Faraday Discussions

Accepted Manuscript



This is an Accepted Manuscript, which has been through the Royal Society of Chemistry peer review process and has been accepted for publication.

Accepted Manuscripts are published online shortly after acceptance, before technical editing, formatting and proof reading. Using this free service, authors can make their results available to the community, in citable form, before we publish the edited article. We will replace this Accepted Manuscript with the edited and formatted Advance Article as soon as it is available.

You can find more information about Accepted Manuscripts in the Information for Authors.

Please note that technical editing may introduce minor changes to the text and/or graphics, which may alter content. The journal's standard <u>Terms & Conditions</u> and the <u>Ethical guidelines</u> still apply. In no event shall the Royal Society of Chemistry be held responsible for any errors or omissions in this Accepted Manuscript or any consequences arising from the use of any information it contains.

This article can be cited before page numbers have been issued, to do this please use: G. Caniglia, S. Horn and C. Kranz, *Faraday Discuss.*, 2024, DOI: 10.1039/D4FD00136B.



www.rsc.org/faraday_d

View Article Online View Journal This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Unported Licence.

Open Access Article. Published on 15 July 2024. Downloaded on 7/29/2024 12:31:06 PM.

PAPER

Scanning electrochemical probe microscopy: towards the characterization of micro- and nanostructured photocatalytic materials

Giada Caniglia*, Sarah Horn, Christine Kranz*

Received 00th January 20xx, Accepted 00th January 20xx DOI: 10.1039/x0xx00000x

Platinum-black (Pt-B) has been demonstrated as an excellent electrocatalytic material for the electrochemical oxidation of hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2). As Pt-B films can be deposited electrochemically, micro- and nano-sized conductive transducers can be modified with Pt-B. Here, we present the potential of Pt-B micro- and sub-micro-sized sensors for the detection and quantification of hydrogen (H_2) in solution. Using these microsensors, no sampling step for H_2 determination is required and e.g., in photocatalysis, the onset of H_2 evolution can be monitored in situ. We present Pt-B- based H_2 micro- and sub-micro-sized sensors based on different electrochemical transducers such as microelectrodes and atomic force microscopy (AFM)- scanning electrochemical microscopy (SECM) probes, which enable local measurements e.g., at heterogenized photocatalytically active samples. The microsensors are characterized in terms of limits of detection (LOD), which ranges from 4.0 μ M to 30 μ M depending on the size of the sensors and the experimental conditions such as type of electrolyte and pH. The sensors were tested for the in situ H_2 evolution by light-driven watersplitting, *i.e.*, using ascorbic acid or triethanolamine, showing a wide linear concertation range, good reproducibility, and high sensitivity. Proof-of-principle experiments using Pt-B-modified cantilever-based sensors were performed using a model sample like platinum substrate to map the electrochemical H_2 evolution along with the topography using AFM-SECM.

1. Introduction

Monitoring of molecular hydrogen (H₂) concentration is crucial in many fields, including renewable energies such as light-driven water splitting, material science, and fuel production.¹ Conventional methods for H₂ quantification include head-space gas chromatography (GC), thermal conductivity, and mass spectroscopy,² with head-space GC being the most used technique. However, even though these techniques provide sensitive and reliable determination of H₂, they usually require a sampling step and longer irradiation times *e.g.*, for heterogenized catalysts to produce sufficient H₂, which reflects then an averaged measurement. Frequently photo(electro)catalytic materials are heterogeneous in nature and therefore, miniaturized sensors that allow in situ or *operando* measurements with high lateral and temporal resolution are required. Potentiometric and amperometric techniques for local H₂ quantification are available and are characterized by several advantages in terms of sensitivity, selectivity, and the possibility of miniaturization.³

Among the different techniques, scanning electrochemical probe microscopy such as scanning electrochemical microscopy (SECM)^{4–7} and scanning electrochemical cell microscopy (SECCM)^{8–11} have been widely used in the past years to locally monitor the H₂ evolution at heterogenized photo(electro)catalytic systems.¹²

Even though H_2 detection is mainly performed using platinum (Pt) electrodes due to the excellent electrocatalytic performance of Pt, a challenge remains when reducing the size of the electrodes due to the decreased electrochemically active surface area (EASA) and with that the number of available binding sites. To overcome this limitation, one of the strategies involves the fabrication of highly porous materials with significantly increased EASA.^{4,13–15} We recently demonstrated that highly porous platinum-black¹⁶ (Pt-B) microelectrodes and Pt-B hemispherical AFM-SECM probes are sensitive hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂) microsensors, suitable for H₂O₂ detection in light-driven catalysis.¹⁷ These probes have been fabricated by

Institute of Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry, Ulm University, Albert-Einstein-Allee, 11 89081 Ulm, Germany. E-mail: christine.kranz@uni-ulm.de

Page 2 of 13

ARTICLE

This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Unported Licence.

Open Access Article. Published on 15 July 2024. Downloaded on 7/29/2024 12:31:06 PM.

Journal Name

the direct electrodeposition of Pt-B onto a recessed gold (Au) microelectrode obtained by focused ion beam (FIB) milling at the apex of a tipless cantilever.¹⁷ One of the main advantages of this method of fabrication is the possibility of tailoring the size of the Pt-B probe by controlling the size of the recessed Au electrode Au electrode

Here, we explore the potential of Pt-B microsensors for local H₂ sensing. Due to the increased surface area with a high number of active binding sites that favor the electron transfer, Pt-B films have been employed since decades as electrode materials (*e.g.*, platinized Pt electrode) in the standard hydrogen reference electrode. Pt-B is also used as a catalyst in proton exchange membrane fuel cells (PEMFCs), along with Pt/C catalysts^{18,19} and as a sensing material for H₂O₂.^{17,20–23} Although, the EASA of Pt-B microelectrodes has been determined by using the H-desorption peak recorded in 0.5 M sulfuric acid, the electroanalytical detection/determination of H₂ at photo(electro)catalysts using Pt-B microsensors has not been reported, and studies on the electrochemical behavior of Pt-B in terms of hydrogen oxidation reaction (HOR) are still scarce.^{19,24,25}

It is well-known that the HOR is a surface-dependent reaction related to the adsorption of H₂, which takes place through the formation of underpotential-deposited hydrogen atoms (H_{UPD}) at electrode materials that thermodynamically favor H_{UPD} .²⁶ At potentials more positive than the RHE, at noble metals such as Pt,^{27,28} and Pd,²⁸ the H_{UPD} formation is energetically favorable, whereas for other materials like Ni,²⁹ the electrosorbed O-containing species (O_2 and OH⁻) are thermodynamically more stable compared to the electrosorbed hydrogen species at the same applied potential.

