


 Cite this: *RSC Adv.*, 2023, **13**, 30704

Hotspots and trends of electrochemical biosensor technology: a bibliometric analysis from 2003 to 2023†

 Lan Li,^{‡a} Yi Li,^{‡a} Jingwen Pei,^a Yu Wu,^a Guobing Wang,^a Jing Zhang,^b Jinbo Liu^{*a} and Gang Tian^{‡a}

As a powerful tool for biological sensing, electrochemical biosensors have attracted much attention due to their ability to integrate biological recognition elements on an electrochemical interface and convert target analyte information into measurable electrochemical signals. Despite the abundance of literature published on the topic, no comprehensive surveys have been conducted to evaluate the area of electrochemical biosensors with bibliometric techniques. This paper employs VOSviewer to analyze and visualize literature from 2003 to 2023 in the Web of Science in order to gain an understanding of the development of the field of electrochemical biosensors in recent years. Co-occurrence and co-citation analysis are employed to identify research hotspots, trace evolutionary paths, and comprehend development trends in the field. Moreover, by analyzing highly cited and representative literature from different time periods, it is possible to recognize the major research hotspots and grasp the development pulse. The results of this study provide a comprehensive overview of the field of electrochemical biosensors and can be used to guide future research.

 Received 29th August 2023
 Accepted 14th October 2023

DOI: 10.1039/d3ra05889a

rsc.li/rsc-advances

1. Introduction

Biosensors are composed of three main components: receptors, physicochemical transducers, and signal processors.^{1,2} Depending on the type of transducer, biosensors can be categorized into electrochemical,³ optical,⁴ and thermal sensitive varieties. Electrochemical sensors are particularly useful due to their low cost, easy operation, portability, fast response, and high sensitivity. Bioelectronic sensors are made by combining biological or chemical components with electronic detecting technologies and attaching physiologically sensitive elements like antigens, antibodies, enzymes, hormones, or the organism

^aDepartment of Laboratory Medicine, The Affiliated Hospital of Southwest Medical University, Sichuan Province Engineering Technology Research Center of Molecular Diagnosis of Clinical Diseases, Molecular Diagnosis of Clinical Diseases Key Laboratory of Luzhou, Sichuan, China. E-mail: tiangang@swmu.edu.cn

^bDivision of Basic Biomedical Sciences, The University of South Dakota Sanford School of Medicine, Vermillion, South Dakota 57069, USA

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1039/d3ra05889a>

‡ These authors contributed equally to the work.


Lan Li

Li Lan is a Master's student in the Department of Laboratory Medicine, The Affiliated Hospital of Southwest Medical University. Her current research interests primarily focus on the design of novel testing methods and their application in biomarker analysis.


Yi Li

Yi Li is a Master's student in the Department of Laboratory Medicine. Her current research focuses on screening and detection of head and neck squamous cancer markers through bio-signatures and methodology.



itself to electrodes. Due to the specific recognition between biomolecules, target molecules, or electroactive molecules, this enables the transformation of reaction signals into electrical signals like capacitance, current, potential, and conductivity, which in turn enables the qualitative or quantitative detection of target analytes.⁵ These features make electrochemical biosensors suitable for on-site rapid detection in clinical diagnostics,² food,^{6,7} and environmental monitoring.⁸

Bibliometrics is a systematic discipline formed through the integration of mathematics, statistics, bibliography, big data analysis and other disciplines that can quantitatively analyse resources or knowledge in a certain field.^{9–11} It has important applications in various fields. It can scientifically manage publications, core documents and library information, and can also design a more time-saving and labour-saving information network system to process information efficiently.¹² More and more medical workers use bibliometrics to carry out information management on relevant data in the medical field, capture and analyse the information of published journal documents, including the number of published papers, published authors, journal institutions, published journals, institution regions, and funding situation. Visual analysis can be used to find different types of groups by clustering the measurement indicators based on the relationship strength and direction. Further analysis of the connection and correlation degree of its highly cited documents, popular keywords, and subject terms can be done to synthesize these research results and summarize the current research status of the content.^{13,14} Moreover, bibliometric analysis has been employed in research on electrochemiluminescence and electrochemical biosensors in healthcare services.^{15–17} However, there has been no comprehensive bibliometric analysis of electrochemical biosensors reported in the literature. To bridge this gap, bibliometric analysis was employed in this study. 23 090 related literature of electrochemical biosensors were retrieved from the Web of Scientific Core Collection Database and analysed the annual publication trend, literature categories, authors, journals, articles, institutions, and keywords by VOSviewer and CiteSpace. This allowed for the summarization of the research progress of electrochemical biosensors over the past two decades, as well as the determination of the research hotspots and development trends.

