


Cite this: *RSC Adv.*, 2023, 13, 33167

Received 27th September 2023  
Accepted 6th November 2023

DOI: 10.1039/d3ra06582k

rsc.li/rsc-advances

# Copper-promoted *S*-arylation reactions with triarylbismuths for the synthesis of diaryl sulfides†

Mei Nie,<sup>ab</sup> Xuehao Zhou,<sup>c</sup> Jingjie Tang,<sup>ab</sup> Dongting Huang,<sup>ab</sup> Xinsheng Xiao<sup>d</sup> and Jianwei Xie<sup>ib</sup>\*<sup>d</sup>

A simple approach for copper-promoted *S*-arylation reactions utilizing triarylbismuths or triarylantimonys as arylating reagents has been described. These reactions can be performed under mild conditions and exhibit remarkable functional group tolerance and chemoselectivity. The corresponding 2-arylthiopyridine 1-oxide derivatives and arylthioanilines/phenols have been successfully synthesized, achieving good to excellent yields across over 49 examples.

## 1. Introduction

Sulfur containing compounds are common and useful motifs in numerous natural products, functional materials, and other biologically active molecules, and also serve as versatile intermediates in organic synthesis.<sup>1,2</sup> Especially in the pharmaceutical industry, the impact of organosulfur compounds is extraordinary (Scheme 1). A recent survey of pharmaceuticals revealed that more than 20% of the top 200 brand name drugs, as measured by U.S. retail sales and total U.S. prescriptions in 2011, contain organosulfur structures.<sup>3</sup> Therefore, developing simple, efficient and practical methods to construct these molecules attracted considerable attention. Over the past three decades, many successful tools for ligand-assisted Cu-catalyzed Ullmann-type C–S cross-coupling reactions have been established for the preparation of organosulfur molecules, which have been well-reviewed by several groups.<sup>4–9</sup> However, compared with C–N and C–O coupling reactions, the Cu-catalyzed C–S bond formations are less studied, partially due to the strong coordinating and adsorptive properties of sulfur-containing compounds which lead to catalyst poisoning and render the catalytic reactions ineffective.<sup>4</sup> In addition, the thiols used as the sulfur source generally have unpleasant smell and are easy to be oxidized during the

whole process.<sup>10</sup> Much effort is then still needed to further improve the efficiency and generality of copper-based *S*-arylation reactions.

Organobismuth compounds, a class of organometallic reagents, exhibit several important applications in the field of medicinal chemistry, material science and organic synthesis, attributable to their facile preparation, low or non-toxic, chemical stability and high reaction activity.<sup>11–13</sup> Among these, trivalent organobismuths such as triarylbismuths (Ar<sub>3</sub>Bi) have proved to be a kind of effective alternative arylating agents, supplanting the frequently used aryl halides and arylboronic acids, in copper-mediated C–S cross-coupling reactions.<sup>14–17</sup> Recently, our group reported that copper pyridine (bis(1-hydroxy-1*H*-pyridine-2-thionato-*O,S*)copper, CuPT) could act as both the coupling partner and copper center for C–S coupling reactions with aryl iodides and bromides as the arylating reagents. In this process, CuPT could be *S*-arylated smoothly under conventional heating (80 °C for ArI and 100 °C for ArBr) or microwave irradiation (110 °C for ArI and 130 °C for ArBr) with high yields and excellent functional group tolerance.<sup>18</sup> On the other side, we also found that Ar<sub>3</sub>Bi could serve as arylating reagents in Cu-promoted *N*-arylation of secondary and some heteroaryl and aliphatic primary amines with high efficiency.<sup>19</sup> Based on these, we reasonably considered whether Ar<sub>3</sub>Bi instead of arylhalides could be more efficient for the C–S coupling reaction with CuPT as the green sulfur source. Therefore, we herein wish to report our results on the employment of Ar<sub>3</sub>Bi as mild and efficient arylating reagents in Cu-based C–S coupling reactions for the synthesis of 2-arylthiopyridine 1-oxide derivatives and arylthioanilines/phenols with over 49 examples (Scheme 2).

