



Cite this: *Chem. Commun.*, 2024, 60, 7705

Received 20th May 2024,
Accepted 30th June 2024

DOI: 10.1039/d4cc02414a

rsc.li/chemcomm

Aromaticity transfer in an annulated 1,4,2-diazaborole: facile access to C_s symmetric 1,4,2,5-diazadiborinines†

Vignesh Pattathil and Conor Prancevicius *


A tricyclic annulated 1,4,2-diazaborole is readily accessed *via* reaction of a bidentate pyridyl-carbene ligand with $MesBBr_2$ followed by reduction. Dearomatization of the flanking rings is shown to increase reactivity of this heterocycle in the form of a B-centred alkylation with MeI. Its reaction with hydrido-, fluoro-, and chloro-boranes reveal an unprecedented ring expansion reaction to form a diverse family of $B_2C_2N_2$ heterocycles, reduction of which allows facile access to the first examples of C_s symmetric 1,4,2,5-diazadiborinines. DFT calculations have shed light on the electronic structures of the reduced species and provide insight into mechanistic aspects of the observed ring-expansion.

Boron-containing heterocyclic compounds have received rapidly increasing attention in recent years due to their ability to activate inert bonds,^{1–9} and their tuneable photophysical properties have led to applications in optoelectronic devices.^{10–19} In particular, diazadiborinines, – six-membered aromatic rings featuring two C, two B, and two N atoms – have demonstrated themselves to be a privileged subclass of B-heterocycles (Scheme 1a). They have demonstrated a diverse array of challenging bond activations including H–H, C–H, C–F, and C–C bonds,^{20–29} and have been very recently applied as organocatalysts in hydroboration and *N*-formylation reactions.^{30–33} Diazadiborinines are synthesized *via* the reaction of a 2-lithio-1N-heterocycle, frequently imidazole or oxazole, with a borane source. Careful control of the addition of the reagents can afford either the 1,4,2,5- or 1,3,2,5-diazadiborinine isomers.³⁴ Due to the nature of this procedure, the scope of known diazadiborinines is fairly narrow, and all reported examples possess either C_2 or C_{2v} symmetry. Given the ambiphilic nature of diazadiborinine-based bond activations, possessing the tools to modify this reactive scaffold without this symmetry constraint would allow chemists to further refine their emerging bond-activation and catalytic properties. Moreover, diazadiborinines bearing various electron donor/acceptor groups at

exocyclic positions are predicted to be singlet fission chromophores,³⁵ and the development of synthetic methods to modify different parts of these molecules independently would significantly facilitate this exploration.

1,4,2-Diazaboroles are another, albeit much less studied, class of aromatic B-heterocycles (Scheme 1a).^{36–38} They were first accessed by Kinjo and co-workers in 2015, and demonstrated exocyclic reactivity with methyl triflate, and formal borylene insertion at an exocyclic position upon reaction with boron tribromide (Scheme 1b). The use of the “F⁺” analogue Selectfluor was necessary to achieve endocyclic reactivity *via* the formation of a strong B–F bond.

The concept of aromaticity transfer has been utilized by Milstein and co-workers to achieve bond activations within otherwise unreactive heterocycles,³⁹ where a de-aromatized fused ring induces reactivity through its thermodynamic drive to re-aromatize. Inspired by this, we began to explore the chemistry of 1,4,2-diazaboroles derived from a bidentate pyridyl-N-heterocyclic carbene framework (Scheme 1c). Our hypothesis being that the de-aromatized imidazole and pyridyl rings in this system would increase the reactivity of



Scheme 1 (a) B,N-heterocycles of interest to this work (b) Exocyclic reactivity reported with a monocyclic 1,4,2-diazaborole. (c) Endocyclic reactivity reported here with an annulated 1,4,2-diazaborole.

