



A dinuclear nickel peroxycarbonate complex: CO₂ addition promotes H₂O₂ release†

Hayley L. Lillo and Joshua A. Buss *

 Cite this: *Chem. Commun.*, 2024, 60, 8549

 Received 8th May 2024,
 Accepted 7th July 2024

DOI: 10.1039/d4cc02241f

rsc.li/chemcomm

Nickel coordination compounds featuring Ni–O bonds are key structural motifs in both bioinorganic and synthetic chemistries. They serve as precursors for organic substrate oxidation and are commonly invoked intermediates in water oxidation and oxygen reduction schemes. Herein, we disclose a series of well-defined dinuclear nickel complexes that, upon treatment with CO₂ and H₂O₂, afford the first nickel-bound peroxycarbonate. This unprecedented nickel–oxygen intermediate is stabilized by hydrogen bonding templated across the bimetallic core. Contrasting copper and iron analogues, the nickel peroxycarbonate reversibly dissociates H₂O₂, a process that is shown to be accelerated by exogenous CO₂.

The ubiquity of Cu, Fe, and Mn enzymes has led to extensive studies interrogating metal–oxygen intermediates of mono- and bimetallic complexes of these ions.¹ In contrast, Ni is generally employed by Nature for C₁ conversion chemistry,² but has recently received attention with respect to oxidation reactivity given its role in the active sites of superoxide dismutase (NiSOD; Fig. 1A)³ and dioxygenase enzymes.⁴ Ni(II) complexes are typically inert to dioxygen, however modifications to the supporting ligand(s) have been demonstrated to override this tendency.⁵ Even so, the vast majority of nickel–oxygen complexes are accessed *via* addition of strong oxidants, including H₂O₂, peroxyacids, and alkylperoxides.⁶ Continued preparation and study of new classes of nickel–oxygen intermediates is critical to elucidating the chemistry of nickel metalloenzymes, informing fundamental mechanisms in synthetic oxidation catalysis, and providing a basis for comparison to copper and iron homologs.^{6c}

Given the high electronegativity of Ni, its low oxophilicity, and O(π)/Ni(d) repulsions, nickel–oxygen species can demonstrate potent oxidative reactivity.⁷ Mononuclear nickel




Fig. 1 Proposed mechanism for bioinorganic superoxide reduction to H₂O₂ (A) and representative examples of the diverse nickel–oxygen intermediates accessed synthetically *via* both O₂ activation and H₂O₂/base addition (B).

oxidants—generated from the treatment of Ni(II) precursors with peroxyacids—are capable of oxidizing unactivated aliphatic C–H bonds, yet the nature of the active oxidant remains unknown.⁸ Tyrosinase-like Ni^{III}–μ(O)₂–Ni^{III} diamond cores are competent for intramolecular aliphatic⁹ and aromatic¹⁰ C–H oxygenation, ultimately sourcing the oxidizing equivalents from hydrogen peroxide (H₂O₂). They are likewise proposed to be the active oxidants in catalytic aromatic hydroxylation protocols.^{1a,11} Recently, this has been scrutinized,¹² more exotic nickel–oxygen species have been reported under similar reaction conditions and cannot be strictly ruled out as reaction-relevant intermediates (Fig. 1B).^{9,13}

Given that many mechanistic proposals rely on self-assembly of monometallic precursors to dinuclear nickel–oxygen species, our lab has targeted well-defined bimetallic model systems

Willard Henry Dow Laboratory, Department of Chemistry, University of Michigan 930 N. University Avenue, Ann Arbor, MI 48109, USA. E-mail: jbuss@umich.edu