## 2. Experimental

### 2.1 General methods

Unless otherwise stated, all reagents were purchased from commercial suppliers (such as Adamas-beta and Energy Chemical) and were used without further purification. Column

<sup>a</sup>Institute of Biological and Medical Engineering, Guangdong Academy of Sciences, Guangzhou 510316, China


<sup>b</sup>Guangdong Province Engineering Research Center for Green Technology of Sugar Industry, Guangzhou 510316, China

<sup>c</sup>School of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering/The Key Laboratory for Green Processing of Chemical Engineering of Xinjiang Bingtuan, Shihezi University, Shihezi 832003, China


<sup>d</sup>College of Chemistry and Bioengineering, Hunan University of Science and Engineering, Yongzhou 425199, China. E-mail: cesxjw@foxmail.com

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: Characterization data and copies of <sup>1</sup>NMR, <sup>13</sup>CNMR and <sup>19</sup>F NMR spectra of compound 3, 6 and 7. See DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1039/d3ra06582k>





Scheme 1 Some representative S-containing scaffolds in biologically active molecules.



Scheme 2 Previous works and our work for the S-arylation with  $\text{Ar}_3\text{Bi}$  or  $\text{Ar}_3\text{Sb}$ .

chromatography and thin-layer chromatography were performed with silica gel (200–300 mesh) and  $\text{GF}_{254}$  plates purchased from Qingdao Haiyang Chemical Co. Ltd.  $^1\text{H}$  NMR and  $^{13}\text{C}$  NMR were recorded on a Bruker Avance III HD 400 instrument using TMS as the internal standard and  $\text{DMSO}-d_6$  or  $\text{CDCl}_3$  as the solvent. High-resolution mass spectrum (HRMS) was recorded on a Thermo Scientific LTQ Orbitrap XL instrument under the ESI or APCI ion source.

## 2.2 $\text{Ar}_3\text{Bi}$ or $\text{Ar}_3\text{Sb}$ used in this work

$\text{Ph}_3\text{Bi}$  and  $\text{Ph}_3\text{Sb}$  were purchased from Adamas-beta and used without further purification. Other triarylbismuths and triarylsbismuths were synthesized according to the reported methods.<sup>19–21</sup>

## 2.3 General procedure for the reaction between CuPT and $\text{Ar}_3\text{Bi}$ or $\text{Ar}_3\text{Sb}$

To a 25 mL Schlenk tube was added CuPT (0.30 mmol),  $\text{Ar}_3\text{Bi}$  or  $\text{Ar}_3\text{Sb}$  (0.30 or 0.60 mmol), pyridine (0.30 mmol) and DMF

(1 mL). The mixture was stirred at 50 or 70 °C for 12 hours under air. After cooling to room temperature, saturated brine was added and the mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate ( $3 \times 10$  mL). The organic phase was collected, dried over anhydrous  $\text{Na}_2\text{SO}_4$ , filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography on silica gel (petroleum ether:ethyl acetate = 1 : 1 as the eluent) to afford the target products **3a–3q** in Table 2.


## 2.4 General procedure for the reaction between NaPT and $\text{Ar}_3\text{Bi}$ or $\text{Ar}_3\text{Sb}$

To a 25 mL Schlenk tube was added 2-mercaptopyridine *N*-oxide sodium (NaPT) salt (0.30 mmol),  $\text{Ar}_3\text{Bi}$  or  $\text{Ar}_3\text{Sb}$  (0.30 or 0.60 mmol),  $\text{CuSO}_4 \cdot 5\text{H}_2\text{O}$  (0.15 mmol), pyridine (0.30 mmol) and DMF (1 mL). The reaction was carried out under the same conditions as the synthesis for the reaction between CuPT and  $\text{Ar}_3\text{Bi}$  or  $\text{Ar}_3\text{Sb}$  as mentioned before to afford the target products **3a–3j**, **3l** and **3m** in Table 4.






