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X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) and ab initio calculations show that fully alkylated onium cation electronic structure can be tuned using both the alkyl chains and the central onium atom. The key for tuning the central onium atom is methyl versus longer alkyl chains, allowing selection of the optimum cation for a wide range of applications, including catalysis and biocides.

Fully alkylated onium cations feature in a wide range of applications, including organic synthesis, electrochemical energy storage materials, friction/corrosion additives, and solar energy capture/storage materials. Two key structural variations of alkylated oniums are: (i) the alkyl substituents, C₅H₁₂, e.g., from four CH₃ in tetramethylammonium ([N₅⁺]), to much longer alkyls; (ii) the central X atom e.g. trialkylammonium ([N₅⁺]), trialkylsulfonium ([S₅⁺]) or tetraalkylphosphonium ([P₅⁺]) (Figure 1). This structural variation gives the potential to finely tune properties and performance, although historically the focus has been on using the cation alkyl chain to control hydrophobicity or steric interactions.

Electrostatic interactions are key for onium-anion and onium-neutral molecule interactions, as there are no π-bonds and only σ-bonds on fully alkylated onium cations. In synthesis, hydrogen bonding catalysis is based on electrostatic interactions between onium cations and substrates, and phase-transfer catalysis relies on anion-onium cation electrostatic interactions. For biocides the first stage of interaction with a cell membrane is via an electrostatic interaction between the positively charged [N₅⁺] headgroup and anionic parts of the membrane. Moreover, a single calculable electronic descriptor that can capture through-bond effects has been used to understand the impact of substituents on [N₅⁺], and a range of calculated electronic structure properties (e.g. orbital energies) have been used to predict the impact of [N₅⁺] supporting electrolytes on electrochemical performance. Despite these extensive examples, there is still a large knowledge gap in the electronic structure of fully alkylated onium cations that underpins electrostatic interactions in the wide variety of applications. Using a combination of experimental X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) and ion-SMD (Solvation Model based on Density) density functional theory (DFT) calculations we provide both experimental and computational evidence on liquid-phase onium cations.

Element-specific XPS core-level binding energies, Eₛ(core), are capable of capturing multiple electronic structure descriptors for each onium cation, e.g. Eₛ(N 1s). Moreover, Eₛ(core) and the electrostatic potential at a nucleus, Vₛ, have been found to be linearly correlated for ionic liquids (ILs) meaning that Eₛ(core) can be readily interpreted unlike e.g. chemical shifts in NMR. Furthermore, Vₛ is an excellent localised non-covalent bonding/reactivity strength descriptor, atoms with large Eₛ(core) and large Vₛ will attract electrons relative to atoms with small Eₛ(core) and small Vₛ.

ILs with relatively weakly interacting anions, e.g. [NTf₂][bis[(trifluoromethane)sulfonylimide]] or [FSIm][bis[(fluorosulfonylimide)]], can be used to probe intrinsic cation properties, as cations are effectively in a sea of anions that give non-specific interactions. Additionally, lone-cation-SMD...
calculations (i.e. with no counteranions) capture the inherent cation properties.

The range of onium cations studied in the liquid-phase using XPS to date is limited, with the main focus on onium cations in ILs\textsuperscript{21-25} which have sufficiently low vapour pressure to be used with standard XPS apparatus\textsuperscript{26}. A key finding for XPS of \([\text{N}_{n,0,0,0}]\)-based ILs was the impact of cations with linear (e.g. \([\text{N}_{6,6,6,6}]\) ammonium cation) versus ring (e.g. \([\text{C}_2\text{CpPyrr}]\) pyrroldinium cation) alkyl on the IL electronic structure; cation-anion interactions were linked to the conformational flexibility of the cation.\textsuperscript{22, 23} However, the number of different onium cations studied was relatively limited, leaving open questions, e.g. what is the influence of the alkyl substituent on onium electronic structure? Herein, we measured \(E_{\text{B}(\text{core})}\) for 12 [onium cation][NTf\(_2\)] and two [onium cation][FSI] ILs using XPS and performed lone-ion-SMD DFT calculations (ESI Section 3 for details) for 31 onium cations to address these questions.

