PCCP

PAPER

Cite this: *Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.*, 2024, 26, 25069

Received 26th June 2024, Accepted 6th September 2024

DOI: 10.1039/d4cp02546f

rsc.li/pccp

Introduction

Atomic clusters are intermediates between individual atoms and solids and often have special electronic, optical and catalytic properties that vary with cluster size.^{$1-3$} Among them, Zintl anions have been extensively studied since the 1930s.⁴ Zintl chemistry typically includes the Zintl phase and the Zintl anions. Zintl anions are groups of atoms that contain only main-group (semi) metal atoms in their structures. Zintl anions usually occur in clusters containing only main group element 14 or 15. $^{5-8}$ For example, the pyramids ${\rm Bi_5}^+$ and octahedral ${\rm Bi_6}^{2+}$ are isolated from ternary clusters, 9 as well as $\mathrm{Sb_7}^{3-}$ with C_3 configuration and Ge $_9^{4-}$ with two crystal structures. 10 When there is a central metal in the Zintl cluster, it is called an intermetalloid cluster (which can be denoted by $[M_x@E_y]^{q-}$ or $[M_x@E_yM_z]^q$ ⁻).^{11,12} Here, M stands for transition metal atoms

Actinide endohedral inter-metalloid clusters of the group 15 elements†

Nai-Xin Zhang,^{ab} Cong-Zhi Wang,^{*a} Jian-Hui Lan,^a Qun-Yan Wu D^a and Wei-Qun Shi^{D*a}

Inter-metalloid clusters in Zintl chemistry have been extensively studied due to their unique electronic structures and potential applications. In this work, we explored a series of actinide endohedral intermetalloid clusters of the group 15 elements $[An@Bi_{12}]^{4-}$ (An = Th-U) and $[An@Sh_{12}]^{4-}$ using density functional theory (DFT). [Th@Bi₁₂]^{4–} and [U@Bi₁₂]^{4–} exhibit C_s symmetry, while [Pa@Bi₁₂]^{4–} and $[An@Sb₁₂]^{4–} (An = Th-U) have C₁ structures. Bonding analyses such as bond order, molecular orbitals$ (MO) and quantum theory of atoms in molecules (QTAIM) show covalent An–Bi/An–Sb bonding in the clusters. All these clusters are highly stable according to the studied formation reactions and may be accessible experimentally. Compared with $[An@Bi_{12}]^{4-}$, $[An@Sh_{12}]^{4-}$ possesses stronger bonding interactions, mainly arising from the higher electrostatic interaction energy. For clusters with the same group 15 elements, the bonding interactions increase gradually from Th to U, which is mainly determined by the covalent interactions of An–Bi/An–Sb bonding. This work is expected to provide potential avenues for the construction of robust inter-metalloid clusters of the group 15 elements. PAPER View Article endohedral inter-metalloid clusters
 (a) Checkforupdates **Contains and Contains are**

or lanthanide and actinide atoms, and E denotes p -block (semi) metal atoms. Over the past few decades, inter-metalloid clusters $[M_x @ E_y]^{q-}$ have attracted the research interest of chemists and physicists due to the large number of novel structures, bonding, and special chemical and physical properties they exhibit.^{5,13,14}

At present, the most reported inter-metalloid clusters are the transition metal atom-encapsulated clusters, which have rich structures and properties.^{5,15,16} For example, $[M@Ge_{10}]^{3-} (M =$ Fe,¹⁷ Co¹⁸) is a pentagonal prismatic germanium cage with iron or cobalt atoms in the central cavity; $\left[\text{Ru@Ge}_{12}\right]^{3-}$ is a nondecahedral cluster of 12 vertices;¹⁹ $[\text{Ir@Sn}_{12}]^{3-}$ is a ligand-free icosahedral cluster with high symmetry;²⁰ and $\left[\mathrm{Co@Sn}_{6}\mathrm{Sb}_{6}\right]^{3-}$ is an off-centre 12-vertex endohedral cluster. 21 In addition to the embedding of transition metals in the Zintl anions.^{5,15} several lanthanide or actinide endohedral inter-metalloid clusters have been reported theoretically and experimentally. The $\mathrm{[Eu@Sn_{6}Bi_{8}]^{4-}}$ cluster is a mini-fullerene-type anion containing lanthanide ions.²² [Ln@Sn₇Bi₇]⁴⁻ and [Ln@Sn₄Bi₉]⁴⁻ (Ln = La, Ce) are anionic cages of two lanthanides. 23 Some clusters have also been confirmed by photoelectron spectroscopy $(LnSi_n⁻(3 $\leq$$ $n \leq 13$; Ln = Ho, Gd, Pr, Sm, Eu, Yb)²⁴). In addition, a series of actinide-centred main-group metal clusters such as $[\text{U@Tl}_2\text{Bi}_{11}]^{3-}$, $[U@Pb_7Bi_7]^{3-}$ and $[U@Pb_4Bi_9]^{3-}$ have been successfully prepared,²⁵ and several clusters have been predicted theoretically (e.g. Pu@Sn₁₂ and Pu@Pb₁₂²⁶). For the binary intermetalloid clusters of the group 15 elements, $[La@Sb_{12}]^{3-}$ is stabilized by the interaction of three π -anti-aromatic units with

^a Laboratory of Nuclear Energy Chemistry, Institute of High Energy Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, China. E-mail: shiwq@ihep.ac.cn, wangcongzhi@ihep.ac.cn

 b College of Nuclear Science and Technology, Harbin Engineering University, Harbin 150001, China

[†] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: QTAIM analysis of $[An@Bi_{12}]^{4-}$ (An = Th, Pa) and $[An@Sb_{12}]^{4-}$ (An = Th–U), orbital interaction diagram of $[U@Bi_{12}]^{4-}$, the optimized structures of the empty cages, the optimized structures of the side-attached isomers of $[\text{U@Bi}_{12}]^{4-}$, relative energies for the $[{\rm An@Bi}_{12}]^4^-/{\rm [An@Sb}_{12}]^4^-$ structures, An–Bi distances, the molecular orbital composition analysis of $[An@Bi_{12}]^{4–}$, formation energies and bond dissociation energies (BDEs) of $[{\rm An} \circledR {\rm Bi}_{12}]^{4-} / [{\rm An} \circledR {\rm Sb}_{12}]^{4-}.$ See DOI: [https://doi.org/10.1039/](https://doi.org/10.1039/d4cp02546f) [d4cp02546f](https://doi.org/10.1039/d4cp02546f)

