



Cite this: *Sens. Diagn.*, 2023, 2, 1605

## Platinum nanozyme-mediated temperature sensor for sensitive photothermal immunoassay of YKL-40 under near-infrared light

Shaoyang Yu,<sup>†a</sup> Qiaohong Ke,<sup>†a</sup> Fan Cai,<sup>ID†b</sup> Sisi Gong,<sup>a</sup>  
 Rongfu Huang<sup>a</sup> and Chunmei Fan<sup>ID\*<sup>a</sup></sup>

This work reports on the proof-of-concept of an innovative photothermal immunosensing platform for the sensitive screening of YKL-40 in biological fluids by coupling near-infrared light (NIR)-excited platinum nanoparticles (PtNPs) with a handheld digital thermometer. First, a sandwiched immunoreaction was performed on a monoclonal rabbit anti-human YKL-40 capture antibody-coated microplate using PtNP-labeled anti-YKL-40 secondary antibody. After the formation of the sandwiched immunocomplex, the carried PtNP caused the photothermal conversion under 808 nm laser irradiation relative to the 3,3',5,5'-tetramethylbenzidine (TMB)-H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> system, thereby, resulting in an increase in the temperature of the detection solution. Under optimum conditions, the PtNP-based photothermal immunoassay exhibited a linear relationship within a dynamic range of 0.03–100 ng mL<sup>-1</sup> at a low limit of detection of 0.014 ng mL<sup>-1</sup> YKL-40. High specificity, good reproducibility, and long-term stability were achieved with this system. In addition, the accuracy of this method was evaluated for the analysis of human serum samples, giving well-matched results compared with the human YKL-40 enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay.

Received 21st August 2023,  
 Accepted 29th September 2023

DOI: 10.1039/d3sd00222e

[rsc.li/sensors](https://rsc.li/sensors)

## Introduction

YKL-40 (coded as gene chitinase-3-like protein 1, a secreted glycoprotein) is classified as a member of the glycoside hydrolase family 18.<sup>1</sup> It is also a carbohydrate-binding lectin with a preference for chitin that plays an important role in tissue remodeling and cell response to changes in the environment.<sup>2,3</sup> In the physiological condition, YKL-40 is expressed by different cell types, including macrophages, chondrocytes, synoviocytes, and neutrophils.<sup>4</sup> It is known that YKL-40 is essential for tissue macrophage differentiation from monocytes and vascular cell activation. Typically, increased YKL-40 levels in the blood are associated with the progression of multiple diseases and cancers, such as gastric cancer, colon cancer, and glioma cancer.<sup>5</sup> Interestingly, YKL-40 secreted from macrophages can induce cell migration and invasion of colon, gastric, and breast cancers. Therefore, sensitive and specific detection of YKL-40 in biological fluids is of great interest for clinical diagnostics.

Recently, different methods and strategies have been reported and developed for the detection of YKL-40, including enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay (ELISA),

electrochemical method, and optical assays.<sup>6,7</sup> Schmalenberg *et al.* developed a magnetic bead fluorescent immunoassay for the rapid detection of the inflammation marker YKL-40.<sup>8</sup> The Chaocharoen group designed a label-free electrochemical immunosensor for the voltammetric detection of human chitinase-3-like protein 1 by matching the antibody-modified gold electrode.<sup>9</sup> Ceuninck *et al.* fabricated an enzyme-linked immunoassay for the quantification of YKL-40 using hen egg yolk antibodies.<sup>10</sup> Despite some advances in this field, there is still a need to explore sensitive immunosensing systems to simplify the operation process. Recent studies have mainly focused on the development and preparation of immunoassays with the aim of manufacturing portable and affordable diagnostic devices.<sup>11,12</sup> Point-of-care testing (POCT) and medical testing at the site of patient care bring the test conveniently and immediately to the patient.<sup>13</sup> Yu *et al.* prepared a paper electrode-based flexible pressure sensor for the point-of-care immunoassay using a digital multimeter.<sup>14</sup> Zeng *et al.* constructed a smartphone-based electrochemical immunoassay for the point-of-care detection of SARS-CoV-2 nucleocapsid protein.<sup>15</sup> Our motivation for this study was to explore an affordable point-of-care immunoassay for the sensitive monitoring of YKL-40.

