lönn, J. Biol. Chem., 1983, 258, 199–202.
	- 36 D. U. Warrier, A. K. Dhanabalan, G. Krishnasamy, H. Kolge, V. Ghormade, C. R. Gupta, P. K. Ambre and U. A. Shinde, Int. J. Biol. Macromol., 2022, 207, 683–699.
	- 37 T. Tanaka, Y. Fujishima, S. Hamano and Y. Kaneo, Eur. J. Pharm. Sci., 2004, 22, 435–444.
	- 38 Y. Kaneo, T. Tanaka, T. Nakano and Y. Yamaguchi, J. Controlled Release, 2001, 70, 365–373.
	- 39 C. A. Sanhueza, M. M. Baksh, B. Thuma, M. D. Roy, S. Dutta, C. Préville, B. A. Chrunyk, K. Beaumont, R. Dullea, M. Ammirati, S. Liu, D. Gebhard, J. E. Finley, C. T. Salatto, A. King-Ahmad, I. Stock, K. Atkinson, B. Reidich, W. Lin, R. Kumar, M. Tu, E. Menhaji-Klotz, D. A. Price, S. Liras, M. G. Finn and V. Mascitti, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2017, 139, 3528–3536.
	- 40 S. Liras, V. Mascitti and B. Thuma, US Pat., US9340553B2, 2016.
	- 41 D. Spiegel and D. Caianiello, US Pat., US11767301B2, 2019.
- 
- 42 M. G. Saulnier, J. J. Chen, S. Karra, K. T. Sprott, J. A. Wiles and S. Ray, US Pat., US11819551B2, 2021.
- 43 M. Deshpande, M. G. Saulnier, K. T. Sprott, J. J. Chen, S. Ray and J. A. Wiles, WO Pat., WO2022035997A1, 2021.
- 44 J. A. Wiles, S. Karra, M. G. Saulnier, J. J. Chen, K. T. Sprott and S. Ray, CA Pat., CA3174145A1, 2022.
- 45 X. Huang, J.-C. Leroux and B. Castagner, Bioconjugate Chem., 2017, 28, 283–295.
- 46 M. Gonçalves, S. Mignani, J. Rodrigues and H. Tomás, J. Controlled Release, 2020, 317, 347–374.
- 47 M. Hashida, M. Nishikawa, F. Yamashita and Y. Takakura, Adv. Drug Delivery Rev., 2001, 52, 187–196.
- 48 K. Akamatsu, M. Imai, Y. Yamasaki, M. Nishikawa, Y. Takakura and M. Hashida, J. Drug Targeting, 1998, 6, 229–239.
- 49 S. Wang, L. Cheng, F. Yu, W. Pan and J. Zhang, Int. J. Pharm., 2006, 311, 82–88.
- 50 P. J. Julyan, L. W. Seymour, D. R. Ferry, S. Daryani, C. M. Boivin, J. Doran, M. David, D. Anderson, C. Christodoulou, A. M. Young, S. Hesslewood and D. J. Kerr, J. Controlled Release, 1999, 57, 281–290.
- 51 L. W. Seymour, D. R. Ferry, D. Anderson, S. Hesslewood, P. J. Julyan, R. Poyner, J. Doran, A. M. Young, S. Burtles and D. J. Kerr, J. Clin. Oncol., 2002, 20, 1668–1676.
- 52 R. Duncan, Adv. Drug Delivery Rev., 2009, 61, 1131–1148.
- 53 Y. Zou, Y. Song, W. Yang, F. Meng, H. Liu and Z. Zhong, J. Controlled Release, 2014, 193, 154–161.
- 54 E. F. Craparo, D. Triolo, G. Pitarresi, G. Giammona and G. Cavallaro, Biomacromolecules, 2013, 14, 1838–1849.
- 55 S. H. Medina, V. Tekumalla, M. V. Chevliakov, D. S. Shewach, W. D. Ensminger and M. E. H. El-Sayed, Biomaterials, 2011, 32, 4118–4129.
- 56 Y. Hayashi, T. Higashi, K. Motoyama, Y. Mori, H. Jono, Y. Ando and H. Arima, J. Drug Targeting, 2013, 21, 487–496.
- 57 G. Zheng, R. Zhao, A. Xu, Z. Shen, X. Chen and J. Shao, Eur. J. Pharm. Sci., 2018, 111, 492–502.
- 58 S. Pranatharthiharan, M. D. Patel, V. C. Malshe, V. Pujari, A. Gorakshakar, M. Madkaikar, K. Ghosh and P. V. Devarajan, Drug Delivery, 2017, 24, 20–29.
- 59 R. A. Petrov, S. Y. Maklakova, Y. A. Ivanenkov, S. A. Petrov, O. V. Sergeeva, E. Y. Yamansarov, I. V. Saltykova, I. I. Kireev, I. B. Alieva, E. V. Deyneka, A. A. Sofronova, A. V. Aladinskaia, A. V. Trofimenko, R. S. Yamidanov, S. V. Kovalev, V. E. Kotelianski, T. S. Zatsepin, E. K. Beloglazkina and A. G. Majouga, Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett., 2018, 28, 382–387.
- 60 Y. A. Ivanenkov, A. G. Majouga, R. A. Petrov, S. A. Petrov, S. V. Kovalev, S. Y. Maklakova, E. Y. Yamansarov, I. V. Saltykova, E. V. Deyneka, G. I. Filkov, V. E. Kotelianski, T. S. Zatsepin and E. K. Beloglazkina, Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett., 2018, 28, 503–508.
- 61 R. A. Petrov, S. R. Mefedova, E. Y. Yamansarov, S. Y. Maklakova, D. A. Grishin, E. V. Lopatukhina, O. Y. Burenina, A. V. Lopukhov, S. V. Kovalev, Y. V. Timchenko, E. E. Ondar, Y. A. Ivanenkov, S. A. Evteev, A. N. Vaneev, R. V. Timoshenko, N. L. Klyachko, A. S. Erofeev, P. V.