2. Data sources and analysis methods

2.1. Data source

The Web of Science Core Collection database was chosen as the data source for this study due to its wide coverage of publications from different fields and its high-quality digital literature resource, which is widely regarded as the best database for bibliometric analysis.^{18,19} The search sentence used was “TS = (electrochemical biosensor)”, indexed in SSCI and ESCI, and with a time span of 2003.01.01 to 2023.06.30. No language or document type limitations were set, with the document types including original articles and review articles. After eliminating duplicated entries from the search results, a total of 24 294 journal papers were obtained. Subsequently, to make sure that

the chosen articles concerned the electrochemical biosensor, a manual review was conducted to examine the content of each article (including the paper title and abstract) to exclude any irrelevant publications. Consequently, 23 090 articles were kept for content and bibliometric mapping analysis, comprising of 20 312 (88.0%) articles and 2778 (12.0%) reviews (Table 1).

2.2. Data analysis

GraphPad Prism (version 9.5) was utilized to generate bar charts, while VOSviewer (version 1.6.19) and CiteSpace (version win5.7. R5) were employed to conduct data visualization, creating scientific landscapes and networks based on citation frequency, countries, journals, authors, and other information.

3. Results

3.1. Annual publication trend

The data presented in Fig. 1A indicates an overall increase in the number of publications related to electrochemical biosensors from 2003 to 2023. The number of articles published is gradually increasing every year. Since 2013, the annual publication volume has been consistently above 1000 articles, reaching 2114 in 2022. As of April 30th in 2023, 854 articles have been published, and it is expected that the number of publications in 2023 will exceed 2300. This trend suggests that electrochemical biosensors have become an increasingly popular research topic, and further growth in the number of publications is likely as researchers continue to explore the molecular mechanisms and nanomaterials associated with this field.

3.2. Analysis of literature categories

According to Web of Science subject categories, all these literature are assigned to different research areas. Top 30 research areas ranked by publication counts are exhibited in Fig. 1B. The most involved area is the chemical analysis (54.3%) with 12 793 publications, followed by electrochemistry (8639 publications) and nanoscience (4936 publications). The number of publications related to biotechnology, applied microbiology, biophysics and instruments is roughly equivalent.

3.3. Analysis of authors

Authors totalling 57 912 collaborated to publish 23 090 papers, with the transient authors accounting for 68.5% (39 659) of the total. 1479 authors published more than 10 articles in this field, with the most prolific author being Ruo Yuan, who published 228 articles from 2003 to June 2023. Yaqin Chai was the second most prolific author, with 154 publications. The top five cited authors are Ruo, Y, Huangxian, J, Yaqin, C, Joseph, W and Shen-ming, C. The top 10 most prolific authors are presented in Fig. 2A.

From Fig. 3A, we can see that the authors with a high volume of publications in the field of electrochemical biosensor have formed multiple cooperation networks, and the cooperation within each network is relatively close, but the cooperation between networks is relatively small. Professor Ruo Yuan and



Table 1 Summary of data source and selection

Category	Specific standard equipment
Research database	Web of science core collection
Citation indexes	SSCI and SCIE
Searching period	2003-01-01 to 2023-06-30
Search sentence	“TS = (electrochemical biosensor)”
Document types	“Articles” and “Review articles”
Data extraction	Export with full records and cited references in plain text format
Studies excluded ($N = 1204$)	Duplicates ($N = 20$) not related to electrochemical biosensor ($N = 1184$)
Sample size	23 090

Yaqin Chai from Southwest University had a strong bond of cooperation, with a relative link strength of 151.