Department of Chemistry, Charles E. Fipke Centre for Innovative Research, University of British Columbia, Okanagan Campus, 3247 University Way, Kelowna, BC, Canada. E-mail: conor.prancevicius@ubc.ca

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. CCDC 2331652, 2331655, 2331653, 2331654, 2331660, 2331659, 2331656. For ESI and crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1039/d4cc02414a>






Fig. 1 (a) Molecular structure of **3**. Thermal ellipsoids are drawn at 50% probability. Mesityl groups are cropped, & hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity. Selected bond lengths (Å): B1–N1:1.466(2), B1–C1:1.493(3), C3–N1:1.404(2), C3–C4:1.392(2), C4–C5:1.373(3), C5–C6:1.424(3), C6–C7:1.358(3), C7–N1:1.391(2), C9–N2:1.402(2), C9–C8:1.346(3). (b) Frontier molecular orbitals of **3** (PBE0-D3(BJ)/6-311 + G(d,p)||SMD(benzene)). (c) Possible resonance forms of **3**.

the central B-heterocycle. Herein, we report the isolation of a tricyclic 1,4,2-diazaborole and its unprecedented ring expansion reactions with chloro-, fluoro-, and hydrido-boranes to form 6-membered B₂C₂N₂ diazadiborinine derivatives. Subsequent reduction has afforded the first examples of 1,4,2,5-diazadiborinines possessing C_s symmetry, establishing the first method for accessing these valuable heterocycles.

A 2-bromo-1,4,2-diazaborole derivative **2** could be readily isolated in high yield *via* the dropwise addition of a solution of *N*-mesityl-*N'*-(2-pyridyl)imidazol-2-ylidene **1** to MesBBr₂ (Scheme 2). The product boronium salt **2** readily precipitates from hexane, can be isolated in 94% yield by simple filtration and was fully characterized by NMR spectroscopic methods. Selective reduction of **2** to the aromatic 1,4,2-diazaborole **3** was achieved *via* treatment with Collman's reagent, Na₂[Fe(CO)₄], and was isolated in 80% yield as an air-sensitive red crystalline solid which is stable for months under inert atmosphere. Interestingly, the use of KC₈ as a reducing agent resulted in unselective reduction of **2**, from which only trace quantities of **3** were isolated. Cyclic voltammetry of **3** in THF revealed an irreversible oxidation event at −0.78 V vs. Fc/Fc⁺ (see ESI[†]), suggesting the instability of the one-electron reduced intermediate, and the necessity of a two-electron reducing agent in the formation of **3**. Tricyclic 1,4,2-diazaborole **3** displayed an ¹¹B NMR signal at 12.3 ppm, similar to the derivative first


reported by Kinjo and co-workers (18.4 ppm).³⁷ ¹H NMR indicated the presence of a mirror plane in **3** *via* the chemical equivalence of the *ortho*-Mes positions.

A single crystal X-ray diffraction study confirmed the formulation of **3** and revealed a B1–N1 distance of 1.466(2) Å and B1–C1 distance of 1.493(3) Å (Fig. 1a), suggesting partial double bond character between boron and both endocyclic atomic centres. The electronic structure of **3** was examined computationally (PBE0-D3(BJ)/6-311+G(d,p)||SMD(benzene)). The HOMO & LUMO of **3** are both significantly delocalized through the tricyclic conjugated π-system (Fig. 1b), although the greatest locus of electron density is centred on the boron atom, suggesting its nucleophilicity (Fig. 1c, B). Mayer bond order calculations revealed a B1–C1 bond order of 1.42, and a B1–N1 bond order of 1.13.