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. CCDC 2348000–2348003. For ESI and crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1039/d4cc02241f>




supported by a bicompartamental ligand scaffold. Herein, we describe the synthesis of a series of multinuclear nickel complexes that serve as precursors for base-free H_2O_2 activation chemistry. These Ni(II) compounds were found to readily react with carbon dioxide (CO_2), affording a dinickel complex bridged by κ^2 -bicarbonate linkages. The CO_2 in these ligands plays a key role in subsequent H_2O_2 reactivity, yielding the first structurally characterized bimetallic peroxycarbonate complex, $[\text{LNi}_2(\text{O}_2\text{CO}_2)(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2]^+$. Contrasting previously reported peroxy-carbonates,¹⁴ $[\text{LNi}_2(\text{O}_2\text{CO}_2)(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2]^+$ releases H_2O_2 when warmed to 0 °C. In addition to expanding the library of characterized nickel–oxygen intermediates (Fig. 1B), this work lends credence to proposed peroxyacid adducts that are relevant to nickel-mediated alkane and alkene oxidations.^{8a,c,d}

Treating lithium phenolate pro-ligand, **Lil**, with two equiv. of $\text{Ni}(\text{OTf})_2$, generates a dinickel complex¹⁵ featuring both inner- (^{19}F $\delta = 0.81$ ppm) and outer-sphere (^{19}F $\delta = -7.42$ ppm) triflate anions. The nickel(II) centres afford sharp paramagnetically shifted resonances by ^1H NMR spectroscopy, a fingerprint correlated to the bis(triflate) cation $[\text{LNi}_2(\text{OTf})_2]^+$ (Scheme 1), *via* complementary single crystal X-ray diffraction (SCXRD) analysis. $\mu\text{-OH}$ ligands are purported intermediates and/or precursors in H_2O_2 -mediated oxidation chemistry;¹⁶ these groups were targeted *via* treatment of $[\text{LNi}_2(\text{OTf})_2]^+$ with NaOH . Under anaerobic

conditions, addition of base results in generation of a tetranuclear complex with $\mu\text{-OH}$ ligands linking two distinct LNi_2 motifs, the formulation of which was corroborated as $[\text{L}_2\text{Ni}_4(\text{OH})_4]^{2+}$ by SCXRD. The four metal ions adopt a roughly tetrahedral arrangement ($\tau_4 = 0.97$),¹⁷ with bridging hydroxide and aryloxy ligands capping each of the six edges. The Ni–Ni distances across the aryloxy bridges are slightly elongated (3.90 Å) relative to those spanned by the hydroxide ligands (3.75 Å), suggesting that the intermolecular $\mu\text{-hydroxo}$ is more accessible with the semi-rigid dinucleating scaffold. When the same reaction is performed open to air, a distinct tetranickel complex results, with two bridging carbonate and two aquo ligands, $[\text{L}_2\text{Ni}_4(\text{CO}_3)_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2]^{2+}$, *via* the uptake of CO_2 from ambient air (Scheme 1). All four Ni(II) centres remain six-coordinate with C_2 symmetry and $\mu\text{-}\kappa^2\text{O}_2\text{:}\kappa\text{O}$ carbonate binding. The solid-state structure of this species highlights expansion of the inter-nickel distances between the two bimetallic units ($\text{Ni}\cdots\text{Ni}_{\text{ave.}} = 4.96$ Å), and distinct Ni–Ni contacts within the two bicompartamental chelates, with the κ^2 -carbanato moieties enforcing a more compact Ni_2 spacing (*viz.* 3.45 vs. 3.81 Å). The solid state asymmetry observed for $[\text{L}_2\text{Ni}_4(\text{CO}_3)_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2]^{2+}$ is affirmed by the solution spectroscopy, and electrospray ionization mass spectrometry (ESI-MS) shows parent ion peaks consistent with both tetranuclear units, $[\text{L}_2\text{Ni}_4(\text{OH})_4]^{2+}$ and $[\text{L}_2\text{Ni}_4(\text{CO}_3)_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2]^{2+}$.

