


Cite this: *RSC Adv.*, 2023, 13, 24639

# A sustainable protocol for selective alcohols oxidation using a novel iron-based metal organic framework (MOF-BASU1)<sup>†</sup>

Mahtab Yaghubzadeh, Sedigheh Alavinia and Ramin Ghorbani-Vaghei \*

The selective oxidation of active and inactive alcohol substrates is a highly versatile conversion that poses a challenge in controlling the functionality and adjustments on MOFs. On the other hand, it offers an attractive opportunity to expand their applications in designing the next generation of catalysts with improved performance. Herein, a novel iron-based MOF containing sulfonamide (MOF-BASU1) has been fabricated by the reaction of 1,3-benzene disulfonylchloride linker and  $\text{FeCl}_3 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$ . Based on the results, the active surface area of the synthesized MOF is large, which highlights its unique catalytic activity. Optimum conditions were reached after 0.5–2 h, with 15 mg loading of the synthesized MOF under optimal conditions. Furthermore, the turnover frequency was  $18\text{--}77.6\text{ h}^{-1}$ , which is comparable to values previously reported for this process. Overall, the high catalytic activity observed for MOF-BASU1 might be because of the obtained high surface area and the Lewis acidic Fe nodes. Furthermore, the MOF-BASU1 revealed a remarkable chemoselectivity for aldehydes in the presence of aliphatic alcohols. Overall, the high product yields, facile recovery of nanocatalysts, short reaction times, and broad substrate range make this process environmentally friendly, practical, and economically justified.

Received 8th May 2023  
Accepted 4th August 2023

DOI: 10.1039/d3ra03058j

rsc.li/rsc-advances

## 1. Introduction

Alcohol oxidation reactions play a vital role in industrial applications, the synthesis of synthetic intermediates, and natural products. In the pharmaceutical industry, the oxidation reactions of alcohols are used extensively.<sup>1</sup> Therefore, the development of alcohol oxidations with an environmentally friendly and cost-effective strategy is one of the important and necessary concepts for the pharmaceutical and chemical industry. Alcohol oxidation is widely carried out traditionally with small organic-based reagents, such as Dess–Martin periodinane and Swern oxidation or metal-based systems, such as pyridinium chlorochromate, pyridinium dichromate, and ruthenium tetroxide.<sup>2–5</sup> However, most of these have various limitations including moisture-sensitive, expensive, and not reusable. Therefore, new oxidation approaches are expected to be carried out selectively with green, cheap, and non-toxic oxidants, such as dioxygen or air in the presence of reusable catalysts.<sup>6</sup>

Recently, various homogeneous catalysts have played an important role owing to their efficiency and all-purpose impact on the many catalytic reactions.<sup>7–9</sup> However, despite the high

efficiency of homogeneous catalysts, all these reactions suffer from poor catalyst recovery. The benefits of catalyst recovery include the economic and environmentally friendly concept of special transition metals; however, the key challenges are yet to be resolved.<sup>10–12</sup> One of the solutions to overcome this limitation in oxidation reactions is the immobilization of homogeneous catalysts on supports that are not chemically active. In this regard, one of the most popular materials for a wide range of applications in oxidation reactions are metal–organic frameworks (MOFs) with tunable chemical and physical properties.<sup>13–19</sup>


Metal organic frameworks (MOFs) are a new class of organic–inorganic hybrids that, owing to their nature, have many applications in fields, such as gas storage,<sup>20</sup> catalytic processes,<sup>21,22</sup> encapsulate material, super capacitors, and the absorption of heavy metals.<sup>23</sup> MOFs not only have a higher level of activation and stability than other classes of porous materials, but can also change the morphology and size of cavities uncomplicatedly, and this has become an advantage in terms of separation and greater selectivity in their applications.<sup>24–27</sup>

Designing and synthesizing new molecular scaffolds with unique structural and biological properties that increase their capability and selectivity is an interesting challenge. Nowadays, sulfonamides, with unique features, such as strong chemical/thermal stability, are considered as new ligands by the chemical community.<sup>28–30</sup> The use of sulfonamide ligands in the synthesis of metal organic frameworks can create a revolution in the MOFs field and catalysis.

