



Cite this: *RSC Adv.*, 2023, 13, 36484

# Synthetic routes to trifluoromethylphenyl diazirine photolabeling reagents containing an alkyne substituent (TPDYNE) for chemical biology applications†

Mingxing Qian,<sup>a</sup> Yuanjian Xu<sup>a</sup> and Douglas F. Covey  <sup>\*abcd</sup>

The trifluoromethylphenyl diazirine (TPD) group is widely used in photoaffinity labeling studies. The TPDYNE group (TPD with an additional alkyne substituent on the phenyl ring) enables the use of click chemistry in conjunction with photoaffinity labeling and expands the utility of the TPD group. New methods for preparing previously known as well as new TPDYNE reagents are reported. Additional methods for preparation of a TPDYNE precursor from which the TPDYNE group can be generated once the precursor is attached to the molecule of interest are also described. Procedures for attaching the TPDYNE or TPDYNE precursor to carboxyl, amino, hydroxyl and alkyne groups are demonstrated using steroids as examples.

Received 24th October 2023  
Accepted 1st December 2023

DOI: 10.1039/d3ra07260f

rsc.li/rsc-advances

The trifluoromethylphenyl diazirine (TPD) group is a widely used photoaffinity labeling (PAL) group for chemical biology applications.<sup>1,2</sup> We previously incorporated the TPD group into analogues of both neurosteroid and cholesterol analogues for photolabeling studies.<sup>3,4</sup> In cases where we wanted to have the option to use click chemistry in conjunction with photolabeling we incorporated an alkyne group into the steroid portion of the analogues.<sup>4</sup> This strategy entails finding a location for the alkyne in the steroid that does not negate biological activity and can require lengthy steroid synthesis. Realizing that placing the alkyne group on the TPD moiety would obviate the development of chemical methods for modifying the steroid, we searched the literature for previous reports of alkyne modified TPD groups.

Two alkyne modified TPD precedents were found in the literature. The first modification involved the initial preparation of the difluoro alkyne **1** (Fig. 1) and its subsequent attachment to an aromatic ring to ultimately produce compound **2**.<sup>5</sup> Although we were able to efficiently attach difluoro alkyne **1** to *t*-butyl(4-iodobenzyl)dimethylsilane to obtain 1-(4-((*tert*-butyldimethylsilyl)methyl)phenyl)-2,2-

difluorobut-3-yn-1-one, the conversion of the ketone group to the diazirine group was not successful. Consequently, we turned our attention to the second reported modification of a TPD group which involves placing the alkyne group on the aromatic ring of the TPD group (**3a**, **3b**).<sup>6</sup> As reported, compound **3b** was incorporated into a dantrolene analogue and shown to photolabel proteins involved in physiological Ca<sup>2+</sup> release from sarcoplasmic reticulum of skeletal muscle and compound **3b** was incorporated into a nucleoside analogue to photolabel microRNA targets.<sup>6,7</sup> Both of these prior studies demonstrate that alkyne modified TPD groups (hereafter referred to as TPDYNE groups) are effective PAL groups.




Fig. 1 Literature precedents for trifluoromethylphenyl diazirines modified with an alkyne group (**1**, **2**, **3a** and **3b**)<sup>5–7</sup> and those synthesized herein (**3a–3e**) by new synthetic procedures.

<sup>a</sup>Department of Developmental Biology, Washington University in St. Louis, 660 S. Euclid Ave., St. Louis, MO, 63110, USA. E-mail: dcovey@wustl.edu

<sup>b</sup>Department of Anesthesiology, Washington University in St. Louis, 660 S. Euclid Ave., St. Louis, MO, 63110, USA

<sup>c</sup>Department of Psychiatry, Washington University in St. Louis, 660 S. Euclid Ave., St. Louis, MO, 63110, USA

<sup>d</sup>Taylor Family Institute for Innovative Psychiatric Research, Washington University in St. Louis, 660 S. Euclid Ave., St. Louis, MO, 63110, USA

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1039/d3ra07260f>


Use of the TPDYNE group in PAL studies has been limited to the studies cited and general methods for attachment of the TPDYNE group to different functional groups have not been reported. Using a newly developed synthetic route we prepared the previously reported compounds **3a** and **3b** as well as the new alkyne TPDYNEs **3c–3e**. We also developed a synthetic route to TPDYNE precursors from which the TPDYNE can be generated once the precursor is attached to the probe of interest. The precursors are useful when the TPDYNE group cannot be efficiently attached to the probe of interest. General methods for the attachment of these PAL groups to carboxylic acid, amine, hydroxyl and alkyne groups are described using steroids as examples.

TPDYNEs **3a–3e** were prepared from 3,5-dibromobenzoic acid methyl ester **4** as detailed in Fig. 2. Reduction of ester **4** with DIBAL-H gave alcohol **5** (93% crude) and subsequent protection of the hydroxyl group with the TBS group gave silyl ether **6** (~100%). Treatment of compound **6** with 1.05 equivalents of *n*-BuLi and paraformaldehyde gave alcohol **7** (46%) and the newly formed hydroxyl group was protected as the MOM ether **8** (90%). The remaining bromo substituent in compound **8** was replaced by a trifluoromethyl ketone group using *n*-BuLi and 1-trifluoroacetyl piperidine yielding compound **9** (82%). Trifluoromethyl ketone **9** was converted into the oxime **10** (64%), tosylated to give compound **11** (85%) and then transformed into the trifluoromethyl diazirine **12** in the standard manner (liquid NH<sub>3</sub> followed by Et<sub>3</sub>N, I<sub>2</sub>; 88%). After removal of the TBS group using TBAF (94%), trifluoromethyl diazirine **13** was converted by MnO<sub>2</sub> oxidation followed by a Bestmann–Ohira reaction to TPDYNE **14** (80% for the two steps). Removal of the MOM protecting group using HCl generated *in situ* from acetyl chloride in MeOH yielded TPDYNE **3a** (88%). Treatment of compound **3a** with CBr<sub>4</sub>/PPh<sub>3</sub> converted it to TPDYNE **3b** (~100%). Treatment of compound **3a** with I<sub>2</sub>, PPh<sub>3</sub> and imidazole gave TPDYNE **3c** (74%). Reaction of compound **3c** with NaN<sub>3</sub> produced TPDYNE **3d** (78%) and reduction of TPDYNE **3d** with PPh<sub>3</sub> yielded TPDYNE **3e** (50%).

As described below, we found that TPDYNE **3b** or **3c**, unlike the analogous TPD compounds, could not be attached in an ether linkage to a hydroxyl group in an acceptable yield. To overcome this difficulty a synthetic route to a TPDYNE precursor from which the TPDYNE group could be formed after the precursor is attached to a hydroxyl group was developed. Fig. 3 shows the synthetic route for the TPDYNE precursor **17** and it was obtained using a different method from the one shown for its preparation in Fig. 2. This alternate method for obtaining compound **7** does not require the use of *n*-BuLi when the method is carried out on a large scale. Accordingly, dimethyl 5-bromoisophthalate **15** was reduced to diol **16** using DIBAL-H (93%). Using 1 equivalent of TBSCl, the mono silylated alcohol **7** was obtained in 36% yield. Both unreacted diol **16** and the disilylated diol also formed in the reaction can be recycled if desired to obtain additional alcohol **7**. Compound **7** was converted to compound **8** (90%) and then converted to compound **13** as described in Fig. 2. Compound **13** was reacted with PPh<sub>3</sub>




