

Cite this: *RSC Chem. Biol.*, 2024,  
5, 938

# Adrenodoxin allosterically alters human cytochrome P450 11B enzymes to accelerate substrate binding and decelerate release†

Cara L. Loomis,<sup>id</sup><sup>a</sup> Sang-Choul Im<sup>id</sup><sup>bc</sup> and Emily E. Scott<sup>id</sup><sup>\*ade</sup>

Two human mitochondrial membrane CYP11B enzymes play a pivotal role in steroidogenesis. CYP11B1 generates the major glucocorticoid cortisol, while CYP11B2 catalysis yields the primary mineralocorticoid aldosterone. Catalysis by both requires electron delivery by a soluble iron–sulfur adrenodoxin redox partner. However recent studies have shown that adrenodoxin/CYP11B interaction alone allosterically increases substrate and inhibitor affinity as exhibited by decreased dissociation constant ( $K_d$ ) values. The current study moves beyond such equilibrium studies, by defining adrenodoxin effects on the rates of P450 ligand binding and release separately. Stopped-flow data clearly demonstrate that adrenodoxin interaction with the P450 proximal surfaces increases ligand binding in both P450 CYP11B active sites by increasing the on rate constant and decreasing the off rate constant. As substrate entry and exit from the sequestered P450 active site requires conformational changes on the distal side of the P450 enzyme, a likely explanation is that adrenodoxin binding allosterically modulates CYP11B conformational changes. The 93% identical CYP11B enzymes can bind and hydroxylate each other's native substrates differing only by a hydroxyl. However, CYP11B1 exhibits monophasic substrate binding and CYP11B2 biphasic substrate binding, even when the substrates are swapped. This indicates that small differences in amino acid sequence between human CYP11B1 and CYP11B2 enzymes are more functionally important in ligand binding and could suggest avenues for more selective inhibition of these drug targets. Both protein/protein interactions and protein/substrate interactions are most likely to act by modulating CYP11B conformational dynamics.

Received 15th January 2024,  
Accepted 22nd July 2024

DOI: 10.1039/d4cb00015c

rsc.li/rsc-chembio

## Introduction

Six cytochrome P450 (CYP) enzymes generate a constellation of human corticosteroids and sex hormones controlling diverse physiological functions. Two such steroidogenic P450 enzymes in the 11B subfamily act on cholesterol-derived products to generate corticosteroids. CYP11B1 performs 11 $\beta$ -hydroxylation on 11-deoxycortisol to produce the primary glucocorticoid cortisol (Fig. 1A), which regulates stress and immune responses.<sup>1</sup> CYP11B2 or aldosterone synthase performs three reactions: an

initial similar 11 $\beta$ -hydroxylation on 11-deoxycorticosterone to produce corticosterone, followed by subsequent 18-hydroxylation and 18-oxidase steps to ultimately yield the mineralocorticoid aldosterone (Fig. 1B) controlling salt/water homeostasis.<sup>2</sup> As a result, CYP11B proteins are of high interest from a clinical standpoint. Excess cortisol leads to cushing syndrome, which is characterized by metabolic dysregulation and immune suppression,<sup>3</sup> whereas excess aldosterone causes primary aldosteronism, leading to hypertension.<sup>2</sup> Thus both CYP11B enzymes are significant drug targets for these diseases, but drug development has been hindered by low selectivity of active-site-directed inhibitors. Recent FDA approval of Isturisa<sup>®</sup>/osilodrostat/LCI699 for cushing syndrome treatment is a major clinical advance, yet this compound is poorly selective for CYP11B1 over CYP11B2.<sup>4–6</sup> From a clinical perspective, identifying differences between the two enzymes is advantageous for drug development.

CYP11B enzymes are also intriguing from a basic science standpoint. Understanding the structural and mechanistic features that modulate the function of these cytochrome P450 enzymes is a long-standing challenge,<sup>6–15</sup> in terms of both their interactions with substrates and redox partners.

<sup>a</sup> Department of Biological Chemistry, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI 48109, USA. E-mail: scottee@umich.edu

<sup>b</sup> Division of Metabolism, Endocrinology, & Diabetes, Department of Internal Medicine, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI, USA


<sup>c</sup> Ann Arbor Veterans Affairs Medical Center, Ann Arbor, MI, USA

<sup>d</sup> Department of Medicinal Chemistry, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI 48109, USA

<sup>e</sup> Department of Pharmacology, University of Michigan, Ann Arbor, MI 48109, USA

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1039/d4cb00015c>





**Fig. 1** Cytochrome P450 11B enzyme ligands. (A) The CYP11B1-mediated  $11\beta$ -hydroxylation of 11-deoxycortisol results in the major glucocorticoid hormone cortisol. (B) Human CYP11B2 generates the major mineralocorticoid hormone aldosterone. Similar to CYP11B1, CYP11B2 performs an  $11\beta$  hydroxylation to convert 11-deoxycorticosterone to corticosterone, but subsequently performs an 18-hydroxylation and 18-oxidase reaction to generate aldosterone. (C) LCI699 is an FDA-approved cushing syndrome drug displaying low nanomolar affinity for both CYP11B1 and CYP11B2 and serves as a heme iron-ligating inhibitor.

The two human CYP11B enzymes are 93% identical in amino acid sequence and their native substrates 11-deoxycortisol and 11-deoxycorticosterone differ only by a C17 hydroxyl (Fig. 1A vs. 1B). As a result, it is not surprising that the enzymes have some activity with each other's native substrates *in vitro*,<sup>16</sup> although this doesn't typically occur *in vivo* because the CYP11B enzymes and their respective substrates are zonally separated in the adrenal cortex. CYP11B1 expression typically occurs in the zona fasciculata while CYP11B2 expression is in the zona glomerulosa. There is evidence that expression is not as tightly regulated at the region where the zona glomerulosa and fasciculata meet.<sup>17</sup> However, the slight differences in substrates provide a strategy for probing mechanistic similarities and differences between the two closely related enzymes.

Catalysis by almost all human cytochrome P450 enzymes require a redox partner. Most are co-located in the endoplasmic reticulum with NADPH-cytochrome P450 reductase. However, mitochondrial P450 enzymes including CYP11B1 and CYP11B2 receive electrons from a distinct mitochondrial redox chain consisting of adrenodoxin reductase and adrenodoxin. First, two electrons from NADPH are transferred to the adrenodoxin reductase FAD. One electron at a time is then transferred to the soluble, 2Fe–2S protein adrenodoxin. Adrenodoxin then dissociates from adrenodoxin reductase and binds to the proximal surface of mitochondrial P450 proteins to transfer an electron to the P450 heme. This electron transfer cycle must occur twice to support a single P450 catalytic turnover, so both protein–protein interactions are necessarily transient.

In addition to its role as a redox partner, growing evidence supports that even when adrenodoxin is oxidized, its interaction with the proximal face of both CYP11B enzymes allosterically modulates P450 ligand binding and catalytic function in its active site some 18 Å away.<sup>10</sup> Recently, equilibrium binding, inhibition, and catalytic assays using CYP11B1<sup>6</sup> and CYP11B2<sup>10</sup> indicated that adrenodoxin increases absorbance changes indicative of substrate binding and affinity (decreases the dissociation constant  $K_d$ ), decreases the  $K_m$ , and decreases the  $IC_{50}$  for multiple inhibitors. Much more limited information is available for other human mitochondrial P450 enzymes. While one study suggested adrenodoxin may not have similar effects on mitochondrial CYP11A1 function,<sup>18</sup> surface plasmon resonance experiments indicated that the presence of substrate changed the affinity of the CYP11A1–adrenodoxin complex.<sup>12</sup> Crosslinking and NMR studies of the mitochondrial vitamin D3 metabolizing CYP24A1 implicate specific adrenodoxin-induced conformational changes.<sup>7–9</sup>

While recent investigations effectively established that adrenodoxin has allosteric effects on CYP11B ligand binding and catalysis, the mechanism for this is currently unknown. Herein, stopped-flow studies were undertaken to probe the similarities and differences in CYP11B ligand binding and determine if adrenodoxin-mediated decreases in the ligand dissociation constant ( $K_d$ ) are due to increases in ligand binding ( $k_{on}$ ) or decreased release ( $k_{off}$ ) rate constants. These experiments establish that while CYP11B1 and CYP11B2 differ in their substrate binding mechanisms, adrenodoxin affects both increasing the



rate of substrate binding and decreasing the rate of substrate release. These results substantiate carefully tuned communication between the specific P450/adrenodoxin interfaces and P450/ligand interactions. This most likely occurs through adrenodoxin modulation of P450 conformational dynamics.

