


Cite this: *RSC Adv.*, 2023, 13, 32518

Development of a novel hypochlorite ratio probe based on coumarin and its application in living cells†

Hao Wen,^a Zifan Liu,^b Zixia Su,^c Jamal. A. H. Kowah,^a Erwei Hao^{*c} and Xu Liu^{ID *b}

Hypochlorous acid is a reactive oxygen species that is widely present in the body and has been found to exhibit an elevated concentration in tumors. As a result, fluorescent probes for tumor detection have recently gained significant attention. In this study, we designed and synthesized a novel ratiometric fluorescent probe, LW-1, using coumarin as a scaffold, and characterized its spectral properties. LW-1 displayed indigo blue fluorescence at low concentrations of hypochlorous acid. As the concentration of hypochlorous acid increased, the probe underwent a reaction, resulting in a red shift in its fluorescence peak and exhibiting green fluorescence. The fluorescence intensity ratio (green/blue) was a susceptible detection signal for HClO. LW-1 exhibited favorable characteristics, including a low detection limit, high sensitivity, good stability, and low background interference. The detection limit has reached 2.4642 nM. Moreover, we successfully employed LW-1 to image normal human liver and colon cancer cells *in vitro*, demonstrating its potential as a promising tool for tumor detection. Overall, our findings suggest that LW-1 could serve as a valuable addition to the current arsenal of fluorescent probes for tumor detection, with potential applications in the diagnosis and treatment of cancer.

Received 14th July 2023
Accepted 17th October 2023

DOI: 10.1039/d3ra04729f

rsc.li/rsc-advances

Introduction

Reactive oxygen species (ROS), such as superoxide, hydrogen peroxide, and hydroxyl radical, have gained recognition as important signaling molecules both inside and outside of cells in recent years.^{1,2} Mitochondria are the primary source of intracellular ROS and play a critical role in regulating cellular processes, including proliferation, apoptosis, and metabolism.^{3,4} Understanding the role of ROS in cellular processes is essential for elucidating the mechanisms underlying tumorigenesis. Among the various ROS species, hypochlorous acid (HOCl) generated by myeloperoxidase (MPO) has been shown to play a pivotal role in many physiological processes owing to its ability to react with biomolecules.^{5–12}

HOCl is an important ROS species in the body, widely distributed in mitochondria and lysosomes, and present at higher concentrations in tumor cells than in normal cells.

The tumor microenvironment is a complex and dynamic environment characterized by hypoxia, nutrient deprivation,

and immune suppression. It is now widely recognized that the tumor microenvironment plays a critical role in tumor. Due to the unique nature of the tumor microenvironment, researchers have sought to gain a comprehensive understanding of its characteristics to devise more effective treatment strategies. Fluorescence spectroscopy is a powerful technique that has emerged as a valuable tool for studying molecular entities in the tumor microenvironment.¹³ It works by exciting molecules with a specific wavelength of light and measuring the resulting emission of light at a different wavelength. This emission provides information about the structure, dynamics, and interactions of molecules in the sample.

One of the key advantages of fluorescence spectroscopy is its high sensitivity, which allows for detecting even small amounts of molecules in complex samples. Additionally, the technique is user-friendly and can be easily adapted for use in various experimental settings.

Molecular imaging of the tumor microenvironment provides a better understanding of its characteristics and functions in cancer biology, thereby facilitating the development of novel diagnostic and therapeutic strategies for early cancer detection and treatment. The similarity of tumor microenvironment characteristics in different types of cancer enables probes designed for specific biomarkers to have extensive clinical applications for various cancers.¹⁴ Intraoperative cancer fluorescence imaging is a powerful technique that aids in identifying cancer lesions and minimizing the risk of leaving positive surgical margins. Fluorescent imaging probes targeting

^aDepartment of Chemical Engineering and Technology, College of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering, Guangxi University, Nanning 530004, China

^bDepartment of Pharmacy, College of Medicine, Guangxi University, Nanning 530004, China


^cGuangxi Key Laboratory of Efficacy Study on Chinese Materia Medica, Guangxi University of Chinese Medicine, Nanning 530200, Guangxi, China

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1039/d3ra04729f>


different tumor antigens, tumor-related enzymes, pH values, and other factors have been developed as visual guidance tools for surgery or endoscopy. Probes are indispensable in detecting and monitoring material abnormalities in the tumor microenvironment. Commonly used probes, such as coumarins, fluorescein, rhodamine, BODIPY, and others, react with substances altered by cancer cells in the tumor microenvironment, displaying different colors or brightness. These probes facilitate the visualization of substance content changes, enabling cancer cells' differentiation and radical treatment.