Gorelkin, E. K. Beloglazkina and A. G. Majouga, Mol. Pharmaceutics, 2021, 18, 461–468.

- 62 L. Rico, M. E. Østergaard, M. Bell, P. P. Seth and S. Hanessian, Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett., 2018, 28, 2652–2654.
- 63 Y. Hua and C. Yu, Eur. J. Med. Chem., 2024, 269, 116278.
- 64 A. Werner, M. Freesmeyer, C. Kühnel, R. Drescher and J. Greiser, Diagnostics, 2023, 13, 1144.
- 65 H. Cui, X. Zhu, S. Li, P. Wang and J. Fang, ACS Omega, 2021, 6, 16259–16265.
- 66 C. F. Bennett, Annu. Rev. Med., 2019, 70, 307–321.
- 67 J. H. Chan, S. Lim and W. F. Wong, Clin. Exp. Pharmacol. Physiol., 2006, 33, 533–540.
- 68 P. D. Zamore, T. Tuschl, P. A. Sharp and D. P. Bartel, Cell, 2000, 101, 25–33.
- 69 R. Kanasty, J. R. Dorkin, A. Vegas and D. Anderson, Nat. Mater., 2013, 12, 967–977.
- 70 J. K. Nair, J. L. S. Willoughby, A. Chan, K. Charisse, M. R. Alam, Q. Wang, M. Hoekstra, P. Kandasamy, A. V. Kel'in, S. Milstein, N. Taneja, J. O'Shea, S. Shaikh, L. Zhang, R. J. van der Sluis, M. E. Jung, A. Akinc, R. Hutabarat, S. Kuchimanchi, K. Fitzgerald, T. Zimmermann, T. J. C. van Berkel, M. A. Maier, K. G. Rajeev and M. Manoharan, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2014, 136, 16958–16961.
- 71 J. K. Nair, H. Attarwala, A. Sehgal, Q. Wang, K. Aluri, X. Zhang, M. Gao, J. Liu, R. Indrakanti, S. Schofield, P. Kretschmer, C. R. Brown, S. Gupta, J. L. S. Willoughby, J. A. Boshar, V. Jadhav, K. Charisse, T. Zimmermann, K. Fitzgerald, M. Manoharan, K. G. Rajeev, A. Akinc, R. Hutabarat and M. A. Maier, Nucleic Acids Res., 2017, 45, 10969–10977. Review Review Review Review Review Review (Access Article 2024. Downloaded on 2022. December 2024. De
	- 72 M. R. Hassler, A. A. Turanov, J. F. Alterman, R. A. Haraszti, A. H. Coles, M. F. Osborn, D. Echeverria, M. Nikan, W. E. Salomon, L. Roux, B. Godinho, S. M. Davis, D. V. Morrissey, P. D. Zamore, S. A. Karumanchi, M. J. Moore, N. Aronin and A. Khvorova, Nucleic Acids Res., 2018, 46, 2185–2196.
	- 73 M. K. Schlegel, D. J. Foster, A. V. Kel'in, I. Zlatev, A. Bisbe, M. Jayaraman, J. G. Lackey, K. G. Rajeev, K. Charissé, J. Harp, P. S. Pallan, M. A. Maier, M. Egli and M. Manoharan, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2017, 139, 8537–8546.
	- 74 M. M. Janas, M. K. Schlegel, C. E. Harbison, V. O. Yilmaz, Y. Jiang, R. Parmar, I. Zlatev, A. Castoreno, H. Xu, S. Shulga-Morskaya, K. G. Rajeev, M. Manoharan, N. D. Keirstead, M. A. Maier and V. Jadhav, Nat. Commun., 2018, 9, 723.
	- 75 A. J. Debacker, J. Voutila, M. Catley, D. Blakey and N. Habib, Mol. Ther., 2020, 28, 1759–1771.
	- 76 M. Egli, M. K. Schlegel and M. Manoharan, RNA, 2023, 29, 402–414.
	- 77 B. Hu, L. Zhong, Y. Weng, L. Peng, Y. Huang, Y. Zhao and X.-J. Liang, Signal Transduction Targeted Ther., 2020, 5, 101.
	- 78 S. Leusmann, P. Ménová, E. Shanin, A. Titz and C. Rademacher, Chem. Soc. Rev., 2023, 52, 3663–3740.
	- 79 Z. Li, R. Zhu, C. I. Wooddell, B. D. Given, T. Pei, D. L. Lewis, L. J. Almeida, D. B. Rozema and D. H. Wakefield, WO Pat., WO2018027106A2, 2016.
	- 80 F. Guo, Y. Li, W. Yu, Y. Fu, J. Zhang and H. Cao, Mol. Pharmaceutics, 2024, 21, 2081–2096.

#### RSC Medicinal Chemistry **Review Accord Chemistry** Review **Review Review Review Review**

- 81 D. K. Lee, US Pat., US20130273657A1, 2013.
- 82 Taking RNAi under the skin, [https://www.nature.com/](https://www.nature.com/articles/d43747-020-00189-y.pdf) [articles/d43747-020-00189-y.pdf](https://www.nature.com/articles/d43747-020-00189-y.pdf), accessed July 2024).
- 83 D. Samarsky, EP Pat., EP3844279A2, 2019.
- 84 GalAhead™ Platform & Programs, [https://sirnaomics.com/](https://sirnaomics.com/media/v5an4hex/galahead-a-novel-therapeutic-galnac-rnai-platform-to-downregulate-single-and-multiple-genes.pdf) [media/v5an4hex/galahead-a-novel-therapeutic-galnac-rnai](https://sirnaomics.com/media/v5an4hex/galahead-a-novel-therapeutic-galnac-rnai-platform-to-downregulate-single-and-multiple-genes.pdf)[platform-to-downregulate-single-and-multiple-genes.pdf](https://sirnaomics.com/media/v5an4hex/galahead-a-novel-therapeutic-galnac-rnai-platform-to-downregulate-single-and-multiple-genes.pdf), accessed July 2024).