Analysis of author co-citation revealed that 102 authors with at least 500 citations were included. Total link strength reflects the impact of an author's documents on other authors participating in the research. As illustrated in Fig. 3B, the top three authors with the highest total link strength were Wang, J, Wang, Y, and Liu, Y.

3.4. Analysis of published journals

The research conducted has revealed that the retrieved documents were published in 1162 different journals. Table 2 highlights the 20 most active journals in publishing articles on electrochemical biosensors. *Biosensors and Bioelectronics* was the most prolific journal in this field, with 2485 publications, followed by *Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical* with 1545 publications, and *Electroanalysis* with 939 publications. The top 20




Fig. 1 (A) Published literature on electrochemical biosensor from 2003 to 2023. (B) Published literature of electrochemical biosensor in different categories.






Fig. 2 (A) Top 10 most prolific authors. (B) Top 10 most prolific countries/regions according to publication numbers.

journals in total accounted for 56.6% of all documents retrieved. Citations analysis of the 369 journals with at least 5 publications indicated that *Biosensors and Bioelectronics* had the highest citation numbers ($N = 139\,852$), followed by *Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical* ($N = 58\,173$), and *Analytical Chemistry* ($N = 47\,103$). Fig. 4 displays the density map of journals citation analysis.

3.5. Analysis of top cited articles

The 23 090 papers that were retrieved were cited 732 598 times in total. Of these, 1286 (5.6%) had at least one hundred citations, while 1852 (4.0%) had no citations. Table 3 displays the top 20 most cited papers, comprising of 12 reviews and 8 articles, coming from China ($N = 5$), USA ($N = 5$), and the remaining from South Korea, Israel, Singapore, Canada, Ireland, Spain, United Kingdom, France and Lithuania. The highest citation number of 1369 was for the article “Biological and Chemical Sensors Based on Graphene Materials” published in *Chemical Society Reviews*.²⁰ A comprehensive review of graphene materials and graphene-based electrochemical biosensors. It is followed by the article “Wearable biosensors for healthcare monitoring” published by Kim J. *et al.* on *Nature Biotechnology* in 2019.²¹ An overview analysis of wearable biosensors *via* dynamic, non-invasive measurements. It can be seen from the highly cited documents that most of them are related to new materials, rapid detection and non-invasive detection, which shows that cooperation between different disciplines and technologies is necessary in order to develop forward.

3.6. Analysis of countries/regions

120 countries/regions were represented in the geographical distribution of publications. 15 countries only published one article, while 71 countries published more than ten. It is evident

from Fig. 2B that China is the most productive country in terms of the number of published articles, accounting for 43.7% of the total, significantly higher than the second-ranked USA with 2002 articles. Among the top 20 most prolific countries, United States had the greatest citation numbers per publication (48.7), followed by Australia (39.2) and Canada (38.9). Fig. 5A further illustrates that China has the most citations, far exceeding other countries, followed by the United States, India, Iran, and South Korea. Fig. 5B provides a visualization map of the country co-authorship analysis conducted by VOSviewer, which indicates that China is situated in the centre of the network. The presented node connecting line portrays the intensity of the association, where a thicker line indicates the greater number of articles released through collaboration of two countries. As per the collaboration analysis, China is seen to have the highest degree of centrality, followed by the USA, with both countries exhibiting a close cooperation. Similarly, India, Iran and South Korea have also developed a strong connection. Close cooperation and exchanges between countries contribute to the rapid development of this field.

3.7. Analysis of institutions

As shown in Table 4, the Chinese Academy of Sciences in China was the most prolific institution in the publication of papers on electrochemical biosensors, with 774 publications. This was followed by Qingdao University of Science & Technology in China (449 publications) and Nanjing University in China (434 publications). Of the top 10 prolific institutions, 8 were in China, 1 was in Turkey, and 1 was in Iran. Additionally, 63 organizations were cited at least 3000 times, as shown in Fig. 6. The Chinese Academy of Sciences had the highest citation number with 36 245 citations, followed by Nanjing University (25 551 citations) and Qingdao University of Science & Technology (13 621 citations).