The high sensitivity, selectivity, fast response time, and high spatial resolution offered by fluorescent probes have garnered considerable interest among researchers in detecting reactive oxygen species (ROS) and reactive nitrogen species (RNS).^{15–25} Although various methods have been developed for this purpose, fluorescent probes are particularly attractive due to their ability to provide real-time, noninvasive detection of these species in living cells and tissues. Various methods have been developed for detecting hypochlorite/hypochlorous acid, including colorimetry, iodometric titration, chemiluminescence, coulometry, and radiolysis.^{26–28} However, these techniques often require complex procedures and have relatively high detection limits. In contrast, fluorescent probes offer several advantages, such as high sensitivity, selectivity, fast response time, and wide detection range. Moreover, the detection process typically does not damage the sample and has minimal impact on cells.^{29–35} For example, Guangfei Wu *et al.*³⁶ developed a water-soluble probe based on BODIPY design. Xiaohong Cheng *et al.*³⁷ proposed a new design strategy for removing OCl^- fluorescence activated chemical probes with $\text{C}=\text{N}$ isomerization. The HClO probe of Daoxue Li *et al.*³⁸ is designed for mitochondria.

Ratio-based fluorescent probes have become an increasingly popular research topic due to their ease of observation and low background interference caused by fluorescence changes. For example, Shirong Fang *et al.*³⁹ and Saravanan Embanathan *et al.*⁴⁰ synthesized HClO ratio probes with excellent properties. In this study, a novel ratio-based fluorescent probe (LW-1) was designed and synthesized for detecting hypochlorite using coumarin. LW-1 can react with hypochlorite to generate LW-0 (Scheme 1). The sensitivity, selectivity, photostability, and response time of LW-1 were analyzed using UV-visible absorption spectroscopy and fluorescence emission spectroscopy. The fluorescence performance of LW-1 was verified using normal human liver cells and colon cancer cells. These results demonstrate the potential of LW-1 as a promising tool for tumor detection due to its low detection limit, high sensitivity, good stability, and minimal background interference. The detection limit has reached 2.4642 nM, and the quantum yield was 0.381.



Scheme 1 Reaction of LW-1 with HOCl.

Results and discussion

Spectral properties of LW-1

The UV-visible absorption and fluorescence emission spectra of the LW-1 probe were measured and are depicted in Fig. 1a. Notably, the original solution of LW-1 exhibited a strong absorption peak at 405 nm in the UV-visible spectrum. Upon increasing the NaClO content, the absorption peak underwent a significant redshift, with the peak at 405 nm gradually decreasing and the peak at 462 nm gradually increasing. Subsequent titration with NaClO solution revealed in the fluorescence emission spectrum, shown in Fig. 1b, that the maximum emission wavelength of LW-1 underwent a redshift, reaching 504 nm. This shift occurred upon adding 550 nM of NaClO, demonstrating the probe's ability to detect HClO at the nanomolar level. Comparison of the fluorescence curves of the solution without NaClO addition and the solution with the addition of 550 nM NaClO revealed a significant change in fluorescence emission intensity at 488 nm, which continued to decrease, and at 504 nm, which continued to increase, with changes in the HClO content in dozens of nanomolar. However, the mutual influence between the front and rear fluorescence peaks occurred since the two peaks did not converge too far. As a result, as the HClO concentration continued to increase, the fluorescence emission peak curve first showed a trend of redshift and decrease, followed by a trend of redshift and increase. Similar fluorescence peaks were observed in the study by Yong Woong Jun *et al.*⁴¹ The relationship between the HClO content and fluorescence intensity ratio of LW-1, with the ratio of fluorescence intensity at 504 nm to fluorescence intensity at 488 nm as the ordinate and the amount of HClO as the abscissa, was plotted and subjected to S-shaped fitting (Fig. 1c). The