- 85 A. Weingärtner, L. Bethge, L. Weiss, M. Sternberger and M. W. Lindholm, Mol. Ther.–Nucleic Acids, 2020, 21, 242–250.
- 86 C. Frauendorf and M. Cameron, WO Pat., WO2017174657A1, 2016.
- 87 H. Zhang, Z. Yang, L. Cao and L. Wan, US Pat., US20200338201A1, 2020.
- 88 L. Sandra, H. T'Jollyn, N. Goeyvaerts, A. Vermeulen, A. G. Dosne and J. J. Perez-Ruixo, J. Pharmacol. Exp. Ther., 2022, 383, 70–79.
- 89 X. Jing, V. Arya, K. S. Reynolds and H. Rogers, Drug Metab. Dispos., 2023, 51, 193–198, DOI: [10.1124/](https://doi.org/10.1124/dmd.122.001107) [dmd.122.001107](https://doi.org/10.1124/dmd.122.001107).
- 90 R. McDougall, D. Ramsden, S. Agarwal, S. Agarwal, K. Aluri, M. Arciprete, C. Brown, E. Castellanos-Rizaldos, K. Charisse, S. Chong, J. Cichocki, K. Fitzgerald, V. Goel, Y. Gu, D. Guenther, B. Habtemariam, V. Jadhav, M. Janas, M. Jayaraman, J. Kurz, J. Li, J. Liu, X. Liu, S. Liou, C. Maclauchlin, M. Maier, M. Manoharan, J. K. Nair, G. Robbie, K. Schmidt, P. Smith, C. Theile, A. Vaishnaw, S. Waldron, Y. Xu, X. Zhang, I. Zlatev and J. T. Wu, Drug Metab. Dispos., 2022, 50, 781–797. RSC Medicinal Chemistry Week<br>
81 D. K. tech on 02 December 2024. Downloaded on 2022. December 2024. December 2024. December 2024. December 2024. December 2024. December 2024. December 2022. The state of the state of the s
	- 91 G. An, J. Clin. Pharmacol., 2024, 64, 45–57.
	- 92 V. S. Ayyar and D. Song, J. Pharm. Sci., 2024, 113, 176–190.
	- 93 C. R. Brown, S. Gupta, J. Qin, T. Racie, G. He, S. Lentini, R. Malone, M. Yu, S. Matsuda, S. Shulga-Morskaya, A. V. Nair, C. S. Theile, K. Schmidt, A. Shahraz, V. Goel, R. G. Parmar, I. Zlatev, M. K. Schlegel, J. K. Nair, M. Jayaraman, M. Manoharan, D. Brown, M. A. Maier and V. Jadhav, Nucleic Acids Res., 2020, 48, 11827–11844.
	- 94 J. Belgrad, H. H. Fakih and A. Khvorova, Nucleic Acid Ther., 2024, 34, 52–72.
	- 95 E. A. Narasipura, R. VanKeulen-Miller, Y. Ma and O. S. Fenton, Bioconjugate Chem., 2023, 34, 1177–1197.
	- 96 Y. Chen, Y. Li, C. Li, D. Zhang, Y. Liu, J. Zhang, S. Guan, X. Ding and Q. Xiao, Drug Dev. Res., 2024, 85, e22164.
	- 97 M. Balwani, E. Sardh, P. Ventura, P. A. Peiró, D. C. Rees, U. Stölzel, D. M. Bissell, H. L. Bonkovsky, J. Windyga, K. E. Anderson, C. Parker, S. M. Silver, S. B. Keel, J.-D. Wang, P. E. Stein, P. Harper, D. Vassiliou, B. Wang, J. Phillips, A. Ivanova, J. G. Langendonk, R. Kauppinen, E. Minder, Y. Horie, C. Penz, J. Chen, S. Liu, J. J. Ko, M. T. Sweetser, P. Garg, A. Vaishnaw, J. B. Kim, A. R. Simon and L. Gouya, N. Engl. J. Med., 2020, 382, 2289–2301.
	- 98 Y. Y. Syed, Drugs, 2021, 81, 841–848.
	- 99 B. Wang, P. Ventura, K. I. Takase, M. Thapar, D. Cassiman, I. Kubisch, S. Liu, M. T. Sweetser and M. Balwani, Orphanet J. Rare Dis., 2022, 17, 327.
- 100 R. Marchi, L. Duarte, S. P, R. D, A. Ke, B. Hl, S. E, H. P, B. M and E. Al, Hematol. Transfus. Cell Ther., 2020, 42, 17–18.
- 101 S. F. Garrelfs, Y. Frishberg, S. A. Hulton, M. J. Koren, W. D. O'Riordan, P. Cochat, G. Deschênes, H. Shasha-Lavsky, J. M. Saland, W. G. van't Hoff, D. G. Fuster, D. Magen, S. H. Moochhala, G. Schalk, E. Simkova, J. W. Groothoff, D. J. Sas, K. A. Meliambro, J. Lu, M. T. Sweetser, P. P. Garg, A. K. Vaishnaw, J. M. Gansner, T. L. McGregor and J. C. Lieske, N. Engl. J. Med., 2021, 384, 1216–1226.
- 102 F. Erger and B. B. Beck, Nat. Rev. Nephrol., 2021, 17, 573–574.
- 103 W. Hayes, D. J. Sas, D. Magen, H. Shasha-Lavsky, M. Michael, A. L. Sellier-Leclerc, J. Hogan, T. Ngo, M. T. Sweetser, J. M. Gansner, T. L. McGregor and Y. Frishberg, Pediatr. Nephrol., 2023, 38, 1075–1086.