The mechanism of HOR in aqueous solutions is well described and involves the formation of adsorbed hydrogen species on the Pt electrode followed by its dissociative oxidation, which in acidic media, generally proceeds following the combination of two steps.^{30,31} The first step is the dissociative adsorption of H₂, which can follow two different pathways: the Tafel reaction (1) where a chemical dissociation with the formation of two hydrogen adsorbed species (or H adatoms) takes place, and the Heyrovsky reaction (2), where an electron transfer takes place from the H₂ and the Pt electrode with the release of a proton and the formation of a single hydrogen adatom. The second and last step, the Volmer reaction (3), involves the discharge of adsorbed hydrogen. The general steps are summarized as follows:

Tafel step	$H_2 + 2Pt^* \rightarrow 2(Pt^*H_{ad})$	(1)
Heyrovsky step	$H_2 + 2Pt^* \rightarrow Pt^*H_{ad} + H^+ + e^-$	(2)
Volmer step	$Pt^*H_{ad} \rightarrow Pt^* + H^+ + e^-$	(3)

where $^{Pt^*}$ is an active site of the Pt electrode and $^{H}_{ad}$ is a chemisorbed hydrogen atom (or adatom). In alkaline media, the Heyrovsky and Tafel steps include the participation of hydroxyl ($^{OH^-}$) or adsorbed hydroxyl species ($^{Pt^*OH}_{ad}$),^{26,31} as shown in reactions (4) to (6).

Heyrovsky step	$H_2 + 0H^- + 2Pt^* \rightarrow Pt^*H_{ad} + H_2O + e^-$	(4)
Heyrovsky step	$OH^- + Pt^* \rightarrow Pt^*OH_{ad} + e^-$	(5)
	$H_2 + Pt^*OH_{ad} \rightarrow Pt^*H_{ad} + H_2O$	
Volmer step	$Pt^*H_{ad} + OH^- \rightarrow Pt^* + H_2O + e^-$	(6)

Herein, we characterize and evaluate the performance of Pt-B microelectrodes and hemispherical Pt-B AFM-SECM probes as H₂ microsensors. As a proof-of-principle experiment, the hemispherical Pt-B AFM-SECM probes with a diameter of approx. 1 μ m were used to map the topography and the evolution of H₂ at a model substrate. Furthermore, the Pt-B probe was employed to monitor the H₂ evolution over time using titanium dioxide (TiO₂) as photocatalytically active material.³² The fabrication and electrochemical characterization of Pt-B nanoprobes suitable for AFM-SECM measurements is also explored for future high-resolution H₂ mappings or electrochemical force spectroscopy.

2. Results and discussion

2.1. Preparation and electrochemical characterization of hemispherical Pt-B AFM-SECM probes View Article Online

The AFM-SECM probes were fabricated following an already published protocol¹⁷ in which the Pt-B is electrodeposited directly onto a milled recessed Au electrode to form a hemispherical Pt-B electrode (Fig. 1A and B) which size can be tailored as a function of the recessed Au electrode diameter and the electrodeposition parameters. It should be noted that for Pt-B radii smaller than 300 nm, for imaging experiments, the position at the cantilever has to be considered. For most commercial AFMs, the AFM cantilever is mounted at an angle of 9° - 11°, therefore hemispherical sensors have to be positioned close to the free end of the cantilever. This distance is critical to ensure that the hemispherical probe touches the sample surface and not the leading edge of the cantilever (see Fig. S1A and B). To determine the position at which the Au recessed electrode had to be milled to deposit the Pt-B, we calculated the limit ratio between the distance from the free end of the cantilever and the radius of the probe to prevent the edge of the cantilever from touching the surface. As shown in Fig. S1B, the minimum radius (r_0) corresponds to $r_0 = a\sin\theta$, where a is the distance from the edge of the cantilever and the center of the probe with an angle θ of 9°. This leads to a a/r ratio of approx. 6, *i.e.*, for a probe with radius r, the maximum distance from the free end of the cantilever at which the hemisphere can be deposited is 6 times r. For the fabrication of 250 nm-diameter nanoelectrodes, the recessed Au electrode was milled choosing an a/r ratio of 2 by 3, which is at approx. 500-700 nm from the free end of the cantilever. When considering a distance of 500 nm, the smallest hemispherical probe that can be produced without the risk that the edge of the cantilever touches the surface must have a radius of 78 nm. If the distance is decreased to 50 nm, probes with radii below 10 nm could be theoretically fabricated. Fig. 1 shows three exemplary Pt-B AFM-SECM probes obtained by using different diameters of the Au recessed electrode. The Pt-B probes displayed in the SEM images (Fig. 1C and D) were obtained from a recessed Au electrode with a diameter of 1 µm and 500 nm, respectively, via chronoamperometry by applying a potential of -0.06 V vs. Ag/AgCI, KCI (sat.) for 10 s in 31 mM H2PtCI6 / 0.67 mM Pb(NO₃)₂).²³ The final radius of the hemispherical Pt-B achieved are 1.5 µm and 800 nm, respectively. For diameters of the Au electrode smaller than 500 nm, we observed an overgrowth of the Pt-B probe with a final diameter similar to the depositions on a 500-nm-diameter recessed Au electrode. For better control of the Pt-B deposition, pulsed deposition was chosen as an alternative electrodeposition method.¹⁷ As shown in Fig. 1E, the application of pulse deposition (80 pulse cycles; cycle: -0.06 V for 0.5 s and 0 V for 0.5 s, potential vs. Ag/AgCl, KCl (sat.)) generates a Pt-B probe with a radius of approx. 500 nm. Interestingly, the porosity of the Pt-B decreases significantly when using pulse deposition, as shown in the FIB cross-section of the Pt-B probe depicted in Fig. S2. We associate this denser deposition with the enhanced concentration of the active species during deposition at the electrode surface. Fig. 1F - H show exemplary cyclic voltammograms (CVs) performed in 5 mM FcMeOH/0.1 M KCl at each modification step of the cantilever. The CVs in black color correspond to the bare recessed Au electrodes and the CVs in red color to the corresponding Pt-B probes. The increased size and porosity of the Pt-B probe in comparison to the bare Au electrode can be observed in the difference in the shape and steady-state current (i_{ss}) of the CVs. Indeed, the i_{ss} increases by a factor of approx. 3 for 1-µm and 500-nm-diameters probes and it achieves an increment factor of 35 when the original diameter of the Au electrode is 250 nm. Furthermore, while in the 1-µm and 500-nm-diameter bare Au, the typical sigmoidal CVs with small capacitive current and steady-state current were observed, which are characteristic for micro- and sub-micro disk electrodes, the corresponding Pt-B modified probes exhibit a change in the shape of the voltammogram correlated to a change in the diffusional behavior and increased capacitive current due to the high porosity of the Pt-B probes. Significantly less pronounced changes were observed for a recessed Au electrode with a diameter of 250 nm and a pulsed deposition of Pt-B. The difference in porosity was also evaluated by calculating the EASA of each probe, by integrating the hydrogen desorption peak detected between approx. -0.25 V and 0.1 V vs Ag/AgCl, KCl (sat.) in the CV performed in 0.5 M H₂SO₄ (Fig. S3). Considering that the formation of an adsorbed hydrogen monolayer corresponds to a charge of Q = 210 µC cm⁻¹,33 the calculated EASAs are 3111 µm² (1µm-diameter-probe), 2357 μm² (500-nm-diameter probe), but only 150 μm² for the pulsed deposition of Pt-B onto an Au electrode with a diameter 250 nm.