Department of Organic Chemistry, Faculty of Chemistry, Bu-Ali Sina University, Hamedan, 6517838683, Iran. E-mail: rgvaghei@yahoo.com; ghorbani@basu.ac.ir; Tel: +98-8138380647

<sup>†</sup> Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available. See DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1039/d3ra03058j>






Scheme 1 Sequential synthesis of MOF-BASU1 catalyst.

Table 1 Chemicals and equipment used in this study

Materials and equipment	Purity and brand
Iron(III) chloride hexahydrate (FeCl <sub>3</sub> ·6H <sub>2</sub> O)	Sigma-Aldrich (≥98%)
Potassium carbonate (K <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>3</sub> )	Merck (98%)
<i>N,N</i> -Dimethylformamide (DMF)	Merck (99.8%)
<i>n</i> -Hexane	Sigma-Aldrich (95%)
Ethanol	Sigma-Aldrich (97%)
Acetonitrile	Merck (98%)
FT-IR analysis	Shimadzu IR-470 spectrometer
EDX analysis	Numerix DXP-X10P
SEM analysis	Sigma-Zeiss microscope
XRD analysis	JEOL JDX-8030 (30 kV, 20 mA)
NMR analysis	Varian Unity Inova 500 MHz
Ultrasound cleaning bath	KQ-250 DE (40 kHz, 250 W)
Melting point measurement	Electrothermal 9100, made in UK

Regarding the points mentioned, this study presents the development and characterization of a new Fe-based MOF (MOF-BASU1) from the reaction of 1,3-benzenedisulfonamide (BDS) and FeCl<sub>3</sub>·6H<sub>2</sub>O (Scheme 1). The catalytic activity of the MOF-BASU1 was tested in aerobic alcohol oxidation without over oxidation. In the light of the results obtained, the high efficiency, high surface area, and easy recovery of the catalyst are strong points to justify its use. To the best of our knowledge, there are no reports on the use of a MOF-BASU1 catalyst in the synthesis of aldehyde/ketone compounds. The catalyst can usefully act as both acid and redox active sites platform. This study consistently has advantages, such as the availability of MOF, inexpensive catalyst, mild reaction conditions, reasonable yields, and simple experimental procedures. Such a potential catalytic utility of MOF-BASU1 make it quite attractive for sustainable industrial chemistry.



Scheme 2 Synthesis of aldehyde derivatives in the presence of MOF-BASU1 catalyst.




Fig. 1 FT-IR spectra of 1,3-benzenedisulfonamide (A) and MOF-BASU1 samples (B).




Fig. 2 P-XRD image of 1,3-benzenedisulfonamide (a), and MOF-BASU1 samples (b).






Fig. 3 SEM-EDX micrograph of MOF-BASU1 catalyst.

## 2. Experimental section

### 2.1. Instrumentation

All the chemicals, reagents, and equipment used in this study are listed in Table 1.

### 2.2. Synthesis of the MOF-BASU1 catalyst

MOF-BASU1 was produced by the solvothermal method. Briefly, 1 mmol of  $\text{FeCl}_3 \cdot 6\text{H}_2\text{O}$ , 2 mmol of benzene disulfonamide (BDS), and 50 mL of DMF were poured into a beaker and dispersed in an ultrasonic bath for 10 min. Then, the mixture was stirred for 10 min at 100 °C. The resulting mixture was transferred to an autoclave and kept in an oven at 100 °C for 24

hours. Scheme 2 The obtained solution was centrifuged and the solid residues were washed several times with DMF and dried in an oven at 60 °C for 12 hours (Scheme 1).