Whereas solutions of $[\text{L}_2\text{Ni}_4(\text{OH})_4]^{2+}$ prove air-stable, they readily react with concentrated CO_2 (1 atm) to generate a new species, as indicated by a slight blue shift in the UV-visible spectrum (Fig. 2, left) and a distinct paramagnetically shifted signature in the ^1H NMR spectrum.¹⁸ The same spectral features are reproduced when CO_2 (1 atm) is added to $[\text{L}_2\text{Ni}_4(\text{CO}_3)_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2]^{2+}$. Vibrational spectroscopy shows a sharp carbonyl stretch at 1687 cm^{-1} that is sensitive to $^{13}\text{CO}_2$ isotopic labelling (Fig. 2, right), most consistent with a terminal (bi)carbonate assignment.^{14h} Whereas this reaction product has eluded characterization in the solid state, ESI-MS of reactions stemming from the treatment of either precursor with $^{12}/^{13}\text{CO}_2$ corroborates generation of a bis(bicarbonate) cation, $[\text{LNi}_2(\text{CO}_3\text{H})_2]^+$, the dinuclear nature of which is further supported by DOSY NMR experiments (Scheme 1).



Scheme 1 Synthesis of well-defined multinuclear nickel hydroxide complexes and subsequent reactivity with carbon dioxide.




Fig. 2 Electronic absorption spectra evidencing conversion of $[\text{L}_2\text{Ni}_4(\text{OH})_4]^{2+}$ (—) and $[\text{L}_2\text{Ni}_4(\text{CO}_3)_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2]^{2+}$ (---) to $[\text{LNi}_2(\text{CO}_3\text{H})_2]^+$ (· · · & · · · · ·, respectively) in MeCN solution (left). Solution IR spectra (MeCN) corroborating isotopic sensitivity of the bicarbonate (^{12}C —; ^{13}C · · ·) carbonyl stretch (right).



As an entry point to oxidative chemistry, we pursued H₂O₂ addition to these multinuclear Brønsted-basic nickel species. Peroxycarbonate ligands are shown to form from both CO₂ addition to reactive metal peroxo species^{14b,d,f-k} and H₂O₂ insertion into metal carbonates.^{14a} Treating [L₂Ni₄(CO₃)₂(H₂O)₂]²⁺ or [LNi₂(CO₃H)₂]⁺ with excess aqueous H₂O₂ (50 wt%) at -30 °C resulted in an immediate colour change to pale purple. Low temperature ¹H NMR spectroscopy supported the formation of a mixture of paramagnetic species; cleaner reactivity to a single major product was observed when the oxidant addition was carried out in the presence of exogenous CO₂. Crystalline purple plates were formed when Et₂O/MeCN mixtures of this new product were maintained at low temperature (-17 °C). SCXRD analysis revealed generation of a dinuclear peroxycarbonate complex, [LNi₂(O₂CO₂)(H₂O)₂]⁺ (Fig. 3); the first nickel peroxycarbonate and the first structurally characterized bimetallic example.

Contrasting what was proposed for dicopper complexes on a similar phenolate-bridged ancillary ligand,^{14h} the present dinickel peroxycarbonate shows preferential binding to a single Ni centre (Fig. 3). The metrical parameters highlight that the O₂CO₂-bound Ni ion in [LNi₂(O₂CO₂)(H₂O)₂]⁺ adopts a distorted octahedral coordination environment, with peroxycarbonate bond lengths similar to Suzuki's related monometallic Fe analogue.^{14e} The O–O bond is slightly elongated in the present case (*cf.* 1.466(6) *vs.* 1.455(5) Å) and more closely matches that of the recently reported peroxybicarbonate anion (1.469(2) Å).¹⁹ The second nickel centre in [LNi₂(O₂CO₂)(H₂O)₂]⁺ is likewise pseudo-octahedral with two water molecules completing the coordination sphere. A striking feature of the solid-state structure is the orientation and proximity of the aquo ligands to the formally anionic oxygen atoms of the peroxycarbonate, which are well within the range of H-bonding contacts (OH₂ ··· O_{ave.} = 2.682(5) Å). H-bonding is a proven strategy for stabilizing reactive metal-oxygen species;²⁰ we hypothesize that nickel templated intramolecular H-bonding imbues added stability to the peroxycarbonate moiety in the present system.




Fig. 3 Synthesis, solid-state structure,[‡] and reactivity of a nickel peroxycarbonate complex. Bond metrics are reported in angstroms. Substrate oxidations were conducted at 0 °C for 4 hrs in either MeCN or MeCN/DCM.

