PCCP

PAPER



Cite this: Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 2024, 26, 15181

Received 14th February 2024, Accepted 2nd April 2024

DOI: 10.1039/d4cp00655k

rsc.li/pccp

I. Introduction

Many variational methods have been proposed for computing solutions to the ro-vibrational Schröedinger equation for triatomic molecules^{1–11} and all of the components of the method we propose in this paper are known, but they have not previously been combined in the way we suggest. Our method is quadrature-based and simple and efficient. We demonstrate its advantages by computing ro-vibrational energy levels of CO_2 up to 20 000 cm⁻¹ above the zero point energy.

The cornerstone of our approach is the two-step method of Tennyson and Sutcliffe.⁹ It shares many features with other contracted basis methods.^{2,12,13} In a two-step calculation, one first computes eigenfunctions, $|\nu^{(K)}\rangle$, of a Hamiltonian, extracted from the full Hamiltonian, that depends on vibrational coordinates, but is also labelled by *K*, the quantum number associated with the molecule-fixed *z* component of the angular momentum. In the second step of the calculation, one computes eigenvalues and eigenvectors of a matrix representing the full Hamiltonian, in a basis of products of $|\nu^{(K)}\rangle$ and symmetric top eigenfunctions $|JKM\rangle$. Instead of using the two-



Xiao-Gang Wang 🕩 and Tucker Carrington Jr 🕩

In this paper, we propose a new two-step strategy for computing ro-vibrational energy levels and wavefunctions of a triatomic molecule and apply it to CO_2 . A two-step method [J. Tennyson and B. T. Sutcliffe, *Mol. Phys.*, 1986, **58**, 1067] uses a basis whose functions are products of *K*-dependent "vibrational" functions and symmetric top functions. *K* is the quantum number for the molecule-fixed *z* component of the angular momentum. For a linear molecule, a two-step method is efficient because the Hamiltonian used to compute the basis functions includes the largest coupling term. The most important distinguishing feature of the two-step method we propose is that it uses an associated Legendre basis and quadrature rather than a *K*-dependent discrete variable representation. This reduces the cost of the calculation and simplifies the method. We have computed ro-vibrational energy levels with *J* up to 100 for CO_2 , on an accurate available potential energy surface which is known as the AMES-2 PES and present a subset of those levels. We have converged most levels up to 20 000 cm⁻¹ to 0.0001 cm⁻¹.

step method, one could use a basis of products of J = 0eigenfunctions, $|v^{(J=0)}\rangle$, and $|JKM\rangle$. The most important disadvantage of this approach for linear molecules is that matrix elements off-diagonal in $|\nu^{(J=0)}\rangle$ are large. In many two-step calculations, the number of $|v^{(K)}\rangle$ is independent of K. When the goal is to compute all states below some threshold, we show that it is better to choose the number of $|v^{(K)}\rangle$ functions using a cut-off energy (and therefore use fewer functions when K is larger). We also note that if K is larger than some maximum value, no $|v^{(K)}\rangle$ are required. The required number of $|v^{(K)}\rangle$ functions depends more sensitively on K, and decreases more quickly with K, for linear molecules, because for linear molecules $\sin \theta$ in the term moved to the vibrational Hamiltonian is small where vibrational wavefunctions are large. We use Radau coordinates^{14,15} and a bisector-*x* molecule-fixed axis system. Both have been used before in two-step calculations.

The most important difference between our two-step method and the traditional two-step approach, as exemplified in the code DVR3D,^{5,6} is the primitive basis used to compute $|v^{(K)}\rangle$. For the bend coordinate, DVR3D uses a *K*-dependent discrete variable representation (DVR) basis^{12,16,17} and we use an associated Legendre function (ALF) basis and a *K*-independent quadrature. Using an ALF basis obviates the need to transform matrix elements into a DVR and reduces the cost of the calculation. Using a DVR basis has the obvious advantage that the potential matrix is diagonal, however, it is straightforward and



View Article Online

Chemistry Department, Queen's University, Kingston, Ontario K7L 3N6, Canada. E-mail: xgwang.dalian@gmail.com, Tucker.Carrington@queensu.ca

[†] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: https://doi.org/ 10.1039/d4cp00655k

inexpensive to evaluate matrix-vector products (and hence compute eigenvalues and eigenvectors) with a potential matrix in the ALF basis by evaluating sums sequentially.^{10,17,18} Another difference between our approach and DVR3D is that we compute $|\nu^{(\mathcal{K})}\rangle$ with an iterative eigensolver, which makes it possible to use large primitive bases. It is also possible using successive contractions, as in DVR3D, to use a large primitive basis, but successive contractions means extra steps in the calculation. These differences are most important when *J* is large.

The new combination of ideas is tested by using it to compute many energy levels of CO₂. CO₂ is a popular test molecule because it is an important greenhouse gas and a significant component of the atmospheres of many planets in our solar system.^{19,20} The spectrum of CO₂ has been studied extensively.^{21–23} A complete line list for CO_2 will be useful tool for studying environmental and physical conditions of planetary atmospheres. There are many theoretical calculations of rovibrational levels of CO2. The best and the most recent are those of Zak et al.,²⁴ Huang et al.,^{25–27} and Yurchenko et al.²⁸ All of these papers report a CO2 line list. Zak et al. used DVR3D which is a numerically exact method. Yurchenko et al. used a TROVE method with some approximations. Huang et al. use their VTET code which is also numerically exact. They use a multi-step contraction that incorporates stretch-bend coupling in the final diagonalization which is sub-optimal for CO_2 , because stretch-bend coupling is important. It is better to contract together all the vibrational coordinates in the first step, but this is costly for larger molecules.

II. Coordinates and kinetic energy operator

We use Radau coordinates^{14,15} because they simplify the kinetic energy operator (KEO). We attach molecule-fixed axes to CO₂ by using the bisector-*x* embedding, in which the *x*-axis is antiparallel to the bisector vector $R_2\vec{R}_1 + R_1\vec{R}_2$. The *z*-axis is in the molecular plane with \vec{R}_1 having a positive *z* component. \vec{R}_1 and \vec{R}_2 are the Radau vectors see Fig. 1. The bisector-*x* KEO was first

Fig. 1 The Radau coordinates (R_1, R_2, θ) and the bisector-*x* molecule-fixed frame (in blue). *B* is the canonical point. The dashed arrow is the bisector vector for θ . The origin of the molecule-fixed frame is not at the center of mass of the molecule for clarity.

derived by Carter, Handy and Sutcliffe.²⁹ Our KEO is different from theirs because the direction of the *z*-axis is reversed, and our molecule-fixed angular momentum operators satisfy the anomalous commutation relation (see ref. 30). We choose the bisector-*x* embedding because it makes it easy to exploit the symmetry of CO₂ and reduces the ro-vibrational coupling. The ro-vibrational KEO we use has two parts: a vibrational term $T_{\rm v}$ and a ro-vibrational term $T_{\rm vr}$,

$$T = T_{\rm v} + T_{\rm vr}.\tag{1}$$

$$T_{v} = -\frac{1}{2\mu_{R_{1}}} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial R_{1}^{2}} - \frac{1}{2\mu_{R_{2}}} \frac{\partial^{2}}{\partial R_{2}^{2}} - \left[B_{R_{1}}(R_{1}) + B_{R_{2}}(R_{2}) \right] \\ \times \frac{1}{\sin\theta} \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta} \sin\theta \frac{\partial}{\partial\theta},$$

$$T_{vr} = \frac{1}{2} \left[B_{R_{1}}(R_{1}) + B_{R_{2}}(R_{2}) \right] \left[\frac{1}{1 - \cos\theta} J_{x}^{2} + \frac{1}{2} J_{y}^{2} + \frac{1}{1 + \cos\theta} J_{z}^{2} \right] \\ + \left[B_{R_{1}}(R_{1}) - B_{R_{2}}(R_{2}) \right] \left[\frac{1}{2\sin\theta} \{J_{x}, J_{z}\} + p_{\theta}^{H} J_{y} \right],$$
(2)

where

$$p_{\theta}^{H} = -i \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} + \frac{1}{2} \cot \theta \right)$$
(3)

is a Hermitian momentum operator and $B_{R_i}(R_i) = 1/(2\mu_{R_i}R_i^2)$. μ_{R_i} are the masses of the atoms at the ends of the Radau vectors. The KEO is used with the volume element $\sin\theta dR_1 dR_2 d\theta$ $\sin\beta d\alpha d\beta d\gamma$. α , β , γ are Euler angles. The ro-vibrational term can be re-written in terms of *G* matrix elements

$$T_{\rm vr} = \frac{1}{2} \Big[G_{x,x} J_x^2 + G_{y,y} J_y^2 + G_{z,z} J_z^2 + G_{x,z} \{ J_x, J_z \} \Big] + \frac{1}{2} \Big(p_{\theta}^H G_{y,\theta} + G_{y,\theta} p_{\theta}^H \Big) J_y,$$
(4)

where the G matrix elements are functions of the shape coordinates and, according to eqn (2), are

$$G_{x,x} = \left[B_{R_1}(R_1) + B_{R_2}(R_2)\right] \frac{1}{1 - \cos\theta}$$

$$G_{y,y} = \frac{1}{2} \left[B_{R_1}(R_1) + B_{R_2}(R_2)\right]$$

$$G_{z,z} = \left[B_{R_1}(R_1) + B_{R_2}(R_2)\right] \frac{1}{1 + \cos\theta}$$

$$G_{x,z} = \left[B_{R_1}(R_1) - B_{R_2}(R_2)\right] \frac{1}{\sin\theta}$$

$$G_{y,\theta} = \left[B_{R_1}(R_1) - B_{R_2}(R_2)\right].$$
(5)

When using Radau coordinates for a triatomic molecule it is also common to attach the molecular axis system so that the zaxis is along a Radau vector. We call this a vector-z frame. Regardless of the embedding, some G matrix elements are singular at linear shapes. The vector-z frame has the advantage that basis functions can be chosen so that all KEO matrix

 R_1

Paper

elements are finite. For CO₂, the bisector-*x* embedding has two advantages over the vector-*z* frame: (i) it allows full exploitation of the permutation symmetry of the O atoms; (ii) the $\Delta K = \pm 1$ and $\Delta K = \pm 2$ matrix elements are small since at the equilibrium geometry, where the molecule is linear, the former are zero because $G_{y,\theta} = G_{x,z} = 0$ and the latter are zero because $G_{x,x} = G_{y,y}$.

III. Two-step variational calculation

We compute ro-vibrational energy levels and wavefunctions by using a two-step variational method. Each basis function is a product of a contracted vibrational function and a rotational function,

$$|\nu^{(K)}\rangle|JKM\rangle.$$
 (6)

 $|\boldsymbol{\nu}^{(\mathcal{K})}\rangle$ is an eigenfunction of a modified "vibrational" Hamiltonian

$$\tilde{H}_{\rm v} = [\tilde{T}_{\rm v} + V(R_1, R_2, \theta)], \tag{7}$$

$$\tilde{H}_{v}|v^{(K)}\rangle = \mathcal{E}_{v}^{(K)}|v^{(K)}\rangle.$$
(8)

 $|JKM\rangle$ is a symmetric top eigenfunction (or Wigner function). In the basis of eqn (6), \tilde{H}_v is diagonal, the off-diagonal elements of \tilde{T}_{vr} are small, and convergence with respect to the size of the basis is very fast. We retain the $|\nu^{(K)}\rangle$ for which the corresponding energy is less than a cut-off energy, E_{cut} .

To define the modified vibrational KEO, the full Hamiltonian

$$H = H_{\rm v} + T_{\rm vr} \tag{9}$$

is rewritten

Published on 25 April 2024. Downloaded on 8/9/2025 5:27:10 AM.

$$H = \tilde{H}_{\rm v} + \tilde{T}_{\rm vr}, \tag{10}$$

by shifting a term from $T_{\rm vr}$ into $\tilde{H}_{\rm v}$. Matrix elements of coordinate dependent factors in the terms of the KEO are integrals that are computed by quadrature. The KEO of the modified "vibrational" Hamiltonian is designed so that, if the primitive basis functions, used to solve eqn (8), are chosen correctly, all matrix elements of \tilde{H}_v in the primitive basis are finite. Some of the coordinate dependent factors in terms in $\tilde{T}_{\rm vr}$ are singular, but only where all wavefunctions have negligible amplitude. Such singularities are "unimportant". Some authors discard DVR or quadrature points close to an unimportant singularity,^{5,6,31} but this is unnecessary. We find that for CO_2 , points close to the $\theta = 0$ singularity cause no problems. The KEO of eqn (1) is singular at the equilibrium geometry where $\theta = \pi$ and also at $\theta = 0$. The $\theta = 0$ singularity is unimportant because it corresponds to C-C-O which has a very high potential energy. The $\theta = \pi$ singularity occurs in the factor $\frac{1}{1 + \cos \theta}$ in G_{zz} . To choose basis functions to deal with the important singularity, we use

$$\frac{1}{1+\cos\theta} = \frac{2}{\sin^2\theta} - \frac{1}{1-\cos\theta}$$
(11)

to rewrite

$$T_{z} = \frac{1}{2}G_{z,z}J_{z}^{2}$$

= $[B_{R_{1}}(R_{1}) + B_{R_{2}}(R_{2})]\left(\frac{1}{\sin^{2}\theta} - \frac{1}{1 - \cos\theta}\right)J_{z}^{2}.$ (12)

The modified vibrational KEO and the corresponding modified ro-vibrational KEO are

$$\tilde{T}_{v} = T_{v} + \left[B_{R_{1}}(R_{1}) + B_{R_{2}}(R_{2}) \right] \frac{J_{z}^{2}}{\sin^{2} \theta}$$

$$\tilde{T}_{vr} = T_{vr} - \left[B_{R_{1}}(R_{1}) + B_{R_{2}}(R_{2}) \right] \frac{J_{z}^{2}}{\sin^{2} \theta}.$$
(13)

In the shared-*K* associated Legendre function (ALF) basis $\Theta_l^K(\theta)$, the matrix elements of \tilde{T}_v are finite because

$$\left(-\frac{1}{\sin\theta}\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta}\sin\theta\frac{\partial}{\partial\theta}+\frac{J_z^2}{\sin^2\theta}\right)\Theta_l^K(\theta)=l(l+1)\Theta_l^K(\theta).$$
 (14)

This two-step variational calculation method was first proposed by Tennyson and Sutcliffe⁹ and used to compute high-*J* levels of H_2D^+ . The idea was subsequently used in many papers^{24–27,32–37} to compute high-*J* levels of triatomic molecules. We compute the $|\nu^{(K)}\rangle$ using the Lanczos algorithm.