## Results

### Rate constants for binding of 11-deoxycortisol to CYP11B1

Previous studies determining that adrenodoxin decreased the equilibrium dissociation constant ( $K_d$ ) for CYP11B1 binding to its substrate 11-deoxycortisol<sup>6</sup> prompted the current investigation to determine whether this is due to changes in substrate on and/or off rate constants. Absorbance changes that occur when substrates bind and displace a water from the P450 active site heme iron were measured using stopped flow and analyzed to discern the  $k_{on}$ . CYP11B1 was first investigated with its native substrate 11-deoxycortisol. CYP11B1 (1  $\mu$ M) and adrenodoxin were combined in one syringe and mixed 1:1 with 11-deoxycortisol in the second syringe. Four concentrations of 11-deoxycortisol substrate (2.5, 5, 10, or 25  $\mu$ M) and four concentrations of adrenodoxin were employed. The adrenodoxin concentrations were 0, equimolar or 1:1 with the CYP11B1 concentration, 10 $\times$  molar excess adrenodoxin or 1:10, and 40 $\times$  molar excess

adrenodoxin or 1:40. As substrate binds, the heme iron of unliganded P450 is converted from the low-spin, hexacoordinate form with a coordinated water molecule ( $\lambda_{max}$  419 nm) to the high-spin, pentacoordinate form ( $\lambda_{max}$  391 nm) where substrate binding has displaced the water molecule (Fig. S1, ESI<sup>†</sup>). The differences in absorbances at the two wavelengths ( $A_{419}-A_{391}$ ) are plotted vs. time for each set of conditions and can be fit to determine  $k_{obs}$ . Plots of  $k_{obs}$  vs. substrate concentration yield linear relationships whose slope and y-intercept are the  $k_{on}$  and  $k_{off}$  rate constants, respectively.

Several key themes are apparent in such data for CYP11B1 binding its native substrate 11-deoxycortisol. First, under all conditions binding is a single exponential phase. Second, at a given ratio of CYP11B1:adrenodoxin, increasing concentrations of substrate result in increasing CYP11B1 absorbance changes, consistent with previously reported equilibrium studies. For example, data for a constant 1:10 ratio of CYP11B1:adrenodoxin at four different 11-deoxycortisol concentrations (2.5–25  $\mu$ M) is shown in Fig. 2A. Third and most notable, comparison of the data among CYP11B1 binding a constant 11-deoxycortisol substrate concentration in the presence of increasing amounts of adrenodoxin also demonstrates increasing absorbance changes. For example, CYP11B1 binding a constant 11-deoxycortisol concentration (10  $\mu$ M) with four different adrenodoxin concentrations is shown in Fig. 2B. If




Fig. 2 Determination of rate constants for CYP11B1 binding to its major substrate 11-deoxycortisol. CYP11B1 (1  $\mu$ M) preincubated with various concentrations of adrenodoxin (0, 1, 10, or 40-fold excess) was mixed with 11-deoxycortisol (5, 10, 20, or 50  $\mu$ M before mixing) and spectra recorded. (A) Changes in the  $\Delta A_{391}-A_{419}$  nm representing substrate binding are shown for CYP11B1 with constant (10-fold) excess adrenodoxin mixed with four different 11-deoxycortisol concentrations. (B) Changes in the  $\Delta A_{391}-A_{419}$  nm representing substrate binding are shown for CYP11B1 with constant (10  $\mu$ M) 11-deoxycortisol mixed with four different adrenodoxin concentrations. All CYP11B1 binding curves were a single phase, best fit by a single exponential equation (solid lines). The remaining adrenodoxin and 11-deoxycortisol conditions are shown in Fig. S2 (ESI<sup>†</sup>). (C) Plots of the rate constants ( $k_{obs}$ ) from panels A, B, and the additional experiments in Fig. S2 (ESI<sup>†</sup>) are plotted vs. 11-deoxycortisol concentration for the various adrenodoxin concentrations. (D) The same plot of the rate constant ( $k_{obs}$ ) against 11-deoxycortisol concentration is shown for an artificial adrenodoxin/CYP11B1 fusion enzyme.



adrenodoxin concentration and substrate binding were independent, the results with different adrenodoxin concentrations should be identical. However the current result demonstrates that increasing adrenodoxin increases the absorbance change, which correlates with the population of protein molecules in the ligand-bound state. Fitting the kinetic data to a one-phase association equation reveals a good fit (Fig. 2A and B) yielding the  $k_{\text{obs}}$  values and confidence intervals in Table 1. There is a linear dependence between  $k_{\text{obs}}$  and substrate concentration (e.g. Fig. 2C).

The same one-phase binding was observed for all other combinations of the four 11-deoxycortisol and four adrenodoxin concentrations (Fig. S2, ESI<sup>†</sup>). Similarly, fits to a one-phase association equation fit well (Fig. S2, ESI<sup>†</sup>). Plotting all sixteen of the resulting  $k_{\text{obs}}$  values (Table 1) vs. the 11-deoxycortisol substrate concentration (Fig. 2C) reveals that increasing adrenodoxin concentrations increases the association rate constant  $k_{\text{on}}$ , as evidenced by the increasing slope, and decreases the dissociation rate constant  $k_{\text{off}}$ , as evidenced by the y-intercept trend. The fitted values for these on and off rate constants in Table 1 clearly demonstrate that increasing adrenodoxin causes a small but clear trend in increasing  $k_{\text{on}}$  and general decreases in  $k_{\text{off}}$ .

The effect of adrenodoxin on 11-deoxycortisol binding rate constants was also probed for an artificial fusion of adrenodoxin and CYP11B1. Details of the construction, expression, and purification of this artificial fusion were previously published,<sup>6</sup> but essentially consists of adrenodoxin at the N-terminus, followed by a short linker and the CYP11B1 catalytic domain at the C-terminus. By definition this fusion represents a 1 : 1 stoichiometry for the two proteins but additionally co-localizes them to promote interaction. In experiments parallel to those

described above, this Adx-CYP11B1 fusion was mixed with four different concentrations of 11-deoxycortisol using stopped-flow and 11-deoxycortisol binding was observed by absorbance changes (Fig. S1 and S6, ESI<sup>†</sup>). Consistent with the previously reported equilibrium studies increasing adrenodoxin increased P450 saturation with ligand. A single exponential or one phase association equation fit the data well, yielding increasing  $k_{\text{obs}}$  with increasing substrate concentrations (Fig. 2D). The slope and y-intercept of this plot indicate a  $k_{\text{on}}$  very similar to that of the free 1 : 1 adrenodoxin : CYP11B1 value (0.16 vs. 0.15, Table 1), but a  $k_{\text{off}}$  that is more than two-fold lower (0.54 vs. 1.29, Table 1).

#### Rate constants for binding of 11-deoxycorticosterone to CYP11B2


An essentially identical series of stopped-flow experiments to those described above were performed for CYP11B2 with its native substrate 11-deoxycorticosterone. That is, 11-deoxycorticosterone binding rate constants were measured at four different substrate concentrations (2.5, 5, 10, and 25  $\mu\text{M}$ ) at each of four adrenodoxin concentrations (0, 1 $\times$ , 10 $\times$ , 40 $\times$ ) using stopped flow. As with CYP11B1 the absorbance of the water-bound form at 419 nm decreased over time as the absorbance increased at 391 nm representing generation of the substrate-bound CYP11B2 form. Differences between the absorbance at these two wavelengths were plotted vs. time (Fig. S3, ESI<sup>†</sup>) and fit to determine  $k_{\text{obs}}$ . Representative data for 1 : 10 CYP11B2 : adrenodoxin with increasing substrate concentrations (Fig. 3A) or CYP11B2 binding 10  $\mu\text{M}$  substrate with increasing amounts of adrenodoxin (Fig. 3B) demonstrate increasing saturation in both cases.