Fig. 2 Synthetic route for the conversion of 3,5-dibromobenzoate (**4**) to TPDYNEs **3a–3e**. Reagents and yields: (a) CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, DIBAL-H in hexanes, –45 °C then –20 °C, 2 h (93% crude); (b) TBSCl, imidazole, DMF, 23 °C, 16 h (~100%); (c) (i): THF, 1.05 eq. *n*-BuLi in THF, –78 °C, 1 h; (ii): paraformaldehyde, THF, –78 °C then 23 °C 20 h (46%); (d) MOMCl, *i*-(Pr<sub>2</sub>)NEt, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, 23 °C, 16 h (90%); (e) (i): *n*-BuLi in THF, THF, –78 °C, 1 h; (ii) 1-trifluoroacetyl piperidine in THF, –78 °C, 1.5 h (82%); (f) HONH<sub>2</sub>·HCl, EtOH/Pyr, reflux, 16 h (64%); (g) Et<sub>3</sub>N, TsCl, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, 0 °C, 2 h (85%); (h) (i): liq. NH<sub>3</sub>, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, –78 °C to 23 °C, 16 h; (ii): MeOH, Et<sub>3</sub>N, I<sub>2</sub>, 10 min (88%); (i) TBAF, THF, 23 °C, 16 h (94%); (j) (i): MnO<sub>2</sub>, THF, 23 °C, 4 h; (ii): MeOH/THF, K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, dimethyl (1-diazo-2-oxo-propyl)phosphonate, 23 °C, 16 h under N<sub>2</sub> (80%); (k) MeOH, 5–7% HCl, 23 °C, 2 h (88%); (l) CBr<sub>4</sub>, PPh<sub>3</sub>, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, 23 °C, 1 h (~100%); (m) PPh<sub>3</sub>, imidazole, I<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, 23 °C, 1 h (74%); (n) NaN<sub>3</sub>, DMF, 70 °C, 3 h (78%); (o) PPh<sub>3</sub>, THF/H<sub>2</sub>O, 23 °C, 16 h (50%).

and I<sub>2</sub> to give iodinated TPDYNE precursor **17** (73%). Iodide **17** was converted into azide **18** using NaN<sub>3</sub> (90%) for use as described later.

Reaction conditions for attachment of the TPDYNE group to compounds with an amino or carboxyl were established using steroids containing these substituents at the 17β-position (Fig. 4). Using LiOH in DMF, aminosteroid **19** was reacted with TPDYNE **3c** to give steroid **20** (56%) and the MOM ether was




Fig. 3 Synthetic route for the preparation of TPDYNE precursors 13–17. Reagents and yields: (a) CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, DIBAL-H in hexanes, –45 °C then –20 °C, 4 h (93%); (b) 1 eq. TBSCl, imidazole, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, 23 °C, 16 h (36%); (c) PPh<sub>3</sub>, imidazole, I<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, 1 h (73%); (d) NaN<sub>3</sub>, DMF, 70 °C, 3 h (90%).

removed using HCl in MeOH to obtain steroid **21** (47%). Steroid carboxylic acid **22** was coupled to TPDYNE **3a** in an ester linkage using *N,N'*-dicyclohexylcarbodiimide to give steroid **23** (92%). Steroid **22** was coupled to TPDYNE **3e** in an amide linkage by first reacting the steroid with *N*-hydroxysuccinimide and *N,N'*-dicyclohexylcarbodiimide to give the intermediate *N*-succinimidyl ester (88%) and then reacting this intermediate with TPDYNE **3e** in DMF to give steroid **24** (52%).

As noted above, TPDYNE **3c** could not be attached to a steroid 17β-hydroxyl group in an ether linkage in yields of more than ~5%. Attempted reaction conditions included treating steroid **25** with either NaH or KH in THF at room temperature for 1 h followed by the addition of either **3b** or **3c** and then reflux for 16 h or continuing the reaction at room temperature for 16 h. Consequently, we used the TPDYNE precursor **17** to obtain a hydroxyl group modified with a TPDYNE group in an ether linkage (Fig. 5). Using KH in THF, TPDYNE precursor **17** was reacted with steroid **25** to give steroid **26** (90%). Removal of both MOM protecting groups from steroid **26** using HCl in MeOH gave steroid **27** (~100%). Oxidation of steroid **27** with MnO<sub>2</sub> gave steroid **28** which was immediately reacted with Bestmann–Ohira reagent to give steroid **29** (48% from steroid **27**).

In some circumstances where the nucleophilic groups in the molecule of interest cannot be used to attach a photo-labeling group without losing activity a strategy is needed whereby the TPDYNE group can be attached by means other




Fig. 4 Synthetic procedures for the attachment of the TPDYNE group to carboxylic acid and amine groups using steroids as examples. Reagents and yields: (a) LiOH, DMF, **3c**, 23 °C, 16 h (56%); (b) (i): MeOH, AcCl, 23 °C, 3 h; (ii): 3 N NaOH, pH ~10 (47%); (c) DMAP, *N,N'*-dicyclohexylcarbodiimide, **3a**, 23 °C, 16 h (88%); (d) *N*-hydroxysuccinimide, *N,N'*-dicyclohexylcarbodiimide, 23 °C, 16 h (92%); (e) **3e**, DMF, 23 °C, 16 h (52%).






Fig. 5 Synthetic procedures for attachment of a TPDYNE precursor to a hydroxyl group and its elaboration to attached TPDYNE using a steroid example. Reagents and yields: (a) KH, THF, 15 min; (ii): reflux, overnight (90%); (b) MeOH, AcCl, 23 °C, 3 h (~100%); (c) (i): MnO<sub>2</sub>, THF, rt, 5 h; (ii): THF/MeOH, K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, dimethyl (1-diazo-2-oxo-propyl)phosphonate, 23 °C, 16 h under N<sub>2</sub> (48% from steroid 27).




Fig. 6 Synthetic procedures for the attachment of a TPDYNE precursor to an alkyne group and its elaboration to attached TPDYNE group using a steroid example. Reagents and yields: (a) CuSO<sub>4</sub>, sodium ascorbate, 23 °C, 72 h, (50%); (b) MeOH, AcCl, reflux, 2 h (76%); (c) MnO<sub>2</sub>, THF, 23 °C, overnight, (52%); (d) THF/MeOH, K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub>, dimethyl (1-diazo-2-oxo-propyl)phosphonate, 23 °C, 16 h under N<sub>2</sub> (50%).

than a nucleophilic addition reaction. One such strategy if the molecule of interest contains an alkyne group would be to attach a TPDYNE azide containing reagent to it in a click reaction. TPDYNE **3d**, which was prepared only as an intermediate to prepare TPDYNE **3e**, could possibly be used for this purpose. However, reaction of compound **3e** with itself in the click reaction would likely lead to a poor yield of the desired click reaction with the molecule of interest. To avoid this problem the TPDYNE precursor **18** (Fig. 3) can be used in a click reaction and the TPDYNE group can be formed subsequently using the same sequence (27 to 29) described in Fig. 5. Thus, TPDYNE precursor **18** was attached to steroid **30** in a click reaction (Fig. 6) to form steroid **31** (50%). The MOM group was removed using HCl in MeOH to give steroid **32** (76%). Oxidation of steroid **32** with MnO<sub>2</sub> gave aldehyde **33** (52%) and the TPDYNE modified steroid **34** was formed from the aldehyde using the Bestmann–Ohira reagent (50%).

In conclusion, we report new synthetic methods for the preparation of a group of TPDYNE reagents. Additionally, we report reaction conditions for the attachment these reagents to hydroxyl, amino and carboxylic acid and alkyne groups using steroids as examples. We believe the methods reported will lead to an increased use of the TPDYNE group in chemical biology applications.