Paper Paper Policy of the contract of the cont

the central lanthanide metal atom, representing the first localized π -antiaromatic all-metal system in the solid state.²⁷ The anionic heavy-metal cluster $[Th@Bi_{12}]^{4-}$ exhibits 2π -aromaticity, with a stronger ring current than benzene (6π) and close to that of porphyrin (26 π), showing substantial all-metal π -aromaticity.²⁸ Like Th, U can be encapsulated in Zintl clusters. The uraniumcentred cluster $\left[\mathrm{U@Bi}_{12}\right]^{3-}$ has been isolated and characterized by quantum chemical calculations.25

Considering that the thorium-doped all-metal Bi cluster $[\text{Th@Bi}_{12}]^{4-}$ has been synthesized,²⁸ analogous clusters with other actinide atoms may be stable inter-metalloid clusters. In this work, we have systematically explored the structures and properties of $[An@Bi_{12}]^{4-}$ $(An = Th-U)$ using density functional theory (DFT). The antimony analogues $[An@Sb_{12}]^{4-}$ $(An = Th-U)$ were also studied for comparative analyses. This work provides an indepth understanding of the binary inter-metalloid clusters of the group 15 elements and provides theoretical clues for the development of new materials for Zintl clusters.

Computational details

For the inter-metalloid clusters of $[{\rm An@Bi}_{12}]^{4-}/[{\rm An@Sb}_{12}]^{4-}$, geometrical optimization and vibrational frequency analysis were carried out using the Gaussian 16 package²⁹ with a PBE^{30} pure density functional. The conductor-like screening model (COSMO) was used for charge compensation of the default parameters.31 The dispersion correction PBE-D3 was used to take into account the dispersion interactions between the actinides and the Bi/Sb atoms.³² Relativistic effects were considered with the relativistic effective core potentials (RECPs) replacing 60 core electrons (ECP60MWB) for actinides, and the corresponding basis set ECP60MWB_SEG^{33,34} was adopted. For Bi and Sb metals, ECP78MWB³⁵ and ECP46MWB³⁶ were used, respectively. For all of the clusters, geometry optimizations were performed at the PBE-D3/RECP level of theory. Harmonic vibrational analysis was carried out at the same theoretical level, and the optimized geometries were minimum structures on the potential energy surfaces with all real vibrational frequencies. Atomic dipole-corrected Hirshfeld atomic charge $(ADCH)^{37}$ analysis was performed at the same theoretical level using the Multiwfn 3.8 (dev) package.³⁸ The Wiberg bond index (WBI) was also obtained at the PBE-D3/RECP theoretical level using the Multiwfn 3.8(dev) package. By using ADF 2022 software,³⁹ VDD (Voronoi deformation density)⁴⁰ charge analysis, the energy decomposition analysis (EDA) and quantum theory of atoms in molecules $(QTAIM)^{41}$ topology analysis based on the optimized structures were performed using the PBE method and the TZP basis set without a frozen core, and scalar relativistic effects were taken into account by zero-order rule approximation $(ZORA).⁴²$

Results and discussion

Optimized structures of $\text{[An@Bi}_{12}\text{]}^{4-}/\text{[An@Sb}_{12}\text{]}^{4-}$

Structural optimization and frequency calculations of the $[An@Bi_{12}]^{4-}/[An@Sb_{12}]^{4-}$ $(An = Th-U)$ species were carried

out at the PBE-D3/RECP level of theory. Similar to $[\text{Th@Bi}_{12}]^{4-}$,²⁸ An⁴⁺ was considered as the central ion for each species. Given that the singlet, doublet, and triplet spin states were the highest for Th⁴⁺, Pa⁴⁺, and U^{4+} , respectively, the singlet structures were calculated for $[Th@Bi_{12}]^{4-}/[Th@Sb_{12}]^{4-}$, and the doublet structures were considered for $[Pa@Bi_{12}]^{4-}$ [Pa@Sb₁₂]⁴⁻. In the case of $[U@Bi_{12}]^{4-}/[U@Sb_{12}]^{4-}$, both the singlet and triplet states were considered, and the triplet structures were found to be more stable lying 12.6 $($ [U@Bi₁₂]⁴⁻ $)$ and 6.8 ([U@Sb₁₂]⁴⁻) kcal mol⁻¹ below the corresponding singlet structures. In addition, for each cluster, other possible spin states were also considered, and the singlet, doublet, and triplet structures were more stable for $[\text{Th@Bi}_{12}]^{4-}/[\text{Th@Sb}_{12}]^{4-}, [\text{Pa@Bi}_{12}]^{4-}/$ [Pa@Sb₁₂]^{4–}, and [U@Bi₁₂]^{4–}/[U@Sb₁₂]^{4–}, respectively (Table S1, ESI†).

The optimized structures of $[{\rm An@Bi}_{12}]^4^-/{\rm [An@Sb}_{12}]^4^-$ are displayed in Fig. 1. The geometry of each species shows an oblate structure.⁴³ [Th@Bi₁₂]⁴⁻ and [U@Bi₁₂]⁴⁻ exhibit C_s symmetry, while $[Pa@Bi_{12}]^{4-}$ and $[An@Sb_{12}]^{4-}$ $(An = Th-U)$ have no symmetry (C_1) . As for $[An@Bi_{12}]^{4-}$, since the An-Bi bond lengths (Table 1) vary considerably (0.3–0.5 Å), the An–Bi bonds in $Bi₁₂$ rings can be divided into two types: one is the slightly longer bond from the central metal to the Bi ring $(An-Bi_A)$, and the other is the relatively shorter An-BiB bond. Three butterflyshaped Bi_4 rings of $[An@Bi_{12}]^{4-}$, each perpendicular to the central metal, are connected by $Bi_A-Bi_A{}'$ bonds to form a closed $Bi₁₂$ ring that encloses the actinide ion in the center. For each species of $[An@Sb_{12}]^{4-}$, $Sb_{12}{}^{8-}$ has a structure similar to that of Bi_{12}^{8-} . The structure of $[U@Bi_{12}]^{4-}$ is similar to that of the synthesized $[\text{U@Bi}_{12}]^{3-}$,²⁵ with an average U-Bi bond distance difference of less than 0.14 Å (Table S2, ESI†), and the Bi–Bi Puper

