Water electrolysis is a promising and environmentally friendly means for renewable energy storage. Recent progress in the development of anion exchange membranes (AEMs) has provided new perspectives for high-performance anode catalysts based on transition metal oxides (TMOs) for the sluggish anodic oxygen evolution reaction (OER). Here, we report on core–shell nanoparticles (Fe3O4@CoFe2O4) which allow combining an electrocatalytic shell (CoFe2O4) with a conductive core (Fe3O4). Such an original approach significantly minimizes critical Co content in the catalyst and avoids addition of unstable conductive carbon black. The core–shell nanoparticles outperform Co3O4 and Fe2O3@Fe3O4 nanoparticles and show an exceptional OER activity per Co unit mass (2800 \( \text{A g}^{-1} \)) at 1.65 V vs. RHE, suggesting synergistic interaction between the core and the shell. Along with the core–shell structure, the size of the Fe3O4 core is a critical parameter, with a large conductive Fe3O4 core being beneficial for OER enhancement.

Water electrolysis provides an environmentally friendly way to store renewable energies through H2 production. While proton exchange membrane water electrolysis is well suited to renewable energy storage, it relies on the utilization of scarce and expensive iridium (Ir) to accelerate the sluggish kinetics of the anodic oxygen evolution reaction (OER). Thanks to the recent progress in the development of anion-exchange membranes (AEMs), transition metal oxides (TMOs) appear as promising substitutes for noble metals. Various approaches have been proposed to enhance their OER activity, notably varying the composition, particle size, and defect concentration, or, recently, forming core–shell structures.

Owing to their composition-dependent and widely tunable properties, TMOs with spinel structures appear very attractive for various energy-related applications. While Co3O4 and CoOOH thin films deposited on an Au(111) substrate have demonstrated significant surface-weighted OER activity, core–shell nanoparticles (NPs) combining a gold core and a TMO shell reached 10 000 A gTM−1 at 1.65 V vs. RHE. According to the authors, such a high OER activity of TMOs may result from an electronic effect of the gold core that favors the oxidation of the TM up to the 4+ state. The use of core–shell nanoparticles might be an efficient way to reduce the amount of expensive and rare electrocatalysts by circumscribing them to a thin shell, but the exclusive use of cost-effective and abundant materials is the next challenge for the worldwide dissemination of water electrolysis.

TMO NPs are of significant interest since the catalytic activity can be greatly enhanced by adapting their size and shape, i.e. the active surface area. The valence of the metallic cations and their chemical environment are also critical to enhancing the OER activity. Beyond the fine control of these parameters, recent advances in nanoparticle synthesis in liquid media avoid aggregation, thus also favoring high surface area, i.e. enhanced mass-weighted catalytic activity. Consequently, the challenge lies in controlling the formation and the chemical structure of NPs in order to systematically study their electrochemical properties.

Although most TMOs are insulating, magnetite (Fe3O4) is conductive due to electron hopping between Fe(II) and Fe(III). Therefore, it may be considered a promising support material to avoid carbon black, which is often added to circumvent the lack of conductivity of TMO catalysts, but is unstable under anode
operation conditions. Furthermore, iron cations in TMO phases may result in synergistic effects with the surrounding atoms, thus enhancing the OER. Hence, nanostructures combining Fe₃O₄ as a conductive core and a TMO as a catalyst shell would be promising high performance noble metal-free OER catalysts.

Here we report an original approach to design a new type of carbon-free, noble-metal-free nanostructured material for the OER. The core–shell structure of Fe₃O₄@CoFe₂O₄ NPs allows combining a conductive magnetite core and a catalytically active cobalt ferrite shell. Co being classified as a critical raw material by the European Union, such a design of NPs with a core–shell structure efficiently contributes to reducing the amount of Co used for the OER. Such a cost-effective material resulted in an excellent OER activity per unit mass of Co. The fine control of the core size and the shell thickness led us to investigate the influence of the core–shell structure on the OER activity which is markedly enhanced by a larger Fe₃O₄ core.

Core–shell NPs were synthesized by the thermal decomposition of metal complexes in high-temperature-boiling solvents (around 300 °C) as we recently reported (see the ESI† for details). Two different approaches were applied: (i) the seed-mediated growth of a CoFe₂O₄ shell onto the surface of pristine Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles which led to samples CS-1, CS-3, and CS-4. (ii) The diffusion of cobalt cations into vacancies at the surface of partially oxidized pristine Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles which led to sample CS-2. The core size was varied by adjusting the experimental conditions upon synthesis of Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles as we reported earlier. The shell thickness was modified by adjusting the amount of Co and Fe metal complexes with a Co:Fe molar ratio of 0.5. An increase in the amount of Co and Fe complexes by 2.5 from CS-3 to CS-4 resulted in a two-fold shell volume increase which agrees with the larger size of pristine Fe₃O₄ nanoparticles used for CS-4. The transmission electron microscopy (TEM) images showed faceted-spherical shapes and narrow size distributions both for pristine and for core–shell NPs (Fig. 1). Granulometry measurements showed stable colloidal suspensions of non-aggregated NPs thanks to the efficient coating of oleic acid which was added to the reaction medium (Fig. S3†).