### 2.3. General method for the synthesis of benzaldehyde derivatives

Primary benzyl alcohol analogs (1 mmol), tertiary butylhydrogen peroxide (3 mmol), and MOF-BASU1 (15 mg) were stirred at 80 °C in  $\text{H}_2\text{O} : \text{CH}_3\text{CN}$  (2 mL, 1 : 1, volume) for the suitable time, as illustrated in Table 3. After the reaction, the catalyst was filtered off. The carbonyl products and unreacted reactants in the reaction mixture were isolated using a facile extraction with water/ethyl acetate. The organic phase was then concentrated by full evaporation of the solvent. The residue was purified using column chromatography (*n*-hexane/EtOAc, 4 : 1, volume) yielded the desired products.

## 3. Results and discussion

### 3.1. Catalyst characterization data analysis

The FT-IR spectra of 1,3-benzenedisulfonamide and MOF-BASU1 catalyst are shown in Fig. 1. In 1,3-benzenedisulfonamide, the absorption bands at 1145 and 1332  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  are attributed to S=O stretching. The bands at 3344  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  and 3248  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  correspond to the  $\text{NH}_2$  stretching vibrations. After the synthesis of MOF-BASU1, a broad absorption band was observed at 3000–3500  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  related to the interaction of amine groups and iron in the studied structure. Moreover, the absorption band at 526  $\text{cm}^{-1}$  is associated with the Fe–O stretching in MOF-BASU1 (Fig. 1B).




Fig. 4 Elemental analysis of C, N, O, S, and Fe atoms achieved from SEM micrographs.






Fig. 5 FE-SEM micrograph of MOF-BASU1 catalyst (A–D).




Fig. 6 TGA spectrum of MOF-BASU1 catalyst.

Table 2 Structural data of the MOF-BASU1

Sample	Surface area (m <sup>2</sup> g <sup>−1</sup> )	Mean pore diameter (nm)	Total pore volume (cm <sup>3</sup> g <sup>−1</sup> )
MOF-BASU1	14.56	30.09	0.11

XRD analysis was used to check the crystal structures of 1,3-benzenedisulfonamide and MOF-BASU1 samples (Fig. 2). The XRD spectrum of 1,3-benzenedisulfonamide shows diffraction peaks at  $2\theta = 18^\circ, 23^\circ, 28^\circ, 32^\circ$ , and  $40^\circ$  (Fig. 2A). Also, the same peaks in the MOF-BASU1 sample were shifted compared to the pure 1,3-benzenedisulfonamide and appeared with less intensity (Fig. 2B). Moreover, the introduction of the iron into the MOF-BASU1 was well defined by the peaks at  $52.46^\circ, 58.40^\circ$ , and  $65.61^\circ$  suggesting the clear growth in the crystal structure. The average distance and crystal size were then calculated by Scherrer and Bragg equations to determine 45 nm.

The energy-dispersive X-ray spectroscopy (EDX) elemental mapping of C, N, O, S, and Fe in MOF-BASU1 is depicted in Fig. 3. The analysis exhibited that all the required elements, including C (31.66%), O (22.10%), N (15.69%), S (8.55%), and Fe (3.46%) are present in the structure of MOF-BASU1. The elemental mapping studies of MOF-BASU1 show a uniform distribution of carbon, oxygen, iron, nitrogen, and sulfur components in the fabricated structure (Fig. 4).

Fig. 5 shows the FE-SEM characterization of the size, shape, and morphology of the synthesized MOF-BASU1 nano-composite. In the as-synthesized MOF-BASU1, we observed well distributed nearly-spherical uniform nanostructures (Fig. 5–D).

Fig. 6 shows the TGA carried out to study the stability of the prepared catalyst. The first step initiates from 25 to 200 °C. This step is attributed to solvent removal. According to Fig. 6, MOF-






Fig. 7  $N_2$  adsorption and desorption isotherms of MOF-BASU1.

BASU1 decomposes at 309.14 °C, and generally loses 37.59% of its weight.