- 104 M. Michael, J. W. Groothoff, H. Shasha-Lavsky, J. C. Lieske, Y. Frishberg, E. Simkova, A. L. Sellier-Leclerc, A. Devresse, F. Guebre-Egziabher, S. A. Bakkaloglu, C. Mourani, R. Saqan, R. Singer, R. Willey, B. Habtemariam, J. M. Gansner, I. Bhan, T. McGregor and D. Magen, Am. J. Kidney Dis., 2023, 81, 145–155, e141.
- 105 D. J. Sas, D. Magen, W. Hayes, H. Shasha-Lavsky, M. Michael, I. Schulte, A. L. Sellier-Leclerc, J. Lu, A. Seddighzadeh, B. Habtemariam, T. L. McGregor, K. P. Fujita and Y. Frishberg, Genet. Med., 2022, 24, 654–662.
- 106 Y. Frishberg, G. Deschênes, J. W. Groothoff, S.-A. Hulton, D. Magen, J. Harambat, W. G. van't Hoff, U. Lorch, D. S. Milliner, J. C. Lieske, P. Haslett, P. P. Garg, A. K. Vaishnaw, S. Talamudupula, J. Lu, B. A. Habtemariam, D. V. Erbe, T. L. McGregor and P. Cochat, Clin. J. Am. Soc. Nephrol., 2021, 16, 1025–1036.
- 107 F. J. Raal, D. Kallend, K. K. Ray, T. Turner, W. Koenig, R. S. Wright, P. L. J. Wijngaard, D. Curcio, M. J. Jaros, L. A. Leiter and J. J. P. Kastelein, N. Engl. J. Med., 2020, 382, 1520–1530.
- 108 K. K. Ray, R. S. Wright, D. Kallend, W. Koenig, L. A. Leiter, F. J. Raal, J. A. Bisch, T. Richardson, M. Jaros, P. L. J. Wijngaard and J. J. P. Kastelein, N. Engl. J. Med., 2020, 382, 1507–1519.
- 109 Y. N. Lamb, Drugs, 2021, 81, 389–395.
- 110 B. A. Habtemariam, V. Karsten, H. Attarwala, V. Goel, M. Melch, V. A. Clausen, P. Garg, A. K. Vaishnaw, M. T. Sweetser, G. J. Robbie and J. Vest, Clin. Pharmacol. Ther., 2021, 109, 372–382.
- 111 B. Hoppe, A. Koch, P. Cochat, S. F. Garrelfs, M. A. Baum, J. W. Groothoff, G. Lipkin, M. Coenen, G. Schalk, A. Amrite, D. McDougall, K. Barrios and C. B. Langman, Kidney Int., 2022, 101, 626–634.
- 112 Y. Y. Syed, Drugs, 2023, 83, 1729–1733.
- 113 K. J. Pasi, T. Lissitchkov, V. Mamonov, T. Mant, M. Timofeeva, C. Bagot, P. Chowdary, P. Georgiev, L. Gercheva-Kyuchukova, K. Madigan, H. Van Nguyen, Q. Yu, B. Mei, C. C. Benson and M. V. Ragni, J. Thromb. Haemostasis, 2021, 19, 1436–1446.
- 114 M. V. Ragni, P. Georgiev, T. Mant, M. D. Creagh, T. Lissitchkov, D. Bevan, S. Austin, C. R. Hay, I. Hegemann, R. Kazmi, P. Chowdary, S. Rangarajan, C.-H. Soh, A. Akinc,

A. M. Partisano, B. Sorenson and K. J. Pasi, Blood, 2016, 128, 2572.

- 115 P. Badri, X. Jiang, A. Borodovsky, N. Najafian, J. Kim, V. A. Clausen, V. Goel, B. Habtemariam and G. J. Robbie, Clin. Pharmacokinet., 2021, 60, 365–378.
- 116 C. Schwabe, Eur. Heart J., 2020, 41, 3330.
- 117 P. Clifton, D. Sullivan, J. Baker, C. Schwabe, S. Thackwray, R. Scott, J. Hamilton, A. J. L. Pineda, B. Given, S. Melquist, I. Chen, J. S. Martin, G. F. Watts, I. J. Goldberg, J. Knowles, D. Gaudet, R. A. Hegele and C. M. Ballantyne, Circulation, 2021, 144, A10357.
- 118 C. M. Ballantyne, S. Vasas, M. Azizad, P. Clifton, R. S. Rosenson, T. Chang, S. Melquist, R. Zhou, M. A. Mushin, N. J. Leeper, J. Hellawell and D. Gaudet, N. Engl. J. Med., 2024, 391(10), 899–912.
- 119 D. Gaudet, D. Pall, G. F. Watts, S. J. Nicholls, R. S. Rosenson, K. Modesto, J. San Martin, J. Hellawell and C. M. Ballantyne, JAMA Cardiol., 2024, 9, 620–630.
- 120 M. J. Koren, P. M. Moriarty, S. J. Baum, J. Neutel, M. Hernandez-Illas, H. S. Weintraub, M. Florio, H. Kassahun, S. Melquist, T. Varrieur, S. M. Haldar, W. Sohn, H. Wang, M. Elliott-Davey, B. M. Rock, T. Pei, O. Homann, J. Hellawell and G. F. Watts, Nat. Med., 2022, 28, 96–103.
- 121 M. L. O'Donoghue, R. S. Rosenson, B. Gencer, J. A. G. López, N. E. Lepor, S. J. Baum, E. Stout, D. Gaudet, B. Knusel, J. F. Kuder, X. Ran, S. A. Murphy, H. Wang, Y. Wu, H. Kassahun and M. S. Sabatine, N. Engl. J. Med., 2022, 387, 1855–1864.
- 122 M. L. O'Donoghue, J. A. G. López, B. Knusel, B. Gencer, H. Wang, Y. Wu, H. Kassahun and M. S. Sabatine, Am. Heart J., 2022, 251, 61–69.