**Table 1** Optimization of the reaction conditions between CuPT and Ph<sub>3</sub>Bi<sup>a</sup>



Entry	Solvent	Base (equiv.)	Temp. (°C)	Time (h)	Yield <sup>b</sup> (%)
1	CH <sub>2</sub> Cl <sub>2</sub>	Pyridine (2.0)	50	20	32
2	MeCN	Pyridine (2.0)	50	20	93
3	DMSO	Pyridine (2.0)	50	20	98
4	DMF	Pyridine (2.0)	50	20	99
5	Toluene	Pyridine (2.0)	50	20	54
6	DMF	K <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub> (2.0)	50	20	58
7	DMF	CS <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub> (2.0)	50	20	35
8	DMF	K <sub>3</sub> PO <sub>4</sub> (2.0)	50	20	44
9	DMF	Et <sub>3</sub> N (2.0)	50	20	98
10	DMF	KOH (2.0)	50	20	75
11	DMF	DIPEA (2.0)	50	20	95
12	DMF	Pyridine (0.5)	50	20	82
13	DMF	Pyridine (1.0)	50	20	93
14	DMF	Pyridine (1.0)	50	20	97 <sup>c</sup>
15	DMF	Pyridine (1.0)	50	20	73 <sup>d</sup>
16	DMF	Pyridine (1.0)	40	20	94 <sup>c</sup>
17	DMF	Pyridine (1.0)	r.t.	20	71 <sup>c</sup>
18	DMF	Pyridine (1.0)	50	12	96 <sup>c</sup>
19	DMF	Pyridine (1.0)	50	7	46 <sup>c</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Reaction conditions: **1** (0.3 mmol), **2a** (0.6 mmol, 2.0 equiv.), base, solvent (1 mL), open air. <sup>b</sup> Isolated yield (based on CuPT with double pyrrhione). <sup>c</sup> **2a** (0.3 mmol, 1.0 equiv.). <sup>d</sup> **2a** (0.2 mmol, 0.67 equiv.).

Table 2 Scope for the coupling reactions between CuPT and Ar<sub>3</sub>Bi or Ar<sub>3</sub>Sb<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Reaction conditions: **1** (0.3 mmol), **2** (0.3 mmol, 1.0 equiv.), pyridine (0.3 mmol, 1.0 equiv.), DMF (1 mL), 50 °C, 12 h, open air; isolated yield (based on CuPT with double pyrrhione). <sup>b</sup> Reaction at 70 °C. <sup>c</sup> Ar<sub>3</sub>Bi (0.6 mmol, 2.0 equiv.). <sup>d</sup> Yield for Ar<sub>3</sub>Sb (0.6 mmol, 2 equiv.).

## 2.5 General procedure for the reaction between Ar<sub>3</sub>Bi with aminobenzenethiols or mercaptophenols

To a 25 mL Schlenk tube was added aminobenzenethiol or mercaptophenol (0.30 mmol), Ar<sub>3</sub>Bi (0.30 mmol), Cu(OAc)<sub>2</sub> (0.30 mmol), pyridine (0.30 mmol) and DMF (1 mL). The mixture was stirred at 50 °C for 12 hours. After cooling to room

temperature, saturated brine was added and the mixture was extracted with ethyl acetate (3 × 10 mL). The organic phase was collected, dried over anhydrous Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash column chromatograph on silica gel (petroleum ether: ethyl acetate = 20 : 1 or 5 : 1 as the eluent) to afford the target products **6a-6f** and **7a-7g** in Table 5.



### 3. Results and discussion

As shown in Table 1, the reaction conditions were initially explored using CuPT (**1**) and Ph<sub>3</sub>Bi (**2a**) as the model substrates. Being a bispyrithione-copper(II) complex of CuPT, 2 equiv. of Ph<sub>3</sub>Bi relative to CuPT was firstly used as the arylating reagent and without the addition of any other copper source for this transformation. Screening the solvent indicated that DMF was the best one and exhibited an excellent yield (99%) of the desired product **3a** (entries 1–5). Among various bases examined, organic bases, such as pyridine, Et<sub>3</sub>N and DIPEA demonstrated higher yields than inorganic bases, but decreasing the amount led to inferior results (entries 6–13). Interestingly, we were pleased to find that reducing the Ph<sub>3</sub>Bi amount to 1.0 equiv. did not decrease the efficiency and gave the coupling product in 97% yield (entry 14). This result indicated that all the phenyl groups could be transferred from Ph<sub>3</sub>Bi in the reaction; however, further reducing the amount resulted in an obviously decreased yield (entry 15). Lower results were observed when dropping the reaction temperature or shortening the reaction time (entries 16–19). Finally, in the C–S coupling reaction between CuPT and Ph<sub>3</sub>Bi, a yield of 96% was gained when 1.0 equiv. Ph<sub>3</sub>Bi as well as 1.0 equiv. of pyridine were used in DMF at 50 °C for 12 h in the open air (entry 18), which was chosen as the optimal conditions.