The digital thermometer is currently one of the most widely used temperature sensors because of its portable size, easy operation, low cost, and reliable quantitative results.<sup>16</sup> Lu *et al.* proposed a dual-mode photoelectrochemical and

<sup>a</sup> Clinical Laboratory Center, The Second Affiliated Hospital of Fujian Medical University, Quanzhou 362000, P. R. China. E-mail: [fanchunmei9575@163.com](mailto:fanchunmei9575@163.com)

<sup>b</sup> College of Life Sciences, Fujian Normal University, Fuzhou 350117, P. R. China

<sup>†</sup> These authors equally contributed to this work.



photothermal immunoassay coupled with an Ag/MoO<sub>3</sub>-Pd-mediated gasochromic reaction.<sup>17</sup> Yu *et al.* innovatively developed a flexible and high-throughput photothermal biosensor for rapid screening of acute myocardial infarction using thermochromic paper-based image analysis.<sup>18</sup> For the successful development of photothermal immunoassay, the design of a photo-heat conversion system is very important. Routine approaches generally involve an enzyme label or a nano label.<sup>19</sup> Enzyme-based immunoassays are susceptible to interference and changes in assay conditions during the signal generation stage.<sup>20</sup> In contrast, the emergence of nanotechnology has opened a new horizon for the use of nano labels for photothermal immunoassays. To the best of our knowledge, there has been no report focusing on nanozyme-based photothermal immunoassay for YKL-40 until now.

As is well-known, nanometer-sized platinum nanoparticles can exhibit highly catalytic activity for the reduction of hydrogen peroxide (H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>).<sup>21–24</sup> Actually, platinum nanozyme can not only catalyze the oxidation of H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> with the peroxidase-like activity,<sup>20</sup> but also display the laccase-like activity and antioxidant capacity in different matrices.<sup>25–27</sup> In this work, substrate H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> was only used as a model for the development of platinum nanozyme-based photothermal immunoassay. Under near-infrared (NIR) light irradiation, the photo-heat conversion can be readily carried out using platinum nanoparticles (PtNP) relative to the 3,3',5,5'-tetramethylbenzidine (TMB)-H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> system.<sup>28</sup> PtNP can be used as peroxidase-like mimic to oxidize TMB into the photothermal product, oxidized TMB (ox-TMB) during the process, thus increasing the temperature of the solution. With the PtNP-TMB-H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> system, we designed an innovative photothermal immunoassay for the portable detection of YKL-40 by using the PtNP-labeled detection antibody on the


capture antibody-coated microplate with a sandwiched reaction mode. By monitoring the temperature change using a handheld digital thermometer, we quantitatively evaluated the concentration of target YKL-40 in the sample (Scheme 1).

## Experimental section

### Materials and reagents

Human YKL-40 ELISA kit (one-wash 90 min protocol, sensitivity: 3.9 pg mL<sup>-1</sup>, range: 23.44–1500 pg mL<sup>-1</sup>), monoclonal rabbit anti-human YKL-40 antibody (mAb<sub>1</sub>, clone: EPR19078-1571), and monoclonal rabbit anti-human YKL-40 antibody (mAb<sub>2</sub>, clone: EPR27396-56) were purchased from Abcam (Shanghai, China). Platinum nanoparticles (PtNPs; pure, 20 nm in water at 500 mg L<sup>-1</sup> surfactant and reactant-free, stabilized with <0.01 mM of citrate to enhance the stability of platinum nanoparticles and the conjugated capacity with the antibodies) were obtained from Aladdin (Shanghai, China). All high-binding polystyrene 96-well microtiter plates were obtained from Greiner (Ref. 655061, Greiner, Frickenhausen, Germany). Bovine serum albumin (BSA, 96–99%) and 3,3',5,5'-tetramethylbenzidine (TMB) were purchased from Sinopharm Chem. Re. Co., Ltd. (Shanghai, China). All other reagents were of analytical grade and were used without further purification. Ultrapure water obtained using a Millipore water purification system (18.2 MΩ, Milli-Q, Millipore) was used in all runs.

A pH 9.6 coating buffer (1.59 g Na<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, 2.93 g NaHCO<sub>3</sub>, and 0.2 g NaN<sub>3</sub>) and a pH 7.4 phosphate-buffered saline (PBS, 0.01 M) (2.9 g Na<sub>2</sub>HPO<sub>4</sub>·12H<sub>2</sub>O, 0.24 g KH<sub>2</sub>PO<sub>4</sub>, 0.2 g KCl, and 8.0 g NaCl) were prepared by adding the corresponding chemicals into 1000 mL distilled water. The blocking buffer and washing buffer were obtained by adding 1.0% BSA (w/v) and 0.05% Tween 20 (v/v) in PBS, respectively.