- 123 C. I. Wooddell, K. Blomenkamp, R. M. Peterson, V. M. Subbotin, C. Schwabe, J. Hamilton, Q. Chu, D. R. Christianson, J. O. Hegge, J. Kolbe, H. L. Hamilton, M. F. Branca-Afrazi, B. D. Given, D. L. Lewis, E. Gane, S. B. Kanner and J. H. Teckman, JCI Insight, 2020, 5, e135348.
- 124 A. M. Turner, J. Stolk, R. Bals, J. D. Lickliter, J. Hamilton, D. R. Christianson, B. D. Given, J. G. Burdon, R. Loomba, J. K. Stoller and J. H. Teckman, J. Hepatol., 2018, 69, 378–384.
- 125 P. Strnad, M. Mandorfer, G. Choudhury, W. Griffiths, C. Trautwein, R. Loomba, T. Schluep, T. Chang, M. Yi, B. D. Given, J. C. Hamilton, J. S. Martin and J. H. Teckman, N. Engl. J. Med., 2022, 387, 514–524.
- 126 S. E. Nissen, H. Linnebjerg, X. Shen, K. Wolski, X. Ma, S. Lim, L. F. Michael, G. Ruotolo, G. Gribble, A. M. Navar and S. J. Nicholls, JAMA, J. Am. Med. Assoc., 2023, 330, 2075–2083.
- 127 T. N. Kakuda, A. Halabi, G. Klein, M. Sanga, C. Guinard-Azadian, M. Kowalik, K. Nedoschinsky, J. Nangosyah, E. N. Ediage, V. Hillewaert, P. Verboven, I. Goris, J. Snoeys, M. Palmer and M. Biermer, J. Clin. Pharmacol., 2023, 63, 732–741.
- 128 H. Li, X. Niu, Y. Zhang, D. Zhang, Y. Zhang, L. Wang, Y. Miao, Y. Jiang, J. Ji, Q. Chen, X. Wu, E. N. Ediage, T. N. Kakuda and M. Biermer, Clin. Pharmacol. Drug Dev., 2023, 12, 175–180.
- 129 E. Gane, M. F. Yuen, T. N. Kakuda, T. Ogawa, Y. Takahashi, N. Goeyvaerts, I. Lonjon-Domanec, T. Vaughan, T. Schluep, J. Hamilton, E. Njumbe Ediage, V. Hillewaert, J. Snoeys, O. Lenz, W. Talloen and M. Biermer, Antiviral Ther., 2022, 27, 13596535221093856.
- 130 S. V. Gupta, M. C. Fanget, C. MacLauchlin, V. A. Clausen, J. Li, D. Cloutier, L. Shen, G. J. Robbie and E. Mogalian, Drugs R&D, 2021, 21, 455–465.
- 131 S. E. Nissen, K. Wolski, C. Balog, D. I. Swerdlow, A. C. Scrimgeour, C. Rambaran, R. J. Wilson, M. Boyce, K. K. Ray, L. Cho, G. F. Watts, M. Koren, T. Turner, E. S. Stroes, C. Melgaard and G. V. Campion, JAMA, J. Am. Med. Assoc., 2022, 327, 1679–1687. Review Republished on 2021. The Common Common
	- 132 D. A. Rider, M. Eisermann, K. Löffler, M. Aleku, D. I. Swerdlow, S. Dames, J. Hauptmann, E. Morrison, M. W. Lindholm, S. Schubert and G. Campion, Atherosclerosis, 2022, 349, 240–247.
	- 133 G. F. Watts, C. Schwabe, R. Scott, P. Gladding, D. Sullivan, J. Baker, P. Clifton, J. Hamilton, B. Given, J. San Martin, S. Melquist, J. W. Knowles, I. Goldberg, R. Hegele and C. Ballantyne, Eur. Heart J., 2020, 41, ehaa946.3331.
	- 134 G. F. Watts, C. Schwabe, R. Scott, P. Gladding, D. Sullivan, J. Baker, P. Clifton, J. Hamilton, B. Given, J. S. Martin, S. Melquist, T. Chang, N. Rajicic, I. J. Goldberg, D. Gaudet, J. W. Knowles, R. A. Hegele and C. M. Ballantyne, Circulation, 2020, 142, A15751.
	- 135 G. Watts, P. Gladding, C. Schwabe, R. Scott, P. Clifton, D. Sullivan, J. Baker, J. Hamilton, B. Given, S. Melquist, J. S. Martin, J. Knowles, I. Goldberg, D. Gaudet, R. Hegele and C. Ballantyne, J. Clin. Lipidol., 2020, 14, 599.
	- 136 R. S. Rosenson, D. Gaudet, R. A. Hegele, C. M. Ballantyne, S. J. Nicholls, K. J. Lucas, J. S. Martin, R. Zhou, M. A. Muhsin, T. Chang, J. Hellawell and G. F. Watts, N. Engl. J. Med., 2024, 391(10), 913–925.
	- 137 G. F. Watts, C. Schwabe, R. Scott, P. A. Gladding, D. Sullivan, J. Baker, P. Clifton, J. Hamilton, B. Given, S. Melquist, R. Zhou, T. Chang, J. San Martin, D. Gaudet, I. J. Goldberg, J. W. Knowles, R. A. Hegele and C. M. Ballantyne, Nat. Med., 2023, 29, 2216–2223.
	- 138 S. Huang, J. Taubel, S. Casey, P. M. Leung, D. J. Webb, A. S. Desai, Y. Cheng, S. Rhyee, J. Harrop, B. Habtemariam and G. L. Bakris, Circulation, 2021, 144, A10974.
	- 139 A. S. Desai, D. J. Webb, J. Taubel, S. Casey, Y. Cheng, G. J. Robbie, D. Foster, S. A. Huang, S. Rhyee, M. T. Sweetser and G. L. Bakris, N. Engl. J. Med., 2023, 389, 228–238.