Varying the alkyl chain length of \([\text{N}_{n,0,0,0}]\) from methyl to longer has a significant and predictable impact on \(E_{\text{B}(\text{N} 1s)}\). N 1s XPS for the two ILs \(\text{[N}_{1,1,1,1}\text{][NTf}_2]\) and \(\text{[N}_{2,2,2,2}\text{][NTf}_2]\) gave a relatively large \(\Delta E_{\text{B}(\text{N} 1s)}\) of -0.36 eV (Figure 2a, Figure 3a and ESI Table S8), representing \(\Delta E_{\text{B}(\text{N} 1s)}\) going from three CH\(_2\) to three longer alkyl chains. Each successive change of one CH\(_2\) to one longer alkyl gives \(\Delta E_{\text{B}(\text{N} 1s)}\) of \(-0.12 \text{ eV}\) (Figure 2a), as demonstrated by \(\Delta E_{\text{B}(\text{N} 1s)}\) from \(\text{[N}_{1,1,1,1}\text{][NTf}_2]\) to \(\text{[N}_{2,2,2,2}\text{][NTf}_2]\) to \(\text{[N}_{3,3,3,3}\text{][NTf}_2]\) to \(\text{[N}_{2,2,2,2}\text{][NTf}_2]\) (Figure 2a, Figure 3a and ESI Table S8). This effect of the alkyl chain lengths on cation electronic structure is independent of the anion identity, as the same \(\Delta E_{\text{B}(\text{N} 1s)}\) effect is observed when the [FSI\textsuperscript{-}] anion was used instead of [NTf\textsuperscript{2-}], e.g. \(\text{[N}_{n,3,3,3}\text{][FSI]}\) versus \(\text{[N}_{n,1,1,1}\text{][FSI]}\) (ESI Figure S17 and ESI Table S8).

Changing the alkyl substituent from ethyl to longer had no discernible impact on \(E_{\text{B}(\text{N} 1s)}\), with clear evidence from three cases: (i) \(\text{[N}_{n,1,1,1}\text{]}\) (where \(n = 3, 4\) and 6) gave the same \(E_{\text{B}(\text{N} 1s)}\) (Figure 2a); (ii) \(\text{[N}_{2,2,2,2}\text{]}\) (where \(n = 4\) and 8) gave the same \(E_{\text{B}(\text{N} 1s)}\) (Figure 2a); (iii) \(\text{[N}_{4,4,4,4}\text{]}\) and \(\text{[N}_{8,8,8,8}\text{]}\) gave the same \(E_{\text{B}(\text{N} 1s)}\) (Figure 2a and ESI Table S8).

Linear versus large ring alkyl chains, e.g. ammonium versus pyrroldinium, had no discernible impact on experimental \(E_{\text{B}(\text{N} 1s)}\). Cations with one CH\(_2\) substituent and either all linear longer alkyl chains \(\text{([N}_{n,4,4,4}\text{][NTf}_2]\), \text{[N}_{n,8,8,8}\text{][NTf}_2]\) or large ring alkyl chains \(\text{([C}_2\text{CpPip][NTf}_2]\), \text{[C}_2\text{CpPyrr][NTf}_2]\) gave the same \(E_{\text{B}(\text{N} 1s)}\) within experimental uncertainty (Figure 2a and ESI Table S8).