Distinct from the CYP11B1 experiments where a single exponential was sufficient to fit the hyperbolic data, CYP11B2 substrate

**Table 1** On and off rate constants for CYP11B substrate binding. Each row displays the rate constants for the enzyme in the presence of four different ratios of adrenodoxin, plus the adrenodoxin/CYP11B1 fusion enzyme without free adrenodoxin. For CYP11B1 substrate binding the  $k_{\text{on}}$  and  $k_{\text{off}}$  rates are derived from the respective slope and y-intercepts of the  $k_{\text{obs}}$  vs. substrate plots in Fig. 2C and D (for its native substrate 11-deoxycortisol) and Fig. 4C (for its minor substrate 11-deoxycorticosterone). For CYP11B2, the  $k_{\text{on}}$  and  $k_{\text{off}}$  rates for the fast phase of ligand binding are derived from the respective slope and y-intercepts of the  $k_{\text{obs}}$  vs. substrate plots in Fig. 3C and D (for its native substrate 11-deoxycorticosterone) and Fig. 5C (for its minor substrate 11-deoxycortisol). In all cases the presence of adrenodoxin increases  $k_{\text{on}}$  and usually decreases  $k_{\text{off}}$ . In some cases, the error in the y-intercept was too high to establish a trend for  $k_{\text{off}}$ , necessitating direct determination of off rate constants as shown in Table 2

Enzyme	Substrate	Free adrenodoxin molar ratio	$k_{\text{on}}$ ( $\mu\text{M}^{-1} \text{s}^{-1}$ )	$k_{\text{off}}$ ( $\text{s}^{-1}$ )		
CYP11B1	11-Deoxycortisol	0	0.11 (0.02–0.21)	1.33 (0.02–2.64)		
		1	0.15 (0.11–0.18)	1.29 (0.84–1.74)		
		10	0.17 (0.15–0.20)	1.00 (0.65–1.35)		
		40	0.19 (0.16–0.23)	1.10 (0.64–1.55)		
Adx/CYP11B1 fusion	11-Deoxycortisol	NA	0.16 (0.15–0.18)	0.54 (0.31–0.77)		
		CYP11B1	11-Deoxycorticosterone	0	0.30 (0.26–0.34)	1.94 (1.33–2.54)
CYP11B2	11-Deoxycorticosterone	1	0.30 (0.29–0.30)	2.31 (2.19–2.43)		
		10	0.32 (0.28–0.37)	2.60 (1.86–3.33)		
		40	0.40 (0.25–0.55)	2.34 (–0.04–4.72)		
		0	0.35 (0.24–0.45)	2.32 (0.88–3.76)		
CYP11B2	11-Deoxycorticosterone	1	0.37 (0.18–0.55)	2.45 (–0.04–4.95)		
		10	0.47 (0.40–0.53)	2.06 (1.14–2.98)		
		40	0.56 (0.36–0.76)	2.01 (–0.71–4.73)		
		NA	0.40 (0.35–0.45)	1.85 (1.18–2.52)		
Adx/CYP11B2 fusion	11-Deoxycorticosterone	0	0.15 (0.12–0.18)	0.49 (–0.01–0.99)		
		CYP11B2	11-Deoxycortisol	0	0.15 (0.07–0.24)	0.86 (–0.52–2.23)
		1	0.16 (0.06–0.25)	0.99 (–0.51–2.49)		
		40	0.18 (0.08–0.27)	0.97 (–0.51–2.44)		





**Fig. 3** Determination of rate constants for CYP11B2 binding to its major substrate 11-deoxycorticosterone. CYP11B2 (2  $\mu\text{M}$ ) preincubated with various concentrations of adenodoxin (0, 1, 10, or 40-fold excess) was mixed with 11-deoxycorticosterone (5, 10, 20, or 50  $\mu\text{M}$  before mixing) and spectra recorded. (A) Changes in the  $\Delta A_{391-A419}$  nm representing substrate binding are shown for CYP11B2 in the presence of constant (10-fold excess) adenodoxin with four different 11-deoxycorticosterone concentrations. (B) Changes in the  $\Delta A_{391-A419}$  nm representing substrate binding are shown for CYP11B2 with constant (10  $\mu\text{M}$ ) 11-deoxycorticosterone and varying adenodoxin concentrations. The remaining adenodoxin and 11-deoxycorticosterone conditions are shown in Fig. S3 (ESI $^\dagger$ ). All traces were best fit to a biexponential equation (solid lines) yielding rate constants for both the slow and fast phases ( $k_{\text{fast}}$  and  $k_{\text{slow}}$ ). For these experiments, the percent fast phase ranged from 40–59%. (C) The  $k_{\text{fast}}$  values for CYP11B2 in the presence of various adenodoxin concentrations were plotted against 11-deoxycorticosterone concentration and fit to a line to determine  $k_{\text{on}}$  (slopes) and  $k_{\text{off}}$  (y-intercepts) in Table 1. (D) The  $k_{\text{slow}}$  values for CYP11B2 in the presence of various adenodoxin concentrations were plotted against 11-deoxycorticosterone concentration and fit to a line but yielded little change systematic change in the rates. (E) The corresponding  $k_{\text{fast}}$  values for the adenodoxin/CYP11B2 fusion enzyme plotted against 11-deoxycorticosterone concentration yielded the  $k_{\text{on}}$  and  $k_{\text{off}}$  rates in Table 1. (F) The  $k_{\text{slow}}$  values for the adenodoxin/CYP11B2 fusion enzyme did not significantly vary with substrate concentration. In all experiments, data was collected for at least 15 seconds, but only the first 5 seconds are shown here to facilitate visualization of both phases.

binding in all cases had an initial fast phase (35.0–55.8%) followed by a slower binding phase (44.2–65.0%) and thus required a bi-exponential (double exponential) equation (Fig. 3A and B). This is supported by an  $R^2$  of 0.91–0.98; average: 0.956 for fits to the single exponential and a significantly improved  $R^2$  of 0.97–0.99; average: 0.994 for the double exponential fit. Given the 93% amino acid sequence identity between the two enzymes, this difference is notable. Fitting to the bi-exponential equation results in two  $k_{\text{obs}}$  values, demarcated here as  $k_{\text{fast}}$  for the fast phase and  $k_{\text{slow}}$  for the slow phase. A plot of the  $k_{\text{fast}}$  values for each concentration of adenodoxin against the substrate concentration

reveals that  $k_{\text{fast}}$  varies linearly with substrate concentration and increases with increasing adenodoxin concentration (Fig. 3C). The on and off rate constants determined from the slope and y-intercepts in this plot are provided in Table 1 with their 95% confidence intervals. With increasing adenodoxin these values indicate a systematic increase in the on rate constants (slopes) and a general decrease in the off rate constants (y-intercepts) similar to the observations for CYP11B1. The  $k_{\text{slow}}$  values are plotted against the substrate concentration in Fig. 3D. The  $k_{\text{slow}}$  values appear to be stationary or increase very slightly with substrate concentration.



Similar to the CYP11B1/11-deoxycortisol experiments described above, binding rates were also measured with an artificial fusion of N-terminal adrenodoxin and CYP11B2 at the C-terminus previously described.<sup>10</sup> Bi-exponential binding of 11-deoxycorticosterone was again observed. Plots of the  $k_{\text{fast}}$  against substrate concentration are shown in Fig. 3E and demonstrate a linear dependence. The derived on and off rate constants (Table 1) display the same trends as the adrenodoxin/CYP11B1 fusion, in that  $k_{\text{on}}$  is similar to the experiment using equimolar ratios of free CYP11B2 and adrenodoxin while the  $k_{\text{off}}$  is significantly reduced. Again the  $k_{\text{slow}}$  values were little affected (Fig. 3F).

### Rate constants for binding of 11-deoxycorticosterone to CYP11B1

The preceding experiments established that CYP11B1 binds its 11-deoxycortisol substrate with a single association rate constant, while CYP11B2 binds its 11-deoxycorticosterone substrate with two rate constants ( $k_{\text{fast}}$  and  $k_{\text{slow}}$ ). These differences are not understood. The enzymes are 93% identical and the substrates differ only by a hydroxyl at C-17 (Fig. 1). Thus, to determine whether the difference in binding behavior is due to the substrate or the enzyme, CYP11B1 was evaluated with the CYP11B2 substrate 11-deoxycorticosterone and *vice versa*. This was possible because both enzymes bind both substrates, though they metabolize them with quite differential rates.<sup>19</sup>

A set of stopped flow experiments corresponding to those described above was collected and analyzed for CYP11B1 binding the CYP11B2 substrate 11-deoxycorticosterone (Fig. S4, ESI†). Experiments for CYP11B1 binding increasing

11-deoxycorticosterone concentrations in the presence of a consistent 10-fold adrenodoxin concentration (Fig. 4A) and for CYP11B1 binding 11-deoxycorticosterone in the presence of a consistent 10  $\mu\text{M}$  11-deoxycorticosterone but increasing adrenodoxin concentrations (Fig. 4B) are representative. Under all conditions CYP11B1 binding its minor substrate 11-deoxycorticosterone exhibited monophasic curves, as observed for CYP11B1 binding its native substrate 11-deoxycortisol and not the biphasic curves consistently observed for 11-deoxycorticosterone binding to CYP11B2. Plotting the observed rates *vs.* the 11-deoxycorticosterone concentration (Fig. 4C) permitted definition of the on and off rate constants (Table 1). This revealed that adrenodoxin increased  $k_{\text{on}}$  by the 1 : 40 condition, whereas the  $k_{\text{off}}$  values trended upward at lower adrenodoxin concentrations. This suggests that the binding mechanism is dictated by enzyme features and not the substrate structure.

