Volume 14 Number 1 7 January 2023 Pages 1–216

Chemical Science

rsc.li/chemical-science



ISSN 2041-6539



EDGE ARTICLE

Christophe Werlé, Walter Leitner *et al.* Selective oxidation of silanes into silanols with water using $[MnBr(CO)_5]$ as a precatalyst

Chemical Science

EDGE ARTICLE

Check for updates

Cite this: Chem. Sci., 2023, 14, 54

All publication charges for this article have been paid for by the Royal Society of Chemistry

Received 27th October 2022 Accepted 18th November 2022

DOI: 10.1039/d2sc05959b

rsc.li/chemical-science

Introduction

The oxidation of silanes to silanols is an important reaction due to the wide range of fields in which these products can be applied, including material sciences1 and medicinal chemistry,2 as well as in synthetic chemistry as directing groups,3 organocatalysts4 or cross-coupling partners.5 Silanols are traditionally prepared by hydrolysis of chloro- or alkoxysilanes under strict buffering conditions and with stoichiometric amounts of base.6 Alternatively, they can be obtained by oxidation of organosilanes using stoichiometric oxidants such as KMnO₄,⁷ OsO₄,⁸ dioxiranes,9 or O3.10 There is, therefore, a strong interest in developing alternative protocols based on the principles of Green Chemistry.¹¹ In this respect, H₂O as an oxidant¹² is an ideal candidate for this reaction as the reaction results in the formation of H₂ as a valuable by-product.^{6c,12,13} However, the formation of siloxane side products from hydrolysis is a frequently encountered limitation.13,14 To make the Si-H bond

Selective oxidation of silanes into silanols with water using [MnBr(CO)₅] as a precatalyst^{\dagger}

Emanuele Antico, ^b^{ab} Markus Leutzsch, ^c Niklas Wessel, ^{ab} Thomas Weyhermüller, ^a Christophe Werlé ^{sad} and Walter Leitner

The development of earth-abundant catalysts for the selective conversion of silanes to silanols with water as an oxidant generating valuable hydrogen as the only by-product continues to be a challenge. Here, we demonstrate that [MnBr(CO)₅] is a highly active precatalyst for this reaction, operating under neutral conditions and avoiding the undesired formation of siloxanes. As a result, a broad substrate scope, including primary and secondary silanes, could be converted to the desired products. The turnover performances of the catalyst were also examined, yielding a maximum TOF of 4088 h⁻¹. New light was shed on the debated mechanism of the interaction between [MnBr(CO)₅] and Si–H bonds based on the reaction kinetics (including KIEs of PhMe₂SiD and D₂O) and spectroscopic techniques (FT-IR, GC-TCD, ¹H-, ²⁹Si-, and ¹³C-NMR). The initial activation of [MnBr(CO)₅] was found to result from the formation of a manganese(i) hydride species and R₃SiBr, and the experimental data are most consistent with a catalytic cycle comprising a cationic tricarbonyl Mn(i) unit as the active framework.

> sufficiently reactive, noble metals are typically required. Examples of homogeneously and heterogeneously catalyzed reactions are mostly based on metals of group 9-11, such as Rh,¹⁵ Ir,¹⁶ Pd,¹⁷ Pt,¹⁸ Au,¹⁹ or Ag²⁰ (Scheme 1). Notably, Schubert¹⁴ reported a copper cluster [(Ph₃P)CuH]₆ converting a narrow scope of tertiary silanes to their respective silanols with, however, some selectivity issues. Another example of a copper catalyst was recently used at 10% loading for enantioselective hydroxylation of secondary silanes.²¹ The use of metals from groups 6 and 7 as homogenous catalysts for this reaction is comparatively rare.²² In 1990, Matarasso-Tchiroukhine studied a chromium(0) carbonyl complex where σ -coordination of Ph₂SiH₂ to the metal was inferred to activate the silane to become reactive with water and other nucleophiles.23 Moving to group 8, a number of Ru systems have been developed for silane oxidation.24 The 3d congener iron also shows promises, as demonstrated by Fan's report on a highly active Fe(II) carbonyl complex optimized for the generation of H2 using excess water.25

