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Polyhydroxyalkanoates (PHAs) are aliphatic polyesters produced via bacterial fermentation of sugars and fatty acids and are considered to be a promising material to aid in mitigating plastic pollution due to their universal biodegradability. With an expected 12 billion metric tons of plastic to accumulate within landfills and ecosystems by 2050, this mitigation is desperately needed. The major bottleneck in the commercial feasibility of PHAs is the high cost compared to their non-biodegradable synthetic counterparts currently predominant throughout the packaging and single-use goods industry, with PHAs costing 3–12× more than traditional plastics. With approximately 50% of the production costs attributed to the fermentation substrate, a significant opportunity exists to dramatically cut the cost of PHA production through the use of a low or no-cost substrate. These biodegradable polymers can be sustainably produced from a variety of waste materials. Lignocellulosic biomass is the most abundant natural material on earth and can potentially be used for this purpose. This review includes an overview of the PHA production process such as metabolic pathways, reactor configurations, and pre-treatments for lignocellulosic biomass. The results from various studies using lignocellulosic biomass for PHA production were compared. Finally, potential drawbacks and opportunities are discussed for the viability of integrating the sustainable production of PHAs into the global circular economy. This work satisfies the UN’s sustainability goal of responsible consumption and production (Sustainable Development Goal 12).

Sustainability spotlight

Biodegradable polymers have the potential to alleviate a significant strain on the environment inflicted by synthetic, non-biodegradable options. The polyhydroxyalkanoate (PHA) family of polymers potentially have a key role to play in this advancement due to their universal biodegradability but are hindered by their high cost of production and poor mechanical properties. This review examines the current state of research being conducted on producing PHAs in a cost-effective manner through utilizing lignocellulosic biomass. By pre-treating biomass such as farm residue and food processing waste, these abundant resources can dramatically reduce the cost of PHA production as a low-cost feedstock. This work aligns with the UN sustainability goal SDG 12 “Responsible consumption and production”.

1 Introduction

Since their widespread adoption in the 1950s, plastics have revolutionized materials used in everyday life. While the effective use of plastic has lowered the cost of many products, there is a growing concern regarding the negative impacts that increased plastic production and improper disposal are having, and will continue to have, on the environment. Immediate environmental problems and those looming in the near future have caused industry and academia alike to innovate and develop solutions.

Since the 1950s, global plastic production has grown at an average rate of approximately 9% per year, with expected global production reaching 540 million metric tonnes by 2040. Despite this significant production growth, it is believed that a meagre 14% of plastic produced annually is effectively recycled. Because synthetic polymers do not biodegrade, these non-recycled plastics will remain in landfills or the environment for several hundred years. With the continuation of current trends, it is expected that by 2050, about 12 billion metric tons of plastic waste would accumulate in landfills or the natural environment, and GHG emissions from plastics would account for 15% of the global carbon budget.
Once in the natural environment, plastics either do not break down at all or will break down extremely slowly, releasing toxic chemicals and microplastics into the environment. This can cause immediate injury to wildlife in the form of a choking hazard or a more passive manner by allowing these by-products to permeate into their bodies. This has widespread impacts on the food chain, as these compounds will not break down within the body but continuously travel up the food chain instead. Recent studies by Ragusa et al. have detected microplastics in both human placenta and breast milk, highlighting the urgent nature of this issue. These accumulating hazards have encouraged the development of biopolymers, capable of biodegrading in various environments. Bio-based polymers are polymers that are produced by a naturally renewable resource. These are a subcategory of sustainable polymers and can be produced through the utilization of plants, vegetable oils, microbial metabolism, and more.

Polyhydroxyalkanoates (PHAs) are among the most popular bio-based polymers as they can be produced by a variety of microbes under growth-limited conditions and are fully degradable in both soil and marine environments. This biodegradability makes them an attractive option for replacing common petroleum-based polymers such as polyethylene (PE), polyethylene terephthalate (PET) and polypropylene (PP). This is particularly the case in many single-use applications such as cutlery and packaging. However, the major limitations of the currently produced PHAs, especially short-chain PHAs, are their poor mechanical properties and their high production costs. While the mechanical properties can be improved via producing medium chain PHAs and polymer blending, the high production cost is of greater concern. Currently, PHAs are produced from the fermentation of sugars and fatty acids extracted from food crops, such as corn starch, sugarcane, and vegetable oil. The feedstock cost itself accounts for more than 50% of the production cost. To combat this, abundant and low or zero-cost lignocellulosic biomass, such as agricultural residues, forest residues, agro-food processing waste, and...
dedicated energy crops, can be used as a carbon source for PHA production.

There is a vast abundance of these lignocellulosic feedstocks available for use and this has led to the development of various technologies to use these feedstocks in a variety of applications such as energy, biorefinery, and value-added material. Lignocellulosic feedstocks are mainly composed of carbohydrates (cellulose and hemicellulose) and lignin. Cellulose is the most abundant biopolymer on earth while lignin is ranked second. In addition to conventional lignocellulosic feedstocks, food waste generated in processing facilities, farms, restaurants, and households, is another high potential feedstock for PHA production. In fact, it is estimated that approximately one-third of food produced worldwide is wasted. This would allow for the sustainable production of biodegradable polymer products with no impact on the global food demand. Therefore, the use of food waste for PHA production would not impact the human food supply chain in any capacity. Sustainable production of consumer products must be a key focus for the polymer industry moving forward to prevent the depletion of critical natural resources.