## Experimental procedures

### (3-Ethynyl-5-(3-(trifluoromethyl)-3H-diazirin-3-yl)phenyl) methanol (**3a**)

To a stirred solution of compound **14** (188 mg, 0.66 mmol) in MeOH (20 mL) was added 5–7% of HCl (generated *in situ* from acetyl chloride) in MeOH (10 mL) and the reaction was stirred at 23 °C for 1.5 h. Water was added and the product was extracted into CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (100 mL × 3). The combined extracts were washed with water (50 mL), then aqueous NaHCO<sub>3</sub> (100 mL), dried over anhydrous Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> and the solvent removed. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, eluted with 10–25% of EtOAc in hexanes) to afford compound **3a** (ref. 6) (188 mg, 80%): <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 7.50 (s, 1H), 7.24 (s, 1H), 7.14 (s, 1H), 4.66 (s, 2H), 3.12 (s, 1H), 2.09 (s, br, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 142.1, 131.3, 129.8, 129.2, 124.8, 123.3, 123.2 (q, *J* = 274.7 Hz), 82.1, 78.7, 64.0, 28.8 (q, *J* = 41.2 Hz).

### 3-(3-(Bromomethyl)-5-ethynylphenyl)-3-(trifluoromethyl)-3H-diazirine (**3b**)

To a stirred solution of compound **3a** (95 mg, 0.4 mmol) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (10 mL) was added CBr<sub>4</sub> (332 mg, 1 mmol) and PPh<sub>3</sub> (275 mg, 1.05 mmol) at 23 °C. After 1 h, the reaction solution was directly purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, eluted with 5% of EtOAc in hexanes) to afford product **3b** (ref. 6) (121 mg, ~100%): <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 7.56 (s,





1H), 7.26 (s, 1H), 7.15 (s, 1H), 4.40 (s, 2H), 3.15 (s, 1H);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (100 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  139.1, 133.7, 130.2, 129.9, 127.0, 123.8, 120.4 (q,  $J = 275$  Hz), 81.6, 79.2, 31.2, 28.3 (q,  $J = 40.4$  Hz).

### 3-(3-Ethynyl-5-(iodomethyl)phenyl)-3-(trifluoromethyl)-3H-diazirine (3c)

To a stirred solution of compound **3a** (75 mg, 0.33 mmol) in  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$  (10 mL) was added  $\text{PPh}_3$  (113 mg, 0.43 mmol), imidazole (68 mg, 1 mmol) and  $\text{I}_2$  (118 mg, 0.47 mmol). After 1 h, the reaction solution was directly purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, eluted with 10% of EtOAc in hexanes) to afford product **3c** (81 mg, 74%):  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  7.55 (s, 1H), 7.21 (s, 1H), 7.13 (s, 1H), 4.37 (s, 2H), 3.16 (s, 1H);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (100 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  140.8, 133.4, 130.2, 129.4, 126.7, 123.7, 123.1 (q,  $J = 275$  Hz), 81.7, 79.1, 28.2 (q,  $J = 40.5$  Hz).

### 3-(3-(Azidomethyl)-5-ethynylphenyl)-3-(trifluoromethyl)-3H-diazirine (3d)

To a stirred solution of compound **3c** (77 mg, 0.22 mmol) in DMF (4 mL) was added  $\text{NaN}_3$  (65 mg, 1 mmol). The reaction was heated to 70 °C for 3 h. Water (10 mL) was added and the product was extracted into EtOAc ( $2 \times 100$  mL). The combined extracts were washed with brine ( $2 \times 30$  mL), dried over anhydrous  $\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4$  and the solvent removed. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, 5% EtOAc in hexanes) to afford product **3d** (45 mg, 78%):  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  7.50 (s, 1H), 7.30 (s, 1H), 7.10 (s, 1H), 4.37 (s, 2H), 3.17 (s, 1H);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (100 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  137.0, 132.5, 132.2, 129.8, 126.0, 123.8, 123.2 (q,  $J = 275$  Hz), 81.7, 79.2, 53.7, 28.3 (q,  $J = 41$  Hz).

### (3-Ethynyl-5-(3-(trifluoromethyl)-3H-diazirin-3-yl)phenyl)methanamine (3e)

To a stirred solution of compound **3d** (45 mg, 0.17 mmol) in THF/water (10 mL/1 mL) was added  $\text{PPh}_3$  (445 mg, 1.7 mmol) at 23 °C. The reaction was stirred at 23 °C for 16 h. Water (10 mL) was added and the product was extracted into EtOAc ( $2 \times 100$  mL). The combined extracts were dried over anhydrous  $\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4$  and the solvent removed. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, eluted with 50% EtOAc in  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$ ) to afford product **3e** (20 mg, 50%):  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  7.50 (s, 1H), 7.22 (s, 1H), 7.11 (s, 1H), 3.86 (s, 2H), 3.12 (s, 1H), 1.80 (s, br, 2H);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (100 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  144.2, 132.0, 129.7, 128.6, 125.4, 123.3 (q,  $J = 275$  Hz), 123.2, 82.3, 78.5, 45.6, 28.4 (q,  $J = 41$  Hz).

### (3,5-Dibromophenyl)methanol (5)

To a stirred solution of methyl 3,5-dibromobenzoate (**4**, 12.5 g, 42.5 mmol) in  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$  (150 mL) was added DIBAL-H (1.0 M in heptane, 85 mL, 85 mmol) at  $-45$  °C and then raised to  $-20$  °C for 2 h. 1 N HCl was slowly added at 23 °C and stirring continued for 1 h. The product was extracted into  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$  ( $2 \times 150$  mL). The combined extracts were washed with aqueous  $\text{NaHCO}_3$ , water, dried over anhydrous  $\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4$  and the solvent was removed. The residue containing product **5** (11.1 g, 93%

crude) was converted to compound **6** without purification or characterization.

### *tert*-Butyl((3,5-dibromobenzyl)oxy)dimethylsilane (6)

To a stirred solution of crude compound **5** (11.1 g, 41.4 mmol) in DMF (100 mL) was added *tert*-butyldimethylsilyl chloride (8.1 g, 53.8 mmol) and imidazole (107 mmol, 7.28 g) at 23 °C and the reaction was stirred for 16 h. Aqueous  $\text{NaHCO}_3$  was added and the product was extracted into EtOAc (350 mL). The EtOAc was washed with brine ( $3 \times 100$  mL), dried over anhydrous  $\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4$  and the solvent removed. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, eluted with 2% of EtOAc in hexanes) to afford product **6** (15.8 g, ~100%):  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  7.54 (s, 1H), 7.40 (s, 2H), 4.68 (s, 2H), 0.96 (s, 9H), 0.12 (s, 6H);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (100 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  146.5, 132.4 ( $2 \times \text{C}$ ), 127.6 ( $2 \times \text{C}$ ), 122.8, 63.5, 25.9 ( $3 \times \text{C}$ ), 18.4,  $-5.3$  ( $2 \times \text{C}$ ).