**Scheme 1** Schematic illustration of the PtNP-based photothermal immunoassay to target YKL-40 on monoclonal rabbit anti-human YKL-40 capture antibody (mAb<sub>1</sub>)-coated microplate using monoclonal rabbit anti-human YKL-40 secondary antibody (mAb<sub>2</sub>)-labeled platinum nanoparticle (PtNP) with a sandwich-type immunoreaction mode relative to the 3,3',5,5'-tetramethylbenzidine (TMB)-H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> system under 808 nm near-infrared (NIR) laser irradiation.



### Labelling of PtNPs with mAb<sub>2</sub> antibodies

Prior to the experiment, 5.0 mL of 20 nm platinum colloids (PtNPs,  $C_{\text{Pt}} = 24 \mu\text{M}$ ) was adjusted to pH 9.0–9.5 by directly using 0.1 M  $\text{Na}_2\text{CO}_3$  aqueous solution. Thereafter, 500  $\mu\text{L}$  of mAb<sub>2</sub> antibodies ( $1.0 \text{ mg mL}^{-1}$ ) in PBS (pH 7.4, 0.01 M) were added to the platinum colloid. After gently shaking for 5 min, the mixture was transferred to the refrigerator at 4 °C for further reaction (overnight). During this process, mAb<sub>2</sub> antibodies were covalently bound to PtNPs *via* the dative binding between PtNPs and free-SH groups of the antibody.<sup>29</sup> Following that, the mixture was then centrifuged (13 000g) for 25 min at room temperature. The mAb<sub>2</sub>-functionalized platinum nanoparticles (designated as mAb<sub>2</sub>-PtNPs) were re-suspended in 1.0 mL of 2.0 mM sodium carbonate solution containing 1.0 wt% BSA and 0.1% sodium azide, pH 7.4, and stored at 4 °C until use.

### Preparation of mAb<sub>1</sub> capture antibody-coated microplate

A high-binding polystyrene 96-well microplates (Ref. 655061, Greiner, Frickenhausen, Germany) were coated overnight at 4 °C with 50  $\mu\text{L}$  per well of mAb<sub>1</sub> antibody at a concentration of  $10 \mu\text{g mL}^{-1}$  in 0.05 M sodium carbonate buffer (pH 9.6). The microplates were covered with an adhesive plastic plate sealing film to prevent evaporation. On the following day, the plates were washed three times with the washing buffer and then incubated with 300  $\mu\text{L}$  per well of blocking buffer for 1 h at 37 °C with shaking. The plates were washed as before for use.

### Immunoreaction protocol and photothermal measurements

Initially, 50  $\mu\text{L}$  of YKL-40 standards or samples with various concentrations in PBS (pH 7.4, 10 mM) were added to the microplates and incubated for 1 h at 37 °C under shaking. After washing, 50  $\mu\text{L}$  of the above-prepared mAb<sub>2</sub>-PtNP suspension was added to the well and incubated for 1 h at 37 °C with shaking. After washing with the washing buffer, 100  $\mu\text{L}$  of the substrate solution containing 7.63 M  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  and 0.921 mM TMB in PBS (10 mM, pH 7.4) was added to each well. The plates were then shaken for 1.0 min on a plate shaker for the photothermal measurement. The temperature sensor was inserted into the microplate (close to the bottom of the well) and the temperature of the detection solution was determined after coupling it with the photo-heat conversion system on a portable VICTOR 86 digital thermometer using an 808 nm adjustable laser irradiation ( $2.5 \text{ W cm}^{-2}$ ). To avoid possible errors resulting from the different-batch introduction of the digital thermometer, the signal for each well was recorded as the irradiation from 30 s onwards (after the introduction of the thermometer) until the equilibrium was reached at ~8 min (optimized). The control tests with normal (negative) samples and the evaluations for human serum specimens were performed accordingly. The collected temperature relative to the target YKL-40 concentration was recorded as the signal of the photothermal immunoassay. All the determinations were made at least in


duplicate. All the measurements were carried out at room temperature ( $25 \pm 1.0 \text{ }^\circ\text{C}$ ) unless mentioned otherwise. The sigmoidal curves were calculated by mathematically fitting the experimental points using Rodbard's four-parameter function with Origin 6.0 software. Graphs were plotted in the form of absorbance against the logarithm of YKL-40 concentration.