The molecular structure of **3** indicates somewhat localized single and double bonds in the flanking pyridyl ring (C–C bond range 1.358(3)–1.424(3) Å), suggesting its reduced aromaticity. This is further supported by the relatively upfield pyridyl ¹H NMR shifts of 6.19 and 6.30 ppm for H–C5 and H–C6 (See Fig. 1a for numbering; 8.69 and 8.49 ppm in **2**). Nucleus Independent Chemical Shift (NICS) calculations revealed a NICS(1)_{zz} value of −35.0 ppm for the central diazaborole ring, revealing its significant aromatic character. Conversely, the flanking imidazole and pyridine rings have NICS(1)_{zz} values of −19.8 and −15.6 ppm, respectively. The imidazole and pyridine rings in the unreduced **2** have NICS(1)_{zz} values of −20.2 and −22.7 ppm, respectively, supporting the notion that the pyridine ring is comparatively de-aromatized in **3**. NICS(0), NICS(1), and an ACID plot calculation are also consistent with this conclusion (see ESI[†]). This observation led us to anticipate an increased reactivity of **3** when compared with unconjugated 1,4,2-diazaboroles, as endocyclic reactivity is likely to be favoured by the re-aromatization of the flanking fused rings.³⁹


Accordingly, combination of methyl iodide with **3** at 60 °C in benzene cleanly yielded the methylboronium iodide salt **4** (Scheme 2). Compound **4** was isolated in a 65% yield, and its structure was confirmed crystallographically (See ESI[†]). Compound **4** displays an ¹¹B NMR signal at −0.2 ppm, similar to that observed for **2** (−1.1 ppm). This reactivity stands in contrast to that observed with a 1,4,2-diazaborole isolated by Kinjo and co-workers, where treatment with MeOTf led to methylation at an exocyclic nitrogen position (Scheme 1b),²¹ but is similar to B-centred alkylations reported with other reactive B-heterocycles.^{40,41}

The reactivity of compound **3** with borane Lewis-acids was next explored. Their sterically larger profile was postulated to induce reactivity at the less-encumbered 5-position of the 1,4,2-diazaborole (Fig. 1c, C). In an initial experiment, **3** was combined with one equivalent of 9-borabicyclo[3.3.1]nonane (9-BBN) in C₆D₆. No initial reaction was observed, but upon warming to 60 °C overnight, a colour change from deep red to orange occurred along with the clean formation of a new product in the NMR spectra. ¹¹B{¹H} NMR revealed two boron environments at −5.6 and −8.5 ppm, supporting the presence of two 4-coordinate boron centres. ¹H NMR indicated a C₁ symmetric product with a 1:1 incorporation of 9-BBN and carbene moieties. A single crystal X-ray diffraction study revealed that the product is derived from insertion of the borabicyclo[3.3.1]nonane moiety into the 4,



Scheme 2 Synthesis of 1,4,2-diazaborole **3**, and its methylation product **4**.





Scheme 3 Ring expansion reactions of **3** with boranes.

5-positions of the 1,4,2-diazaborole ring, forming the 1,4,2,5-diazadiborinine derivative **5** (Scheme 3; Fig. 2).

To examine the scope of this transformation, reactions of **3** with other boranes were evaluated. While unselective reactions were observed with BBr_3 and BI_3 , the addition of $\text{BF}_3 \cdot \text{OEt}_2$ or PhBCl_2 resulted in the clean formation of new products **6** and **7** (Scheme 3), which were confirmed crystallographically to be the analogous ring expanded 1,4,2,5-diazadiborinine derivatives (Fig. 2). Both species were fully characterized by solutions NMR methods. These transformations constitute unique and unprecedented examples of Lewis-acid-induced ring expansion reactions of a 6π -aromatic B-heterocycle,⁴² and are a facile and high-yielding method to access hitherto-unknown non-symmetric 1,4,2,5-diazadiborinine derivatives.