Energy-dispersive X-ray (EDX) spectroscopy confirmed the presence of Co between 12 and 19 at% with respect to Fe in core–shell NPs (Table S1†). For CS-1, CS-2, and CS-3, these values are much higher than those corresponding to the size variation between pristine and core–shell NPs (Table S1†) which is indicative of the diffusion of cobalt in the Fe₃O₄ core as we have reported earlier. X-ray diffraction (XRD) patterns recorded for core–shell NPs display peaks that are all indexed to the spinel crystal structure (Fig. S4†). Although Fe₃O₄ and CoFe₂O₄ phases cannot be distinguished because of similar cell parameters (8.396 Å and 8.3919 Å, respectively), cell parameters of core–shell NPs are relatively close to those of Fe₃O₄ (Table S2†). This is ascribed to the low extent of the surface oxidation of pristine nanoparticles which are protected by the CoFe₂O₄ shell when exposed to air. The high crystallinity was confirmed by lattice fringes all-across core–shell NPs as observed in scanning transmission electron microscopy (STEM) micrographs recorded in the high angle annular dark field (HAADF) mode (Fig. S6†).

The local composition of these NPs was further investigated by electron energy-loss spectroscopy (EELS) analysis in STEM (Fig. 2 and S6†). Spatially resolved EELS maps show the homogeneous distribution of O in NPs. In contrast, the Co content is the highest at the edge while the Fe content is at its lowest. Cross-section profiles show that the Co fraction reaches a maximum value of 15–20% with respect to Fe and O on the edge while it quickly goes down to 5% (CS-2 and CS-4) and 2% (CS-3) in the center of the NP, in agreement with a much thinner shell than the core size. Considering stoichiometric CoFe₂O₄ (14% at. Co) and the resolution of the measurement (5 Å), Co is certainly distributed as a graded concentration (Co₁ₓFe₂₋ₓO₄) which decreases from the NP surface to the center. This result is supported by the variation of the Co content over a longer distance from the surface to the center than the size variation usually ascribed to the shell thickness calculated from TEM.
micrographs. A slight increase in the O content can also be observed at the edges of nanoparticles which can be correlated to some cationic vacancies and surface defects as usually observed for such nanoparticles.

Complementary information on the average near-surface composition of NPs was obtained by performing X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) measurements. The variation of the photon energy from 850 to 1350 eV allowed changing the inelastic mean-free path of the photoelectron emission (\(\lambda\)) from 0.6 to 1.3 nm thus allowing different NP depths to be probed. Considering that 68% of the emitted photoelectrons arise from a thickness \(\lambda\) and 99% emerge from \(3\lambda\), the Fe atomic fraction was calculated as the concentration of Fe normalized to the sum of Fe and Co from the area of Co 2p and Fe 2p peaks which were recorded for CS-1 and CS-3 (Fig. 2G). The Fe atomic fraction at small photon energies (hence short \(\lambda\)) is characteristic of the shell, but then gradually increases, since the contribution of the Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) core to the XP spectra increases with the photon energy. It is interesting to note that the Fe atomic fraction (Fe/(Fe + Co)) in the particle shell (\(\sim 0.5\)) is higher than stoichiometry (0.66 for CoFe\(_2\)O\(_4\)), which may be ascribed to the decomposition of Co stearate which happens at a higher temperature than that of Fe stearate. According to the La Mer theory, iron oxide starts growing before cobalt oxide at the surface of pristine NPs. This results in a gradient in the Co concentration in the shell. Nevertheless, both decomposition temperatures (of the iron and cobalt stearate) are sufficiently close to avoid phase segregation since no CoO phase was observed in the XRD patterns (Fig. S4†).