The important parameters associated with the porosity of MOF-BASU1 sample, including the surface area, average diameter of the pores, and total pore volume of the pores, are reported in Table 2. Fig. 7 indicates the  $N_2$  adsorption isotherms of the sample. Nitrogen adsorption and desorption curves of the sample show type I isotherm with  $H_2$  hysteresis curves, which confirms their microporous structure.

### 3.2. Optimization conditions

To optimize the reaction parameters for the best catalytic property, the oxidation reaction of *p*-chlorobenzyl alcohol was used as a model reaction, and several reaction conditions, such as the presence and absence of different catalysts, solvents, and catalyst concentrations, were investigated (Table 3). First, the experimental reaction was carried out without a catalyst for 12 hours under an acetonitrile:water solvent system (1 : 1, volume), and no product was generated at room temperature (entry 1). Next, the temperature of the reaction is a further area for optimization, and we increased the temperature from room temperature to reflux, but no reaction progressed (entry 2). The same reaction was subsequently tested with TBHP oxidant, in an attempt to improve the catalyst activity. No reaction was progressed at reflux condition for a prolonged period of time






















Table 3 Optimization of the reaction conditions<sup>a</sup>

Entry	Catalyst (mg)	Solvent	Temperature (°C)	Time (h)	TBHP (mmol)	Yield <sup>b</sup> (%)
1	—	H <sub>2</sub> O : CH <sub>3</sub> CN (1 : 1)	r.t.	12	—	N.R.
2	—	H <sub>2</sub> O : CH <sub>3</sub> CN (1 : 1)	80	12	—	N.R.
3	—	H <sub>2</sub> O : CH <sub>3</sub> CN (1 : 1)	80	12	3	Trace
4	IRMOF-3 (15)	H <sub>2</sub> O : CH <sub>3</sub> CN (1 : 1)	80	6	3	30
5	UiO-66-NH <sub>2</sub> (15)	H <sub>2</sub> O : CH <sub>3</sub> CN (1 : 1)	80	6	3	35
6	Basolite (Fe) (15)	H <sub>2</sub> O : CH <sub>3</sub> CN (1 : 1)	80	6	3	55
7	MIL (101)Fe (15)	H <sub>2</sub> O : CH <sub>3</sub> CN (1 : 1)	80	6	3	62
8	MOF-BASU1 (15)	H <sub>2</sub> O : CH <sub>3</sub> CN (1 : 1)	80	1	3	98
9	MOF-BASU1 (15)	Toluene	80	1	3	N.R.
10	MOF-BASU1 (15)	CH <sub>3</sub> CN	80	1	3	80
11	MOF-BASU1 (15)	H <sub>2</sub> O	80	1	3	40
12	MOF-BASU1 (15)	EtOH	80	1	3	65
13	MOF-BASU1 (15)	H <sub>2</sub> O : EtOH (1 : 1)	80	1	3	75
14	MOF-BASU1 (15)	Solvent-free	80	1	3	52
15	MOF-BASU1 (15)	DMF	80	1	3	39
16	MOF-BASU1 (7.5)	H <sub>2</sub> O : CH <sub>3</sub> CN (1 : 1)	80	1	3	82
17	MOF-BASU1 (20)	H <sub>2</sub> O : CH <sub>3</sub> CN (1 : 1)	80	1	3	97
18	MOF-BASU1 (15)	H <sub>2</sub> O : CH <sub>3</sub> CN (1 : 1)	60	1	3	79
19	MOF-BASU1 (15) <sup>c</sup>	H <sub>2</sub> O : CH <sub>3</sub> CN (1 : 1)	80	10	3	80
20	MOF-BASU1 (15)	H <sub>2</sub> O : CH <sub>3</sub> CN (1 : 1)	80	1	2	80

<sup>a</sup> Reaction condition: *p*-chlorobenzyl alcohol (1.0 mmol). <sup>b</sup> Isolated yields. <sup>c</sup> The reaction was investigated in the absence of O<sub>2</sub>.