Rather than using the two-step method, one could use a basis of products of J = 0 functions, $|\nu^{(J=0)}\rangle$, and symmetric top functions. In this basis, off-diagonal matrix elements, $\langle \nu'^{(J=0)} | \langle JKM | [B_{R_1}(R_1) + B_{R_2}(R_2)] \frac{J_z^2}{\sin^2 \theta} | JKM \rangle | \nu^{(J=0)} \rangle$ are large. In a two-step calculation, the term $[B_{R_1}(R_1) + B_{R_2}(R_2)] \frac{J_z^2}{\sin^2 \theta}$ does not couple basis functions because it is included in the operator whose eigenfunctions are the $|\nu^{(K)}\rangle$ basis functions. $|\nu^{(K)}\rangle$ is like an eigenfunction of a 2-D harmonic oscillator

with $K = l_2$. This basis includes all the bending states $v_2^{l_2}(v_2 = l_2, l_2 + 2, \cdots)$. For a given $K = l_2$, the ground state of \tilde{H}_v is a state assigned to $v_2 = l_2 = K$.

IV. Matrix elements in non-parityadapted bases

A. Matrix elements in the non-parity-adapted primitive basis

The $|v^{(K)}\rangle$ are computed for each *K* in a vibrational primitive basis

$$\left|v^{(K)}\right\rangle = \sum_{\alpha_{1},\alpha_{2}} \sum_{l=K}^{K+l_{x}} c^{K}_{\alpha_{1},\alpha_{2},l,\nu} g^{(1)}_{\alpha_{1}}(R_{1}) g^{(2)}_{\alpha_{2}}(R_{2}) \Theta^{K}_{l}(\theta),$$
(15)

where $\Theta_{\alpha_2}^{I}(\theta)$ is an associated Legendre function (ALF) and $g_{\alpha_1}^{(1)}(R_1)$ and $g_{\alpha_2}^{(2)}(R_2)$ are discrete variable functions.¹⁷ In this section we present equations for matrix elements in the primitive basis each of whose functions is a product of the vibrational functions in eqn (15) and a non-parity adapted rotational function,

$$g_{\alpha_1}^{(1)}(R_1)g_{\alpha_2}^{(2)}(R_2)\Theta_l^K(\theta)|JKM\rangle.$$
(16)

Matrix elements in the basis of eqn (6) are obtained from those in this subsection by transforming. The range of l is $[K,K + l_x]$. l_x is

the maximum value of *l* when K = 0. In the following, the bendrotation part of this basis function is denoted (dropping *M*)

$$|l;J,K\rangle = \Theta_l^K(\theta)|JKM\rangle.$$
(17)

In the basis of eqn (16) the matrix representing \tilde{T}_v is diagonal and its elements are

$$\langle l'; J, K | \tilde{T}_v | l; J, K \rangle = [B_{R_1}(R_1) + B_{R_2}(R_2)] l(l+1) \delta_{l', l}.$$
 (18)

The matrix elements of the ro-vibrational term $\tilde{T}_{\rm vr}$ in the primitive basis are,

$$\langle l'; J, K | \tilde{T}_{\rm vr} | l; J, K \rangle = \left[B_{R_1}(R_1) + B_{R_2}(R_2) \right] \left[\left(\frac{1}{8} \delta_{l',l} + \frac{1}{4} I_{l',l,K} \right) \right. \\ \left. \times J(J+1) - \left(\frac{1}{8} \delta_{l',l} + \frac{3}{4} I_{l',l,K} \right) K^2 \right]$$

$$(19)$$

$$= \frac{1}{4} \lambda_{J,K}^{+} \left[B_{R_1}(R_1) - B_{R_2}(R_2) \right] \left[(2K+1) \left(G_{l',l,K} + D_{l',l,K} \right) + 2\lambda_{l,K}^{+} \delta_{l',l} \right]$$
(20)

$$\langle l'; J, K+2 | \tilde{T}_{vr} | l; J, K \rangle = \frac{1}{16} \lambda_{J,K}^{+} \lambda_{J,K+1}^{+} \\ \times \left[B_{R_1}(R_1) + B_{R_2}(R_2) \right] \left(2J_{l',l,K} - H_{l',l,K} \right),$$
(21)

 $\lambda_{J,K}^+ = \sqrt{J(J+1) - K(K+1)}$. The matrices **D**, **G**, **H**, **I** and **J** are given in Appendix A of ref. 30. They are reproduced below,

$$D_{l',l,m} = \left\langle \Theta_{l'}^{m+1} \left| \cot \theta \right| \Theta_{l}^{m} \right\rangle.$$

$$G_{l',l,m} = \left\langle \Theta_{l'}^{m+1} \left| \frac{1}{\sin \theta} \right| \Theta_{l}^{m} \right\rangle$$

$$H_{l',l,m} = \left\langle \Theta_{l'}^{m+2} \right| \Theta_{l}^{m} \right\rangle$$

$$I_{l',l,m} = \left\langle \Theta_{l'}^{m} \right| \frac{1}{1 - \cos \theta} \left| \Theta_{l}^{m} \right\rangle$$

$$J_{l',l,m} = \left\langle \Theta_{l'}^{m+2} \right| \frac{1}{1 - \cos \theta} \left| \Theta_{l}^{m} \right\rangle.$$
(22)

All these matrices can be computed numerically exactly with a Gauss Legendre quadrature except for **I**. See ref. 30 for details. When K = 0, matrix elements of **I** are singular at $\theta = 0$. However, this singularity is unimportant because of the high potential at $\theta = 0$. One only needs accurate integrals in the region of configuration space in which wavefunctions are not negligible.³⁸ The matrix elements in eqn (18)–(21) have been given in ref. 30, where the bisector-*z* KEO (the bisector-*x* KEO was not used) was used to compute the spectrum of an H₂O-atom complex, but in the second equation of eqn (28) of ref. 30, there is a sign error: $-2\lambda_{l,K}^{+}\delta_{l',l}$ should be $+2\lambda_{l,K}^{+}\delta_{l',l}$.

Note that $\mathbf{G} + \mathbf{D}$ could be combined into one matrix by computing matrix elements of $(1 + \cos \theta)/\sin \theta$ and that $2\mathbf{J} - \mathbf{H}$ could be combined into one matrix by computing matrix elements of $(1 + \cos \theta)/(1 - \cos \theta)$. We have not made these combinations because we want to give the matrix elements that

are required for both the bisector-*x* and the bisector-*z* frames.³⁰ Specifically, for the bisector-*z* frame, **G** – **D** is the matrix representing $(1 - \cos \theta)/\sin \theta$ and it appears in $\Delta K = 1$ matrix elements, and **2F** – **H** is the matrix representing $(1 - \cos \theta)/(1 + \cos \theta)$ and it appears in $\Delta K = 2$ matrix elements.³⁰

As noted after eqn (16), the maximum of *l* for the ALF basis is extended from l_x to $K + l_x$. This ensures that the bend basis size, l_r + 1, is the same for each K since the minimum value of l is K. As a result, the quality of the converged vibrational levels is maintained for all K. Note, however, that as K becomes larger, fewer $|v^{(K)}\rangle$ are retained because fewer of the corresponding energies are below E_{cut} . When J is much smaller than l_x , it is fine to set the maximum value of l at l_x . For each K, the integration over θ , required to calculate matrix elements of the potential, is done with at least $N_{\theta} = K + l_x + 1$ Gauss Legendre quadrature points. In fact, we use the same $J_x + l_x + 1$ Gauss Legendre quadrature points for all K, J_x is the largest J. Using one set of points instead of a different set for each K gives us the advantage of needing to compute and store potential points only once. It would also be possible to use a K dependent Gauss Jacobi quadrature with only l_r + 1 points (for each K), for which the points are determined by diagonalizing $\cos \theta$ in a ALF basis. If we used these points we would be doing a finite basis representation (FBR) calculation equivalent to the DVR calculation of DVR3D. Both quadratures allow one to evaluate potential matrix vector products with the sequential summation technique and use an iterative eigensolver to compute thousands of eigenstates $|\nu^{(K)}\rangle$ directly in the 3D direct product primitive basis. The 3-D direct product basis is large, but that is not a problem if an iterative eigensolver is used. If a direct eigensolver used, it is common to reduce the size of the primitive basis by doing a series of diagonalizations and truncations.5,6,27,33

B. Matrix elements in the non-parity-adapted contracted basis

Using the $\tilde{T}_{\rm vr}$ matrix elements in Section IVA, it is straightforward to compute the matrix elements of the ro-vibrational Hamiltonian in the contracted basis. The diagonal elements are

$$\langle v'; J, K | H | v; J, K \rangle = \mathcal{E}_{v}^{(K)} \delta_{v', v} + J(J+1) \Big(X_{v', v}^{K} + Y_{v', v}^{K} \Big) - K^{2} \Big(X_{v', v}^{K} + 3 Y_{v', v}^{K} \Big).$$

$$(23)$$

The matrix elements off-diagonal in K are

$$\langle v'; J, K+1|H|v; J, K\rangle = \lambda_{J,K}^+ Z_{\nu',\nu}^K$$
(24)

$$\langle v'; J, K+2|H|v; J, K\rangle = \lambda_{J,K}^+ \lambda_{J,K+1}^+ W_{v',v}^K,$$
(25)

where

$$|\nu;J,K\rangle \equiv |\nu^{(K)}\rangle |J,K\rangle$$
 (26)

$$X_{\nu',\nu}^{K} = \frac{1}{8} \sum_{\alpha_{1},\alpha_{2}} \sum_{l=K}^{K+l_{\chi}} c_{\alpha_{1},\alpha_{2},l,\nu'}^{K} c_{\alpha_{1},\alpha_{2},l,\nu}^{K} \left[B_{R_{1}}(R_{\alpha_{1}}) + B_{R_{2}}(R_{\alpha_{2}}) \right]$$
(27)

$$Y_{\nu',\nu}^{K} = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\alpha_{1},\alpha_{2}} \sum_{l',l=K}^{K+l_{\chi}} c_{\alpha_{1},\alpha_{2},l',\nu'}^{K} c_{\alpha_{1},\alpha_{2},l,\nu}^{K} \left[B_{R_{1}}(R_{\alpha_{1}}) + B_{R_{2}}(R_{\alpha_{2}}) \right] I_{l'',l,K}$$
(28)

$$Z_{\nu',\nu}^{K} = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\alpha_{1},\alpha_{2}} \sum_{l'=K+1}^{K+1+l_{x}} \sum_{l=K}^{K+l_{x}} c_{\alpha_{1},\alpha_{2},l',\nu'}^{K+l_{x}} c_{\alpha_{1},\alpha_{2},l,\nu}^{K} \Big[B_{R_{1}} \big(R_{\alpha_{1}} \big) - B_{R_{2}} \big(R_{\alpha_{2}} \big) \Big] \\ \times \Big[(2K+1) \big(G_{l',l,K} + D_{l',l,K} \big) + 2\lambda_{l,K}^{+} \delta_{l',l} \Big]$$
(29)

$$W_{\nu',\nu}^{K} = \frac{1}{16} \sum_{\alpha_{1},\alpha_{2}} \sum_{l'=K+2}^{K+2+l_{x}} \sum_{l=K}^{K+l_{x}} c_{\alpha_{1},\alpha_{2},l',\nu'}^{K+2} c_{\alpha_{1},\alpha_{2},l,\nu}^{K} \left[B_{R_{1}}(R_{\alpha_{1}}) + B_{R_{2}}(R_{\alpha_{2}}) \right] \\ \times \left(2J_{l',l,K} - H_{l',l,K} \right).$$
(30)

Although it is not indicated, the indices ν and ν' in **X** and **Y** depend on *K*. The index ν' in **Z** depends on K + 1, and the index ν' in **W** depends on K + 2. Note that **X**, **Y**, **Z**, and **W** are independent of *J*. They are evaluated for $K = 0, 1, ..., K_{\text{max}}$ and stored on disk in the first step. They are used in the second step calculation for all *J*. $K_{\text{max}} \leq J$, but for high *J* calculations, K_{max} can be much smaller than *J*, eliminating the cost of calculating $\nu^{(K)}$ when *K* is between K_{max} and *J*.