### (3-Bromo-5-(((*tert*-butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)methyl)phenyl)methanol (7)

To a stirred solution of compound **6** (16.1 g, 42.4 mmol) in THF (200 mL) was slowly added *n*-BuLi (2.5 M in THF, 17.8 mL, 44.5 mmol) at  $-78$  °C. After 1 h, paraformaldehyde (12 g, 400 mmol) was added, and the mixture was warmed to 23 °C for 20 h. Aqueous  $\text{NaHCO}_3$  (150 mL) was added and the product was extracted into EtOAc ( $2 \times 150$  mL). The combined extracts were dried over anhydrous  $\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4$ , removed and the residue was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, eluted with 25% EtOAc in hexanes) to afford the product **7** (6.49 g, 46%):  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  7.40 (s, 2H), 7.21 (s, 1H), 4.71 (s, 2H), 4.67 (s, 2H), 0.96 (s, 9H), 0.13 (d,  $J = 1.6$  Hz, 6H);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (100 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  143.9, 142.9, 128.3, 128.1, 122.8, 122.5, 64.4, 64.1, 25.9 ( $3 \times \text{C}$ ), 18.4,  $-5.3$  ( $2 \times \text{C}$ ).

## Second procedure for the preparation of compound 7 from compound 16

To a stirred solution of compound **16** (14.2 g, 65 mmol) in DMF (200 mL) was added *tert*-butyldimethylsilyl chloride (9.75 g, 65 mmol) and imidazole (8.84 g, 130 mmol) at 23 °C. After 16 h, the solvent was removed and the residue was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, eluted with 10% EtOAc in hexanes) to give product **7** (7.8 g, 36%):  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  7.37 (s, 1H), 7.32 (s, 1H), 7.14 (s, 1H), 4.67 (s, 2H), 4.54 (s, 2H), 3.10–3.04 (m, 1H), 0.95 (s, 9H), 0.11 (s, 6H);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (100 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  143.7, 142.9, 128.1, 128.0, 122.7, 122.4, 64.1, 64.0, 25.9 ( $3 \times \text{C}$ ), 18.3,  $-5.4$  ( $2 \times \text{C}$ ).

### ((3-Bromo-5-((methoxymethoxy)methyl)benzyl)oxy)(*tert*-butyl)dimethylsilane (8)

To a stirred solution of compound **7** (6.49 g, 19.7 mmol) in  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$  (40 mL) was added (*i*-Pr) $_2\text{NEt}$  (8.4 mL, 60 mmol) and methoxymethyl chloride (3.04 mL, 40 mmol) at 23 °C. After 16 h, aqueous  $\text{NaHCO}_3$  (100 mL) was added and the product was extracted into EtOAc ( $2 \times 150$  mL). The combined extracts were dried over anhydrous  $\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4$ , the solvent removed and the



residue was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, eluted with 10% of EtOAc in hexanes) to afford product **8** (6.65 g, 90%):  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  7.39 (s, 2H), 7.21 (s, 1H), 4.70 (s, 4H), 4.55 (s, 2H), 3.41 (s, 3H), 0.94 (s, 9H), 0.10 (s, 6H);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (100 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  143.8, 140.0, 129.0, 128.2, 123.7, 122.4, 95.7, 68.2, 64.1, 55.4, 25.9 (3  $\times$  C), 18.3,  $-5.3$  (2  $\times$  C).

**1-(3-(((*tert*-Butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)methyl)-5-((methoxymethoxy)methyl)phenyl)-2,2,2-trifluoroethan-1-one (9)**

To a stirred solution of compound **8** (1.0 g, 2.67 mmol) in THF (200 mL) was added *n*-BuLi (1.6 M in THF, 2.2 mL, 3.5 mmol) at  $-78^\circ\text{C}$ . After 1 h, 1-trifluoroacetyl piperidine (1.62 g, 9 mmol) in THF (8 mL) was added through an addition funnel over 45 min. After addition, the reaction was stirred at  $-78^\circ\text{C}$  for 90 min. Aqueous  $\text{NaHCO}_3$  was added at  $-78^\circ\text{C}$  and the reaction was warmed to  $23^\circ\text{C}$  for 30 min. The product was extracted into EtOAc (150 mL  $\times$  2). The combined extracts were dried over anhydrous  $\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4$ , the solvent removed and the residue was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, eluted with 5–10% of EtOAc in hexanes) to afford product **9** (855 mg, 82%):  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  7.98 (s, 1H), 7.94 (s, 1H), 7.65 (s, 1H), 4.81 (s, 2H), 4.72 (s, 2H), 4.65 (s, 2H), 3.41 (s, 3H), 0.95 (s, 9H), 0.11 (s, 6H);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (100 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  180.6 (q,  $J = 35.1$  Hz), 143.1, 139.4, 132.0, 130.1, 127.6, 126.7, 118.1 (q,  $J = 291$  Hz), 96.0, 68.3, 63.9, 55.4, 25.8 (3  $\times$  C), 18.3,  $-5.4$  (2  $\times$  C).

**1-(3-(((*tert*-Butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)methyl)-5-((methoxymethoxy)methyl)phenyl)-2,2,2-trifluoroethan-1-one oxime (10)**

To a stirred solution of compound **9** (871 mg, 2.56 mmol) in EtOH (15 mL) and pyridine (15 mL) was added hydroxylamine hydrochloride at  $23^\circ\text{C}$ . The reaction was refluxed for 16 h. The solvent was removed and the residue was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, eluted with 10–20% of EtOAc in hexanes) to afford oxime **10** (583 mg, 64%). The major isomer had:  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  9.50 (s, 1H), 7.43 (s, 2H), 7.39 (s, 1H), 4.80 (s, 2H), 4.75 (s, 2H), 4.66 (s, 2H), 3.44 (s, 3H), 0.95 (s, 9H), 0.12 (s, 6H);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (100 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  147.4 (q,  $J = 32.1$  Hz), 142.1, 138.2, 127.3, 126.6, 126.4, 125.5, 122.0 (q,  $J = 274$  Hz), 95.7, 68.8, 64.4, 55.4, 25.8 (3  $\times$  C), 18.3,  $-5.3$  (2  $\times$  C).

**1-(3-(((*tert*-Butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)methyl)-5-((methoxymethoxy)methyl)phenyl)-2,2,2-trifluoroethan-1-one O-tosyl oxime (11)**

Tosyl chloride (573 mg, 3 mmol) was added to a stirred, ice cold solution of oxime **10** (583 mg, 1.43 mmol),  $\text{Et}_3\text{N}$  (0.84 mL, 6 mmol), and DMAP (30 mg) in  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$  (20 mL) and the reaction was stirred at  $0^\circ\text{C}$  for 2 h. Aqueous  $\text{NaHCO}_3$  (100 mL) was added and the product was extracted into  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$  (2  $\times$  100 mL). The combined extracts were dried over anhydrous  $\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4$ , the solvent removed and the residue was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, eluted with 10–15% of EtOAc in hexanes) to afford product **11** (683 mg, 85%). The major isomer had:  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  7.89–7.88 (m, 2H), 7.46–7.25 (m, 5H), 4.76 (s, 2H), 4.70 (s, 2H), 4.61 (s, 2H), 3.39 (s, 3H), 2.45

(s, 3H), 0.93 (s, 9H), 0.09 (s, 6H);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (100 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  154.2 (q,  $J = 32.8$  Hz), 146.0, 142.7, 138.9, 131.2, 129.8, 129.7, 129.2, 129.0, 128.1, 125.8, 124.8, 124.6, 120.9 (q,  $J = 277$  Hz), 95.8, 73.2, 64.0, 55.4, 25.8 (3  $\times$  C), 21.7, 18.2,  $-5.4$  (2  $\times$  C).