The electrochemical properties of core–shell NPs were studied in order to determine their OER activity and compare it to the activity of Co ferrite (Co\(_{1−x}\)Fe\(_{2+x}\)O\(_4\)) NPs (for characterization see the ESI†). Cyclic voltammograms (CVs) were recorded after deposition of a thin layer of NPs on a glassy carbon (GC) electrode. To accurately determine the intrinsic electrocatalytic activity and avoid artefacts arising from charge and mass transport effects associated with thick NP layers (see e.g. ref. 28), the NP loading was set between \(\sim 1\) and 4 \(\mu\)g \(\cdot\) cm\(^{-2}\) (for more details regarding the influence of the NP loading on the apparent activity the reader is referred to ref. 29). In order to compare our results with the literature, current–potential curves were normalized in three different ways: to the mass of metal oxide, to the mass of cobalt (Co being considered a ‘strategic’ element) and to the NP surface area (estimated from the TEM mean diameter), see Fig. 3A. Considering the low NP loading on the electrode, the mass- and surface-weighted OER activities were measured at a relatively high potential of 1.65 V vs. RHE (Fig. 3D–F). While all core–shell NPs exhibit excellent OER activities (much exceeding the 28 A g\(_{\text{oxyde}}^{-1}\) activity of the Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) NPs of 10 nm), the largest CS-4 and CS-3 NPs display Co-mass weighted-activities (2300 and 2800 A g\(_{\text{cobalt}}^{-1}\), respectively) which are twice those of CS-1 and CS-2 (about 1300 A g\(_{\text{cobalt}}^{-1}\)). This trend is even clearer when considering the surface-weighted activity which has increased 3–4-fold. It is noteworthy that both the mass- and the surface-weighted OER activity of the best (CS-3) core–shell NPs is significantly higher than that of the Co\(_{1−x}\)Fe\(_{2+x}\)O\(_4\) ferrite NPs synthesized through a similar protocol (see the ESI†). Hence, the OER activity of NPs unambiguously arises not only from the cobalt ferrite shell but also from the combination of Fe\(_3\)O\(_4\) and CoFe\(_2\)O\(_4\) into a core–shell structure. The difference in activity between CS-1
and CS-2 vs. CS-3 and CS-4 likely originates from the core size (7.5–9.1 vs. 12.7–13.6 nm). A larger core is likely to be essential for efficient OER since it results in a higher fraction of conductive magnetite. This hypothesis is supported by the low activity of Fe₃O₄@CoO, consisting of a 4.5 nm core. Indeed, our recent operando soft X-ray absorption spectroscopy data suggest that the synergy results from cooperative redox interaction between Fe(II) from the core and Co(II) from the shell. Additionally, we also showed that the OER activity of such Fe₃O₄@CoFe₂O₄ NPs is significantly enhanced by a thicker CoFe₂O₄ shell although the resistivity of the NP layer increases. It seems that the insulating properties of Co ferrite are compensated for by a larger number of active sites on the NP surface. It is worth noting that the Co-weighted activity of the most active Fe₃O₄@CoFe₂O₄ core–shell NPs (CS-3) greatly outperforms that of TMO catalysts, either with uniform or core–shell structures, which were reported in the literature (Table S4†). Indeed only Co₃O₄(OH)₂ NPs14 and core–shell NPs with a gold core8 (Au@CoFeOₓ and Au@NiCo₂S₄) display higher activity although they are unstable when mixed with carbon black under the OER operation.

With Tafel slopes being often used for mechanistic interpretations, one should note that they are often affected by ohmic losses in catalytic layers.58 Indeed, Tafel slopes increase with the electrode potential (Fig. 3B), notably for the Co₃O₄@Fe₂O₄ NPs. The Tafel slopes were extracted from the anodic scans of CVs at two electrode potentials: 1.62 (where the OER just started) and 1.67 V vs. RHE (where the OER fully began). At the lower potential, all core–shell NPs display Tafel slopes in the range of 50 to 65 mV decade⁻¹ which is slightly less than that for Co₀.₇Fe₂.₃O₄ and much lower than those of the OER-inactive Fe₃O₄ NPs (Table S3†). The increase in the Tafel slope at higher potentials may be related to the ohmic resistance across the catalytic layer. At the higher potential, the Tafel slope of the Co₀.₇Fe₂.₃O₄ particles exceeds the one for core–shell NPs, which is in agreement with the lower conductivity of the cobalt ferrite NPs. Finally, the medium-terna-stability of core–shell CS-3 NPs was studied using chronoamperometry at 1.65 V vs. RHE. Fig. 3C shows that anodic CV scan after 3 hours of polarization is within the reproducibility limit (±10%).

To sum up, Fe₃O₄@CoFe₂O₄ core–shell NPs with a narrow size distribution and uniform shape were successfully synthesized through two alternative approaches (diffusion and crystal growth). They present excellent OER activities per unit mass of Co (from 1300 to 2800 Å⁻²) which greatly outperform the OER activities of transition metal oxide nanoparticles reported in the literature. Along with the core–shell structure, the size of the Fe₃O₄ conductive core and the thickness of the Co-containing shell are critical parameters for efficient OER activity. Thus, synthesizing TMO based core–shell nanostructures with fine control of the chemical structure is a viable approach for high performance alkaline OER electrocatalysts.
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