V. Parity symmetry and O–O permutation symmetry

A. Parity symmetry

To utilize the parity symmetry, we note that the parity operator does not affect the vibrational coordinates, but affects the rotational coordinates for the molecule-fixed frame we use,

$$E^{*}(R_{1},R_{2},\theta;\alpha,\beta,\gamma) = (R_{1},R_{2},\theta;\pi+\alpha,\pi-\beta,\pi-\gamma). \quad (31)$$

As a result,

$$E^*|JK\rangle = (-1)^{J+K}|J\bar{K}\rangle$$

$$E^*|I,JK\rangle = (-1)^J|I,J\bar{K}\rangle.$$
(32)

 $\overline{K} = -K$. The parity-adapted (PA) primitive basis is therefore

$$g_{\alpha_1}^{(1)}(R_1)g_{\alpha_2}^{(2)}(R_2)\Theta_l^K(\theta)R_{JK}^P,$$
(33)

where

$$R_{JK}^{P} = N_{K} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \left[|JK\rangle + (-1)^{J+K+P} |J\bar{K}\rangle \right], \tag{34}$$

with $N_K = (1 + \delta_{K,0})^{-1/2}$. P = 0 and 1 correspond to even and odd parity, respectively, and $K \ge 0$ for $(-1)^{I+P} = 1$ and $K \ge 1$ for $(-1)^{I+P} = -1$. $(-1)^{I+P} = \pm 1$ is called the spectroscopic parity e/f and is a popular label for linear molecules. R_{IK}^P is an eigenfunction of E^*

$$E^* R^P_{IK} = (-1)^P R^P_{IK}.$$
 (35)

Matrix elements of rotational operators in the R_{JK}^{P} basis are given for example in ref. 39. They will be used to derive the KEO matrix elements in the PA primitive basis. We denote the angular part of the PA primitive basis by

$$|l;J,K,P\rangle = \Theta_l^K(\theta)R_{lK}^P.$$
(36)

Although the PA primitive basis for a triatomic is a product of a vibrational factor and rotational factor,

this is not the case for molecules with more than three atoms.

B. O-O permutation symmetry

The O–O permutation symmetry operator (12) permutes atoms O_1 and O_2 . The bisector-*x* frame is affected by (12) because the *x*-axis is unchanged and the *y*- and *z*-axes are flipped. The effect of (12) on the ro-vibrational coordinates is

$$(12)(R_1,R_2,\theta;\alpha,\beta,\gamma) = (R_2,R_1,\theta;\pi+\alpha,\pi-\beta,-\gamma). \quad (37)$$

As a result,

$$(12)|JK\rangle = (-1)^{J}|J\bar{K}\rangle$$

$$(12)|I,JK\rangle = (-1)^{J+K}|I,J\bar{K}\rangle$$

$$(12)R^{P}_{JK} = (-1)^{K+P}R^{P}_{JK}.$$
(38)

VI. Matrix elements in parity-adapted bases

A. Matrix elements in the parity-adapted primitive basis

The matrix elements of \tilde{T}_v in the PA primitive basis are the same as those in the primitive basis. Matrix elements of \tilde{T}_{vr} in the PA primitive basis are the same as those in the primitive basis except each is multiplied by a factor, $F_K = (1 + \delta_{K,0})^{1/2}$. They can be derived by taking advantage of the factorization in eqn (36) and using the matrix elements of rotational operators in the R_{JK}^{PK} basis given in ref. 39. The results are

$$\langle l'; J, K, P | \tilde{T}_{v} | l; J, K, P \rangle = [B_{R_{1}}(R_{1}) + B_{R_{2}}(R_{2})] l(l+1) \delta_{l',l}$$

$$(39)$$

$$\langle l'; J, K, P | \tilde{T}_{vr} | l; J, K, P \rangle = [B_{R_{1}}(R_{1}) + B_{R_{2}}(R_{2})] \left[\left(\frac{1}{8} \delta_{l',l} + \frac{1}{4} I_{l',l,K} \right) \times J(J+1) - \left(\frac{1}{8} \delta_{l',l} + \frac{3}{4} I_{l',l,K} \right) K^{2} \right]$$

$$(40)$$

$$\langle l'; J, K+1, P | \tilde{T}_{vr} | l; J, K, P \rangle = \frac{F_K}{4} \lambda_{J,K}^+ \left[B_{R_1}(R_1) - B_{R_2}(R_2) \right] \\ \times \left[(2K+1) \left(G_{l',l,K} + D_{l',l,K} \right) \quad (41) \right. \\ \left. + 2\lambda_{l,K}^+ \delta_{l',l} \right]$$

$$\langle l'; J, K+2, P | \tilde{T}_{\rm vr} | l; J, K, P \rangle = \frac{F_K}{16} \lambda_{J,K}^+ \lambda_{J,K+1}^+ \left[B_{R_1}(R_1) + B_{R_2}(R_2) \right] (2J_{l',J,K} - H_{l',J,K}).$$
(42)

There is an additional special diagonal matrix element of \tilde{T}_{vr} for K = 1 arising from $J_x^2 - J_y^2$ (there is no corresponding matrix element in the non-PA basis),

$$\begin{split} \langle l'; J, 1, P | \tilde{T}_{\text{vr}} | l; J, 1, P \rangle &= \frac{1}{16} \big[B_{R_1}(R_1) + B_{R_2}(R_2) \big] \\ &\times J(J+1)(-1)^{J+P} \big(\delta_{l', l} - 2I_{l', l, 1} \big). \end{split}$$

B. Matrix elements in the parity-adapted contracted basis

A PA contracted basis function is

$$|\nu;J,K,P\rangle = |\nu^{(K)}\rangle R_{J,K}^{P}.$$
(43)

The matrix elements of the ro-vibrational Hamiltonian in the PA contracted basis are the same as those in the contracted basis, eqn (23)–(30), except that the *K*-off-diagonal elements have an F_K factor,

$$\langle v'; J, K, P | H | v; J, K, P \rangle = \mathcal{E}_{v}^{(K)} \delta_{v', v} + J(J+1) \left(X_{v', v}^{K} + Y_{v', v}^{K} \right)$$
$$- K^{2} \left(X_{v', v}^{K} + 3 Y_{v', v}^{K} \right)$$
(44)

$$\langle v'; J, K+1, P | H | v; J, K, P \rangle = F_K \lambda_{J,K}^+ Z_{v',v}^K$$
 (45)

$$\langle v'; J, K+2, P | H | v; J, K, P \rangle = F_K \lambda^+_{J,K} \lambda^+_{J,K+1} W^K_{v',v},$$
 (46)

and there is a special diagonal matrix element for K = 1,

$$\langle v'; J, 1, P | \tilde{T}_{vr} | v; J, 1, P \rangle = \frac{1}{2} J(J+1)(-1)^{J+P} \left(X^{1}_{v',v} - Y^{1}_{v',v} \right).$$
 (47)

Note that there are two differences between the even and odd parity Hamiltonian matrices: (i) the K = 1 diagonal elements are different due to eqn (47); and (ii) when $(-1)^{J+P} = 1$, the matrix has an additional K = 0 row and column. In the vector-*z* frame case, there are no special elements and the even and odd matrices differ only because when $(-1)^{J+P} = 1$ there is an additional K = 0 row and column.

VII. Comparison with other methods

Many variational methods for computing energy levels of triatomic molecules exist. What is unique about the method we propose in this paper? Most variational methods have four basic components: (i) coordinates; (ii) primitive and contracted basis functions; (iii) matrix elements; (iv) a method for computing eigenvalues and eigenvectors of the Hamiltonian matrix. There are no papers using the combination of (i), (ii), (iii), and (iv) that we use suggest in this paper. Our combination is straightforward to implement and has advantages. In this section we compare our approach to approaches of other groups.

Carter and Handy (CH) were the first to use the bisector-x frame KEO in their calculation of energy levels of H_2O^{32} and later of HCN.³³ They also use the two-step method. Their coordinates are bond lengths and the bond angle. There is less potential coupling in bond coordinates than in Radau coordinates, but more kinetic coupling (the vibrational KEO has cross terms between the stretch coordinates and between stretch coordinates and the bend coordinate and additional ro-vibrational terms). The Radau KEO we use has fewer terms. CH used 1-D contracted basis functions and an optimised quadrature scheme.^{40,41} Instead, we use tridiagonal Morse DVR functions for the stretches and ALF functions for the bend. CH did not publish equations for

the matrix elements in the ALF basis. They use a direct eigensolver, which limits the size of the primitive basis they can use.

Tennyson and co-workers^{5,6} use the same bisector-*x* frame KEO, the same Radau coordinates, and a two-step method as we do in this paper. Their approach is implemented in the DVR3D code^{5,6} used in many calculations of triatomic molecules. Their method differs from ours in the choice of the primitive basis. To compute $|\nu^{(K)}\rangle$, they use *K*-dependent DVR functions for the bend coordinate and we use ALF functions. The DVR3D bend basis functions are

$$\left|\gamma^{K}\right\rangle = \sum_{l=K}^{l=K+l_{x}} T^{K}_{l,j^{K}} \boldsymbol{\Theta}^{K}_{l}(\theta).$$
(48)

where $T_{l,j^{K}}^{K}$ is a transformation matrix determined by diagonalizing the matrix representing $\cos \theta$ in the ALF basis.¹⁷ Because we do not use a DVR for θ , we must evaluate integrals by quadrature. If we used the Gauss-Jacobi quadrature that underlies the K-dependent DVR used in DVR3D, then our Hamiltonian matrix and the matrix of DVR3D would be unitarily equivalent, $\mathbf{H}^{\mathbf{DVR3D}} = (\mathbf{T}^{\mathbf{K}})^{\mathrm{T}} \mathbf{\tilde{H}}_{\mathbf{v}} \mathbf{T}^{\mathbf{K}}$, where $\mathbf{\tilde{H}}_{\mathbf{v}}$ is the matrix computed with Gauss-Jacobi quadrature. Instead, we use a Kindependent quadrature.¹⁷ DVR3D computes matrices representing coordinate dependent factors D, G, H, I, and J, in the ALF basis and then transforms them to the K-dependent DVR. By using only the ALF, we obviate the need to transform all these matrices into the DVR. The transformation is a bit tricky because the DVR is K-dependent which means that different transformation matrices must be used on the left and on the right. In Section 2.3 of ref. 42, it is noted that the cost of transforming into the DVR can be reduced by doing sums sequentially; we completely eliminate the transformation. In the first two-step paper⁹ in 1986, a vector-z frame and an ALF basis were used. DVR3D uses not only a different primitive basis but also a different contracted basis. As pointed out by Yan, Xie and Tian,³⁴ in the two-step calculation of DVR3D, the contracted functions computed in the first step are different for each J, K pair. The modified "vibrational" KEOs used by DVR3D and by Carter and Handy³² include not only \tilde{T}_v , but also all J^2 and I_z^2 terms. In other words, they added the second and third terms on the RHS of eqn (23). We find that most of the computation time is spent in the first-step of the calculation. If, as is true in our calculation, it is not necessary to recompute $|v^{(K)}\rangle$ for each value of J, a lot of computer time is saved. Another difference between DVR3D and what we do is that we do not discard quadrature points close to the singularity.

The most accurate CO_2 calculations have been done with a method developed by Schwenke and co-workers.^{25–27,35} It is quite different from our approach. They use different molecule-fixed axes, a different contraction scheme, and a direct eigensolver. They first contract together bend and rotation, then they contract their bend-rotation functions with a 1-D contracted antisymmetric stretch basis, finally they contract their bend-rotation-antisymmetric stretch functions with 1-D contracted symmetric stretch functions. They used the vector-*z* BF frame.

Matrix elements off-diagonal in K are larger in the vector-zframe than in the bisector-x frame, but that coupling is accounted for in the first contraction and therefore not a problem. Schwenke and co-workers cannot use a symmetryadapted primitive basis to set up their bend-rotation matrix because permuting the two O atoms changes their primitive functions (because they use the vector-z frame) in a complicated way. However the bend-rotation contracted functions are symmetric or antisymmetric under O-O permutation. They assign permutation symmetry labels to their bend-rotation contracted functions by analysing the functions at a set of points (see ref. 43). These symmetry-labelled bend-rotation contracted functions are then coupled to the symmetrylabelled contracted antisymmetric stretch functions to form the final symmetry-labelled basis functions. A disadvantage of their contraction scheme is that the important coupling between bend and symmetric stretch basis function is incorporated at the end of the calculation. On the other hand, an advantage is it can be used on molecules with more than three atoms. Everything is implemented in their VTET code. Their bend-rotation functions are similar to the rigid-bender functions of Bunker⁴⁴ and to the bend-rotation functions used for methane in ref. 45. Schwenke et al. used an optimised quadrature scheme^{40,41} to compute matrix elements in their contracted basis. The nested contraction scheme makes it possible to calculate accurate ro-vibrational levels up to I =150 and levels higher than 20 000 cm⁻¹ were converged. In this paper, we use their levels,^{27,46} called Huang2022 levels, as a benchmark to compare with our levels. The levels we received from them are published in ref. 27 and are used to generate the Ames-2021 line list.²⁷ We also compare with their levels computed with a smaller basis on the same PES²⁶ and call them Huang2017 levels.