The mechanism of the addition of BF_3 to **3_{Ph}** (mesityl groups simplified as phenyl) was examined computationally (Fig. 3). The determined reaction profile indicates initial adduct formation with the nucleophilic 5-position of the central diazaborole ring (Int1) followed by fluoride migration to the Lewis acidic endocyclic boron centre. This reactivity supports the contribution of resonance structure **C** to the ground state of compound **3** (Fig. 1c). Disconnection of imidazole from the pyridyl group (TS2) is followed by migration of the Lewis acidic BF_2 moiety to the nucleophilic imidazole nitrogen (TS3) to form compound **6_{Ph}**. Scission of the B–F and formation of the B–N_{imidazole} bonds were found to be barrierless processes, and the low-barrier TS3 is associated with reorientation of the BF_2 moiety. The




Fig. 3 Computed pathway for the formation of the ring-expanded product **6_{Ph}** from **3_{Ph}** + BF_3 . Mesityl groups are simplified as phenyl. (PBE0-D3(BJ)/6-311 + G(d,p)|SMD(benzene)). Values given in kcal mol^{-1} .

initial 1,3-dipolar B–F addition is distinct from the 1,3-cycloaddition reactions reported by Erker and co-workers in related dihydro-1,4,2-diazaboroles, which proceed across the 3,5-positions of the heterocycle.³⁸

Interestingly, the reaction of **3** with BCl_3 resulted in a regioisomer of the expected product **8** (Scheme 3, Fig. 2), where the mesityl group had migrated to the boron centre bound to the imidazole *N*-moiety. We postulate that insertion in this case may be preceded by a fast initial exchange of the BCl_3 with the B-mesityl group of **3**. This would suggest that this ring expansion reaction may be amenable to a wider scope of 1,4,2-diazaboroles bearing different substituents at boron. The formation of **5–8** is curious in light of the selective B-methylation observed in **4**. We postulate that only the relatively small methyl group can access the nucleophilic but sterically encumbered boron centre in **3**.

Reduction of compounds **7** or **8** with an excess of KC_8 in benzene cleanly afforded the C_s symmetric diazadiborinines **9** and **10** which were each isolated as deep red solids (Scheme 4). A molecular structure of **9** revealed delocalization of π -electrons through the central $\text{B}_2\text{N}_2\text{C}_2$ ring (Fig. 4a). However, perturbation of the bonding is apparent. The endocyclic C–N and B–C bonds lengths differ notably (C1–N1: 1.388(2); C2–N2: 1.437(2); B2–C1: 1.519(3); B1–C2: 1.490(3) Å). This asymmetry is also reflected in the Mayer bond orders (C1–N1: 1.22; C2–N2: 1.08;





Fig. 2 Molecular structures of **5–8**. Thermal ellipsoids are drawn at 50% probability, mesityl groups are cropped, and selected hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity. Selected bond lengths (Å) (Isostructural numbering in **5–8**): (**5**) B1–N1: 1.603(2), B1–C2: 1.639(2), B2–C1: 1.620(2), B2–N2: 1.618(2), C1–N1: 1.3434(17), C2–N2: 1.3725(18). (**6**) B1–N1: 1.561(2), B1–C2: 1.627(3), B2–C1: 1.637(2), B2–N2: 1.626(2), C1–N1: 1.3419(19), C2–N2: 1.3622(19). (**7**) B1–N1: 1.555(5), B1–C2: 1.609(5), B2–C1: 1.609(5), B2–N2: 1.600(5), C1–N1: 1.338(4), C2–N2: 1.356(4). (**8**) B1–N1: 1.554(3), B1–C2: 1.625(3), B2–C1: 1.593(2), B2–N2: 1.575(2), C1–N1: 1.338(3), C2–N2: 1.354(3).



Scheme 4 Reduction of ring-expanded products **7**, **8** to the diazadiborinines **9**, **10**.