### Rate constants for binding of 11-deoxycortisol to CYP11B2

If the association mechanism (monophasic or biphasic) is dictated by enzyme rather than the substrate, then CYP11B2 binding the native CYP11B1 substrate 11-deoxycortisol would be expected to exhibit biphasic kinetics. To test this, stopped-flow experiments were performed at multiple substrate concentrations and adrenodoxin concentrations as described previously. Indeed in all cases a biphasic model is required to fit this substrate binding data. Examples of CYP11B2 binding increasing 11-deoxycortisol concentrations at a constant 1 : 10 adrenodoxin concentration (Fig. 5A) and CYP11B2 binding a constant 10  $\mu\text{M}$  11-deoxycortisol with increasing adrenodoxin concentrations (Fig. 5B) are shown as examples, with all data





Fig. 4 Determination of rate constants for CYP11B1 binding its minor substrate 11-deoxycorticosterone. CYP11B1 (1  $\mu\text{M}$ ) preincubated with various concentrations of adrenodoxin (0, 1, 10, or 40-fold excess) was mixed 1 : 1 with 11-deoxycortisol (10, 20, 35, or 50  $\mu\text{M}$  before mixing). (A) Changes in the  $\Delta A_{391}-A_{419}$  nm representing substrate binding are shown for CYP11B1 in the presence of constant (10-fold excess) adrenodoxin with four different 11-deoxycorticosterone concentrations. (B) Changes in the  $\Delta A_{391}-A_{419}$  nm representing substrate binding are shown for CYP11B1 with constant (10  $\mu\text{M}$ ) 11-deoxycorticosterone and varying adrenodoxin concentrations. The remaining adrenodoxin and 11-deoxycorticosterone conditions are shown in Fig. S4 (ESI†). All experiments were readily fit to a single exponential (solid lines). (C) Plots of the resulting  $k_{\text{obs}}$  plotted against 11-deoxycorticosterone concentration were fit to a line to determine the  $k_{\text{on}}$  (slopes) and  $k_{\text{off}}$  (y-intercepts) in Table 1.





**Fig. 5** Determination of rate constants for CYP11B2 binding to its minor substrate 11-deoxycortisol. CYP11B2 (2  $\mu\text{M}$ ) preincubated with various concentrations of adrenodoxin (0, 1, 10, or 40-fold excess) was mixed 1 : 1 with 11-deoxycorticosterone (10, 20, 35, or 50  $\mu\text{M}$  before mixing). (A) Changes in the  $\Delta A_{391-A419}$  nm representing substrate binding are shown for CYP11B2 in the presence of constant (10-fold excess) adrenodoxin with four different 11-deoxycortisol concentrations. (B) Changes in the  $\Delta A_{391-A419}$  nm representing substrate binding are shown for CYP11B2 with constant (10  $\mu\text{M}$ ) 11-deoxycortisol and varying adrenodoxin concentrations. The remaining adrenodoxin and 11-deoxycorticosterone conditions are shown in Fig. S5 (ESI<sup>†</sup>). All experiments fit best to the biexponential equation (solid lines) yielding rate constants for both the slow and fast phases ( $k_{\text{slow}}$  and  $k_{\text{fast}}$ ). For these experiments, the percent fast phase ranged from 44–62%. (C) These  $k_{\text{fast}}$  values plotted against 11-deoxycortisol concentration for CYP11B2 with various amounts of adrenodoxin were fit to a line (solid line) to determine the  $k_{\text{on}}$  (slopes) and  $k_{\text{off}}$  (y-intercepts) in Table 1. (D) The  $k_{\text{slow}}$  values were also plotted against 11-deoxycorticosterone concentration yielding  $k_{\text{on}}$  (slopes) and  $k_{\text{off}}$  (y-intercepts) that are fairly constant.

present in Fig. S5 (ESI<sup>†</sup>). The  $k_{\text{fast}}$  values are plotted against substrate concentration in Fig. 5C, demonstrating a linear dependence on substrate concentration. Similar to the other experiments, the slope or  $k_{\text{on}}$  increased with increasing adrenodoxin. Trends in the  $k_{\text{off}}$  or y-intercept were less certain due to higher error. Fig. 5D shows the  $k_{\text{slow}}$  values plotted against substrate concentration, which appears to be more constant with increasing ligand concentration.

#### Validation of a displacing ligand for more direct measurement of off rate constants


The stopped-flow approach employed above is a valid approach for measuring the on and off rate constants as the slope and y-intercept of a plot of  $k_{\text{obs}}$  vs. ligand. However the off rate constants can often exhibit higher error than the on rate constants, as was the case in the experiments described above (Table 1). An orthogonal experiment can also be used to independently determine the off rate constant with less error. In this approach the rate of dissociation of the original ligand is measured as a spectrally-distinguishable ligand takes its place. This strategy requires that the displacing ligand binds much more quickly than the off rate of the original dissociating ligand. In the current experiments the displacing ligand used was the type II inhibitor LCI699 (Fig. 1C). Previous studies have validated that LCI699 binds in the same CYP11B2 active site where substrates bind,<sup>4</sup> but only LCI699 forms a coordinate-

covalent bond with the active site iron. This yields an absorbance maximum at 424 nm which is spectrally distinct from the substrate-bound form with an absorbance maximum at 419 nm. In stopped-flow experiments, mixing of CYP11B enzymes with LCI699 resulted in a 5 nm spectral shift (Fig. S7, ESI<sup>†</sup>) and the observed rates were  $65.1 \text{ s}^{-1}$  (95% CI 61.6–68.9) for CYP11B1 (Fig. 6A) and  $47.3 \text{ s}^{-1}$  (95% CI 41.1–54.4) for  $k_{\text{fast}}$  for CYP11B2 (Fig. 6B). Since the off rates for CYP11B enzymes estimated above are  $1\text{--}2 \text{ s}^{-1}$ , they are 20- to 40-fold slower than LCI699 binding. Thus LCI699 is a suitable displacing ligand or “trapping” agent for these experiments.


#### Cross validation of $k_{\text{off}}$ for CYP11B enzymes with multiple substrates

When CYP11B1 saturated with either substrate was mixed with LCI699 using stopped-flow, the spectral shift was even greater than above because the substrate-bound Soret maximum is more blue-shifted (391 nm). Thus a more substantial  $\sim 30$  nm shift occurred between the substrate-bound form and the LCI699-bound form (Fig. S8, ESI<sup>†</sup>). These experiments were completed for both CYP11B1 and CYP11B2 binding to both 11-deoxycortisol and 11-deoxycorticosterone at four different adrenodoxin concentrations. Plotting the change in absorbance between 390 nm and 424 nm vs. time (e.g. Fig. 7A and B, full data in Fig. S9, ESI<sup>†</sup>) yielded the  $k_{\text{off}}$  rate constants and 95% confidence intervals in Table 2. Consistent with the straight





**Fig. 6** Determination of rate constants for LCI699 binding to CYP11B1 and CYP11B2 to evaluate LCI699 as a potential way to measure the formation of substrate-free enzyme ("trapping compound"). CYP11B1 or CYP11B2 (4  $\mu\text{M}$ ) was mixed with 100  $\mu\text{M}$  LCI699 and absorbance spectra were recorded, resulting in a type II shift with increasing absorbance at 424 nm and decreasing absorbance at 420 nm (Fig. S7, ESI $^\dagger$ ). The  $\Delta A_{424-420}$  nm was plotted against time and fit to determine the binding rate constants. (A) The data for CYP11B1 binding LCI699 was best fit to the one-phase association equation (solid line) yielding a  $k_{\text{obs}}$  of 65.1 (61.6–68.9)  $\text{s}^{-1}$ . (B) The data for CYP11B2 binding LCI699 was best fit to the two-phase association equation (solid line) yielding a  $k_{\text{fast}}$  value of 47.3 (41.1–54.4)  $\text{s}^{-1}$  and a  $k_{\text{slow}}$  value of 4.9 (4.2–5.6)  $\text{s}^{-1}$ .



**Fig. 7** Experiments using LCI699 as a trapping agent to quantitate substrate  $k_{\text{off}}$ . Either CYP11B1 or CYP11B2 (2  $\mu\text{M}$ ) was pre-equilibrated with a saturating amount (70  $\mu\text{M}$ ) of the substrates 11-deoxycortisol or 11-deoxycorticosterone and either 0, 1, 10, or 40-fold excess adrenodoxin. The CYP11B/substrate/adrenodoxin sample was mixed 1:1 with 100  $\mu\text{M}$  LCI699 and absorbance spectra was recorded. These spectra revealed an increase in absorbance at 424 nm and decrease at 390 nm consistent with conversion from the substrate-bound to inhibitor-bound form (Fig. S8, ESI $^\dagger$ ). The  $\Delta A_{390-424}$  plotted against time are shown for (A) CYP11B1 with 11-deoxycorticosterone and (B) CYP11B2 with 11-deoxycorticosterone. The remaining traces are shown in Fig. S9 (ESI $^\dagger$ ). The fit of this data was used to determine the substrate  $k_{\text{off}}$  rates in Table 2.

binding experiments above, this approach more clearly validated that that increasing adrenodoxin concentrations monotonically decrease both CYP11B1 and CYP11B2 release/off rate constants for both substrates.