	- 140 L. Y. Mak, E. Gane, C. Schwabe, K. T. Yoon, J. Heo, R. Scott, J. H. Lee, J. I. Lee, Y. O. Kweon, M. Weltman, S. A. Harrison, B. A. Neuschwander-Tetri, K. Cusi, R. Loomba, B. D. Given, D. R. Christianson, E. Garcia-Medel, M. Yi, J. Hamilton and M. F. Yuen, J. Hepatol., 2023, 78, 684–692.
	- 141 S. Altamura, M. U. Muckenthaler, S. Dames, C. Frauendorf, S. Schubert, M. Aleku, J. Vadolas, G. Grigoriadis and U. Zügel, Blood, 2018, 132, 2340.
	- 142 S. Altamura, U. Schaeper, S. Dames, K. Löffler, M. Eisermann, C. Frauendorf, K. Müdder, J. Neves and M. U. Muckenthaler, Hemasphere, 2019, 3, e301.
- 143 J. B. Porter, A. Scrimgeour, A. Martinez, L. James, M. Aleku, R. Wilson, M. Muckenthaler, M. Boyce, D. Wilkes, U. Schaeper and G. V. Campion, Am. J. Hematol., 2023, 98, 1425–1435.
- 144 Innovent and SanegeneBio Announce First Participant Dosed in a Phase 1 Clinical Trial of IBI3016 (AGT siRNA), [https://www.prnewswire.com/apac/news-releases/innovent](https://www.prnewswire.com/apac/news-releases/innovent-and-sanegenebio-announce-first-participant-dosed-in-a-phase-1-clinical-trial-of-ibi3016-agt-sirna-302213108.html)[and-sanegenebio-announce-first-participant-dosed-in-a](https://www.prnewswire.com/apac/news-releases/innovent-and-sanegenebio-announce-first-participant-dosed-in-a-phase-1-clinical-trial-of-ibi3016-agt-sirna-302213108.html)[phase-1-clinical-trial-of-ibi3016-agt-sirna-302213108.html](https://www.prnewswire.com/apac/news-releases/innovent-and-sanegenebio-announce-first-participant-dosed-in-a-phase-1-clinical-trial-of-ibi3016-agt-sirna-302213108.html), (accessed 1 August 2024, 2024).
- 145 D. P. Judge, A. V. Kristen, M. Grogan, M. S. Maurer, R. H. Falk, M. Hanna, J. Gillmore, P. Garg, A. K. Vaishnaw, J. Harrop, C. Powell, V. Karsten, X. Zhang, M. T. Sweetser, J. Vest and P. N. Hawkins, Cardiovasc. Drugs Ther., 2020, 34, 357–370.
- 146 T. S. Zimmermann, V. Karsten, A. Chan, J. Chiesa, M. Boyce, B. R. Bettencourt, R. Hutabarat, S. Nochur, A. Vaishnaw and J. Gollob, Mol. Ther., 2017, 25, 71–78.
- 147 R. Marchi, L. Duarte, A. Ke, B. Hl and E. Al, Hematol. Transfus. Cell Ther., 2020, 42, 17–18.
- 148 L. J. Scott, Drugs, 2020, 80, 335–339.
- 149 Z. A. Massy and T. B. Drueke, Kidney Int., 2022, 101, 208–211.
- 150 K. Dyrbuś, M. Gąsior, P. Penson, K. K. Ray and M. Banach, J. Clin. Lipidol., 2020, 14, 16–27.
- 151 S. J. Keam, Drugs, 2022, 82, 1419–1425.
- 152 D. Adams, I. Tournev, M. Taylor, T. Coelho, V. Planté-Bordeneuve, J. Berk, A. González-Duarte, J. Gillmore, S.-C. Low, Y. Sekijima, L. Obici, C. Chen, P. Badri, S. Arum, J. Vest and M. Polydefkis, Neurology, 2022, 98, 2974.
- 153 R. Shilling, V. Karsten, N. Silliman, J. Chen, W. Li and J. Vest, J. Am. Coll. Cardiol., 2020, 75, 3579–3579.
- 154 A. Liu, J. Zhao, M. Shah, J. M. Migliorati, S. M. Tawfik, R. Bahal, T. P. Rasmussen, J. E. Manautou and X. B. Zhong, ACS Pharmacol. Transl. Sci., 2022, 5, 1007–1016.
- 155 B. Hoppe, Nat. Rev. Nephrol., 2012, 8, 467–475.
- 156 A. Armandi and J. M. Schattenberg, in From Obesity to Diabetes, ed. J. Eckel and K. Clément, Springer International Publishing, Cham, 2022, pp. 253–267, DOI: [10.1007/164\\_2021\\_561](https://doi.org/10.1007/164_2021_561).
- 157 Y. Wang, R. Z. Yu, S. Henry and R. S. Geary, Expert Opin. Drug Metab. Toxicol., 2019, 15, 475–485.
- 158 S. T. Crooke, B. F. Baker, S. Xia, R. Z. Yu, N. J. Viney, Y. Wang, S. Tsimikas and R. S. Geary, Nucleic Acid Ther., 2019, 29, 16–32.
- 159 M. Maurer, A. Kristen, M. Benson, R. Falk, G. Buchele, M. Brambatti, S. Tsimikas, N. Viney, L. Tai, C. Monteiro, Q. Yang, L. O'Dea, E. Schneider, R. Geary and B. Monia, Can. J. Cardiol., 2021, 37, S69.
- 160 E. J. Ackermann, S. Guo, S. Booten, L. Alvarado, M. Benson, S. Hughes and B. P. Monia, Amyloid, 2012, 19, 43–44.
- 161 N. J. Viney, S. Guo, L.-J. Tai, B. F. Baker, M. Aghajan, S. W. Jung, R. Z. Yu, S. Booten, H. Murray, T. Machemer, S. Burel, S. Murray, G. Buchele, S. Tsimikas, E. Schneider, R. S. Geary, M. D. Benson and B. P. Monia, ESC Heart Fail., 2021, 8, 652–661.