The reported reactivity of base-metal peroxycarbonate complexes varies, with documented examples of both O–O bond scission^{14a,c} and thermal disproportionation to liberate O₂.^{14h} Moreover, peroxycarbonate complexes have been shown to be competent oxidants in their own right, demonstrating O-atom^{14a,b,e-h} and H-atom transfer^{14a,f} reactivity. In light of this precedent, we were keen to explore the thermal (in)stability of [LNi₂(O₂CO₂)(H₂O)₂]⁺, which demonstrated relatively slow conversion to [L₂Ni₄(CO₃)₂(H₂O)₂]²⁺ in MeCN solution at 0 °C (*t*_{1/2} *ca.* 40 min.). This is markedly different from Karlin's dicopper analogue, which reacts rapidly *via* thermal disproportionation at temperatures as low as -50 °C,^{14h} a distinction attributed to the intramolecular H-bonds (*vide supra*). A balanced reaction for formation of [L₂Ni₄(CO₃)₂(H₂O)₂]²⁺ necessitates the loss of H₂O₂—efforts to spectroscopically identify/quantify H₂O₂ were unsuccessful, but reactivity probes with phosphine reagents corroborated nearly quantitative peroxide release (92%; Fig. S21, ESI[†]).§ In this way, [LNi₂(O₂CO₂)(H₂O)₂]⁺ demonstrates novel reactivity for this ligand motif, acting as a reservoir for H₂O₂.

We next sought to explore the potential of [LNi₂(O₂CO₂)(H₂O)₂]⁺ to serve as a *bona fide* oxidant *via* low-temperature reactions with various substrates. Kinetic assays at 0 °C, under pseudo first-order conditions, displayed non-integer rate laws (Fig. 4 ● and ●) for two electronically differentiated phosphines. These results are more consistent with reversible H₂O₂ binding than the direct reaction of PR₃ with [LNi₂(O₂CO₂)(H₂O)₂]⁺. A kinetic regime in which H₂O₂ binding to form [LNi₂(O₂CO₂)(H₂O)₂]⁺ (Fig. 3, *k*₋₁) is rate competitive with phosphine oxidation (*k*₂) rationalizes the observed reaction profiles.¶ To further bolster this hypothesis, the rate of carbonate formation from [LNi₂(O₂CO₂)(H₂O)₂]⁺ in the presence (×) and absence (×) of H₂O₂ was likewise investigated. The zero-order dependence on Ni observed for formation of [L₂Ni₄(CO₃)₂(H₂O)₂]²⁺ supports rate determining H₂O₂ release (rather than dimerization), and addition of exogenous H₂O₂ suppresses conversion (Fig. 4 × and ×, respectively). A comparison of three additional substrates (Fig. 3, inset) demonstrated no significant difference in reactivity relative to hydrogen peroxide controls, further supporting [LNi₂(O₂CO₂)(H₂O)₂]⁺ acts as a storehouse for H₂O₂.

Reaction atmosphere—N₂ *vs.* CO₂ *vs.* air—has been shown to play a role in peroxycarbonate reactivity.^{14a} Under CO₂, the




Fig. 4 Reaction kinetics tracking [LNi₂(O₂CO₂)(H₂O)₂]⁺ reactivity under conditions outlined in the accompanying legend. Ar = tris(4-trifluoromethylphenyl).



decomposition of $[\text{LNi}_2(\text{O}_2\text{CO}_2)(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2]^+$ is immediate, even at low temperature (thawing MeCN), quantitatively furnishing bicarbonate complex $[\text{LNi}_2(\text{CO}_3\text{H})_2]^+$. Again, this chemistry proceeds *via* the release of H_2O_2 with concomitant CO_2 uptake. This reversible sequestration of H_2O_2 at a base-metal-bound peroxy carbonate is unprecedented, differentiating the present Ni reactivity from that of both its $\text{Fe}^{14a,c}$ and Cu^{14g} congeners. Subsequent chemical steps—dimerization to form $[\text{L}_2\text{Ni}_4(\text{CO}_3)_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2]^{2+}$, substrate oxidation, or CO_2 addition (generating $[\text{LNi}_2(\text{CO}_3\text{H})_2]^+$)—serve to drive the equilibrium toward exhaustive H_2O_2 release.

