

## CORRECTION

[View Article Online](#)  
[View Journal](#) | [View Issue](#)

Cite this: *RSC Chem. Biol.*, 2024, 5, 800

**Correction: Virtual screening, identification and *in vitro* validation of small molecule GDP-mannose dehydrogenase inhibitors**

Jonathan P. Dolan,<sup>ab</sup> Sanaz Ahmadipour,<sup>e</sup> Alice J. C. Wahart,<sup>ab</sup> Aisling Ni Cheallaigh,<sup>ab</sup> Suat Sari,<sup>f</sup> Chatchakorn Eurtivong,<sup>g</sup> Marcelo A. Lima,<sup>bd</sup> Mark A. Skidmore,<sup>bd</sup> Konstantin P. Volcho,<sup>h</sup> Jóhannes Reynisson,<sup>bc</sup> Robert A. Field<sup>e</sup> and Gavin J. Miller<sup>\*ab</sup>

DOI: 10.1039/d4cb90026j

[rsc.li/rsc-chembio](https://rsc.li/rsc-chembio)

Correction for 'Virtual screening, identification and *in vitro* validation of small molecule GDP-mannose dehydrogenase inhibitors' by Jonathan P. Dolan *et al.*, *RSC Chem. Biol.*, 2023, 4, 865–870, <https://doi.org/10.1039/D3CB00126A>.

The authors regret an error in the published article whereby the stereochemistry of one carbon within compound 13 in Fig. 2 and 3 was incorrect. All other designations of compound 13 in the article and supplementary information were correct. The corrected article figures are below.

The Royal Society of Chemistry apologises for these errors and any consequent inconvenience to authors and readers.

<sup>a</sup> Lennard-Jones Laboratory, School of Chemical & Physical Sciences, Keele University, Keele, Staffordshire, ST5 5BG, UK. E-mail: [g.j.miller@keele.ac.uk](mailto:g.j.miller@keele.ac.uk)

<sup>b</sup> Centre for Glycoscience, Keele University, Keele, Staffordshire, ST5 5BG, UK

<sup>c</sup> Hornbeam Building, School of Pharmacy & Bioengineering, Keele University, Keele, Staffordshire, ST5 5BG, UK

<sup>d</sup> School of Life Sciences, Keele University, Keele, Staffordshire, ST5 5BG, UK


<sup>e</sup> Department of Chemistry & Manchester Institute of Biotechnology, The University of Manchester, 131 Princess Street, Manchester, M1 7DN, UK

<sup>f</sup> Hacettepe University, Faculty of Pharmacy, Department of Pharmaceutical Chemistry, 06100, Ankara, Turkey


<sup>g</sup> Department of Pharmaceutical Chemistry, Faculty of Pharmacy, Mahidol University, 447 Si Ayutthaya Road, Ratchathewi, Bangkok 10400, Thailand

<sup>h</sup> N. Vorozhtsov Novosibirsk Institute of Organic Chemistry, Siberian Branch of the Russian Academy of Sciences, 630090 Novosibirsk, Russia





**Fig. 2** Bar chart comparing percentage NADH production in the presence of each of 21 potential inhibitors without preincubation with GMD (solid bars) and with preincubation for 1 hour with GMD (hashed bars). Complete structure panel is shown in the ESI,† Section S1.2. The 6 best performing compounds are highlighted blue. Percentage NADH production was determined relative to a positive control containing no inhibitor and **1**.



**Fig. 3** (A) Inhibition of GMD with hit **13**, determined by fluorescence of NADH. Error bars indicate the standard error of three measurements. (B) ESI-MS of GMD (47598.6 Da) before incubation with **13**. (C) ESI-MS of GMD after overnight incubation with **13**, showing the formation of a single covalent GMD-**13** adduct (49045.7 Da).