Although lignocellulosic feedstocks are promising alternatives to currently used food crops for PHA production, several challenges exist in terms of low PHA yields, need of pretreatment, inhibition during fermentation, and downstream recovery of PHAs. This review presents a detailed discussion on several important topics, such as reactor configurations, microbial metabolic pathways, extraction methods, and lignocellulosic biomass pre-treatment strategies. Studies that have used various types of lignocellulosic biomass are discussed and the results are compared, with an emphasis on studies producing poly(3-hydroxybutyrate) PHB and poly(3-hydroxybutyrate-co-3-hydroxyvalerate) PHBV. A brief discussion on the techno-economic feasibility is also provided. Finally, some challenges and prospects are discussed.

2 Polyhydroxyalkanoates

Polyhydroxyalkanoates (PHAs) are bacterially produced aliphatic polyesters well known for safely biodegrading in any environment. They are made as energy storage molecules by microbes that accumulate within bacteria cytoplasm. The ability of PHAs to biodegrade in soil, compost, or water in a non-toxic manner has made them highly appealing for use as a replacement for synthetic polymers throughout various industries, particularly in packaging and other single-use products.25

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Classification</th>
<th>Polymer</th>
<th>Functional group</th>
<th>Acronym</th>
<th># of C.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Short chain</td>
<td>Poly(3-hydroxypropionate)</td>
<td>3HP</td>
<td>PHP</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Poly(3-hydroxybutyrate)</td>
<td>3HB</td>
<td>PHB</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Poly(3-hydroxyvalerate)</td>
<td>3HV</td>
<td>PHV</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Poly(3-hydroxybutyrate-co-3-hydroxyvalerate)</td>
<td>3HB/3HV</td>
<td>PHBV</td>
<td>4 and 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Medium chain</td>
<td>Poly(3-hydroxyhexanoate)</td>
<td>3HHx</td>
<td>PHHx</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Poly(3-hydroxyoctanoate)</td>
<td>3HO</td>
<td>PHO</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Poly(3-hydroxynonanoate)</td>
<td>3HN</td>
<td>PHN</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Poly(3-hydroxydecanoate)</td>
<td>3HD</td>
<td>PHD</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Poly(3-hydroxydodecanoate)</td>
<td>3HDD</td>
<td>PHDD</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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2.1 Classification of PHAs

Defined by the carbon chain length of the 3-hydroxylkanoate monomer, over 100 types of PHA monomers have been identified to date, allowing for several thousand potential co-polymer combinations. PHAs are classified as either short chain length (scl; 4–5 carbon atoms), medium chain length (mcl; 6–14 carbon atoms), or long chain length (lcl; 15+ carbon atoms). PHAs can also exist as copolymers, consisting of both mcl- and scl-PHAs, and having superior properties compared to homopolymers. The most widely used are those of the scl-variety, poly(3-hydroxybutyrate) (PHB) and poly(3-hydroxyvalerate) (PHV), as well as their co-polymer poly(3-hydroxybutyrate-co-3-hydroxyvalerate) (PHBV). Table 1 lists common PHAs and their respective C chain length. Fig. 1 shows the general monomer structure for PHAs. This review will focus on scl-PHAs as they are the most prevalent throughout industrial production and are more widely available.

2.2 Synthesis of PHAs

It is essential first to understand the fundamentals of PHA synthesis. PHAs can be produced in three ways: fermentation of sugars and fatty acids by microbes, synthesis within genetically modified plants, and enzymatic catalysis. Of these options, fermentation has been determined to be the most cost-effective and reliable approach for PHA synthesis mainly because of relatively high PHA yields. Common substrates for the synthesis of PHAs include simple sugars and oils, such as glucose and glycerol. Table 2 contains several studies showing the potential yields using these high purity substrates. A staggering 300 bacterial species have been reported to be capable of producing PHAs, in both aerobic and anaerobic environments and extreme locales. PHAs have been formed by both Gram-positive and Gram-negative species. The most common varieties researched include Bacillus megaterium, Ralstonia eutropha, Cupriavidus necator, and Pseudomonas putida, along with others from the genera Azotobacter, Syntrophomonas, Aeromonas, and

![Fig. 1 General structure of PHA monomer. R represents where a functional group extends the polymer depending on the total chain length.](Image)

Table 2  Studies utilizing common PHA feedstocks and their potential yields

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Substrate</th>
<th>Microorganism</th>
<th>PHA g L(^{-1})</th>
<th>PHA yield (%)</th>
<th>Ref</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Glucose</td>
<td>Bacillus sp</td>
<td>3.09</td>
<td>–</td>
<td>41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose</td>
<td>C. necator DSM 545</td>
<td>112</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glucose</td>
<td>H. venusta KT832796</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crude glycerol</td>
<td>Alphaproteobacteria</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glycerol</td>
<td>Z. denitrificans MW1</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

![Fig. 2 Potential lifecycle of PHAs through utilization of lignocellulosic waste as feedstock.](Image)
Bacterial strains are typically sorted into two categories, those which require a nutrient-deficient environment during the stationary phase of fermentation or those that produce PHAs during the growth phase with a surplus of nutrients available. Bacteria that require a nutrient-deficient environment include *E. coli* and *A. latus*. A growing body of work exists that utilizes recombinant or genetically engineered bacteria for fermentation. A popular choice for these genetic modification studies is *E. coli* due to its ease of being modified, which is a front-runner for widespread industry adoption. Bacterial strains can be modified to suit a variety of different purposes, such as improved substrate utilization, altered metabolic pathways, and gene expression modification. These various modifications can transform *E. coli* into potent and productive producers for the PHA industry. The proposed lifecycle for the sustainable production of PHAs can be found in Fig. 2. Within this lifecycle, waste lignocellulosic biomass from a variety of sources can be utilized and subsequently pretreated, fermented, and processed into PHA products. Upon disposal, ideally to municipal industrial composting facilities, the PHAs can then degrade and be integrated with the created compost and be used to enrich soil which assists in growing further lignocellulosic biomass. In the event the PHAs are improperly disposed of into the natural environment, the universal biodegradability of the products will help to minimize the environmental impact of that waste.