> In order to move away from noble metals to more environmentally friendly and cheaper metals, a possible approach is to search for chemical analogies within the periodic table *via* the socalled "diagonal relationship." It compares a metal ion in the oxidation state n^+ with a congener in the period above and the group to the left in the oxidation state $(n - 1)^+$. While this analogy is mainly applied to main group metals, it is also reflected in a number of cases for transition metal catalysis.²⁶ From ruthenium(π), this leads to manganese(π) as a possible target, and the concept has proven particularly fruitful for hydrogen transfer processes.^{27a} Despite its low cost, non-toxicity, and widespread availability, manganese is under-represented in the field of silane



View Article Online

View Journal | View Issue

^aMax Planck Institute for Chemical Energy Conversion, Stiftstr. 34–36, 45470 Mülheim an der Ruhr, Germany. E-mail: christophe.werle@cec.mpg.de; walter.leitner@cec. mpg.de

^bInstitut für Technische und Makromolekulare Chemie (ITMC), RWTH Aachen University, Worringer Weg 2, 52074 Aachen, Germany

^cMax-Planck-Institut f
ür Kohlenforschung, Kaiser-Wilhelm-Platz 1, 45470 M
ülheim an der Ruhr, Germany

^dRuhr University Bochum, Universitätsstr. 150, 44801 Bochum, Germany

[†] Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: General considerations, experimental methods and synthetic details, copies of NMR spectra, crystallographic data for **Mn-5**, **2p**, and **2o**. CCDC [2190208–2190210]. For ESI and crystallographic data in CIF or other electronic format see DOI: https://doi.org/10.1039/d2sc05959b



Scheme 1 Homogeneous transition metal complexes as catalysts for the oxidation of silanes to silanol with H_2O .

oxidation, however. To the best of our knowledge, only one example has been reported to date using a manganese-based catalyst for silane oxidation applying the much stronger oxidant H_2O_2 .¹³ On the other hand, manganese carbonyl complexes have shown good performance in hydrosilylation reactions²⁸ and the formation of Si–O bonds from hydrosilanes,²⁹ and different mechanisms have been proposed for the Si–H bond activation at Mn-complexes. Therefore, we decided to explore the activity of the simple and commercially available [MnBr(CO)₅] for the oxidation of silanes with water as the oxidant (Scheme 1).

Results and discussion

Dimethylphenylsilane, one of the most sensitive substrates towards the formation of siloxane dimers^{14,24a} was chosen as

a model substrate to test for activity and to identify suitable reaction conditions (Table S1[†]). After some optimization, the reaction of PhMe₂SiH (1) in THF at 50 °C with H₂O (5 equiv.) in the presence of [MnBr(CO)₅] as a precatalyst afforded a quantitative yield of PhMe₂SiOH (**2a**) already at a loading of only 1 mol% of [MnBr(CO)₅] after 1 hour (Table 1, entry 2). While the reaction worked in a wide range of solvents, the best results were obtained in solvents of medium coordination strengths such as ethyl acetate, THF, and 2-MTHF. Interestingly, no hydrosilylated side-products were detected when employing acetone or EtOAc as reaction solvents, although manganese carbonyl complexes are known to be active catalysts in the hydrosilylation of carbonyl and carboxyl groups.³⁰ The reaction proceeded to completion in THF even with only stoichiometric amounts of water, but a 3 : 1 ratio of H₂O to silane was found to

Table 1 Optimization of solvents and H₂O/substrate ratio for the oxidation of dimethylphenylsilane with H₂O

	PhMe ₂ Si-H + H ₂ O 1	[MnBr(CO) ₅] (1 mol%) Solvent (0.5 mL), 50 °C, 1 h	PhMe ₂ Si-OH + H ₂ + (PhMe 2a 3	∋₂Si)₂ <mark>O</mark> a
Entry	Solvent	H ₂ O equiv.	NMR yield (2a)	Conversion
1	Acetone	5	89	>99
2	THF	5	>99	>99
3	Heptane	5	40	>99
4	Toluene	5	55	>99
5	Chloroform	5	74	>99
6	Acetonitrile	5	27	>99
7	2-MTHF	5	99	>99
8	DMSO	5	62	>99
9	EtOAc	5	96	>99
10	THF	4	>99	>99
11	THF	3	>99	>99
12	THF	2	90	>99
13	THF	1	78	>99