## Results and discussion

### Characterization of mAb<sub>2</sub>-PtNPs

In this work, the photo-heat conversion system was prepared using the as-synthesized platinum nanoparticles under near-infrared laser irradiation relative to the TMB- $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  system. To realize our design, the successful preparation of platinum nanoparticles and mAb<sub>2</sub>-PtNPs was very crucial prior to the measurement. Firstly, we used transmission electron microscopy (TEM, H-7700, Hitachi Instruments, Tokyo, Japan) to characterize the platinum nanoparticles. As shown in Fig. 1A, the average size of the as-purchased platinum nanoparticles was 20 nm in diameter. Such nanoparticles could provide a relatively large surface area for the labelling of mAb<sub>2</sub> antibodies. From a statistical point of view, we could roughly estimate that one solid platinum nanoparticle with 20 nm in diameter could simultaneously label 64 mAb<sub>2</sub> antibodies at most. The calculation was performed on the basis of the assumption of spherical surface area ( $S_{\text{NP}} = 4\pi r_{\text{NP}}^2$ ) divided by the area of antibody's radius-based circle ( $S_{\text{Ab}} = \pi r_{\text{Ab}}^2$ ), where  $r_{\text{NP}}$  stands for the radius of PtNP and  $r_{\text{Ab}}$  stands for the radius of antibody (~5.0 nm in diameter).

To further investigate the successful labelling of mAb<sub>2</sub>-PtNP, dynamic light scattering (DLS; Zetasizer Nano S90, Malvern Instruments, Malvern, UK) was utilized to monitor the sizes of platinum nanoparticles before and after labelling with mAb<sub>2</sub> antibodies. As indicated in Fig. 1B, platinum nanoparticles alone gave an average hydrodynamic size of  $21.2 \pm 1.3 \text{ nm}$  (bottom panel). Favorably, the average hydrodynamic size of mAb<sub>2</sub>-PtNPs increased to  $33.6 \pm 2.7 \text{ nm}$  (top panel). The increased size mainly originated from the labeled mAb<sub>2</sub> antibodies on the surface of the platinum nanoparticles. These results indicated that mAb<sub>2</sub> antibodies could be labeled onto the platinum nanoparticles.



**Fig. 1** (A) Transmission electron microscopic (TEM) image of platinum nanoparticles. (B) Dynamic light scattering (DLS) data of (bottom) PtNPs and (top) mAb<sub>2</sub>-PtNPs.





### Evaluation of feasibility


Using the as-prepared mAb<sub>2</sub>-PtNPs, we studied the feasibility of the developed photothermal immunoassay for the detection of target YKL-40 on mAb<sub>1</sub>-coated microplate. The temperature was measured after the sandwiched immunoreaction (*i.e.*, mAb<sub>1</sub>-YKL-40-mAb<sub>2</sub>-PtNP) relative to TMB-H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> system under 808 nm NIR irradiation. 1.0 ng mL<sup>-1</sup> YKL-40 was used as an example in this case. As seen from Fig. 2A, the temperature increased from 25 °C to about 44.9 °C with the increasing irradiation time in the presence of 1.0 ng mL<sup>-1</sup> YKL-40. The steady-state temperature could be acquired after ~8.0 min. As control tests, a series of experiments were carried out relative to the different systems (Fig. 2B). Almost no temperature changes relative to room temperature were observed for the mAb<sub>1</sub>-coated microplate before (column 'a') and after (column 'b') the reaction with 1.0 ng mL<sup>-1</sup> YKL-40 in the TMB-H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> system under 808 nm NIR irradiation. After the reaction of mAb<sub>1</sub>-YKL-40 with mAb<sub>2</sub>-PtNP, the temperature shift was largely increased relative to the TMB-H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> system under 808 nm NIR irradiation (column 'c'). For comparison, mAb<sub>2</sub> antibodies without the labeled PtNP were directly used to react with mAb<sub>1</sub>-YKL-40. As indicated in column 'd', the temperature was almost the same as room temperature. These results revealed that the increase in the temperature of the TMB-H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> solution was derived from the labelled PtNPs under the NIR irradiation.

Typically, the synthesized PtNPs could exhibit peroxidase-like activity for the development of colorimetric immunoassays relative to the TMB-H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> system.<sup>30,31</sup> That is to say, it is not necessary to trigger the peroxidase-like activity of PtNPs by the NIR irradiation. To further investigate the role of NIR irradiation in our system, 1.0 ng mL<sup>-1</sup> YKL-40 was detected by the developed photothermal immunoassay with and without NIR irradiation. As seen from columns 'c' and 'e', the temperature shift under the NIR irradiation was bigger than that without the NIR irradiation. In this case, the