Fig. 1 (a) The ultraviolet-visible absorption spectra of LW-1 at a concentration of 20 μM were recorded with varying concentrations of HClO. (b) The fluorescence emission spectra ($\lambda_{\text{ex}} = 300 \text{ nm}$) of LW-1 at a concentration of 20 μM were recorded with varying concentrations of HClO. (c) The fluorescence intensity ratio of LW-1 at 504 nm to 488 nm was fitted to an S-shaped curve. (d) The ratio of fluorescence intensity of LW-1 at 504 nm to 488 nm was fitted to a linear regression model.

graph indicated that the fluorescence intensity at 504 nm and the fluorescence intensity ratio at 488 nm first continuously decreased with increasing HClO content. When the hypochlorite content reached a certain concentration, the fluorescence emission peak at 504 nm dominated, resulting in a stable ratio of fluorescence emission peaks. Furthermore, linear fitting of the graph within the range of 0 to 110 nM revealed that the fluorescence intensity ratio of LW-1 exhibited a good linear relationship with the change in HClO concentration, with an R^2 value of 0.986 (Fig. 1d).

To evaluate the performance of the coumarin derivative LW-1, we conducted a series of tests to assess its response time, fluorescence stability, and selectivity. Initially, we investigated the probe's selectivity by exposing it to various metal ions, biological mercaptans, and active oxygen and reactive nitrogen species molecules in a methanol solution. As illustrated in Fig. 2a, LW-1 exhibited a negligible fluorescence intensity change in the presence of interfering ions or molecules, such as CaCl_2 , MnCl_2 , MgCl_2 , FeCl_3 , ZnCl_2 , Cys, Hys, GSH, ROS, RNS, among others. However, upon reacting with NaClO, LW-1 demonstrated a significant red shift and intensity change in fluorescence emission peak, confirming its excellent selectivity for HClO. Subsequently, we assessed the response time and fluorescence stability of LW-1 to HClO. Fig. 2b illustrates the time-dependent process of the fluorescence intensity ratio at 504 nm to 488 nm at room temperature. Notably, the fluorescence intensity ratio of LW-1 at 504 nm to 488 nm changed promptly, reaching stability following the addition of NaClO, with no significant changes over time. This response was accomplished within 5 seconds, indicating the swift response and outstanding fluorescence stability of LW-1 towards HClO.

Imaging application of LW-1

To investigate the influence of fluorescent probes on cellular fluorescence imaging following intervention with a hypochlorite solution, experiments were conducted on the human normal liver cell line LO2, where three different concentration groups were treated with hypochlorite. The confocal microscopy system results demonstrated that the control group, treated with fluorescent probes only, exhibited significant blue fluorescence under the DAPI channel. In contrast, slight green

fluorescence was observed under the GFP channel, which may have originated from inherent cellular fluorescence. The treatment groups with varying concentrations of hypochlorite solution exhibited a gradual decrease in blue fluorescence intensity under the DAPI channel with increased hypochlorite concentration. In contrast, the green fluorescence intensity under the GFP channel progressively increased. The green fluorescence became increasingly prominent upon merging the two channels, while the blue fluorescence gradually diminished. These observations are depicted in Fig. 3.

Furthermore, fluorescence imaging experiments were conducted on HT-29 human colon cancer cells to investigate the response of the fluorescence probe to hypochlorite treatment. Specifically, three groups with varying hypochlorite concentrations were established and monitored using a high-resolution cell imaging system. The results revealed that the blank control group, which did not undergo any treatment, did not exhibit significant fluorescence in the DAPI or GFP channels. The negative control group, treated solely with fluorescent probes, generated significant blue fluorescence in the DAPI channel. In contrast, slight green fluorescence was observed in the GFP channel, likely due to inherent cellular autofluorescence.

In comparison, the treatment groups exposed to different hypochlorite concentrations demonstrated a gradual decrease in blue fluorescence in the DAPI channel, accompanied by a corresponding increase in green fluorescence in the GFP channel as the hypochlorite concentration increased. The merged images of the two channels showed a gradual shift from blue to green fluorescence, with the former gradually diminishing and the latter becoming more pronounced as the hypochlorite concentration increased. The results are illustrated in Fig. 4.