### 2.2.1 Metabolic processes

PHA accumulates in small granules within the cytoplasm of bacteria, in particles ranging from 0.2–0.5 μm. Three metabolic pathways exist by which bacteria can synthesize PHAs. The path the metabolites take can branch depending on the feedstock or bacterial strain used in the process. A significantly more detailed exploration of the metabolic pathways used for PHA production can be found in a recent review conducted by Choi et al.

#### 2.2.1.1 Pathway I

The first pathway is for the synthesis of scl-PHAs. Sugar, fatty acid, or amino acid molecules are converted to two acetyl-CoA molecules, followed by two hydroxybutyryl-CoA molecules by β-ketoacyl-CoA thiolase (*PhaA*) and acetoacetyl-CoA reductase (*PhaB*), which are subsequently polymerized into PHP by P(3HB) polymerase (*PhaCm*) via a condensation reaction. Common scl-PHAs created in this fashion are PHB, PH4B, PHP, and PHBP. The addition of propionic acid can be used to facilitate the production of co-polymer PHPB, as the propionyl-CoA formed via this same pathway is the precursor to 3-hydroxyvalerate.

#### 2.2.1.2 Pathway II

The second pathway relies on the degradation of fatty acid molecules via β-oxidation to create mcl-PHAs. During this process, fatty acids are converted to enoyl-CoA, then to R-3-hydroxyacyl-CoA by R-3-hydroxyacyl-CoA hydratase, which is finally formed into an mcl-PHA by mcl-PHA synthase (*PhaCmcl*). Mcl-PHAs formed in this manner generally include PHHx, PHO, and PHN.

#### 2.2.1.3 Pathway III

The third pathway for PHA synthesis can utilize either R-3-hydroxyacyl-ACP from in situ fatty acid synthesis or R-3-hydroxyacyl-CoA from β-oxidation. R-3-hydroxyacyl-ACP is converted to R-3-hydroxyacyl-CoA via enzyme PhaG and made available to PhaC for polymerization into various mcl-PHAs depending on the substrate provided to the culture.

### 2.2.2 Nutrient limitation

As previously stated, most PHA-producing bacteria require a nutrient-deficient environment. Various nutrients have vital roles in cellular growth, metabolism, and PHA production. PHAs are synthesized by bacteria as an energy storage molecule, which will only be done during a state of excess energy (carbon source) while being deficient in the means for cellular growth (nitrogen, phosphorous). To do this, nutrient-deficient conditions are often created in a two-step fermentation process; the growth phase and the production or PHA accumulation phase. The growth phase allows for maximal biomass production, followed by a reduction in nitrogen and/or phosphorus depending on the strain of bacteria used. Once enough biomass has been accumulated, the nitrogen and phosphorus feed rates are brought down to a minimum. Key molecules and structures such as ATP, DNA, and cell membranes require a steady supply of P and N nutrients, without them biomass cannot continue to grow. This state of nutrient deficiency ensures that all excess carbon is utilized for PHA synthesis instead of biomass growth and has been observed in various species to improve PHA productivity significantly, with many studies reporting a nearly 2–3 fold increase in PHA production once in a nutrient deficient state.

### 2.2.3 Fermentation configurations

The productivity of any fermentation process is highly dependent on the reactor configuration and strategy. The two main categories of fermentation are continuous and non-continuous. Non-continuous methods include batch and fed-batch configurations, while continuous can have either one or several steady-state reactors in series. Most lab scale studies are conducted with batch or fed-batch configurations, and many industrial-size applications are conducted with fed-batch or continuous setups.

#### 2.2.3.1 Batch

Batch cultivations are so named as they occur in discrete volumes and must be reset following each fermentation. All nutrients are added at the beginning of the operation, and all products are collected at the end. These reactor configuration styles tend to result in a low PHA yield as it is challenging to maintain optimal nutrient concentration for optimal polymer synthesis throughout fermentation. Additionally, it has been observed that the PHAs produced often suffer from degradation and are metabolized by the microbes towards the end of the fermentation as other carbon sources are depleted. It is unlikely that future production of PHAs on an industrial scale will utilize a batch-style configuration.

#### 2.2.3.2 Fed-batch

Fed-batch fermentations significantly improve upon traditional batch fermentations in several ways. The ability to modify the feed and nutrient rates during the process grants a significant amount of flexibility not afforded by the batch style. In a fed-batch system, PHA production occurs in two phases. The first is the growth phase, in which the biomass is grown to a desired level by providing all the required nutrients, such as carbohydrates, nitrogen, and phosphorus. The C source dramatically increases in the second phase while the N...
and P levels are reduced. It is possible to generate high biomass yields and promising carbon conversion efficiency using this method, giving fed-batch fermentations the possibility of being utilized at a greater scale. The major drawback of this reactor configuration is that they eventually need to be stopped, as repeatedly adding volume to a reactor of fixed size will eventually result in a full vessel. Stopping, resetting, and resuming a study increases the risk of contamination within the reactor.

2.2.3.3 Continuous. Continuous reactor configurations are so named as nutrients are continuously pumped in, and products are removed throughout fermentation. This is done at an equal rate, keeping the working volume of the reactor at a steady state the entire time. Furthermore, reactors can be placed in series such that the products of one reactor become the feedstock of the next in the system. These are known as multi-stage fermentations and have the potential to create very high PHA yields. In a typical two-stage setup, biomass accumulation occurs in the first reactor under normal conditions. The biomass is then moved at a specific rate to the second reactor, in which there are nutrient limitations. This drives the production of PHAs with great effectiveness. This concept can be used with a series of reactors, sometimes even as many as 5, as demonstrated by Atlíć et al. In this situation, the first reactor is used for biomass growth, and the subsequent 4 are for polymer synthesis. These continuous systems likely hold the most potential for industrial-scale production of PHAs due to their advantages by nature of being continuously operating. As the process continually runs, the requirement to entirely shut the system down for cleaning is much less frequent than in a batch or fed-batch system. This cuts the downtime of the system significantly. This also has the benefit of reducing the likelihood of microbial contamination.