NIR irradiation could amplify the detectable signal of the photothermal immunoassay. Furthermore, we also studied the roles of TMB and H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> in this work. The formed sandwiched immunocomplexes (*i.e.*, mAb<sub>1</sub>-YKL-40-mAb<sub>2</sub>-PtNP) were monitored with and without TMB or H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>. Results indicated that the temperatures were not changing in the absence of TMB (column 'f') and H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> (column 'g') under 808 nm NIR irradiation, suggesting that the temperature change mainly originated from the TMB-H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> solution by the labelled PtNP. In addition, the mAb<sub>1</sub>-coated microplate was directly used for the incubation of mAb<sub>2</sub>-PtNPs in the absence of YKL-40 (*i.e.*, 0 ng mL<sup>-1</sup>). Curve 'h' in Fig. 2B gives the experimental results. Obviously, the temperature was close to room temperature (25 °C), suggesting that mAb<sub>2</sub>-PtNPs were not nonspecifically adsorbed on the mAb<sub>1</sub>-coated microplate and the temperature change was derived from the introduction of PtNPs. On the basis of the above results, we made a conclusion that our designed system could be preliminarily applied for the detection of YKL-40.


### Analytical performance of PtNP-based photothermal immunoassay

With the as-prepared mAb<sub>2</sub>-PtNPs, YKL-40 standards with various concentrations were measured using a handheld digital thermometer using our designed photothermal immunoassay. The assay was carried out as follows:  $\Delta T = T_{\max} - T_{\text{sur}}$ , where  $T_{\max}$  and  $T_{\text{sur}}$  represent the steady-state temperature and the surrounding temperature of the detection solution, respectively. The surrounding temperature referred to as room temperature, 25 °C. As indicated in Fig. 3A, the temperature increased with the increment of the YKL-40 level in the sample. A sigmoidal relationship between the temperature change and the logarithm of YKL-40 concentrations was obtained with a dynamic range of 0.001–100 ng mL<sup>-1</sup>. Within the ranges of low-concentration YKL-40 (from 0.001 to 0.03 ng mL<sup>-1</sup>) or high concentrations (from 100 to 1000 ng mL<sup>-1</sup>), the change in the temperature was not obvious. However, a good linear relationship between temperature and the decimal logarithm of YKL-40 concentration was acquired within the dynamic ranges from 0.03 to 100 ng mL<sup>-1</sup>. The regression equation was  $\Delta T$  (°C) = 19.35 + 9.94 × log  $C_{[\text{YKL-40}]}$  (ng mL<sup>-1</sup>,  $r = 0.9916$ ,  $n = 9$ ). The limit of detection (LOD) was calculated to be 0.014 ng mL<sup>-1</sup> at a signal-to-noise ratio of 3 $\sigma$  (where  $\sigma$  is the standard deviation of the blank,  $n = 11$ ). The LOD was calculated as follows, initially, we assayed the temperature change toward the blank sample 11 times. Thereafter, the standard deviation for 11 times was calculated to be ~0.317. Finally, the 3 × SD was substituted to the above-mentioned regression equation to calculate the corresponding LOD. Furthermore, the LOD of PtNP-based photothermal immunoassay was comparable with those of commercial human YKL-40 ELISA kits (*e.g.*, 8.15 pg mL<sup>-1</sup>



**Fig. 2** (A) Temperature responses of photothermal immunoassay with increasing irradiation time toward 1.0 ng mL<sup>-1</sup> YKL-40 under 808 nm NIR light irradiation in the TMB-H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> system; (B) temperature responses of (a) mAb<sub>1</sub> + TMB + H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> + NIR, (b) mAb<sub>1</sub> + 1.0 ng mL<sup>-1</sup> YKL + TMB + H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> + NIR, (c) mAb<sub>1</sub> + 1.0 ng mL<sup>-1</sup> YKL + mAb<sub>2</sub>-PtNP + TMB + H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> + NIR, (d) mAb<sub>1</sub> + 1.0 ng mL<sup>-1</sup> YKL + mAb<sub>2</sub> + TMB + H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> + NIR, (e) mAb<sub>1</sub> + 1.0 ng mL<sup>-1</sup> YKL + mAb<sub>2</sub>-PtNP + TMB + H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub>, (f) mAb<sub>1</sub> + 1.0 ng mL<sup>-1</sup> YKL + mAb<sub>2</sub>-PtNP + H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> + NIR, (g) mAb<sub>1</sub> + 1.0 ng mL<sup>-1</sup> YKL + mAb<sub>2</sub>-PtNP + H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> + NIR, and (h) mAb<sub>1</sub> + 0 ng mL<sup>-1</sup> YKL + mAb<sub>2</sub>-PtNP + TMB + H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> + NIR.