Calculated \(E_{\text{B}(\text{N} 1s)}\) match the experimental \(E_{\text{B}(\text{N} 1s)}\) very well, both visually (Figure 2a, Figure 2b and ESI Figure S18), and an excellent linear correlation for calculated versus experimental \(E_{\text{B}(\text{N} 1s)}\) (ESI Figure S20). Going from \(\text{[N}_{1,1,1,1}\text{]}\) to \(\text{[N}_{2,2,2,2}\text{]}\), each time a CH\(_2\) is changed to a longer alkyl chain gives \(\Delta E_{\text{B}(\text{N} 1s)}\) \(-0.08 \text{ eV}\) (Figure 2b and Figure 3a), which is slightly smaller than the experimental \(\Delta E_{\text{B}(\text{N} 1s)}\) of \(-0.12 \text{ eV}\). This difference may arise from the choice of functional/basis set combinations; the wB97XD functional was selected as it has minimal self-interaction error and correctly describes long-range electron-electron interactions (important in determining ionisation energies). An alternative explanation is the failure of the SMD model to capture local interactions (switching from IL...
The effect of varying alkyl chain lengths for all key fully alkylated oniums is the same. For \([S_{n,1,1}]^+\) and \([P_{n,n,n,n}]^+\) cations, the effect of changing from methyl to longer alkyl substituents on \(E_\text{f}(\text{cation 2p})\) and \(E_\text{f}(\text{cation 2p})\) respectively match those of \(E_\text{f}(\text{cation 1s})\) for \([N_{n,n,n,n}]^+\). Comparing experimental S 2p XPS for the two ILs \([S_{2,2,2}]^+\) and \([S_{2,2,2}]^+\) gives \(\Delta E_\text{f}(S 2p_{23/2}) = -0.16 \text{ eV}\) (Figure 2c and Figure 3b). However, going from \([S_{2,2,2}]^+\) to \([S_{2,2,2}]^+\) gives a calculated \(\Delta E_\text{f}(S 2p_{23/2}) = -0.07 \text{ eV}\) (Figure 2c, Figure 3b and ESI Table S5), demonstrating that the calculations match the experiments very well. Moreover, for \([P_{n,n,n,n}]^+\) each time a methyl is changed to a longer alkyl gives \(\Delta E_\text{f}(P 2p_{3/2}) \approx -0.07 \text{ eV}\) (Figure 3c and ESI Figure S21).

The excellent matches for the experimental and calculated \(E_\text{f}(\text{cation core})\) (where \(X = N, S\) or \(P\)) demonstrate that the changes in the experimental \(E_\text{f}(\text{cation core})\) are due to ground state effects and can be related directly to the molecular electrostatic potential at the central onium atom nucleus, \(V_X\) (Figure 5). The differences in \(E_\text{f}(\text{cation core})\) for fully alkylated onium cations are explained by the alkyl group inductive effect, where the additive strength is \(-\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2\text{CH}_2 \approx -\text{CH}_2\text{H} < -\text{CH}_3\). \(^{27}\) Four \(\text{CH}_3\) substituents gives the largest \(E_\text{f}(\text{cation core})\) = largest \(V_X\) = strongest X atom electrostatic interaction. Conversely, four longer alkyl substituents gives the smallest \(E_\text{f}(\text{cation 1s})\) = smallest \(V_X\) = weakest X atom electrostatic interaction.

Changing the alkyl groups from linear to branched (i.e. going from each \(\alpha\)-C atom having one \(\text{C}\) and two \(\text{H}\) to each \(\alpha\)-C atom having two \(\text{C}\) and one \(\text{H}\)) gives a small impact on \(E_\text{f}(\text{cation 1s})\) and \(V_X\). Changing the cation from \([N_{2,2,2,2}]^+\) to \([N_{3,3,3,3}]^+\) (2 = isopropyl, ESI Table S5) gave \(\Delta E_\text{f}(\text{cation 1s}) = -0.09 \text{ eV}\) (ESI Figure S22 and ESI Table S9). \([N_{3,3,3,3}]^+\) is unlikely to be stable in solution, but adding one branched alkyl group to give e.g. \([N_{3,2,2,2}]^+\) will give stable cations and will allow small fine tuning of \(E_\text{f}(\text{cation 1s})\) and \(V_X\).

The electronic environment of the carbon atoms in onium cations can be finely controlled by changing \(X\) (Figure 4 and ESI Section 14), as demonstrated by using our second spectroscopic handle, \(E_\text{f}(\text{C 1s})\). \(E_\text{f}(\text{C} -\text{C}^+)\) and therefore \(V_X\) can be tuned using the central \(X\) atom identity. The experimental order for \(E_\text{f}(\text{C} -\text{C}^+)\) is \(N > S > P\) (Figure 4 upper), which matches literature \(E_\text{f}(\text{N} -\text{C}^+)\) for \(N\) versus \(P\) (S was not included in that publication) and also partial charge calculations. \(^{22,28}\) \(E_\text{f}(\text{C} -\text{C}^+)\) following \(N > S > P\) matches the order of the central atom electronegativity, i.e. \(N > S > P\), so nitrogen withdraws the most electron density from \(\alpha\)-C to \(X\) (Figure 5).