## Discussion

### Adrenodoxin influences CYP11B1 and CYP11B2 binding rate constants to each respective major substrate

Previously, we determined that adrenodoxin increases the affinity of both CYP11B1 and CYP11B2<sup>6,10</sup> for multiple ligands, as revealed by decreases in the dissociation constant  $K_d$ . Since  $K_d$  is equivalent to  $k_{\text{off}}/k_{\text{on}}$ , this adrenodoxin-mediated increase in binding affinity must result from a decrease in  $k_{\text{off}}$  and/or an increase in  $k_{\text{on}}$ . Determination of these binding rate constants thus provides insight into whether the adrenodoxin allosteric modulation results from facilitating substrate binding or decelerating substrate release. Using stopped-flow, we determined the influence of adrenodoxin on CYP11B1 and CYP11B2

substrate binding rate constants using 4 different ratios of CYP11B to adrenodoxin: 1:0, 1:1, 1:10, and 1:40. Initially, we measured CYP11B1 binding rates to its major substrate 11-deoxycortisol (Fig. 2) and CYP11B2 binding rates to its major substrate 11-deoxycorticosterone (Fig. 3). Interestingly, as the adrenodoxin increased, the  $k_{\text{on}}$  increased monotonically while the  $k_{\text{off}}$  usually decreased (Table 1). These results were compared to equilibrium substrate  $K_d$  values previously reported<sup>6,10</sup> (Table 3). While the equilibrium  $K_d$  values were systematically higher than the  $K_d$  values determined here *via* transient state kinetics, the overall trends remained consistent. For both methods, increasing adrenodoxin resulted in a decreased  $K_d$  with similar net-fold decreases from 0 to 40 $\times$  equivalents of adrenodoxin.

The physical implications of this result deserve consideration. The results suggest that adrenodoxin binding on the P450 surface changes the enzyme conformation in such a way that the active site cavity some 18 Å away cavity is more available to ligand, thus facilitating binding, but this conformational change also creates a more conducive environment for



**Table 2** CYP11B  $k_{\text{off}}$  rates obtained through trapping experiments. CYP11B1 or CYP11B2 were saturated with either 11-deoxycortisol or 11-deoxycorticosterone substrate and 0, 1, 10, or 40-fold excess adrenodoxin, then mixed using stopped-flow with an excess of LCI699. This tight-binding, fast binding inhibitor traps enzyme which has released substrate. Absorbance spectra were recorded and the  $\Delta 424\text{--}390$  nm shift from substrate-bound form to inhibitor-bound form (Fig. S8, ESI) was calculated and plotted against time (Fig. S9, ESI). The resulting  $k_{\text{obs}}$  is substrate  $k_{\text{off}}$  below. The trends here reveal that as adrenodoxin increases, the  $k_{\text{off}}$  systematically decreases

Enzyme	Substrate	Adrenodoxin molar ratio	$k_{\text{off}}$ ( $\text{s}^{-1}$ ) (95% CI)
11B1	11-Deoxycortisol	0	1.15 (1.12–1.19)
		1	1.09 (1.07–1.11)
		10	0.81 (0.79–0.82)
		40	0.75 (0.74–0.76)
11B1	11-Deoxycorticosterone	0	2.04 (1.99–2.10)
		1	1.88 (1.84–1.92)
		10	1.46 (1.43–1.49)
		40	1.20 (1.17–1.24)
11B2	11-Deoxycortisol	0	0.70 (0.67–0.72)
		1	0.52 (0.50–0.54)
		10	0.48 (0.48–0.49)
		40	0.41 (0.40–0.42)
11B2	11-Deoxycorticosterone	0	0.72 (0.70–0.75)
		1	0.55 (0.53–0.57)
		10	0.47 (0.45–0.48)
		40	0.42 (0.41–0.43)

prolonged binding in the active site, thus slowing substrate release. This suggests that adrenodoxin binding may cause a complex conformational change, resulting in structural changes in multiple regions of the protein. For example, it is possible that adrenodoxin binding could affect both the opening of the substrate access channel<sup>20</sup> as well as the heme and/or active site. It is even possible that substrate binding itself induces a conformational change on the P450/adrenodoxin

complex. It is possible that adrenodoxin allosterically modulates the dynamics of P450, causing the increase in  $k_{\text{on}}$ , but the binding of substrate and displacement of water in turn induces another conformational change in the P450/adrenodoxin interaction that is responsible for the decrease in  $k_{\text{off}}$ . To date we only have structures for the adrenodoxin/CYP11B2 fusion with inhibitor.<sup>10</sup>

These stopped-flow studies were additionally completed to measure substrate  $k_{\text{on}}$  and  $k_{\text{off}}$  values for artificially fused constructs of adrenodoxin and the CYP11Bs. These Adx—CYP11B fusions are expected to stabilize the normally transient interaction between adrenodoxin and the P450. Two pieces of evidence support this stabilization. First, crystal structures of both CYP11A1 and CYP11B2 fused to adrenodoxin have been solved,<sup>10,18</sup> which would not be feasible unless the interaction was stabilized. Second, the adrenodoxin/CYP11B fusions only turn over product in the presence of 40-fold excess added free adrenodoxin, suggesting that the interaction between the two fused domains must be outcompeted to result in productive catalysis.<sup>6,10</sup> The adrenodoxin/CYP11B fusion proteins both had comparable  $k_{\text{on}}$  values to the experiments using 1:1 CYP11B:adrenodoxin, however the  $k_{\text{off}}$  for the fusion was distinctly lower in both cases (Table 1). This result is interesting as the fusion enzymes stoichiometrically have the same amount of adrenodoxin as in the 1:1 CYP11B:adrenodoxin experiments, however these experiments differ in that the interaction with the free adrenodoxin is transient, whereas the interaction in the fusion enzyme is stabilized. This suggests that transient binding to the P450 is sufficient to result in the  $k_{\text{on}}$  increases, however more sustained binding of adrenodoxin may be necessary to result in significantly decreased  $k_{\text{off}}$  values.

**Table 3** CYP11B1 trends in  $k_{\text{on}}$ ,  $k_{\text{off}}$  and the derived  $K_{\text{d}}$  for CYP11B. The  $k_{\text{on}}$  determined in Table 1 and the  $k_{\text{off}}$  determined in Table 2 are aligned for each combination of CYP11B, substrate, and adrenodoxin concentration. The equilibrium binding constant  $K_{\text{d}}$  is estimated as the  $k_{\text{off}}/k_{\text{on}}$  for each condition. With increasing adrenodoxin, observations reveal systematic increases in  $k_{\text{on}}$  and decreases in  $k_{\text{off}}$ , resulting in greater than 2-fold decreases in the overall dissociation constant  $K_{\text{d}}$  for both enzymes with both substrates

Enzyme	Substrate	Adrenodoxin molar ratio	$k_{\text{off}}^a$ ( $\text{s}^{-1}$ )	$k_{\text{on}}^b$ ( $\mu\text{M s}^{-1}$ )	$K_{\text{d}}^c$ ( $\mu\text{M}$ )	Equilibrium $K_{\text{d}}$ values <sup>d</sup> ( $\mu\text{M}$ )
CYP11B1	11-Deoxy-cortisol	0	1.15	0.11	10.45	17.0
		1	1.09	0.15	7.27	20.8
		10	0.81	0.17	4.76	12.2
		40	0.75	0.19	3.95	7.3
		Fold change	↓ 1.53	↑ 1.72	↓ 2.65	2.33
CYP11B1	11-Deoxy-corticosterone	0	2.04	0.30	6.80	ND
		1	1.88	0.30	6.27	
		10	1.46	0.32	4.56	
		40	1.20	0.40	3.00	
		Fold change	↓ 1.70	↑ 1.33	↓ 2.27	
CYP11B2	11-Deoxy-cortisol	0	0.70	0.15	4.67	ND
		1	0.52	0.15	3.47	
		10	0.48	0.16	3.00	
		40	0.41	0.18	2.28	
		Fold change	↓ 1.71	↑ 1.20	↓ 2.05	
CYP11B2	11-Deoxy-corticosterone	0	0.72	0.35	2.06	10.39
		1	0.55	0.37	1.49	3.94
		10	0.47	0.47	1.00	3.38
		40	0.42	0.56	0.75	3.42
		Fold change	↓ 1.71	↑ 1.60	↓ 2.74	3.06

<sup>a</sup>  $k_{\text{off}}$  values from experiments with LCI699 trapping enzyme having released substrate. <sup>b</sup>  $k_{\text{on}}$  values from initial direct binding experiments. <sup>c</sup>  $K_{\text{d}}$  values calculated from  $k_{\text{off}}/k_{\text{on}}$ . <sup>d</sup> Values from (4, 6, 10). N.D., not determined.