Yurchenko and Mellor^{28,47} propose a TROVE method to compute ro-vibrational levels and wavefunctions of CO₂. They also used the bisector-*x* frame and their treatment of the KEO is exact. However, they represent the potential with a 12th order Taylor expansion. They compared their energies with those computed with DVR3D²⁴ on an earlier Ames-1 PES²⁵ rather than the Ames-2 PES²⁶ we are using. (They mistakenly stated that they used the Ames-2 PES.) Errors appear to be due to the Taylor series approximation. The largest error in their Table 1 is 0.0335 cm⁻¹ for a J = 0 DVR3D level at 5667.6298 cm⁻¹. The error of our calculation for this level is less than 1×10^{-5} cm⁻¹.

The two-step method with the ALF basis that is explained in this paper has been added to our RV3 code.⁴⁸ The code computes the ro-vibrational energy levels, wavefunctions, and intensities of a three-atom molecule using a variety of basis functions including non-contracted bases, *e.g.* ALF and DVR

O ₂
(

 $\begin{array}{l} m_{\rm (C)} = 21\,868.66175734604622\ m_{\rm e} \\ m_{\rm (O)} = 29\,148.94559967216628\ m_{\rm e} \\ 1\ {\rm Hartree} = 219\,474.631482453\ {\rm cm}^{-1} \end{array}$

 $a_0 = 0.529177249$ Å

bend bases, and contracted bases, *e.g.* a shared-*K* contracted basis $|\nu(K)\rangle|JKM\rangle$ (this work) a non shared-*K* contracted basis $|\nu\rangle|JKM\rangle$.³⁸ All these bases can be used with the vector-*z* frame, the bisector-*x* frame, the bisector-*z* frame and the Eckart frame.

VIII. Results

The highly accurate Ames-2 PES^{26} was used in all calculations. The masses and constants are given in Table 1.⁴⁶

A. Primitive basis optimization and primitive-basis convergence tests

We use a tridiagonal Morse(TDM) DVR basis for the stretch coordinates R_1 and R_2 . Compared to a basis of Morse wavefunctions, it has the advantage that it is possible to define a corresponding DVR.⁴⁹ The TDM basis depends on the values of parameters that appear in a Morse potential: D_{e} , ω_{e} , and R_{e} , and on a fourth parameter that is called γ in ref. 49 and α in ref. 50 and 51; $\alpha = 2\gamma$. Here we use the α notation. The superscript of the associated Laguerre polynomials $L_n^{\alpha}(y)$ in the TDM function is α . It is chosen as in ref. 49, so that the TDM basis spans the same space as the [A/2] bound states of the Morse oscillator. D_e , ω_{e} , and R_{e} are optimized to minimize low-lying J = 0 levels. For the optimization, l_x is fixed at 50 which is more than enough for levels up to 10 000 cm⁻¹. A large (120) sine DVR basis provides the benchmark for the optimization. Our final parameters for the TDM basis are $D_{\rm e} = 65\,000 \,{\rm cm^{-1}}$, $\omega_{\rm e} = 2170 \,{\rm cm^{-1}}$, $R_{\rm e} =$ 2.14*a*₀. $A = 4D_e/\omega_e = 119.82$. The number of Morse bound states is [A/2] = 59. According to ref. 49, $\alpha = A - 2[A/2] = 1.82$, if $N_{R_i} \ge 1.82$ 59; and $\alpha = A - 2N_{R_i}$ if $N_{R_i} \le 59$.

We determine the size of the primitive ALF basis, l_x , by doing calculations with a small TDM basis. Our goal is to converge energy levels up to 20 000 cm⁻¹ with errors of 1×10^{-4} cm⁻¹. We fix $N_{R_1} = N_{R_2} = 28$ and find that $l_x = 150$ is enough to converge levels up to 20 000 cm⁻¹ to within 3×10^{-5} cm⁻¹, by comparing with levels computed with $l_x = 200$. Subsequently, with $l_x = 150$ fixed, we test TDM DVR bases with up to $N_{R_1} = N_{R_2} = 80$. The basis with $(N_{R_1} = N_{R_2}, l_x) = (50, 150)$ is chosen as our final primitive basis and is hereafter called basis I. Compared to levels computed with $(N_{R_1} = N_{R_2}, l_x) = (80, 150)$, basis I converges almost all the J = 0 levels up to 20000 cm⁻¹ to within 1 \times 10^{-4} cm⁻¹; the largest error is 0.00165 cm⁻¹ at 19160 cm⁻¹. We refer to the difference between levels computed with the $(N_{R_1} =$ N_{R_1}, l_x = (50, 150) basis and with the $(N_{R_1} = N_{R_1}, l_x)$ = (80, 150) basis as the primitive-basis error see Table 2. Basis I has 377 500 functions, for J = 0.

We have used the same masses and constants as those used by Huang *et al.*^{26,27} Nonetheless, there are still small differences between our levels and the levels of Huang2017 and Huang2022, for low-lying levels that should be fully converged in both calculations. For example, for levels up to 3000 cm⁻¹, the largest difference is 0.0003 cm⁻¹ for the level at 2548.36615 cm⁻¹ (see Table S1 of ESI[†]⁵²). This difference is certainly not due to convergence error in either calculation. We therefore consider any differences larger than 0.0003 cm⁻¹ to

Table 2 Testing the convergence of primitive vibrational bases. $\Delta_{15k}/\Delta_{20k}$ are the maxium absolute differences for the A+ symmetry J = 0 vibrational levels up to 15 000/20 000 cm⁻¹, respectively, relative to the benchmark results obtained with the primitive basis $N_{B_1} = N_{B_2} = 80$ and $l_x = 150$. The energies are in cm⁻¹. The number of bend quadrature points is $N_{\theta} = l_x + 1$

$(N_{R_1} = N_{R_2}, l_x)$	$N_{ m bas}$	\varDelta_{15k}	\varDelta_{20k}
(32, 120)	108 900	0.00669	8.188
(32, 150)	154624	0.00022	0.04900
(50, 150)	377 500	0.00003	0.00165
(60, 150)	543 600	0.00003	0.00027
(80, 150)	966 400	0	0
Huang2017		0.00029	0.07551
Huang2022		0.00102	0.00116

be due to their basis set convergence error. In Table 2, we show that, for J = 0 levels below 20 000 cm⁻¹, the largest difference is 0.00116 cm⁻¹ comparing to the Huang2012 levels and 0.07551 cm⁻¹ comparing to the Huang2017 levels. The largest differences are in bold in Table S1 of ESI.^{† 52} This indicates that there are small convergence errors in their J = 0 levels. A list of all the J = 0 A+ levels is given in Table S1 of the ESI.^{† 52}

We could also compare levels computed with our primitive basis and those of Zak *et al.*,²⁴ who used 30 slightly different TDM DVR basis functions for the stretches and $l_x = 120$ and a different PES (Ames-1 PES). To estimate convergence errors of their calculation, we have determined that (using our TDM functions) the $(N_{R_1} = N_{R_2}, l_x) = (30, 120)$ basis gives errors as large as 0.00669 cm⁻¹ up to 15 000 cm⁻¹ and as large as 8.188 cm⁻¹ up to 20 000 cm⁻¹. However, the goal of Zak *et al.* was to compute levels only up to 14 500 cm⁻¹ see Table 2.

B. Convergence of energies computed in the contracted basis

When *J* is large, rather than using the primitive basis, we use the contracted basis. The primitive basis of the previous paragraph (basis I) is used to compute the contracted basis functions. The convergence of the second step of the two-step calculation depends on the cut-off energy E_{cut} used to determine the size of the $v^{(K)}$ basis. As E_{cut} is increased, levels of the two-step calculations approach those computed in the primitive basis used to compute the $v^{(K)}$. We can therefore use a calculation done in the primitive basis to test the convergence of the two-step calculation, at least when *J* is not too large. The calculation with the primitive basis is much more costly than the two-step calculation. To compare the primitive (basis I) and 2-step levels, we use the J = 20 A+ symmetry levels up to 20 000 cm⁻¹. The primitive basis has 8 452 500 even-parity basis functions see Table 3 for details. We find that the contracted basis with $E_{\rm cut} = 30\,000 \,{\rm cm}^{-1}$ is sufficient to converge levels up to 20 000 $\rm cm^{-1}$ to within 3.4 \times 10 $^{-5}$ $\rm cm^{-1}$ see column 5 and 6 of Table 3. We therefore use $E_{\text{cut}} = 30\,000 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ to compute all the levels reported in this paper, up to J = 100.

Errors in the final energy levels can be caused by using a primitive basis and/or a $E_{\rm cut}$ that is too small. We estimate that the total error in the levels we report is about 0.0001 cm⁻¹, for all levels up to 20 000 cm⁻¹. If one aims to converge only levels up to 15 000 cm⁻¹, one can lower $E_{\rm cut}$ to at least 25 000 cm⁻¹. When $E_{\rm cut}$ is large enough, the error in two-step eigenvalues

Table 3 Testing the convergence of the two-step calculations with respect to the cutoff energy $E_{\rm cut}$. The $J = 20 \, A$ + symmetry rovibrational levels up to 20 000 cm⁻¹ (the number of levels is 2151) are used to do the test. $\Delta_{15k}/\Delta_{20k}$ are the maxium absolute differences up to 15 000/ 20 000 cm⁻¹, respectively, relative to the benchmark levels computed in the $N_{R_1} = N_{R_2} = 50$, $l_x = 170$ primitive basis with $N_{\theta} = 171$ and relative to two-step levels computed with $E_{\rm cut} = 38\,000 \, {\rm cm}^{-1}$. The size of the even-parity primitive basis is 8452500. The energies are in cm⁻¹

$ \begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			Relative to $E_{\rm cut} = 38000$		Relative to the benchmar	
$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	E _{cut}	$N_{\rm bas}$	\varDelta_{15k}	\varDelta_{20k}	\varDelta_{15k}	\varDelta_{20k}
	38 000 30 000 27 000 26 000 25 000 23 000	21 428 9185 6314 5513 4809 3581	$\begin{array}{c} 0.0\\ 2.0\times10^{-9}\\ 8.2\times10^{-8}\\ 3.1\times10^{-7}\\ 1.7\times10^{-6}\\ 1.5\times10^{-5}\\ 0.0000\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.0\\ 2.8\times 10^{-6}\\ 0.00017\\ 0.00087\\ 0.00229\\ 0.06602\\ 0.06602\\ 0.06602\\ \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 2.2 \times 10^{-5} \\ 2.2 \times 10^{-5} \end{array}$	$3.4 imes 10^{-5}$ $3.4 imes 10^{-5}$ 0.00016 0.00085 0.00227 0.06602 0.04665

compared to the corresponding primitive-basis eigenvalues decreases quickly as $E_{\rm cut}$ is increased. However, this two-step error is not the only error, there is also the primitive-basis error. For $J = 20 \, A^+$, see Table 3, the largest eigenvalue difference between two-step calculations with $E_{\rm cut} = 30\,000 \,{\rm cm}^{-1}$ and $E_{\rm cut} = 15\,000 \,{\rm cm}^{-1}$ is $A_{15\rm k} = 2.0 \times 10^{-9} \,{\rm cm}^{-1}$, but this does not imply that errors in two-step eigenvalues computed with $E_{\rm cut} = 15\,000 \,{\rm cm}^{-1}$ are $2.0 \times 10^{-9} \,{\rm cm}^{-1}$ because there is also primitive-basis error. In fact, the largest difference between two-step levels with $E_{\rm cut} = 15\,000 \,{\rm cm}^{-1}$ and primitive-basis levels computed with the larger primitive basis ($N_{R_1} = N_{R_2}I_x$) = (50, 180) primitive basis is $2.2 \times 10^{-5} \,{\rm cm}^{-1}$. When J is large, primitive-basis levels with the ($N_{R_1} = N_{R_2}I_x$) = (50, 180) basis are not available. Convergence with respect to $E_{\rm cut}$ is not the full story.

Many researchers, such as Carter and Handy,^{32,33} Zak *et al.*,²⁴ Zuniga *et al.*,^{36,37} use a fixed number of $v^{(K)}$ functions for each *K*. This number is often called *N*. For a given *J*, this corresponds to Hamiltonian matrices of size N(J + 1) and *NJ* for $(-1)^{J+P} = 1$ and -1, respectively. To limit the size of the matrices in the final diagonalization, it is common to use a smaller *N* when *J* is large. *E.g.*, Zak *et al.*²⁴ use N = 600 for J = 0-50 and N = 100 for J = 87-129.