3.8. Analysis of keywords

The analysis of keyword co-occurrence revealed the research hotspots in this field.¹⁵ Table 5 lists the top 10 keywords with the highest frequency of occurrence, with “biosensor” being the most mentioned. Fig. 7A illustrates the three clusters identified among the top 70 keywords, based on the frequency of co-occurrence. The cluster led by “biosensor” and “gold nanoparticles” had the highest number of occurrences, followed by the cluster led by “sensor” and “nanoparticles”, and then the cluster led by “oxidation” and “immobilization”. Fig. 7B is the keyword overlay map, showing the trend of keywords over time. The yellow nodes depicted in the figure signify emerging keywords, suggesting that they could be indicative of ongoing research studies; such keywords include sensitive detection, ultrasensitive detection, rapid detection, nanocomposite, and signal amplification, all of which have been frequently cited in the last two years and may be potential research hotspots in the future. The network visualization of keywords of the top five most prolific countries are shown in Fig. S1–S5.† The keywords in the five countries are basically the same, and the top five are






Fig. 3 (A) Network visualization of co-authorship analysis for 68 authors with at least 40 publications. Thicker lines indicate stronger collaborations. Authors represented with larger circle size or font size had relatively more publications. (B) Network visualization of co-citation analysis for authors. Each author is represented as a node, with the size of the node being proportional to the number of citations received. Two nodes will be linked if they have been co-cited, and the proximity of the nodes will indicate the relatedness. Nodes that are closer together will be assigned to the same cluster and given the same color.

“biosensor”, “sensor”, “nanoparticle”, “electrode” and “gold nanoparticles”.

To further analyse the application of nanomaterials in electrochemical biosensors, we conducted keyword analysis on 717 articles using the search formula “(TS = (electrochemical biosensor)) ANDTS = (nanomaterial)”, as shown in Fig. 8. The results indicate that nanomaterials such as gold nanoparticles, graphene, and carbon nanotubes are the most commonly utilized in the field of electrochemical biosensors.

Keyword burst detection is a useful tool for identifying research frontiers and emerging topics in a particular field over time.²² A burst keyword is one that appears frequently in a given period of time, providing insight into the evolution of research hotspots and trends. To gain a better understanding of the development of electrochemical biosensor research, the top 25 keyword terms with the strongest emergent strength analysed from 2003 to 2023 are illustrated in Fig. 9. Biomarkers and their

associated characteristics have undoubtedly become a subject of great interest among scholars since 2020, and will likely remain a point of focus in the future. Biomarkers are biological substances or activities that can be quantitatively measured and evaluated to indicate normal biological processes, pathogenic processes, or responses to therapeutic interventions. Recently, electrochemical biosensors have been increasingly employed for the detection of biomarkers. Since 2019, the spread of Coronavirus Disease (COVID-19), caused by Severe Acute Respiratory Syndrome Coronavirus 2 (SARS-CoV-2), has been a global concern, resulting in numerous fatalities and significant economic losses.^{23,24} As a result, the development of specific and sensitive detection methods for COVID-19 has become a priority.²⁵ Additionally, nanomaterials are being explored as a potential future research trend, as nanobiosensors can provide high sensitivity and portability, potentially leading to the development of new clinical and field-deployable analytical instruments.^{26,27}



Table 2 Top 20 prolific journals in publishing papers on electrochemical biosensor