2.2.4 Extraction methods. While the goal of PHA production is to accumulate as much polymer as possible, this is of no value unless most or all of it can be extracted efficiently and at high purity. This critical step of the process also has the ability to have the harshest impact on the environment, as the use of chemicals is widespread in the polymer manufacturing industries. There are two main methods to harvest PHAs from cells. The first is the use of solvents to dissolve the PHA directly and then precipitate them sequentially resulted in a yield of 90% while being one-third of the cost of using only bromelain. While this work used very specific enzymes, another study used a very different approach by utilizing a vast cocktail of enzymes produced by A. oryzae to purify PHBV.45 Kachrimanidou et al. were able to garner impressive yields with this setup, with a recovery yield of 98% and purity of 97%.68 While enzymes have been shown to have the potential to extract PHAs with high yield and great purity, the barrier to their widespread adoption is the high price, similar to PHAs themselves. Enzymes can add upwards of $10 per kg of PHA processed if purchased directly from a supplier, while the price of cultivating your own in-house such as Kachrimanidou et al. is unknown. Further work will need to be done with enzymes to understand their potential in PHA extraction and methods to bring down their production costs.

2.2.4.4 Animal recovery methods. New work has challenged the concept of needing chemicals in the extraction process, and instead looks to the animal kingdom for help by recruiting various mammals and insects. The main theory behind this method is that animals will be able to digest the cellular biomass produced during fermentation but not the PHA component stored within the cell. Therefore, when the cells are
consumed, the PHA is later excreted in the feces of the studied animal. The polymer then simply needs to be washed and heated to be ready for use. This has been done with both mealworm larvae and lab rats, with impressive results. Mealworm larvae feces, when simply rinsed with water, yielded a PHA purity of 89%. Lab rats showed similar positive results, with a purity of 89%. While this is an extremely interesting approach to PHA extraction, the biggest drawback of this method is the cost and scalability of sustaining the space needed for the animals used in this process. It is recommended that future work continues on this subject to further examine its potential and scalability.

2.3 Barriers to PHA adoption

Despite the attractive biodegradable properties of PHAs, they remain uncompetitive within wider industries. This can be attributed to poor mechanical performance and significantly higher costs than their synthetic counterparts. The mechanical downfalls can be compensated through strategies such as polymer blending and processing. While a proven effective method, this is beyond the scope of this review. Therefore, the most significant hurdle preventing the widespread adoption of PHAs throughout the industry is their higher cost. With synthetic plastic production costing approximately $1250 USD/Mt, PHA production falls between $4000 and $15000 USD/Mt. This wide gap in costs is prohibitive in the eyes of businesses that require pure cultures, as the substrate used will often be the limiting factor. The carbon substrate used as feedstock for the microbial cultures accounts for approximately 50% of the total cost. These high feedstock costs can be attributed to the use of pure glucose or sucrose as the energy source for bacterial cultures. In addition to the use of a pure substrate, sterile conditions are used mainly featuring only one species of bacteria. Additional efforts to reduce the cost of production include the use of mixed microbial cultures (MMCs) in non-sterile conditions. This maximizes yields and consistency, as the substrate used will often determine the specific PHA produced. A significant focus has been placed on using low or no-cost waste streams from various industries to serve as the carbon source for the production process while still producing a solid yield of high purity. Waste feedstocks can be generated from various sources within the agrifood industry, such as food processing facilities, farms, and animal by-products.

3 Lignocellulosic biomass

The 200 billion tonnes of lignocellulosic biomass produced each year globally makes it the most abundantly produced raw material on Earth and provides an incredible opportunity for use in value-added processes such as biofuels or sustainable materials. The primary makeup of lignocellulosic biomass has three main constituents being cellulose, hemicellulose, and lignin with a small fraction of inorganic ash. The chemical structure of the main components of concern, cellulose and lignin, are depicted in Fig. 3. The exact ratio of these components is highly dependent on the plant species, as woody species will have a higher lignin content. In contrast, leafy plants will be considerably lower in lignin content. However, the typical range falls within 40–50% cellulose, 20–40% hemicellulose, and 10–40% lignin. Table 3 presents the cellulose, hemicellulose, and lignin content of a variety of lignocellulosic biomass.

Despite their abundance, lignocellulosic feedstocks provide a key challenge in their processing as they are naturally recalcitrant to microbial degradation, mainly due to the lignin barrier. In addition to the physical barrier, lignin (Fig. 3b) contains an abundance of aromatic rings, which can result in the formation of various phenolic compounds. These compounds can often prevent PHA-producing microbes from successfully metabolizing other compounds, effectively preventing their growth and productivity. To counteract this, pre-treatments such as alkaline, enzymatic, or physicochemical are used to reduce the biomass recalcitrance and enhance the subsequent sugar recovery. The purpose of these pre-treatments is to partially remove lignin and hemicellulose, reduce the crystallinity of the remaining cellulose, and improve the material’s porosity so that it is more available for enzymatic hydrolysis by the microorganisms.

3.1 Pre-treatment methods

In the process of PHA production from lignocellulosic biomass, the carbohydrates are converted to sugar monomers using enzymes, which are subsequently fermented to PHAs using bacteria. However, due to a complex matrix of cellulose, hemicellulose and lignin (Fig. 4), lignocellulosic biomass is highly

Fig. 3 Chemical structure of two of the critical components of lignocellulosic biomass (a) cellulose and (b) lignin.
recalcitrant and need to undergo a pre-treatment process to achieve high sugar yields during the enzymatic hydrolysis process. Several pre-treatment technologies have been developed to break the recalcitrance, and make cellulose accessible to enzymes to produce sugars.