**3-(3-(((*tert*-Butyldimethylsilyl)oxy)methyl)-5-((methoxymethoxy)methyl)phenyl)-3-(trifluoromethyl)-3H-diazirine (12)**

Condensed anhydrous ammonia (10 mL) was added to a stirred,  $-78^\circ\text{C}$  solution of compound **11** (683 mg, 1.22 mmol) in  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$  (20 mL) and the reaction was slowly warmed to  $23^\circ\text{C}$  and stirring continued for 16 h. Water was added and the product was extracted into  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$  (2  $\times$  100 mL). The  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$  was dried over anhydrous  $\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4$  and removed to give the crude diaziridine intermediate which was used without purification. The crude diaziridine was dissolved in stirred MeOH (20 mL) and  $\text{Et}_3\text{N}$  (1 mL) was added. MeOH saturated with  $\text{I}_2$  was added in portions until a brown color persisted. Excess  $\text{I}_2$  was quenched with aqueous  $\text{Na}_2\text{S}_2\text{O}_3$  (10 mL) and water (20 mL) was added. The product was extracted into  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$  (100 mL  $\times$  2). The combined extracts were dried over anhydrous  $\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4$ , the solvent removed and the residue was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, eluted with 15% of EtOAc in hexanes) to afford diazirine **12** (435 mg, 88%):  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  7.36 (s, 1H), 7.20 (s, 1H), 7.02 (s, 1H), 4.76 (s, 2H), 4.71 (s, 2H), 4.59 (s, 2H), 3.42 (s, 3H), 0.97 (s, 9H), 0.12 (s, 6H);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (100 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  142.8, 138.9, 129.4, 126.2, 123.9, 123.5 (q,  $J = 275$  Hz), 123.2, 95.9, 68.6, 64.2, 55.4, 29.7 (q,  $J = 40.4$  Hz) 25.8 (3  $\times$  C), 18.3,  $-5.4$  (2  $\times$  C).

**3-((Methoxymethoxy)methyl)-5-(3-(trifluoromethyl)-3H-diazirin-3-yl)phenyl)methanol (13)**

To a stirred solution of compound **12** (435 mg, 1.08 mmol) in THF (15 mL) was added tetra *n*-butyl ammonium fluoride (1.0 M in THF, 2 mL, 2 mmol) at  $23^\circ\text{C}$ . After 16 h, THF was removed and the residue was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, eluted with 25–35% of EtOAc in hexanes) to afford product **13** (293 mg, 94%):  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  7.39 (s, 1H), 7.13 (s, 1H), 7.11 (s, 1H), 4.69 (s, 2H), 4.66 (s, 2H), 4.57 (s, 2H), 3.40 (s, 3H), 2.67 (s, br, 1H);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (100 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  142.2, 139.2, 129.6, 127.1, 124.6, 123.9, 123.4 (q,  $J = 274.6$  Hz), 95.9, 68.5, 64.2, 55.4, 28.5 (q,  $J = 40.4$  Hz).

**3-(3-Ethynyl-5-((methoxymethoxy)methyl)phenyl)-3-(trifluoromethyl)-3H-diazirine (14)**

Compound **13** (293 mg, 1.01 mmol) was added to a stirred suspension of activated  $\text{MnO}_2$  (870 mg, 10 mmol) in THF (15 mL) at  $23^\circ\text{C}$  for 4 h. Anhydrous MeOH (10 mL) was added, followed by  $\text{K}_2\text{CO}_3$  (1.38 g, 10 mmol) and dimethyl (1-diazo-2-oxo-propyl)phosphonate (0.3 mL, 2 mmol) and stirring was continued for 16 h at  $23^\circ\text{C}$  under  $\text{N}_2$ . The crude mixture was filtered through a Celite pad and the pad was washed with  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$  (100 mL). The  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$  was removed and the residue was re-dissolved in  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$  (50 mL) which was washed with aqueous  $\text{NaHCO}_3$  (20 mL), brine (20 mL), dried over anhydrous  $\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4$  and the solvent was removed. The residue was purified by flash

column chromatography (silica gel, eluted with 5–10% of EtOAc in hexanes) to afford diazirine **14** (188 mg, 80%):  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  7.51 (s, 1H), 7.26 (s, 1H), 7.14 (s, 1H), 4.70 (s, 2H), 4.56 (s, 2H), 3.39 (s, 3H), 3.12 (s, 1H);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (100 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  139.5, 132.2, 129.7, 129.2, 125.7, 123.3, 120.5 (q,  $J = 274.6$  Hz), 96.0, 82.1, 78.7, 68.0, 55.5, 28.3 (q,  $J = 46.4$  Hz).

### (5-Bromo-1,3-phenylene)dimethanol (**16**)

To a stirred solution of dimethyl 5-bromoisophthalate (**15**, 25 g, 83 mmol) in  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$  (100 mL) was added DIABL-H (1 M in heptane, 400 mL, 400 mmol) at  $-45^\circ\text{C}$ . After addition, the reaction was stirred at  $-20^\circ\text{C}$  for 4 h. EtOEt was added and the reaction was brought to  $0^\circ\text{C}$ . Water (16 mL) was slowly added followed by 15% NaOH solution (16 mL) and water (40 mL). The mixture was warmed to  $23^\circ\text{C}$  and stirred for 15 min. MeOH (100 mL) was added and stirring continued for 15 min. After filtration to remove solids, the solvent was removed and the residue was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, eluted with 5% methanol in  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$ ) to give product **16** (16.8 g, 93%):  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz,  $\text{CD}_3\text{OD}$ ):  $\delta$  7.37 (s, 2H), 7.22 (s, 1H), 4.53 (s, 4H);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (100 MHz,  $\text{CD}_3\text{OD}$ )  $\delta$  145.4, 129.5 (2  $\times$  C), 124.9 (2  $\times$  C), 123.4, 64.4 (2  $\times$  C).

### 3-(3-(Iodomethyl)-5-((methoxymethoxy)methyl)phenyl)-3-(trifluoromethyl)-3H-diazirine (**17**)

To a stirred solution of compound **13** (930 mg, 3.21 mmol) in  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$  (15 mL) was added  $\text{PPh}_3$  (1.26 g, 4.8 mmol), imidazole (435 mg, 6.4 mmol) and then  $\text{I}_2$  (1.22 g, 4.8 mmol added in eight portions). After 1 h, the reaction solution was directly purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, eluted with 5% EtOAc in hexanes) to give product **17** (940 mg, 73%):  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  7.43 (s, 1H), 7.11 (s, 1H), 7.07 (s, 1H), 4.71 (s, 2H), 4.57 (s, 2H), 4.41 (s, 2H), 3.41 (s, 3H);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (100 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  140.5, 139.9, 130.0, 129.0, 125.7, 124.8, 123.3 (q,  $J = 275$  Hz), 95.9, 68.1, 55.4, 28.4 (q,  $J = 40.4$  Hz), 3.5.

### 3-(3-(Azidomethyl)-5-((methoxymethoxy)methyl)phenyl)-3-(trifluoromethyl)-3H-diazirine (**18**)

To a stirred solution of compound **17** (250 mg, 0.63 mmol) in DMF (10 mL) was added  $\text{NaN}_3$  (130 mg, 2 mmol). The reaction was heated to  $70^\circ\text{C}$  and stirred for 3 h. Water (20 mL) was added and the product was extracted into EtOAc (2  $\times$  100 mL). The combined extracts were washed with brine (30 mL  $\times$  2), dried over anhydrous  $\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4$ , the solvent removed and the residue was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, eluted with 5% EtOAc in hexanes) to give product **18** (180 mg, 90%):  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  7.37 (s, 1H), 7.15 (s, 1H), 7.06 (s, 1H), 4.71 (s, 2H), 4.60 (s, 2H), 4.37 (s, 2H), 3.40 (s, 3H);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (100 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  139.9, 136.7, 130.0, 128.3, 125.3, 125.1, 123.3 (q,  $J = 275$  Hz), 95.9, 68.2, 55.4, 54.1, 28.5 (q,  $J = 40.4$  Hz).