With the optimal conditions in hand, the substrate scopes of Ar<sub>3</sub>Bi were subsequently investigated. As indicated in Table 2, a wide range of diverse triarylbiomuths could be coupled with CuPT efficiently, giving the corresponding 2-arylthiopyridine 1-oxide derivatives with high yields in general (**3a–3q**), which needed to increase the reaction temperature and/or the loading of triarylbiomuths for some cases. It is clear that the electronic factor has only a slight impact on the efficiency, as triarylbiomuths bearing electron-donating, electron-withdrawing, or electron-neutral groups at the *para*-, *meta*-, or *ortho*- positions of the aromatic ring performed well under the optimal conditions. Notably, sterically hindered substrates could be converted without any difficulty in the protocol, including 2-methyl, 2,4-dimethyl, 2-methoxy, and 1-naphthyl substituted compounds (**3b**, **3e**, **3f** and **3q**). In view of hypervalent organoantimony compounds previously used as aryl donors in some coupling reactions,<sup>22,23</sup> we explored the feasibility of Ar<sub>3</sub>Sb in the current reaction. Fortunately, it is notable that Ar<sub>3</sub>Sb could act as an efficient arylating partner for the C–S crossing coupling as well, affording the desired products in 93–99% yields under the optimal reaction conditions (**3a**, **3c** and **3l**).

Considering that the CuPT complex can be easily synthesized from NaPT and CuSO<sub>4</sub> in alkali solution, a mixture of NaPT and external copper source was then tested as an alternative to CuPT for both the catalyst and coupling partner in the reaction. As shown in Table 3, after evaluating the effects of the copper sources and base, it could be seen that the optimal conditions employed 0.5 equiv. of CuSO<sub>4</sub>·5H<sub>2</sub>O, 1.0 equiv. of Ph<sub>3</sub>Bi and 0.5 equiv. of pyridine relative to the amount of NaPT

Table 3 Optimization of the copper-promoted coupling reaction between NaPT and Ph<sub>3</sub>Bi<sup>a</sup>

Entry	[Cu] (equiv.)	Base (equiv.)	Yield <sup>b</sup> (%)
1	Cu(OAc) <sub>2</sub> (1.0)	Pyridine (1.0)	66
2	CuBr <sub>2</sub> (1.0)	Pyridine (1.0)	90
3	Cu (1.0)	Pyridine (1.0)	64
4	CuO (1.0)	Pyridine (1.0)	Trace
5	CuSO <sub>4</sub> (1.0)	Pyridine (1.0)	Trace
6	CuSO <sub>4</sub> ·5H <sub>2</sub> O (1.0)	Pyridine (1.0)	91
7	CuSO <sub>4</sub> ·5H <sub>2</sub> O (1.0)	Pyridine (1.0)	56 <sup>c</sup>
8	—	Pyridine (1.0)	NR
9	CuSO <sub>4</sub> ·5H <sub>2</sub> O (0.5)	Pyridine (1.0)	95
10	CuSO <sub>4</sub> ·5H <sub>2</sub> O (0.25)	Pyridine (1.0)	61
11	CuSO <sub>4</sub> ·5H <sub>2</sub> O (0.5)	Pyridine (0.5)	95

<sup>a</sup> Reaction condition: **5** (0.3 mmol), Ph<sub>3</sub>Bi (0.3 mmol), pyridine, DMF (1 mL), 50 °C, 12 h, open air. <sup>b</sup> Isolated yields. <sup>c</sup> In a sealed tube.