**Fig. 3** (A) Calibration curve of PtNP-based photothermal immunoassay toward different YKL-40 levels under 808 nm NIR light irradiation for 8 min. (B) The specificity of the assay under 808 nm NIR light irradiation against YKL-40, CEA, AFP, CA 15-3, and HlgG. (C) The storage stability of mAb<sub>1</sub>-coated microplates and mAb<sub>2</sub>-PtNP at 4 °C.

from R & D Systems on cat# DC3L10; 3.9 pg mL<sup>-1</sup> from Abcam on cat# ab255719). Compared with the optical readout, the developed photothermal immunoassay could be carried out by using a portable handheld thermometer (not using an expensive instrumentation). Unfavorably, one disadvantage of our system was that it was implemented under an 808 nm NIR irradiation.

To monitor the specificity of our method, other cancer biomarkers, *e.g.*, carcinoembryonic antigen (CEA), cancer antigen 15-3 (CA 15-3), alpha-fetoprotein (AFP), and human IgG (HlgG) were monitored by using PtNP-based photothermal immunoassay. As seen from Fig. 3B, the shifts in the temperatures on our system were close to zero toward non-targets including CEA, CA 15-3, AFP, and HlgG. However, an obvious increase in the temperature was achieved in the presence of target YKL-40. Moreover, the co-existence of non-targets with YKL-40 did not cause significant changes in the temperature. These results revealed that PtNP-based photothermal immunoassay had good selectivity and specificity.

Next, we investigated the reproducibility of PtNP-based photothermal immunoassay with the different batches of mAb<sub>2</sub>-PtNPs and mAb<sub>1</sub>-coated microplates, respectively. As seen from Table 1, all the relative standard deviations (RSDs) were less than 15%. However, the RSDs of using different-batch products were slightly more than those using the same-batch products. So, the reproducibility of PtNP-based photothermal immunoassay was acceptable.

The storage stability of mAb<sub>2</sub>-PtNPs and mAb<sub>1</sub>-coated microplates was investigated at 4 °C. The evaluation was determined by assaying 1.0 ng mL<sup>-1</sup> YKL-40 at different

storage times. As shown in Fig. 3C, the detectable signals could preserve >90% of the initial temperature after 8 months. Thus, the stability of mAb<sub>2</sub>-PtNPs and mAb<sub>1</sub>-coated microplates was satisfactory.

### Analysis of human serum samples and method accuracy

15 human serum specimens including target YKL-40 were collected from our hospital, and measurements were performed using the PtNP-based photothermal immunoassay. Firstly, these serum samples were analyzed using the commercial human PSA ELISA kit. The high-concentration serum samples were diluted with PBS (10 mM, pH 7.4) for the measurement. These results are listed in Table 2. Statistical comparison of experimental results of photothermal immunoassay with those of human YKL-40 ELISA kit was performed by using a *t*-test for comparison of means preceded by the application of an *F*-test. The *t* statistics for each sample were calculated by using an independent two-sample *t*-test with equal sample sizes and equal variance as follows:

$$t = \frac{\bar{X}_1 - \bar{X}_2}{S_{X_1 X_2} \cdot \sqrt{\frac{2}{n}}} \quad (1)$$

where

$$S_{X_1 X_2} = \sqrt{\frac{1}{2}(S_{X_1}^2 + S_{X_2}^2)} \quad (2)$$

Here  $\bar{X}_1$  and  $S_{\bar{X}}$  are the means of each YKL-40 sample estimated with the photothermal immunoassay and the

**Table 1** The reproducibility of PtNP-based photothermal immunoassay with the different-batch mAb<sub>2</sub>-PtNPs and mAb<sub>1</sub>-coated microplates toward 0.1, 10, and 50 ng mL<sup>-1</sup> YKL-40 standards (unit: ng mL<sup>-1</sup>)

Sample ng mL <sup>-1</sup>	The same batch (time, conc./ng mL <sup>-1</sup> )			RSD (%)	Different batches (time, conc./ng mL <sup>-1</sup> )			RSD (%)
	1	2	3		1	2	3	
0.1	0.098	0.093	0.11	8.71	0.14	0.11	0.12	12.4
10	9.5	10.3	9.8	4.09	9.1	11.2	10.4	10.4
50	53.1	48.7	49.2	4.79	48.1	54.2	51.3	5.96



**Table 2** Comparison of the assay results for human serum samples between PtNP-based photothermal immunoassay and commercial human YKL-40 ELISA kit