Instead, we use E_{cut} to determine the number of $v^{(K)}$ functions for each K. According to perturbation theory, the importance of coupling between zeroth-order states $v^{(K)}$ and $v^{\prime(K')}$ depends on the difference between their (zeroth-order) energies. E_{cut} therefore seems like a good criterion for determining the number of $v^{(K)}$ to retain. E_{cut} is also used in the work of Schwenke and co-workers.^{25–27} For each symmetry block, the number of $v^{(K)}$ we retain, denoted by N(K), decreases with K, because the corresponding energies are larger when K is larger see Table 4. $A\pm$ levels ($B\pm$ levels are Pauli forbidden) are computed from a basis of products of $A \pm (B \pm)$ rotational functions R^P_{JK} and A+ (B+) $v^{(K)}$ functions. Because the A/Bsymmetry of the rotational functions R_{JK}^{P} alternates with K (see eqn (38)), the symmetry of $v^{(K)}$ must also alternate. We choose $E_{\text{cut}} = 30\,000 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ in our final calculations and for a $(-1)^{J+P} = 1$ calculation, N(K) is 885(A), 685(B), 787(A), 601(B) for K = 0, 1, 2, 3, respectively. It is 1(B), 3(A), 0(B), 1(A) for K = 39, 40,

Table 4 The number of $v^{(K)}$ functions, N(K), with energies under $E_{cut} = 30\,000 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ with symmetry A+ and B+. The last column is the energy of the lowest state for each K, which corresponds to $v_2 = K$ and $l_2 = K$. The energies are relative to the ZPE of 2535.79929 cm⁻¹

K	N(K)(A+)	N(K)(B+)	Energy
0	885	733	0.000
1	832	685	668.151
2	787	645	1338.251
3	737	601	2010.299
4	692	564	2684.298
5	647	526	3360.249
6	606	490	4038.151
7	567	454	4718.006
8	526	422	5399.814
9	491	389	6083.574
10	454	360	6769.287
11	420	331	7456.952
12	386	304	8146.568
13	359	278	8838.135
14	330	254	9531.651
15	301	232	10227.115
16	275	209	10924.526
17	249	187	11 623.882
18	227	169	12 325.181
19	205	150	13 028.421
20	184	135	13733.600
21	165	120	14440.714
22	147	104	15 149.761
23	132	92	15 860.737
24	115	79	16 573.639
25	102	68	17 288.463
26	89	59	18 005.203
27	76	51	18723.856
28	65	41	19444.415
29	55	35	20166.876
30	47	28	20 891.231
31	38	23	21617.475
32	31	18	22 345.600
33	26	14	23 075.600
34	20	10	23 807.467
35	16	7	24 541.193
36	11	5	25 276.770
37	9	3	26014.188
38	6	2	26753.440
39	4	1	27 494.517
40	3	0	28 237.409
41	1	0	28 982.106
42	1	0	29728.599

41, 42, respectively. Clearly, there is no need to include $v^{(K)}$ functions when K > 42 and we therefore set K_{max} is 42. The lowest level for each K is labelled by $v_2 = K$, $l_2 = K$. The fundamental bend frequency is 668 cm⁻¹. At K = 42, only the lowest state with energy 29729 cm⁻¹ is included see Table 4.

We can directly compare our *N* with those of Zak *et al.*²⁴ because we use the same coordinates and KEO and compute the same $v^{(K)}$. We use an ALF basis and they use a DVR basis, but this should not affect the required number of $v^{(K)}$. Since our J = 100 levels are well converged, we can be certain that there is no need to use N = 100 functions for K > 42 (we use zero). Moreover, using N = 100 functions for $0 \le K \le 41$ is probably inadequate for small *K*. We have N = 885 for K = 0. Even though our final basis size is similar to theirs for computing J = 100 levels (they have 10100 functions and we have 10134), we expect that our basis functions are better and our levels are better converged.

Table 5 Comparison between our *A*+ symmetry levels and Huang2022 levels for select *J*. $\Delta_{15k}/\Delta_{20k}$ are the maxium absolute differences between our levels and Huang2022 levels up to 15 000/20 000 cm⁻¹, respectively. Our levels are obtained from two-step calculations with $E_{cut} = 30\,000$ cm⁻¹. A complete list of energy levels up to *J* = 100 is available from the authors

	$N_{\rm bas}$	N _{level}	\varDelta_{15k}	\varDelta_{20k}
J = 10(even)	6605	1780	0.00184	0.00203
J = 20(even)	9185	2151	0.00210	0.01966
J = 30(even)	10014	2102	0.00207	0.05755
J = 40(even)	10133	1998	0.00205	0.10287
J = 50(even)	10134	1871	0.00205	0.04117
J = 60(even)	10134	1724	0.00201	0.02665
J = 70(even)	10134	1557	0.00182	0.02060
J = 74(even)	10134	1487	0.00181	0.01067
J = 80(even)	10134	1388	0.00180	0.00713
J = 90(even)	10134	1207	0.00150	0.00299
J = 100(even)	10134	1036	0.00147	0.00204
J = 11(even)	6051	1554	0.00013	0.00152
J = 31(even)	9152	1822	0.00012	0.02617
J = 75(even)	9249	1260	0.00013	0.00581

Although in our final calculations, we use only $|\nu^{(K)}\rangle$, $K \le 42$, we actually compute $|\nu^{(K)}\rangle$ for higher *K* because they can be used to converge levels higher than those we publish in this paper. A good general rule of thumb is to choose N_{θ} (the number of Gauss Legendre quadrature points) to be at least one larger than the highest degree of the ALF basis. So we use $N_{\theta} = K + l_x + 1$. When $K \le 50$, we use $N_{\theta} = 201$, regardless of *K*. For smaller values of *K*, we have more points than we need, but it is advantageous to evaluate the potential at a single set of points for all $K \le 50$. When $100 \ge K > 50$, we use $N_{\theta} = 251$.

It is interesting to compare our basis sizes with the basis sizes in the calculation of Schwenke and co-workers. Take the J = 100 A+ calculation as an example with data from ref. 46. They use a series of intermediate contracted functions. To compute 1-D contracted bend functions for each K, up to K = 100, they use a large threshold at 0.6 Hartree (132 000 cm⁻¹). A large threshold is required because in their scheme stretch-bend coupling is incorporated only at the end. They use 1371 bendrotation eigenfunctions with energies less than 0.26 Hartree



Fig. 2 Differences between Huang2022⁴⁶ and our levels (TW) for J = 40 A+ levels computed with $E_{cut} = 30\,000$ cm⁻¹.

Table 6 Comparison between our levels and Huang2022 levels for a select window of J = 40 A+ levels. Shown are the 1704th state to 1748th state. Δ_{22} = Huang2022 – TW. Δ_{17} = Huang2017 – TW. TW is this work. Our levels are obtained from two-step calculations obtained with $E_{cut} = 30\,000 \text{ cm}^{-1}$. For our calculation, c_1 and K are the coefficient of the dominant $v^{(K)}$ state and its K, respectively. c_1 for the Huang calculation is the largest coefficient from their final diagonalization