Rank	Journal	Documents	Citations	IF (2023)
1	<i>Biosensors and Bioelectronics</i>	2485	139 852	12.600
2	<i>Sensors and Actuators B: Chemical</i>	1545	58 173	9.221
3	<i>Electroanalysis</i>	939	21 809	3.007
4	<i>Talanta</i>	775	26 979	6.556
5	<i>Microchimica Acta</i>	663	16 290	6.408
6	<i>Analytical Chemistry</i>	662	47 103	8.008
7	<i>Analytica Chimica Acta</i>	647	25 471	6.911
8	<i>Electrochimica Acta</i>	632	24 196	6.600
9	<i>Journal of Electroanalytical Chemistry</i>	614	14 835	4.598
10	<i>Sensors</i>	487	16 202	3.847
11	<i>International Journal of Electrochemical Science</i>	477	5843	1.541
12	<i>Journal of The Electrochemical Society</i>	435	5672	4.386
13	<i>Analyst</i>	432	14 107	5.227
14	<i>Biosensors-Basel</i>	381	3799	5.743
15	<i>Bioelectrochemistry</i>	361	9588	5.760
16	<i>Analytical Methods</i>	352	5288	3.532
17	<i>RSC Advances</i>	344	7258	4.036
18	<i>Analytical and Bioanalytical Chemistry</i>	311	10 655	4.478
19	<i>Microchemical Journal</i>	271	3179	5.304
20	<i>ACS Applied Materials & Interfaces</i>	245	11 320	10.383

4. Discussion

Electrochemical biosensors, as a growing and useful detection method, have been continually improved with the advancement

of the medical field. This study utilizes VOSviewer software to review the development of electrochemical sensors in the past two decades, analysing the core authors, high-productivity international institutions, key journals, and keywords in the




Fig. 4 Density visualization of citation analysis for 65 journals with a minimum productivity of 50 documents. Journals with a greater amount of citations are represented by darker spots.



Table 3 Top 20 cited articles on electrochemical biosensor from 2003 to 2023

Rank	Author	Title	Year	Source title	Cited by	IF	Country	Document type
1	Liu, YX <i>et al.</i>	Biological and chemical sensors based on graphene materials	2012	<i>Chemical Society Reviews</i>	1369	46.2	China	Review
2	Kim, J <i>et al.</i>	Wearable biosensors for healthcare monitoring	2019	<i>Nature Biotechnology</i>	1312	46.9	USA	Review
3	Shan, CS <i>et al.</i>	Direct electrochemistry of glucose oxidase and biosensing for glucose based on graphene	2009	<i>Analytical Chemistry</i>	1161	8.0	China	Article
4	Katz, E <i>et al.</i>	Probing biomolecular interactions at conductive and semiconductive surfaces by impedance spectroscopy: routes to impedimetric immunosensors, DNA-sensors, and enzyme biosensors	2003	<i>Electroanalysis</i>	1154	3.0	Israel	Review
5	Ronkainen, NJ <i>et al.</i>	Electrochemical biosensors	2010	<i>Chemical Society Reviews</i>	1120	46.2	USA	Review
6	Kuila, T <i>et al.</i>	Recent advances in graphene-based biosensors	2011	<i>Biosensors and Bioelectronics</i>	1013	12.6	South Korea	Review
7	Song, SP <i>et al.</i>	Aptamer-based biosensors	2008	<i>TrAC Trends in Analytical Chemistry</i>	1003	13.1	China	Article
8	Pumera, M <i>et al.</i>	Graphene for electrochemical sensing and biosensing	2010	<i>TrAC Trends in Analytical Chemistry</i>	964	13.1	Singapore	Review
9	Hrapovic, S	Electrochemical biosensing platforms using platinum nanoparticles and carbon nanotubes	2004	<i>Analytical Chemistry</i>	925	8.0	Canada	Article
10	Daniels, JS <i>et al.</i>	Label-free impedance biosensors: opportunities and challenges	2007	<i>Electroanalysis</i>	893	3.0	USA	Review
11	Lin, YH <i>et al.</i>	Glucose biosensors based on carbon nanotube nanoelectrode ensembles	2004	<i>Nano Letters</i>	834	10.8	USA	Article
12	Jacobs, CB <i>et al.</i>	Review: carbon nanotube based electrochemical sensors for biomolecules	2010	<i>Analytica Chimica Acta</i>	805	6.9	USA	Review
13	Chen, W <i>et al.</i>	Recent advances in electrochemical sensing for hydrogen peroxide: a Review	2012	<i>Analyst</i>	787	5.2	China	Review
14	Velusamy, V <i>et al.</i>	An overview of foodborne pathogen detection: In the perspective of biosensors	2010	<i>Biotechnology Advances</i>	780	16.0	Ireland	Review
15	Pingarron, JM <i>et al.</i>	Gold nanoparticle-based electrochemical biosensors	2008	<i>Electrochimica Acta</i>	756	6.6	Spain	Review
16	Chang, BY <i>et al.</i>	Electrochemical impedance spectroscopy	2010	<i>Annual Review of Analytical Chemistry</i>	723	12.4	South Korea	Article
17	Toghill, KE <i>et al.</i>	Electrochemical non-enzymatic glucose sensors: a perspective and an evaluation	2010	<i>International Journal of Electrochemical Science</i>	716	1.5	United Kingdom	Article
18	Sassolas, a <i>et al.</i>	Immobilization strategies to develop enzymatic biosensors	2012	<i>Biotechnology Advances</i>	708	16.0	France	Review
19	Ramanavicius, a <i>et al.</i>	Electrochemical sensors based on conducting polymer-polypyrrole	2006	<i>Electrochimica Acta</i>	696	6.6	Lithuania	Article
20	Guo, SJ <i>et al.</i>	Platinum nanoparticle ensemble-on-graphene hybrid nanosheet: one-pot, rapid synthesis, and used as new electrode material for electrochemical sensing	2010	<i>ACS Nano</i>	693	17.1	China	Article