Table 4 Oxidation of alcohols using MOF-BASU1<sup>a</sup>


							
Entry	Substrate	Product	Time (h)	Yield <sup>b</sup> (%)	TOF <sup>c</sup> (h <sup>-1</sup> )	TON <sup>d</sup>	Melting point-boiling point
1			0.5	97	77.6	38.8	172
2			1.5	97	25.86	38.8	103–104
3			1.5	98	26.13	39.2	58–60
4			1	98	39.2	39.2	48–50
5			2	90	18	36	59
6			0.5	85	68	34	200
7			1	96	38.4	38.4	244–246
8			1.5	90	24	36	113–115
9			1	88	35.2	35.2	200
10			1	96	38.4	38.4	90–92

<sup>a</sup> Reaction condition: benzyl alcohol derivatives (1.0 mmol), TBHP (3 mmol). <sup>b</sup> Isolated yields. <sup>c</sup> TOF (turnover frequency) = TON per time (h). <sup>d</sup> TON = yield (%) / catalyst (mol%).





Scheme 3 Intermolecular chemoselectivity tests.

Fig. 8 Recyclability of the MOF-BASU1 nanocatalyst for the oxidation of *p*-chlorobenzylalcohol.


(entry 3). This proved that the catalyst was necessary for carrying out these reactions. The same reaction was then conducted using a variety of MOFs, including IRMOF-3, basolite (Fe), MIL (101)Fe, and UiO-66-NH<sub>2</sub> in TBHP. However, even after a 6 hours reaction, these catalysts only produced modest yields (entries 4–7). Encouraged by the above results, and in an endeavor to enhance the efficiency of the pilot reaction, the reaction was repeated using MOF-BASU1. Remarkably, the reaction took 1 hour to reach a high product yield of 97% (entry 8). Next, the solvent plays a vital influence in chemical conversions in terms of reaction time and product yield. The impact of several solvents and solvent-free conditions on the pilot reaction was investigated (entries 9–14). The oxidation reaction did not proceed in toluene because of the low dispersion of the synthesized catalyst in toluene (entry 9). While utilizing different solvents, such as ethanol, acetonitrile, and DMF, under identical reaction conditions led to higher yields. Surprisingly, the same reaction, when conducted in a mixture of H<sub>2</sub>O : CH<sub>3</sub>CN (1 : 1, volume), produced a notable result due to the catalyst surface's enhanced activity. The typical reaction was explored for the different catalytic loadings of MOF-BASU1

under moderate reaction conditions in the presence of H<sub>2</sub>O : CH<sub>3</sub>CN solvent (1 : 1, volume) in order to improve reaction conditions for the influence of catalyst loading (entries 16–17). After investigating the reaction with 7 mg to 20 mg of catalyst, the product yields increased and reaction time decreased. Next, utilizing more than 15 mg of catalyst did not result in any appreciable improvements in yield or reaction time. Consequently, the ideal catalyst material, MOF-BASU1 (15 mg) (3 mg of iron from inductively coupled plasma (ICP) analysis. 0.075 mmol of Fe) was used to provide the desired result in 60 minutes reaction time. Finally, the same reaction was then conducted at 60 °C in H<sub>2</sub>O : CH<sub>3</sub>CN (1 : 1, volume). However, even after a 6 hours reaction time, the catalyst only produced modest yields (entry 18). It is important to note that superior results were achieved using pure oxygen (entry 19) (10 hours, 80%). It should also be noted that using less than 3 equivalents does not provide significant improvements in the efficiency or reaction time (entry 20).

Optimized conditions in hand, the generality and limitations of the catalytic activity of MOF-BASU1 were confirmed by developing the oxidation of benzyl alcohol derivatives. The nanocatalyst carried out the reactions chemoselectively with high yields. A range of functional groups on the phenyl ring of the benzyl alcohol, such as bromo, chloro, nitro, hydroxyl, methyl, and methoxy, were compatible under this procedure, and the products were isolated in good to high yields. Furthermore, when the reaction was performed with substrates bearing meta-substituted aryl rings, the reaction occurred with high selectivity (Table 4). The chemoselectivity of benzyl alcohol was investigated in the presence of aliphatic alcohols (Scheme 3). The results strongly confirmed the chemoselectivity of the present methodology for aldehydes.