- 162 J. K. Diep, R. Z. Yu, N. J. Viney, E. Schneider, S. Guo, S. Henry, B. Monia, R. Geary and Y. Wang, Br. J. Clin. Pharmacol., 2022, 88, 5389–5398.
- 163 L. C. A. Stiekema, K. H. M. Prange, R. M. Hoogeveen, S. L. Verweij, J. Kroon, J. G. Schnitzler, K. E. Dzobo, A. J. Cupido, S. Tsimikas, E. S. G. Stroes, M. P. J. de Winther and M. Bahjat, Eur. Heart J., 2020, 41, 2262–2271.
- 164 S. Tsimikas, E. Karwatowska-Prokopczuk, I. Gouni-Berthold, J.-C. Tardif, S. J. Baum, E. Steinhagen-Thiessen, M. D. Shapiro, E. S. Stroes, P. M. Moriarty, B. G. Nordestgaard, S. Xia, J. Guerriero, N. J. Viney, L. O'Dea and J. L. Witztum, N. Engl. J. Med., 2020, 382, 244–255.
- 165 L. M. Fijen, M. A. Riedl, L. Bordone, J. A. Bernstein, J. Raasch, R. Tachdjian, T. Craig, W. R. Lumry, M. E. Manning, V. J. Alexander, K. B. Newman, A. Revenko, B. F. Baker, C. Nanavati, A. R. MacLeod, E. Schneider and D. M. Cohn, N. Engl. J. Med., 2022, 386, 1026–1033.
- 166 G. Bhattacharjee, A. S. Revenko, J. R. Crosby, C. May, D. Gao, C. Zhao, B. P. Monia and A. R. MacLeod, Nucleic Acid Ther., 2013, 23, 175–187.
- 167 M. F. Yuen, J. Heo, H. Kumada, F. Suzuki, Y. Suzuki, Q. Xie, J. Jia, Y. Karino, J. Hou, K. Chayama, M. Imamura, J. Y. Lao-Tan, S. G. Lim, Y. Tanaka, W. Xie, J. H. Yoon, Z. Duan, M. Kurosaki, S. J. Park, M. E. Labio, R. Kumar, Y. O. Kweon, H. J. Yim, Y. Tao, J. Cremer, R. Elston, M. Davies, S. Baptiste-Brown, K. Han, F. M. Campbell, M. Paff and D. Theodore, J. Hepatol., 2022, 77, 967–977. **PSC** Medicinal Chemistry Weekle. Published on 02 December 2024. New Articles. New Articles. New Articles. New Articles. New Article is likensed under the same of Commons Articles. New Article is likensed under the same of
	- 168 H. R. Büller, C. Bethune, S. Bhanot, D. Gailani, B. P. Monia, G. E. Raskob, A. Segers, P. Verhamme and J. I. Weitz, N. Engl. J. Med., 2015, 372, 232–240.
	- 169 M. McCaleb, J. Lickliter, A. Dibble, E. Schneider, M. Aghajan, S. Guo, S. Hughes, R. S. Geary and B. P. Monia, Blood, 2018, 132, 3634.
	- 170 G. J. Jaffe, J. Sahni, S. Fauser, R. S. Geary, E. Schneider and M. McCaleb, Invest. Ophthalmol. Visual Sci., 2020, 61, 4305.
	- 171 R. Loomba, E. Morgan, L. Watts, S. Xia, L. A. Hannan, R. S. Geary, B. F. Baker and S. Bhanot, Lancet Gastroenterol. Hepatol., 2020, 5, 829–838.
	- 172 T. Nie, Drugs, 2024, 84, 473–478.
	- 173 C. Yeang, E. Karwatowska-Prokopczuk, F. Su, B. Dinh, S. Xia, J. L. Witztum and S. Tsimikas, J. Am. Coll. Cardiol., 2022, 79, 1035–1046.
	- 174 J. Hardy, S. Niman, R. F. Goldfaden, M. Ashchi, M. Bisharat, J. Huston, H. Hartmann and R. Choksi, Am. J. Cardiovasc. Drugs, 2022, 22, 47–54.
	- 175 B. A. Warden and P. B. Duell, Drugs Future, 2022, 47, 11–25.
	- 176 S. Tsimikas, E. Karwatowska-Prokopczuk, I. Gouni-Berthold, J. Tardif, S. Baum, E. Steinhagen-Thiessen, M. Shapiro, E. Stroes, P. Moriarty and B. Nordestgaard, N. Engl. J. Med., 2020, 382, 244–255.
	- 177 R. Fernandez-Prado, M. V. Perez-Gomez and A. Ortiz, Clin. Kidney J., 2020, 13, 753–757.
	- 178 J.-C. Tardif, E. Karwatowska-Prokopczuk, E. S. Amour, C. M. Ballantyne, M. D. Shapiro, P. M. Moriarty, S. J. Baum, E. Hurh, V. J. Bartlett, J. Kingsbury, A. L. Figueroa, V. J. Alexander, J. Tami, J. L. Witztum, R. S. Geary, L. S. L.

O'Dea, S. Tsimikas and D. Gaudet, Eur. Heart J., 2022, 43, 1401–1412.

- 179 H. Javanbakht, H. Mueller, J. Walther, X. Zhou, A. Lopez, T. Pattupara, J. Blaising, L. Pedersen, N. Albæk, M. Jackerott, T. Shi, C. Ploix, W. Driessen, R. Persson, J. Ravn, J. A. T. Young and S. Ottosen, Mol. Ther.–Nucleic Acids, 2018, 11, 441–454. Review Form and External on the Common Access Articles. Articles. Article is the model of the set of
	- 180 N. Brodyagin, M. Katkevics, V. Kotikam, C. A. Ryan and E. Rozners, Beilstein J. Org. Chem., 2021, 17, 1641–1688.