Fig. 4 (a) Molecular structure of **9**. Thermal ellipsoids are drawn at 50% probability, hydrogen atoms are omitted, and Mes/phenyl groups are cropped for clarity. Selected bond lengths (Å): B2–C1:1.519(3), B2–N2:1.441(3), B1–C2:1.490(3), B1–N1:1.452(3), C1–N1:1.388(2), C2–N2:1.437(2), C2–C3:1.421(3), C3–C4:1.352(2), C4–C5:1.427(3), C5–C6:1.342(3), C6–N2:1.407(2), C7–N1:1.397(2), C7–C8:1.345(3), C8–N3:1.372(3), C1–N3:1.384(2). (b) Frontier molecular orbitals of **9** (PBE0–D3(BJ)/6–311 + G(d,p)|SMD(benzene)). (c) Absorption and emission spectra in C₆H₆ solvent. (d) Compound **9** in C₆H₆ solution under broad spectrum UV irradiation.

B2–C1:1.18; B1–C2:1.36). Compound **9** displays two ¹¹B NMR signals at 24.0 and 27.4 ppm, indicative of two inequivalent 3-coordinate boron centres. Similar to **3**, the frontier molecular orbitals of **9** are delocalized over the tricyclic conjugated π -system (Fig. 4b), and a NICS(1)_{zz} value of –24.9 ppm reveals significant aromaticity at the central ring. Compound **9** is highly fluorescent both in solution and the solid state and displays strong orange emission in C₆H₆ solution at 580 nm (excitation λ = 468 nm; Fig. 4c). TD-DFT calculations indicate that the major absorption band and corresponding emission result from a HOMO \rightarrow LUMO transition (see ESI†). Compound **10** has similar spectroscopic and optical properties as **9**.

In summary, we have developed a novel annulated 1,4,2-diazaborole and demonstrated a unique ring expansion protocol with boranes to access the first examples of C_s symmetric 1,4,2,5-diazadiborinines. This protocol opens the door to fine tuning the optical and catalytic properties of the reactive diazadiborinine scaffold. Future studies will target expanding the scope of this reaction to include other substituted heterocycles, hetero-elements, and how we can use this reactivity to achieve reversible bond activations, catalysis, and desirable photophysical properties.