### Adrenodoxin influences CYP11B binding rate constants to each other's substrates

The specificity of the adrenodoxin allosteric effect was interrogated by swapping CYP11B substrates. The CYP11B substrates 11-deoxycortisol and 11-deoxycorticosterone differ by only a C-17 hydroxyl group (Fig. 1). Previous literature indicates that the CYP11B enzymes readily turn over each other's substrates,<sup>19</sup> albeit at differential rates. Interestingly, initial ligand binding experiments at increasing adrenodoxin concentrations clearly revealed increasing  $k_{\text{on}}$  values for both CYP11B enzymes binding the incorrect substrate (Table 1), although the increases were 1.2- to 1.3-fold higher for the opposing substrate and a larger 1.6- to 1.7-fold higher for the matching substrates (Table 3). Trends in the  $k_{\text{off}}$  values were more difficult to decipher due to higher error values. To better define the  $k_{\text{off}}$  values, experiments were performed to trap enzyme that has released substrate. Evaluation of all four CYP11B/substrate pairs and for all four ratios of CYP11B:adrenodoxin revealed that in each case increasing adrenodoxin monotonically lowered the  $k_{\text{off}}$  (Table 2). In these results the off rate constants were decreased by a similar 1.5- to 1.7-fold, regardless of the enzyme/substrate pairing.

An additional observation was that the  $k_{\text{on}}$  values for both CYP11B1 and CYP11B2 binding to 11-deoxycorticosterone were significantly higher than for either enzyme binding to 11-deoxycortisol (Table 1). One would expect that the major substrate would have the highest  $k_{\text{on}}$ , but this is not the case for CYP11B1. Interestingly though, the  $k_{\text{off}}$  for CYP11B1 binding to 11-deoxycorticosterone is about twice as high as that of 11-deoxycortisol. As these substrates differ by only a C-17 hydroxyl, perhaps the positioning of the hydroxyl somewhat hinders substrate binding initially but helps to form a stronger interaction with the active site once bound.

### CYP11B1 and CYP11B2 exhibit different substrate binding mechanisms

The results herein revealed that CYP11B1 and CYP11B2 have differing substrate binding mechanisms. CYP11B1 binding of either 11-deoxycortisol or 11-deoxycorticosterone results in a single phase consistent with one-step binding. This binding varies linearly with substrate concentration. However CYP11B2 binding to either 11-deoxycorticosterone or 11-deoxycortisol results in an initial fast and a subsequent slow phase, corresponding to two-step binding (Fig. 3). The fast phase varies linearly with substrate concentration, like CYP11B1, whereas the slow phase is relatively constant with substrate concentration. Thus, no matter the substrate, CYP11B1 binding was always monophasic and CYP11B2 binding was always biphasic. This confirmed that the difference in binding mechanism is enzyme-specific.

This result was unexpected since the CYP11B enzymes are 93% identical, their substrates only differ by a single hydroxyl, both perform 11 $\beta$ -hydroxylation reactions, and selective drugs for one CYP11B over the other have yet to be developed.<sup>21</sup> However, one key difference between the CYP11Bs is that

CYP11B2 has additional 18-hydroxylation and 18-oxidase function in addition to the 11 $\beta$ -hydroxylation, whereas CYP11B1 primarily performs the 11 $\beta$ -hydroxylation with very little 18-hydroxylation and essentially no 18-oxidase activity.<sup>19</sup> Because CYP11B2 performs three different reactions, its active site might be more dynamic to accommodate multiple orientations of the steroid. Although determination of the specific CYP11B2 binding mechanism is outside of the scope of this work, these studies would be highly informative in determining functional and mechanistic differences between CYP11B1 and CYP11B2. Since CYP11B1 and CYP11B2 are both drug targets for different disease states, identification of any sort of difference that could be exploited for drug design is of high interest. Crystal structures of both enzymes are now available,<sup>19,21</sup> and combining knowledge of both structural and functional differences between the two enzymes could empower future drug development.

### Potential mechanisms for CYP11B/adrenodoxin interactions

Adrenodoxin is known to bind to the proximal side of the CYP11B enzymes, as illustrated by a structure of the CYP11B2/adrenodoxin fusion (Fig. 8) published recently.<sup>10</sup> Specific hydrogen bonding and electrostatic interactions occur between multiple residues in helix 3 and the adjacent meander around the iron-sulfur cluster of adrenodoxin and specific CYP11B2 residues in the P450 it's heme-ligating meander, K'' helix, K helix, and L helix. While no similar structure is available for CYP11B1 with adrenodoxin, these interacting residues are




Fig. 8 CYP11B2/adrenodoxin structure (PDB 7M81).<sup>10</sup> Adrenodoxin (dark grey ribbons) binds to the proximal side of CYP11B2 (cyan ribbons), while substrates and inhibitors (here illustrated by fadrozole in light grey sticks) bind to the distal side of the heme (black sticks). Substrate entry and exit is thought to occur *via* conformational changes in the P450 secondary structure elements at the far top in this orientation. Thus adrenodoxin is thought to act allosterically or at a distance to modulate substrate on and off rate constants.



conserved with the exception of position 439 (Tyr in CYP11B1, His in CYP11B2). The distance between the adrenodoxin iron-sulfur and the heme iron is  $\sim 18$  Å and both inhibitors and substrates bind on the opposite (distal) side of the heme. Thus adrenodoxin alterations in substrate binding and release occur allosterically.

The active sites of the two CYP11B enzymes have all first shell residues completely conserved, though they can adopt slightly different rotamers and positions.<sup>21</sup> Many ligands are thought to bind to P450 enzymes by conformational selection.<sup>22–24</sup> That is, the protein preexists in multiple conformations and the ligand binds to the one that is compatible. It is possible that adrenodoxin binding causes allosteric CYP11B conformational changes to yield the changes in on and off rate constants observed herein. It has previously been observed that cytochrome *b*<sub>5</sub> binding to the same proximal region of CYP17A1 causes conformational modulation of that enzyme in regions thought to control substrate binding.<sup>25</sup> Although the exact mechanism that allosterically modulates the CYP11B proteins is unknown, there are several hypotheses based on previous literature and these findings.

One potential mechanism for this interaction is that adrenodoxin has an influence on the spin state of the CYP11Bs. One limitation of all P450 absorbance spectroscopy studies is that the signal from the Soret peak relies on a spin-state change. However, some verified P450 substrates can bind in the active site without displacing the Fe-coordinated water molecule and thus without causing any spectral shift.<sup>26</sup> If this occurred, then one possible mechanism is that some adrenodoxin binding could cause P450 conformational changes that reorient and/or relocate the substrate to facilitate displacement of the water molecule. This idea would explain why the change in absorbance upon substrate binding increases with increasing adrenodoxin (Fig. 2B, 3B, 4B, and 5B). From the stopped-flow spectral shift data (Fig. S2, ESI<sup>†</sup>), fusing CYP11B1 to adrenodoxin causes a much more significant conversion from low to high spin.

A second potential mechanism for the allosteric effect is that adrenodoxin could act similarly to putidaredoxin with P450<sub>cam</sub> from *Pseudomonas putida*. Pochapsky *et al.* determined that putidaredoxin binding to P450<sub>cam</sub> induces a *trans* to *cis* isomerization of a proline in the B' helix, thus causing distortions in the I helix and influencing the shape of the active site cavity.<sup>27</sup> Several studies demonstrate that putidaredoxin binding to substrate bound P450<sub>cam</sub> shifts the equilibrium towards a more open enzyme conformation and low-spin state.<sup>28–30</sup> Poulos *et al.* connects these two ideas, suggesting that the conformational change is mediated by the proline isomerization, causing the B' helix to contact the F and G helices and the enzyme to adopt a more open conformation.<sup>31</sup> There is a conserved proline in the B' helix for both CYP11B proteins that could be involved in this allosteric response (Pro92), but there is no structural evidence for this conformational change as of yet. Two P450 structures complexed with adrenodoxin are available,<sup>10,18</sup> and while neither of them display these conformational changes, this might be due to the static nature of

crystallographic structures and is not evidence against this allosteric response. Additionally, one difference between the CYP11B proteins and P450<sub>cam</sub> is that adrenodoxin shifts the substrate-bound CYP11B equilibrium towards the high-spin state while putidaredoxin shifts camphor-bound P450<sub>cam</sub> towards the low-spin state.<sup>29</sup> These differences illustrate the diversity in P450/redox partner interactions and identify a need for more detailed studies with human P450s similar to those completed undertaken for bacterial species.

## Conclusions

CYP11B1 and CYP11B2 are responsible for producing the major human corticosteroids cortisol and aldosterone respectively. Both are drug targets and their high shared sequence identity has hindered development of selective drugs. Here, we characterized the interactions of both CYP11B enzymes with their shared redox partner adrenodoxin using transient-state kinetics. In both enzymes, adrenodoxin increased CYP11B substrate binding by both increasing the on rate constant and simultaneously decreasing the off rate constant.