### (17 $\beta$ )-N-(3-Ethynyl-5-(3-(trifluoromethyl)-3H-diazirin-3-yl)benzyl)-3-(methoxymethoxy)-5 $\alpha$ -androst-17 $\beta$ -amine (**20**)

To a stirred solution of the 3-methoxymethoxy ether of 17 $\beta$ -amino-5 $\alpha$ -androst-3 $\beta$ -ol (ref. 8) (**19**, 65 mg, 0.193 mmol) in

DMF (4 mL) was added  $\text{LiOH}\cdot\text{H}_2\text{O}$  (101 mg, 0.6 mmol) and 4  $\text{\AA}$  molecular sieves (150 mg) at  $23^\circ\text{C}$ . The suspension was stirred for 30 min, compound **3c** (81 mg, 0.231 mmol) was added and the reaction was stirred at  $23^\circ\text{C}$  for 16 h. The mixture was directly purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, 10–20% of EtOAc in hexanes) to afford steroid **20** (60 mg, 56%):  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  7.52 (s, 1H), 7.21 (s, 1H), 7.15 (s, 1H), 4.69 (s, 2H), 3.83 (dd,  $J = 14.0$ , 6.6 Hz, 2H), 3.53–3.39 (m, 1H), 3.37 (s, 3H), 3.12 (s, 1H), 2.50 (t,  $J = 8.2$  Hz, 1H), 2.03–0.60 (m, 23H), 0.83 (s, 3H), 0.73 (s, 3H);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (100 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  142.7, 132.6, 129.4, 128.5, 126.1, 122.9, 120.6 (q,  $J = 275$  Hz), 94.5, 82.5, 78.3, 76.2, 68.2, 55.1, 54.5, 53.1, 51.7, 44.9, 42.9, 38.0, 37.0, 35.7, 35.4, 35.2, 31.8, 29.5, 28.6, 28.4 (q,  $J = 40$  Hz), 23.7, 20.9, 12.2, 11.9.

### 17 $\beta$ -((3-Ethynyl-5-(3-(trifluoromethyl)-3H-diazirin-3-yl)benzyl)amino)-5 $\alpha$ -androst-3 $\beta$ -ol (**21**)

To a stirred solution of steroid **20** (60 mg, 0.108 mmol) in MeOH (10 mL) was added acetyl chloride (1 mL) at  $23^\circ\text{C}$ . After 3 h, aqueous NaOH was slowly added until pH 11. The product was extracted into  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$  (100 mL  $\times$  2). The combined extracts were dried over anhydrous  $\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4$ , the solvent was removed and the residue was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, eluted with 25% of EtOAc in hexanes) to afford product **21** (314 mg, 47%):  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  7.51 (s, 1H), 7.21 (s, 1H), 7.14 (s, 1H), 3.80 (dd,  $J = 14.0$ , 7.0 Hz, 2H), 3.60–3.56 (m, 1H), 3.12 (s, 1H), 2.50 (t,  $J = 8.5$  Hz, 1H), 2.00–0.61 (m, 24H), 0.82 (s, 3H), 0.73 (s, 3H);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (100 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  142.6, 132.7, 129.4, 128.5, 126.1, 122.9, 120.6 (q,  $J = 275$  Hz), 82.5, 78.3, 71.2, 68.2, 54.5, 53.2, 51.7, 44.8, 42.9, 38.1, 38.0, 37.0, 35.5, 35.4, 31.7, 31.5, 29.5, 28.6, 28.0, 23.7, 20.9, 12.3, 11.9.

### 3-Ethynyl-5-(3-(trifluoromethyl)-3H-diazirin-3-yl)benzyl-3 $\beta$ -hydroxyandrost-5-ene-17 $\beta$ -carboxylate (**23**)

To a stirred solution of steroid **22** (45 mg, 0.142 mmol), compound **3a** (41 mg, 0.17 mmol) and 4-dimethylaminopyridine (35 mg, 0.285 mmol) in  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$  (10 mL) was added  $N,N'$ -dicyclohexylcarbodiimide (35 mg, 0.17 mmol) at  $23^\circ\text{C}$ . After 16 h, the  $\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2$  was removed and the residue was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, 30% of EtOAc in hexanes) to afford product **23** (67 mg, 88%):  $^1\text{H}$  NMR (400 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  7.50 (s, 1H), 7.26 (s, 1H), 7.18 (s, 1H), 5.34–5.29 (m, 1H), 5.13 (q,  $J = 13.3$  Hz, 2H), 3.55–3.47 (m, 1H), 3.14 (s, 1H), 2.43 (t,  $J = 9.3$  Hz, 1H), 2.32–0.68 (m, 20H), 1.00 (s, 3H), 0.64 (s, 3H);  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR (100 MHz,  $\text{CDCl}_3$ )  $\delta$  173.7, 140.8, 137.8, 132.5, 129.8, 128.5, 126.0, 123.4, 123.2 (q,  $J = 275$  Hz), 121.3, 81.9, 78.9, 71.6, 64.5, 56.2, 55.1, 50.0, 44.2, 42.2, 38.2, 37.2, 36.5, 31.9, 31.7, 31.5, 29.7 (q,  $J = 41$  Hz), 24.5, 23.6, 20.8, 19.3, 13.3.

### N-(3-Ethynyl-5-(3-(trifluoromethyl)-3H-diazirin-3-yl)benzyl)-3 $\beta$ -hydroxyandrost-5-ene-17 $\beta$ -carboxamide (**24**)

To a solution of 3 $\beta$ -hydroxyandrost-5-ene-17 $\beta$  carboxylic acid (ref. 9) (**22**, 130 mg, 0.41 mmol) in THF (10 mL) was added  $N$ -hydroxysuccinimide (115 mg, 1 mmol) and  $N,N'$ -dicyclohexylcarbodiimide (206 mg, 1 mmol at  $23^\circ\text{C}$ ). After 16 h, the mixture was filtered through a fritted funnel and washed with





cold EtOAc (20 mL). The EtOAc was removed and the residue was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, 40% EtOAc in hexanes) to afford the previously reported *N*-succinimidyl ester<sup>9</sup> (156 mg, 92%): <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 5.37–5.35 (m, 1H), 3.54–3.53 (m, 1H), 2.84 (d, *J* = 1.7 Hz, 4H), 2.65 (t, *J* = 9.4 Hz, 1H), 2.35–0.96 (m, 22H), 1.03 (s, 3H), 0.85 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 169.3 (2 × C), 140.9, 121.2, 71.6, 56.3, 52.4, 49.9, 45.1, 42.2, 37.6, 37.2, 36.5, 32.0, 31.7, 31.6, 25.6 (2 × C), 24.6, 24.0, 21.0, 19.4, 12.8.

To a stirred solution of previously reported *N*-succinimidyl ester of steroid 22 (22 mg, 0.053 mmol) in DMF (4 mL) was added compound 3e (14 mg, 0.0586 mmol) at 23 °C. After 16 h, DMF was removed and the residue was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, 45% of EtAOc in hexanes) to afford product 24 (15 mg, 52%): <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 7.45 (s, 1H), 7.22 (s, 1H), 7.07 (s, 1H), 5.72 (t, *J* = 5.2 Hz, 1H), 5.36–5.34 (m, 1H), 4.56–4.32 (m, 2H), 3.56–3.47 (m, 1H), 3.13 (s, 1H), 2.33–0.86 (m, 20H), 1.02 (s, 3H), 0.72 (s, 3H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 172.9, 140.8, 140.4, 132.4, 129.9, 129.0, 125.7, 123.5, 121.3, 78.8, 71.7, 57.1, 56.5, 50.1, 43.9, 42.7, 42.2, 38.6, 37.2, 36.5, 33.9, 31.9, 31.8, 31.6, 29.7, 25.6, 24.9, 24.5, 23.7, 20.9, 19.4, 13.1.