Further characterization for H₂ quantification was performed in two different solutions using Pt-B probes produced from 1- μ m-diameter recessed Au electrodes. Phosphate buffer saline (PBS) solution was chosen as a model medium, while a solution containing ascorbic acid was used to evaluate the compatibility of the Pt-B microsensors for photocatalytic H₂ measurements since ascorbic acid is one the most used sacrificial electron donors in *e.g.*, molecular light-driven water splitting systems for H₂ evolution.^{34,35} The pH was adjusted for both solutions at a value of pH 4 using either hydrochloric acid (HCI) or sodium hydroxide (NaOH). Further experiments at different pH values and using also triethanolamine (TEOA) solutions - another important sacrificial electron donor - were performed using Pt-B modified microelectrodes (with diameters of approx. 25 μ m), which will be discussed later here. The quantification of H₂ was performed *via* chronoamperometry. The applied potential for the experiments performed in PBS was -0.15 V vs. Ag/AgCl, KCI (sat.) since it was the highest anodic peak in the H_{UPD} region of the CV obtained in PBS at pH 4.

Faraday Discussions Accepted Manuscrip

Journal Name



Fig. 1. (A, B) Exemplary SEM images of the AFM cantilever before (A) and after (B) the deposition of Pt-B on a recessed disk Au electrode with diameter equal to 500 nm. (C-E) SEM images of Pt-B probe electrodeposited on a recessed Au electrode with a diameter equal to (C) 1 µm, (D) 500 nm, and (E) 250 nm, respectively. (F-H) CVs of bare Au electrode (black curves) and after the deposition of Pt-B (red curves) recorded in 5 mM FcMeOH / 0.1 KCI (sweep rate 50 mV s⁻¹). The diameter of the bare Au electrode was, respectively, (F) 1 µm, (G) 500 nm, and (H) 250 nm.

For the quantification of H₂ in ascorbic acid, the adsorption of ascorbic acid in the potential range from -0.4 V to approx. -0.06 V has to be considered.^{36,37} By applying a potential of -0.15 V like in the PBS solution at pH 4, the current response was not stable in ascorbic acid and the H₂ calibration was affected by the presence of the organic species. Therefore, the H₂ calibration in ascorbic acid was performed at -0.05 V, as the current response was stable at this potential.

Fig. 2 shows the calibrations of three individual sensors performed in 0.1 M PBS (Fig. 2A-C) and ascorbic acid (Fig. 2D-F). In both cases, calibrations exhibit linearity up to an H₂ concentration of 300 μ M, with a linearity range of 50 μM to 300 μM in PBS and 0 μM to 300 μM in ascorbic acid. As highlighted in **Table 1**, the LOD and LOQ, which were determined, are 10.7 \pm 0.5 μ M and 33.3 \pm 2 μ M in PBS and 10 \pm 7 μ M and 36 \pm 10 μ M in ascorbic acid, respectively. Also, the Pt-B probes showed excellent recovery as the sensor responses returned to the baseline current value upon the removal of H₂ from the solution by purging with nitrogen after the completion of the calibration (Fig. 2 B and E). The response time of the hemispherical AFM H₂ sensor was determined by the time required for the current to reach 90% of the steady-state current when the H₂ concentration was increased.



Fig. 2. (A-D) Calibration curves (blue line) and (B-E) corresponding chronoamperometry recorded at a Pt-B modified AFM-SECM probe (d = 1 μ m) with increased H₂ concentrations immersed in (A-B) 0.1 M PBS at pH 4 (E_{probe} = - 0.15 V vs. Ag/AgCl) and (D-E) 0.1 M ascorbic acid at pH 4 (E_{probe} = - 0.05 V vs. Ag/AgCl). The blue area denotes the uncertainty range, and the error bars reflect three repetitive measurements. (C-F) Temporal response of the probes changing the H₂ concentration from 100 μ M to 200 μ M for the Pt-B sensor in (C) 0.1 M PBS at pH 4 (E_{probe} = - 0.15 V vs. Ag/AgCl) and (F) 0.1 M ascorbic acid at pH 4 (E_{probe} = - 0.05 V vs. Ag/AgCl).

Table 1. Limit of detection (LOD) and limit of quantification (LOQ) obtained from the H_2 calibrations using Pt-B AFM-SECM probes in PBS and ascorbic acid (pH 4). LOD and LOQ were calculated based on the standard deviation of the response (Sy) of the calibration curve and the slope (S), according to LOD = 3 (Sy/S) and LOQ = 10 (Sy/S).