While the information presented here illuminates the next level of information on how adrenodoxin allosterically modulates CYP11B enzymes, much remains to be learned about this interaction. Thus far, all experiments have been performed with oxidized adrenodoxin and the influence of the redox state on this effect should also be investigated.

The current studies additionally revealed that CYP11B1 and CYP11B2 possess different substrate binding mechanisms. While CYP11B1 binds substrates in a single step, CYP11B2 does so in a multi-step fashion. While the substrate-swapping experiment clearly demonstrated that the origins of this effect are related to the enzyme and not the substrate, it remains to be determined if CYP11B2 binds substrates *via* conformational selection, induced fit, or some more complex mechanism. However, given the very small compositional differences between the two CYP11B enzymes and their importance as drug targets, understanding this difference could potentially be exploited in future drug design.

## Experimental procedures

### Materials

11-Deoxycortisol (152-58-9) and 11-deoxycorticosterone (64-85-7) were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO).

### Protein expression and purification

**Generation of CYP11B1, CYP11B2, and adrenodoxin.** Expression and purification of human CYP11B1, CYP11B2, and adrenodoxin were completed as described previously.<sup>10,21</sup>

**Generation of adrenodoxin/CYP11B1 and adrenodoxin/CYP11B2 fusion enzymes.** Expression and purification of adrenodoxin fusion enzymes with either CYP11B1 or CYP11B2 were completed as described previously.<sup>6,10,21</sup> Briefly, both artificial enzymes contain adrenodoxin at the N-terminus, followed by



the linker sequence AAKKTSS, followed by the corresponding CYP11B catalytic domain at the C-terminus.

### Stopped-flow determination of substrate binding rates

Substrate binding rates for CYP11B1 and CYP11B2 were measured using a High-Tech SF61DX2 stopped-flow spectrophotometer equipped with a temperature-controlled circulating water bath. The dead time of the instrument is 1 ms.

**CYP11B1 stopped-flow studies.** Stopped-flow studies were employed to determine the effect of adrenodoxin on CYP11B1 substrate binding rates. Solutions of 1  $\mu\text{M}$  CYP11B1 and varying amounts of adrenodoxin were prepared in binding buffer (50 mM potassium phosphate pH 7.4, 20% glycerol, 0.5% CHAPS). Adrenodoxin concentrations were 0, 1, 10, and 40  $\mu\text{M}$ . Concentrated solutions of the major CYP11B1 substrate 11-deoxycortisol and the major CYP11B2 substrate 11-deoxycorticosterone were initially prepared in DMSO, then diluted into binding buffer such that the total solvent content was  $<0.1\%$ . These substrate solutions contained 5, 10, 20, or 50  $\mu\text{M}$  11-deoxycortisol or 10, 20, 35, or 50  $\mu\text{M}$  11-deoxycorticosterone.

**CYP11B2 stopped-flow studies.** Stopped-flow studies were also used to determine the effect of adrenodoxin on CYP11B2 substrate binding rates. Initially, solutions containing 1  $\mu\text{M}$  CYP11B2 were prepared to keep parameters constant between experiments using CYP11B1 and CYP11B2, but the fast, multiphasic binding activity of CYP11B2 necessitated the usage of higher protein concentrations to improve signal. Thus, solutions of 2  $\mu\text{M}$  CYP11B2 and varying amounts of adrenodoxin were prepared in binding buffer (50 mM potassium phosphate, pH 7.4, 20% glycerol, 0.5% CHAPS). Adrenodoxin concentrations were 0, 2, 20, and 80  $\mu\text{M}$ . Concentrated solutions of the major CYP11B1 substrate 11-deoxycortisol and the major CYP11B2 substrate 11-deoxycorticosterone were initially prepared in DMSO, then diluted into binding buffer to a total solvent content of  $<0.1\%$ . The substrate solutions contained 5, 10, 20, or 50  $\mu\text{M}$  11-deoxycortisol or 10, 20, 35, or 50  $\mu\text{M}$  11-deoxycorticosterone.

**Data collection and work-up.** Using stopped-flow, one syringe containing a CYP11B enzyme with adrenodoxin and one syringe containing substrate were rapidly mixed. Absolute spectra were recorded from 700–300 nm. Each time course included 160 spectra collected on a logarithmic timescale to obtain more frequent recordings at the earliest timepoints. Spectra were collected for 5.9, 15, and/or 30 seconds as needed to fully capture all phases of substrate binding. A minimum of three shots were recorded for each condition.

In the initial spectra, the Soret peak was for substrate-free P450 centered at 419 nm, consistent with the low-spin water coordinated form and over the course of the reaction the peak at 419 nm decreased in intensity and a peak at 391 nm formed, consistent with formation of the substrate-bound form. To measure substrate binding rates, the difference in absorbance between 391 nm and 419 nm was calculated at each point in time. This delta absorbance at the first recorded point (2–7 ms) was set to zero and used to scale the rest of the data.

For each enzyme/substrate combination, the difference in absorbance between 391 and 419 nm over time was fit to both

one and two phase association equation in GraphPad Prism and the best fit (highest  $R^2$  values) was selected for analysis. For each CYP11B1 experiment, the one-phase equation was sufficient to fit the data (Fig. S2 and S3, ESI<sup>†</sup>). The average  $R^2$  was 0.980 and the range 0.82–0.99. For each CYP11B2 experiment, the two-phase equation was a much better representation of the data (Fig. S4 and S5, ESI<sup>†</sup>). The  $R^2$  values for fitting to a single exponential were an average of 0.962 (range 0.90–0.98), while the  $R^2$  values for fitting to the double exponential were improved to an average of 0.995 (range 0.97–0.99). For both CYP11B1 and CYP11B2 experiments with the lowest substrate concentration without adrenodoxin have lower signal/noise than any of the other experiments and this, rather than systematic errors in the fit, results in the lower  $R^2$  values for both ranges. These fits determined the  $k_{\text{obs}}$  values at each substrate concentration, with CYP11B2 yielding two rate constants ( $k_{\text{fast}}$  and  $k_{\text{slow}}$ ). These  $k_{\text{obs}}$  values were plotted against substrate concentration for each cytochrome P450 with 0, 1, 10, and 40-fold excess adrenodoxin. This data was fit to a linear equation to determine the impact of adrenodoxin on the  $k_{\text{on}}$  (slope) and  $k_{\text{off}}$  (y-intercept) values.

### Stopped-flow determination of off rates

The tight binding inhibitor LCI699 was used to trap the substrate-free enzyme and thus directly measure substrate  $k_{\text{off}}$  values. Initial experiments were designed to determine if LCI699 binding was at least an order of magnitude faster than substrate release. Solutions of 4  $\mu\text{M}$  CYP11B1 or CYP11B2 were prepared in binding buffer (50 mM potassium phosphate, pH 7.4, 20% glycerol, 0.5% CHAPS) were mixed with 100  $\mu\text{M}$  LCI699 and spectra recorded. A mixture of 3 and 5 second time courses were collected on a logarithmic timescale with a minimum of 3 replicates per condition. For each enzyme,  $\Delta 424$ –420 nm was calculated and plotted against time. The CYP11B1 data was fit to the one-phase association equation and the CYP11B2 data was fit to the two-phase association equation in GraphPad Prism. LCI699 binding to both CYP11B enzymes was extremely fast, nearly occurring in the dead time of the instrument for CYP11B1. The resulting  $k_{\text{obs}}$  for LCI699 binding is well over  $10\times$  faster than the substrate  $k_{\text{off}}$  estimated from the experiments above, making it a suitable trapping agent for both enzymes.

Next, LCI699 trapping of the substrate-free enzymes was used to determine CYP11B substrate off rates. For each CYP11B enzyme, off rates were determined with the substrates 11-deoxycortisol and 11-deoxycorticosterone and with varying amounts of 0, 1, 10, or 40-fold excess adrenodoxin. Solutions containing 2  $\mu\text{M}$  CYP11B, 70  $\mu\text{M}$  (a saturating concentration) of 11-deoxycortisol or 11-deoxycorticosterone, and either 0, 1, 10, or 40  $\mu\text{M}$  adrenodoxin were prepared in binding buffer (50 mM potassium phosphate, pH 7.4, 20% glycerol, 0.5% CHAPS). The CYP11B/substrate/adrenodoxin syringe was mixed with a solution of 100  $\mu\text{M}$  LCI699 in the same buffer. A mixture of 3, 5, and 15 second shots were taken on a logarithmic scale with a minimum of 3 replicates per condition. For each condition,  $\Delta 424$ –390 nm was calculated and the delta absorbance changes



fit to the one phase (CYP11B1) or two phase (CYP11B2) association equation in GraphPad Prism. Because the rate of LCI699 binding is limited by the substrate off rate, the LCI699  $k_{\text{obs}}$  value can be approximated as the substrate  $k_{\text{off}}$ .