Scheme 2 Scope of the reaction: isolated yields are given below each respective silanol 2a-t; NMR yields (in parentheses) were determined relative to mesitylene as an internal standard; the isolated gram-scale synthesis yield is indicated in orange. [a]: [MnBr(CO)₅] = 1 mol%, H₂O (1.5 mmol), T = 50 °C, silane (0.5 mmol), solvent = THF (0.5 mL), time = 1 h; [a1]: time = 2 h; [a2]: time = 4 h; [a3]: time = 24 h; [a4]: time = 4 h, T = 60 °C; [a5]: time = 24 h, T = RT; [b]: see [a] with deviations: solvent = 2-MTHF and T = 80 °C; [b1]: see [b] with deviations: [MnBr(CO)₅] = 2 mol% [b2]: see [b] with deviations: [MnBr(CO)₅] = 2 mol%, time = 5 h; [c]: [MnBr(CO)₅] = 2 mol%, H₂O (6 mmol), T = 50 °C, silane (0.25 mmol), solvent = THF (2.0 mL), time = 4 h; [c1]: see [c] with deviations: time = 48 h; [c2]: see [c1] with deviations: solvent = THF (1.0 mL), [MnBr(CO)₅] = 4 mol%; [c3]: see [c2] with deviations: T = RT and [MnBr(CO)₅] = 8 mol%.

give optimum selectivity. The parameters of entry 11 in Table 1 were adopted as standard conditions and applied directly or with some modification to a scope of different silanes shown in Scheme 2.

The catalytic oxidation gave very high to excellent yields across a broad range of different functionalities. Replacing the methyl groups in 2a with phenyl rings (2b, 2c) as well as the presence of electron-withdrawing substituents such as cyano-(2d) or trifluoromethyl-(2e) was fully tolerated affording yields up to 97%. Also, silanes containing purely aliphatic substituents were converted to their respective silanols with excellent outcomes (2f-j). Notably, unsaturated groups like allyl- or vinylgroups remained fully intact, and high yields of the respective silanols 2k and 2l were obtained. Difunctional silanes, more prone to forming siloxanes as oligomers, yielded silanols 2m-p in excellent yields when a larger excess of H₂O and higher dilution were applied. Notably, the corresponding products would be difficult to obtain by employing traditional synthetic procedures. It was also possible to react secondary silanes to the respective silanediols, such as the bulky di-tert-butylsilanediol



Fig. 1 Molecular structure of Mn-5. The molecular structure is shown with thermal ellipsoids drawn at the 40% probability level. For clarity reasons, most of the hydrogen atoms were omitted.

 $K_{obs} \sim [\text{MnBr}(\text{CO})_5]_0^{0.9} [\text{PhMe}_2\text{SiH}]^{0.85} [\text{H}_2\text{O}]^{-0.6}$



Fig. 2 Rate equation and kinetic plots for the reaction obtained using VTNA analysis of the NMR data plotted with the coefficients affording the best overlap: the formation of PhMe₂SiOH shows 0.9th order dependence in [MnBr(CO)₅] (top-left), 0.85th in [PhMe₂SiH] (top-right), -0.6th in [H₂O] (bottom-left) and does not show product inhibition (bottom-right).

(2q) or diphenylsilanediol (2r), diethyl-(2s) and methylphenylsilanediol (2t), albeit the yields were slightly lower for these very challenging substrates. Finally, to probe the practicability of the protocol, a gram-scale reaction was performed with PhMe₂SiH as a substrate affording pure PhMe₂SiOH in 93% isolated yield (Section S6†). The turnover performances of the catalyst were examined, yielding a maximum TOF of 4088 h^{-1} .