In summary, well-defined dinuclear nickel complexes have been accessed by employing a phenolate-bridged bicompartamental ligand scaffold. Hydroxide installation results in highly Lewis basic moieties that favour intermolecular bridging ($[\text{L}_2\text{Ni}_4(\text{OH})_4]^{2+}$) or CO_2 uptake ($[\text{L}_2\text{Ni}_4(\text{CO}_3)_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2]^{2+}$). Ensuing hydrogen peroxide chemistry affords the first reported example of a nickel peroxy carbonate complex, the stability of which is attributed to metal-templated intramolecular H-bonding interactions. Unlike previously reported metal peroxy carbonates,¹⁴ $[\text{LNi}_2(\text{O}_2\text{CO}_2)(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2]^+$ reacts *via* reversible H_2O_2 release, as established by kinetics assays. H_2O_2 dissociation is shown to be driven by CO_2 addition, accelerating oxidant release. Compounding ambiguity regarding the exact nature of reactive nickel–oxygen intermediates accessed from H_2O_2 and base (*cf.* Fig. 1B), this work demonstrates that CO_2 may likewise play a non-innocent role in altering the nickel speciation. Further studies investigating the fundamental chemistry of multinuclear nickel precursors with myriad catalysis-relevant oxidants are underway in our laboratory.

This work was supported by the University of Michigan and the NSF (XRD Instrumentation–CHE-0840456). We thank Dr. Eugenio Alvarado for NMR spectroscopy expertise, Drs. Jeff Kampf and Fengrui Qu for assistance with SCXRD and Claire R. Patterson for XRD data of $[\text{LNi}_2(\text{OTf})_2]^+$. Roy Wentz aided in the design and fabrication of custom glassware and the Szymczak lab shared instrumentation that made this work possible.

Data availability

The data supporting this article have been included as part of the ESI.† Crystallographic data have been deposited in the CCDC (2348000–2348003)—<https://www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/structures>.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Notes and references

‡ Thermal anisotropic displacement ellipsoids are shown at the 50% probability level; non-aquo hydrogen atoms and the triflate counterion

are omitted for clarity. The hydrocarbyl ligand backbone is depicted as a wireframe for simplicity.

§ Control reactions of $[\text{L}_2\text{Ni}_4(\text{CO}_3)_2(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2]^{2+}$ and phosphine under O_2 did not result in any detectable oxidation to phosphine oxide on the timescale of these experiments.

¶ The difference in phosphine oxidation rate is insufficient to rule out direct reaction of the phosphine with $[\text{LNi}_2(\text{O}_2\text{CO}_2)(\text{H}_2\text{O})_2]^+$; however, in totality, the data are inconsistent with this mechanism.