## Author contributions

CL: conceptualization, methodology, investigation, formal analysis, validation, visualization, writing – original draft, writing – review and editing. S-CI: methodology; investigation, validation, resources, writing – review and editing. EES: conceptualization, funding acquisition, project administration, supervision, writing – original draft, writing – review and editing.

## Data availability

The data supporting this article have been included as part of the ESI.†

## Conflicts of interest

The authors declare that they have no conflicts of interest with the contents of this article.

## Acknowledgements

The construct for expression of human adrenodoxin was a gift from Dr Richard Auchus at the University of Michigan. The authors additionally acknowledge Dr Richard Auchus for use of his stopped-flow instrument. This research was supported by the National Institutes of Health R37 GM076343 (to EES) and F31 HD111338 (to CL). Sang-Choul's participation and the stopped-flow instrumentation were supported by R01-GM086596 and a Veteran's Administration Merit Review Grant.

## References

- 1 R. Bernhardt, The potential of targeting CYP11B, *Expert Opin. Ther. Targets*, 2016, **20**(8), 923–934.
- 2 M. C. Zennaro, S. Boulkroun and F. L. Fernandes-Rosa, Pathogenesis and treatment of primary aldosteronism, *Nat. Rev. Endocrinol.*, 2020, **16**(10), 578–589.
- 3 A. Buliman, L. G. Tataranu, D. L. Paun, A. Mirica and C. Dumitrache, Cushing's disease: a multidisciplinary overview of the clinical features, diagnosis, and treatment, *J. Med. Life*, 2016, **9**(1), 12–18.
- 4 S. Brixius-Anderko and E. E. Scott, Aldosterone Synthase Structure With Cushing Disease Drug LCI699 Highlights Avenues for Selective CYP11B Drug Design, *Hypertension*, 2021, **78**(3), 751–759.
- 5 J. Valentín-Goyco, J. Liu, H. M. Peng, J. Oommen and R. J. Auchus, Selectivity of Osilodrostat as an Inhibitor of Human Steroidogenic Cytochromes P450, *J. Steroid Biochem. Mol. Biol.*, 2023, 106316.
- 6 C. L. Loomis, S. Brixius-Anderko and E. E. Scott, Redox partner adrenodoxin alters cytochrome P450 11B1 ligand binding and inhibition, *J. Inorg. Biochem.*, 2022, **235**, 111934.
- 7 D. F. Estrada, The cytochrome P450 24A1 interaction with adrenodoxin relies on multiple recognition sites that vary among species. The, *J. Biol. Chem.*, 2018, **293**(11), 4167–4179.
- 8 A. Kumar and D. F. Estrada, Specificity of the Redox Complex between Cytochrome P450 24A1 and Adrenodoxin Relies on Carbon-25 Hydroxylation of Vitamin-D Substrate, *Drug Metab. Dispos.*, 2019, **47**(9), 974–982.
- 9 A. Kumar, P. R. Wilderman, C. J. Tu, S. C. Shen, J. Qu and D. F. Estrada, Evidence of Allosteric Coupling between Substrate Binding and Adx Recognition in the Vitamin D Carbon-24 Hydroxylase CYP24A1, *Biochemistry*, 2020, **59**(15), 1537–1548.
- 10 S. Brixius-Anderko and E. E. Scott, Structural and functional insights into aldosterone synthase interaction with its redox partner protein adrenodoxin, *J. Biol. Chem.*, 2021, 100794.
- 11 S. M. Glass, S. N. Webb and F. P. Guengerich, Binding of cytochrome P450 27C1, a retinoid desaturase, to its accessory protein adrenodoxin, *Arch. Biochem. Biophys.*, 2021, **714**, 109076.
- 12 E. O. Yablokov, T. A. Sushko, L. A. Kaluzhskiy, A. A. Kavaleuski, Y. V. Mezentsev and P. V. Ershov, *et al.*, Substrate-induced modulation of protein-protein interactions within human mitochondrial cytochrome P450-dependent system, *J. Steroid Biochem. Mol. Biol.*, 2020, 105793.
- 13 S. A. Child, M. J. Reddish, S. M. Glass, M. H. Goldfarb, I. R. Barckhausen and F. P. Guengerich, Functional interactions of adrenodoxin with several human mitochondrial cytochrome P450 enzymes, *Arch. Biochem. Biophys.*, 2020, **694**, 108596.
- 14 J. D. Lambeth and S. Kriengsiri, Cytochrome P-450<sub>scd</sub>-adrenodoxin interactions. Ionic effects on binding, and regulation of cytochrome reduction by bound steroid substrates, *J. Biol. Chem.*, 1985, **260**(15), 8810–8816.
- 15 Q. Zhu, P. J. Mak, R. C. Tuckey and J. R. Kincaid, Active Site Structures of CYP11A1 in the Presence of Its Physiological Substrates and Alterations upon Binding of Adrenodoxin, *Biochemistry*, 2017, **56**(43), 5786–5797.
- 16 K. M. Curnow, M. T. Tusie-Luna, L. Pascoe, R. Natarajan, J. L. Gu and J. L. Nadler, *et al.*, The product of the CYP11B2 gene is required for aldosterone biosynthesis in the human adrenal cortex, *Mol. Endocrinol.*, 1991, **5**(10), 1513–1522.
- 17 C. Duparc, P. Camponova, M. Roy, H. Lefebvre and M. Thomas, Ectopic localization of CYP11B1 and CYP11B2-expressing cells in the normal human adrenal gland, *PLoS One*, 2022, **17**(12), e0279682.
- 18 N. Strushkevich, F. MacKenzie, T. Cherkesova, I. Grabovec, S. Usanov and H. W. Park, Structural basis for pregnenolone biosynthesis by the mitochondrial monooxygenase system, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2011, **108**(25), 10139–10143.
- 19 N. Strushkevich, A. A. Gilep, L. Shen, C. H. Arrowsmith, A. M. Edwards and S. A. Usanov, *et al.*, Structural insights into aldosterone synthase substrate specificity and targeted inhibition, *Mol. Endocrinol.*, 2013, **27**(2), 315–324.



- 20 P. Hlavica, Key regulators in the architecture of substrate access/egress channels in mammalian cytochromes P450 governing flexibility in substrate oxyfunctionalization, *J. Inorg. Biochem.*, 2023, **241**, 112150.
- 21 S. Brixius-Anderko and E. E. Scott, Structure of human cortisol-producing cytochrome P450 11B1 bound to the breast cancer drug fadrozole provides insights for drug design. The, *J. Biol. Chem.*, 2019, **294**(2), 453–460.
- 22 F. P. Guengerich, C. J. Wilkey, S. M. Glass and M. J. Reddish, Conformational selection dominates binding of steroids to human cytochrome P450 17A1. The, *J. Biol. Chem.*, 2019, **294**(26), 10028–10041.
- 23 F. P. Guengerich, C. J. Wilkey and T. T. N. Phan, Human cytochrome P450 enzymes bind drugs and other substrates mainly through conformational-selection modes. The, *J. Biol. Chem.*, 2019, **294**(28), 10928–10941.
- 24 F. Zarate-Perez and J. C. Hackett, Conformational selection is present in ligand binding to cytochrome P450 19A1 lipoprotein nanodiscs, *J. Inorg. Biochem.*, 2020, **209**, 111120.
- 25 D. F. Estrada, A. L. Skinner, J. S. Laurence and E. E. Scott, Human Cytochrome P450 17A1 Conformational Selection. The, *J. Biol. Chem.*, 2014, **289**(20), 14310–14320.
- 26 W. R. Arnold, J. L. Baylon, E. Tajkhorshid and A. Das, Asymmetric Binding and Metabolism of Polyunsaturated Fatty Acids (PUFAs) by CYP2J2 Epoxygenase, *Biochemistry*, 2016, **55**(50), 6969–6980.
- 27 B. OuYang, S. S. Pochapsky, M. Dang and T. C. Pochapsky, A Functional Proline Switch in Cytochrome P450cam, *Structure*, 2008, **16**(6), 916–923.
- 28 A. H. Follmer, S. Tripathi and T. L. Poulos, Ligand and Redox Partner Binding Generates a New Conformational State in Cytochrome P450cam (CYP101A1), *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2019, **141**(6), 2678–2683.
- 29 M. Unno, J. F. Christian, D. E. Benson, N. C. Gerber, S. G. Sligar and P. M. Champion, Resonance Raman Investigations of Cytochrome P450cam Complexed with Putidaredoxin, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1997, **119**(28), 6614–6620.
- 30 W. K. Myers, Y. T. Lee, R. D. Britt and D. B. Goodin, The conformation of P450cam in complex with putidaredoxin is dependent on oxidation state, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2013, **135**(32), 11732–11735.
- 31 T. L. Poulos and A. H. Follmer, Updating the Paradigm: Redox Partner Binding and Conformational Dynamics in Cytochromes P450, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2022, **55**(3), 373–380.