Open Access Article 2022. This area are the signature of the signal of the

Fig. 1 Optimized structures of $[An@Bi_{12}]^{4-}/[An@Sb_{12}]^{4-}$ at the PBE-D3/ RECP theoretical level. The purple, pink and yellow spheres represent Bi, Sb and An, respectively

Table 1 Spin states, Mulliken atomic spin densities (ρ_{An}) , and average An–Bi/Sb bond distances for $[An@Bi_{12}]^{4-}/[An@Sb_{12}]^{4-}$ at the PBE-D3/ RECP theoretical level

bond distances of these two clusters are also very close to each other. For $[\text{Th@Bi}_{12}]^{4-}$, as tabulated in Table S3 (ESI†), the calculated Th-Bi_A and Th-Bi_B average bond lengths are 3.638 and 3.264 Å, respectively, which are very close to the experimental bond lengths, 25 with the differences of only 0.02 and 0.01 Å, respectively. This indicates that the calculation method is reliable for the structural parameters of the studied intermetalloid clusters.

As can be seen from Table 1, the Mulliken atomic spin densities of the Th, Pa and U atoms are consistent with the spin states of the clusters. Therefore, the unpaired electrons (An = Pa, U) are mainly located on the actinide atoms. As expected, the calculated An–Sb bonds are shorter than the corresponding An–Bi bonds. The average bond distances of the $An-Bi_A$ bonds range from 3.629 to 3.664 Å, which are much longer than those of the An–Bi_B bonds (3.171–3.264 Å), and the differences are between 0.3 and 0.5 Å. As for $\left[\text{An@Sb}_{12}\right]^{\!4-}$, the average An–Sb_A bond lengths range from 3.527 to 3.548 Å, which are also much longer than those of the $An-Sb_B$ bonds (3.148–3.234 Å). These results indicate the presence of stronger An-BiB/SbB bonds in these clusters. In addition, the relatively shorter $U-Bi_B/Sb_B$ bonds suggest that $[\mathrm{U@Bi_{12}}]^{4-}/[\mathrm{U@Sb_{12}}]^{4-}$ may have stronger U-Bi $_B$ and U-Sb $_B$ bonds than the other clusters.

Bond order and charge analysis

As listed in Table 2, at the PBE-D3/RECP level of theory, for each species, the WBIs values for the $An-Bi_B/Sh_B$ bonds are significantly larger than those of An-Bi_A/Sb_A bonds, implying a higher covalency of the An–Bi $_{\rm B}/{\rm Sb_B}$ bonds. For $[{\rm An@Bi}_{12}]^{4-},$ the WBIs for An-Bi $_B$ bonds vary from 0.756 to 1.018, which are slightly larger than those for An–Sb_B in $[An@Sb_{12}]^{4-}$ $(0.751-1.005)$. This indicates that the An-Bi_B bonding interaction is stronger than the $An-Sb_B$ bonds. By contrast, the WBIs for the An–Sb_A bonds are $0.450-0.491$, which are larger than those for the An-Bi_A bonds (0.430-0.469), indicating the higher covalent character of the $An-Sb_A$ bonds. Besides, most of the WBIs for the An-Bi_A/Sb_A and An-Bi_B/Sb_B bonds increase from Th to U, suggesting stronger metal–ligand bonding in $[\text{U@Bi}_{12}]^{4-} / [\text{U@Sb}_{12}]^{4-}.$

To evaluate the charge rearrangement of $[{\rm An@Bi}_{12}]^{4-} /$ $[{\rm An@Sb}_{12}]^4$, we performed the ADCH and VDD charge analyses based on the optimized structures. The calculated low ADCH and VDD charges on the actinide atoms (Q_{An}) of

Table 2 The Wiberg bond index (WBI) for the An–Bi/Sb bonds, ADCH charges on the central metal atom ($Q_{\sf An}$) for [An@Bi $_{12}]^{4-}/$ [An@Sb $_{12}]^{4-}$ at the PBE-D3/RECP theoretical level, and VDD charges at the PBE/TZP theoretical level

	WBIs		$Q_{\rm An}$	
Species	$An-Bi_A/Sb_A$	$An-Bi_R/Sb_R$	ADCH	VDD
C_s [Th@Bi ₁₂] ⁴⁻¹	0.430	0.756	0.152	0.051
C_1 [Pa@Bi ₁₂] ⁴⁻	0.466	0.925	0.230	0.096
$C_{\rm s}$ [U@Bi ₁₂] ⁴⁻¹	0.469	1.018	0.169	0.026
C_1 [Th@Sb ₁₂] ⁴⁻¹	0.450	0.751	0.015	0.079
C_1 [Pa@Sb ₁₂] ⁴⁻¹	0.510	0.889	0.112	0.135
C_1 [U@Sb ₁₂] ⁴⁻¹	0.491	1.005	0.006	0.066

 $[An@Bi_{12}]^{4–}/[An@Sb_{12}]^{4–} indicate that the charge flows from$ the central metal cations to the cages.