C. P. thanks NSERC Discovery Grant RGPIN-2021-03056 for supporting this work.

Data availability

The data supporting this article have been included as part of the ESI†

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Notes and references

- M.-A. Légaré, C. Pranckevicius and H. Braunschweig, *Chem. Rev.*, 2019, **119**, 8231–8261.
- Y. Su and R. Kinjo, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2019, **48**, 3613–3659.
- J. He, F. Rauch, M. Finze and T. B. Marder, *Chem. Sci.*, 2021, **12**, 128–147.
- E. von Grotthuss, M. Diefenbach, M. Bolte, H.-W. Lerner, M. C. Holthausen and M. Wagner, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2016, **55**, 14067–14071.
- S. E. Prey, C. Herok, F. Fantuzzi, M. Bolte, H.-W. Lerner, B. Engels and M. Wagner, *Chem. Sci.*, 2023, **14**, 849–860.
- K. Ota and R. Kinjo, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2020, **59**, 6572–6575.
- K. Ota and R. Kinjo, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2021, **143**, 11152–11159.
- M. M. Morgan, J. M. Rautiainen, W. E. Piers, H. M. Tuononen and C. Gendy, *Dalton Trans.*, 2018, **47**, 734–741.
- J. W. Taylor, A. McSkimming, C. F. Guzman and W. H. Harman, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2017, **139**, 11032–11035.
- B. J. Wang and M. P. Groziak, *Advances in Heterocyclic Chemistry*, Academic Press, 2016, vol. 118, pp. 47–90.
- S. K. Møllerup and S. Wang, *Trends Chem.*, 2019, **1**, 77–89.
- Y. Guo, C. Chen and X. Wang, *Chin. J. Chem.*, 2023, **41**, 1355–1373.
- E. von Grotthuss, A. John, T. Kaese and M. Wagner, *Asian J. Org. Chem.*, 2018, **7**, 37–53.
- J. Ruhl, N. Oberhof, A. Dreuw and H. A. Wegner, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2023, **62**, e202300785.
- M. M. Lorenzo-García, F. Fasano and D. Bonifazi, *Patai's Chemistry of Functional Groups*, pp. 1–93.
- V. M. Hertz, M. Bolte, H. Lerner and M. Wagner, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2015, **54**, 8800–8804.
- P. G. Campbell, A. J. V. Marwitz and S. Liu, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2012, **51**, 6074–6092.
- C. A. Jaska, D. J. H. Emslie, M. J. D. Bosdet, W. E. Piers, T. S. Sorensen and M. Parvez, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2006, **128**, 10885–10896.
- Z. Huang, S. Wang, R. D. Dewhurst, N. V. Ignat'ev, M. Finze and H. Braunschweig, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2020, **59**, 8800–8816.
- D. Wu, L. Kong, Y. Li, R. Ganguly and R. Kinjo, *Nat. Commun.*, 2015, **6**, 7340.
- B. Wang, Y. Li, R. Ganguly, H. Hirao and R. Kinjo, *Nat. Commun.*, 2016, **7**, 11871.
- B. Wang and R. Kinjo, *Chem. Sci.*, 2019, **10**, 2088–2092.
- S. Portela and I. Fernández, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2023, **29**, e202300577.
- B. Wang, Y. Li, R. Ganguly, R. D. Webster and R. Kinjo, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2018, **57**, 7826–7829.
- Y. Su, Y. Li, R. Ganguly and R. Kinjo, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2018, **57**, 7846–7849.
- G. K. H. Goh, Y. Li and R. Kinjo, *Dalton Trans.*, 2019, **48**, 7514–7518.
- D. Wu, R. Ganguly, Y. Li, S. N. Hoo, H. Hirao and R. Kinjo, *Chem. Sci.*, 2015, **6**, 7150–7155.
- Y. Su, D. C. Huan Do, Y. Li and R. Kinjo, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2019, **141**, 13729–13733.
- B. Wang, K. Koshino and R. Kinjo, *Chem. Commun.*, 2019, **55**, 13012–13014.
- D. Wu, R. Wang, Y. Li, R. Ganguly, H. Hirao and R. Kinjo, *Chem.*, 2017, **3**, 134–151.
- Y. Shao, M. Huang, F. Gu, C. Zhao, L.-B. Qu and Z. Ke, *Org. Chem. Front.*, 2021, **8**, 1206–1215.
- L. L. Liu, C. Chan, J. Zhu, C.-H. Cheng and Y. Zhao, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2015, **80**, 8790–8795.
- S. E. Prey and M. Wagner, *Adv. Synth. Catal.*, 2021, **363**, 2290–2309.
- R. Kinjo, *J. Synth. Org. Chem., Jpn.*, 2021, **79**, 1056–1064.
- E. Pradhan, J. N. Bentley, C. B. Caputo and T. Zeng, *ChemPhotoChem*, 2020, **4**, 5279–5287.
- B. Su, Y. Li, R. Ganguly and R. Kinjo, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2017, **56**, 14572–14576.
- B. Su, Y. Li, R. Ganguly, J. Lim and R. Kinjo, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2015, **137**, 11274–11277.
- J. Li, C. G. Daniliuc, G. Kehr and G. Erker, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2021, **60**, 27053–27061.
- U. Gellrich, Y. Diskin-Posner, L. J. W. Shimon and D. Milstein, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2016, **138**, 13307–13313.
- J. Gilmer, H. Budy, T. Kaese, M. Bolte, H.-W. Lerner and M. Wagner, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2020, **59**, 5621–5625.
- J. Gilmer, M. Bolte, A. Virovets, H.-W. Lerner, F. Fantuzzi and M. Wagner, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2023, **29**, e202203119.
- X. Su, T. A. Bartholome, J. R. Tidwell, A. Pujol, S. Yruegas, J. J. Martinez and C. D. Martin, *Chem. Rev.*, 2021, **121**, 4147–4192.