	- 181 S. M. van Rossenberg, K. M. Sliedregt-Bol, P. Prince, T. J. van Berkel, J. H. van Boom, G. A. van der Marel and E. A. Biessen, Bioconjugate Chem., 2003, 14, 1077–1082.
	- 182 T. Ishihara, A. Kano, K. Obara, M. Saito, X. Chen, T. G. Park, T. Akaike and A. Maruyama, J. Controlled Release, 2011, 155, 34–39.
	- 183 X. Zhang, C. G. Simmons and D. R. Corey, Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett., 2001, 11, 1269–1272.
	- 184 E. A. Biessen, K. Sliedregt-Bol, P. A. C. 't Hoen, P. Prince, E. Van der Bilt, A. R. Valentijn, N. J. Meeuwenoord, H. Princen, M. K. Bijsterbosch, G. A. Van der Marel, J. H. Van Boom and T. J. Van Berkel, *Bioconjugate Chem.*, 2002, 13, 295–302.
	- 185 P. Bhingardeve, B. R. Madhanagopal, H. Naick, P. Jain, M. Manoharan and K. Ganesh, J. Org. Chem., 2020, 85, 8812–8824.
	- 186 A. D. Keefe, S. Pai and A. Ellington, Nat. Rev. Drug Discovery, 2010, 9, 537–550.
	- 187 T. N. Zamay, O. S. Kolovskaya, Y. E. Glazyrin, G. S. Zamay, S. A. Kuznetsova, E. A. Spivak, M. Wehbe, A. G. Savitskaya, O. A. Zubkova, A. Kadkina, X. Wang, D. Muharemagic, A. Dubynina, Y. Sheina, A. B. Salmina, M. V. Berezovski and A. S. Zamay, Nucleic Acid Ther., 2014, 24, 160–170.
	- 188 Y. Wu, B. Lin, Y. Lu, L. Li, K. Deng, S. Zhang, H. Zhang, C. Yang and Z. Zhu, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2023, 62, e202218106.
	- 189 J. A. Doudna and E. Charpentier, Science, 2014, 346, 1258096.
	- 190 R. Rouet, B. A. Thuma, M. D. Roy, N. G. Lintner, D. M. Rubitski, J. E. Finley, H. M. Wisniewska, R. Mendonsa, A. Hirsh, L. de Oñate, J. Compte Barrón, T. J. McLellan, J. Bellenger, X. Feng, A. Varghese, B. A. Chrunyk, K. Borzilleri, K. D. Hesp, K. Zhou, N. Ma, M. Tu, R. Dullea, K. F.

McClure, R. C. Wilson, S. Liras, V. Mascitti and J. A. Doudna, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2018, 140, 6596–6603.

- 191 S. Liras, V. Mascitti, B. A. Thuma, J. A. Doudna and R. Rouet, WO Pat., WO2017083368A9, 2016.
- 192 J. M. Tsai, R. P. Nowak, B. L. Ebert and E. S. Fischer, Nat. Rev. Mol. Cell Biol., 2024, 25, 740–757.
- 193 L. Zhao, J. Zhao, K. Zhong, A. Tong and D. Jia, Signal Transduction Targeted Ther., 2022, 7, 113.
- 194 S. M. Banik, K. Pedram, S. Wisnovsky, G. Ahn, N. M. Riley and C. R. Bertozzi, Nature, 2020, 584, 291–297.
- 195 G. Ahn, S. M. Banik, C. L. Miller, N. M. Riley, J. R. Cochran and C. R. Bertozzi, Nat. Chem. Biol., 2021, 17, 937–946.
- 196 D. F. Caianiello, M. Zhang, J. D. Ray, R. A. Howell, J. C. Swartzel, E. M. J. Branham, E. Chirkin, V. R. Sabbasani, A. Z. Gong, D. M. McDonald, V. Muthusamy and D. A. Spiegel, Nat. Chem. Biol., 2021, 17, 947–953.
- 197 Y. Zhou, P. Teng, N. T. Montgomery, X. Li and W. Tang, ACS Cent. Sci., 2021, 7, 499–506.
- 198 D. Spiegel, D. Caianiello and M. Zhang, WO. Pat., WO2019199634A4, 2019.
- 199 G. Ahn, S. M. Banik, C. L. Miller, N. M. Riley, J. R. Cochran and C. R. Bertozzi, Nat. Chem. Biol., 2021, 17, 937–946.
- 200 T. C. Donahue, C. Ou, Q. Yang, R. Flinko, X. Zhang, G. Zong, G. K. Lewis and L. X. Wang, ACS Chem. Biol., 2023, 18, 1611–1623.
- 201 K. Wang, A. Yu, K. Liu, C. Feng, Y. Hou, J. Chen, S. Ma, L. Huang and X. Dai, Adv. Sci., 2023, 10, e2300288.
- 202 S. Liras, V. Mascitti, B. A. Thuma and R. Rouet, WO. Pat., WO2021155317A1, 2021.
- 203 M. G. Saulnier, J. J. Chen, S. Karra, K. T. Sprott, J. A. Wiles and S. Ray, WO. Pat., WO2021155317, 2021.
- 204 E. Tozzo, Presented in part at the 9th Drug Discovery Strategic Summit (DDSS), 2022.
- 205 M. Yu, J. Qin, X. Liu, D. Ramsden, B. Williams, I. Zlatev, D. Guenther, S. Matsuda, R. Tymon, J. Darcy, C. Wong, J. Tsung, P. Zawaneh, S. Chong, Ch. S. Theile, N. Taneja, A. Rogers, J. Liu, E. Castellanos-Rizaldos, S. Bond, K. So, J. Denoncourt, A. Castoreno, M. Manoharan, J.-T. Wu, K. Fitzgerald, M. A. Maier, V. Jadhav and J. K. Nair, Nucleic Acids Res., 2024, 52, 5423–5437.