Molecular orbital (MO) analysis

In order to further understand the electronic structures of these actinide-doped all-metal clusters, we performed Kohn–Sham MO analysis with $\left[{\rm An@Bi}_{12}\right]^{4-}$ as a representative. The frontieroccupied MO diagram for $[An@Bi_{12}]^{4-}$ is depicted in Fig. 2. Tables S4–S6 (ESI†) list the compositions of the MOs of [An@Bi₁₂]⁴⁻. As shown in Tables S4-S6 (ESI[†]), the contribution of the Bi cages mainly comes from the Bi 6p orbitals. Fig. 2 shows a pictorial depiction of the main metal–ligand bonding orbitals for each cluster, along with the singly occupied molecular orbitals (SOMOs). The $[\text{Th@Bi}_{12}]^{4-}$ species does not have a single electron because it is a closed-shell complex. The $HOMO-1(a''), HOMO-2(a'), HOMO-3(a''), HOMO-5(a'),$ HOMO–6(a') and HOMO–7(a'') orbitals of $[Th@Bi_{12}]^{4-}$ correspond to the Th–Bi bonding interactions, and these metal– ligand bonding orbitals mainly arise from the interactions between the 6d atomic orbitals of Th and 6p orbitals of Bi cages. For the $[Pa@Bi_{12}]^{4-}$ species, SOMO(a) is mainly derived from the 5f atomic orbital of Pa, and $HOMO-1(a)$, $HOMO-2(a)$, HOMO-5(a), HOMO-6(a), and HOMO-7(a) stand for the interactions of Pa 5f and 6d atomic orbitals with 6p orbitals of Bi cages, while the main contribution of HOMO-3(a) comes from the 5f orbitals of Pa. The $[\mathrm{U@Bi}_{12}]^{4-}$ cluster has two single electrons, corresponding to $SOMO(a'')$ and $SOMO-1(a')$, and these orbitals mainly contribute to the 5f atomic orbitals of U. The HOMO–4(a'), HOMO–1(a'), HOMO–2(a'), HOMO–5(a''), HOMO–6(a''), and HOMO–9(a'') orbitals of $\left[\text{U@Bi}_{12} \right]^{4-}$ have U 5f orbitals and mixed 5f and 6d orbitals, respectively, along with the 6p orbitals of Bi cages, resulting in the metal–ligand bonding orbitals. Unlike $[\text{Th@Bi}_{12}]^{4-}$, the MOs of $[\text{Pa@Bi}_{12}]^{4-}$ and $[U@Bi_{12}]^{4-}$ show notable An 5f orbital contributions. Moreover, for the metal–ligand bonding orbitals, the atomic orbital compositions of Bi and Sb are obviously larger than those of the An atoms, which indicates that the An–Bi/An–Sb bonds are highly polarized. Hence, the results of the MO plots PCCP

bond distances of these two charges are also very close a 1887), the charges are common to the cage,

one can be access at the second in a size and the Si 1887), the charge of the second

can access are the second o

demonstrate the covalent interactions between the actinide metal cation and the Bi/Sb cage of the clusters, consistent with the bond order analysis discussed above. It should be noted that polarized An–Bi/An–Sb bonding is a secondary interaction in addition to ionic bonding.

To further understand the chemical bonding of $[An@Bi_{12}]^{4-}$ $[{\rm An@Sb}_{12}]^{4-}$, an MO correlation diagram with $[{\rm U@Bi}_{12}]^{4-}$ as the representative cluster is shown in Fig. S2 (ESI†). The $HOMO-1(a'), \quad HOMO-2(a'), \quad HOMO-4(a'), \quad HOMO-5(a''),$ HOMO $-6(a'')$, and HOMO $-9(a'')$ orbitals are the main metalligand bonding orbitals. The $HOMO-1(a'), HOMO-2(a'),$ $HOMO-4(a')$, $HOMO-5(a'')$, and $HOMO-6(a'')$ orbitals are mainly derived from the interaction of the U 5f and 6d orbitals with contributions of more than 10%, respectively, and the Bi 6p orbitals of the Bi cages. The $HOMO-9(a'')$ orbital has comparable U 5f and 6d orbital contributions. Besides, the MO energy levels of these bonding orbitals are lower than those of the Bi cage, indicating that the insertion of the actinide atoms stabilizes the MOs of the cages. Paper

Occurs Article 2024. The content on detection between Access Article 2024. Download of the content on 40 September 2024. The content of the September 2024. Download article is an access are solved under the septemb

QTAIM analysis

Topological analysis was performed at the theoretical level of the PBE-D3/RECP using the QTAIM method. In QTAIM analysis, the electron density ($\rho(r)$), Laplacian density ($\nabla^2 \rho(r)$), and energy density $(H(r))$ reflect the bonding properties of two atoms at the bond critical point (BCP). According to our calculations, for these all-metal clusters $[An@Bi_{12}]^{4-}$ $[An@Sb_{12}]^{4–}$, 8-11 BCPs are found between the actinide metal atoms and bismuth/antimony atoms (Fig. 3 and Fig. S1, ESI†), suggesting the presence of actinide–bismuth and actinide– antimony bonding interactions. As indicated in Table S7 (ESI†), the values of ρ are less than 0.1 and $\nabla^2 \rho(r)$ are all positive, indicating that the An–Sb and An–Bi bonds are mainly ionic. The negative $H(r)$ values indicate covalent bonding interactions. Therefore, the interactions of the $[An@Bi_{12}]^{4-}$ and $[An@Sb_{12}]^{4-}$ species are primarily ionic with some covalent interactions. According to Table S7 (ESI†), for each cluster, the $H(r)$ values of the An–Bi_B/Sb_B bonds are more negative than those of the An–Bi_A/ SbA bonds. This indicates the stronger covalent interactions between the former bonds. Delocalization index (DI) analysis can be used to evaluate chemical bonding.44–49 As stated in Table S7 (ESI†), as expected, the higher DI_{total} values of the

Fig. 3 α TAIM analysis of $[U@Bi_{12}]^{4-}$. The red points represent bond critical points, gray lines represent bond paths, and green points represent ring critical points at the PBE-D3/RECP theoretical level.

 $An-Bi_B/Sh_B$ bonds for each cluster also confirm that the covalent interactions of these bonds are stronger than those of the An-Bi_A/Sb_A bonds. In addition, for clusters with the same metal, the larger DI_{total} values for the An–Bi_B bonds suggest that the An-BiB bonds possess stronger covalent interactions than the $An-Sb_B$ bonds. In contrast, the $An-Sb_A$ bonds show higher covalency with larger DI_{total} values compared to the An-Bi_A bonds. These results are in agreement with the bond order analysis.