### 3.1.1 Mechanical
Mechanical or physical pre-treatment involves size reduction of the biomass to alter the physical structure, increase porosity, decrease the degree of polymerization, and increase the specific surface area of biomass to facilitate enzyme action during hydrolysis. While it depends on the type and duration of milling along with the type of biomass, increased hydrolysis yields of 5–25% have been observed following a size reduction operation. There is a point of diminishing returns, however, as a study by Chang and Holtzapple suggests there is little benefit to hydrolysis yield in a size reduction beyond 425 microns.

### 3.1.2 Hydrothermal pre-treatment
In the hydrothermal pre-treatment approach, biomass is heated to a point at which the hemicellulose and lignin components begin to solubilize, typically 160–220 °C. This is generally done with either hot liquid water or pressurized steam. During this process, a portion of the hemicellulose is hydrolyzed which then form acids, which subsequently assisting in the hydrolysis of further hemicellulose. As the hemicellulose solubilizes, so does the lignin. The by-products which can be made by this process include phenolic compounds which are toxic to many species of bacteria and has an inhibitory effect on their production. A study by Laser et al. found that exceeding 220 °C at 3% solid concentration completely halted fermentation as a result of the formation of these toxic lignin compounds. Therefore, thermal pre-treatments must be conducted with care to prevent the formation of these inhibitory compounds.

### 3.1.3 Acid pre-treatment
Acid pre-treatment is the most commonly used pre-treatment approach and has been found effective on a large number of feedstocks for enhancing hydrolysis efficiency. Although various acids, such as sulfuric acid, nitric acid, phosphoric acid, acetic acid, and hydrochloric acids, have been investigated, the dilute acid pre-treatment process is the most studied. During this process, lignocellulosic biomass is treated with dilute acid (0.05–5%) under high temperatures (160–220 °C) for a period of time (a few seconds to several hours). Acid treatments aim to solubilize most of the hemicellulose fraction of the biomass and breaking lignin–polysaccharide linkages, making the cellulose more available for microbial digestion. In an alternative approach, concentrated acids (strong acid pre-treatment) can be used at mild operating conditions. However, the use of strong acids suffers from the limitation of high maintenance cost of equipment due to corrosion. Also, in the presence of a strong acid, lignin will rapidly solubilize and subsequently precipitate, creating inhibitory compounds which prevent an effective fermentation. Another factor which must be considered is the effect of the pre-treatment chemical on the physical properties of the PHA produced. Kucera et al. observed

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Cellulose (%)</th>
<th>Hemicellulose (%)</th>
<th>Lignin (%)</th>
<th>Ref</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Rice husk</td>
<td>34.7</td>
<td>17.4</td>
<td>25.5</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apple</td>
<td>47.49</td>
<td>27.77</td>
<td>24.72</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grape</td>
<td>17.5</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>51.7</td>
<td>82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Coconut husk</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Barley hull</td>
<td>34.0</td>
<td>36.0</td>
<td>16.0</td>
<td>84</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mango peel</td>
<td>9.19</td>
<td>14.51</td>
<td>4.25</td>
<td>85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corn stover</td>
<td>37.6</td>
<td>21.5</td>
<td>19.1</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Corn stalk</td>
<td>34.5</td>
<td>27.6</td>
<td>21.8</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oak</td>
<td>43.2</td>
<td>21.9</td>
<td>35.4</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Banana peel</td>
<td>13.2</td>
<td>14.8</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Walnut shells</td>
<td>23.3</td>
<td>20.4</td>
<td>53.5</td>
<td>90</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3 Cellulose, hemicellulose, and lignin content of various lignocellulosic biomass types