Solution	E vs. Ag/AgCl, KCl (sat.), V	LOD µM	LOQ µM	Dynamic range, μΜ	Sensitivity μΑ Μ ⁻¹
PBS (pH 4)	-0.15	10.7 ± 0.5	33 ± 2	33.3 - 300.00	2.8 ± 0.6
Ascorbic acid 0.1 mol L ⁻¹ (pH 4)	-0.05	10 ± 7	36 ± 10	36 - 300.00	3.3 ± 0.2

Fig. 2C and **F** show the current change after increasing the H₂ concentration from 100 μ M to 200 μ M in PBS (**Fig. 2C**) and ascorbic acid (**Fig. 2F**), which reveal a time response of 7.7 s (PBS) and 50 s (ascorbic acid), respectively. Even though the current response is not influenced by any redox reaction involving the ascorbic acid present in the solution, there might be interactions with the Pt-B surface that produce a delay in the time response of the sensor but does not significantly affect the overall analytical performance of the sensor. Indeed, it has been shown that ascorbic acid can adsorb at Pt electrodes *via* the carbon atom linking with the first hydroxyl group of the molecule and that this interaction may affect the hydrogen adsorption-desorption region at the Pt electrode.^{36,37}

2.2. In situ H₂ mapping

As proof-of-principle experiments, the hemispherical Pt-B probes were tested using two different model samples. The first experiment was performed using TiO₂ powder (particle size > 100 nm) as photocatalytic active material. As shown in **Fig. 3A**, the setup consisted of two compartments separated by a porous (track-etched) polycarbonate membrane (pore sizes: 50 nm). The bottom compartment contained a TiO₂ suspension in ascorbic acid, which was illuminated at $\lambda = 365$ nm to trigger the photocatalytic production of H₂, following the reactions (7) to (9):^{38,39}



Fig. 3. (A) Schematic of the two-compartment setup used for the proof-of-principle H₂ measurement using the Pt-B AFM-SECM probe. (B) Chronoamperometry (i-t curve) recorded at the Pt-B AFM-SECM probe ($E_{probe} = -0.05$ V vs Ag/AgCl) under illumination ($\lambda = 365$ nm, blue line) and dark conditions (black line).

$TiO_2 + hv \rightarrow TiO_2(e_{CB}^- + h_{VB}^+)$	(7)
$2\mathbf{e}_{CB}^- + 2H^+ \rightarrow H_2$	(8)
$2\mathbf{h}_{\mathrm{VB}}^{+} + H_2 A \rightarrow H^{+} + DHA$	(9)

where e_{CB}^- and h_{VB}^+ are the electron-hole pair formed due to the excitation of the electrons from the valence band to the conductive band of the TiO₂ catalyst, H_2A is the reduced form of ascorbic acid and DHA the oxidized form of ascorbic acid (dehydroascorbic acid).

During illumination, H_2 evolves, which diffuses through the track-etched membrane to the upper compartment, in which the hemispherical Pt-B probe was positioned close to the membrane in a three-electrode configuration. To detect the H_2 , the hemispherical Pt-B probe was first approached at its open circuit potential to the membrane under dark conditions using the electronic feedback loop of AFM until it contacted the membrane and was then retracted up to 20 µm (false engagement). Then, a potential of -0.05 V vs Ag/AgCl was applied to the probe. **Fig. 3B** (blue line) shows the current response recorded over time under illumination conditions. When comparing the current-time curve recorded in dark conditions as a control experiment, it is evident that illumination leads to an increase in current due to the evolution of H_2 , which diffuses through the tracked-etched membrane to the upper compartment. A decrease in current was observed during the first 30 minutes of illumination and the subsequent increase in current after this time until reaching a plateau after approx. 130 minutes. This plateau might be due to the result of the system having reached an equilibrium since the saturation of the sensor is not expected, as shown in the H_2 calibrations (**Fig. 2 D** and **E**).

The second model sample consists of a partially Pt-covered silicon (Si) sample. A 40x40 μ m area at the border between the Pt and Si area was scanned using a 1- μ m-diameter Pt-B AFM-SECM probe. Prior to the AFM experiments, the sample (area of Pt/Si) was first imaged by SEM-EDX. **Fig. S4** shows the SEM and EDX data which reveals that there is no sharp border between the two areas due to the evaporation not masking the border effectively. For the Pt-coated area, H₂ evolution is expected when applying a potential of -1.0 V vs Ag/AgCl. The topography of the sample obtained by AFM using the hemispherical Pt-B probe (**Fig. 4B**) shows a change in height of around 60 nm between the Pt and the Si region. As the sample is fairly flat, the AFM topography does not reveal any obvious artifacts.

This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Unported Licence.

Open Access Article. Published on 15 July 2024. Downloaded on 7/29/2024 12:31:06 PM.

Journal Name

In fact, an area with variations in morphology (upper part of the AFM image) can be clearly revealed with the hemispherical probe. Of course, as previously shown and discussed,¹⁷ samples with features such as narrow trenches or steep features would certainly generate topographical artifacts due to the shape and size of the hemispherical probe. The topography image was obtained in a 0.1 M PBS (pH 4) solution by keeping both the AFM-SECM probe and Pt@Si substrate at their open circuit potential. Once the probe was retracted 20 µm from the substrate, the probe was biased at -0.15 V vs. Ag/AgCl in order to detect the presence of H₂ in SECM generation/collection mode,⁴⁰ while the Pt@Si substrate was polarized at -1.0 V vs. Ag/AgCl a sufficiently cathodic potential for the evolution of H₂ from the Pt modified area. The current change detected when scanning the probe from the Si substrate (no H₂ evolution) to the Pt-covered area was approx. 200 nA, with a clear increase over the Pt area (**Fig. 4C**). The change in current at the Pt-Si border was not pronounced, which is expected as there is no sharp border between the two areas (as shown in the SEM/EDX and AFM topography). However, as shown in the line scan in **Fig. 4D**, the current decreased gradually from the Pt area to the Si region, which fits also the topographical information. This gradual decrease in current might be due to a fast diffusion of the H₂ produced at the Pt area.