EDA analysis

To quantitatively describe the bonding interactions between $[An@Bi_{12}]⁴⁻$ and $[An@Sb_{12}]⁴⁻$, EDA analysis was performed at the PBE/TZP/ZORA level of theory. Each species was divided into two fragments: the actinide cation An^{4+} and the all-metal cage $(Bi_{12}^{8-}, Sb_{12}^{8-})$. In EDA analysis, the interaction energy between two fragments (ΔE_{int}) is decomposed into three energy terms, as shown in the following equation:

$$
\Delta E_{\text{int}} = \Delta E_{\text{elstat}} + \Delta E_{\text{Pauli}} + \Delta E_{\text{orb}}
$$

The electrostatic and orbital interactions between the two fragments are denoted by ΔE_{elstat} and ΔE_{orb} , respectively, while the Pauli repulsive exchange interaction is denoted by ΔE_{Pauli} . The electrostatic and covalent contributions of these two fragments are expressed as percentages of $\Delta E_{\text{elstat}}/(\Delta E_{\text{orb}} + \Delta E_{\text{elstat}})$ and $\Delta E_{\rm orb}/(\Delta E_{\rm orb} + \Delta E_{\rm elstat})$, respectively. The steric interaction is expressed as ΔE_{steric} , which is the sum of ΔE_{Pauli} and ΔE_{elstat} . The EDA results for $[An@Bi_{12}]^{4-}$ and $[An@Sb_{12}]^{4-}$ are presented in Table 3. For each species, the contribution of electrostatic energy (62.7–66.1%) is higher than that of the orbital energy (33.9–37.3%), indicating that the An–Bi/Sb interactions are mainly ionic in the $[An@Bi_{12}]^{4-}$ and $[An@Sb_{12}]^{4-}$ clusters. For $[An@Sb_{12}]^{4-}$, the interaction energies ΔE_{int} are between -2906 and -3001 kcal mol⁻¹, which are more negative than those of the corresponding $[An@Bi_{12}]^{4-}$ $(-2841$ to -2935 kcal mol⁻¹), indicating a stronger interaction between An⁴⁺ and $\mathrm{Sb_{12}}^{8-}$ of $\mathrm{[An@Sb_{12}]}^{4-}$. Although the ΔE_{orb} values of [An@Bi₁₂]⁴⁻ are more negative than those of the [An@Sb₁₂]⁴⁻ analogues, $[An@Sb_{12}]^{4-}$ possesses higher interaction energies than $[An@Bi_{12}]⁴$. This is mainly due to the higher electrostatic interactions and lower Pauli repulsive exchange interactions, i.e. the higher steric interactions. The differences in $\Delta E_{\rm Pauli}$ between [An@Bi₁₂]^{4–} and [An@Sb₁₂]^{4–} are smaller (17-24 kcal mol⁻¹) than those in ΔE_{elstat} (69-82 kcal mol⁻¹), suggesting that the higher electrostatic interaction energy is responsible for the stronger bonding interactions of $[An@Sb_{12}]^{4-}.$

The interaction energies $\Delta E_{\rm int}$ of ${\rm [U@Bi_{12}]}^{4-}$ and ${\rm [U@Sb_{12}]}^{4-}$ are -2935.59 and -3001.09 kcal mol⁻¹, respectively, both of which are the most negative $\Delta E_{\rm int}$ values in the $\left[{\rm An@Bi}_{12}\right]^{4-}$ and $[An@Sb_{12}]^{4-}$ species, and $[U@Sb_{12}]^{4-}$ shows the most negative $\Delta E_{\rm int}$, indicating that $[{\rm U@Sb}_{12}]^{4-}$ possesses the strongest interactions. Except for $[Pa@Sb_{12}]^{4-}$, from Th to U, the electrostatic and orbital interactions gradually increase, which is similar to the trend of the interaction energy. Compared with

<code>Table 3 EDA</code> results (kcal mol $^{-1}$) of [An@Bi $_{12}$] $^{4-}$ /[An@Sb $_{12}$] $^{4-}$ at the PBE/TZP/ZORA level of theory. The values in parentheses indicate the percentage of each value relative to the sum of the values ($\Delta E_{\text{elstat}} + \Delta E_{\text{orb}}$)

Species	$\Delta E_{\rm Pauli}$	ΔE_{elstat} (%)	$\Delta E_{\rm{steric}}$	$\Delta E_{\rm orb}$ (%)	$\Delta E_{\rm int}$
$C_{\rm s}$ [Th@Bi ₁₂] ⁴⁻¹	351.55	$-2059.83(64.5)$	-1708.28	$-1133.00(35.5)$	-2841.10
C_1 [Pa@Bi ₁₂] ^{\prime}	405.65	$-2076.82(62.9)$	-1671.16	$-1224.03(37.1)$	-2895.23
$C_{\rm s}$ [U@Bi ₁₂] ⁴⁻¹	390.00	$-2085.28(62.7)$	-1695.28	$-1240.07(37.3)$	-2935.59
C_1 [Th@Sb ₁₂] ⁴⁻¹	333.96	$-2142.36(66.1)$	-1808.41	$-1098.38(33.9)$	-2906.78
C_1 [Pa@Sb ₁₂]	386.26	$-2156.33(64.3)$	-1770.07	$-1195.17(35.7)$	-2965.08
C_1 [U@Sb ₁₂] ⁴⁻¹	365.46	$-2154.50(64.0)$	-1789.04	$-1212.08(36.0)$	-3001.09

 $\left[\mathrm{U@Sb}_{12}\right]^{4-},\left[\mathrm{Pa@Sb}_{12}\right]^{4-}$ has a slightly higher $\Delta E_{\mathrm{elstat}},$ while a larger $\Delta E_{\rm Pauli}$ leads to a lower $\Delta E_{\rm{steric}}$ for $\left[\rm{Pa@Sb_{12}}\right]^{4-}.$ It should be noted that the trend of the steric interaction energies ΔE_{steric} for $[An@Bi_{12}]^{4-}/[An@Sb_{12}]^{4-}$ differs from the corresponding interaction energies ΔE_{int} . Considering the similar trend of $\Delta E_{\rm orb}$ and $\Delta E_{\rm int}$, the tendency of the bonding interactions of these clusters is mainly determined by the covalent interactions.