iTWHuang2022 J_{22} J_{17} c_1 K c_1 170419156.743219156.84610.10291.11920.98400.338170519157.748919157.74980.00090.12990.794100.365170619157.855119157.75520.00014.10040.886140.401170719162.306219162.30670.00250.18020.78560.303170819166.717019167.732219172.7324-0.00080.5520.97180.295171119172.733219172.7324-0.00080.5520.97180.295171119173.185519173.1851-0.00440.01060.957140.291171219180.258419180.6300.00470.34360.81120.268171319186.020719186.6030.00050.11360.80590.376171519188.659519182.66030.00020.11840.79170.429171719192.532919192.013900.01100.8870.67750.223172019206.473419206.47840.00500.25230.86310.322172119212.273119212.3290.02421.38990.53230.209172219213.5324-0.00100.1430.995140.391172419217.7801-0.00110.00100.996220.3941725						TW		Huang2022
170419156.743219157.749819157.74980.00090.12990.794100.365170619157.855119157.85520.00014.10040.886140.401170719162.306219162.30870.00250.18020.78560.303170819166.717019166.7163-0.0070.00180.993140.462170919170.794019170.7324-0.00080.15520.97180.295171119173.185519173.1851-0.00040.01060.977140.291171219180.258419180.62390.00220.73430.90360.282171419186.620719186.0390.00050.11360.80590.376171519188.659519188.66030.00080.07470.86740.291171619192.532919192.53500.00020.11840.79170.429171719192.532919192.64090.02001.28730.76330.26017181926.473419206.47340.00500.5230.86310.32217201926.47341920.47300.01100.88470.67750.22317211921.27311921.27310.01210.3410.99410.39117221924.87241921.7800-0.00100.1430.99400.18617231921.498510.0170.03411.64771.7271922.	i	TW	Huang2022	\varDelta_{22}	\varDelta_{17}	<i>c</i> ₁	Κ	<i>c</i> ₁
170519157.748919157.74980.00090.12990.794100.365170619157.855119157.85520.00014.10040.886140.401170719162.306219162.30670.00250.18020.78560.303170819166.717019167.73219167.7332-0.00070.00180.993140.462170919170.794019170.73400.02201.30950.80640.249171019172.732119173.1855-0.00040.01060.957140.291171119180.258419180.6300.00470.34360.81120.268171319186.020719186.02390.00220.11840.80590.376171519183.659519188.66030.00080.07470.86740.361171619192.532919192.53000.00201.28730.76330.260171819196.861419196.8604-0.01010.02220.994180.353171919201.728019201.73900.01100.88470.67750.223172119212.273119212.92720.02421.38990.53230.209172219217.781119217.87119217.78119217.7810.01100.04110.995140.391172419217.78119218.72570.01110.01010.912140.391172419217.781119218.7267 <t< td=""><td>1704</td><td>19156.7432</td><td>19156.8461</td><td>0.1029</td><td>1.1192</td><td>0.984</td><td>0</td><td>0.338</td></t<>	1704	19156.7432	19156.8461	0.1029	1.1192	0.984	0	0.338
1706 19157.8551 19157.8552 0.0001 4.1004 0.886 14 0.401 1707 19162.3062 19166.7163 -0.0007 0.0018 0.993 14 0.462 1709 19170.7940 19170.7342 -0.0008 0.1552 0.971 8 0.249 1710 19172.7332 19172.7324 -0.0008 0.0166 0.957 14 0.249 1711 19173.1855 19173.1851 -0.0004 0.0166 0.957 14 0.268 1711 19180.5284 19180.6239 0.0032 0.2734 0.903 6 0.282 1714 19185.6595 19188.6603 0.0002 0.1148 0.761 4 0.361 1715 19186.8614 19196.8604 -0.0010 0.022 0.994 18 0.353 1719 19201.7280 19206.4734 0.0066 0.1487 0.904 0 0.186 1721 19214.9835 19214.9825 -0.0010 0.1143 0.995 14 0.331 1722 19224.7811 19217.7800	1705	19157.7489	19157.7498	0.0009	0.1299	0.794	10	0.365
170719162.306219166.7163-0.00250.18020.78560.303170819166.717019166.7163-0.00070.00180.993140.462170919170.794019170.81600.02201.30950.80640.249171019172.733219172.7324-0.00080.15520.97180.295171119173.185519173.1851-0.0040.01660.957140.291171219180.258419180.26300.00470.34360.81120.268171319186.020719186.02390.00080.07470.86740.361171519188.659519188.66030.00080.07470.86740.361171619192.539019192.60900.02001.28730.76330.260171819196.861419196.8604-0.00100.0220.994180.353171919217.280119217.3900.01100.88470.67750.223172019206.473419206.47840.00500.25230.86310.332172119217.781119217.7800-0.00100.11430.995140.391172419217.781119217.7800-0.00110.01010.94620.336172519228.702619228.75170.04911.46330.93520.281172619228.702619228.75170.04911.46330.9352<	1706	19157.8551	19157.8552	0.0001	4.1004	0.886	14	0.401
1708 19166.7170 19166.7163 -0.0007 0.0018 0.993 14 0.462 1709 19170.7940 19170.8160 0.0220 1.3095 0.806 4 0.249 1710 19172.7332 19172.7324 -0.0008 0.1552 0.971 8 0.295 1711 19173.1855 19173.1855 19173.1855 0.0047 0.3436 0.811 2 0.268 1711 19180.0207 19186.603 0.0008 0.0747 0.867 4 0.361 1715 19188.6595 19188.6603 0.0008 0.0747 0.867 4 0.361 1716 19192.5329 19192.6090 0.0020 1.2873 0.763 3 0.260 1718 19196.8614 19206.4784 0.0050 0.2523 0.863 1 0.322 1721 1920.7280 1921.2972 0.0242 1.3899 0.532 3 0.209 1722 19213.5329 1921.4983 1921.4983 1921.4983 1921.4983 1921.4983 1921.4983 19214.9835 19	1707	19162.3062	19162.3087	0.0025	0.1802	0.785	6	0.303
170919170.794019170.81600.02201.30950.80640.249171019172.733219172.7324-0.00080.15520.97180.295171119173.185519173.1851-0.00040.01060.957140.291171219180.258419180.60230.00470.34360.81120.268171419187.135319187.13580.00050.11360.80590.376171519186.659519188.66030.00200.128730.76330.260171719192.532919192.63900.02001.28730.76330.260171819196.861419196.8644-0.00100.00220.994180.353171919201.728019206.47840.00500.52330.86310.223172119212.273119212.9720.02421.38990.63230.209172119212.73119212.9720.02421.38990.63230.209172219213.532919214.9825-0.00100.11430.995140.391172419217.781119217.7801-0.00110.00100.96520.394172519218.724019218.72470.00141.46330.33520.281172619220.015219220.015219220.01520.0220.034450.312172719224.807119234.90790.00190.00120.99926 <td>1708</td> <td>19166.7170</td> <td>19166.7163</td> <td>-0.0007</td> <td>0.0018</td> <td>0.993</td> <td>14</td> <td>0.462</td>	1708	19166.7170	19166.7163	-0.0007	0.0018	0.993	14	0.462
171019172.733219172.7324-0.00080.15520.97180.295171119173.185519173.1851-0.00040.01060.957140.291171219180.258419180.26300.00470.34360.81120.268171319186.020719186.02390.00320.27340.90360.282171419187.135319187.13580.00050.11360.80590.376171519188.659519188.66030.00020.11840.79170.429171719192.532919192.63900.00201.28730.76330.260171819196.861419196.8644-0.00100.00220.994180.35317919201.728019201.73900.01100.88470.67750.223172019206.473419206.47840.00500.25230.86310.322172119212.273119212.29720.02421.38990.53230.209172219213.532919213.5324-0.00100.11430.995140.391172419217.781119217.7810-0.00110.01010.996220.394172519218.724019228.7120.02421.64770.67310.177172719224.807119224.81360.00640.52050.72860.265172819228.702619228.7170.00120.03020.99926 <t< td=""><td>1709</td><td>19170.7940</td><td>19170.8160</td><td>0.0220</td><td>1.3095</td><td>0.806</td><td>4</td><td>0.249</td></t<>	1709	19170.7940	19170.8160	0.0220	1.3095	0.806	4	0.249
171119173.185519173.1851-0.00040.01060.957140.291171219180.258419180.26300.00470.34360.81120.268171319186.020719186.02390.00320.27340.90360.282171419187.135319187.13580.00050.11360.80590.376171519188.659519188.66030.00020.11840.79170.429171719192.532919192.63000.02001.28730.76330.260171819196.86141920.647840.00500.25230.86310.32217211920.72801920.73900.01100.88470.67750.22317211921.273119212.29720.02421.38990.53230.209172219213.532919214.9825-0.00100.11430.99400.186172319214.983519214.9825-0.00110.00100.996220.394172419217.781119217.7810-0.00110.00100.996220.394172519228.702619228.7170.00441.46330.93520.281172419229.714819228.7180.00441.46330.93520.281173119231.400019231.40190.00120.00200.999260.511173219239.74701923.57710.00240.03500.98090.3	1710	19172.7332	19172.7324	-0.0008	0.1552	0.971	8	0.295
171219180.258419180.26300.00470.34360.81120.268171319186.020719186.02390.00320.27340.90360.282171419187.135319187.13580.00050.11360.80590.376171519188.659519188.66030.00020.11840.79170.429171719192.532919192.53500.00220.11840.79170.429171719192.589019192.60900.02001.28730.76330.260171819196.861419196.8604-0.00100.00220.994180.35317191920.728019201.73900.01100.88470.67750.223172119212.273119212.9720.02421.38990.53230.209172219213.532919214.9825-0.00100.11430.995140.391172419217.781119217.7800-0.00110.01010.996220.394172519228.015219220.04320.02801.64770.67310.177172719224.807119228.75170.00141.46330.93520.281172919229.714819229.71820.00341.71220.93450.336173119231.95911923.97100.00200.3500.98990.312173119235.577719235.57160.0381.75760.72200.22	1711	19173.1855	19173.1851	-0.0004	0.0106	0.957	14	0.291
171319186.020719186.02390.00320.27340.90360.282171419187.135319187.13580.00050.11360.80590.376171519188.659519188.66030.00020.01740.86740.361171619192.532919192.53500.00220.11840.79170.429171719192.589019192.60900.00201.28730.76330.260171819196.861419196.8604-0.00100.00220.994180.35317191920.72801920.473900.01100.88470.67750.223172119212.273119212.29720.02421.38990.53230.209172219213.532919213.5324-0.00100.14870.90400.186172319214.983519214.9825-0.00100.14130.995140.391172419217.781119217.7800-0.00110.00100.996220.394172519218.724019228.75170.00470.6330.72860.265172819228.702619228.75170.00441.46330.93520.281172919224.81360.00640.52050.72860.511173019231.400119231.40190.00190.40210.96590.312173119231.95710.00200.03500.98090.31217311	1712	19180.2584	19180.2630	0.0047	0.3436	0.811	2	0.268
171419187.135319187.13580.00050.11360.80590.376171519188.659519188.66030.00080.07470.86740.361171619192.532919192.53500.00220.11840.79170.429171719192.589019192.60900.02001.28730.76330.260171819196.861419196.8644-0.00100.00220.994180.35317191920.172801920.173900.01100.88470.67750.223172019206.473419206.47840.00500.25230.86310.322172119212.273119212.29720.02421.38990.53230.209172219213.532919214.9825-0.00100.11430.995140.311172419217.781119217.7800-0.00110.01010.996220.394172519220.015219220.04320.02801.64770.67310.177172719224.807119228.7170.00171.46330.93520.281172919229.714819229.71820.00341.71220.93450.336173019231.400019231.9579-0.00120.00200.98090.312173319235.537719238.31650.01881.75760.78200.229173419237.470619237.4699-0.00070.14280.88752<	1713	19186.0207	19186.0239	0.0032	0.2734	0.903	6	0.282
171519188.659519188.66030.00080.07470.86740.361171619192.532919192.53500.00220.11840.79170.429171719192.589019192.60900.02001.28730.76330.260171819196.861419196.8604-0.00100.02220.994180.353171919201.728019201.73900.01100.88470.67750.223172019266.473419206.47840.00500.25230.86310.322172119212.273119212.29720.02421.38990.53230.209172219213.532919214.9825-0.00100.11430.995140.391172419217.781119217.7800-0.00110.00100.996220.394172519218.724019224.81360.00640.52050.72860.265172819228.702619228.75170.04711.46330.93520.281172919229.714819229.71820.00341.71220.93450.336173019231.400019231.40190.00190.40210.96590.480173119231.95911923.95710.00200.3381.75760.73200.229173419237.470619237.4699-0.00700.14280.89520.218173519238.263119238.31650.01080.91180.877 <td>1714</td> <td>19187.1353</td> <td>19187.1358</td> <td>0.0005</td> <td>0.1136</td> <td>0.805</td> <td>9</td> <td>0.376</td>	1714	19187.1353	19187.1358	0.0005	0.1136	0.805	9	0.376
171619192.532919192.53500.00220.11840.79170.429171719192.589019192.60900.02001.28730.76330.260171819196.861419196.8604-0.00100.00220.994180.353171919201.728019201.73900.01100.88470.67750.223172019206.473419206.47840.00500.25230.86310.322172119212.273119212.53219213.5324-0.00060.14870.90400.186172319214.983519214.9825-0.00100.11430.995140.391172419217.781119217.7800-0.00110.00100.996220.394172519218.724019218.72570.00170.03410.917110.500172619220.015219220.71820.00241.64330.93520.281172919228.702619228.75170.00141.46330.93520.281173119231.400019231.40190.00190.40210.96590.480173119232.95911923.297100.00200.3500.98090.312173319235.537719238.27120.00190.01520.970150.521173619238.269319238.27120.00100.01520.92340.298173519238.561619242.51410.00250.2230.92	1715	19188.6595	19188.6603	0.0008	0.0747	0.867	4	0.361
171719192.589019192.60900.02001.28730.76330.260171819196.861419196.8604-0.00100.00220.994180.353171919201.728019201.73900.01100.88470.67750.223172019206.473419206.47840.00500.25230.86310.322172119212.273119212.29720.02421.38990.53230.209172219213.532919213.5324-0.00060.14870.90400.866172319214.983519214.9825-0.00100.11430.995140.391172419217.781119217.7800-0.00110.00100.996220.394172519220.015219220.04320.02801.64770.67310.177172719224.807119228.75170.00170.03410.91710.500172819229.714819229.71820.00341.71220.93450.336173019231.400019231.40190.00190.40210.96590.312173319235.537719235.57160.03381.57560.78200.229173419237.470619237.4699-0.00770.14280.89520.218173519238.269319238.27120.00190.01520.970150.521173619238.305719238.31650.01020.14790.9688	1716	19192.5329	19192.5350	0.0022	0.1184	0.791	7	0.429
171819196.861419196.8604-0.00100.00220.994180.353171919201.728019201.73900.01100.88470.67750.223172019206.473419206.47840.00500.25230.86310.322172119212.273119212.29720.02421.38990.53230.209172219213.532919213.5324-0.00060.14870.904001.86172319214.983519214.9825-0.00100.11430.995140.391172419217.781119217.7800-0.00110.00100.996220.394172519220.015219220.04320.02801.64770.67310.177172719224.807119224.81360.00640.52050.72860.265172819229.714819229.71820.00341.71220.93450.336173019231.400019231.40190.00190.40210.96590.312173319235.57119235.57160.03381.57660.78200.229173419237.470619237.4699-0.00070.14280.89520.218173519238.269319238.27120.00180.91180.87960.405173719242.511619242.51410.00220.14790.96880.510173819249.110319249.11050.00220.14790.968 <td< td=""><td>1717</td><td>19192.5890</td><td>19192.6090</td><td>0.0200</td><td>1.2873</td><td>0.763</td><td>3</td><td>0.260</td></td<>	1717	19192.5890	19192.6090	0.0200	1.2873	0.763	3	0.260
171919201.728019201.73900.01100.88470.67750.223172019206.473419206.47840.00500.25230.86310.322172119212.273119212.29720.02421.38990.53230.209172219213.532919213.5324-0.00060.14870.90400.186172319214.983519214.9825-0.00100.11430.995140.391172419217.781119217.7800-0.00110.00100.996220.394172519220.015219220.04320.02801.64770.67310.177172719224.807119224.81360.00640.52050.72860.265172819229.714819229.71820.00341.71220.93450.336173019231.400019231.40190.00190.40210.96590.480173119231.95911923.97100.00200.03500.98090.312173319235.37719235.57160.03381.75760.78200.229173419237.470619237.4699-0.00170.14280.89520.218173519238.269319238.27120.00190.01520.970150.521173619238.305719238.31650.01030.9140.87660.405173719242.511619242.51410.00220.2470.63810.298<	1718	19196.8614	19196.8604	-0.0010	0.0022	0.994	18	0.353