The current recorded at the Pt-B probe during the imaging can be used to calculate the concentration using the H_2 calibration performed in bulk conditions (**Fig. 2A**). According to this correlation, the highest concentration of H_2 evolved from the Pt was approx. 150 µM, while the lowest concentration detected over the Si substrate was approx. 84 µM. As with conventional SECM measurements in constant height mode, it is important to consider that the current map (and hence the concentration calculations) displays an averaged diffusion profile and that its resolution, among other factors, is a function of the distance between the substrate and the (AFM)-SECM probe. Taking into account the absence of a sharp border between the two areas, the size of the scanned area, and the fast diffusion of H_2 , the concentration of H_2 over Si can be explained. **Fig. S5** shows the control experiment performed by keeping the Pt substrate in its open circuit potential, so no H_2 evolution should be observed. Indeed, no current change was detected between the Pt and Si regions.



Fig. 4. (A) Contact mode AFM topography map performed using a Pt-B probe immersed in 0.1 M PBS (pH 4). The probe and the substrate were kept in their open circuit potential. (B) Current map of the H₂ evolution at the Pt electrode of the Pt@Si substrate after the Pt-B probe was retracted by 20 μ m (E_{probe} = -0.15 V vs. Ag/AgCl; E_{substrate} = -1.0 V vs. Ag/AgCl). (C) Corresponding topography profile (red line) and current profile (blue line). All images were recorded with a scan speed of 0.2 ln s⁻¹.

ARTICLE

This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Unported Licence

Open Access Article. Published on 15 July 2024. Downloaded on 7/29/2024 12:31:06 PM

Journal Name

2.3. Electrochemical quantification of H₂ using Pt-B microelectrodes

Further calibration experiments using Pt-B sensors were also performed using a 25-µm-diameter microelectrode Theonine Pt-B microelectrodes were fabricated by electrodepositing Pt-B directly onto Pt disk microelectrodes FDviat36B chronoamperometry (E = -0.06 V vs. Ag/AgCl) for 40 s in 31 mM H₂PtCl₆ /0.67 mM Pb(NO₃)₂.²³ To calculate the EASA of the Pt-B modified microelectrodes, the hydrogen desorption region, detected between approx. -0.25 V and 0.1 V in the CV recorded in 0.5 M H₂SO₄, was integrated (**Fig. S6**).⁴¹ Considering again that the formation of an adsorbed hydrogen monolayer corresponds to a charge of Q = 210 µC cm⁻¹, the calculated EASA is 0.20 ± 0.01 mm² (n=3). The Pt-B microsensors were tested in terms of H₂ quantification in different solutions and at different pH values. PBS solution was again chosen as a model electrolyte testing the sensors at three different pH levels: 4, 7, and 10. Solutions containing ascorbic acid at pH 4 and triethanolamine (TEOA) at pH 10 were also used to evaluate the compatibility of the microsensors for photocatalytic H₂ measurements.³⁴

Like in the experiments performed using Pt-B AFM-SECM probes, the highest anodic peak in the H_{UPD} region of the CV performed in the corresponding solution was chosen for the chronoamperometric experiments and H_2 calibration. Worth mentioning is the shift of approx. 0.13 V, when the pH of the solution in PBS changes from 4 to 10, and the even larger peak shift (approx. 0.3 V) when the CV was performed in the presence of 10% v/v TEOA (pH 10). The peaks for the calibrations and the analytical performance of the sensor are summarized in **Table 2**. All calibration curves are shown in **Fig. S7**.

On average, the best performance of the Pt-B microsensors was achieved in PBS solution at pH 7 with a wide dynamic range and a sensitivity close to 50 μ A M⁻¹. Considering the results just in PBS (without the presence of organic compounds) in alkaline pH, the sensor exhibits the lowest efficiency in terms of dynamic range but still maintains a rather good sensitivity. This might be due to the slower HOR kinetics of Pt-B, and in general of Pt electrodes, at alkaline pH values.^{27,42}

When comparing the calibrations performed at alkaline pH in PBS at pH 10, the highest H₂ concentration that could be quantified is 700 μ M (approx. the saturation of H₂ in water), whereas in the presence of TEOA, this drops down to 200 μ M. Even though TEAO exhibits only one redox potential at potentials higher than +0.6 V vs Ag/AgCl, KCl (sat.), there might be possible interactions with the Pt-B electrode that affect the H₂ quantification. A comprehensive study of the interactions between TEOA and Pt-B was not within the scope of this work.

Like for the characterization of the hemispherical Pt-B probes, to obtain information on the recovery of the Pt-B microelectrode, after each calibration, the H₂ was removed from the solution by purging with pure nitrogen for at least 10 minutes. After purging, again H₂ measurements at the corresponding potential were performed, and the current response dropped to or close to the baseline of 0 μ M concentration at all investigated pH values. **Fig. S7F** shows an exemplary chronoamperometry experiment performed with consecutive increments of H₂ at the Pt-B microelectrode and the drop of current close to the baseline after completing the calibration and purging the electrochemical cell with nitrogen. When comparing the performance of the hemispherical Pt-B probes and the Pt-B microelectrodes, the hemispherical Pt-B probes exhibit a linearity up to an H₂ concentration of 300 μ M, being lower than the linearity achieved with the microelectrodes in the same conditions (700 mM, see **Table 1** and **2**). This might be due to the smaller EASA of the hemispherical Pt-B probes in comparison to the microelectrodes, being approx. 7 times smaller. However, even though the working range is reduced, the LOD and LOQ in the AFM-SECM probes are improved, as shown in **Table 1**.

The Pt-B modified microelectrode was also compared to bare Pt microelectrode (diam. 25 μ m) in PBS at pH 4 in presence of H₂ in solution. The higher current response of the Pt-B modified microelectrode is evident in **Fig. S8** in which the CVs performed in PBS (pH 4) using the Pt-B sensor (black line) and the disk Pt electrode (red line) are shown. The lower current response in the CVs is also reflected in the H₂ calibration as evidenced in **Fig. S7A**. The disk Pt electrode, not only does not show a good linearity but also a current response of approx. 95 % lower than the response achieved with Pt-B at the same concentration (example shown at 100 μ M).

Table 2. Limit of detection (LOD) and limit of quantification (LOQ) obtained from the H_2 calibrations using Pt-B microsensors in different experimental conditions. LOD and LOQ were calculated based on the standard deviation of the response (Sy) of the calibration curve and the slope (S), according to LOD = 3 (Sy/S) and LOQ = 10 (Sy/S), respectively.