In addition to catalyst activity, its reusability is a significant point in practical application. To investigate its recovery performance, MOF-BASU1 was examined by successive cycles of catalytic oxidation reactions. In a general experiment, the catalyst was isolated from an as-completed reaction solution





Scheme 4 Mechanism of benzyl alcohol oxidation.

and used directly for the next reaction cycle. Results of these studies exhibited that the catalyst could successfully be recycled and reused for 6 sequential runs without diminution in catalytic activity (98, 97, 96, 94, 92, and 90).

According to a literature survey, the catalyst sites are the weak Brønsted acid sites, which may be attributed to uncoordinated sulfonamide groups, the redox-active,<sup>31</sup> and Lewis acid iron sites.<sup>32</sup> So, this catalyst can act usefully both as acid and redox active sites platform (Fig. 8).

Based on the literature survey and the above argument, we introduce a mechanism for the oxidation process as shown in Scheme 4. At first, the coordination of *t*-BuOOH to the Fe(III)

sites affords *t*-Bu-OO-Fe(III) species (A) which subsequently produces the active species (*t*-Bu-O-O•(II)). Then, the abstraction of a hydrogen-atom from the benzyl alcohol gives a radical intermediate (C). As the proposed mechanism shows, the three pathways: (1), (2), and (3) give the aldehyde product (E). The pathway (1) passes through the carbocation formation with the concomitant reduction of Fe(III) to Fe(II). The pathway (2) proceeds *via* a *gem*-diol-like structure formation and dehydration. The third pathway (3) involves a hydrogen-atom abstraction from (C) by the *t*-BuOO• and *t*-BuO• radicals.<sup>33</sup> Moreover, H<sub>2</sub> can be produced from methanol reforming in four different systems: MD, POM, SRM, and OSRM. Methoxy, formaldehyde,

Table 5 Comparison of the catalytic performance of the MOF-BASU1 with other catalysts

$\text{R}'\text{CH(OH)R}'' \longrightarrow \text{R}'\text{C(=O)R}''$					
Entry	Reaction condition	<i>T</i> (°C)	Time (h)	Yield (%)	Ref.
1	CuPc nanoparticle 5 mol%, <i>n</i> -Bu <sub>4</sub> NHSO <sub>5</sub> (0.7 g), H <sub>2</sub> O	85	168	79	35
2	Fe(II) phthalocyanines/TBHP (500/1)	70	3	94	36
3	Al-MCM-41(10)-CuPc (0.055 g)	100	4	47.5	37
4	CoPc@cell (0.05 g), KOH, <i>o</i> -xylene, O <sub>2</sub>	r.t.	8.5	83	38
5	MIL-101-NH <sub>2</sub> /CoTSPc (0.42 mol%), <i>p</i> -xylene, KOH, air (300 mL min <sup>-1</sup> )	100	8	95	39
6	TACoPc/Si-Cl (20 mg), H <sub>2</sub> O <sub>2</sub> , CH <sub>3</sub> CN, visible light	r.t.	6	60	40
7	MOF-BASU1 (20 mg), TBHP (3 mmol), CH <sub>3</sub> CN : H <sub>2</sub> O	80	1	98	This work





dioxymethylene, formate, and methyl formate are the commonly reported surface species detected on Cu-based catalysts.<sup>34</sup>

The advantages of the nanocomposites produced are summarized in Table 5 by comparing the results of the present study with the different catalytic systems used to produce aldehyde/ketone derivatives. According to these data, the catalytic system proposed herein, is more efficient in terms of reaction yield and time compared to other systems for this reaction. High yields and easy work-up are some advantages of this procedure (entry 7).