Experimental studies were then performed to shed light on the reaction mechanism. First, we examined the activity of other Mn(i) carbonyl complexes in the targeted reaction (Table S4†) and found them to be inert for the conversion of PhMe₂SiH to any product. Furthermore, manganese salts and complexes in other oxidation states than +I, such as MnBr₂, MnCl₂, Mn(OAc)₃, and [Mn₂(CO)₁₀], also showed no conversion of the starting material (Table S5†). Similar observations were made previously in reduction reactions using silanes, indicating a particular

ability of [MnBr(CO)₅] to activate Si-H bonds.²⁸ A stoichiometric reaction between [MnBr(CO)₅] and PhMe₂SiH led to an evolution of CO within seconds after the dissolution of both reagents in THF- d_8 (Confirmed via GC-TCD, Section S9.3[†]). The experiment was followed via ¹H- and ²⁹Si-NMR spectroscopy: after 15 minutes, two new singlets at -7.85 ppm and 0.81 ppm were detected in the ¹H-NMR, corresponding to a hydride in a complex of general formula $[MnH(CO)_n(L)_m]$ (L = solvent) and the methyl-groups of PhMe₂SiBr, respectively (confirmed by ²⁹Si-NMR, Fig. S4[†]). A catalytic reaction between PhMe₂SiH and H₂O at 2 mol% catalyst loading was followed via FT-IR. This demonstrated full conversion of [MnBr(CO)₅] within 1 hour at 35 °C forming primarily species comprising a tricarbonyl unit "Mn(CO₃)" (Section S10.1[†]). Gas-phase TCD analysis of the headspace of the reaction further supported this assignment showing an average loss of 2 CO per initially charged $[MnBr(CO)_5].$



Taken together, the data indicate that the catalyst activation proceeds *via* loss of CO and formal "hydride-bromide" exchange to give tricarbonyl manganese hydride species of general formula $[MnH(CO)_3(L)_2]$ (L = solvent or water). This differs from earlier literature reports using the dimeric Mn(1) precursor $[{MnBr(CO_4)}_2]$ as a precatalyst for the alcoholysis of PhMe₂SiH and suggesting an oxidative addition/elimination pathway to form $[Mn(SiMe_2Ph)(CO)_4]$ as the active species.^{29a}

Further evidence on the Mn-species formed during the catalytic reaction was obtained from analysis of the solid material obtained after water extraction of the reaction mixture and subsequent evaporation of all volatiles from the aqueous phase at the end of the reaction. The obtained red solid was found to retain some of the initial catalytic activity upon reuse in a second reaction with fresh solvent and substrate (61% conversion after 1 h). X-Ray diffraction of crystals obtained from a portion of the solid upon washing and recrystallization revealed the presence of Mn-5: a cationic mononuclear trans- $[Mn(II)(THF)_4(OH_2)_2]^{2+}$ and two dinuclear anions $[(CO)_6Mn_2(\mu Br_{3}^{-}$ as counterions (Fig. 1). The oxidation of Mn(I) to Mn(I) in the cation most likely results from adventitious oxygen during the crystallization attempts of the small amounts of solid. The presence of the Mn(I) tricarbonyl units in the anionic dimer is again consistent with the proposed key role of this fragment for the catalytic activity in the reaction.

The rate of the reaction was studied in THF- d_8 at 35 °C by variation of the relevant concentration of silane, H₂O, and precatalyst (Fig. 2). Variable Time Normalization Analysis (VTNA)³¹ of the concentration profiles obtained by ¹H-NMR spectroscopy was utilized to determine the overall reaction

orders of the reaction components. The best curve overlaps showed a positive reaction order of 0.9 in [MnBr(CO)₅] and 0.85 in PhMe₂SiH close to unity, and a significant inhibiting effect with a negative reaction order of -0.6 in H₂O while no inhibition was observed for the product PhMe₂SiOH. Notably, neither PhMe₂SiD nor D₂O showed a measurable kinetic isotope effect (Sections S8.5 and S8.6†), suggesting that the cleavage of Si–H or O–H bonds are not involved in the rate-determining step of the reaction.