Energy analysis

The formation energies (ΔE) were calculated by the reactions of $An^{4+} + Bi_{12}^{8-} \rightarrow [An@Bi_{12}]^{4-}$ and $An^{4+} + Sb_{12}^{8-} \rightarrow [An@Sb_{12}]^{4-}$ at the theoretical level of the PBE-D3/RECP. The empty $\mathrm{Sb_{12}}^{8-}/\mathrm{Bi_{12}}^{8-}$ cages were optimized (Fig. S3, ESI†), and the cages did not maintain the geometries in the clusters. Since the empty $\mathrm{Sb_{12}}^{8-}$ ${\rm Bi_{12}}^{8-}$ cages are predicted to be stable, they should be able to serve as plausible reactants in the formation reactions. Therefore, at the same theoretical level, we optimized the structure of the sideattached isomer, represented by $[\mathrm{U@Bi}_{12}]^{4-}$ with U^{4+} attached externally to the cage, which may be an important precursor of the endohedral isomer. It was found that in the optimized sideattached isomer (Fig. S4, ESI†), the structures of the $\mathrm{Bi_{12}}^{8-}$ cages changed considerably due to the coordination of U^{4+} , and the structures lie 107.3 kcal mol^{-1} above the endohedral isomer.

The formation energies are presented in Fig. 4 and Table S8 (ESI†). The calculated formation energies for $[{\rm An@Bi}_{12}]^{4-}$ vary between -461 and -538 kcal mol $^{-1}$ (Table S8, ESI†), while those for $\text{[An@Sb}_{12}\text{]}^{4-}$ range from -466.8 to -542.0 kcal mol $^{-1}.$ According to the studied formation reactions, it is shown that these all-metal clusters are highly stable in the gas phase at room temperature. For the $\text{[An@Sb}_{12}\text{]}^{4-}$ clusters, $\text{[U@Sb}_{12}\text{]}^{4-}$

Fig. 4 The formation energy (ΔE) of [An@Bi₁₂]⁴⁻/[An@Sb₁₂]⁴⁻ at the PBE-D3/RECP theoretical level.

has the most negative formation energy $(-542.0 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1})$, indicating that $[U@Sb_{12}]^{4-}$ is more stable than $[Th@Sb_{12}]^{4-}$ and $[Pa@Sb_{12}]^{4-}$. Similarly, the U-doped species of the $[An@Bi_{12}]^{4-}$ metal cluster has the most negative formation energy of -538.0 kcal mol⁻¹. Thus, among the metal clusters of $[An@Bi_{12}]^{4-}$ and $[An@Sb_{12}]^{4-}$, the U-doped metal clusters are more stable, which is consistent with the trend of the covalent interactions of $[An@Bi_{12}]^{4-}$ and $[An@Sb_{12}]^{4-}$. As shown in Fig. 4, the absolute values of ΔE display an upward trend from Th to U. Besides, the higher formation energies of $[An@Sb_{12}]^{4–} indicate the stronger metal-ligand interactions in$ these clusters, in line with the stronger electrostatic interactions of $\text{[An@Sb}_{12}\text{]}^{4-}$ than that of $\text{[An@Bi}_{12}\text{]}^{4-}$. Therefore, these trends in the formation energies are consistent with the results of the EDA analysis, which demonstrates that both the electrostatic interactions between the actinide cations and metal cages and the metal–ligand covalent interactions are crucial for the formation of these actinide-doped inter-metalloid clusters. PCCP

Takes Articles. Open Access Article 2024. Details are the common access Article 2022. The main state of the common access Article 2022. The Creation of Creation - 2022. The Creation of Creation - 2022. This article

At the PBE-D3/RECP level of theory, we also performed dissociation energy (BDE, ΔH) analysis for [An@Bi₁₂]⁴⁻ and $[An@Sb_{12}]⁴⁻$ with core + shell products at room temperature, including the neutral metal atoms and the metal cations of An²⁺ and An⁴⁺. All of the empty $\mathrm{Sb_{12}}^{4-}/\mathrm{Bi_{12}}^{4-}, \mathrm{Sb_{12}}^{6-}/\mathrm{Bi_{12}}^{6-}$ and $Sb_{12}^{\ \ \, 8-}/Bi_{12}^{\ \ \, 8-}$ cages were optimized (Fig. S3, ESI†). As listed in Table S9 (ESI†), the high BDEs of these clusters indicate that they are all stable. As expected, the BDEs of these clusters gradually increased from Th to U, in line with the stronger covalent interactions of U-Bi/Sb bonding. For $[An@Bi_{12}]⁴⁻$ and $[An@Sb_{12}]^{4-}$, the BDEs with metal atoms An are the lowest, mainly due to the lower electrostatic interactions. For each cluster, the dissociation reaction shown in Table S9 (ESI†) involves the dissociation of 12 An–B bonds. According to the experimental formation energy (ΔH , -19.4 \pm 0.5 kcal mol⁻¹, 300 K) of ThBi, 50 the dissociation energy of 12 Th-Bi bonds (probably 3.38 Å) is approximately 232.8 kcal mol⁻¹, which is close to the calculated dissociation energy of $[{\rm Th@Bi}_{12}]^{4-}$ $(238.9 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1}, 298.15 \text{ K})$ with an average Th-Bi bond distance of about 3.4 \AA . These results further prove the reliability of the predictions for the studied clusters.

For the studied $[An@Bi_{12}]^{4-}/[An@Sb_{12}]^{4-}$ clusters, the dissociation could occur along multiple channels other than those with core (An) + shell (cage) products. From the present cluster structure, dissociation into the core + shell would apparently require breaking six stronger and six weaker An–Bi/Sb bonds. The detachment of one (e.g., outermost) Bi/Sb requires only three Bi–Bi/Sb–Sb bonds and one An-Bi/Sb bond broken. Therefore, using $[An@Bi_{12}]^{4-}$ as