### 3-(3-(((3-β-(Methoxymethoxy)-5α-androstan-17β-yl)oxy)methyl)-5-((methoxymethoxy)methyl)phenyl)-3-(trifluoromethyl)-3H-diazirine (26)

To a stirred solution of the 3-methoxymethoxy ether of 5α-androstane-3β,17β-diol (25, 212 mg, 0.63 mmol) in THF (20 mL) was added KH (~1 mmol in mineral oil) at 23 °C. The mixture was stirred for 15 min and then compound 17 was added (470 mg, 1.2 mmol) in THF (5 mL). The mixture was refluxed at 60 °C overnight. Water (20 mL) was added and the product was extracted into EtOAc (2 × 100 mL). The combined extracts were dried over anhydrous Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, the solvent removed and the residue was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, eluted with 10% EtOAc in hexanes) to give product 26 (350 mg, 90%): <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 7.36 (s, 1H), 7.13 (s, 1H), 7.08 (s, 1H), 4.72 (s, 2H), 4.69 (s, 2H), 4.59 (s, 2H), 4.54 (s, 2H), 3.58–3.43 (m, 1H), 3.42 (s, 3H), 3.38 (s, 3H), 0.83 (s, 3H), 0.83 (s, 3H), 1.95–0.76 (m, 23H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 140.7, 139.0, 129.3, 127.5, 124.4, 124.3, 123.5 (q, *J* = 275 Hz), 95.9, 94.5, 88.7, 76.2, 70.7, 68.5, 55.4, 55.1, 54.5, 51.1, 44.9, 43.1, 37.9, 37.0, 35.7, 35.2, 35.2, 31.6, 28.6, 28.6, 28.2 (q, *J* = 41.5 Hz), 27.8, 23.4, 20.8, 12.2, 11.8.

### 17β-((3-(Hydroxymethyl)-5-(3-(trifluoromethyl)-3H-diazirin-3-yl)benzyl)oxy)-5α-androstan-3β-ol (27)

To a stirred solution of steroid 26 (350 mg, 0.57 mmol) in MeOH (20 mL) was added acetyl chloride (3 mL) at 23 °C. After 3 h, water was added and the product was extracted into CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (2 × 50 mL). The combined extracts were dried over anhydrous Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, the solvent removed and the residue was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, eluted with 25–50% EtOAc in hexanes) to give product 27 (300 mg, ~100%): <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 7.36 (s, 1H), 7.09 (s, 1H), 7.06 (s, 1H), 4.67 (s, 2H), 4.52 (s, 2H), 3.57–3.55 (m, 1H), 3.39–3.35 (m, 1H), 0.81 (s,

3H), 0.81 (s, 3H), 2.01–0.61 (m, 24H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 141.9, 140.7, 129.4, 126.6, 124.1, 123.5, 123.4 (q, *J* = 275 Hz), 88.8, 71.3, 70.8, 64.5, 54.4, 51.1, 44.8, 43.1, 38.0, 37.9, 37.0, 35.5, 35.2, 31.6, 31.4, 28.5, 28.2 (q, *J* = 41.5 Hz), 27.8, 23.3, 20.9, 12.3, 11.8.

### 3-(((3-β-Hydroxy-5α-androstan-17β-yl)oxy)methyl)-5-(3-(trifluoromethyl)-3H-diazirin-3-yl)benzaldehyde (28)

To a solution of steroid 27 (300 mg, 0.57 mmol) in THF (20 mL) was added activated MnO<sub>2</sub> (496 mg, 5.7 mmol) at 23 °C. The mixture was stirred for 5 h. The mixture was filtered through Celite, the THF was removed and the residue (280 mg) was directly converted to steroid 29 without purification or characterization.

### 17β-((3-Ethynyl-5-(3-(trifluoromethyl)-3H-diazirin-3-yl)benzyl)oxy)-5α-androstan-3β-ol (29)

To a stirred solution of steroid 28 (280 mg) in THF (5 mL) and MeOH (5 mL) was added dimethyl-1-diazo-2-oxopropyl phosphonate (0.4 mL) and K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (690 mg, fine powder) at 23 °C and stirring was continued for 16 h. The MeOH was removed and water was added. The product was extracted into EtOAc (2 × 50 mL). The combined extracts were dried over anhydrous Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, the solvent was removed and the residue was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, eluted with 25% EtOAc in hexanes) to give steroid 29 (140 mg, 48% from steroid 27): <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 7.47 (s, 1H), 7.20 (s, 1H), 7.14 (s, 1H), 4.49 (s, 2H), 3.60–3.55 (m, 1H), 3.37–3.33 (m, 1H), 3.12 (s, 1H), 0.81 (s, 6H), 1.99–0.59 (m, 23H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 140.8, 131.6, 129.5, 128.8, 125.1, 123.3 (q, *J* = 275 Hz), 123.0, 88.9, 82.3, 78.4, 71.2, 70.3, 54.4, 51.1, 44.8, 43.1, 38.1, 37.9, 37.0, 35.5, 35.2, 31.6, 31.4, 28.5, 28.3 (q, *J* = 41.5 Hz), 27.8, 23.3, 20.8, 12.3, 11.8.

### 17β-((R)-4-(1-(3-((Methoxymethoxy)methyl)-5-(3-(trifluoromethyl)-3H-diazirin-3-yl)benzyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)butan-2-yl)androst-5-en-3β-ol (31)

To a solution of 26,27-dinorcholest-5-en-24-yne-3β-ol (ref. 10) (30, 120 mg, 0.34 mmol) and compound 18 (180 mg, 0.57 mmol) in THF (10 mL) was added sodium ascorbate (198 mg, 1 mmol) and CuSO<sub>4</sub>·5H<sub>2</sub>O (125 mg, 0.5 mmol) dissolved in water (5 mL) at 23 °C. After 72 h, the product was extracted into CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (2 × 20 mL). The combined organic extracts were dried over anhydrous Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, the solvent was removed and the residue was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, eluted with 50% EtOAc in hexanes) to give product 31 (115 mg, 50%): <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 7.25 (s, 1H), 7.18 (s, 1H), 7.15 (s, 1H), 6.96 (s, 1H), 5.46 (s, 2H), 5.31 (d, *J* = 4.3 Hz, 1H), 4.67 (s, 2H), 4.53 (s, 2H), 3.52–3.46 (m, 1H), 3.36 (s, 3H), 0.98 (s, 3H), 0.96 (d, *J* = 6.6 Hz, 3H), 0.64 (s, 3H), 2.78–0.88 (m, 26H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 149.6, 140.8, 140.2, 136.2, 130.2, 128.1, 125.6, 124.9, 123.2 (q, *J* = 275 Hz), 121.4, 120.4, 96.0, 71.5, 68.1, 56.6, 55.7, 55.4, 53.3, 50.0, 42.3, 42.2, 39.7, 37.2, 36.4, 35.6, 35.5, 31.8 (2 × C), 31.5, 28.4 (q, *J* = 41.5 Hz), 28.1, 24.1, 22.3, 21.0, 19.3, 18.4, 11.7.