Solution	E vs. Ag/AgCl, KCl (sat.), V	LOD µM	LOQ µM	Dynamic range, μΜ	Sensitivity µA M ⁻¹
PBS (pH 4)	-0.15	12.5 ± 6	41.7 ± 19	41.7 – 700.00	50.3 ± 3
PBS (pH 7)	-0.20	5.25 ± 2	17.5 ± 9	17.5 – 700.00	47.8 ± 3
PBS (pH 10)	-0.28	30.0 ± 1	100.0 ± 4	100.0 – 700.00	47.3 ± 3
Ascorbic acid 0.1 mol L ⁻¹ (pH 4)	-0.05	24.0 ± 2	80.0 ± 8	80.0 – 700.00	50.9 ± 4
TEOA 10 v/v in K ₂ HPO ₄ (pH 10)	-0.47	4.0 ± 3	13.3 ± 3	13.3 – 200.00	29.0 ± 2

This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Unported Licence

Open Access Article. Published on 15 July 2024. Downloaded on 7/29/2024 12:31:06 PM.

Journal Name

In previous works,^{4,43} we presented a Pd-modified microelectrode for H₂ quantification in acidic conditions ($pH_{4-5,5}$)_{online} that was successfully used for the detection of light-driven H₂ evolution from micro-spot arrays of cobaloxime_scatalysts₁₃₆B using SECM. The H₂ absorption using the Pd-modified microelectrodes biased at – 600 mV vs Ag/AgCl was used for these measurements. A linearity from 0 µM up to 96.4 µM, with LOD and LOQ in the range of, respectively, 0.34-0.95 µM and 1.14-3.17 µM was obtained for these H₂ microsensors.⁴ Although the Pt-B microsensors presented here exhibit less favorable LODs and LOQs, the Pt-B microsensors show a larger dynamic range compared to the Pd-modified microsensors do not exhibit saturation and therefore must not be regenerated and can be used for longer measurement times. Pd-modified microelectrodes, instead, exhibit saturation due to the H₂ absorption which requires a cleaning step in which a potential of 0.2 V vs. Ag/AgCl is applied.

Conclusions

Photocatalytic and electrocatalytic materials for H_2 evolution are often heterogeneous in nature. Therefore, it is highly important to investigate possible heterogeneities at the micro- and nanoscopic level under in situ and/or operando conditions. AFM-SECM-based sensors with sub-micron geometric dimensions are a suitable approach for studying morphological features along with mapping the activity or electrochemical properties. In this work, we demonstrate the feasibility of fabricating micro- and sub-microprobes based on Pt-B electrodeposition for the electrochemical detection of H_2 evolution. The increased EASA of the hemispherical Pt-B probes allows effective quantification of H_2 in a wide concentration range, up to an H_2 concentration of 300 μ M. These probes were successfully tested using two different model systems as examples for photocatalytic and electrocatalytic H_2 evolution, demonstrating that the hemispherical Pt-B probes are suitable candidates for future in situ/operando investigations of heterogenized photo(electro)catalytic materials. Current research is focused on using AFM-SECM nanoprobes based on Pt-B to investigate the electrochemical activity and photo-degradation screening of immobilized catalysts, such as earth-abundant

electrochemical activity and photo-degradation screening of immobilized catalysts, such as earth-abundant catalysts as recently shown for cobaloxime catalysts deposited on solid surfaces such as carbon nanomembranes.⁴³

3. Experimental

3.1. Chemicals and Materials

Potassium dihydrogen phosphate (KH₂PO₄), dipotassium hydrogen phosphate (K₂HPO₄), sodium chloride (KCl), sulfuric acid (85% v/v), sodium hydroxide (NaOH), chlorohydric acid (HCl), hydrogen hexachloroplatinate (H₂[PtCl₆]), lead(II) nitrate (Pb(NO₃)₂), triethanolamine (TEOA), titanium dioxide (TiO₂), and ferrocene-methanol (FcMeOH) were obtained from Merck, Germany. All solutions were prepared with ultrapure water (Elga water system, UK, conductivity 18.0 M Ω ·cm).

3.2. Preparation of the Pt-black modified probes and calibration procedure

Pt microelectrodes were fabricated following well-established procedures,⁴⁴ by sealing a 12.5 μ m radius Pt wire (Goodfellow, Bad Nauheim, Germany) in a borosilicate glass capillary (Hilgenberg GmbH, Massfeld, Germany). The microelectrodes were then sequentially polished, cleaned in an ultrasonic bath with ultrapure water, and characterized *via* optical microscopy and cyclic voltammetry. AFM-SECM probes were produced as described elsewhere.^{17,45} Briefly, commercially available tipless AFM cantilevers (Bruker NP-O, k = 0.06 N m⁻¹) were modified with a Au layer *via* evaporation and insulated with silicon oxide/silicon nitride layers using plasma-enhanced chemical vapor deposition. The insulation layer was locally removed through FIB milling (Quanta 3D FEG, ThermoFisher Scientific, USA) to expose a recessed, Au disk-shaped (sub)-microelectrode at the apex of the cantilever.

The electrochemical preparation and characterization of the Pt-B modified probes were performed in a three-electrode setup using a CHI660C potentiostat (CH Instruments, USA). Pt-B deposition on Pt-microelectrodes and Au-milled AFM-SECM probes was performed in a three-electrode set-up consisting of a Pt wire serving as a counter electrode, an Ag/AgCl, KCl (sat.) reference electrode, and the corresponding AFM-SECM probe as working electrode. The probe was immersed in a solution containing 31 mM H₂PtCl₆ and 0.67 mM Pb(NO₃)₂ in PBS (pH = 3.2) and a constant potential of -0.06 V vs Ag/AgCl, KCl (sat.) was applied for 40 seconds (Pt-microelectrodes) or 10 s (AFM-SECM probes); for AFM-SECM probe with a disk Au electrode smaller than 500 nm pulsed deposition was used, by applying 40 cycles with a potential pulse sequence of -0.06V/0.5 s; 0.0 V/0.5s vs Ag/AgCl, KCl (sat.).

ARTICLE

This article is licensed under a Creative Commons Attribution 3.0 Unported Licence

Open Access Article. Published on 15 July 2024. Downloaded on 7/29/2024 12:31:06 PM.