an example, we calculated the BDEs for the reactions of $[An@Bi_{12}]^{4-} \rightarrow Bi^{3+} + AnBi_{11}^7$ and $[An@Bi_{12}]^{4-} \rightarrow Bi + AnBi_{11}^4$. As shown in Table S10 (ESI†), the calculated BDEs by the detachment of ${\rm Bi}^{3+}$ and Bi are between 78.5 and 100.6 kcal mol $^{-1}$, which are lower than the corresponding reactions with core + shell products. As expected, the detachment of single Bi (Bi³⁺ and Bi) requires less energy than that of An. Thus, the actual system stability may be considerably lower with respect to the detachment of a single Bi/Sb. Based on the experimental results, the dissociation energy of Bi_2 is 48.9 kcal mol⁻¹ with the Bi-Bi bond distance of 2.66 \AA ^{51,52} and the dissociation energy of one Th-Bi bond and three Bi-Bi bonds is approximately 166.7 kcal mol^{-1} , which is higher than the calculated dissociation reaction energies of $[Th@Bi_{12}]^{4-}$ (Table S10, ESI†). It should be noted that the average Bi–Bi and Th–Bi bond lengths of $[\text{Th@Bi}_{12}]^{4-}$ are much longer than those of $Bi₂$ and ThBi with a difference of about 0.4 Å and 0.2 Å, respectively. Therefore, the inconsistency in the dissociation energy is most likely due to the different bond lengths of the Bi–Bi and Th–Bi bonds. In addition, considering that $[Th@Bi_{12}]^{4-}$ has been synthesized experimentally,²⁸ the calculated BDEs of $\left[\text{Pa@Bi}_{12}\right]^{4-}$ and $\left[\text{U@Bi}_{12}\right]^{4-}$ are close to those of $[Th@Bi_{12}]^{4-}$ (Table S10, ESI†); therefore, the Pa and U analogues may be accessible under suitable synthetic conditions. Paper

Access Article 2024. The contrast Articles Concernsity Concerns Articles Articles. The Article 2024. The same on conflicts to declare

A monothi^m and his component protos with the same also are a solution-

A mon

Conclusions

In the present work, we explored a series of actinide endohedral inter-metalloid clusters of the group 15 elements $\text{[An@Bi}_{12}\text{]}^{4-}/$ $[An@Sb_{12}]^{4-}$ $(An = Th-U)$ using DFT calculations. The predicted structures of these inter-metalloid clusters have similar geometries. The VDD and ADCH charge analyses showed that the central metal cations are electron donors to the metal cages. The bond order, QTAIM and MO analyses confirmed the covalent bonding interactions of the An–Bi and An–Sb bonds. The EDA analysis shows that electrostatic interactions play a major role in the stronger bonding interaction of $[An@Sb_{12}]^{4-}$ than that of $[An@Bi_{12}]^{4-}$, which is consistent with the thermodynamic analysis. Among the $[An@Bi_{12}]^{4-}$ and $[An@Sb_{12}]^{4-}$ metal clusters, the U-doped metal cluster has the highest formation energy, which is consistent with the trend of covalent interactions of $\left[\text{An@Bi}_{12}\right]^{4-}$ and $\left[\text{An@Sb}_{12}\right]^{\text{4}-}$ in the EDA analysis. These results indicate that the covalent interactions determine the bonding tendencies of these clusters. Therefore, covalent and electrostatic interactions between actinide metal atoms and metal cages are essential for the formation of these actinide endohedral inter-metalloid clusters. This work predicts the feasibility of the actinide-doped intermetalloid clusters of the group 15 elements and provides approaches for enriching bismuth and antimony clusters.

Data availability

The authors confirm that the data supporting the findings of this study are available within the article and its supplementary materials.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

This study was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 12175269 and U2067212).