172019206.473419206.47840.00500.25230.86310.322172119212.273119212.29720.02421.38990.53230.209172219213.532919213.5324 -0.0006 0.14870.90400.186172319214.983519214.9825 -0.0010 0.11430.995140.391172419217.781119217.7800 -0.0011 0.00100.996220.394172519218.724019218.72570.00170.03410.917110.500172619220.015219220.04320.02801.64770.67310.177172719224.807119224.81360.00640.52050.72860.265172819229.714819229.71820.00341.71220.93450.336173019231.400019231.40190.00190.40210.96590.480173119232.969019232.97100.00200.03500.98090.312173319235.537719235.57160.03381.75760.78200.229173419237.470619237.4699 -0.0007 0.14280.89520.218173519238.269319238.27120.00190.01520.970150.521173619238.305719238.31650.01080.91180.87960.405173719242.511619242.51410.00220.2230.923 <td< td=""><td>1719</td><td>19201.7280</td><td>19201.7390</td><td>0.0110</td><td>0.8847</td><td>0.677</td><td>5</td><td>0.223</td></td<>	1719	19201.7280	19201.7390	0.0110	0.8847	0.677	5	0.223
172119212.273119212.29720.02421.38990.53230.209172219213.532919213.5324 -0.0006 0.14870.90400.186172319214.983519214.9825 -0.0010 0.11430.995140.391172419217.781119217.7800 -0.0011 0.00100.996220.394172519218.724019218.72570.00170.03410.91710.500172619220.015219220.04320.02801.64770.67310.177172719224.807119224.81360.00640.52050.72860.265172819229.714819229.71820.00341.71220.93450.336173019231.400019231.40190.00190.40210.96590.480173119232.969019232.97100.00200.03800.98090.312173319235.537719235.57160.03381.75760.78200.229173419237.470619238.2120.00190.01520.970150.521173619238.269319238.27120.00120.00740.98690.521173619238.269319238.27120.00120.07470.96880.510173719242.511619242.51410.00250.22230.92340.298173819249.110319249.11050.00220.4770.63819 </td <td>1720</td> <td>19206.4734</td> <td>19206.4784</td> <td>0.0050</td> <td>0.2523</td> <td>0.863</td> <td>1</td> <td>0.322</td>	1720	19206.4734	19206.4784	0.0050	0.2523	0.863	1	0.322
172219213.532919213.5324 -0.0006 0.1487 0.904 0 0.186 172319214.983519214.9825 -0.0010 0.1143 0.995 14 0.391 172419217.781119217.7800 -0.0011 0.0010 0.996 22 0.394 172519218.724019218.7257 0.0017 0.0341 0.917 11 0.500 172619220.015219220.0432 0.0280 1.6477 0.673 1 0.177 172719224.807119224.8136 0.0064 0.5205 0.728 6 0.265 172819228.702619228.7517 0.0491 1.4633 0.935 2 0.281 172919229.714819229.7182 0.0034 1.7122 0.934 5 0.336 173019231.400019231.4959 -0.0012 0.0020 0.999 26 0.511 173219232.969019232.9710 0.0020 0.0350 0.980 9 0.312 173319235.537719235.5716 0.0338 1.7576 0.782 0 0.229 173419237.470619237.4699 -0.0007 0.1428 0.895 2 0.218 173519238.269319238.2712 0.0019 0.0152 0.970 15 0.521 173619238.305719238.3165 0.0108 0.9118 0.879 6 0.405 173719242.511619242.5141 0.0022 0.223 0.223 0.237 <td>1721</td> <td>19212.2731</td> <td>19212.2972</td> <td>0.0242</td> <td>1.3899</td> <td>0.532</td> <td>3</td> <td>0.209</td>	1721	19212.2731	19212.2972	0.0242	1.3899	0.532	3	0.209
172319214.983519214.9825 -0.0010 0.1143 0.995 14 0.391 172419217.781119217.7800 -0.0010 0.010 0.996 22 0.394 172519218.724019218.7257 0.0017 0.0341 0.917 11 0.500 172619220.015219220.0432 0.0280 1.6477 0.673 1 0.177 172719224.807119224.8136 0.0064 0.5205 0.728 6 0.265 172819228.702619228.7517 0.0491 1.4633 0.935 2 0.281 172919229.714819229.7182 0.0034 1.7122 0.934 5 0.336 173019231.400019231.4019 0.0019 0.4021 0.965 9 0.480 173119232.959019232.9710 0.0020 0.0350 0.980 9 0.312 173319235.537719235.5716 0.0338 1.7576 0.782 0 0.229 173419237.470619237.4699 -0.0077 0.1428 0.895 2 0.218 173519238.305719238.3165 0.0108 0.9118 0.879 6 0.405 173719242.511619245.59771 0.0022 0.1479 0.968 8 0.510 173919252.097919255.9771 0.0032 0.2146 0.846 2 0.372 174119258.666119258.6683 0.0023 0.0033 0.995 23 0.639	1722	19213.5329	19213.5324	-0.0006	0.1487	0.904	0	0.186
172419217.781119217.7800 -0.0011 0.0010 0.996 22 0.394 172519218.724019218.7257 0.0017 0.0341 0.917 11 0.500 172619220.015219220.0432 0.0280 1.6477 0.673 1 0.177 172719224.807119224.8136 0.0064 0.5205 0.728 6 0.265 172819228.702619228.7517 0.0491 1.4633 0.935 2 0.281 172919229.714819229.7182 0.0034 1.7122 0.934 5 0.336 173019231.400019231.4019 0.0019 0.4021 0.965 9 0.480 173119230.959119232.9710 0.0020 0.0350 0.980 9 0.312 173319235.537719235.5716 0.0338 1.7576 0.782 0 0.229 173419237.470619237.4699 -0.0077 0.1428 0.895 2 0.218 173519238.269319238.2712 0.0019 0.0152 0.970 15 0.521 173619238.305719238.3165 0.0108 0.9118 0.879 6 0.405 173719242.511619242.5141 0.0022 0.223 0.923 4 0.298 173819249.110319249.1105 0.0002 0.1479 0.968 8 0.510 173919252.097919255.9771 0.0032 0.2162 0.846 2 0.372 <td>1723</td> <td>19214.9835</td> <td>19214.9825</td> <td>-0.0010</td> <td>0.1143</td> <td>0.995</td> <td>14</td> <td>0.391</td>	1723	19214.9835	19214.9825	-0.0010	0.1143	0.995	14	0.391
172519218.724019218.7257 0.0017 0.0341 0.917 11 0.500 172619220.015219220.0432 0.0280 1.6477 0.673 1 0.177 172719224.807119224.8136 0.0064 0.5205 0.728 6 0.265 172819228.702619228.7517 0.0491 1.4633 0.935 2 0.281 172919229.714819229.7182 0.0034 1.7122 0.934 5 0.336 173019231.400019231.4019 0.0019 0.4021 0.965 9 0.480 173119231.959119232.9710 0.0020 0.0350 0.980 9 0.312 173319235.5771 $9.0235.5716$ 0.0338 1.7576 0.782 0 0.229 173419237.470619237.4699 -0.0007 0.1428 0.895 2 0.218 173519238.269319238.2712 0.0019 0.0152 0.970 15 0.521 173619238.305719238.3165 0.0108 0.9118 0.879 6 0.405 173719242.511619242.5141 0.0022 0.223 0.923 4 0.298 173819249.110319249.1105 0.0021 0.0074 0.968 8 0.510 173919252.097919255.9771 0.0032 0.2162 0.846 2 0.372 174119258.606119258.6083 0.0023 0.0033 0.995	1724	19217.7811	19217.7800	-0.0011	0.0010	0.996	22	0.394
172619 220.015219 220.04320.02801.64770.67310.177172719 224.807119 224.81360.00640.52050.72860.265172819 228.702619 228.75170.04911.46330.93520.281172919 229.714819 229.71820.00341.71220.93450.336173019 231.400019 231.40190.00190.40210.96590.480173119 231.957919 232.97100.00200.0999260.511173219 235.57719 235.57160.03381.75760.78200.229173419 237.470619 237.4699-0.00170.01520.970150.521173619 238.269319 238.27120.00190.01520.970150.521173619 238.305719 238.31650.01080.91180.87960.405173719 242.511619 242.51410.00220.14790.96880.510173819 249.110319 249.11050.00220.14790.96880.510173919 252.097919 252.10000.00210.00740.986190.542174019 258.656119 258.66830.00230.00330.995230.639174119 258.656119 258.66610.00990.89360.78700.277174319 261.527519 261.53600.00840.54740.533	1725	19218.7240	19218.7257	0.0017	0.0341	0.917	11	0.500
172719224.807119224.8136 0.0064 0.5205 0.728 6 0.265 172819228.702619228.7517 0.0491 1.4633 0.935 2 0.281 172919229.714819229.7182 0.0034 1.7122 0.934 5 0.336 173019231.400019231.4019 0.0019 0.4021 0.965 9 0.480 173119231.959119232.9710 0.0020 0.0350 0.980 9 0.312 173319235.537719235.5716 0.0338 1.7576 0.782 0 0.229 173419237.470619237.4699 -0.0007 0.1428 0.895 2 0.218 173519238.269319238.2712 0.0019 0.0152 0.970 15 0.521 173619238.305719238.3165 0.0108 0.9118 0.879 6 0.405 173719242.511619242.5141 0.0022 0.223 0.923 4 0.298 173819249.110319249.1105 0.0022 0.1479 0.968 8 0.510 173919252.097919252.1000 0.0021 0.0074 0.986 19 0.542 174019258.656119258.6683 0.0023 0.0033 0.995 23 0.639 174119258.656119258.6661 0.0099 0.8936 0.787 0 0.277 174319261.527519261.5360 0.0084 0.5474 0.533 0 0.276	1726	19220.0152	19220.0432	0.0280	1.6477	0.673	1	0.177
172819228.702619228.7517 0.0491 1.4633 0.935 2 0.281 172919229.714819229.7182 0.0034 1.7122 0.934 5 0.336 173019231.400019231.4019 0.0019 0.4021 0.965 9 0.480 173119231.959119231.9579 -0.0012 0.0002 0.999 26 0.511 173219232.969019232.9710 0.0020 0.0350 0.980 9 0.312 173319235.537719235.5716 0.0338 1.7576 0.782 0 0.229 173419237.470619237.4699 -0.0007 0.1428 0.895 2 0.218 173519238.269319238.2712 0.0019 0.0152 0.970 15 0.521 173619238.305719238.3165 0.0108 0.9118 0.879 6 0.405 173719242.511619242.5141 0.0025 0.223 0.923 4 0.298 173819249.110319249.1105 0.0002 0.1479 0.968 8 0.510 173919252.097919252.1000 0.0021 0.0074 0.986 19 0.542 174019258.656119258.6661 0.0099 0.8936 0.787 0 0.277 174119258.656119258.6661 0.0099 0.8936 0.787 0 0.277 174319261.527519261.5360 0.0084 0.5474 0.533 <	1727	19224.8071	19224.8136	0.0064	0.5205	0.728	6	0.265
172919229.714819229.7182 0.0034 1.7122 0.934 5 0.336 173019231.400019231.4019 0.0019 0.4021 0.965 9 0.480 173119231.959119231.9579 -0.0012 0.0002 0.999 26 0.511 173219232.969019232.9710 0.0020 0.0350 0.980 9 0.312 173319235.537719235.5716 0.0338 1.7576 0.782 0 0.229 173419237.470619237.4699 -0.0007 0.1428 0.895 2 0.218 173519238.269319238.2712 0.0019 0.0152 0.970 15 0.521 173619238.305719238.3165 0.0108 0.9118 0.879 6 0.405 173719242.511619242.5141 0.0025 0.2223 0.923 4 0.298 173819249.110319249.1105 0.0002 0.1479 0.968 8 0.510 173919252.079719252.1000 0.0021 0.0074 0.986 19 0.542 174019258.666119258.6683 0.0023 0.0033 0.995 23 0.639 174219258.656119258.6661 0.0099 0.8936 0.787 0 0.277 174319261.527519261.5360 0.0084 0.5474 0.533 0 0.276 174419263.826219263.8260 -0.0002 0.0224 0.964 8 $0.$	1728	19228.7026	19228.7517	0.0491	1.4633	0.935	2	0.281
173019 231.400019 231.40190.00190.40210.96590.480173119 231.959119 231.9579 -0.0012 0.00020.999260.511173219 232.969019 232.97100.00200.03500.98090.312173319 235.537719 235.57160.03381.75760.78200.229173419 237.470619 237.4699 -0.0007 0.14280.89520.218173519 238.269319 238.27120.00190.01520.970150.521173619 238.305719 238.31650.01080.91180.87960.405173719 242.511619 242.51410.00250.22230.92340.298173819 249.110319 249.11050.00020.14790.96880.510173919 252.079719 252.10000.00210.00740.986190.542174019 255.973919 255.97710.00320.21620.84620.372174119 258.666119 258.66610.00990.89360.78700.277174319 261.527519 261.53600.00840.54740.53300.276174419 266.280019 266.28170.00170.06480.93810.280174519 266.768819 266.78620.01741.32710.65430.236174419 266.768219 266.78620.01741.	1729	19229.7148	19229.7182	0.0034	1.7122	0.934	5	0.336
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1730	19231.4000	19231.4019	0.0019	0.4021	0.965	9	0.480
173219232.969019232.9710 0.0020 0.0350 0.980 9 0.312 173319235.537719235.5716 0.0338 1.7576 0.782 0 0.229 173419237.470619237.4699 -0.0007 0.1428 0.895 2 0.218 173519238.269319238.2712 0.0019 0.0152 0.970 15 0.521 173619238.305719238.3165 0.0108 0.9118 0.879 6 0.405 173719242.511619242.5141 0.0025 0.2223 0.923 4 0.298 173819249.110319249.1105 0.0002 0.1479 0.968 8 0.510 173919252.097919255.9771 0.0032 0.2162 0.846 2 0.372 174019258.606019258.6083 0.0023 0.0033 0.995 23 0.639 174219258.656119258.6661 0.0099 0.8936 0.787 0 0.277 174319261.527519261.5360 0.0024 0.5424 0.533 0 0.276 174419263.826219263.8260 -0.0002 0.0224 0.964 8 0.377 174519266.280019266.2817 0.0017 0.648 0.938 1 0.280 174619<	1731	19231.9591	19231.9579	-0.0012	0.0002	0.999	26	0.511
173319 235.537719 235.57160.03381.75760.78200.229173419 237.470619 237.4699 -0.0007 0.14280.89520.218173519 238.269319 238.27120.00190.01520.970150.521173619 238.305719 238.31650.01080.91180.87960.405173719 242.511619 242.51410.00250.22230.92340.298173819 249.110319 249.11050.00020.14790.96880.510173919 252.097919 255.97710.00220.01940.986190.542174019 255.973919 255.97710.00320.21620.84620.372174119 258.606019 258.60830.00230.00330.995230.639174219 258.656119 258.66610.00990.89360.78700.277174319 261.527519 261.53600.00840.54740.53300.276174419 266.280019 266.28170.00170.06480.93810.280174519 266.768819 266.78620.01741.32710.65430.236174419 274.174419 277.78540.00320.22930.84420.268174719 274.774519 277.78540.10253.8470.96300.247	1732	19 232,9690	19232.9710	0.0020	0.0350	0.980	-0	0.312
$\begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1733	19235 5377	19235 5716	0.0338	1 7576	0.782	Ő	0.229
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1734	19237 4706	19237 4699	-0.0007	0 1428	0.895	2	0.218
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1735	192382693	19238 2712	0.0019	0.0152	0.050	15	0.521
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1736	19238 3057	19238 3165	0.0019	0.0102	0.879	6	0.405
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1737	19242 5116	19242 5141	0.0025	0.2223	0.923	4	0.298
1739 19252.079 19252.1000 0.0021 0.0074 0.986 19 0.542 1740 19255.9739 19255.9771 0.0022 0.2162 0.846 2 0.372 1741 19258.6060 19258.6083 0.0023 0.0033 0.995 23 0.639 1742 19258.6561 19258.6661 0.0099 0.8936 0.787 0 0.277 1743 19261.5275 19261.5360 0.0084 0.5474 0.533 0 0.276 1744 19263.8262 19263.8260 -0.0002 0.0224 0.964 8 0.377 1745 19266.2800 19266.2817 0.0017 0.0648 0.938 1 0.280 1746 19266.7688 19266.7862 0.0174 1.3271 0.654 3 0.236 1747 19274.1744 19277.7854 0.0023 0.2293 0.844 2 0.268	1738	19249 1103	19249 1105	0.00020	0.1479	0.968	8	0.510
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1739	19252.0979	19252 1000	0.0021	0.0074	0.986	19	0 542
$ \begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1740	19255 9739	19255 9771	0.0032	0.2162	0.900	2	0.372
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1741	19258 6060	19258 6083	0.0023	0.0033	0.995	23	0.639
$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	1742	19258.6561	19258.6661	0.0099	0.8936	0.787	0	0.277
1744 19263.8262 19263.8260 -0.0002 0.0224 0.964 8 0.377 1745 19266.2800 19266.2817 0.0017 0.0648 0.938 1 0.280 1746 19266.7688 19266.7862 0.0174 1.3271 0.654 3 0.236 1747 19274.1744 19274.1775 0.0032 0.2293 0.844 2 0.268 1748 19277.7854 0.1025 3.8847 0.963 0 0.247	1743	19261 5275	19261 5360	0.0084	0 5474	0 533	0	0.276
1745 19266.2800 19266.2817 0.0017 0.0648 0.938 1 0.280 1746 19266.7688 19266.7862 0.0174 1.3271 0.654 3 0.236 1747 19274.1744 19274.1775 0.0032 0.2293 0.844 2 0.268 1748 19277.7854 0.1025 3.8847 0.963 0 0.247	1744	19263 8262	19263 8260	-0.0002	0.0224	0.964	8	0.377
1746 19266.7688 19266.7862 0.0174 1.3271 0.654 3 0.236 1747 19274.1744 19274.1775 0.0032 0.2293 0.844 2 0.268 1748 19277.7854 0.1025 3.8847 0.963 0 2.47	1745	19266 2800	19266 2817	0.0017	0.0648	0.938	1	0.2.80
1747 19274.1743 19274.1775 0.0032 0.2293 0.844 2 0.268 1748 19277.6829 19277.7854 0.1025 3.8847 0.963 0 247	1746	19266 7688	19266 7862	0.0174	1 3271	0.654	3	0.236
1748 19277.6829 19277.7854 0.1025 3.8847 0.963 0.0247	1747	19274 1744	19274 1775	0.0032	0.2293	0.844	2	0.268
	1748	19277.6829	19277.7854	0.1025	3.8847	0.963	õ	0.247