Sample no. <sup>a</sup>	Method; concentration (mean $\pm$ SD, $n = 3$ , ng mL <sup>-1</sup> )		RSD <sup>b</sup> (%)	$t_{\text{exp}}$
	Photothermal immunoassay	YKL-40 ELISA kit		
1	46 $\pm$ 3	48 $\pm$ 2	4.2	1.37
2	32 $\pm$ 2	33 $\pm$ 1	2.2	0.68
3	78 $\pm$ 4	82 $\pm$ 7	3.4	0.83
4	21 $\pm$ 2	20 $\pm$ 2	3.7	0.79
5	13 $\pm$ 1	14 $\pm$ 1	5.7	1.12
6	90 $\pm$ 5	91 $\pm$ 7	0.8	0.19
7	46 $\pm$ 4	44 $\pm$ 3	2.2	0.49
8	87 $\pm$ 8	86 $\pm$ 4	1.3	0.32
9	76 $\pm$ 7	78 $\pm$ 6	2.1	0.44
10	33 $\pm$ 3	30 $\pm$ 1	4.7	1.25
11	8 $\pm$ 1	8 $\pm$ 0.6	6.2	1.32
12	24 $\pm$ 1	22 $\pm$ 2	5.3	1.44
13	3 $\pm$ 0.2	3 $\pm$ 0.1	2.2	0.77
14	0.3 $\pm$ 0.02	0.3 $\pm$ 0.01	7.0	2.32
15	0.03 $\pm$ 0.001	0.03 $\pm$ 0.002	9.1	3.09

<sup>a</sup> Samples #13–15 were initially achieved by diluting sample #10 to 10 $\times$ , 100 $\times$ , and 1000 $\times$  with pH 7.4 PBS (10 mM), and then determined through these two methods. <sup>b</sup> RSDs were calculated on the basis of the mean values obtained by these two methods per sample.

standard error of the mean, respectively (1 = group one, 2 = group two), and  $n$  stands for the assay times for each sample. No significant differences were encountered between the two methods at the 0.05 significance level (Table 2) because all  $t_{\text{exp}}$  values were less than 4.30 ( $t_{\text{crit}[0.05,2]} = 4.30$ ) ( $t_{\text{exp}}$ : experimental value;  $t_{\text{crit}}$ : critical value), indicating a good method accuracy between the two methods.

## Conclusions

In summary, we successfully designed an innovative photothermal immunoassay by using a portable handheld digital thermometer as the readout. Experimental results indicated that the developed photothermal immunoassay could exhibit high sensitivity, good reproducibility, specificity, long-term storage stability, and satisfactory method accuracy. Compared with our previous works on the immunoassays,<sup>32–34</sup> the highlights of our system could be simply summarized as follows: (i) the assay does not require expensive instrumentations and complex operation, and (ii) our strategy does not require the introduction of natural enzymes. Although our system mainly focuses on the detection of the target YKL-40, it is easy to extend it for the detection of other cancer biomarkers by changing the corresponding antibodies, thereby representing a universal method.

## Compliance with ethical standards

All experiments were performed in accordance with the Guidelines of Fujian Medical University, and approved by the ethics committee at Fuzhou Medical University, China. Informed consent was obtained from human participants in this study.

## Author contributions

Shaoyang Yu: conceptualization, methodology, investigation, writing – original draft. Qiaohong Ke: conceptualization, visualization, investigation, writing – original draft. Fan Cai: conceptualization, visualization, investigation, writing – original draft. Sisi Gong: resources, software, data curation. Rongfu Huang: investigation, validation, formal analysis, writing – original draft. Chunmei Fan: funding acquisition, supervision, writing – review and editing.

## Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

## Acknowledgements

Authors acknowledge the financial support from the Natural Science Foundation of Fujian Province (grant no.: 2020J01214).

## References

- 1 B. Cui, Y. Chen, F. Luo, S. Lin, H. Liu, Y. Huang, Y. Zhou, Y. Tian, G. Yin and Q. Xie, *J. Clin. Lab. Anal.*, 2022, **36**, e24605.
- 2 Y. Jin, J. Song, F. Xu, D. Zhang, J. He, J. Zheng, Y. Zhang, J. Li, Y. Guo, M. Xu, X. Yu, Y. Liu, M. Liu and J. Yan, *Sleep Breath.*, 2022, **26**, 1011–1022.
- 3 D. O'Day and R. Huber, *BMC Neurosci.*, 2022, **23**, 10.
- 4 Z. Wang, S. Wang, Z. Jia, Y. Hu, D. Cao, M. Yang, L. Liu, L. Gao, S. Qiu, W. Yan, Y. Li, J. Luo, Y. Geng, J. Zhang, Z. Li, X. Wang, M. Li, R. Shao and Y. Liu, *Cancer Lett.*, 2023, **563**, 216184.
- 5 N. Dai, B. Jones and M. Husain, *Brain Sci.*, 2022, **12**, 1513.
- 6 S. Xu, X. Hu, J. Wang, Q. Xu, Z. Han, H. Zhou and M. Gao, *Clin. Chim. Acta*, 2023, **547**, 117443.