Scheme 3 shows a proposed reaction mechanism for the catalytic oxidation of silanes to silanols using [MnBr(CO)₅] as a precatalyst that is most consistent with the described experimental data. In the initial activation step, the silane reacts with the precatalyst to form the corresponding bromosilane R₃SiBr and a hydride complex $[MnH(CO)_{5-n}(L)_n]$ with n = 2 as the most likely composition. Hydrolysis of the Si-Br unit leads to the formation of the silanol, and protonation of the Mn-H bond liberates H₂ as the second desired product. The resulting unsaturated complex of the type $[Mn(CO)_3(L)_2]^+$ (C1, Scheme 3; L = solvent, H_2O , or R_3SiOH) can be viewed as the active species carrying the catalytic cycle. Coordination of additional water as L converts C1 into a 6-coordinated off-cycle resting state RS, explaining the negative reaction order in H_2O . Alternatively, re-coordination of Br- would also seem conceivable. This is less likely due to the large excess of water under turnover conditions but would explain the formation of the dimeric Mn(1)-tricarbonyl anion isolated after the reaction. In competition with the other neutral ligands L, σ -coordination of R₃SiH generates the adduct C2 as the next productive species activating the silane for the nucleophilic attack of H_2O .

In line with this proposal, cationic and monomeric Mn(1) complexes such as $[Mn(CO)_3(L)_2]^+$ are known to perform heterolytic cleavage of Si–H bonds already at RT,^{29b,32} and constitute, therefore, plausible candidates as active species in agreement with the absence of H/D kinetic isotope effects. The slight deviations in the reaction orders for $[MnBr(CO)_5]$ and PhMe₂SiH from unity may indicate that the silane could also be involved in slow degradation of the catalyst to inactive species (Section S10.4†).

Conclusions and outlook

We have shown that the commercial, simple, and bench-stable manganese complex $[MnBr(CO)_5]$ is an active precatalyst for the selective oxidation of silanes to silanols using H₂O as the oxidant with stoichiometric hydrogen evolution under neutral conditions. The newly developed protocol allowed the preparation of primary and secondary silanols, including also disilanols, some of which would be incompatible with traditional synthetic procedures. The reaction showed good to excellent selectivity for a broad range of functionalized products and was readily performed on gram-scale. The reaction mechanism has been thoroughly investigated, being most consistent with a catalytic cycle mediated by a Mn(1) tricarbonyl unit involving cationic complexes of general formula $[Mn(CO)_3(L)_3]^+$ (L = solvent, H₂O, or R_nSi(OH)_{4-n}) as active species.

The data presented here may help to rationalize the activity of $[MnBr(CO)_5]$ for catalytic reactions involving the activation and transfer of Si–H groups through further experimental and theoretical work. It will be interesting to see whether similar elementary steps can operate in other manganese catalysis involving E–H cleavage (*e.g.*, hydroboration or (transfer-) hydrogenation). While ligand cooperativity³³ is a very important concept in manganese catalysis, the remarkable activity of ligand-free Mn-carbonyl complexes for σ -bond activation associated with the $[Mn(CO)_3]^+$ framework may offer alternative design criteria for future catalyst systems.

Data availability

The data that support the findings of this study are available in the ESI.†

Author contributions

E. A. performed the experiments, conducted the analytical characterization, and prepared the original draft. M. L. designed and performed the kinetic experiments and their data analysis for the mechanistic studies. N. W. provided assistance in the acquisition and processing of the FT-IR experiments. T. W. collected and analyzed the single-crystal X-ray diffraction data. C. W. and W. L. formulated, supervised, and directed the overall project. C. W. and W. L. revised the manuscript with the contribution of the other authors. All authors have approved the final version of the manuscript.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

We gratefully acknowledge the Max Planck Society for financial support. The authors thank Dr Nicolas Kaeffer for the helpful discussions. The studies were performed as part of our activities in the framework of the "Fuel Science Center" funded by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (DFG, German Research Foundation) under Germany's Excellence Strategy-Exzellenzcluster 2186, The Fuel Science Center "ID: 390919832".