Fig. 4 Depiction of the role that pre-treatment methods have in improving fermentation efficiency.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reactor configuration</th>
<th>Microorganism</th>
<th>Substrate</th>
<th>Pre-treatment method</th>
<th>PHA</th>
<th>CDW (g L(^{-1}))</th>
<th>PHA (g L(^{-1}))</th>
<th>PHA yield (%)</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Batch</td>
<td><em>P. chlororaphis</em> DSM 19603</td>
<td>Apple pulp</td>
<td>Thermal treatment</td>
<td>mcl-PHAs</td>
<td>8.74</td>
<td>4.30</td>
<td>49.25</td>
<td>112</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>E. coli</em> LSBJ</td>
<td>Brewer’s spent grain</td>
<td>Hydrothermal</td>
<td>PHB</td>
<td>7.49</td>
<td>2.39</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>P. cepacia</em> ATCC 17759</td>
<td>Aspen wood hydrolysate</td>
<td>Enzymatic treatment</td>
<td>PHB</td>
<td>2.59</td>
<td>1.55</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>114</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>R. eutropha</em> ATCC 17697</td>
<td>Pineapple peel</td>
<td>Acid hydrolysis</td>
<td>PHB/PHV</td>
<td>~1.8</td>
<td>3.56</td>
<td>109</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>C. necator</em> A-04</td>
<td>Pineapple core</td>
<td>Acid hydrolysis</td>
<td>PHB</td>
<td>5.88</td>
<td>2.09</td>
<td>115</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>H. halophila</em> CCM 3662</td>
<td>Saw dust (pine wood)</td>
<td>Acid treatment</td>
<td>PHB</td>
<td>2.14</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>H. campisalis</em> MCM B-1027</td>
<td>Banana peel</td>
<td>Drying + milling, aqueous extract</td>
<td>PHBV</td>
<td>0.70</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>21.5</td>
<td>116</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Orange peel</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>0.33</td>
<td>42.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Bagasse</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.77</td>
<td>0.36</td>
<td>47.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>B. subtilis</em> MTCC 144</td>
<td>Watermelon rind</td>
<td>Drying + milling, aqueous extract</td>
<td>PHB</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td>12.97</td>
<td>78.61</td>
<td>111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Papaya peel</td>
<td></td>
<td>15.0</td>
<td>11.65</td>
<td>77.67</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Orange peel</td>
<td></td>
<td>19.39</td>
<td>9.68</td>
<td>49.93</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>B. cepecia</em> (CCM 2656)</td>
<td>Brewer’s spent grain</td>
<td>Thermal treatment</td>
<td>PHB</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>105</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>Barillia</em> MG12</td>
<td>Sugarcane waste</td>
<td>Acid treatment</td>
<td>PHB</td>
<td>2.89</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>B. cereus</em></td>
<td>Water hyacinth</td>
<td>Mechanical treatment</td>
<td>PHB</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>H. halophila</em> CCM 3662</td>
<td>Grape pomace sugar extract</td>
<td>Enzymatic hydrolysis</td>
<td>PHB</td>
<td>3.1</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>57.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>H. organivorans</em> CCM 7142</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.9</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>55.4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>C. necator</em> H16 CCM 3726</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>47.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>C. necator</em> and <em>P. citronellolis</em></td>
<td>Apple pulp</td>
<td>Autoclave</td>
<td>PHB/mcl-PHA</td>
<td>5.51</td>
<td>1.85</td>
<td>33.6</td>
<td>118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fed-batch</td>
<td><em>C. necator</em> DSM 545</td>
<td>Corn stover liquor</td>
<td>Alkaline treatment</td>
<td>PHB</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>3.3</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>C. necator</em> DSM 545</td>
<td>Corn stover liquor</td>
<td>Alkaline treatment</td>
<td>PHB</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>119</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>B. sacchari</em> DSM17165</td>
<td>Wheat straw hydrolysate</td>
<td>Enzymatic treatment</td>
<td>PHB</td>
<td>100–140</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>15</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>H. mediterranei</em></td>
<td>Date waste</td>
<td>None</td>
<td>PHB</td>
<td>18.0</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>107</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>P. sacchari</em> IPT 101 LMG 19450</td>
<td>Hardwood hydrolysate</td>
<td>Enzymatic treatment</td>
<td>PHB</td>
<td>59.5</td>
<td>34.5</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>120</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td><em>P. putida</em> KT2440</td>
<td>Corn stover</td>
<td>Acid + alkali + enzymatic treatment</td>
<td>mcl-PHA</td>
<td>5.68</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>121</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-Stage fed batch</td>
<td>Mixed culture</td>
<td>Unspecified fruit waste</td>
<td>Acidogenic fermentation</td>
<td>PHBV</td>
<td>7.83</td>
<td>6.30</td>
<td>80.5</td>
<td>122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mixed culture</td>
<td>Apple pulp</td>
<td>Acidogenic fermentation</td>
<td>PHBV</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>9.79</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>123</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
a 6.2 °C higher crystallization temperature for PHB than usual in a pre-treated feedstock.100

3.1.4 Alkali pre-treatment. Alkaline pre-treatments of biomass have two major benefits. First, the addition of an alkali compound results in the biomass swelling up, providing more surface area available for enzymatic attack. Secondly, alkaline hydrolysis can remove end groups from large polysaccharide chains at high enough alkali concentrations, creating low molecular weight compounds for easy fermentation.101 Like strong acid solutions, strong alkali solutions can result in the creation of solubilized lignin compounds, hindering the microbes’ ability to conduct fermentation. In addition to this, it is possible for the biomass to absorb alkali salts, necessitating additional pre-treatments prior to fermentation and subsequently adding to the total processing costs.102

3.1.5 Oxidative pre-treatment. Another pre-treatment strategy is the use of oxidative compounds such as hydrogen peroxide. This is done with the goal of removing the lignin and hemicellulose biomass fractions while improving the accessibility of the cellulose fraction to the microbes. As the various biomass components cannot be selectively targeted, this process oxidizes lignin, hemicellulose, and cellulose alike.103 This creates a high risk for the formation of inhibitory compounds, as the oxidized lignin results in the creation of soluble aromatic compounds.104 While effective at the removal of hemicellulose and lignin, oxidative reactions are not an effective treatment on their own as significant cellulose losses occur due to non-selective oxidation in addition to the formation of inhibitory compounds.104

3.1.6 Combination treatments. It is extremely common for researchers to make use of a combination of pre-treatment methods to maximize the simple sugars created via hydrolysis while at the same time minimizing the creation of inhibitory compounds. Typically, these combination treatments will be a combination of a thermal treatment along with the addition of an acid, alkali, or enzymatic compound. The exact order in which this is conducted as well as the type and strength of the compound added is highly dependent on the exact biomass being treated.

3.2 Waste sources

Lignocellulosic waste is generated all over the world in vast quantities. The most common sources of these waste streams include farms and food processors, which generate both field waste as well as fruit residue. Examples of studies utilizing lignocellulosic waste can be found in Table 4.

3.2.1 Crop and field waste. Field waste is created from both cash crops and food crops, including matter such as stems, stalks, leaves, vines, and seeds left behind in a field following a harvest. Similarly, waste produced by a food processor will have a similar composition as these items are discarded prior to the food being prepared for human consumption. This can include both food crops and cash crops. While generally low in fermentable sugars initially, they can be pre-treated using a variety of methods to hydrolyze them into reducing sugars.

A recent study by Kucera et al. noted the effective use of acid hydrolysis on pine wood sawdust for the production of PHB.109 The resulting hydrolysates were comprised of mainly arabinose, xylose, and glucose, which were effectively metabolized by H. halophila. While creating a lower yield of PHB compared to a sugar-rich substrate such as molasses (1.00 g PHB/L and 2.57 g PHB/L, respectively), saw dust is an extremely cheap material which can be found abundantly in many industries nearly anywhere in the world.