## 4. Conclusion

In this study, a novel iron-based MOF containing a sulfonamide (MOF-BASU1) was developed and synthesized for the oxidation of benzyl alcohol derivatives. Furthermore, the MOF-BASU1 nanocomposite was characterized as a multifunctional MOF with robustness and stability under reaction conditions. Hence, it can be reused several times without losing its catalytic activity and structural integrity. Other advantages of the proposed mesoporous catalyst include high efficiency, easy separation of the catalyst from the mixture, and clean reaction profile. This research depicts a bright future in the use of porous MOFs and their functionalized analogs as multifunctional catalysts.

## Author contributions

Mahtab Yaghubzadeh: doing laboratory work and preparing data. Sedigheh Alavinia: involved in conceptualization, methodology, resources, writing—original draft, reviewing and editing, formal analysis. Ramin Ghorbani-Vaghei: took part in conceptualization, investigation, supervision, writing—review and editing.

## Conflicts of interest

There are no conflicts to declare.

## References

- 1 A. Dhakshinamoorthy, A. M. Asiri and H. Garcia, *Chem. Commun.*, 2017, **53**, 10851–10869.
- 2 G. Tojo and M. Fernández, Selective Oxidations of Secondary Alcohols in Presence of Primary Alcohols, in *Oxidation of Alcohols to Aldehydes and Ketones: A Guide to Current Common Practice*, Springer, 2006, pp. 339–349.
- 3 S. Ataie, M. Lohar, L. P. Mangin and R. T. Baker, *Chem. Commun.*, 2023, **59**, 4044–4046.
- 4 M. Wang, G. Liang, Y. Wang, T. Fan, B. Yuan, M. Liu, Y. Yin and L. Li, *Eurasian J. Chem.*, 2021, **27**, 9674–9685.
- 5 L. Li, R. Matsuda, I. Tanaka, H. Sato, P. Kanoo, H. J. Jeon, M. L. Foo, A. Wakamiya, Y. Murata and S. Kitagawa, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2014, **136**, 7543–7546.
- 6 H. Keypour, J. Kouhdareh, S. Alavinia, R. Karimi-Nami and İ. Karakaya, *ACS Omega*, 2023, **24**, 22138–22149.
- 7 M. Fereydooni, S. Alavinia and R. Ghorbani-Vaghei, *New J. Chem.*, 2023, **47**, 8410–8425.
- 8 H. Keypour, J. Kouhdareh, R. Karimi-Nami, S. Alavinia, I. Karakaya, S. Babaei and A. Maryamabadi, *New J. Chem.*, 2023, **47**, 6730–6738.
- 9 S. Alavinia, R. Ghorbani-Vaghei, R. Ghiai and A. Gharekhani, *RSC Adv.*, 2023, **13**, 10667–10680A.
- 10 D. J. Constable, *et al.*, *Green Chem.*, 2007, **9**, 411–420.
- 11 R. A. Sheldon and J. M. Woodley, *Chem. Rev.*, 2018, **118**, 801–838.
- 12 R. A. Sheldon, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 2012, **41**, 1437–1451.
- 13 M. B. Chambers, X. Wang, L. Ellezam, O. Ersen, M. Fontecave, C. Sanchez, L. Rozes and C. Mellot-Draznieks, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 2017, **139**, 8222–8228.
- 14 S. Daliran, A. Santiago-Portillo, S. Navalón, A. R. Oveisi, M. Álvaro, R. Ghorbani-Vaghei, D. Azarifar and H. García, *J. Colloid Interface Sci.*, 2018, **532**, 700–710.
- 15 D. Azarifar, R. Ghorbani-Vaghei, S. Daliran and A. R. Oveisi, *ChemCatChem*, 2017, **9**, 1992–2000.
- 16 C.-W. Ding, W. Luo, J.-Y. Zhou, X.-J. Ma, G.-H. Chen, X.-P. Zhou and D. Li, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces*, 2019, **11**, 45621–45628.
- 17 H. Alamgholiloo, S. Rostamnia, K. Zhang, T.-H. Lee, Y.-S. Lee, R. S. Varma, H. W. Jang and M. Shokouhimehr, *ACS Omega*, 2020, **5**(10), 5182.
- 18 H. Ghasempour, F. ZareKarizi, A. Morsali and X.-W. Yan, *Appl. Mater. Today*, 2021, **24**, 101157.
- 19 L. Zhang, J. Qiu, G. Xia, D. Dai, X. Zhong and J. Yao, *J. Mater. Sci. Technol.*, 2023, **138**, 214.
- 20 M. Duan, L. Jiang, G. Zeng, D. Wang, W. Tang, J. Liang, H. Wang, D. He, Z. Liu and L. Tang, *Appl. Mater. Today*, 2020, **19**, 100564.
- 21 D. N. Dybtsev and K. P. Bryliakov, *Coord. Chem. Rev.*, 2021, **437**, 213845.
- 22 H. Keypour, J. Kouhdareh, S. Alavinia, K. Rabiei, M. Mohammadi, A. Maryamabadi and S. Babaei, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 2023, **989**, 122646.
- 23 P. Rocío-Bautista, I. Taima-Mancera, J. Pasán and V. Pino, *Separations*, 2019, **6**, 33.
- 24 A. Nikseresht, R. Bagherinia, M. Mohammadi and R. Mehravar, *RSC Adv.*, 2023, **13**, 674–687.
- 25 A. Ghorbani-Choghamarani, Z. Taherinia and M. Mohammadi, *Environ. Technol. Innov.*, 2021, **24**, 102050.
- 26 S. M. Ramish, A. Ghorbani-Choghamarani and M. Mohammadi, *Sci. Rep.*, 2022, **12**, 1479.
- 27 J. Babamoradi, S. Alavinia, R. Ghorbani-Vaghei and R. Azadbakht, *New J. Chem.*, 2022, **46**, 23394–23403.
- 28 R. Ghiai, S. Alavinia, R. Ghorbani-Vaghei and A. Gharakhani, *RSC Adv.*, 2022, **12**, 34425–34437.
- 29 S. Alavinia and R. Ghorbani-Vaghei, *J. Phys. Chem. Solids*, 2020, **146**, 109573.
- 30 S. Alavinia, R. Ghorbani-Vaghei, J. Rakhtshah, J. Yousefi Seyf and I. Ali Arabian, *Appl. Organomet. Chem.*, 2020, **34**, e5449.
- 31 A. Dhakshinamoorthy, M. Alvaro and H. Garcia, *J. Catal.*, 2012, **289**, 259–265.
- 32 A. Dhakshinamoorthy, M. Alvaro and H. Garcia, *Chem.-Eur. J.*, 2010, **16**, 8530–8536.