**17 $\beta$ -((R)-4-(1-(3-(Hydroxymethyl)-5-(3-(trifluoromethyl)-3H-diazirin-3-yl)benzyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)butan-2-yl)androst-5-en-3 $\beta$ -ol (32)**

To a solution of compound **31** (115 mg, 0.17 mmol) in MeOH (10 mL) was added 6 N HCl (1 mL). The reaction was refluxed 2 h and cooled to 23 °C. A 10% NaOH solution was added dropwise to reach pH 8–9. The product was extracted into CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (3  $\times$  20). The combined organic extracts were dried over anhydrous Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, the CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> was removed and the residue was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, eluted with EtOAc) to give product **32** (80 mg, 76%): <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  7.29 (s, 1H), 7.19 (s, 1H), 7.16 (s, 1H), 6.96 (s, 1H), 5.45 (s, 2H), 5.33 (d,  $J$  = 4.3 Hz, 1H), 4.67 (s, 2H), 3.53–3.47 (m, 1H), 0.98 (s, 3H), 0.96 (d,  $J$  = 6.6 Hz, 3H), 0.65 (s, 3H), 2.74–0.87 (m, 27H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  149.6, 143.4, 140.7, 136.1, 130.2, 127.4, 124.8, 124.7, 123.2 (q,  $J$  = 275 Hz), 121.6, 120.5, 71.7, 63.8, 56.7, 55.7, 53.4, 50.0, 42.3, 42.2, 39.7, 37.2, 36.4, 35.6, 35.5, 31.8 (2  $\times$  C), 31.6, 28.4 (q,  $J$  = 41.5 Hz), 28.2, 24.2, 22.4, 21.0, 19.3, 18.5, 11.8.

**3-((4-((3R)-3-(3 $\beta$ -Hydroxy-androst-5-en-17-yl)butyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazol-1-yl)methyl)-5-(3-(trifluoromethyl)-3H-diazirin-3-yl) benzaldehyde (33)**

To a solution of compound **32** (80 mg, 0.13 mmol) in THF (5 mL) was added activated MnO<sub>2</sub> (326 mg, 3.75 mmol) at 23 °C. The reaction mixture was efficiently stirred overnight and then filtered through Celite. The THF was removed and the residue was purified by flash column chromatography (silica gel, eluted with 50% EtOAc in hexanes) to give product **33** (43 mg, 52%): <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  9.97 (s, 1H), 7.77 (s, 1H), 7.68 (s, 1H), 7.30 (s, 1H), 7.25 (s, 1H), 5.57 (s, 2H), 5.33 (d,  $J$  = 4.3 Hz, 1H), 4.67 (s, 2H), 3.52–3.48 (m, 1H), 0.99 (s, 3H), 0.98 (d,  $J$  = 6.6 Hz, 3H), 0.65 (s, 3H), 2.78–0.82 (m, 24H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>)  $\delta$  190.2, 140.7, 137.6, 131.4, 130.9, 129.7, 127.6, 123.2 (q,  $J$  = 275 Hz), 121.6, 120.5, 71.7, 56.7, 55.8, 52.8, 50.0, 42.3, 42.2, 39.7, 37.2, 36.4, 35.6, 35.5, 31.8 (2  $\times$  C), 31.6, 31.5, 28.4 (q,  $J$  = 41.5 Hz), 28.2, 24.2, 22.6, 22.4, 21.0, 19.4, 18.5, 11.8.

**17 $\beta$ -((R)-4-(1-(3-Ethynyl-5-(3-(trifluoromethyl)-3H-diazirin-3-yl)benzyl)-1H-1,2,3-triazol-4-yl)butan-2-yl)androst-5-en-3 $\beta$ -ol (34)**

To a solution of steroid **33** (43 mg, 0.07 mmol) in THF (2 mL) and MeOH (2 mL) was added dimethyl-1-diazo-2-oxopropyl phosphonate (0.1 mL) and K<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (70 mg, fine powder) at 23 °C. After 16 h, the solvent was removed and water was added. The product was extracted into EtOAc (2  $\times$  20 mL). The combined organic extracts were dried over anhydrous Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, the solvent was removed and the residue was purified by flash chromatography (silica gel, eluted with 50% EtOAc in hexanes) to give product **34** (21 mg, 50%): <sup>1</sup>H NMR (400 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>OD)  $\delta$  7.37 (s, 1H), 7.29 (s, 1H), 7.19 (s, 1H), 7.00 (s, 1H), 5.46 (s, 2H), 5.34 (d,  $J$  = 4.3 Hz, 1H), 3.54–3.48 (m, 1H), 3.15 (s, 1H), 1.00 (s, 3H), 0.98

(d,  $J$  = 6.6 Hz, 3H), 0.65 (s, 3H), 2.82–0.83 (m, 26H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (100 MHz, CD<sub>3</sub>OD)  $\delta$  149.8, 140.7, 136.5, 132.4, 130.5, 130.2, 125.8, 124.2, 123.2 (q,  $J$  = 275 Hz), 121.6, 120.4, 81.4, 79.7, 71.7, 56.7, 55.8, 53.0, 50.0, 42.3, 42.2, 39.7, 37.2, 36.4, 35.6, 35.5, 31.8 (2  $\times$  C), 31.6, 28.4 (q,  $J$  = 41.5 Hz), 28.2, 24.2, 22.4, 21.0, 19.4, 18.5, 11.8.

## Author contributions

DFC and MQ took part in the conceptualization of the study. MQ and YX carried out the chemical synthesis and characterization of the compounds. DFC wrote the original manuscript. All authors contributed to the final version of the manuscript.

## Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

## Acknowledgements

This study was supported by Grant 1 P50 MH122379 from the National Institutes of Health and the Taylor Family Institute for Innovative Psychiatric Research.

## References

- 1 J. R. Hill and A. A. B. Robertson, *J. Med. Chem.*, 2018, **61**, 6945–6963.
- 2 A. V. West, G. Muncipinto, H.-Y. Wu, A. C. Huang, M. T. Labenski, L. H. Jones and C. M. Woo, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2021, **143**, 6691–6700.
- 3 Z.-W. Chen, J. R. Bracamontes, M. M. Budelier, A. L. Germann, D. J. Shin, K. Kathiresan, M. Qian, B. Manion, W. W. L. Cheng, D. E. Reichert, G. Akk, D. F. Covey and A. S. Evers, *PLoS Biol.*, 2019, **17**, 3000157.
- 4 K. Krishnan, M. Qian, M. Feltes, Z.-W. Chen, S. Gale, L. Wang, Y. Sugawara, D. E. Reichert, J. E. Schaffer, D. S. Ory, A. S. Evers and D. F. Covey, *ACS Chem. Biol.*, 2021, **16**, 1493–1507.
- 5 N. S. Kumar and R. N. Young, *Bioorg. Med. Chem.*, 2009, **17**, 5388–5395.
- 6 T. Hosoya, T. Hiramatsu, T. Ikemoto, H. Aoyama, T. Ohmae, M. Endo and M. Suzuki, *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.*, 2005, **15**, 1289–1294.
- 7 K. Nakamoto, Y. Akao and Y. Ueno, *Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett.*, 2018, **28**, 2906–2909.
- 8 S. D. Taylor and J. Harris, *Steroids*, 2011, **76**, 1098–1102.
- 9 I. Cerny, M. Budesinsky, V. Pouzar and P. Drasar, *Collect. Czech. Chem. Commun.*, 2001, **66**, 933–946.
- 10 H. J. Lee, W. Zhang, D. Zhang, Y. Yang, B. Liu, E. L. Barker, K. K. Buhman, L. V. Slipchenko, M. Dai and J.-X. Cheng, *Sci. Rep.*, 2015, **5**, 7930.