However, these pre-treatment methods can come with an assortment of downfalls. Depending on the substrate used, various inhibitory compounds can be created as a result. This was demonstrated by Corchado-Lopo et al., where a wide assortment of pre-treatments were tested (acid, acid-thermal, acid-thermal + various washes) on brewers’ spent grain (BSG).105 While these treatments were able to increase the availability of sugars, they resulted in the creation of an assortment of phenolic inhibitory compounds from the solubilization of lignin such as vanillin, coumaric acid, and syringic acid. The highest PHB productivity (1.13 g L⁻¹) therefore came from the non-treated BSG by the bacteria B. cepacia. Similar results were observed by Thomas et al. (2021) where the PHB productivity from raw BSG hydrolysate (3.53 g L⁻¹) was found to be higher compared to hydrothermal pre-treated BSG hydrolysate (2.39 g L⁻¹) using E. coli LSBJ as the fermentative microbe.110

For the efficient production of PHBV, genetic modification is often conducted on the microbes to control the relevant metabolic pathways, adding complexity and cost to the operation. Moorkoth and Nampoothiri were able to produce an extremely high HV content (42%) PHBV co-polymer through the fermentation of sugarcane waste with the wild culture Bacillus MG12.111 Despite the low yield of PHBV (0.236 g L⁻¹) due to the inhibitory compounds such as furfural and formic acid found in the sugarcane waste, the ability to produce high HV content PHBV is noteworthy. The HV content can then be controlled via altering the concentration of propionic acid within the fermentation medium.

Cesário et al. used wheat straw hydrolysates for the production of PHB using B. sacchari in a fed-batch configuration, reaching up to 105 g PHB/L, overcoming carbon catabolite repression (CCR).112 The CCR is in essence when a single carbon source is extremely dominant that the microbes no longer consume the other sugars present. By providing a rich blend of other C5 sugars, the wheat hydrolysate is able to avoid this phenomena.

3.2.2 Fruit residue. Fruit residue takes many shapes and forms, including seeds, pulp, peels, and shells as a result of food processing. Compared to other types of agricultural waste, fruit waste has the benefit of more readily accessible sugars for microbes to immediately ferment. This lessens the need for extreme pre-treatment methods, such as in a study by Alsafadi et al.113 Date fruit waste was used with no hydrolysis pre-treatment used prior to fermentation, and was able to yield 4.5 g PHBV/L.114 No trace element supplement solution was provided in this trial as it was found that the date waste provided enough for the H. mediterranei, which can help further reduce the cost of production.
A variety of other fruit wastes are popular for PHA synthesis, namely apple pomace, pineapple residues, and grape pomace. This is highly geographically dependent and varies based on local production volumes. Common forms of waste from these fruits include peels and pomace as a result of pressing processes. The microbial species *R. eutropha* ATCC 17697 has been demonstrably capable of producing PHV from pineapple peel residue hydrolysate, with over 60% of PHVs produced being of the PHV variety. Similarly, grape pomace has shown potential which could prove extremely beneficial for wine producing regions. Kovalcik et al. utilized grape pomace (comprised of skins, seeds, and stalks) and extracted the glucose via enzymatic hydrolysis. Using three species of bacteria *H. halophila*, *H. organivorans*, and *C. necator* they were able to average 1.9 g PHB/L, compared to an average of 4.4 g PHB/L when using pure glucose.

Other promising substrates include an extract from various fruit peels such as watermelon, papaya, and orange. Rao et al. was able to achieve a PHA% yield of 79, 78, and 50 from each of these substrates, respectively. In this study, the authors noted that a compound commonly found within the peels of citrus fruits, ligand CID ID 10621, binds with the protein citrate synthase, effectively blocking the tricarboxylic acid (TCA) cycle, a metabolic pathway. This results in a greater abundance of acetyl-CoA, a precursor required for PHA synthesis. This demonstrates the need for consideration to be given to the various compounds and by-products created by these substrates, as they can have either a beneficial or hindering effect on PHA production.

4 Critical review and opportunities

The vast quantity of lignocellulosic biomass available worldwide makes it a promising substrate for low-cost PHA production, however, several challenges remain to address before the process could be a commercial success. Similar to the case of lignocellulosic biofuel biorefineries, biomass heterogeneity and compositional variation could be a challenge. As a naturally grown material, the quality and composition of the biomass can dramatically change throughout different stages of ripeness, seasons, or processing conditions. This inconsistency can create challenges for PHAs producers who have historically desired a 100% pure substrate to improve the consistency of the PHA produced. Methods to maintain the year-round viability of agricultural waste as a substrate for PHA synthesis will need to be determined to encourage investment in such a process.

As discussed in the previous sections, pre-treatment is a critical step in the lignocellulosic biorefineries to achieve high sugar yields during enzymatic hydrolysis. The high cost of reactors and energy required during biomass pre-treatment could contribute significantly to overall process sustainability. Although no study has compared the economics of various biomass pre-treatment technologies during lignocellulosic biomass to PHA production, based on lignocellulosic ethanol refineries studies it can be predicted that the choice of pre-treatment technology should play a critical role in the economic feasibility of the process. The choice of pretreatment is also critical from the process efficiency point of view as the high-severity pretreatments could lead to higher sugar yields during the enzymatic hydrolysis, however, might result in lower fermentation yields due to the formation of inhibitory compounds. Extensive work will need to be conducted to determine the inhibitory compounds produced during pre-treatment processes on the specific substrate being used. This should coincide with future genetic modification work, where microbes can be tailored to either be unaffected by these compounds or find a way to productively utilize them instead. This will turn one of the greatest drawbacks of lignocellulosic biomass pre-treatment into a benefit and allow for greater scalability to the industrial level.