field. Our findings indicate that the amount of literature on electrochemical biosensors is on an overall upward trend, from 183 articles in 2003 to 2114 articles in 2022, with the number of articles increasing 11.5 times. Similar to our findings, prior

bibliometric analyses have demonstrated that the amount of literature on biosensors and electrochemiluminescence sensing technology is growing in general.^{15,17} It is clear that electrochemical biosensors are a gradually growing field.






Fig. 5 (A) Density visualization of citation analysis for countries with a minimum citation of 100, a total of 78 countries and regions meet the threshold. Nations with the highest citation counts are represented by darker spots. (B) Network visualization of co-authorship analysis for countries. Nodes are used to represent each country, with the size of the node being proportional to the sum of its publications.

It is noteworthy that Joseph Wang from the University of California had a central position in the co-citation map, despite having a relatively small number of publications. This could be attributed to several highly-cited publications from him.^{21,28} Wang's research interests focus on electrochemical biosensors, wearable devices and microrobots. Similarly, Huangxian, J published only half as many articles as Yaqin, C, but her citations were higher than Yaqin, C. This result suggests that the number of publications may not always be an accurate indicator of an author's academic influence, as there are many factors that can influence an article's citation

frequency. Publications of Chinese scholars occupied the leading position, but its average citation rate ranks 12th. This is because quantity remains the measuring stick at the university and the national levels.²⁹ Reward and promotion systems which eschew quantity measures and value fewer, deeper, more novel contributions could inspire more innovative and high-quantity work.³⁰

Research on national cooperation networks can help to promote teamwork and global cooperation in specific areas. In this study, we can see that the country with the highest number of publications is China, followed by the USA and



Top 25 Keywords with the Strongest Citation Bursts




Fig. 9 Top 25 keywords with the strongest citation bursts.

5. Conclusions

In the current age, biosensors have been extensively used in a variety of fields, including biological diagnostics, environmental monitoring, food safety, drug research, and tracking the progression of diseases at the point-of-care. In the last two decades, China has been the leading contributor to electrochemical biosensor research, with more than half of the top 10 most productive institutions and authors being from the country. Most of the research papers were published in methodological journals, with the main focuses being biomarker, ultrasensitive detection, and nanomaterial.

Author contributions

Lan Li: conceptualization, methodology, software, writing – original draft. Yi Li: data curation, writing – original draft preparation. Jingwen Pei: visualization, data curation. Yu Wu:

formal analysis, software. Guobing Wang: software, validation. Jing Zhang: writing – reviewing and editing. Jinbo Liu: writing – reviewing and editing, supervision. Gang Tian: supervision, funding acquisition. All authors have read and approved the manuscript.