- (a) L. Vicens, *et al.*, *ACS Catal.*, 2020, **10**, 8611; (b) L. Que and W. B. Tolman, *Nature*, 2008, **455**, 333; (c) E. A. Lewis and W. B. Tolman, *Chem. Rev.*, 2004, **104**, 1047; (d) L. M. Mirica, *et al.*, *Chem. Rev.*, 2004, **104**, 1013.
- S. W. Ragsdale, *Crit. Rev. Biochem. Mol. Biol.*, 2004, **39**, 165.
- (a) D. P. Barondeau, *et al.*, *Biochem.*, 2004, **43**, 8038; (b) J. Wuerges, *et al.*, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2004, **101**, 8569.
- (a) J.-H. Jeoung, *et al.*, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2016, **55**, 3281; (b) T. C. Pochapsky, *et al.*, *Nat. Struct. Bio.*, 2002, **9**, 966.
- (a) H. Beagan, *et al.*, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2024, **146**, 12375; (b) A. J. McNeece, *et al.*, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2020, **142**, 10824; (c) N. Zhao, *et al.*, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2020, **142**, 21634; (d) P.-C. Duan, *et al.*, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2018, **140**, 4929.
- (a) H. Noh and J. Cho, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2019, **382**, 126; (b) T. Corona and A. Company, *Chem. Eur. J.*, 2016, **22**, 13422; (c) M. R. Halvagar, *et al.*, 3.17–Small Molecule Models: Cu, Ni, Co, in *Comprehensive Inorganic Chemistry II*, ed. J. Reedijk and K. Poeppelmeier, 2nd edn, Elsevier, Amsterdam, 2013, p. 455.
- J. R. Winkler and H. B. Gray, in *Molecular Electronic Structures of Transition Metal Complexes I*, ed. D. M. P. Mingos, P. Day and J. P. Dahl, Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg, 2012, 17.
- (a) J. Nakazawa, *et al.*, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135**, 6010; (b) S. Hikichi, *et al.*, *Dalton Trans.*, 2013, **42**, 3346; (c) T. Nagataki, *et al.*, *Dalton Trans.*, 2007, 1120; (d) T. Nagataki, *et al.*, *Chem. Commun.*, 2006, 4016.
- (a) J. Cho, *et al.*, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2006, **45**, 2873; (b) S. Itoh, *et al.*, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2001, **123**, 11168; (c) K. Shiren, *et al.*, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2000, **122**, 254.
- (a) T. Tano, *et al.*, *Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn.*, 2010, **83**, 530; (b) K. Honda, *et al.*, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2009, **48**, 3304; (c) A. Kunishita, *et al.*, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2009, **48**, 4997.
- (a) S. Muthuramalingam, *et al.*, *Catal. Sci. Tech.*, 2019, **9**, 5991; (b) Y. Morimoto, *et al.*, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2015, **137**, 5867.
- K. Farshadfar and K. Laasonen, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2024, **63**, 5509.
- (a) M. T. Kieber-Emmons, *et al.*, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2006, **128**, 14230; (b) E. J. Brown, *et al.*, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2005, **44**, 1392; (c) S. Hikichi, *et al.*, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1998, **120**, 10567.
- (a) T. Tsugawa, *et al.*, *Chem. Lett.*, 2015, **44**, 330; (b) G. Meier and T. Braun, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2012, **51**, 12564; (c) H. Furutachi, *et al.*, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2005, **127**, 4550; (d) M. Yamashita, *et al.*, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2005, **127**, 7294; (e) K. Hashimoto, *et al.*, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2002, **41**, 1202; (f) M. Aresta, *et al.*, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1996, **35**, 4254; (g) I. Sanyal, *et al.*, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1993, **115**, 11259; (h) P. P. Paul, *et al.*, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1991, **113**, 5322; (i) M. Schappacher and R. Weiss, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1987, **26**, 1189; (j) L. Dahlenburg and C. Prengel, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 1986, **308**, 63; (k) P. J. Hayward, *et al.*, *J. Chem. Soc. D*, 1969, 987b.
- (a) H. T. Zhang, *et al.*, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2023, **62**, e202218859; (b) S. S. Massoud, *et al.*, *Dalton Trans.*, 2015, **44**, 2110; (c) Y. Gultneh, *et al.*, *Polyhedron*, 1998, **17**, 3351.
- A. Rajeev, *et al.*, *ACS Catal.*, 2022, **12**, 9953.
- L. Yang, *et al.*, *Dalton Trans.*, 2007, 955.
- D. Huang, *et al.*, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2011, **108**, 1222.
- Z. Yan, *et al.*, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2023, **145**, 22213.
- (a) E. W. Dahl, *et al.*, *Chem. Commun.*, 2018, **54**, 892; (b) E. W. Dahl, *et al.*, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2018, **140**, 10075; (c) C. L. Ford, *et al.*, *Science*, 2016, **354**, 741; (d) A. S. Borovik, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2005, **38**, 54; (e) C. E. MacBeth, *et al.*, *Science*, 2000, **289**, 938.