References

- 1 R. Pietschnig, Advances and Properties of Silanol-Based Materials, in *Main Group Strategies towards Functional Hybrid Materials*, 2018, pp. 141–162.
- 2 (a) G. Lambrecht, G. Gmelin, K. Rafeiner, C. Strohmann, R. Tacke and E. Mutschler, *Eur. J. Pharmacol.*, 1988, 151, 155–156; (b) R. Tacke, T. Heinrich, R. Bertermann, C. Burschka, A. Hamacher and M. U. Kassack, *Organometallics*, 2004, 23, 4468–4477; (c) R. Tacke, F. Popp, B. Muller, B. Theis, C. Burschka, A. Hamacher, M. U. Kassack, D. Schepmann, B. Wunsch, U. Jurva and E. Wellner, *ChemMedChem*, 2008, 3, 152–164; (d) A. K. Franz and S. O. Wilson, *J. Med. Chem.*, 2013, 56, 388– 405.
- 3 M. Parasram and V. Gevorgyan, Acc. Chem. Res., 2017, 50, 2038–2053.
- 4 A. G. Schafer, J. M. Wieting and A. E. Mattson, *Org. Lett.*, 2011, 13, 5228–5231.
- 5 (*a*) S. E. Denmark and C. S. Regens, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2008, **41**, 1486–1499; (*b*) S. E. Denmark and A. Ambrosi, *Org. Process Res. Dev.*, 2015, **19**, 982–994.
- 6 (a) J. A. Cella and J. C. Carpenter, J. Organomet. Chem., 1994,
 480, 23-26; (b) V. Chandrasekhar, R. Boomishankar and
 S. Nagendran, Chem. Rev., 2004, 104, 5847-5910; (c)
 M. Jeon, J. Han and J. Park, ACS Catal., 2012, 2, 1539-1549.
- 7 P. D. Lickiss and R. Lucas, J. Organomet. Chem., 1996, 521, 229–234.
- 8 K. Valliant-Saunders, E. Gunn, G. R. Shelton, D. A. Hrovat, W. T. Borden and J. M. Mayer, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2007, 46, 5212–5219.
- 9 W. Adam, R. Mello and R. Curci, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 1990, **29**, 890–891.
- 10 L. Spialter, L. Pazdernik, S. Bernstein, W. A. Swansiger, G. R. Buell and M. E. Freeburger, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2002, 93, 5682–5686.
- 11 J. B. Zimmerman, P. T. Anastas, H. C. Erythropel and W. Leitner, *Science*, 2020, **367**, 397–400.
- 12 (a) S. Tang, Y. Ben-David and D. Milstein, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2020, 142, 5980–5984; (b) J. Luo, S. Kar, M. Rauch, M. Montag, Y. Ben-David and D. Milstein, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2021, 143, 17284–17291; (c) S. Kar and D. Milstein, Acc. Chem. Res., 2022, 55, 2304–2315; (d) S. Kar, J. Luo,