Conflicts of interest

The authors declare that the research was conducted in the absence of any commercial or financial relationships that could be construed as a potential conflict of interest.

Acknowledgements

This study was supported by grants from the Luzhou Science and Technology Department Applied Basic Research program (no. 2022-JYJ-145), and the Sichuan Province Science and Technology Department of foreign (border) high-end talent



introduction project (no. 2023JDGD0037), and the Luzhou City-School Industry-University Research Cooperation Cultivation Project (no. 2020LZXNYD02).

Notes and references

- 1 D. R. Thévenot, K. Toth, R. A. Durst, *et al.*, Electrochemical Biosensors: Recommended Definitions and Classification, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2001, **16**(1–2), 121–131.
- 2 V. S. P. K. S. A. Jayanthi, A. B. Das and U. Saxena, Recent Advances in Biosensor Development for the Detection of Cancer Biomarkers, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2017, **91**, 15–23.
- 3 B. V. Chikkaveeraiah, A. A. Bhirde, N. Y. Morgan, *et al.*, Electrochemical Immunosensors for Detection of Cancer Protein Biomarkers, *ACS Nano*, 2012, **6**(8), 6546–6561.
- 4 X. Fan, I. M. White, S. I. Shopova, *et al.*, Sensitive Optical Biosensors for Unlabeled Targets: A Review, *Anal. Chim. Acta*, 2008, **620**(1–2), 8–26.
- 5 X. Yang and H. Cheng, Recent Developments of Flexible and Stretchable Electrochemical Biosensors, *Micromachines*, 2020, **11**(3), 243.
- 6 A. Curulli, Electrochemical Biosensors in Food Safety: Challenges and Perspectives, *Molecules*, 2021, **26**(10), 2940.
- 7 J. Shao, C. Wang, Y. Shen, *et al.*, Electrochemical Sensors and Biosensors for the Analysis of Tea Components: A Bibliometric Review, *Front. Chem.*, 2021, **9**, 818461.
- 8 S. Uniyal and R. K. Sharma, Technological Advancement in Electrochemical Biosensor Based Detection of Organophosphate Pesticide Chlorpyrifos in the Environment: A Review of Status and Prospects, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2018, **116**, 37–50.
- 9 A. Diem and S. C. Wolter, The Use of Bibliometrics to Measure Research Performance in Education Sciences, *Res. Higher Educ.*, 2013, **54**(1), 86–114.
- 10 P. Mayr and A. Scharnhorst, Scientometrics and Information Retrieval: Weak-Links Revitalized, *Scientometrics*, 2015, **102**(3), 2193–2199.
- 11 Z. Xu, X. Gao, B. Ren, *et al.*, A Bibliometric Analysis of Segmentectomy *versus* Lobectomy for Non-Small Cell Lung Cancer Research (1992–2019), *Medicine*, 2021, **100**(13), e25055.
- 12 M. Wu, Y. Jiang, R. W. M. Kwong, *et al.*, How Do Humans Recognize and Face Challenges of Microplastic Pollution in Marine Environments? A Bibliometric Analysis, *Environ. Pollut.*, 2021, **280**, 116959.
- 13 G. Abramo, C. A. D'Angelo and F. Viel, The Field-Standardized Average Impact of National Research Systems Compared to World Average: The Case of Italy, *Scientometrics*, 2011, **88**(2), 599–615.
- 14 P. Antwi-Afari, S. T. Ng and M. U. Hossain, A Review of the Circularity Gap in the Construction Industry through Scientometric Analysis, *J. Clean. Prod.*, 2021, **298**, 126870.
- 15 X. Liu, S. Zhao, L. Tan, *et al.*, Frontier and Hot Topics in Electrochemiluminescence Sensing Technology Based on CiteSpace Bibliometric Analysis, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2022, **201**, 113932.