\(V_X\) for \(X\) can be inferred from \(E_\text{f}(\text{C} -\text{C}^+)\), given that all onium cations will have approximately the same overall charge of +1, so any change in \(V_X\) will have the opposite effect on \(V_X = \text{E}_\text{f}(\text{C} -\text{C}^+)\) and \(V_{\alpha\text{C}}\) trend \(N > S > P\), so \(V_X\) (relative to a neutral \(X\)
atom) trends \( P > S > N \).

Both \( E_{\text{a}}(X_{\text{cation}}) \) and \( E_{\text{a}}(C_{\text{a}}-1s) \) can be tuned using the counteranion identity,\(^{10, 19, 21} \) Changing from Cl to [NTf\(_2\)]\(^{-}\) had the same effect (within experimental uncertainty) of +0.4 eV on \( E_{\text{a}}(C_{\text{a}}-1s) \), \( \Delta E_{\text{a}}(X_{\text{cation}}) \) and \( \Delta E_{\text{a}}(P_{\text{cation}}) \).\(^{20, 21} \) This effect of the anion is similar in magnitude to changing from four alkyl substituents to four longer alkyl substituents (Figure 3).

Given that the onium cation headgroup will dominate any electrostatic interaction with a substrate, both \( V_{x} \) and \( V_{x-c} \) are clearly vital descriptors. We have demonstrated that both \( V_{x} \) and \( V_{x-c} \) can be predictably controlled for onium cations by the choice of alkyl chain length and central X atom identity. Furthermore, for certain applications where cation-anion are likely to be bound together (e.g. ion pairing in solvents with low relative permittivity, in ionic liquids), the counteranion identity can also contribute strongly to both \( V_{x} \) and \( V_{x-c} \).

We envisage this information being useful in two areas. Firstly, \( V_{x} \) and \( V_{x-c} \) are expected to be very useful descriptors for developing models using both quantitative structure-property relationships (QSPR) and machine learning. Such descriptors could be calculated using the same DFT methods described here, or a cheaper but cruder method would be to use the linear relationships developed here to make predictions of \( V_{x} \) and \( V_{x-c} \). Secondly, a semi-quantitative judgement of the optimum onium cation to be used in any application can be made using our results. The largest \( V_{x} \) (i.e. strongest X atom electrostatic interaction) would be \( [X_{1,1},1,1]^{+}[\text{FAP}] \) (the very weakly electrostatically interacting anion \([\text{FAP}]^{-}\)) = tris(pentafluoroethyl)trifluorophosphatetriyl, and the smallest \( V_{x} \) (i.e. weakest X atom electrostatic interaction) would be \( [X_{2,2,2,2}]^{+}\). For biocides for example, a strong electrostatic interaction is expected to be desirable. Therefore, focusing on electronic effects (and ignoring steric effects) \([X_{1,1},1,1]^{+}\) would be better than \([X_{2,2,2,2}]^{+}\). However, such a selection is challenging as both \( V_{x} \) and \( V_{x-c} \) are affected in opposite directions by the central X atom identity, and a single site interaction model cannot be assumed. Essentially, the electrostatic interactions are too complicated for a single metric to be used for judgement.

Overall, we have presented new experimental and calculated electronic descriptors for judging electrostatic interaction strengths in fully-alkylated onium cations (Figure 5). \( X_{\text{cation}} \), \( \alpha-C \) and \( C_{\text{alld}} \) can be predictably tuned using a combination of the alkyl chain lengths, the central X atom identity and the counteranion. In future work we will aim to demonstrate further control onium electronic structure using heteroatom substituents (e.g. O atoms) or X-H (protic cations).
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