Another major area of research focus is the downstream recovery of the PHAs from fermentation broth. The PHA yield from a waste substrate is oftentimes lower than that from a pure source. This makes the subsequent extraction process more difficult and resource intensive. This challenge could be addressed by either increasing the fermentation titers or using advanced separation technologies. Most of the microbial strains have lower PHA yields from the fermentation of C5 sugars (from hemicellulose) compared to glucose fermentation. The development of genetically engineered strains that can efficiently ferment both C5 and C6 sugars could improve the PHB titers which would reduce the downstream recovery cost and energy, and ultimately reduce the overall PHA production cost. Furthermore, there is a need for the further development of green methods for both pre-treatment of biomass and extraction of PHAs. The biodegradable, environmentally friendly nature of PHAs is quickly undone if the means of their production require a vast array of harsh chemicals.

Other areas in which the cost of production can be reduced is through the use of open, mixed cultures, as fewer resources will be needed to guarantee a sterile environment. This will have the dual benefit of lowering the cost while also making production more accessible.

5 Commercial-scale economic feasibility

As the lignocellulosic biomass-based PHA production processes are at an early stage of development and mainly investigated at the lab scale only, techno-economic analysis (TEA) using process simulation models can provide an insight into the commercial-scale technical and economic feasibility of the process and help in identifying the hotspots (cost and/or energy-intensive unit operations).

In the conventional PHB production from pure sugars, the cost of feedstock (pure sugars) accounts for a major fraction. Manikandan et al. (2021) conducted a TEA of PHB production from carob pods using a closed-loop biorefinery approach. In the PHA production process, biomass was treated with methanol to recover lignin and the treated biomass was further processed to extract glucose and fructose, which were subsequently fermented using *Ralstonia eutropha*. A process model was developed in Aspen Plus to simulate 5000 m³-scale bioreactor with 96 batches per year. Due to the high cost of pure...
sugars, the feedstock cost accounted for 67% of the operational costs, whereas, in the case of carob pods biorefinery, the feedstock cost accounted only for 14–16%. Due to these lower feedstock costs, the use of biomass hydrolysate as a replacement for pure sugars for PHA production reduced the pay-out period from 12.6 to 6.8 years. The capital investment of the refinery was estimated $418 million. Rajendran and Han (2022) conducted a TEA of co-production of PHA and biofuel (ethanol, biohydrogen, and 2,3-butanediol) from food waste at a processing scale of 50 MT per day. The process was found profitable, with a capital investment of $42.8 million and a minimum selling price of PHA to be $4.83 per kg. From the process simulations under various operating conditions, it was observed that the minimum selling price of PHA could further be reduced to $2.41 per kg by performing hydrolysis at high-solids (30%).

Biomass dewatering (separation of cells from fermentation broths) during the PHB recovery process is always considered an energy and cost-intensive step. Manikandan et al. (2021) compared the economics of conventional centrifugation and novel ceramic membranes for biomass dewatering. The capital cost of the ceramic membranes was only $0.15 million compared to $26 million for the centrifuge, and the power requirement was also found to be 75% lower, resulting in cost-efficient PHB production. Pavan et al. (2019) performed a comprehensive techno-economic analysis for a PHB production facility (2000 tonnes per year) from citric molasses using C. necator DSM 545. Among various process options (mainly differed in the downstream recovery of PHA), the minimum capital investment required was an estimated $33.12 million. Correspondingly, the PHB production cost was estimated to be $4.28 per kg. It was observed that even with the similar PHB extraction efficiencies among various downstream recovery options, the PHB production cost was different due to the difference in the associated equipment purchase cost and utilities used. The study also reported that the production cost could be further reduced by increasing the production scale. At 10,000 tonnes per year production scale, the PHB production cost was found $2.37 per kg.

As fermentation is the heart of the overall PHB production process, the selection of a bioreactor/fermenter can affect the process economics. Manikandan et al. (2021) compared the economics for two types of bioreactors for fermentation: stirred tank bioreactor (STBR) and annular bioreactor (ABR). Although the capital cost ($49.69 vs. $44.96 million) and operating cost ($458.33 vs. $229.17 million) was relatively higher compared to the STBR, the fermentation titers were also significantly higher (70.8 vs. 44.2 g L⁻¹) that led to high yields of PHB production (30,267 vs. 18,895 tonnes per year) and lower downstream recovery costs.

Although, TEA studies on lignocellulosic biomass-based bioplastics are scarce at this point, process models and TEA could play a significant role in understanding the commercial feasibility and guiding the research to improve process sustainability. From the studies discussed above, it can be concluded that the downstream recovery process, type of bioreactor, and production scale are critical components in deciding the economic viability of PHA production from lignocellulosic biomass.

6 Conclusions

This manuscript has described the means by which PHAs are synthesized in both commercial and laboratory environments and the various synthesis pathways used by microbes for the creation of PHAs. Common pre-treatment strategies for lignocellulosic biomass along with their benefits and drawbacks were discussed, with a review of the current literature regarding the use of this biomass for PHA synthesis. Based on this study, several areas of focus for future works have been put forward.

Despite challenges, the efficient creation of PHAs from lignocellulosic biomass waste streams shows significant potential to help dramatically reduce the cost of PHA production. This sustainable production strategy for biodegradable polymers will help to reduce both the cost and environmental impact of polymer products, such as the forecasted 15% of global greenhouse gas emissions being attributed to polymers by 2050. It is the opinion of the authors that investment into discovering additional cost-effective methods of PHA production is a worthy endeavor to tackle the ever-growing issue of plastic pollution. The use of low-cost lignocellulosic waste will help progress towards the UN sustainability goal of responsible consumption and production (SDG 12) by encouraging the development of a circular economy by providing a greater value to the producers of these wastes such as farmers and food processors.
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