(57 000 cm⁻¹) (not 0.15 Hartree stated in their paper²⁷). The 1371 bend-rotation functions are then coupled to stretch functions to make a final basis with 147 671 functions using a threshold of 0.3 Hartree (66 000 cm⁻¹). Because they use a direct eigensolver, there are unable to calculate eigenvalues in a basis this large and they therefore truncate it keeping only 45 000 functions. We also use a direct eigensolver for the final diagonalization, but our final basis size has only about 10 000 basis functions and is determined with a single low threshold of $E_{\rm cut} = 30\,000$ cm⁻¹. See Fig. 2 and Table 6, for a comparison of our levels with those of Huang *et al.* for J = 40.

IX. Conclusion

In this paper, we propose a two-step method that uses an associated Legendre function basis and a K-independent quadrature. The advantage of the two-step idea is that the largest rovibrational term in the KEO does not have off-diagonal matrix elements in the $|v^{(K)}\rangle|IKM\rangle$ basis. It is common to compute the $|v^{(K)}\rangle$ functions in a basis of K-dependent DVR functions. A DVR seems appealing because the potential matrix in a DVR is diagonal. However, when using a K-dependent DVR, matrix elements of coordinate dependent factors in the KEO must be transformed from the ALF basis to the DVR. This is more complicated and more costly than using the ALF basis directly. If the ALF basis is used directly then these transformations are not necessary. However, when the ALF basis is used, it is necessary to either compute matrix-vector products with or calculate matrix elements of the potential. Fortunately, this is easily done, using a single set of (K-independent) quadrature points for all K, by evaluating sums sequentially.^{17,53-55} When one uses the ALF basis and quadrature (i.e. a finite basis representation¹⁷) rather than the corresponding DVR, one must transform the potential instead of the kinetic matrix. Whereas, transforming the kinetic matrix is complicated by the fact that the DVR is K-dependent, there is no need to use K-dependent points and weights when doing potential matrix elements by quadrature. Using a K-dependent DVR also requires evaluating the potential at different sets of points for each K. Another difference between our two-step method and the most popular two-step code^{5,6} is that we compute the $|\nu^{(K)}\rangle$ with a Lanczos eigensolver. This makes it possible to use a large primitive basis whose functions are products of 1-D functions. The alternative is to use a sequence of contractions which works well, but requires additional steps. Iterative methods become essential for larger molecules, but are also useful for triatomics.

The two-step method was first used⁹ for H_2D^+ , but it is most advantageous for linear molecules because the ro-vibrational coupling term incorporated into the Hamiltonian whose eigenfunctions are the $|\nu^{(K)}\rangle$ basis functions is much larger for linear molecules. When it is large, the energies that correspond to $|\nu^{(K)}\rangle$ increase rapidly with *K* (when *K* is increased to *K* + 1 the energy of the lowest $|\nu^{(K)}\rangle$ increases by about the bend frequency). Because $|\nu^{(K)}\rangle$ with large energies are less important, the number of $|\nu^{(K)}\rangle$ which must be used to compute final energies and wavefunctions, below a chosen threshold, decreases with *K*. That number is actually zero if *K* is large enough. In some previous two-step calculations the number of $|\nu^{(K)}\rangle$ has been chosen to be independent of *K*.

An efficient method for computing ro-vibrational levels of a linear triatomic molecule will also be useful for studying van der Waals complexes for which at least one of the constituents is a linear molecule. This is because a good basis is composed of products of intra- and inter-molecular functions.^{56,57}

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

This work has been supported by the Natural Sciences and Engineering Research Council of Canada and the Digital Alliance of Canada. TC thanks the Jean d'Alembert fellowship program for its support at the Université Paris-Saclay. XGW thanks Donghui Zhang's group at the Dalian Institute of Chemical Physics for hospitality. We thank Xinchuan Huang and David Schwenke and Emil Zak for detailed and helpful discussions.

References

- 1 G. D. Carney, L. L. Sprandel and C. W. Kern, *Adv. Chem. Phys.*, 1978, **37**, 305.
- 2 J. Tennyson, Comput. Phys. Rep., 1986, 4, 1.
- 3 S. Carter and N. C. Handy, *Comput. Phys. Commun.*, 1988, **51**, 49.
- 4 P. Jensen, J. Mol. Spectrosc., 1988, 128, 478.
- 5 J. Tennyson, J. R. Henderson and N. G. Fulton, *Comput. Phys. Commun.*, 1995, **86**, 175.
- 6 J. Tennyson, M. A. Kostin, P. Barletta, G. J. Harris,
 O. L. Polyansky, J. Ramanlal and N. F. Zobov, *Comput. Phys. Commun.*, 2004, 163, 85–116.
- 7 D. W. Schwenke, J. Chem. Phys., 2015, 142, 144107.
- 8 M. J. Bramley and N. C. Handy, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 1993, **98**, 1378–1397.
- 9 J. Tennyson and B. T. Sutcliffe, Mol. Phys., 1986, 58, 1067.
- 10 T. Carrington, J. Chem. Phys., 2017, 146, 120902.
- 11 P. Sarkar, N. M. Poulin and T. Carrington, J. Chem. Phys., 1999, **110**, 10269.
- 12 Z. Bačić and J. C. Light, Annu. Rev. Phys. Chem., 1989, 40, 469.
- 13 M. Mladenovic, Spectrochim. Acta, Part A, 2002, 58, 809.
- 14 F. T. Smith, Phys. Rev., 1960, 120, 1058.
- 15 B. R. Johnson and W. P. Reinhardt, J. Chem. Phys., 1986, 85, 4538.
- 16 J. C. Light, I. P. Hamilton and J. V. Lill, J. Chem. Phys., 1985, 82(3), 1400–1409.
- 17 J. C. Light and T. Carrington Jr., *Adv. Chem. Phys.*, 2000, **114**, 263–310.
- 18 J. M. Bowman, T. Carrington and H.-D. Meyer, *Mol. Phys.*, 2008, **106**, 2145–2182.
- 19 H. Massol, et al., Space Sci. Rev., 2016, 205, 153.
- 20 M. Snels, S. Stefani, D. Grassi, G. Piccioni and A. Adriani, *Planet. Space Sci.*, 2014, **103**, 347.
- 21 I. E. Gordon, et al., J. Quant. Spectrosc. Radiat. Transfer, 2017, 203, 3.
- 22 B. Connor, et al., Atmos. Meas. Tech., 2016, 9, 5227.
- 23 F. Oyafuso, et al., J. Quant. Spectrosc. Radiat. Transfer, 2017, 203, 213.
- 24 E. J. Zak, J. Tennyson, O. L. Polyansky, L. Lodi, S. A. Tashkun and V. I. Perevalov, J. Quant. Spectrosc. Radiat. Transfer, 2016, 177, 31.
- 25 X. Huang, D. W. Schwenke, S. A. Tashkun and T. J. Lee, J. Chem. Phys., 2012, **136**, 124311.

- 26 X. Huang, D. W. Schwenke, R. S. Freedman and T. J. Lee, J. Quant. Spectrosc. Radiat. Transfer, 2017, 203, 224.
- 27 X. Huang, D. W. Schwenke, R. S. Freedman and T. J. Lee, J. Phys. Chem. A, 2022, 126, 5940.
- 28 S. N. Yurchenko, T. M. Mellor, R. S. Freedman and J. Tennyson, *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.*, 2020, **496**, 5282–5291.
- 29 S. Carter, N. C. Handy and B. T. Sutcliffe, *Mol. Phys.*, 1983, 49, 745.
- 30 X.-G. Wang and T. Carrington, Jr., J. Chem. Phys., 2017, 146, 104105.
- 31 J. Tennyson and B. Sutcliffe, *Int. J. Quantum Chem.*, 1992, 42, 941–952.
- 32 S. Carter and N. C. Handy, J. Chem. Phys., 1987, 87, 4294.
- 33 S. Carter, N. C. Handy and I. M. Mills, *Philos. Trans. R. Soc. London, Ser. A*, 1990, 332, 309.
- 34 G. Yan, D. Xie and A. Tian, J. Phys. Chem., 1994, 98, 8870-8875.
- 35 H. Partridge and D. W. Schwenke, J. Chem. Phys., 1997, 106, 4618.
- 36 J. Zúñiga, A. Bastida, M. Alacid and A. Requena, J. Mol. Spectrosc., 2001, 205, 62.
- 37 J. Cerezo, A. Bastida, A. Requena and J. Zúñiga, J. Quant. Spectrosc. Radiat. Transfer, 2014, 147, 233.
- 38 X.-G. Wang and T. Carrington Jr, *Mol. Phys.*, 2012, **110**(9–10), 825–835.
- 39 X.-G. Wang and T. Carrington, Jr., J. Chem. Phys., 2013, 138, 104106.
- 40 D. W. Schwenke and D. G. Truhlar, *Comput. Phys. Commun.*, 1984, **34**, 57.
- 41 S. Carter and N. C. Handy, Mol. Phys., 1986, 57, 175.
- 42 A. A. Azzam, J. Tennyson, S. N. Yurchenko and O. V. Naumenko, *Mon. Not. R. Astron. Soc.*, 2016, **460**, 4063–4074.
- 43 D. W. Schwenke, J. Phys. Chem., 1996, 100, 2867.
- 44 P. R. Bunker and J. M. R. Stone, *J. Mol. Spectrosc.*, 1972, 41, 310–332.
- 45 X.-G. Wang and T. Carrington Jr., J. Chem. Phys., 2004, 121, 2937.
- 46 X. Huang, private communication, November, 2023.
- 47 S. N. Yurchenko and T. M. Mellor, *J. Chem. Phys.*, 2020, 153, 154106.
- 48 X. G. Wang and T. Carrington, RV3: A package of programs to compute rovi-brational levels and wavefunctions of triatomic molecules.
- 49 H. Wei and T. Carrington Jr., J. Chem. Phys., 1992, 97, 3029.
- 50 J. Tennyson and B. T. Sutcliffe, J. Chem. Phys., 1982, 77, 406.
- 51 X.-G. Wang and T. Carrington, Jr., J. Chem. Phys., 2015, 143, 024303.
- 52 ESI[†] for J = 0 A+ levels up to 20 000 cm⁻¹, and all the levels referred to in Table 5.
- 53 M. J. Bramley and T. Carrington, Jr., J. Chem. Phys., 1993, 99, 8519.
- 54 U. Manthe and H. Köppel, J. Chem. Phys., 199093, 345.
- 55 X.-G. Wang and T. Carrington, Jr., J. Chem. Phys., 2014, 141, 154106.
- 56 P. M. Felker and Z. Bačić, J. Chem. Phys., 2019, 151, 024305.
- 57 X.-G. Wang and T. Carrington, Jr., J. Chem. Phys., 2018, 148, 074108.