Journal Name

The H₂ calibration of the Pt-B modified microelectrodes was performed using chronoamperometry in PBS at three different pH levels (pH 4, 7, and 10), in 0.1 M ascorbic acid (pH 4) and 10% v/v TEOA (pH 10). H₂ calibration of the Pt-B modified AFM-SECM probes was performed in PBS and 0.1 M ascorbic acid at pH 4. Before each calibration_{136B} experiment, a CV from -0.7 V to 1.2 V vs. Ag/AgCl, KCl (sat.) in the corresponding oxygen-free solution was recorded. For the calibration, the electrochemical cell (a homemade closed glass chamber equipped with an inlet and outlet gas line) was purged with a mixture of H₂ and N₂ was bubbled into. The H₂ concentration (0 µM to 700 µM) was controlled by mixing nitrogen (N₂) and H₂ gas at different ratios using a mass flow controller (Bronkhorst GmbH, Kamen, Germany). Data was analyzed using OriginPro 2021 v. 9.8.0.200 (OriginLab Corporation, USA) software.

3.3. In situ hydrogen measurement *via* AFM-SECM

AFM-SECM measurements for H₂ evolution were performed in either a three- or four-electrode cell using a 5500 AFM/SPM microscope (Keysight Technologies, USA) and a CHI 832A bipotentiostat (CH Instruments, USA).

The photocatalytic sample consists of two compartments separated by a porous polycarbonate membrane (pore density approx. $4.5 \cdot 1012 \text{ pores/m}^2$ and pore size of 50 nm). The scheme of the setup is depicted in **Fig. 3A**. The lower compartment, is filled with a 0.05 M TiO₂ suspension in 0.1 M ascorbic acid, while in the upper compartment, the three-electrode configuration is placed with the Pt-B AFM-SECM probe serving as working electrode, an Ag/AgCl as pseudo-reference electrode and a Pt wire as the counter electrode. The upper compartment was filled with a solution of ascorbic acid 0.1 M. For H₂ measurements the compartment with the TiO₂ suspension was illuminated from the button using an optical fiber (diameter 1000 µm, M59-L01, Thorlabs GmbH, Bergkirchen, Germany) connected to a 141-mW blue LED (365 nm, M365FP1, Thorlabs GmbH). Prior to the illumination, the AFM-SECM probe was approached towards the membrane under dark conditions and after contact lifted to 20 µm, the distance at which the photocatalytic H₂ detection was performed. Once the AFM-SECM probe was positioned, a potential of -0.06 V vs Ag/AgCl was applied to the probe and after the current response stabilized, the light was turned on to trigger H₂ evolution.

The electrocatalytic sample for H₂ evolution consisted of a 60 nm Pt layer deposited *via* sputtering on a silicon substrate (Pt@Si). The electrochemical cell consisted of an Ag/AgCl pseudo-reference electrode, a Pt wire serving as a counter electrode, and the Pt-B probe and the Pt@Si substrate as the first and second working electrodes, respectively. Topographical images were performed in contact mode in solution maintaining both working electrodes at their open circuit potential, while images of H₂ evolved from the substrates were carried out after retracting the Pt-B probe by a distance of 20 µm (false engagement). The probe was biased at -0.15 V vs Ag/AgCl and the Pt@Si substrate was biased at -1.0 V vs Ag/AgCl to favor the electrocatalytic evolution of H₂ at the Pt area. For the control experiment, the same sample area was scanned leaving the Pt@Si substrate at open circuit potential. All images were recorded in 0.1 M PBS (pH 4) with a scan rate of 0.2 ln s⁻¹. Data evaluation was performed using MoutainSPIP v. 9 (Digital Surf, France) and OriginPro 2021 software, v 9.8.0.200 (OriginLab Corporation).

Author contributions

Giada Caniglia: Conceptualization, data curation, writing. Sarah Horn: performed part of the experimentation with microelectrodes. Christine Kranz: Supervision, conceptualization, review, and editing.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare that they have no known competing financial interests or personal relationships that could have appeared to influence the work reported in this paper.

Data availability

Data for this article, are available at the Open Access Repositorium der Universität Ulm und Technischen Hochschule Ulm (OPARU) at <u>https://doi.org/10.18725/OPARU-53116</u>.

Acknowledgments

The authors acknowledge the FIBCenter UUIm and Dr. Gregor Neusser (Institute of Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry, UIm University) for the FIB-SEM measurements and Dr. Sven Daboss (Institute of Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry, UIm University) for the gold coating of the tipless AFM cantilevers and insulation. Georgia Mandela is acknowledged for contributions to electrochemical measurements. The project

is funded by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG – German Research Foundation) – project number 364549901 – TRR 234, subproject C4.