Fig. 2 (a) The fluorescence intensity of LW-1 at a concentration of 20 μM was measured under varying concentrations of interfering ions or molecules (0.1 μM). (b) The time-dependent relationship between the fluorescence intensity ratio of LW-1 at 504 nm to that at 488 nm was investigated.




Fig. 3 Effect of fluorescence probe on fluorescence imaging of LO2 cells intervened by hypochloric acid.






Fig. 4 The effect of fluorescence probe on fluorescence imaging of HT-29 human colon cancer cells intervened with hypochloric acid.

Conclusions

In summary, the present study describes a newly developed ratio-based fluorescent probe, LW-1, that enables selective detection of hypochlorite concentration in cells. The probe is easy to synthesize and possesses merits such as high sensitivity, rapid response kinetics, and low background interference. Fluorescence imaging of normal human liver and colon cancer cells demonstrates that LW-1 can detect changes in HClO concentration with high sensitivity. These findings suggest that LW-1 will serve as a valuable tool for exploring the correlation between alterations in HClO concentration and diverse pathological conditions in biological and medical investigations.

Author contributions

Hao Wen: methodology, data curation, writing – original dra. ZiFan Liu: validation, data curation. Zixia Su: data curation. Jamal. A. H. Kowah: writing – original dra. Erwei Hao: supervision. Xu Liu: supervision, project administration, funding acquisition.

Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

Acknowledgements

The work is financially supported by National Natural Science Foundation of China (no. 22078073), and Guangxi Natural Science Foundation (2020GXNSFAA297178).

Notes and references

- 1 R. O. Jones and O. Gunnarsson, *Rev. Mod. Phys.*, 1989, **61**, 689–746.

- 2 A. H. Ashoka, F. Ali, R. Tiwari, R. Kumari, S. K. Pramanik and A. Das, *ACS Omega*, 2020, **5**, 1730–1742.
- 3 R. O. Jones, *Rev. Mod. Phys.*, 2015, **87**, 897–923.
- 4 A. L. Samuel, *IBM J. Res. Dev.*, 2000, **44**, 206–226.
- 5 Z. Zhang, Y. Zhang, T. Zhou, J. Zhang, W. You, K. Pan and W. Li, *J. Multidiscip. Cancer Manage.*, 2021, **2**, 6–20.
- 6 Z. Yin, M. Wang, W. You, K. Pan and W. Li, *J. Multidiscip. Cancer Manage.*, 2022, **2**, 54–67.
- 7 R. L. Siegel, K. D. Miller, N. S. Wagle and A. Jemal, *Cancer J. Clin.*, 2023, **73**, 17–48.
- 8 N. M. Anderson and M. C. Simon, *Curr. Biol.*, 2020, **30**, R921–R925.
- 9 M. A. Swartz, N. Iida, E. W. Roberts, S. Sangaletti, M. H. Wong, F. E. Yull, L. M. Coussens and Y. A. DeClerck, *Cancer Res.*, 2012, **72**, 2473–2480.
- 10 D. Hanahan and R. A. Weinberg, *Cell*, 2011, **144**, 646–674.
- 11 Z.-G. Song, Q. Yuan, P. Lv and K. Chen, *Sensors*, 2021, **21**, 6326.
- 12 M. P. Algi, *J. Fluoresc.*, 2016, **26**, 487–496.
- 13 Z. Zhou and Z. R. Lu, *Adv. Drug Delivery Rev.*, 2017, **113**, 24–48.
- 14 D. F. Quail and J. A. Joyce, *Nat. Med.*, 2013, **19**, 1423–1437.
- 15 M. G. Sikkandhar, A. M. Nedumaran, R. Ravichandar, S. Singh, I. Santhakumar, Z. C. Goh, S. Mishra, G. Archunan, B. Gulyás and P. Padmanabhan, *Int. J. Mol. Sci.*, 2017, **18**, 1036.
- 16 S. G. Rhee, *Science*, 2006, **312**, 1882–1883.
- 17 L. Diebold and N. S. Chandel, *Free Radicals Biol. Med.*, 2016, **100**, 86–93.
- 18 F. Weinberg and N. S. Chandel, *Cell. Mol. Life Sci.*, 2009, **66**, 3663–3673.
- 19 F. Weinberg and N. S. Chandel, *Ann. N. Y. Acad. Sci.*, 2009, **1177**, 66–73.
- 20 Y. Huang, Y. Zhang, F. Huo, J. Chao and C. Yin, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2019, **287**, 453–458.
- 21 K. Xiong, F. Huo, Y. Zhang, Y. Wen, J. Chao and C. Yin, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2018, **255**, 2378–2383.
- 22 Y. Yang, F. Huo, C. Yin, M. Xu, Y. Hu, J. Chao, Y. Zhang, T. E. Glass and J. Yoon, *J. Mater. Chem. B*, 2016, **4**, 5101–5104.
- 23 Y. Zhang, L. Ma, C. Tang, S. Pan, D. Shi, S. Wang, M. Li and Y. Guo, *J. Mater. Chem. B*, 2018, **6**, 725–731.
- 24 Y. Zhang, H. Teng, Y. Gao, M. W. Afzal, J. Tian, X. Chen, H. Tang, T. D. James and Y. Guo, *Chin. Chem. Lett.*, 2020, **31**, 2917–2920.
- 25 C. Duan, M. Won, P. Verwilt, J. Xu, H. S. Kim, L. Zeng and J. S. Kim, *Anal. Chem.*, 2019, **91**, 4172–4178.
- 26 T. C. Pham, S. Heo, V.-N. Nguyen, M. W. Lee, J. Yoon and S. Lee, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2021, **13**, 13949–13957.
- 27 S. Samanta and T. Govindaraju, *ACS Chem. Neurosci.*, 2019, **10**, 4847–4853.
- 28 Y. Feng, J. Cheng, L. Zhou, X. Zhou and H. Xiang, *Analyst*, 2012, **137**, 4885–4901.
- 29 B. Daly, J. Ling and A. P. de Silva, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2015, **44**, 4203–4211.
- 30 W. Sun, M. Li, J. Fan and X. Peng, *Acc. Chem. Res.*, 2019, **52**, 2818–2831.