Table 4 Scope for the coupling reaction between NaPT and Ar<sub>3</sub>Bi or Ar<sub>3</sub>Sb<sup>a</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Reaction conditions: **5** (0.3 mmol), Ar<sub>3</sub>Bi (0.3 mmol), 1.0 equiv., CuSO<sub>4</sub>·5H<sub>2</sub>O (0.5 equiv.), pyridine (0.5 equiv.), DMF (1 mL), 50 °C, 12 h, open air; isolated yield. <sup>b</sup> Reaction at 70 °C. <sup>c</sup> Yield for Ar<sub>3</sub>Sb (0.6 mmol, 2 equiv.).




in DMF at 50 °C for 12 h under ambient conditions, generating the 95% yield of the coupling product **3a** (entry 11). As summarized in Table 4, triarylbi-muths with a variety of functional groups have also been successfully applied to the *S*-arylation of NaPT and exhibited a broad substrate scope and compatibility, giving the similar high yields with CuPT. Moreover, the Ar<sub>3</sub>Sb compounds have also been demonstrated to be suitable for these coupling reactions with 70–96% yields (**3a**, **3c**, **3j** and **3l**).

Having established the method for *S*-arylation of CuPT or NaPT with triarylbi-muths or triarylantimonys, the possibilities of chemoselective arylation of aminobenzenethiols or mercaptophenols were evaluated under the same reaction conditions, which was listed in Table 5. Similar to the *S*-arylation of NaPT, the present protocol tolerates various functional groups in the substrate along with high levels of chemoselectivity (chemoselectivity order *S* > *N* > *O*).<sup>24</sup> The –OH or –NH<sub>2</sub> groups bearing at *ortho*-, *meta*- or *para*-position of aminobenzenethiol or mercaptophenol all did not


influence the activity under the optimized conditions, further showing the non-obvious steric effect of the present catalytic system.

On the basis of the experiment results and the related reports,<sup>11,15</sup> a plausible reaction mechanism for the Cu-promoted *S*-arylation reactions with triarylbi-muth reagents under basic condition was illustrated in Scheme 3. The catalytic process was similar to that of the Cu-promoted *N*-arylation of secondary and primary amines with triarylbi-muths that we reported very recently.<sup>19</sup> The first step of the reaction would be the formation of a copper(III) intermediate **I** from Ar<sub>3</sub>Bi, Cu(OAc)<sub>2</sub> and the *S*-containing nucleophiles (CuPT, NaPT and ArSH), through the process of disproportionation of copper(II) species, transmetalation of aryl moiety from the bismuth to copper center, and deprotonation of the *S*-nucleophiles by pyridine. Then, the reductive elimination of **I** gave the *S*-arylated products and copper(I) acetate.

Table 5 Selective C–S coupling of Ar<sub>3</sub>Bi with aminobenzenethiols or mercaptophenols<sup>a</sup>



<sup>a</sup> Reaction conditions: aminobenzenethiols or mercaptophenols (0.3 mmol), Ar<sub>3</sub>Bi (0.3 mmol, 1.0 equiv.), Cu(OAc)<sub>2</sub> (0.3 mmol, 1.0 equiv.), pyridine (0.3 mmol, 1.0 equiv.), DMF (1 mL), 50 °C, 12 h, sealed tube; isolated yield.



Scheme 3 Proposed mechanism for Cu-promoted S-arylation reactions with  $\text{Ar}_3\text{Bi}$ .

## 4. Conclusions

In summary, an efficient approach has been developed for copper-promoted C–S crossing coupling reactions by using triarylbi- and antimony reagents under mild conditions. Applying this method, the S-arylation of CuPT or NaPT proceeded effectively and displayed good functional group compatibility in the presence of a variety of triarylbi- or antimony reagents, giving the corresponding 2-arylthiopyridine 1-oxide derivatives in good to excellent yields. Moreover, the reaction shows effective chemoselectivity for S-arylation of aminobenzenethiols or mercaptophenols. This protocol provides a new tool for the synthesis of C–S coupling products with green sulfur sources and  $\text{Ar}_3\text{Bi}/\text{Ar}_3\text{Sb}$  as arylating reagents. Further applications of triarylbi- and triarylsantimonies with other coupling partners are in progress.