M. Rauch, Y. Diskin-Posner, Y. Ben-David and D. Milstein, *Green Chem.*, 2022, 24, 1481–1487.

- K. Wang, J. Zhou, Y. Jiang, M. Zhang, C. Wang, D. Xue,
 W. Tang, H. Sun, J. Xiao and C. Li, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2019, 58, 6380–6384.
- 14 U. Schubert and C. Lorenz, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1997, **36**, 1258–1259.
- 15 (a) M. Shi and K. M. Nicholas, J. Chem. Res. (S), 1997, 400–401; (b) M. M. Yu, H. Z. Jing, X. Liu and X. F. Fu, Organometallics, 2015, 34, 5754–5758.
- 16 (a) Y. Lee, D. Seomoon, S. Kim, H. Han, S. Chang and P. H. Lee, *J. Org. Chem.*, 2004, 69, 1741–1743; (b) K. Garcés, F. J. Fernández-Alvarez, V. Polo, R. Lalrempuia, J. J. Pérez-Torrente and L. A. Oro, *ChemCatChem*, 2014, 6, 1691–1697.
- 17 (a) G. H. Barnes and N. E. Daughenbaugh, J. Org. Chem., 2002, 31, 885–887; (b) K. Shimizu, T. Kubo and A. Satsuma, Chem. Eur. J., 2012, 18, 2226–2229.
- 18 B. P. S. Chauhan, A. Sarkar, M. Chauhan and A. Roka, *Appl. Organomet. Chem.*, 2009, 23, 385–390.
- 19 (a) T. Mitsudome, A. Noujima, T. Mizugaki, K. Jitsukawa and K. Kaneda, *Chem. Commun.*, 2009, 5302–5304; (b) N. Asao, Y. Ishikawa, N. Hatakeyama, Menggenbateer, Y. Yamamoto, M. Chen, W. Zhang and A. Inoue, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2010, 49, 10093–10095; (c) J. John, E. Gravel, A. Hagege, H. Li, T. Gacoin and E. Doris, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2011, 50, 7533–7536.
- 20 (a) T. Mitsudome, S. Arita, H. Mori, T. Mizugaki, K. Jitsukawa and K. Kaneda, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2008, 47, 7938–7940;
 (b) Y. Kikukawa, Y. Kuroda, K. Yamaguchi and N. Mizuno, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2012, 51, 2434–2437; (c) A. K. L. Teo and W. Y. Fan, *RSC Adv.*, 2014, 4, 37645–37648.
- 21 W. Yang, L. Liu, J. Guo, S. G. Wang, J. Y. Zhang, L. W. Fan, Y. Tian, L. L. Wang, C. Luan, Z. L. Li, C. He, X. Wang, Q. S. Gu and X. Y. Liu, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2022, **61**, e202205743.
- 22 E. A. Ison, R. A. Corbin and M. M. Abu-Omar, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2005, **127**, 11938–11939.

- 23 E. Matarasso-Tchiroukhine, J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun., 1990, 681–682.
- 24 (a) M. Lee, S. Ko and S. Chang, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 2000, 122, 12011–12012; (b) E. Choi, C. Lee, Y. Na and S. Chang, Org. Lett., 2002, 4, 2369–2371; (c) K. Mori, M. Tano, T. Mizugaki, K. Ebitani and K. Kaneda, New J. Chem., 2002, 26, 1536–1538; (d) H. Lv, R. D. Laishram, J. Chen, R. Khan, Y. Zhu, S. Wu, J. Zhang, X. Liu and B. Fan, Chem. Commun., 2021, 57, 3660–3663.
- 25 A. K. Liang Teo and W. Y. Fan, *Chem. Commun.*, 2014, **50**, 7191–7194.
- 26 K. Mashima, Organometallics, 2021, 40, 3497-3505.
- 27 (a) P. Schlichter and C. Werlé, *Synthesis*, 2022, 54, 517–534;
 (b) A. Kaithal, C. Werlé and W. Leitner, *JACS Au*, 2021, 1, 130–136.
- 28 E. Antico, P. Schlichter, C. Werlé and W. Leitner, *JACS Au*, 2021, 1, 742–749.
- 29 (a) B. T. Gregg and A. R. Cutler, Organometallics, 1994, 13, 1039–1043; (b) X. Fang, J. Huhmann-Vincent, B. L. Scott and G. J. Kubas, J. Organomet. Chem., 2000, 609, 95–103; (c) C. N. Scott and C. S. Wilcox, J. Org. Chem., 2010, 75, 253–256.
- 30 M. DiBiase Cavanaugh, B. T. Gregg and A. R. Cutler, *Organometallics*, 1996, **15**, 2764–2769.
- 31 (a) J. Bures, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2016, 55, 2028–2031; (b)
 J. Bures, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2016, 55, 16084–16087; (c)
 C. D. Nielsen and J. Bures, Chem. Sci., 2019, 10, 348–353.
- 32 S. Weber, M. Glavic, B. Stoger, E. Pittenauer, M. Podewitz, L. F. Veiros and K. Kirchner, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2021, 143, 17825–17832.
- 33 (a) H. Grützmacher, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2008, 47, 1814–1818; (b) C. Gunanathan and D. Milstein, Acc. Chem. Res., 2011, 44, 588–602; (c) J. R. Khusnutdinova and D. Milstein, Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 2015, 54, 12236–12273; (d) B. Chatterjee, W. C. Chang, S. Jena and C. Werlé, ACS Catal., 2020, 10, 14024–14055; (e) B. Chatterjee, W. C. Chang and C. Werlé, ChemCatChem, 2021, 13, 1659–1682.