- 31 A. Torrado, G. K. Walkup and B. Imperiali, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1998, **120**, 609–610.
- 32 Y. Zheng, Q. Huo, P. Kele, F. M. Andreopoulos, S. M. Pham and R. M. Leblanc, *Org. Lett.*, 2001, **3**, 3277–3280.
- 33 X. Liu, D. Lin, Q. Wu, W. Yan and T. Luo, *Acta Phys. Sin.*, 2018, **17**, 27–40.
- 34 L. Luo, J. Niu, B. Mo, D. Lin and L. Liu, *Spectrosc. Spectral Anal.*, 2021, **4**, 1023–1031.
- 35 J. Luo, Z. Xie, J. W. Y. Lam, L. Cheng, H. Chen, C. Qiu, H. S. Kwok, X. Zhan, Y. Liu, D. Zhu and B. Z. Tang, *Chem. Commun.*, 2001, **18**, 1740–1741.
- 36 G. Wu, F. Zeng and S. Wu, *Anal. Methods*, 2013, **5**, 5589–5596.
- 37 X. Cheng, H. Jia, T. Long, J. Feng, J. Qin and Z. Li, *Chem. Commun.*, 2011, **47**, 11978–11980.
- 38 D. Li, Y. Feng, J. Lin, M. Chen, S. Wang, X. Wang, H. Sheng, Z. Shao, M. Zhu and X. Meng, *Sens. Actuators, B*, 2016, 483–491.
- 39 S. Fang, L. Wang, Y. Mei and K. Zheng, *Spectrochim. Acta, Part A*, 2022, **269**, 120738–120744.
- 40 S. Embanathan, S. Manickam, S. Munusamy, D. Jothi, S. Manoj Kumar and S. Kulathu Iyer, *New J. Chem.*, 2022, **46**, 6570–6576.
- 41 Y. W. Jun, S. Sarkar, S. Singha, Y. J. Reo, H. R. Kim, J.-J. Kim, Y.-T. Chang and K. H. Ahn, *Chem. Commun.*, 2017, **53**, 10800–10803.