DOI: 10.1039/D4FD00136B

araday Discussions Accepted Manuscript

References

- 1 M. González Martínez, M. Elsaddik and A. Nzihou, *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, 2023, **48**, 22113–22131.
- T. Hübert, L. Boon-Brett, G. Black and U. Banach, Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical, 2011, 157, 329–352.
- G. Korotcenkov, S. Do Han and J. R. Stetter, *Chemical Reviews*, 2009, **109**, 1402–1433.
- J. Kund, J. Romer, E. Oswald, A. Gaus, M. Küllmer, A. Turchanin, M. von Delius and C. Kranz, ChemElectroChem, 2022, 9, e202200071.
- 5 D. Koster, R. Gutkowski, J. Masa and W. Schuhmann, *Journal of Electroanalytical Chemistry*, 2018, **812**, 207–212.
- 6 H. Li, M. Du, M. J. Mleczko, A. L. Koh, Y. Nishi, E. Pop, A. J. Bard and X. Zheng, *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, 2016, **138**, 5123–5129.
- 7 C. Iffelsberger, D. Rojas and M. Pumera, *The Journal of Physical Chemistry C*, 2022, **126**, 9016–9026.
- 8 C. Iffelsberger, S. Ng and M. Pumera, *Chemical Engineering Journal*, 2022, 446, 136995.
- 9 J. W. Hill and C. M. Hill, Nano Letters, 2019, 19, 5710–5716.
- 10 Y. Liu, C. Jin, Y. Liu, K. H. Ruiz, H. Ren, Y. Fan, H. S. White and Q. Chen, ACS Sensors, 2021, 6, 355–363.
- 11 Y. Wang, E. Gordon and H. Ren, *The Journal of Physical Chemistry Letters*, 2019, **10**, 3887–3892.
- 12 C. Santana Santos, B. N. Jaato, I. Sanjuán, W. Schuhmann and C. Andronescu, *Chemical Reviews*, 2023, **123**, 4972–5019.
- 13 B. J. Ostertag, M. T. Cryan, J. M. Serrano, G. Liu and A. E. Ross, ACS Applied Nano Materials, 2022, 5, 2241– 2249.
- 14 H. du Toit and M. Di Lorenzo, Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical, 2014, 192, 725–729.
- 15 M. E. Sandison, N. Anicet, A. Glidle and J. M. Cooper, *Analytical Chemistry*, 2002, 74, 5717–5725.
- 16 A. M. Feltham and M. Spiro, Chemical Reviews, 1971, 71, 177–193.
- 17 A. Hellmann, G. Neusser, S. Daboss, M. M. Elnagar, J. Liessem, D. Mitoraj, R. Beranek, S. Arbault and C. Kranz, *Analytical Chemistry*, 2024, **96**, 3308–3317.
- 18 L. Fan, J. Zhao, X. Luo and Z. Tu, *International Journal of Hydrogen Energy*, 2022, **47**, 5418–5428.
- 19 A. R. Kucernak and E. Toyoda, *Electrochemical Communucations*, 2008, **10**, 1728–1731.
- 20 S. Ben-Amor, E. Vanhove, F. Sékli Belaïdi, S. Charlot, D. Colin, M. Rigoulet, A. Devin, N. Sojic, J. Launay, P. Temple-Boyer and S. Arbault, *Electrochimica Acta*, 2014, **126**, 171–178.
- 21 Y. Wang, J.-M. Noël, J. Velmurugan, W. Nogala, M. V. Mirkin, C. Lu, M. Guille Collignon, F. Lemaître and C. Amatore, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 2012, **109**, 11534–11539.
- 22 Y. Li, K. Hu, Y. Yu, S. A. Rotenberg, C. Amatore and M. V. Mirkin, *Journal of the American Chemical Society*, 2017, **139**, 13055–13062.
- A. Hellmann, S. Daboss, F. Zink, C. Hartmann, P. Radermacher and C. Kranz, *Electrochimica Acta*, 2020, 353, 136458.
- T. Kessler, A. M. Castro Luna, W. E. Triaca and A. J. Arvia, *Journal of Applied Electrochemistry*, 1986, 16, 693–702.
- 25 T. C. Franklin and S. L. Cooke, *Journal of the Electrochemical Society*, 1960, **107**, 556.
- E. S. Davydova, S. Mukerjee, F. Jaouen and D. R. Dekel, ACS Catalysis, 2018, 8, 6665–6690.
- 27 W. Sheng, H. A. Gasteiger and Y. Shao-Horn, *Journal of the Electrochemical Society*, 2010, **157**, B1529.
- J. Zheng, W. Sheng, Z. Zhuang, B. Xu and Y. Yan, Science Advances, 2016, 2, e1501602.
- 29 D. S. Hall, C. Bock and B. R. MacDougall, Journal of the Electrochemical Society, 2013, 160, F235–F243.
- W. Vogel, L. Lundquist, P. Ross and P. Stonehart, *Electrochimica Acta*, 1975, **20**, 79–93.

araday Discussions Accepted Manuscript

Journal Name

- 31 J. Durst, A. Siebel, C. Simon, F. Hasché, J. Herranz and H. A. Gasteiger, *Energy & Environmental Science*, 2014, **7**, 2255–2260.
- H. H. Do, D. L. T. Nguyen, X. C. Nguyen, T.-H. Le, T. P. Nguyen, Q. T. Trinh, S. H. Ahn, D→MoNoMo So Mo136B
 Kim and Q. van Le, Arabian Journal of Chemistry, 2020, 13, 3653–3671.
- 33 Q.-S. Chen, J. Solla-Gullón, S.-G. Sun and J. M. Feliu, *Electrochimica Acta*, 2010, **55**, 7982–7994.
- 34 Y. Pellegrin and F. Odobel, *Comptes Rendus Chimie*, 2017, **20**, 283–295.
- U. Pal, S. Ghosh and D. Chatterjee, *Transition Metal Chemistry*, 2012, **37**, 93–96.
- 36 X. Xing, I. T. Bae, M. Shao and C.-C. Liu, *Journal of Electroanalytical Chemistry*, 1993, **346**, 309–321.
- P. Karabinas and D. Jannakoudakis, *Journal of Electroanalytical Chemistry and Interfacial Electrochemistry*, 1984, **160**, 159–167.
- 38 M. Rafique, S. Hajra, M. Irshad, M. Usman, M. Imran, M. A. Assiri and W. M. Ashraf, *ACS Omega*, 2023, **8**, 25640–25648.
- V. Kumaravel, S. Mathew, J. Bartlett and S. C. Pillai, *Applied Catalysis: B*, 2019, 244, 1021–1064.
- D. Polcari, P. Dauphin-Ducharme and J. Mauzeroll, *Chemical Reviews*, 2016, **116**, 13234–13278.
- 41 S. Trasatti and O. A. Petrii, *Pure and Applied Chemistry*, 1991, **63**, 711–734.
- 42 V. Briega-Martos, A. Ferre-Vilaplana, E. Herrero and J. M. Feliu, *Electrochimica Acta*, 2020, **354**, 136620.
- 43 E. Oswald, A. L. Gaus, J. Kund, M. Küllmer, J. Romer, S. Weizenegger, T. Ullrich, A. K. Mengele, L. Petermann, R. Leiter, P. R. Unwin, U. Kaiser, S. Rau, A. Kahnt, A. Turchanin, M. von Delius and C. Kranz, *Chemistry A European Journal*, 2021, **27**, 16896–16903.
- F. R. F. Fan and D. Damaille, Preparation of tips for scanning electrochemical microscopy, in *Scanning Electrochemical Microscopy*, eds. A. J. Bard and M. V. Mirkin, 2nd ed., CRC Press, Boca Raton, 2012, pp. 25–51.
- 45 P. Knittel, H. Zhang, C. Kranz, G. G. Wallace and M. J. Higgins, Nanoscale, 2016, 8, 4475–4481.

ARTICLE

Data availability statements

View Article Online DOI: 10.1039/D4FD00136B

Data for this article, are available at the Open Access Repositorium der Universität Ulm und der Technischen Hochschule Ulm (OPARU) at <u>https://doi.org/10.18725/OPARU-53116</u>.