## Author contributions

Mei Nie and Xuehao Zhou: conceptualization, data curation, investigation, writing – original draft. Jingjie Tang: funding acquisition, writing – review & editing. Dongting Huang: analysis, writing – review & editing. Xinsheng Xiao and Jianwei Xie: funding acquisition, resources, supervision, writing – review & editing.

## Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

## Acknowledgements

We gratefully acknowledge the financial support of this work from the Natural Science Foundation of Hunan Province (2021JJ30290 and 2021JJ302289), Guangzhou Science and Technology Plan Projects (2023A04J1844) and the construct program of applied characteristic discipline in Hunan University of Science and Engineering.

## References

- 1 M. Feng, B. Tang, S. H. Liang and X. Jiang, *Curr. Top. Med. Chem.*, 2016, **16**, 1200–1216.
- 2 N. Wang, P. Saidharedy and X. Jiang, *Nat. Prod. Rep.*, 2020, **37**, 246–275.
- 3 E. A. Ilardi, E. Vitaku and J. T. Njardarson, *J. Med. Chem.*, 2014, **57**, 2832–2842.
- 4 T. Kondo and T.-a. Mitsudo, *Chem. Rev.*, 2000, **100**, 3205–3220.
- 5 K. Kunz, U. Scholz and D. Ganzer, *Synlett*, 2003, 2428–2439.
- 6 S. V. Ley and A. W. Thomas, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2003, **42**, 5400–5449.
- 7 I. P. Beletskaya and A. V. Cheprakov, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2004, **248**, 2337–2364.
- 8 S. Bhunia, G. G. Pawar, S. V. Kumar, Y. Jiang and D. Ma, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2017, **56**, 16136–16179.
- 9 Q. Cai and W. Zhou, *Chin. J. Chem.*, 2020, **38**, 879–893.
- 10 Z. Qiao, H. Liu, X. Xiao, Y. Fu, J. Wei, Y. Li and X. Jiang, *Org. Lett.*, 2013, **15**, 2594–2597.
- 11 A. Gagnon, J. Dansereau and A. Le Roch, *Synthesis*, 2017, **49**, 1707–1745.
- 12 S. Condon, C. Pichon and M. Davi, *Org. Prep. Proced. Int.*, 2014, **46**, 89–131.
- 13 J. Luan, L. Zhang and Z. Hu, *Molecules*, 2011, **16**, 4191–4230.
- 14 S. Yasuike, M. Nishioka, N. Kakusawa and J. Kurita, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2011, **52**, 6403–6406.
- 15 E. Benoit, B. Bueno, C. Choiniere and A. Gagnon, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 2019, **893**, 72–77.
- 16 Y. Murata, S. Asano, R. Kato, Y. Kitamura, M. Matsumura and S. Yasuike, *Catal. Commun.*, 2019, **132**, 105808.
- 17 E. Benoit, A. Fnaiche and A. Gagnon, *Beilstein J. Org. Chem.*, 2019, **15**, 1162–1171.
- 18 B. Song, N. Cao, J. Zhang and J. Xie, *Mol. Catal.*, 2021, **516**, 111981.
- 19 X. Zhou, H. Ma and J. Xie, *J. Mol. Struct.*, 2023, **1294**, 136437.
- 20 C. Crifar, P. Petiot, T. Ahmad and A. Gagnon, *Chem. – Eur. J.*, 2014, **20**, 2755–2760.
- 21 P. Petiot, J. Dansereau and A. Gagnon, *RSC Adv.*, 2014, **4**, 22255–22259.
- 22 W. Qin, N. Kakusawa, Y. Wu, S. Yasuike and J. Kurita, *Chem. Pharm. Bull.*, 2009, **57**, 436–438.
- 23 W. Qin, S. Yasuike, N. Kakusawa, Y. Sugawara, M. Kawahata, K. Yamaguchi and J. Kurita, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 2008, **693**, 109–116.
- 24 A. Siva Reddy, K. Ranjith Reddy, D. Nageswar Rao, C. K. Jaladanki, P. V. Bharatam, P. Y. S. Lam and P. Das, *Org. Biomol. Chem.*, 2017, **15**, 801–806.