- 16 G. Yunus, R. Singh, S. Raveendran, *et al.*, Electrochemical Biosensors in Healthcare Services: Bibliometric Analysis and Recent Developments, *PeerJ*, 2023, **11**, e15566.
- 17 N. Olson and J. Bae, Biosensors-Publication Trends and Knowledge Domain Visualization, *Sensors*, 2019, **19**(11), 2615.
- 18 F. Havemann, J. Gläser, M. Heinz, *et al.*, Identifying Overlapping and Hierarchical Thematic Structures in Networks of Scholarly Papers: A Comparison of Three Approaches, *PLoS One*, 2012, **7**(3), e33255.
- 19 X. Ding and Z. Yang, Knowledge Mapping of Platform Research: A Visual Analysis Using VOSviewer and CiteSpace, *J. Electron. Commer. Res.*, 2022, **22**(3), 787–809.
- 20 Y. Liu, X. Dong and P. Chen, Biological and Chemical Sensors Based on Graphene Materials, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2012, **41**(6), 2283–2307.
- 21 J. Kim, A. S. Campbell, B. E.-F. de Ávila, *et al.*, Wearable Biosensors for Healthcare Monitoring, *Nat. Biotechnol.*, 2019, **37**(4), 389–406.
- 22 X.-D. Zhang, Y. Zhang, Y.-Z. Zhao, *et al.*, Autoimmune Pancreatitis: A Bibliometric Analysis from 2002 to 2022, *Front. Immunol.*, 2023, **14**, 1135096.
- 23 C. Huang, Y. Wang, X. Li, *et al.*, Clinical Features of Patients Infected with 2019 Novel Coronavirus in Wuhan, China, *Lancet*, 2020, **395**(10223), 497–506.
- 24 E. Janik, M. Bartos, M. Niemcewicz, *et al.*, SARS-CoV-2: Outline, Prevention, and Decontamination, *Pathogens*, 2021, **10**(2), 114.
- 25 S. Mao, L. Fu, C. Yin, *et al.*, The Role of Electrochemical Biosensors in SARS-CoV-2 Detection: A Bibliometrics-Based Analysis and Review, *RSC Adv.*, 2022, **12**(35), 22592–22607.
- 26 B. Bali Prasad, A. Kumar and R. Singh, Synthesis of Novel Monomeric Graphene Quantum Dots and Corresponding Nanocomposite with Molecularly Imprinted Polymer for Electrochemical Detection of an Anticancerous Ifosfamide Drug, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2017, **94**, 1–9.
- 27 J. Kneipp, Interrogating Cells, Tissues, and Live Animals with New Generations of Surface-Enhanced Raman Scattering Probes and Labels, *ACS Nano*, 2017, **11**(2), 1136–1141.
- 28 J. Wang, Carbon-Nanotube Based Electrochemical Biosensors: A Review, *Electroanalysis*, 2005, **17**(1), 7–14.
- 29 C. Baden-Fuller, F. Ravazzolo and T. Schweizer, Making and Measuring Reputations: The Research Ranking of European Business Schools, *Long Range Plann.*, 2000, **33**(5), 621–650.
- 30 J. S. G. Chu and J. A. Evans, Slowed Canonical Progress in Large Fields of Science, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A.*, 2021, **118**(41), e2021636118.
- 31 J. A. Ludwig and J. N. Weinstein, Biomarkers in Cancer Staging, Prognosis and Treatment Selection, *Nat. Rev. Cancer*, 2005, **5**(11), 845–856.
- 32 N. Rifai, M. A. Gillette and S. A. Carr, Protein Biomarker Discovery and Validation: The Long and Uncertain Path to Clinical Utility, *Nat. Biotechnol.*, 2006, **24**(8), 971–983.



Review

- 33 G. Maduraiveeran, M. Sasidharan and V. Ganesan, Electrochemical Sensor and Biosensor Platforms Based on Advanced Nanomaterials for Biological and Biomedical Applications, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2018, **103**, 113–129.
- 34 L. Qian, S. Durairaj, S. Prins, *et al.*, Nanomaterial-Based Electrochemical Sensors and Biosensors for the Detection of Pharmaceutical Compounds, *Biosens. Bioelectron.*, 2021, **175**, 112836.