- 7 J. Pereira, V. Fernandes, T. Alfaro, S. Freitas and C. Cordeiro, *Life*, 2023, **13**, 565.
- 8 M. Schmalenberg, C. Beaudoin, L. Bulst, D. Steubl and P. Lippa, *J. Immunol. Methods*, 2015, **427**, 36–41.
- 9 W. Chaocharoen, W. Suginta, W. Limbut, A. Ranok, A. Numnuam, P. Khunkaewla, P. Kanatharana, P. Thavarungkul and A. Schulte, *Bioelectrochemistry*, 2015, **101**, 106–113.
- 10 F. Ceuninck, P. Pastoureau, S. Agnellet, J. Bonnet and P. Vanhoutte, *J. Immunol. Methods*, 2002, **252**, 153–161.
- 11 H. Yuan, P. Chen, C. Wan, Y. Li and B. Liu, *TrAC, Trends Anal. Chem.*, 2022, **157**, 116814.
- 12 D. Kinnamon, J. Heggstad, J. Liu and A. Chilkoti, *Annu. Rev. Anal. Chem.*, 2022, **15**, 123–149.
- 13 M. Puiu, C. Nativi and C. Bala, *TrAC, Trends Anal. Chem.*, 2023, **160**, 116981.
- 14 Z. Yu, Y. Tang, G. Cai, R. Ren and D. Tang, *Anal. Chem.*, 2019, **91**, 1222–1226.
- 15 R. Zeng, M. Qiu, Q. Wan, Z. Huang, X. Liu, D. Tang and D. Knopp, *Anal. Chem.*, 2022, **94**, 15155–15161.
- 16 L. Easterwood and N. Cohen, *J. Equine Vet. Sci.*, 2023, **123**, 104243.
- 17 L. Lu, X. Hu, R. Zeng, Q. Lin, X. Huang, Q. Wei, D. Tang and D. Knopp, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2023, **230**, 115267.
- 18 Z. Yu, H. Gong, F. Xue, Y. Zeng, X. Liu and D. Tang, *Anal. Chem.*, 2022, **94**, 13233–13242.
- 19 J. Shu and D. Tang, *Anal. Chem.*, 2020, **92**, 363–377.
- 20 R. Zeng, Z. Luo, L. Zhang and D. Tang, *Anal. Chem.*, 2018, **90**, 12299–12306.
- 21 R. Zeng, W. Wang, G. Cai, Z. Huang, J. Tao, D. Tang and C. Zhu, *Nano Energy*, 2020, **74**, 104931.
- 22 B. Jiang and M. Liang, *Chin. J. Chem.*, 2021, **39**, 174–180.
- 23 Z. Ding, M. Gao, C. Chen, P. Ni, C. Zhang, B. Wang, G. Duan and Y. Lu, *Chin. J. Chem.*, 2021, **39**, 3369–3374.
- 24 H. Wei and E. Wang, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2013, **42**, 6060–6093.
- 25 L. Yang, X. Guo, Q. Zheng, Y. Zhang, L. Yao, Q. Xu, J. Chen, S. He and W. Chen, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2023, **393**, 134165.
- 26 A. Scarsi, D. Pedone and P. Pompa, *Nanoscale Adv.*, 2023, **5**, 2167–2174.
- 27 F. Li, J. Jiang, H. Peng, C. Li, B. Li and J. He, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2022, **369**, 132334.
- 28 L. Peng, C. Lin, L. Shi and F. Cai, *New J. Chem.*, 2021, **45**, 21538–21542.
- 29 Z. Yu, G. Cai, X. Liu and D. Tang, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2020, **12**, 40133–40140.
- 30 Z. Gao, S. Lv, M. Xu and D. Tang, *Analyst*, 2017, **142**, 911–917.
- 31 Z. Gao, M. Xu, L. Hou, G. Chen and D. Tang, *Anal. Chim. Acta*, 2013, **776**, 79–86.
- 32 Y. Zheng, J. Wang, G. Chen, M. Wang, T. Chen, Q. Ke, Y. Huang, F. Cai, R. Huang and C. Fan, *Analyst*, 2022, **147**, 1923–1930.
- 33 W. Zhuang, Y. Li, X. Weng, H. Guo, Y. Zhang, Y. Yang and C. Fan, *Anal. Methods*, 2019, **11**, 1631–1638.
- 34 Z. Hong, G. Chen, S. Yu, R. Huang and C. Fan, *Anal. Methods*, 2018, **10**, 5364–5371.