References

- 1 Y. Li, Q. Zhang, L. Ren, Z. Li, X. Lin, Z. Ma, H. Yang, Z. Hu and J. Zou, Ind. Chem. Mater., 2023, 1, 282–298.
- 2 R. S. McCoy, S. Choi, G. Collins, B. J. Ackerson and C. J. Ackerson, ACS Nano, 2013, 7, 2610–2616.
- 3 J. U. Reveles, P. A. Clayborne, A. C. Reber, S. N. Khanna, K. Pradhan, P. Sen and M. R. Pederson, Nat. Chem., 2009, 1, 310–315.
- 4 A. H. E. Zintl, Z. Phys. Chem., 1931, 154, 47.
- 5 T. F. Fassler and S. D. Hoffmann, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2004, 43, 6242–6247.
- 6 E. N. Esenturk, J. Fettinger and B. Eichhorn, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2006, 128, 9178–9186.
- 7 L. F. Cui, X. Huang, L. M. Wang, J. Li and L. S. Wang, J. Phys. Chem. A, 2006, 110, 10169–10172.
- 8 L. G. Perla, A. G. Oliver and S. C. Sevov, Inorg. Chem., 2015, 54, 872–875.
- 9 M. Ruck, Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem., 1998, 624, 521–528.
- 10 H. G. vonSchnering, M. Baitinger, U. Bolle, W. Carrillo-Cabrera, J. Curda, Y. Grin, F. Heinemann, J. Llanos, K. Peters, A. Schmeding and M. Somer, Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem., 1997, 623, 1037–1039.
- 11 E. N. Esenturk, J. Fettinger, Y. F. Lam and B. Eichhorn, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2004, 43, 2132–2134.
- 12 F. Lips and S. Dehnen, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2009, 48, 6435–6438.
- 13 S. C. Sevov and J. M. Goicoechea, Organometallics, 2006, 25, 5678–5692.
- 14 S. Scharfe and T. F. Fassler, Philos. Trans. R. Soc., A, 2010, 368, 1265–1284.
- 15 S. Scharfe, F. Kraus, S. Stegmaier, A. Schier and T. F. Fassler, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2011, 50, 3630–3670.
- 16 M. Ruck, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2001, 40, 1182–1193.
- 17 B. B. Zhou, M. S. Denning, D. L. Kays and J. M. Goicoechea, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2009, 131, 2802.
- 18 J. Q. Wang, S. Stegmaier and T. F. Fassler, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2009, 48, 1998–2002.
- 19 G. Espinoza-Quintero, J. C. A. Duckworth, W. K. Myers, J. E. McGrady and J. M. Goicoechea, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2014, 136, 1210–1213.
- 20 J. Q. Wang, S. Stegmaier, B. Wahl and T. F. Fassler, Chem. Eur. J., 2010, 16, 1793–1798.
- 21 R. J. Wilson, F. Hastreiter, K. Reiter, P. Buschelberger, R. Wolf, R. M. Gschwind, F. Weigend and S. Dehnen, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2018, 57, 15359–15363.
- 22 F. Lips, R. Clerac and S. Dehnen, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2011, 50, 960–964.
- 23 F. Lips, M. Holynska, R. Clerac, U. Linne, I. Schellenberg, R. Pottgen, F. Weigend and S. Dehnen, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2012, 134, 1181–1191.
- 24 A. Grubisic, Y. J. Ko, H. P. Wang and K. H. Bowen, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2009, 131, 10783–10790.
- 25 N. Lichtenberger, R. J. Wilson, A. R. Eulenstein, W. Massa, R. Clerac, F. Weigend and S. Dehnen, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2016, 138, 9033–9036.
- 26 J. P. Dognon, C. Clavaguera and P. Pyykko, C. R. Chim, 2010, 13, 884–888.
- 27 X. Min, I. A. Popov, F. X. Pan, L. J. Li, E. Matito, Z. M. Sun, L. S. Wang and A. I. Boldyrev, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2016, 55, 5531–5535.
- 28 A. R. Eulenstein, Y. J. Franzke, N. Lichtenberger, R. J. Wilson, H. L. Deubner, F. Kraus, R. Clerac, F. Weigend and S. Dehnen, Nat. Chem., 2021, 13, 1755–4330.
- 29 M. T. G. Frisch, H. Schlegel, G. Scuseria, M. Robb, J. Cheeseman, G. Scalmani, V. Barone, G. Petersson, H. Nakatsuji, X. Li, M. Caricato, A. V. Marenich, J. Bloino, B. G. Janesko, R. Gomperts, B. Mennucci, H. P. Hratchian, J. V. Ortiz, A. F. Izmaylov, J. L. Sonnenberg, D. Williams-Young, F. Ding, F. Lipparini, F. Egidi, J. Goings, B. Peng, A. Petrone, T. Henderson, D. Ranasinghe, V. G. Zakrzewski, J. Gao, N. Rega, G. Zheng, W. Liang, M. Hada, M. Ehara, K. Toyota, R. Fukuda, J. Hasegawa, M. Ishida, T. Nakajima, Y. Honda, O. Kitao, H. Nakai, T. Vreven, K. Throssell, J. A. Montgomery Jr., J. E. Peralta, F. Ogliaro, M. Bearpark, J. J. Heyd, E. N. Brothers, K. N. Kudin, V. N. Staroverov, R. Kobayashi, J. Normand, K. Raghavachari, A. Rendell, J. C. Burant, S. S. Iyengar, J. Tomasi, M. Cossi, J. M. Millam, M. Klene, C. Adamo, R. Cammi, J. W. Ochterski, R. L. Martin, K. Morokuma, O. Farkas, J. B. Foresman and D. J. Fox, Gaussian Ict, Wallingford, CT, 2016. PCCP

22 F. Line, R. George, R. A. George, R. Weight A. D. Commons A. A. George, R. Weight A. H.
	- 30 J. P. Perdew, K. Burke and M. Ernzerhof, Phys. Rev. Lett., 1996, 77, 3865–3868.
	- 31 A. Klamt and G. Schuurmann, J. Chem. Soc.-Perkin Trans. 2, 1993, 799–805.
	- 32 S. Grimme, J. Antony, S. Ehrlich and H. Krieg, J. Chem. Phys., 2010, 132, 154104.
- 33 X. Y. Cao, M. Dolg and H. Stoll, J. Chem. Phys., 2003, 118, 487–496.
- 34 M. Dolg and X. Y. Cao, Chem. Rev., 2012, 112, 403–480.
- 35 W. Kuchle, M. Dolg, H. Stoll and H. Preuss, Mol. Phys., 1991, 74, 1245–1263.
- 36 A. Bergner, M. Dolg, W. Kuchle, H. Stoll and H. Preuss, Mol. Phys., 1993, 80, 1431–1441.
- 37 T. Lu and F. W. Chen, J. Theor. Comput. Chem., 2012, 11, 163–183.
- 38 T. Lu and F. W. Chen, J. Comput. Chem., 2012, 33, 580–592.
- 39 G. te Velde, F. M. Bickelhaupt, E. J. Baerends, C. F. Guerra, S. J. A. Van Gisbergen, J. G. Snijders and T. Ziegler, J. Comput. Chem., 2001, 22, 931–967.
- 40 C. F. Guerra, J. W. Handgraaf, E. J. Baerends and F. M. Bickelhaupt, J. Comput. Chem., 2004, 25, 189–210.
- 41 R. F. W. Bader, Atoms in Molecules: A Quantum Theory, Clarendon Press, Oxford, New York, 1990.
- 42 E. Vanlenthe, E. J. Baerends and J. G. Snijders, J. Chem. Phys., 1993, 99, 4597–4610.
- 43 F. Lips, R. Clerac and S. Dehnen, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2011, 133, 14168–14171.
- 44 R. F. W. Bader and M. E. Stephens, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 1975, 97, 7391–7399.
- 45 C. Foroutan-Nejad, Z. Badri and R. Marek, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 2015, 17, 30670–30679.
- 46 Z. Badri, C. Foroutan-Nejad, J. Kozelka and R. Marek, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 2015, 17, 26183–26190.
- 47 C. Foroutan-Nejad, S. Shahbazian and R. Marek, Chem. Eur. J., 2014, 20, 10140–10152.
- 48 P. L. Bora, M. Novak, J. Novotny, C. Foroutan-Nejad and R. Marek, Chem. – Eur. J., 2017, 23, 7315–7323.
- 49 A. Jaros, Z. Badri, P. L. Bora, E. F. Bonab, R. Marek, M. Straka and C. Foroutan-Nejad, Chem. – Eur. J., 2018, 24, 4245–4249.
- 50 G. Borzone, A. Borsese and R. Ferro, J. Less-Common Met., 1982, 84, 165–172.
- 51 P. J. Linstrom and W. G. Mallard, J. Chem. Eng. Data, 2001, 46, 1059–1063.
- 52 W. M. Haynes, CRC Handbook of Chemistry and Physics, CRC Press, 97th edn, 2016.