- 33 A. R. Oveisi, A. Khorramabadi-zad and S. Daliran, *RSC Adv.*, 2016, **6**, 1136–1142.
- 34 S. T. Yong, C. W. Ooi, S. P. Chai and X. S. Wu, *Int. J. Hydrogen Energy*, 2013, **38**, 9541–9552.
- 35 S. Kheirjou, R. Kheirjou, A. H. Rezayan, M. Shakourian-Fard and M. Mahmoudi Hashemi, *C. R. Chim.*, 2016, **19**, 314–319.
- 36 V. Çakır, E. T. Saka, Z. Bıyıklıoğlu and H. Kantekin, *Synth. Met.*, 2014, **197**, 233–239.
- 37 A. Hamza and D. Srinivas, *Catal. Lett.*, 2009, **128**, 434–442.
- 38 A. Shaabani, S. Keshipour, M. Hamidzad and S. Shaabani, *J. Mol. Catal. A: Chem.*, 2014, **395**, 494–499.
- 39 A. Shaabani, M. M. Amini, M. Shadi, F. Bahri, M. K. Alavijeh and H. Farhid, *J. Taiwan Inst. Chem. Eng.*, 2021, **126**, 211–222.
- 40 S. Farahmand, R. Ayazi-Nasrabadi and M. A. Zolfigol, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2023, **118**, 154403.

